

Mutual information identifies sequence positions conserved within the nuclear receptor superfamily: approach reveals functionally important regions for DNA binding specificity

Scooter Willis[™] and Patrick Griffin[™]

Corresponding Author: HWillis@scripps.edu pgriffin@scripps.edu

TRI-Informatics (SW) and Department of Molecular Therapeutics (PG), The Scripps Research Institute, Scripps Florida, Jupiter, Florida, USA

Members of the nuclear receptor superfamily differentiate in terms of specificity for DNA recognition and binding, oligomeric state, and ligand binding. The wide range of specificities are impressive given the high degree of sequence conservation in the DNA binding domain (DBD) and moderate sequence conservation with high structural similarity within the ligand binding domains (LBDs). Determining sequence positions that are conserved within nuclear receptor subfamilies can provide important indicators into the structural dynamics that translate to oligomeric state of the active receptor, DNA binding specificity and ligand affinity and selectivity. Here we present a method to analyze sequence data from all nuclear receptors that facilitates detection of co-evolving pairs using Mutual Information (MI). Using this method we demonstrate that MI can reveal functionally important sequence positions within the superfamily and the approach identified three sequence positions that have conserved sequence patterns across all nuclear receptors and subfamilies. Interestingly, two of the sequence positions identified are located within the DBD CII and the third was within Helix c of the DBD. These sequences are located within the heterodimer interface of PPAR γ (CII) and RXR α (Helix c) based on PDB:3DZU. Helix c of PPAR γ , which is not involved in the DBD dimer interface, binds the minor groove in the 5' flanking region in a consensus PPARy response element (PPRE) and the corresponding RXRα (CII) is found in the 3' flanking region of RXRE (3DZU). As these three sequence positions represent unique identifiers for all nuclear receptors and they are located within the dimer interface of PPAR γ -RXR α DBD (3DZU) interfacing with the flanking regions of the NRRE, we conclude they are critical sequence positions perhaps dictating nuclear receptor (NR) DNA binding specificity.

Received October 12th, 2010; Accepted January 7th, 2011; Published February 25th, 2011 | Abbreviations: DBD: DNA binding domain; ER α : estrogen receptor α ; GR: glucocorticoid receptor; H1: helix 1; H2: helix 2; LBDs: ligand binding domains; MI: mutual information; MSA: multiple sequence alignment; NRREs: nuclear receptor response elements; NRs: nuclear receptors; PAIS: partial androgen insensitivity syndrome; PPARy: peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ ; PPRE: PPAR γ response element; REV-ERB: orphan RevErb subfamily of the nuclear receptor superfamily of transcription factors; RXR α : retinoid X receptor α | Copyright © 2011, Willis and Griffin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Non-Commercial Attribution License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cite this article: Nuclear Receptor Signaling (2011) 9, e001

Introduction

NRs are multi-domain ligand-dependent transcription factors that contain zinc finger DBDs and they bind to DNA as either monomers, homodimers, or heterodimers, typically upstream of proximal promoter regions of target genes at specific nucleotide sequences referred to as nuclear receptor response elements (NRREs) [Desvergne and Wahli, 1999]. With few exceptions, NRs consist of a N-terminal domain, a highly conserved DNA binding domain (DBD), a hinge domain connecting the DBD to the LBD, a ligand binding domain (LBD) and several have C-terminal extensions referred to as F domains [Robinson-Rechavi et al., 2003]. While the DBDs are highly conserved across all NRs, mutations within this domain allow specificity for NR binding across the genome. The LBD region is structurally-conserved, yet is only moderately conserved on the sequence level, perhaps allowing this protein family to bind and respond to a wide range of endogenous ligands such as hormones, sterols, and fatty acids. Ligand binding results in changes in LBD conformational dynamics facilitating

recruitment or displacement of coregulatory chromatin remodeling proteins that in turn impact transcriptional output of target genes.

Sequence analysis within the ligand binding domain [Wurtz et al., 1996] using LBDs from 86 NRs identified twenty sequence positions that constitute a signature for classifying a protein as a NR. In this study, structural homology and clustalw were used to construct the multiple sequence alignment (MSA) and key sequence positions were identified by proximity to the ligand-binding pocket. With a significantly larger collection of NR sequences now available and the high quality MSA that can be provided by PFAM, here we apply mutual information (MI) to detect co-evolving pairs to reveal functional relationships that represent distinct NR signatures capable of classifying NR subfamilies. In the study presented here, we analyzed sequence data from 2094 putative nuclear receptors across all species to determine co-evolving sequence positions that are conserved within the superfamily. We limited our analysis to the MSA found in PFAM families PF00105 (DBD) and PF00104 (LBD).

Functional regions of NRs determining DNA specificity revealed by mutual information

NURSA Enterter transfer

Using this approach to detect co-evolving amino acid pair relationships, we identified three sequence positions within the DBD that are conserved across the NR superfamily.

Methods

Methods

The field of Information Theory was introduced by [Shannon, 1948], "A Mathematical Theory of Communication," which outlined the statistical measure of information and the detection of noise in a communication channel. Entropy (H(x) or H(y)) is a measure of the uncertainty of a random variable and can be combined with the joint entropy (H(x,y)) of two variables to determine the mutual information (MI(x,y))or non-randomness between two variables shown in (1) of Figure 1. The combined equation to calculate mutual information is given in (2) of Figure 1, and is defined as the measure of mutual dependence between two variables. The combined form using probability (p(x) orp(y) of a single variable and the joint probability (p(x,y))between two variables is similar to a log-likelihood calculation.

$$H(x) = -\sum p(x)\log(p(x))$$
$$H(y) = -\sum p(y)\log(p(y))$$
$$H(x, y) = -\sum p(x, y)\log(p(x, y))$$
$$MI(x, y) = H(x) + H(y) - H(x, y)$$

(1)

$$MI(x,y) = \sum p(x_i, y_j) \log(\frac{p(x_i, y_j)}{p(x_i)p(y_j)})$$

(2)

Figure 1. Mutual information equations. See above text for details.

Probability distributions calculated from mutation events

Application of Information Theory and the analysis of sequence data are impacted by a sampling bias from targeted research on proteins of medical interest and the introduction of noise from the phylogenetic impact on probability calculations [Atchley et al., 2000; Govindarajan et al., 2003; Martin et al., 2005; Tillier and Lui, 2003]. When determining probabilities in a data set, one underlying assumption is that the representative data is randomly selected from the population. When determining the distributions of amino acids in a column of a MSA, if the sequences are not randomly sampled from the population, then a bias is introduced towards the grouping of those sequences [Atchley et al., 2000].

To minimize the bias, a phylogenetic tree is constructed from the MSA to determine mutation events using parsimony. The evolutionary tree represents a graph of mutation events that can be used to correct or compensate for the phylogenetic influence in a MSA. A sequence position is represented as a terminal node in the tree with an evolutionary distance to parent nodes in the tree. A voting algorithm is used starting at each terminal node to compare each child node between two sequence positions. If the sequence positions agree, then the parent node is assigned that value. If the sequence positions in the two children nodes do not agree, then an X is assigned for unknown. The process continues for all internal nodes of the tree. To determine the parent node when a child node is an X, values are compared for descendent nodes, and if two nodes are found to contain the same value, the parent node is assigned that value.

Detection of mutation events from the root of the node to the leaf nodes will generate a set of all mutations at a particular sequence position. This set of mutations would then be used as the basis for probability calculations of observed mutations. In Figure 2, a phylogenetic tree representation of sequence data can be used to determine mutation events. Without taking into consideration the phylogenetic influence, the probability of the set is p(A)=1/6, p(D)=1/6 and p(C)=2/3. If we calculate the probability of observed mutation events starting from the root node, then p(A)=1/3, p(D)=1/3 and the p(C)=1/3, as indicated in green in Figure 2. This is done by starting at the root node and counting all children nodes where the child node and parent node are not equal. Comparing the two methods of sampling sequence data yields two very different results. One accurately represents the sequences in the MSA and the latter represents the probabilities when a mutation occurs. This has the impact of reducing or compensating for the phylogenetic influence on probability calculations.



Figure 2. Tree representation of mutation events in an MSA. Mutation events for a single sequence position are indicated in green where X is unknown.

This same approach can be applied to calculating mutual information between two sequence positions and is the basis for improving the detection of co-evolving pairs. In



Figure 3, the tree represents a pair of amino acids found at sequence positions x and y. The phylogenetic tree is used to detect mutation events between pairs that then become the population sample used for probability calculations. The probability based on the number of observed sequences would result in p(AE)=1/6, p(DE)=1/6, p(CD)=3/6 and p(CE)=1/6. By using the method described above, where we start at the root node and count children nodes that are different from the parent, with the additional rule that if an internal node is XX it takes on the value of its parent node, we get the following probabilities: p(AE)=1/4, p(DE)=1/4, p(CD)=1/4 and p(CE) =1/4, as indicated in green in Figure 3. The impact of having CD occur 50% of the time is now reduced to 25%, which serves as an adjustment to the phylogenetic influence of a mutation that occurs early in the tree, where overall, only four distinct mutations occur. It would appear that counting the number of distinct combinations would yield the same results. However, this is only true in the example presented. In a large tree, mutations occur along multiple paths of the tree; an amino acid pair that appears early in the tree may be absent for many mutations and then reappear as a dominant stable pairing along a particular branch of the tree. This approach focuses on counting the transitions from one mutation state to the next, and if the state does not change, then a mutation did not occur.



Figure 3. Tree representation of paired mutation events in an MSA. Mutation events comparing two sequence positions are indicated in green where XX is unknown.

Nuclear receptor sequence selection

The NR DBD in Pfam PF00104.22 contains 2549 sequences and the NR LBD PF00105.10 contains 2647 sequences, and when joined by accession number, creates a MSA of 2094 sequences. PF00104.22 does not include sequences from the first two helices of the LBD, Helix 1(H1) and Helix 2(H2). PF00105.10 ends at the C-terminal side of the DBD (Helix c), thus co-evolving pair prediction will not include amino acids contained in the hinge domain, H1 or H2. This analysis will only indicate sequence positions that are co-evolving and functionally-important in the LBD and DBD across all NRs.

The accession number for each sequence was then used to select from uniprot the assigned uniprot gene and common gene name. Each sequence, when deposited in public databases, does not require a strict nomenclature when the gene name is assigned. Each assigned gene name was then cross-referenced based on known assignments or literature searches to the corresponding NRNC group symbol [Committee, 1999]. If a non-standard gene name occurred one time and was not easily mapped to the correct NRNC symbol via a literature search, that sequence would not be used as a classifier. It is also possible that deposited and annotated gene sequences will have some degree of classification error in the correct assignment of the α , β , or γ form of that gene. The deposited gene name and NRNC mapping are available as supplemental data (Supplementary File 1). The MSA alignment used in this analysis is also provided as supplemental data (Supplementary File 2).

Results and discussion

Mutual Information using mutation events is calculated for all sequence position pairs where (144,195) and (139,195) in the MSA have the highest mutual information and are located in the DBD. The amino acids found at MSA position (139,144,195) are grouped by NRNC symbol and shown in Table 1 and by specific NR sub-group are shown in Table 2. A single table view of the amino acid triplets mapped to specific NRs is provided as supplemental data (Supplementary File 3).

The MSA positions (139,144,195) located in the DBD are shown to be conserved for distinct NRs and could play an important role in the function or differentiation of NRs. Mappings of the MSA positions (139,144,195) to indexes in selected NRs is listed in Table 3. A mutation at [144:R607Q:ANDR_HUMAN]¹ is attributed to Partial Androgen Insensitivity Syndrome (PAIS) and breast cancer in men [Chen et al., 1999; Weidemann et al., 1996; Weidemann et al., 1998; Wooster et al., 1992]. A mutation in Helix c at [194:K630T:ANDR_HUMAN] is attributed to prostate cancer [Tilley et al., 1996]. The NR5 subfamily members contain a conserved sequence called the FTZ-F1-box (579-601) responsible for DNA binding as a monomer, which includes [195:A580:FTZF1_DROME], indicating the multipurpose roles of secondary structures as a feature of NRs. [Ueda et al., 1992]. (1 [144:R607Q:ANDR_HUMAN] 144 is the MSA position, R is the amino acid found at sequence position 607 in ANDR HUMAN).

The DBD is highly conserved and to find three sequence positions that are specific to NRs it could be expected that these residues play a key role either in the DBD dimer interface or in DNA recognition, such as impacting the DBD spacing on specific response elements. The positions [139:K:157:PPAR γ]² and [144:S:158:PPAR γ] are sequence and contact neighbors with [195:E:207:RXR α] in PDB:3DZU contained within the heterodimer interface between PPAR γ DBD and RXR α DBD (Figure 4A). The contact pairs are also found in the homodimer DBD interface of RXR α -RXR α (Figure 4B) and in the homodimer DBD interface of RevErb-RevErb (Figure 4C). An additional PDB example is within the ER α DBD homodimer, where MSA sequence positions (139,144) form the dimer interface as a palindrome

NRNC	Group	Amino Acid Triplet with number times it was found
NR1A	THR	ITD=36 VTD=34 TTD=2 ITG=1 ITY=1 VSN=1
NR1B	RAR	VTN=55 STN=2 VTA=1 ITN=1
NR1C	PPAR	KNF=47 KSF=43 KNY=8 KSY=4 RSF=1 KGF=1
		RNF=1
NR1D	Rev-ErbA	INF=28 MNF=9 INY=1
NR1E	Rev-Erb (homolog)	LNY=3
NR1F	ROR	TSF=19 TNF=10 VNF=7 SNF=2 TSL=1
NR1H	Liver X receptor	YMP=32 YMT=26 YMS=17 FMS=11 YTT=4 YMQ=1
NR1I	VDR-like	DNT=24 TQS=17 AQS=13 KTS=11 NNS=3 SNS=3
		IQS=1
NR1J		VTS=2
NR2A	HNF4	DKN=40
NR2B	RXR	RQE=83 RQD=2 RQV=1 KQE=1
NR2C	TR	HHC=12 HHS=7 HYC=3 THC=2 HDS=1
NR2D	NR2D	HHH=2
NR2E	TLX/PNR	THH=22 AHN=10 SRH=1
NR2F	COUP/EAR	HHR=38 HHP=1
NR3A	ER	NRK=56 NRR=40 SRR=5 HRK=1 TRR=1
NR3B	ERR	RRL=38 QRA=1
NR3C	3-Ketosteriod receptors	IRF=32 IRT=24 FRL=18 IRS=16 LRL=15 IRN=7
		AQE=1 VRL=1 IRM=1
NR4A	NGGIB/NURR1/NOR1	RRT=43 RRR=1
NR5A	SF1/LRH1	TQA=39 AQA=2 DQR=1 LHE=1 ASS=1 TLA=1
NR5B		STE=2
NR6A	GCNF	KQE=12 AQE=3 (Duplicate with NR2B and NR3C)

 Table 1.
 Mapping of amino acids found at MSA position (139,144,195).
 Sequence positions are located in the DBD and selected from 1334 NR uniprot sequences grouped by NRNC with the number of occurrences of that amino acid triplet. All amino acid triplets are unique to NR group except NR6A.

(Figure 5). MSA position 101 involved in the dimer interface is predicted to be co-evolving with MSA position 139. (2 [139:K:157:PPAR γ] 139 is the MSA position, K is the amino acid found at sequence position 157 in PPAR γ).

It has been shown that the 5' flanking region plays a role in binding affinity of PPAR α , PPAR β , and PPAR γ to 16 natural PPREs [Juge-Aubry et al., 1997]. It was also shown that against five-selected PPRE the PPAR α transcriptional activity was only slightly increased in the presence of ligand, whereas PPAR β , and PPAR γ showed significant increase in transcriptional activity with the addition of ligand [Juge-Aubry et al., 1997]. This would imply that the DNA binding affinity has a ligand effect on the LBD in PPAR α , but key mutations in the DBD of PPAR β , and PPAR γ mitigate this effect. Recently, NRREs differing by a single base pair were shown to be an allosteric ligand of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) [Meijsing et al., 2009].

A mutation at PPAR γ F347A(LBD) was shown to negatively affect PPRE binding and transcriptional activity [Chandra et al., 2008]. F347 is located in the third dimer interface between PPAR γ LBD and the N-terminal end of RXR α Helix c in the DBD. MSA position 195 is located in the C-terminal end of Helix c and mutations at this position could affect DNA binding as an allosteric ligand in the LBD based on interactions with mutations at (139,144) in the dimer interface.

The sequence position [195:F:182: PPAR γ] is not involved in the PPAR γ -RXR α (3DZU) DBD dimer, but it interacts with the 5' minor groove flanking the PPRE and presents a unique identifier to interact with DNA. It has been shown that the first two bases flanking the PPRE are important for PPAR-RXR binding [Ijpenberg et al., 1997]. MSA position 195 is generally unique for a specific NR group where 18 of the 20 amino acids can be found at this position in the MSA. The sequence positions [139:R:182:RXR α] and [144:Q:183:RXR α] not involved in the PPAR γ -RXR α (3DZU) dimer interface are located near the 3' flanking region of the RXRE.

In this study, we analyze sequence data from all nuclear receptors to detect co-evolving pairs using Mutual Information (MI), which can reveal functionally-important sequence positions throughout the superfamily. We have identified three such sequence positions affording high MI that have conserved sequence patterns across all nuclear receptors and subfamilies. Two of the sequence positions identified are located within the DBD CII and a third was detected within the DBD Helix c. These locations



Amino Acid Triplet	Nuclear Receptor
ITD	NR1A1 THRA $= 36$
VTD	NR1A2 THRB = 34
KNF	NR1C1 PPARA = 30
KNF	NR1C2 PPARD=16
KSF	NR1C3 PPARG=37
YMP	NR1H1 ECR=32
YMS	NR1H3 LXRA=15
YMS	NR1H2 LXRB=2
FMS	NR1H2 LXRB=11
YMT	NR1H4 FXR=17
YMT	NR1H5=8
DNT	NR1I1 VDR=24
TQS	NR1I3 CAR=17
AQS	NR1I3 CAR=13
KTS	NR1I2 PXR=11
HHC	NR2C1 TR2=12
HHS	NR2C2 TR4=7
THH	NR2E1 TLX=20
THH	NR2E4 DSF=2
AHN	NR2E3 PNR=10
NRK	NR3A1 ERA=45
NRK	NR3A2 ERB=10
NRR	NR3A2 ERB=40
IRT	NR3C1 G1=24
IRS	NR3C2 MR=16
IRF	NR3C3 PGR=32
FRL	NR3C4 AR=18
LRL	NR3C4 AR=15

Table 2. Selected mappings from Table 1 of amino acid triplets and number of occurrences from 1334 uniprot sequences found at MSA position (139,144,195) to corresponding NR. This table shows the degree that the amino acid triplet is conserved among the α , β , and γ form of each NR. It is also possible that original sequences when deposited where misclassified. LXRB has two occurrences of YMS and eleven occurrences of FMS where the YMS sequences could be LXRA, which further improves the triplet as a unique classifier of NR.

NR	MSA Positions (139,144,195)
VDR	(D71,N72,T96)
PPARγ	(K157,S158,F182)
RXRα	(R182,Q183,E207)

 Table 3.
 Mapping of MSA positions to indexes in individual NRs.
 MSA positions (139,144,195) because of inserts in the MSA and PDB offsets

 will map to different sequence positions in a canonical NR sequence or a representative PDB structure.
 PDB structure.

are components of the heterodimer interface between PPAR γ (CII) and RXR α (Helix c) based on PDB:3DZU. Helix c of PPAR γ , which is not involved in the dimer interface, binds the minor groove in the 5' flanking region in a consensus PPAR γ response element (PPRE) and the corresponding RXR α (CII) is found in the 3' flanking region of RXRE (3DZU). As these three sequence positions represent unique identifiers for all nuclear receptors and they are located within the dimer interface of PPAR γ -RXR α DBD (3DZU) interfacing with the flanking regions of the NRRE, we conclude they are critical sequence positions involved in DNA recognition and binding.

Future directions

The process by which NRs bind as palindromes, direct repeats and everted repeats to the same hexameric DNA core motif, 5'-PuGGTCA (Pu = A or G) is well understood. In practice, the NRREs are not ideal and contain complex



Figure 4. NR DBD dimer interface showing predicted co-evolving pairs in MSA (139,144,195). In each structure MSA 139 is blue, 144 is red and 195 is green. A) 3DZU PPARγ DBD Light Blue RXRα Dark Blue. B)1BY4 RXRα-RXRα-RXRα DBD. C) 1HLZ Rev-Erb-Rev-Erb DBD.

sequence patterns, which make it difficult for computational methods to accurately predict NRREs. We propose a possible mechanism where the 5' and 3' NRRE flanking region of a gene can interact with NRs DBD based on the NR-specific amino acids found at MSA positions (139,144,195). If the NRREs flanking regions do play an active role in transcription regulation, the DNA coding patterns could serve as important markers in the mapping of NRREs for specific NR complexes using computational methods. Recent differential HDX studies of intact VDR-RXR α heterodimer show that in the absence and presence of DNA, DNA binding alters the



Figure 5. 1HCQ estrogen receptor α DBD homodimer showing predicted co-evolving pairs in MSA (139,144,101). MSA position 139 is blue, 144 is red and 101 is orange where 101 is a predicted co-evolving pair with 139.

conformational dynamics of H3 in VDR, as well as the AF-2 surfaces of both receptors. This observation supports the notion that DNA can act as an allosteric NR ligand altering functional surfaces throughout the receptor (data not shown). To explore the ability of the NRRE flanking regions to perturb conformational dynamics within NRs, differential HDX studies can be performed on PPAR γ -RXR α and RXR α -VDR in the absence and presence of NRREs where the flanking regions have been mutated. If the flanking region of a NRRE based on a unique DNA pattern can differentially impact the conformational dynamics of Helix c and/or CII, this allows an individual gene to code for regulatory properties of its transcription. Using identified DNA patterns in the PPRE flanking regions, we can provide additional sequence patterns that can be used to predict genes that are regulated by PPAR and VDR.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary File 1: A file to cross reference uniprot gene names with popular name and NRNC assigned gene name.

Supplementary File 2: The MSA used in co-evolving pair analysis where PF00105.10 and PF00104.22 are joined by accession number. The XML file provides, when known, the genotype, uniprot gene name, common gene name and NRNC assigned gene name for each sequence.

Supplementary File 3: A mapping of amino acids found at MSA position (139,144) against (195) that select for specific NRs. The NRs are color coded to show the grouping patterns.

References

Atchley, W. R., Wollenberg, K. R., Fitch, W. M., Terhalle, W. and Dress, A. W. (2000) Correlations among amino acid sites in bHLH protein domains: an information theoretic analysis *Mol Biol Evol* **17**, 164-78. Chandra, V., Huang, P., Hamuro, Y., Raghuram, S., Wang, Y., Burris, T. P. and Rastinejad, F. (2008) Structure of the intact PPAR- γ -RXR- α nuclear receptor complex on DNA *Nature*, 350-356.

Chen, C. P., Chern, S. R., Wang, T. Y., Wang, W., Wang, K. L. and Jeng, C. J. (1999) Androgen receptor gene mutations in 46,XY females with germ cell tumours *Hum Reprod* **14**, 664-70.

Committee, N. R. N. (1999) A unified nomenclature system for the nuclear receptor superfamily *Cell* **97**, 161-3.

Desvergne, B. and Wahli, W. (1999) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: nuclear control of metabolism *Endocr Rev* **20**, 649-88.

Govindarajan, S., Ness, J. E., Kim, S., Mundorff, E. C., Minshull, J. and Gustafsson, C. (2003) Systematic variation of amino acid substitutions for stringent assessment of pairwise covariation *J Mol Biol* **328**, 1061-9.

Ijpenberg, A., Jeannin, E., Wahli, W. and Desvergne, B. (1997) Polarity and specific sequence requirements of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)/retinoid X receptor heterodimer binding to DNA. A functional analysis of the malic enzyme gene PPAR response element *J Biol Chem* **272**, 20108-17.

Juge-Aubry, C., Pernin, A., Favez, T., Burger, A. G., Wahli, W., Meier, C. A. and Desvergne, B. (1997) DNA binding properties of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor subtypes on various natural peroxisome proliferator response elements. Importance of the 5'-flanking region *J Biol Chem* **272**, 25252-9.

Martin, L. C., Gloor, G. B., Dunn, S. D. and Wahl, L. M. (2005) Using information theory to search for co-evolving residues in proteins *Bioinformatics* **21**, 4116-24.

Meijsing, S. H., Pufall, M. A., So, A. Y., Bates, D. L., Chen, L. and Yamamoto, K. R. (2009) DNA binding site sequence directs glucocorticoid receptor structure and activity *Science* **324**, 407-10.

Robinson-Rechavi, M., Escriva Garcia, H. and Laudet, V. (2003) The nuclear receptor superfamily *J Cell Sci* **116**, 585-6.

Shannon, C. E. (1948) A mathematical theory of communication *Bell Syst Tech J* 27, 379-423, 623-656.

Tilley, W. D., Buchanan, G., Hickey, T. E. and Bentel, J. M. (1996) Mutations in the androgen receptor gene are associated with progression of human prostate cancer to androgen independence *Clin Cancer Res* **2**, 277-85.



Tillier, E. R. and Lui, T. W. (2003) Using multiple interdependency to separate functional from phylogenetic correlations in protein alignments *Bioinformatics* **19**, 750-5.

Ueda, H., Sun, G. C., Murata, T. and Hirose, S. (1992) A novel DNA-binding motif abuts the zinc finger domain of insect nuclear hormone receptor FTZ-F1 and mouse embryonal long terminal repeat-binding protein *Mol Cell Biol* **12**, 5667-72.

Weidemann, W., Linck, B., Haupt, H., Mentrup, B., Romalo, G., Stockklauser, K., Brinkmann, A. O., Schweikert, H. U. and Spindler, K. D. (1996) Clinical and biochemical investigations and molecular analysis of subjects with mutations in the androgen receptor gene *Clin Endocrinol* (*Oxf*) **45**, 733-9.

Weidemann, W., Peters, B., Romalo, G., Spindler, K. D. and Schweikert, H. U. (1998) Response to androgen treatment in a patient with partial androgen insensitivity and a mutation in the deoxyribonucleic acid-binding domain of the androgen receptor *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **83**, 1173-6.

Wooster, R., Mangion, J., Eeles, R., Smith, S., Dowsett, M., Averill, D., Barrett-Lee, P., Easton, D. F., Ponder, B. A. and Stratton, M. R. (1992) A germline mutation in the androgen receptor gene in two brothers with breast cancer and Reifenstein syndrome *Nat Genet* **2**, 132-4.

Wurtz, J. M., Bourguet, W., Renaud, J. P., Vivat, V., Chambon, P., Moras, D. and Gronemeyer, H. (1996) A canonical structure for the ligand-binding domain of nuclear receptors *Nat Struct Biol* **3**, 87-94.