



Published in final edited form as:

Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2010 October ; 15(4): 467–479. doi:10.1177/1359104510377720.

A National Study of the Impact of Outpatient Mental Health Services for Children in Long Term Foster Care

Jennifer L. Bellamy, Ph.D.[Assistant Professor],

The School of Social Service Administration, The University of Chicago, 969 E. 60th Street, Chicago, IL 60637

Geetha Gopalan, LCSW, PhD[Post-Doctoral Fellow], and
Mount Sinai School of Medicine

Dorian E. Traube, Ph.D.[Assistant Professor]

School of Social Work, University of Southern California

Abstract

Despite the tremendous mental health need evidenced by children in foster care and high rates of use of mental health services among children in foster care, little is known about the impact of outpatient mental health services on the behavioral health of this population. This study utilizes data from the National Survey of Child and Adolescent Well-being (NSCAW), the first nationally representative study of child welfare in the United States. A subsample of 439 children who have experienced long term foster care were included in this study. These data were used to estimate the impact of outpatient mental health services on the externalizing and internalizing behavior problems of children in long term foster care. A propensity score matching model was employed to produce a robust estimate of the treatment effect. Results indicate that children who have experienced long term foster care do not benefit from the receipt of outpatient mental health services. Study results are discussed in the context of earlier research on the quality of mental health services for children in foster care.

Keywords

behavior problems; foster care; outpatient mental health services

Introduction

Children in foster care manifest notably higher rates of behavioral problems (Burns, et al., 2004) and greater use of mental health services as compared to youth in the general population (Farmer et al., 2001; Halfon, Berkowitz & Klee, 1992; Harman, Childs, & Kelleher, 2000; James, Landsverk, Slymen & Leslie, 2004). However, little is known about the actual impact of the mental health service provision for the population children in out-of-home care. As multiple factors other than mental health treatment have been linked to the behavioral health of children in foster care, measuring the unique impact of mental health services can be challenging. Consequently, this study aims to isolate the impact of outpatient mental health services from these factors and offer an estimate of the effect of providing

Correspondence to: Jennifer L. Bellamy.

Confidential:

Not to be cited or quoted without the written permission of the authors.

outpatient mental health services to children in foster care. To do so, this study utilizes data from the National Survey of Child and Adolescent Well-being (NSCAW), the first nationally representative study of child welfare in the United States. Please note that in this study we use the terms foster care and out-of-home care interchangeably.

Background and Significance

Mental health need, service use, and quality

As many as 80% of youth with active child welfare cases present with behavioral or emotional disorders, developmental delays, and other health and mental health problems (Farmer et al., 2001; Leslie, Gordon et al., 2005; Taussig, 2002). Nationally representative studies have identified that 47.9% of child welfare involved youth score in the clinical range on the Child Behavior Check List (CBCL) (Achenbach, 1991; Burns, et al, 2004). Maltreated youth involved in the child welfare system are 2.5 times more likely to have a mental health need as compared to children in the general population (Burns et al., 2004).

The rate of service use for this population further underscores their high level of need. Children in out-of-home foster care utilize mental health services at a rate five to eight times greater than children who live in poverty (Administration for Children and Families, 2005; Landsverk, et al.; 2002; Leslie, Hurlburt, James, et al., 2005; Stahmer, 2005). Moreover, children in foster care are more likely to receive mental health services than youth with child welfare contact who remain at home (Burns et al., 2004; Farmer et al., 2001; Garland, Landsverk, Hough, & Ellis- MacLeod, 1996; Harman, et al., 2000). The child welfare system has been characterized as a gateway to mental health services (Stiffman, Pescosolido & Cabassa, 2004) and entry into foster care appears to represent one of the largest keys to that gateway.

Despite the high need and the high rates of service use, little is actually known about the impact of these services on children's behavioral health outcomes. Studies of outpatient child mental health services in child welfare reveal that the intensity and quality of services provided to children in foster care varies widely (McKay, et al., 2004). Unfortunately, '... there is little empirical basis for the notion that a higher frequency of services invariably translates into improved outcome' (James, et al., 2004, p. 137). Coordination of care studies suggest that increased use of formal child mental health treatment does not translate into fewer behavioral or emotional difficulties (Bickman et al., 1995; Bickman, Summerfelt & Noser, 1997; Bickman, Lambert, Andrade & Penaloza, 2000). Furthermore, formal mental health services may not always be needed for children in foster care in spite of high rates of clinical need. For instance, many children with substantial mental health difficulties who do not receive services, both inside and outside of foster care, often improve without treatment (Burns, et al. 2004; Lambert & Bickman, 2004).

Factors linked to mental health services and behavior health

A host of factors, including child maltreatment, sociodemographic characteristics, placement stability, and placement type, have been frequently linked to both children's behavioral health and use of mental health services. We briefly explore each of these factors.

Maltreatment—The experience of maltreatment can lead to a host of mental health concerns for youth (Cicchetti & Toth, 2004; Kaplow & Widom, 2007; Leslie, Gordon et al., 2005; Springer, Sheridan, Kuo, & Carnes, 2007), and maltreatment is sometimes conceptualized as an indicator of mental health service need in and of itself (McMillen et al., 2004). Not surprisingly, research has linked more severe abuse to greater levels of emotional and behavioral problems among children (Blumberg et al., 1996; Burns et al., 2004; Garland

et al., 1996). Certain types of maltreatment, such as physical and sexual abuse, have also been associated with a greater mental health service use (Garland et al., 1996; Leslie et al., 2004). However, this association may not reflect an actual increase in mental health need related to these forms of maltreatment (Lambert & Bickman, 2004). Rather, mental health service use may reflect the perception that certain forms of maltreatment, such as physical and sexual abuse, result in relatively more harm than other forms of maltreatment (Garland et al., 1996). If caseworkers and caregivers perceive certain forms of abuse to be more harmful by nature, then children who have a history may be more likely to be referred to mental health services regardless of clinical need.

Sociodemographics—The sociodemographic characteristics associated with differences in mental health status among children involved in the child welfare system include gender, age, and low income (Armsden, Pecora, Payne, & Szatkiewicz, 2000; Burns et al., 2004; Burns, Costello, Angold & Tweed, 1995; Stein, Evans, Mazumdar, & Rae-Grant, 1996). For example, older children frequently display greater mental health need than young children (e.g. Administration for Children and Families, 2005; Burns et al., 2004; Leslie, et al., 2004; Raghavan, et al., 2005). Background characteristics such as child gender, having a parent with a criminal history, and low-income have been found to be stronger predictors of mental health need than entry into out-of-home care (Stein et al., 1996). Some of these sociodemographic characteristics have also been linked to access to care. For example, a number of studies provide evidence of racial/ethnic disparities in use of mental health services (e.g. Garland, Landsverk, & Lau, 2003).

Caregivers and caseworkers—Arguably two of the most important adults for children in foster care are foster caregivers and foster care caseworkers. Foster caregivers and caseworkers can, and do, intervene when children are struggling with behavioral problems (Leathers, et al., 2009; Rhodes, Orme, & Buehler, 2001). These adults do not provide specialized mental health services, but do facilitate access to mental health care (Brannan, Heflinger & Foster, 2003). For example, if caseworkers accurately perceive that a child is in need of mental health services, that caseworker is more likely to serve as a gateway provider and facilitate mental health services for the child (Carise & Gurel, 2003; Stiffman, Pescosolido, & Cabassa, 2004).

For children in out-of-home care, stability has also been consistently associated with children's behavioral health. Children who have behavioral problems, particularly externalizing behavior difficulties, are more likely to experience placement disruptions. Likewise, placement disruptions can lead to increased emotional and behavioral symptoms among youth not previously exhibiting such problems (Newton, Litrownik & Landsverk, 2000),

The type of out-of-home care a child experiences can also have an impact on both need for and use of mental health services. For example, children who are placed with kinship caregivers use fewer mental health services (James et al., 2004; Leslie, Landsverk, Ezzet-Loffstrom, Tschann, Slymen & Garland, 2000). However, it is difficult to say if this relationship between kin placement and relatively low service utilization is due to less need for mental health services, or differences in help-seeking by kin versus non-kin caregivers. Kin caregivers in the United States tend to be less educated (Cuddeback, 2004), and caregiver education is linked to increased service use (Zima, Bussing, Yang & Belin, 2000). Also, children in kin placements experience more stability and fewer placements. Given that placement disruption has been consistently linked to children's behavior problems (Cuddeback, 2004; Newton et al., 2000), children in kinship care are likely to have less mental health need compared to children in non-kinship placements.

Isolating the impact of outpatient service use

One challenge related to isolating the impact of outpatient mental health services for children who have experienced long term foster care are the multitude of factors described above that can relate to both need for and access to service. The purpose of this study is to explicitly account for these important confounding factors and produce an estimate of the effect of outpatient mental health services on the behavioral health of children in long term foster care in the United States. To do so, we employ propensity score matching, an analog to a randomized controlled trial using observational data, to estimate this effect.

Methods

Sample

Data for this study were drawn from the long term foster care subsample of the National Survey of Child and Adolescent Well Being (NSCAW). The NSCAW sample of children was selected using a two-stage combined stratification and cluster design. In the first stage, the US was divided into nine strata. The majority of children served by the US child welfare system reside in eight states, which constituted the first eight strata. The ninth and final stratum consisted of the remaining 42 states and the District of Columbia. Within each stratum individual areas served by a single Child Protective Service (CPS) agency constituted the primary sampling units (PSUs). The PSU sampling frame included all service areas with approximately 60 or more cases per year. The smaller service areas that were not included in the sampling frame constituted about 3% of all cases nationally. One hundred PSUs were randomly selected from each stratum using a probability-proportionate-to-size procedure. Of the 100 PSUs selected, eight were considered ineligible because they were in states requiring first contact with the target child's caregiver to be made by a CPS worker, rather than an NSCAW field representative.

The NSCAW data include a sub-sample of children who experienced long term foster care. The primary study eligibility requirements for the long term foster care sample were: 1) out-of-home care for approximately 12 months at the time of sampling, 2) placement into out-of-home care preceded by an investigation of child maltreatment or a period of in-home services and, 3) out-of-home care at the time the sampling frame was produced. Only one child per household was included in the frame for sample selection. Eligible children were randomly sampled from children placed into care between July 1998 and February 1999. Therefore, children in this study had been in care somewhere between 8 and 18 months at sampling. This final sample was weighted, and these weights reflect both the probability of the PSU and the child's selection. Data for this study include the first three waves of the study collected at baseline, as well as at the 9-month and 18-month follow-ups.

Not all of the 727 children in the long term foster care sample of the NSCAW were included in the present study. Children under the age of 2 were excluded from the sample because of the lack of an appropriate measure of behavior problems for very young children. Also dropped from analyses were children who were no longer in foster care at the time that the baseline data were collected. Among children in out-of-home care, those who are placed in group care or other residential treatment centers generally have the highest rates of behavior problems (Administration for Children and Families, 2005). Typically, these placements are highly structured in nature and often utilize a number of behavioral interventions within the care setting. Because of the unique nature of these placements that integrate mental health services, and the particularly high rate of need among these children, youth in group care were also excluded from this study.

Measures

Outcomes—The outcomes for this study included externalizing and internalizing behavior problems as measured using Achenbach's (1991) Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) at 18-month follow-up. The CBCL was completed by the child's current caregiver. The CBCL has been used frequently throughout research on similar populations in both foster care and mental health studies, with well-established reliability and validity (Leslie et al., 2000; Noser & Bickman, 2000). The standardized scores of the CBCL measured at baseline were also used to control for existing behavior problems.

Treatment—Use of mental health services was measured using an adapted version of the Child and Adolescent Services Assessment (CASA), which appears to have good concurrent validity for outpatient services ($K=.81$) when compared to administrative reports of service use (Ascher, Farmer, Burns & Angold, 1996; Farmer, Angold, Burns & Costello, 1994). The CASA captures service use across 31 settings, including outpatient mental health services. Outpatient mental health services included day or partial hospitalization, outpatient drug or alcohol clinics, mental health centers, community health centers, crisis centers, and private professional treatment. The current study utilized caregiver reports of service use. Children whose caregivers reported three or more outpatient mental health service visits were considered to have used outpatient mental health services.

Covariates—Covariates measured at baseline and used in this study included: 1) baseline behavioral problems; 2) caseworker's perceived need for care; 3) sociodemographic characteristics; 3) foster caregiver's report of their educational level; 4) maltreatment harm/severity; 5) placement type (kin or non-kin care); 6) the number of days that the child had been living in his or her current placement as reported by the caregiver as a proxy for placement stability; 7) type of maltreatment.

Children's sociodemographic variables for the analyses included the child's race/ethnicity, gender, and age. These variables were derived from administrative data, caregiver, and child self-reports. As a proxy measure for poverty, the current study utilized caregivers' reports of current household receipt of government assistance, including WIC (Women Infants and Children), TANF (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families), or food stamps.

Caseworkers reported the type of maltreatment experienced by the child using a modified version of the Maltreatment Classification Scale (Manly, Cicchetti, & Barnett, 1994). The most severe form of maltreatment reported was used in this study and collapsed into four categories: sexual abuse, physical abuse, neglect, and other forms of maltreatment. The caseworker's perception of the degree of harm experienced by the child as a result of maltreatment was employed as a measure of the severity of the children's maltreatment experience. Caseworkers responded to the following question, 'Regardless of the outcome of the investigation, how would you describe the level of harm to [the child]?' and rated the severity on a scale ranging from 1 'None' to 4 'Severe'.

Analyses

Analyses for the current study utilized Stata Statistical Software Release 10 (StataCorp, 2007). Stata's survey commands accounted for the NSCAW's sampling and weighting strategy. Multiple imputation (MI) was employed in the current study to address missing data. In simulation studies, MI generally outperforms other approaches, such as listwise deletion and setting missing values to the mean, each of which can lead to bias and false identification significant differences (Croy & Novins, 2005). The MI technique was developed based on the seminal work of Rubin (1987) (for a recent and accessible

discussion regarding the use of multiple imputation and other methods for missing variables see work by Croy & Novins, 2005).

MI is performed by creating multiple databases based on observed values. In the current study five fully imputed databases were created. Analyses were performed separately in each imputed dataset, and the final point estimates reported in the results are a statistical average of the results of analyses carried out with each of the datasets individually. Standard errors are calculated using an (Analysis of Variance) ANOVA-like formula that accounts for both sampling variation within modeled datasets as well as variability among datasets that reflects the models' uncertainty. The current study utilized Royston's (2004) MICE (multiple imputation by chained equations) procedure to impute each of the datasets. This procedure employs switching regression, an iterative multivariable regression technique. UVIS (univariate imputation sampling) is called multiple times by MICE to impute missing values for each specified variable based on a multiple regression model using specified predictors. Micombine commands are then used to produce model estimates incorporating the ANOVA-like procedure to produce reasonable standard errors.

The variables with the most missing data included number of mental health outpatient visits (20.73%), caseworkers' assessment of whether or not the child needed mental health services (8.66%) and the caseworkers' assessment of the level of harm from maltreatment experienced by the child (8.66%). All variables included in the analysis with missing data were imputed.

We used a propensity score matching technique to estimate the effect of outpatient mental health services on internalizing and externalizing problems at 18-month follow-up. Propensity scores are used to produce an estimate of the effect of a treatment by creating a comparison group matched on potentially confounding covariates. These covariates must either be measured before the treatment, or arguably be unaffected by the treatment. In this study, the treatment is the receipt of outpatient mental health services. The first step of analysis included the use of baseline covariates to create balanced groups that are highly similar on all variables except in that the treatment group received outpatient mental health services and the comparison group did not.

Stata's `psmatch2` command was used to create the balanced groups. If good balance is achieved on all covariates, then any differences between the two groups can arguably be attributed to the effect of treatment. Balance was first achieved by using the first imputation to construct an optimal model. Multiple propensity score models were tested for balance before the final model, which evidenced the best overall balance, was used to estimate the treatment effect. The final propensity score matching model was applied to each of the imputations and weights were created in each to reflect the number of times each observation was matched in the final model using Stata's `micombine` command. We addressed further remaining imbalance between matched groups by using additional covariance adjustment to produce the estimated treatment effect in the final model.

Results

The unweighted sample characteristics of the children included in this study are presented in Table 1. Although the mean behavioral problems score on the CBCL falls below the clinical level for this sample, caseworkers indicated that they believed that over half of the children are in need of mental health services. However, only about a quarter of the children received outpatient mental health services over the course of the 18 months of the study.

The balance statistics for the propensity score matching model are presented in Table 2. The 'unmatched' means and percentages presented in this table represent the characteristics of

the sample when those who have received outpatient mental health services are simply compared to those who have not received outpatient mental health services without matching. For example, before matching, children who received outpatient mental health services had a mean baseline externalizing problems CBCL score of 60.55 and those children who did not receive services had a mean score of 57.26. After matching the groups using the propensity scores the groups are more similar with scores of 60.55 versus 59.37 respectively. In some cases balance was greatly improved for the covariates including caseworker's perception of need, sexual abuse history, and days in current placement. Overall, good balance was achieved in most of the covariates. Only the 'other maltreatment' (i.e., children who suffered maltreatment that could not be classified as physical abuse, sexual abuse, or neglect) covariate approached a statistically significant difference between the treatment and control group.

Table 3 presents the final propensity score model estimate with additional covariance adjustment. Use of outpatient mental health services had no statistically significant impact on either externalizing or internalizing behavior problems. The only covariate that was statistically significant in the model despite the balance between the two groups was the baseline externalizing and internalizing standardized scores for the externalizing and internalizing models respectively. Each of the baseline scores were significant at the $p \leq .000$ level in predicting outcomes at 18-month follow-up.

Discussion and Conclusion

The findings of this study suggests that outpatient mental health services provided to children who have experienced long term foster care in the United States, do not result in any improvement in children's behavioral health. In reality, little is known about the type and quality of mental health services child welfare involved youth receive nationwide. The few studies that do exist suggest that children are not receiving outpatient mental health services proven to be effective in reducing children's behavior problems (Kolko, 2006; McKay, et al., 2004). Instead, youth frequently receive untested treatments with questionable effectiveness. Unfortunately, this issue pervades not only in child welfare and foster care, but the across the larger child and adolescent mental health system as well. Despite an increasing number of evidence-based interventions with demonstrated efficacy in reducing behavioral problems among child welfare populations, many of these interventions have not been widely implemented in practice (Burns, 2003; Garland, Hawley, Brookman-Frazee, Hurlburt, 2008; Hoagwood, Burns, Kiser, Ringeisen, Schoenwald, 2001; Kazdin, 2004). Given the tremendous mental health need of foster children and the volume of services purchased by the child welfare agencies, current policy and practice efforts must focus on ensuring that child welfare involved youth have access to effective mental health interventions.

The strengths of this study include the use of a nationally representative sample of children who have experienced long term foster care. We also made a systematic effort to parse out the effect of receiving outpatient mental health services from key confounding covariates by using propensity score matching. Propensity score matching is a useful method for estimating the impact of services, like outpatient mental health use, which cannot be easily tested through randomized controlled trials. The model is preferable over traditional regression analyses because of it provides an opportunity to critically examine and improve the comparability of the treatment and control groups by using diagnostic statistics before estimating the treatment effect. It is also relatively robust in estimating treatment effects even when the propensity score matching model is misspecified (Drake, 1993).

Limitations for the current study are also acknowledged. If the matched groups differ from one another on important covariates, then the assumptions that underlie the propensity score matching technique may be compromised. The two groups should be arguably equal with the exception of one factor: whether or not they received the outpatient mental health services. Using the balance statistics as a diagnostic tool, there may have been a balance problem on the type of maltreatment. The difference between the treatment and control groups neared statistical significance on this covariate. The children who received outpatient treatment were more likely to have experienced some form of maltreatment that could not be classified as physical abuse, sexual abuse, or neglect. Some examples of these other forms of maltreatment include emotional maltreatment and exploitation. Despite some imbalance, overall, relatively good balance on key covariates that have consistently been linked to children's use of mental health services and behavioral health outcomes was achieved in this study including baseline behavioral health and placement stability. In addition, the use of additional covariance adjustment further addresses any remaining imbalance between the treatment and control groups.

As with many large scale datasets, there is often a trade off between the breadth and the depth of measures that are collected. In this case there are no measures of certain key indicators related to the quality and duration of service use. Some children may access high quality outpatient services, while others do not. Similarly we cannot pinpoint the exact timing of the outpatient mental health treatment across the 18 months of the study. Some children may have begun service use sometime between baseline and follow-up, while others were continuing ongoing service use. Still others may have ended and began service in the same time frame. However, by defining treatment as having at least 3 outpatient mental health visits, we have some protection against including children who have only just begun outpatient mental health services, or had only a single visit.

Limitations notwithstanding, the current study provides does provide evidence that that children in long term foster care are not receiving adequate mental health prevention and intervention services. As previous studies have demonstrated, children in long term foster care have greater mental health service needs, and greater mental health service utilization (Burns et al., 2004; Farmer et al., 2001; Halfon, Berkowitz & Klee, 1992; Harman, Childs, & Kelleher, 2000; James, Landsverk, Slymen & Leslie, 2004) than children in the general population. Yet, the quality of these services has been frequently documented as ineffective. This may be due to various mental health service delivery problems ranging from poor client engagement to lax intervention fidelity (Kolko, 2006; McKay, et al., 2004). Future research regarding outpatient mental health service use among children in out-of-home care would be strengthened by the inclusion of more detailed information regarding the timing and nature of service use. In particular, research is needed to guide the implementation and maintenance of high quality mental health services for children in foster care. As evidence-based interventions increasingly permeate throughout the mental health system, special attention should be paid to the effectiveness of treatments for children in out-of-home care as these children represent one of the largest and most vulnerable populations served by the mental health care system.

Acknowledgments

The preparation of this article was supported in part by the International Order of the Odd Fellows' First National Christine Smith Scholarship for Research in Child and Family Services, the Center for the Study of Social Work Practice, and The New York Foundling Vincent J. Fontana Center for Child Protection.

References

- Achenbach, TM. Integrative Guide for the 1991 CBCL14–18, YSR, and TRF Profiles. Burlington: University of Vermont, Department of Psychiatry; 1991.
- Ascher BH, Farmer EMZ, Burns BJ, Angold A. The Child and Adolescent Services Assessment (CASA): Description and Psychometrics. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders* 1996;4:12–20.
- Administration for Children & Families [ACF]. CPS sample component wave 1 data analysis report. Administration for Children & Families; 2005.
- Armsden G, Pecora PJ, Payne VH, Szatkiewicz JP. Children placed in long-term foster care: An intake profile using the child behavior checklist/4–18. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders* 2000;8:49–64.
- Bickman, L.; Guthrie, P.; Foster, EM.; Lambert, EW.; Summerfelt, WT.; Breda, C.; Heflinger, CA. Evaluating Managed Mental Health Services: The Fort Bragg Experiment. New York, NY: Plenum Publishing; 1995.
- Bickman LE, Lambert W, Andrade AR, Penaloza RV. The Fort Bragg continuum of care for children and adolescents: Mental health outcomes over 5 years. *Journal of Counseling and Clinical Psychology* 2000;68(4):710–716.
- Bickman L, Summerfelt WT, Noser K. Comparative outcomes of emotionally disturbed children and adolescents in a system of services and usual care. *Psychiatric Services* 1997;48(12):1543–1548. [PubMed: 9406261]
- Blumberg E, Landsverk J, Ellis-McLeod E, Ganger W, Culver S. Use of the public mental health system by children in foster care: Client characteristics and service use patterns. *Journal of Health Administration* 1996;23(4):389–405.
- Brannan AM, Heflinger CA, Foster EM. The role of caregiver strain and other family variables in determining children's use of mental health services. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders* 2003;11(2):77–91.
- Burns B. Children and evidence-based practice. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America* 2003;26:955–970. [PubMed: 14711130]
- Burns BJ, Costello EJ, Angold A, Tweed D, Stangl D, Farmer EM, Erkanli A. Children's mental health service use across service sectors. *Health Affairs* 1995;14(3):147–160. [PubMed: 7498888]
- Burns BJ, Phillips SD, Wagner HR, Barth RP, Kilko DJ, Campbell Y, Landsverk J. Mental Health Need and Access to Mental Health Services by Youths Involved with Child Welfare: A National Survey. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 2004;43:960–970.
- Carise, D.; Gurel, O. Benefits of integrating technology with treatment: The DENS Project. In: Sorenson, J.; Rawson, R.; Guydish, J.; Zweben, J., editors. *Drug abuse treatment through collaboration: Practice and research partnerships at work*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2003. p. 181–195.
- Cicchetti D, Toth SL. Child maltreatment. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* 2004;1:409–438.
- Croy CD, Novins DK. Methods for addressing missing data in psychiatric and developmental research. *Journal of the American Academy of Adolescent and Child Psychiatry* 2005;44(12):1230–1240.
- Cuddeback GS. Kinship family foster care: A methodological and substantive synthesis of research. *Children and Youth Services Review* 2004;26:623–639.
- Drake. Effects of misspecification of the propensity score on estimators of treatment effect. *Biometrics* 1993;49(4):1231–1236.
- Farmer EMZ, Angold A, Burns BJ, Costello EJ. Reliability of self-reported service use: Test-retest consistency of children's responses to the Child and Adolescent Services Assessment (CASA). *Journal of Child and Family Studies* 1994;3:307–325.
- Farmer EMZ, Burns BJ, Chapman MV, Philips SD, Angold A, Costello EJ. Use of mental health services by youth in contact with social services. *Social Service Review* 2001:605–735.
- Garland AF, Hawley KM, Brookman-Frazee L, Hurlburt MS. Identifying Common Elements of Evidence-Based Psychosocial Treatments for Children's Disruptive Behavior Problems. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 2008;47:505–514. [PubMed: 18356768]

- Garland AF, Landsverk JA, Lau AS. Racial/ethnic disparities in mental health service use among children in foster care. *Child and Youth Services Review* 2003;25:491–507.
- Garland AF, Landsverk JL, Hough RL, Ellis-MacLeod E. Type of maltreatment as a predictor of mental health service use for children in foster care. *Child Abuse and Neglect* 1996;20:675–688. [PubMed: 8866114]
- Halfon N, Berkowitz G, Klee L. Mental health service utilization by children in foster care in California. *Pediatrics* 1992;89:1238–1244. [PubMed: 1594382]
- Harman JS, Childs GE, Kelleher KJ. Mental health care utilization and expenditures by children in foster care. *Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine* 2000;154:1114–1117.
- Hoagwood K, Burns BJ, Kiser L, Ringeisen H, Schoenwald SJ. Evidence-Based Practice in Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services. *Psychiatric Services* 2001;52:1179–1189. [PubMed: 11533391]
- James S, Landsverk J, Slymen DJ, Leslie LK. Predictors of outpatient mental health service use- Role of foster care placement change. *Mental Health Services Research* 2004;6:127–141. [PubMed: 15473100]
- Lambert W, Bickman L. The “clock-setting” cure: How children’s symptoms might improve after ineffective treatment. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 2004;55(4):381–382.
- Landsverk, J.; Garland, AF.; Leslie, LK. Mental health services for children reported to child protective services. In: Myers, JEB.; Hendrix, CT.; Berliner, L.; Jenny, C.; Briere, J.; Reid, T., editors. *The APSAC Handbook on Child Maltreatment*. 2nd ed.. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc; 2002. p. 487-507.
- Leathers SJ, Atkins MS, Spielfogel JE, McMeel LS, Wesley JM, Davis R. Context-specific mental health services for children in foster care. *Children Youth Services Review*. 2009
- Leslie LK, Gordon JN, Meneken L, Premji K, Michelmore KL, Ganger W. The physical, developmental, and mental health needs of young children in child welfare by initial placement type. *Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics* 2005;26(3):177–185.
- Leslie LK, Hurlburt MS, James S, Landsverk J, Slymen D, Zhang J. Relationship between entry into child welfare and mental health service use. *Psychiatric Services* 2005;56:981–987. [PubMed: 16088016]
- Leslie LK, Hurlburt MS, Landsverk J, Barth RP, Slymen DJ. Outpatient mental health services for children in foster care: A national perspective. *Child Abuse and Neglect* 2004;28:697–712.
- Leslie LK, Landsverk J, Ezzet-Loffstrom R, Tschann JM, Slymen DJ, Garland AF. Children in foster care: factors influencing outpatient mental health service use. *Child Abuse & Neglect* 2000;24(4): 465–476. [PubMed: 10798837]
- Kaplow JB, Widom CS. Age of onset of child maltreatment predicts long-term mental health outcomes. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 2007;116(1):176–187. [PubMed: 17324028]
- Kazdin A. Evidence-based treatments: challenges and priorities for practice and research. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America* 2004;13:923–940. [PubMed: 15380789]
- Kolko DJ. Commentary: Studying Usual Care in Child and Adolescent Therapy: It's Anything but Routine. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice* 2006;13:47–52.
- Manly JT, Cicchetti D, Barnett D. The impact of subtype, frequency, chronicity, and severity of child maltreatment on social competence and behavior problems. *Development and Psychology* 1994;6:121–143.
- McKay MM, Hibbert R, Hoagwood K, Rodriguez J, Murray L, Legerksi J, Fernandez D. Integrating Evidence-Based Engagement Interventions Into “Real World” Child Mental Health Settings. *Brief Treatment and Crisis Intervention* 2004;4:177–186.
- McMillen JC, Zima B, Scott L, Ollie M, Munson MR, Spitznagel E. The mental health service use of older youth in foster care. *Psychiatric Services* 2004;55(7):811–817. [PubMed: 15232022]
- Newton RR, Litrownik AJ, Landsverk JA. Children and youth in foster care: Disentangling the relationship between problem behaviors and number of placements. *Child Abuse & Neglect* 2000;24(10):1363–1374. [PubMed: 11075702]
- Noser K, Bickman L. Quality indicators of children’s mental health services: Do they predict improved client outcomes? *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders* 2000;8(1):9–26.

- Raghavan R, Zima BT, Andersen RM, Leibowitz AA, Schuster MA, Landsverk J. Psychotropic Medication Use in a National Probability Sample of Children in the Child Welfare System. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology* 2005;15:97–106. [PubMed: 15741791]
- Rhodes KW, Orme JG, Buehler C. A comparison of family foster parents who quit, consider quitting, and plan to continue fostering. *Social Service Review* 2001;75(1):84–114.
- Royston P. Multiple imputation of missing values. *Stata Journal* 2004;4:227–241.
- Rubin, DB. *Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys*. New York: Wiley; 1987.
- Springer KW, Sheridan J, Kuo D, Carnes M. Long term physical and mental health consequences of childhood physical abuse: Results from a large population-based sample of men and women. *Child Abuse & Neglect* 2007;31(5):517–530. [PubMed: 17532465]
- StataCorp. *Stata Statistical Software: Release. Vol. 10*. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP; 2007.
- Stahmer AC, Leslie LK, Hurlburt M, Barth RP, Webb MB, Landsverk J, Zhang J. Developmental and Behavioral Needs and Service Use for Young Children in Child Welfare. *Pediatrics* 2005;116:890–900.
- Stein E, Evans B, Mazumdar R, Rae-Grant NI. The Mental Health of Children in Foster Care: A Comparison with Community and Clinical Samples. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry* 1996;41(6): 385–391.
- Stiffman AR, Pescosolido B, Cabassa LJ. Building a Model to Understand Youth Service Access: The Gateway Provider Model. *Mental Health Services Research* 2004;6:189–198. [PubMed: 15588030]
- Taussig HN. Risk behaviors in maltreated youth placed in foster care: a longitudinal study of protective and vulnerability factors. *Child Abuse and Neglect* 2002;26:1179–1199. [PubMed: 12398855]
- Zima BT, Bussing R, Yang X, Belin TR. Help-seeking steps and service use for children in foster care. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research* 2000;27(3):271–285.

Table 1

Unweighted Sample Characteristics (n=439)

	n	Percent or Mean	SE or SD
Treatment			
*Outpatient Mental Health Use	114	.26	.03
Baseline Covariates			
*Externalizing CBCL Scores Baseline		57.40	1.21
*Internalizing CBCL Score Baseline		54.03	1.37
*Caseworker Perceived Need for Care	246	.56	.03
Sociodemographic Characteristics			
Child Age		7.62	.27
Child Gender (Male=1)	228	.52	.05
Child Race/Ethnicity			
Black	180	.41	.05
White	154	.35	.05
Hispanic	75	.17	.03
Other	31	.07	.05
*Government Support	171	.39	.03
Maltreatment			
Physical Abuse	26	.06	.01
Sexual Abuse	31	.07	.02
Neglect	250	.57	.04
Other	132	.30	.03
Level of Harm		3.20	.08
Foster Care			
Kin care	162	.37	.04
Days in Current Placement		597.63	48.29
*Caregiver High School Education	373	.85	.03

* For those values with missing data where multiple imputation was employed, the number of children in each category is an estimate derived from the model predicted percentage.

Table 2
Propensity Score Matching Balance Statistics (n=120 treatment, n=92 comparison)

Covariate	Percent or Mean		Covariate	Percent or Mean	
	Treated	Control		Treated	Control
Externalizing Problems					
Unmatched	60.55	57.26	Physical Abuse		
Matched	60.55	59.37	Unmatched	.13	.14
Internalizing Problem			Matched	.13	.12
Unmatched	57.47	54.36	Sexual Abuse		
Matched	57.47	55.59	Unmatched	.11	.04
Caseworker Perceived Need			Matched	.11	.12
Unmatched	.64	.51	Neglect		
Matched	.64	.64	Unmatched	.46	.55
Age			Matched	.46	.56
Unmatched	8.46	7.16	Other Maltreatment		
Matched	8.46	9.16	Unmatched	.31	.27
Male			Matched	.31	.21
Unmatched	.49	.51	Level of Harm		
Matched	.49	.41	Unmatched	3.16	3.24
Black			Matched	3.16	3.23
Unmatched	.43	.46	Days in Current Placement		
Matched	.43	.42	Unmatched	512.60	540.94
Hispanic			Matched	512.60	527.70
Unmatched	.18	.16	Kin Caregiver		
Matched	.18	.11	Unmatched	.33	.33
Other			Matched	.33	.29
Unmatched	.43	.46	Caregiver High School Education		
Matched	.43	.42	Unmatched	.85	.86
White			Matched	.85	.85
Unmatched	.32	.32	Caregiver Income Support		
Matched	.32	.39	Unmatched	.38	.46
			Matched	.38	.42

◦ Nearing Statistical Significance $p \leq .10$

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 3
 Effect of Outpatient Mental Health on Behavior Problems at 18-Month Follow-Up Propensity Score Matching Model Estimate with Covariance Adjustment (n=212)

	Externalizing		Internalizing	
	b	SE	b	SE
Treatment	2.85	2.25	1.87	1.93
Outpatient Mental Health Use				
Baseline Covariates				
Externalizing CBCL Scores Baseline	.51	*** .09	-.05	.12
Internalizing CBCL Score Baseline	.00	.09	.52	*** .11
Caseworker Perceived Need for Care	.17	1.95	2.79	2.24
Child Black	.15	3.18	1.50	3.20
Child Hispanic	-1.42	3.48	-1.60	3.83
Child White	2.18	3.34	3.02	3.65
Child Gender (Male=1)	-1.42	1.68	-1.12	1.82
Child Age	.24	.22	-.08	.28
Government Support	.34	2.01	-1.12	2.74
Caregiver High School Education	1.87	2.33	1.63	2.62
Maltreatment Harm	-.60	1.00	-.06	1.23
Kin care	-2.23	1.71	2.58	1.84
Days in Current Placement	.00	.00	.00	.00
Physical Abuse	4.67	2.88	1.49	3.45
Sexual Abuse	-.01	3.69	3.13	4.27
Neglect	2.44	2.34	2.90	2.77
Constant	24.40	8.42	21.71	9.05

Child other race/ethnicity and other form of maltreatment are the reference groups.

*** p<=.001 level