

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Int J Dev Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 March 7.

Published in final edited form as: Int J Dev Biol. 1998 ; 42(7): 1051–1065.

A systematic molecular genetic approach to study mammalian germline development

Kuniya Abe¹, Minoru S. H. Ko², and Grant R. MacGregor^{3,*}

¹Institute of Molecular Embryology and Genetics, Kumamoto University School of Medicine, Kumamoto, Japan

²Center for Molecular Medicine and Genetics, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit MI

³Center for Molecular Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA

Abstract

It is difficult to study gene expression in mammalian embryonic germ cells as PGCs constitute only a minor proportion of the mouse embryo. We have overcome this problem by using a novel combination of established molecular and transgenic approaches. A line of mice has been generated in which the cells of the germ lineage express the β -galactosidase reporter gene during embryogenesis. Using this line, germ cells have been purified to near homogeneity from embryos at discrete stages during germline development by use of a stain for β -gal activity and a fluorescence activated cell sorter. Subsequently, cDNA libraries have been constructed from each germ cell population using a modified lone-linker PCR strategy. These combined cDNA libraries represent genes expressed in PGCs during mammalian germline development. To facilitate a molecular genetic approach to studying mammalian germline development, these cDNA libraries will be pooled to form an arrayed, addressed reference embryonic germ cell cDNA library. In parallel with large-scale cDNA sequencing efforts, genes that are differentially expressed in germ cells will be identified by screening the reference library with probes generated by subtractive hybridization. Complementary DNAs identified using this approach will be analyzed by sequencing, database comparison, genomic mapping and in situ hybridization to ascertain the potential functional importance of each gene to germline development. In addition to providing a wealth of novel information regarding patterns of gene expression during mammalian germline development, these results will form the basis for future experiments to determine the function of these genes in this process.

Keywords

germline; embryogenesis; mammalian; molecular genetic

Introduction

Development of the germline is essential to the survival of a species. The primordial germ cells (PGCs) formed during mammalian embryogenesis ultimately give rise, in the male, to spermatogonia, the only self-renewing cell type in an adult capable of making a genetic

[©] UBC Press

^{*}Address for reprints: Center for Molecular Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, 1462 Clifton Road, NE, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA. FAX: (404) 727.8367. gmacg@bimcore.emory.edu.

contribution to the next generation. Several important advances have recently been made in the ability to cryopreserve (Avarbock *et al.*, 1996) and transplant (Brinster and Avarbock, 1994; Brinster and Zimmermann, 1994; Russell *et al.*, 1996) this stem cell population to a host testis. Remarkably, apparently normal spermatogenesis can be generated following spermatogonial transplantation to testes of xenobiotic species (Clouthier *et al.*, 1996; Russell and Brinster, 1996). Thus, contemporary mammalian germ cell research is of importance to both basic and applied biological science. However, despite this fact, our knowledge of the molecular basis for germline development during mammalian embryogenesis is relatively poor (Eddy and Hahnel, 1983; McLaren and Wylie, 1983; Snow and Monk, 1983; De Felici *et al.*, 1992; McCarrey, 1993; Wylie and Heasman, 1993; McLaren, 1994).

In this review we give a brief summary of the biology of germline formation during mouse embryonic development including current experimental approaches to identify genes whose function is required for this process. We conclude by describing a novel approach we have developed to facilitate a systematic molecular genetic study of mammalian germline development.

Origin and development of the mammalian germ lineage

Germline formation in the mouse

Most of our current knowledge about the embryological origin and genetic basis for mammalian germline development has come from studies using the mouse. Cell transplantation or cell marking approaches have been used to assess the developmental potency of cells from the early mouse embryo. Results of these studies have provided insight about the location and time of formation of the mammalian germ lineage. Analysis of potency of cells from the pre- and early post-implantation embryo indicated that while the descendants of these cells can contribute to the germline, they do not do so exclusively (Gardner *et al.*, 1985; Gardner and Beddington, 1988). Results of fate-mapping experiments involving labeling of individual cells from the proximal epiblast of egg-cylinder stage embryos indicated that the descendant of these cells could contribute to both somatic and germ lineages (Lawson and Hage, 1994). Significantly, distal epiblast cells of an egg-cylinder stage embryo could also contribute to the germline upon grafting to a proximal epiblast location although the converse was not true (Tam and Zhou, 1996). Collectively, these results support the theory that the murine germline is not determined before gastrulation.

One of the difficulties in studying germline development in mammals concerns the paucity of markers specific for this lineage. In the mouse, PGCs can first be distinguished from somatic cells on the basis of their relatively high level of expression of tissue non-specific alkaline phosphatase (TNAP) within the extra-embryonic mesoderm (EEM) (McKay *et al.*, 1953; Chiquoine, 1954; Ozdzenski, 1967; Ginsburg *et al.*, 1990; MacGregor *et al.*, 1995). Although alkaline phosphatase is a marker of the developing germ lineage in many species, its activity is not required for development of PGCs in mouse (MacGregor *et al.*, 1995). While within the EEM, the PGCs are not tightly clustered but appear to be interspersed with additional cells that do not express TNAP. Approximately 50 TNAP positive cells can be found in this location at this time (Ginsburg *et al.*, 1990; MacGregor *et al.*, 1995). This figure is very similar to an estimate for the founding population size of the mouse germline of 45 that was generated from fate-mapping studies of Lawson and Hage (Lawson and Hage, 1994). These findings are consistent with a model in which the mouse germline is specified from approximately 50 cells within the EEM shortly after the onset of gastrulation.

The EEM in which the PGCs are found is closely bordered by extra-embryonic visceral endoderm. Local embryonic environment appears to play a role in many inductive events

during vertebrate embryogenesis. For example, visceral endoderm is involved in the induction of cardiac mesoderm in several species (Kelley *et al.*, 1993; Schultheiss *et al.*, 1995; Soudais *et al.*, 1995; Narita *et al.*, 1997). As with formation of hematopoietic cells within the EEM component of the yolk sac, it is interesting to speculate that the over-lying visceral endoderm may play some part in the specification of the PGCs within the EEM. Snow (1981) demonstrated that PGCs would form in fragments isolated from the extreme posterior of e7 and e7.5 embryos following their culture *in vitro*. As discussed (Copp *et al.*, 1986; Ginsburg *et al.*, 1990), these fragments were likely to have been composed of EEM with overlying extra-embryonic visceral endoderm. It would be of interest to determine if PGCs would still form in EEM in culture following removal of the associated endoderm or alternatively if heterotopic EEM could be induced to form PGCs following co-culture with visceral endoderm isolated from the region just posterior to the primitive streak.

Migration of PGCs during embryogenesis

After specification, the PGCs follow guidance cues that will ultimately lead them to the developing genital ridges. In addition, between the time of specification and arrival in the genital ridges, the PGCs must not only survive, but proliferate. Finally, during this period the PGCs must not respond to any inappropriate positional cues that would compromise their totipotency.

At around e8.0 (1–5 somite stage), the PGCs are associated with the hindgut pocket. Snow observed that pieces dissected from the posterior of early gastrulation stage embryos that were subsequently cultured *in vitro* could form an allantois containing PGCs (Snow, 1981). However, if the cultured portion of the embryo also formed a hindgut, all PGCs would be localized around the endoderm. This suggests that the PGCs have an affinity for the hindgut endoderm. Similar phenomena are also observed during *Drosophila* (Jaglarz and Howard, 1995), *Xenopus* (Whitington and Dixon, 1975) and avian (Fujimoto *et al.*, 1976) embryonic development.

During the next day and a half, the growth of the hindgut appears responsible for the passive movement of the PGCs to an internal location within the developing embryo. However, at around the 5–15 somite stage (e8.5–9.0), PGCs begin an active migration from the hindgut endoderm, through the newly forming dorsal mesentery to the genital ridges which they begin to colonize at around the 20–30 somite stage (e9.5 to e10.0). Several lines of evidence suggest that the PGCs are guided to the developing gonadal ridge at least in part by chemotropic means (Kuwana *et al.*, 1986; Godin *et al.*, 1990; Godin and Wylie, 1991). During this migratory phase, the PGCs extend long filopodial processes that link them together in extensive arrays (Gomperts *et al.*, 1994a). These filopodia disappear following the arrival of the PGCs in the genital ridge. The filopodia may play an adhesive role between PGCs during migration as after arrival in the genital ridges, the PGCs are found to be tightly associated (Gomperts *et al.*, 1994b).

Control of adhesion also appears to play a role in guiding migratory PGCs to the gonadal ridge. Extra-cellular matrix glycoproteins including collagen type IV, fibronectin, laminin and tenascin-C are expressed by somatic cells found in the pathways over which PGCs migrate in birds, amphibians and mammals (Heasman *et al.*, 1981; Fujimoto *et al.*, 1985; Alvarez-Buylla and Merchant-Larios, 1986; England *et al.*, 1986; De Felici and Dolci, 1989; Urven *et al.*, 1989; Anstrom and Tucker, 1996). Moreover, migratory and post-migratory mouse PGCs are known to behave differently in culture (Donovan *et al.*, 1986) and have been shown to modulate their adhesiveness to certain of these glycoproteins during germline formation (Ffrench-Constant *et al.*, 1991; Garcia-Castro *et al.*, 1997). It has been proposed that adhesion of PGCs to laminin in the early mouse embryo may involve expression of integrins and a heparin sulfate proteoglycan on the surface of the PGCs (Garcia-Castro *et al.*).

al., 1997). The migratory process is essentially completed by the 45 somite stage (e11.5–e12.0).

During movement from the hindgut pocket to the genital ridges, the number of PGCs increases from 100 to around 2500 (Tam and Snow, 1981; Buehr *et al.*, 1993). Following their arrival in the genital ridges, the PGCs continue to divide until around e13.5 at which time they number approximately 25,000 (Chiquoine, 1954; Tam and Snow, 1981; Buehr *et al.*, 1993). Results of *in vitro* culture and genetic studies suggest that several growth factors and other factors can influence the survival and/or proliferation of PGCs during embryogenesis. These include mast cell growth factor (MGF), leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), interleukin-11 (IL-11), and oncostatin M (OSM) (Besmer *et al.*, 1993; Wylie and Heasman, 1993; Cheng *et al.*, 1994; De Felici and Pesce, 1994; Donovan, 1994; Kawase *et al.*, 1994; Koshimizu *et al.*, 1996).

Sexual differentiation of primordial germ cells

Following the onset of morphologic gonadal differentiation at around e13.5, PGCs differentiate into oogonia in the fetal ovary or M (mitotic)-prospermatogonia in the fetal testis. In females, a proportion of the oogonia enter meiotic prophase almost immediately after the mitotic proliferation at e13.5 to form oocytes while in males the prospermatogonia continue to proliferate for an additional day prior to entering mitotic arrest around e14.5. Most oogonia have formed oocytes in meiotic prophase by e15.5 while T (transition) - prospermatogonia continue to be held in G1-phase mitotic arrest until after birth (McLaren, 1988).

It is well established that the somatic component of the fetal testis produces a local factor(s) that inhibits meiosis in PGCs as well as directing the germ cells to develop as prospermatogonia. Experimental evidence to support this includes the finding that PGCs of either sex that end up in the adrenal instead of gonad enter meiosis before birth (Zamboni and Upadhyay, 1983). In addition, studies using inter-sex chimeric mice indicate that XX germ cells are subject to meiotic and mitotic arrest and differentiate into prospermatogonia in the fetal testis while XY PGCs enter meiosis in the fetal ovary and attempt to produce oocytes (McLaren, 1983,1993). Results of more recent studies involving tissue reaggregation experiments indicated that the fetal testis produces a factor at around e12 that is responsible for inhibiting entry of prospermatogonia into meiosis (McLaren and Southee, 1997).

Genetic basis for germline development in the mouse

The molecular basis for germline development is perhaps best understood in *Drosophila* and *Caenorhabditis* where sophisticated genetic screens have provided the mutants required to help elucidate this process (Ellis and Kimble, 1994; Williamson and Lehmann, 1996). Strategies to perform large-scale mutagenesis in the mouse have been greatly assisted by the exploitation of simple sequence length polymorphisms (SSLP) that facilitate rapid genetic mapping. In addition, retroviral gene trapping is an efficient approach of mutagenesis that provides information about the pattern of expression of mutated genes as well a method to clone them (Gossler *et al.*, 1989; Friedrich and Soriano, 1991; Skarnes *et al.*, 1992,1995; Wurst *et al.*, 1995; Forrester *et al.*, 1996; Baker *et al.*, 1997; Holzschu *et al.*, 1997). However, despite such technical advances, the cost and space required to conduct a mutagenesis screen for germline defects in the mouse is considerable as such a screen requires test breeding of F2 animals. This has forced the use of alternative experimental strategies to identify genes that have an essential function in mammalian germline development.

Molecular genetic studies of early aspects of mouse germline development are particularly problematic as the lineage constitutes a relatively small population of cells within the embryo. Without sufficient quantities of pure PGCs, it is difficult to use a molecular approach to identify the genes whose function is required for germline development. However, several different genetic approaches have been used to study genes that are expressed in PGCs during murine embryogenesis. These have included empirical approaches e.g., RT-PCR using small amounts of PGC containing material or in situ hybridization of candidate genes to germ cell containing sections; RT-PCR based approaches using degenerate oligonucleotides that have specificity for different classes of gene products; or by cloning murine homologs of genes known to be expressed in embryonic germ cells in other species. Reverse-genetic approaches (i.e., knock-outs) have also been used to provide information regarding function of known genes in germline development. Finally, in a genetic and arguably most direct approach, the cloning of genes associated with the relatively few existing mutations that are known to affect germline development can identify genes that *a priori* have an essential function during germline development.

Perhaps the best examples of the latter approach are mice mutant at the *dominant white-spotting (W)* and *steel (Sl)* loci which have overlapping mutant phenotypes that include drastic reductions in the number of gonadal PGCs found during embryogenesis (Besmer *et al.*, 1993; Buehr *et al.*, 1993; Donovan, 1994). Molecular genetic analyses have revealed that the *W* locus encodes the *c-kit* tyrosine kinase transmembrane receptor while the product of the *Sl* locus, mast cell growth factor (MGF) is a natural ligand for the *c-kit* receptor. Further analyses have shown that *c-kit* is expressed by PGCs during germline development while the surrounding somatic mesenchyme expresses MGF. Although soluble MGF can act through the *c-kit* receptor to enhance survival of PGCs in culture, it is the transmembrane form of MGF that appears to be more important for germ cell survival *in vivo* as *Sl^d* mice which make the secreted form of MGF but lack the transmembrane form display a severe germ cell deficiency (Donovan, 1994; Marziali *et al.*, 1993). *Hertwig's anemia (an), atrichosis (at), Teratoma (Ter)* and *germ cell deficient (gcd)* are four additional mutations that affect germ cell development during embryogenesis although to date none of the genes affected in these mutants has been cloned (Lyon *et al.*, 1996).

Reverse genetic approaches have also been used to analyze the role of growth factors in PGC proliferation and survival. As described earlier, LIF, OSM, TNF- α and IL-11 have all been shown to stimulate survival and/or proliferation of PGCs in culture. However, mice deficient in LIF are not agametic (Stewart *et al.*, 1992) and there is no overt difference in numbers of PGCs found in LIF deficient embryos compared to control animals (P. Donovan and C. Stewart, personal communication) As these cytokines appear to be both structurally and functionally related, it is possible that functional redundancy may mask the effects of loss of a single growth factor on germline development. Significantly, all of these cytokines appear to signal through receptor complexes that includes a common subunit, gp130 (Kishimoto *et al.*, 1994). Moreover, mutation of gp130 results in a 20–60% reduction in PGC *in vivo* (Koshimizu *et al.*, 1996). One interpretation of these findings is that gp130 mediated cytokine signaling may be more important in proliferation rather than survival of PGCs *in vivo*.

A reverse genetic approach has been used to analyze the function of additional genes in germ cell development. Examples of genes expressed in PGCs include the transcription factor *Oct-3/4* (Scholer *et al.*, 1990), *TNAP* (Hahnel *et al.*, 1990), the *Pem* homeobox gene product (Lin *et al.*, 1994), *alpha 3, 5, 6* and *beta 1 integrins* (De Felici *et al.*, 1992) and a mouse homolog (*Mvh*) of the *Vasa* gene that is required for germline development in *Drosophila* (Fujiwara *et al.*, 1994). *TNAP* is not required for PGC development (MacGregor

et al., 1995), germline development appears to be normal in *Pem* deficient embryos (J. Pitman and C. MacLeod, personal communication) and although analyses of α -5 *integrin* deficient animals has been reported, the status of PGCs in mutants was not addressed (Yang *et al.*, 1993; Goh *et al.*, 1997).

RT-PCR based approaches have been used to survey the expression of known genes in differentiating germ cells (Coucouvanis and Jones, 1993). In addition, degenerate oligonucleotide probes with homology to functional domains of known proteins have been used as a method to identify novel genes that are potentially important in germline development. *Sky* encodes a receptor tyrosine kinase that is expressed in PGCs and which can bind Gas-6 as a ligand (Matsubara *et al.*, 1996). A similar approach was also used to identify *Gek-1* which encodes a novel serine - threonine kinase expressed in PGCs (Yanagisawa *et al.*, 1996). *Gek-1* maps to proximal chromosome 11 in mouse (Yanagisawa *et al.*, 1996). Of potential interest, the as yet uncloned *gcd* insertional transgenic mutation in which embryos display significant reduction in numbers of PGCs (Pellas *et al.*, 1991) also maps to this chromosomal region (Duncan *et al.*, 1995).

Epigenetic aspects of embryonic germ cell development

PGCs represent a unique mammalian embryonic cell lineage as they provide the source of genetic information for a subsequent generation. Several epigenetic features that regulate gene expression are modified during development of this unique lineage including genomic imprinting and X-inactivation. During germ cell development the parental imprint is erased and a new imprint established. The precise timing of erasure of the parental imprint has not yet been established for any of the imprinted genes although Szabo and Mann (Szabo and Mann, 1995) have demonstrated that inherited imprinting is either erased, or not recognized, in PGCs prior to the time of genital ridge colonization. Thus, a functional generalized neutralization of imprinting is associated with the totipotent state of this unique cell lineage. Tam and co-workers have suggested that X-inactivation occurs within the PGCs during their migration through the dorsal mesentery while reactivation may occur shortly after arrival within the genital ridge (Tam *et al.*, 1994). The molecular basis for control of either of these processes is currently poorly understood.

Many of the aforementioned studies have provided important information about the genetic basis for germline development in the mouse. However, several important fundamental questions remain largely unanswered. These include (a) precisely when and where is the germline specified during embryogenesis and how is this achieved? (b) What protects germ cells from responding to inappropriate developmental cues during germline development? (c) How is the migration of PGCs to the endoderm and subsequently the embryonic gonad regulated? (d) How is survival and proliferation of PGCs regulated during embryogenesis? (e) When and how is genomic imprinting and X-inactivation modified? (f) Which genes are required to regulate sexual differentiation of both male and female germ cells?

A systematic molecular genetic approach to the study of mammalian germline development

Overview

Recent advances in genome analysis technology now make a large-scale systematic analysis of gene expression during development a practical endeavor. One such approach involves examination of patterns of gene expression by hybridization of complex probes to high density arrays of cDNA clones. This approach was used over 15 years ago to examine gene expression during *Xenopus* and *Aspergillus* development although at the time the expression of only around 1000 cDNAs were examined (Dworkin and Dawid, 1980; Timberlake, 1980).

The concept of creating an arrayed cDNA library or a cDNA catalog as a permanent reference and using hybridization for the simultaneous monitoring of expression of many genes has been described by several groups (Ko, 1990; Lennon and Lehrach, 1991). Although originally involving the spotting of cDNA clones at high density onto nylon filters (Gress *et al.*, 1992; Meier-Ewert *et al.*, 1993; Harrison *et al.*, 1995; Zhao *et al.*, 1995), more advanced technology has recently been developed that permits spotting of cDNAs on glass plates at even greater densities (Schena *et al.*, 1995). A similar method has also been developed to synthesize oligonucleotides in arrays on glass wafers (Fodor *et al.*, 1993). One significant advantage of the latter technique is the dramatic increase in sensitivity of detection of low abundance mRNAs that is achieved when used in conjunction with a laser-based multi-color fluorescence detection system.

A second approach that has been used with success is high through-put, single-pass sequencing of cDNA clones (Adams *et al.*, 1991; Hoog, 1991; Khan *et al.*, 1992; Okubo *et al.*, 1992). With current rates of sequence through-put and quality of automated DNA sequencers, this approach has been successful in generating more than 1 million ESTs from various cell types and organisms (Aaronson *et al.*, 1996; Hillier *et al.*, 1996). Furthermore, the ability of this approach to compare expression profiles has been validated for many cell types (Okubo *et al.*, 1995). One variant of this approach is serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) that involves sequencing of large numbers of cDNAs each of which is a concatenate of shorter cDNA fragments generated from many independent cDNA clones (Velculescu *et al.*, 1995). Both techniques can rapidly provide large amounts of information about expression profiles of genes in different cell types.

We have devised a unique strategy to facilitate a systematic analysis of gene expression during mammalian germline development. A line of transgenic mice has been created in which the developing germline is marked by expression of a beta-galactosidase reporter gene. With these animals, PGCs can be purified from embryos at discrete stages of germline development and cDNA libraries generated from each stage of PGCs. These cDNA libraries can then be analyzed by a large-scale systematic approach using genome analysis methodology. This approach benefits from a low inherent bias in that all genes expressed in embryonic germ cells are theoretically amenable to analysis. While we are in essence constructing a large scale EST database, our approach is unique in that the library is from a single cell type – i.e., embryonic germ cells. Moreover, the reference library represents genes expressed at multiple stages during the process of germline development. In addition to generating valuable novel markers of the germ lineage and providing an addressed database of differential gene expression during germline development, this permanent resource will facilitate future experiments to determine the function of these novel genes in this fundamental biological process.

Generation of transgenic mice that express a reporter gene within the developing germline

The main barrier to a direct analysis of gene expression in the mammalian germline during embryogenesis has been in obtaining sufficient quantities of pure populations of PGCs. Several techniques have been described to purify PGCs from developing mouse embryos using antibodies that define markers of PGCs and either fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) (McCarrey *et al.*, 1987) or para-magnetic beads (MACS) (Pesce and De Felici, 1995). In both cases, PGCs were identified using monoclonal antibodies (EMA-1 or TG-1 respectively) that recognize epitopes expressed by PGCs during embryonic development. By definition use of these antibodies is limited to the period during embryonic development that the target epitope is expressed by germ cells. TNAP is currently the PGC marker with the widest window of expression during germline development in the mouse (Cooke *et al.*, 1993; Enders and May, 1994). Thus, use of this marker to purify PGCs should facilitate an analysis of gene expression in the developing germline at the greatest number of stages

during mouse embryonic development. However, purification of PGCs from early postgastrulation stage embryos using (tissue non-specific) alkaline phosphatase as a marker is confounded by the expression of an additional isoenzyme, embryonic alkaline phosphatase (Ginsburg *et al.*, 1990; Hahnel *et al.*, 1990). Therefore, an alternative strategy was required to purify PGCs on the basis of *TNAP* expression.

The bacterial *lacZ* (beta-galactosidase) gene has been used successfully as a reporter gene in several diverse species. Techniques have also been developed to purify mammalian cells expressing *lacZ* using a FACS and the purified *lacZ* expressing cells are viable following this procedure (Nolan *et al.*, 1988; MacGregor *et al.*, 1991). Consequently, a line of transgenic mice was generated that expressed *lacZ* under control of the *TNAP* locus, in the developing germ lineage. The rationale for choosing this method to facilitate purification of germ cells was that in addition to generating high purities of germ cells at a wide range of developmental stages, the purified cells could also be used for either *in vitro* culture experiments, or for experiments involving grafting to host embryos. The developmental potency of the purified and marked germ cells could then be assessed following culture of the embryos *in vitro* or after transfer of the host embryo to pseudo-pregnant female recipients for development *in vivo*.

Analysis of patterns of *lacZ* activity assessed by X-gal staining of embryos transgenic for different *TNAP* promoter – *lacZ* minigenes failed to identify a line of mice in which the developing germline was marked by *lacZ* expression (Escalante-Alcalde *et al.*, 1996). The reason for this appeared to involve a requirement for several *cis*-acting sequences that regulate *TNAP* expression and which are dispersed throughout the *TNAP* locus (Escalante-Alcalde *et al.*, 1996). To minimize risk of experimental failure due to absence of such *cis*-elements in a transgene construct, we used homologous recombination in mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells to 'knock-in' a *lacZ* gene at the *TNAP* (*Akp2*) locus (MacGregor *et al.*, 1995). In *TNAP*^{β-geo} embryos, β-galactosidase expression in embryonic germ cells precisely follows the expression of the endogenous *TNAP* gene although germline development appears to be unaffected by this mutation (MacGregor *et al.*, 1995) (Fig. 1).

Isolation and purification of PGCs from TNAP^{βgeo} embryos

We have modified and optimized the use of FACS-gal (MacGregor *et al.*, 1991; Nolan *et al.*, 1988) to purify PGCs from $TNAP^{\beta-geo}$ embryos (Abe *et al.*, 1996). Gonads or gonadal ridges prior to sexual differentiation were isolated from $TNAP^{\beta geo}$ embryos and the tissues dissociated by incubation in trypsin and trituration using a siliconized pipette until a single cell suspension was achieved (Abe *et al.*, 1996; Buehr and McLaren, 1993; Cooke *et al.*, 1993). After loading of a β -gal fluorescent substrate, fluorescein di-galactoside (FDG) into dissociated cells by osmotic shock and enzymatic conversion of FDG by incubating cells on ice for 1–2 h, the germ cells were purified by FACS-gal as described (Abe *et al.*, 1996).

As seen in Figure 2A, a cell population displaying high fluorescence appeared only in samples prepared from embryos derived from a cross involving a $TNAP^{\beta-geo}$ parent. Cell viability is routinely approximately 90% following the FACS-gal procedure and the purified PGCs can be maintained in culture (K. Abe; unpublished observations). To confirm that the fluorescein positive [F(+)] cells were PGCs, they were examined both for morphology and status for existing markers of mouse PGCs (Fig. 2B–E). Microscopically, the sorted F(+) cells were relatively large, round cells with a large nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, each of which is a characteristic of PGCs (Eddy and Hahnel, 1983). When stained for AP activity, 96 ± 2% of the F(+) cells are routinely found to be AP positive (Abe *et al.*, 1996) (Fig. 2B). In contrast, only a few percent of fluorescent negative cells are AP(+) (Fig. 2C). These cells are presumptive wild-type PGCs (i.e., not expressing a $TNAP^{\beta-geo}$ allele) that would not fluoresce and therefore would not be detected by the FACS. On average we can routinely

identify approximately 2000 AP positive cells in the gonads of a e12.5 embryo and approximately 3500 AP positive cells from an e13.5 stage embryo with approximately 2–5% of the total input cells being sorted on the basis of their high fluorescence intensity. This estimate for total numbers of germ cells at this stage is lower than those previously reported (Tam and Snow, 1981; Pesce and De Felici, 1995). This might be due to factors such as the procedure for counting cell numbers, the precise developmental stage of embryos used, the genetic background of the mice in each study or the stochastic nature of β -gal expression in mammalian cells (MacGregor *et al.*, 1987; Ko *et al.*, 1990b).

An antibody against *c-kit* tyrosine kinase, that is expressed in PGCs reacted specifically with the e12.5 and e13.5 sorted F(+) cells at a similar rate as for AP (Fig. 2D). Interestingly, the EMA-1 epitope was expressed in only a subset of PGCs at e12.5 and even fewer at e13.5 (Fig. 2E). In agreement with previous studies (Hahnel and Eddy, 1986,1987), this suggests that the epitope defined by the EMA-1 antibody is down-regulated shortly after PGCs have colonized the genital ridge. These data demonstrate that the FACS-gal method can isolate highly pure populations of PGCs from mouse embryos. More recently we have used FACS-gal to purify PGCs from e11.5 and e10.5 embryos and oogonia and prospermatogonia from e13.5 and e14.5 male and female gonads.

Prior to e10.5, $TNAP^{\beta-geo}$ embryos are dissected and the hindgut or base of allantois separated from contaminating tissues by mechanical or enzymatic means. Following trypsinization of the isolated tissues, the cells are loaded with FDG. However, there are relative few PGCs present in embryos at these earlier developmental stages. Consequently, instead of purification using a FACS, these early stages of PGCs are collected manually using a pipette controlled with micro-manipulator, in conjunction with an inverted microscope equipped with a stage-cooler, a CCD-camera, Nomarski optics and epifluorescence (G.M., unpublished observations). As we routinely construct cDNA libraries from as few as 200 cells (M.S.H. Ko and K. Abe, unpublished observations) sufficient PGCs can easily be isolated from around twenty embryos using this technique.

Table one lists the embryonic stages from which germ cells will be purified. At each stage listed the germ cells are developmentally distinct from other stages. It follows that cDNA libraries generated at each stage will contain genes whose function is required during that particular step of the developmental process. For example, a proportion of cDNAs found in the male e13.5 library but not the female e13.5 library should encode products required for prospermatogonial differentiation and meiotic arrest while the complementary analysis will identify genes whose function is associated with oogonial differentiation and entry into meiosis. To facilitate identification of genes in the germ cell reference cDNA library that are not germ cell specific, cDNA libraries have also been constructed from e6.5 epiblast and from non-PGC cells isolated from e12.5 gonads.

Amplification and validation of cDNA generated from limiting amounts of germ cell RNA

FACS-gal can be used to generate pure populations of embryonic germ cells. However, it would require prohibitively large numbers of embryos to produce sufficient germ cell RNA to construct a cDNA library by conventional means. To circumvent this type of problem, the lone linker-PCR (LL-PCR) technique was developed that enables unbiased amplification of complex cDNA mixtures (Ko *et al.*, 1990a; Abe, 1992) from small amounts of input material. This technique can be routinely used to generate sufficient cDNA for construction of plasmid based cDNA libraries from as little as 200 cells (M.S.H.Ko and K.Abe, unpublished observations). LL-PCR or similar methods have been applied to construct cDNA libraries from various RNA sources (Ko *et al.*, 1990a; Froussard, 1993; Takahashi and Ko, 1994). Such cDNA libraries have been successfully used by several large scale

cDNA sequencing projects including the HHMI-Washington University Mouse EST project and the ERATO-Wayne State University Mouse EST project.

RNA is isolated from the purified germ cells and cDNA synthesized and amplified using LL-PCR as described (Abe, 1992). The PGCs are lysed immediately after purification in Trizol (BRL) to isolate total RNA. Following DNase treatment to eliminate contaminating genomic DNA, double strand cDNA is synthesized by conventional methods from 10–100ng of total template RNA using an oligo dT primer containing a Not I site. An asymmetrical double stranded DNA adaptor having a non-palindromic protruding end and a blunt end is ligated to the ends of the double stranded cDNA such that only a single adaptor (i.e., a 'Lone Linker') is added to each end with high efficiency. Subsequently, the entire pool of cDNA is amplified using a single oligonucleotide primer in a PCR. With a refined 'Long PCR protocol', LL-PCR can amplify DNA fragments greater than 2 kb in length with unbiased efficiency, generating cDNA pools that are representative of the original population (Ko *et al.*, 1990a) (K. Abe, unpublished).

Prior to construction of cDNA libraries, it is essential to validate the synthesized cDNA as being representative of genes expressed in germ cells during embryogenesis. Consequently, the individual cDNA reactions were analyzed using PCR to determine if each cDNA pool contained clones representing genes known to be expressed during mammalian germline development. Individual cDNA pools were synthesized using total RNA isolated using PGCs from e11.5, e12.5, e13.5 (both mixed and sex-specific) embryos as well as from both e6.5 epiblast and the somatic cells isolated as a by-product of PGC sorting from e12.5 gonads. The latter two samples were generated for use as negative controls for the validation process. In addition, they will also be used as a source of non-germ cell specific cDNA driver DNA in subtractive hybridization experiments. Each cDNA pool was examined for the presence of the following sequences -Mvh the mouse homolog of the Drosophila vasa gene that is expressed in murine germ cells (Fujiwara et al., 1994), TNAP (Hahnel et al., 1990; MacGregor et al., 1995), Oct-3/4 (Scholer et al., 1990), β -geo (in TNAP^{β -geo} mice) (MacGregor et al., 1995), SF-1/Ad4BP (Hatano et al., 1994), WT1 (Kreidberg et al., 1993) and *Hprt*. All of these genes are known to be expressed in germ cells during embryogenesis with the exception of Ad4BP and WT-1 which are expressed in the somatic component of the gonad.

Each of the genes known to be expressed in the germ cells was indeed found to be represented in the synthesized cDNA pools (data not shown). In addition, the somatically expressed genes were absent from the germ cell specific cDNA pools, being represented only in the e12.5 gonadal somatic cell samples. Thus, the synthesized cDNA appeared to being of sufficient quality to proceed with individual cDNA library construction. As the cDNA represents genes transcribed in germ cells during embryogenesis, it is in itself a valuable reagent that can be used to evaluate whether a candidate gene is expressed in the developing germline.

Construction of embryonic germ cell cDNA libraries

Having validated the individual cDNA syntheses, the amplified cDNA is digested with Not I then Sal I and is ligated to Not I -Sal I digested pBluescript prior to electro-transformation of *E.coli* DH10B. Results of preliminary sequencing analyses of individual cDNA clones from libraries constructed in this manner indicate that this force cloning method is efficient in generating high quality cDNA libraries from starting material of total RNA. Specifically, no inserts with homology to rRNA were found in this library in contrast to similar libraries that were constructed using cDNA digested with Sal I alone. The cDNAs for the reference library will be stored as ordered arrays, created by robotic picking of clones from the individual libraries into 384 well micro-titer trays.

Analysis of embryonic germ cell cDNA libraries

High through-put sequencing of cDNA clones—The initial analysis of the embryonic germ cell library will be conducted by large scale, single pass sequencing of cDNA clones. This is a proven strategy to rapidly obtain information about gene expression in a particular tissue or organ. In addition, relative abundance of expressed genes or 'expression profiles' can be monitored by compiling and analyzing the sequence information (Okubo et al., 1995; Kawamoto et al., 1996; Yokoyama et al., 1996). Furthermore, as the cDNA libraries in this case are made from purified germ cells, a priori, each gene is expressed in germ cells at some stage during germline development. Moreover, we can identify genes whose expression is germline specific by comparing this information with databases of somatic expressed genes. With the compiled data of PGC-expressed sequences, database searches can also be performed to identify homologs in other model organisms e.g., yeast and worm. This method is rapid and does not suffer from the technical difficulties that can be encountered using hybridization methods. Functional studies with homologs can then be performed in organisms with sophisticated genetics which can provide insight regarding the biochemical pathway in which the gene functions (Kuwabara, 1997). Finally, this method is less prone to bias and should enable identification of differentially expressed genes that might be overlooked by the hybridization approach.

Differential hybridization—The second method of analysis involves screening reference of cDNA library filters by differential hybridization, focusing on identification of genes that are differentially expressed during discrete stages of germline development (listed in Table 1). The rationale for doing so is that genes whose function is required during a particular period of germline development (e.g., during migration of PGCs from the hindgut to the genital ridges) should be expressed in germ cells during this stage. Two principal methods will be used to perform the analysis. Each method uses hybridization filters onto which cDNA clones have been spotted at high density in an addressed, arrayed manner. The spotted clones represent a mixture of all the individual cDNA libraries that were constructed from the discrete populations of purified germ cells. Thus the filters will contain clones that represent the majority of genes expressed in germ cells during several stages of germline development.

Construction of full scale PGC reference cDNA library

The addressed embryonic germ cell reference cDNA library will be made by gridding cDNA clones on filters at high density in ordered arrays (Meier-Ewert *et al.*, 1993). These filters will be screened with the complex cDNA probes. As all the clones on these filters have defined addresses in permanent storage, analysis of the hybridization signals using image analysis software enables the simultaneous identification of clones in addition to quantitation of their relative expression levels (Meier-Ewert *et al.*, 1993; Nguyen *et al.*, 1995; Zhao *et al.*, 1995).

Based on an estimate of 100,000 expressed sequences in the mammalian genome, we estimate that a reference cDNA library composed of 2×10^5 independent clones, spotted on a total of seven filters will have approximately 95% probability of containing rare transcripts (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989; Ausbel *et al.*, 1994). The clones will be propagated, DNA purified and each plasmid cDNA clone will be spotted in duplicate (to generate consistency for treatment of individual filters) in 5×5 arrays onto hybridization membranes at a density of approx. 28,000 individual clones per 20 cm × 20 cm filter. In total, approximately 200,000 clones (seven filters) will be picked to constitute the embryonic germ cell reference cDNA library. This will be performed by a commercial company so that additional sets of filters of this library can be constructed and distributed to the international research community at minimal cost.

The number of independent cDNA clones required to give complete representation of genes expressed in the developing germ line could theoretically be reduced by construction of an 'equalized' cDNA library, i.e. a library with minimized redundancy (Ko, 1990,1995;Takahashi and Ko, 1994). However, in our experience, this approach is technically more complex and requires significantly greater amounts of input germ cell RNA. One also loses information about the expression level of individual genes that is provided by the frequency a cDNA is observed in a non-equalized library. For these reasons we will construct the reference library from non-equalized libraries.

The hybridization signature approach, using high density cDNA filters and labeled complex probes can provide extremely high throughput measurement of relative gene transcriptional activity (Fig. 3). Such application of established genome analysis technology has gained rapid acceptance as a method to analyze differential gene expression during development on a large scale basis (Harrison *et al.*, 1995;Nguyen *et al.*, 1995;Takahashi *et al.*, 1995;Lashkari *et al.*, 1997). Image analysis software called AIS (Analytical Imaging System) with the capacity to locate and quantitate hybridization signals from arrayed, high density filters has been developed in collaboration with Fuji Film Co., Japan (Fig. 4). Each set of filters will be analyzed following hybridization with a particular complex probe mixture. To facilitate accumulation and retrieval of information, the data will be stored in the Wayne State University –Mouse EST database on the ORACLE relational database system that operates on a UNIX platform (M.S.H.Ko, unpublished). In addition to the AIS software, comparison of expression levels of all genes between different cDNA library will be performed using an independent analysis package –the EXPANAL, X-Window program, that is dynamically linked to the ORACLE database (M.S.H.Ko, unpublished).

In the first approach, independent sets of such filters will be screened with complex probes that have been enriched for genes expressed in germ cells at specific embryonic stages. These probes will be prepared by subtraction using different cDNA libraries. Subtracted cDNA probes will be generated by enzymatic degradation subtraction (EDS) (Zeng et al., 1994). This is a highly efficient method of subtractive hybridization that involves phenolemulsion reassociation of lone linker amplified cDNA followed by exonuclease digestion of tester/driver or driver/driver hybrids. The technique can be used to identify DNA sequences that are differentially represented between two populations of highly complex molecules with great sensitivity (as low as 2.5 fold difference) and efficiency (Zeng *et al.*, 1994). In addition, with this method the subtracted probes can be made without converting cDNA into conventional libraries. The use of subtractive hybridization to generate probes enriched for genes expressed at specific stages during germline development has two primary advantages over conventional differential screening. First, in addition to depleting common clones, the enrichment increases the sensitivity of detection, permitting identification of cDNAs representing rarer mRNAs (Harrison et al., 1995). Secondly, the use of subtraction enriched probes in combination with high-density addressed cDNA filters can reduce the required data analysis to less than 1% of that generated using non-subtracted probes (Harrison et al., 1995).

While subtractive hybridization has been successfully used by several groups to identify genes that are differentially expressed during a developmental process, this technique may not identify all transcripts whose expression levels differ subtly during development. In addition, as genes that play an important role in germline development (e.g., *c-kit*, *Mgf*) can be expressed in additional embryonic tissues or in germ cells for an extended period during germline development, genes in this category could be lost in a specific subtraction style screen.

To address this issue, the reference library will also be screened using a second, complementary experimental approach, i.e. conventional differential hybridization (Nguyen *et al.*, 1995). This will involve hybridization of complex probes synthesized from each independent cDNA library to independent sets of reference library filters. In addition, the e6.5 epiblast and e12.5 gonadal somatic cell libraries will also be used as complex probes to screen the library. The results of the hybridization will be analyzed using the AIS and EXPANAL software packages each of which provides both quantitative and qualitative information about the expression of each clone in the reference cDNA library at specific stages during germline development. Clones displaying differential expression during germline development will be selected for further analyzed.

Pilot experiments

High through-put automated DNA sequencing and PCR-based cDNA mapping mapping technologies are powerful genome analysis tools that can be applied to provide direct information regarding gene expression during a developmental process (Takahashi and Ko, 1993; Ko *et al.*, 1994 and submitted). In our experience, between 400–600bp of sequence information from each end of a clone is routinely obtained by single pass sequencing. The 3'-end cDNA sequence information can be used to identify the signature of genes by performing a homology search of the current data bases. PCR primers can be made from specific genes and these and the individual cDNA libraries screened to determine if each clones expression is sex-specific in nature. The 3'-end cDNA sequence information is used to design a PCR primer pair to facilitate gene mapping (Takahashi and Ko, 1993; Ko *et al.*, 1994) using an interspecific species backcross panel (Rowe *et al.*, 1994). An example of the data generated is shown in Figure 5.

In a preliminary test, we sequenced approximately 200 clones from e12.5 and e13.5 PGC libraries. Twenty-two independent sequences from an e13.5 mixed-sex PGC cDNA library were screened against the current genetic database by a BLAST search. Nine of the sequences appeared to be novel (Table 2) while six displayed high similarity to existing genes. The remaining seven sequences were either identical or displayed significant homology to known genes in the mouse, rat or human. PCR was used to confirm whether each of these genes was indeed expressed in PGCs and whether their expression was restricted to PGCs. Figure 5A indicates that the three genes analyzed, *M96*, *Dlg 2* like and *fsh*-related are indeed represented in the cDNA synthesized from the purified germ cells although each is also expressed in somatic cells. Interestingly, the *fsh*-related gene product may be alternatively spliced in e6.5 epiblast and in e13.5 germ cells.

All three genes are homologs of genes known to be required for *Drosophila* development. *M96* is a homolog of *Polycomb-like* while *Dlg2* is a homolog of *Disc large*. The *fsh (female sterile homeotic)* gene product is a positive regulator of the *bithorax* complex in *Drosophila* (Haynes *et al.*, 1989) and a human homolog (*Ring3*) has also been identified (Beck *et al.*, 1992). *Ring3* is known to function as nuclear kinase (Denis and Green, 1996). To determine the potential association of the *fsh*-related mouse homolog of *fsh/Ring3* with existing mutations known to affect germline development, the expression pattern of *fsh*-related in embryos and adult tissues was analyzed (Fig. 5B) and the gene mapped (Fig. 5C). In the tissues analyzed, the gene appears to be expressed at highest levels in the testis (Rhonda H. Nicholson and M.S.H. Ko, unpublished results). *Fsh*-related is also expressed at different stages of embryogenesis. The *fsh*-related gene maps to chromosome 17 close to the H-2 locus which is consistent with the conserved chromosomal location of its human homolog *Ring3* within the human MHC class II locus (Beck *et al.*, 1992). Interestingly, this gene maps to the t-complex t^{w5} developmental mutation (Xueqian Wang and M.S.H. Ko) that is

associated with a cell proliferation defect specific to e6.5 embryonic ectoderm (Bennett and Dunn, 1958; Bennett, 1975) (K. Abe, unpublished observations).

This brief example serves to illustrate how this approach will provide enormous amounts of novel information regarding genes expressed in the germline during embryonic development. In essence, this data will represent a mammalian germline EST database. Importantly however, in contrast to many current EST projects, the embryonic germ cell reference cDNA library is unique as the database represents genes that are expressed in a single cell type during its development. Once identified, specific germ cell expressed genes will be mapped to identify regions of germline-specific or stage-specific gene clustering on the mouse genome. Such clustering within the mouse genome, has been discovered for genes expressed within extra-embryonic tissues (Ko *et al.*, submitted). Lastly, the combined sequencing and mapping information will be used to screen for candidate cDNAs for the genes affected by the *an, at, Ter*, and *gcd* mutations.

More recently, we have completed sequencing of 4000 clones from independent male and female e13.5 PGC libraries. A total of 1600 sequences from each library have been compared to the existing database by BLAST analysis. While many sequences were found with homology to known genes, approximately one-third of the sequences appeared to be novel (M.S.H. Ko and K. Abe.; unpublished observations). Significantly, a preliminary analysis of the known sequences indicated the presence of transcripts whose expression is sex-specific.

Criteria for selection of cDNA clones from the PGC reference library for in depth characterization

One of the major problems that arises from the generation of such large amounts of primary data is the choice of criteria that will be used to define a subset of cDNA clones for subsequent in depth molecular analyses. The criteria we have adopted are (a) the requirement for a sequence motif that implies functional importance (e.g. known DNA/RNA binding motif such as zinc finger, DEAD, Y-box, bHLH domains or motif related to cell signaling such as tyrosine kinase domains; (b) sequence homology to previously characterized genes whose function is relevant to germline development in other species; (c) a germ cell specific expression pattern as revealed by whole mount *in situ* analysis or high through-put *in situ* analysis of embryonic tissue sections mounted in 96 well plates (Komiya *et al.*, 1997) or (d) a chromosomal location supportive of candidacy for an existing mutation known to affect germline development. In these cases, full length cDNAs will be derived, sequenced and the cognate genes will be analyzed in the appropriate mutant strains. These results will lay the foundation for future gene disruption experiments to ascertain the function of these genes during germline development.

Future perspectives

The major barrier to studying the molecular basis for mammalian germline development has been the inability to obtain sufficient quantities of pure embryonic germ cells with which to conduct molecular analyses. We have developed and validated an experimental strategy that has successfully overcome this problem. This technology will be used to generate an arrayed addressed reference cDNA library of genes expressed in the germline during mammalian development. By combining several novel techniques, we will be able to provide a unique and valuable resource with which to perform a systematic molecular genetic analysis of mammalian germline development. By making this library available to the international research community, any research group with basic molecular biology skills will be able to take advantage of this resource and in turn contribute to the study of the molecular basis for mammalian germline development. The permanent addressed nature of the library will

minimize redundant analyses and facilitate long term accumulation of information regarding each clone in the library.

The reference library will also facilitate identification of genes that are genetically downstream of the loci affected in *an*, *gcd*, *Ter*, *at* and any additional mutants that may be identified in the future in which germline development is affected. This can be accomplished by crossing the $TNAP^{\beta-geo}$ marker into each of these mutant backgrounds and using PGCs purified from the mutant animals as a source of complex cDNA probes to 'fingerprint' the reference library. Downstream loci affected by these mutations would then be identified by differences in the 'fingerprints' between normal and mutant complex probes.

Collectively, these three approaches will generate unprecedented amounts of novel information regarding the molecular basis for mammalian germline development. Most importantly, the approach is a reasonable one as its feasibility to isolate novel genes expressed during mouse gastrulation has demonstrated (Harrison *et al.*, 1995). Moreover, each step of the entire procedure has now been validated by our group. This study will generate, at the very least an extensive battery of valuable molecular markers and significant amount of sequence information on embryonic germ cell specific expressed genes. This information is in itself an important resource for future functional studies to analyze the molecular characteristics of embryonic germ cells as well as understanding the biological process of germ cell development. Finally, this study epitomizes the growing utility of 'genome analysis' technology to study developmental processes at the molecular level (Harrison *et al.*, 1995; Bernard *et al.*, 1996; Granjeaud *et al.*, 1996; Heller *et al.*, 1997; Lashkari *et al.*, 1997; Wodicka *et al.*, 1997; Zhang *et al.*, 1997).

Summary

Development of the germ lineage is essential for maintenance of a species. Despite this importance, relatively little is known about the molecular basis for mammalian germline development. The paucity of reports concerning gene expression in mammalian embryonic germ cells is in part the result of technical considerations as PGCs constitute only a minor proportion of the mouse embryo. Thus, in the absence of a suitable long term in vitro culture system, it has been difficult to isolate sufficient quantities of pure germ cells with which to conduct molecular genetic studies. We have overcome this problem by using a novel combination of established molecular and transgenic approaches. A line of mice has been generated in which the cells of the germ lineage express the β -galactosidase reporter gene during embryogenesis. Using this line, germ cells have been purified to near homogeneity from embryos at discrete stages during germline development by use of a viable stain for bgal activity and a fluorescence activated cell sorter (FACS). Subsequently, cDNA libraries have been constructed from each germ cell population using a modified lone-linker PCR strategy. These combined cDNA libraries represent genes that are expressed in PGCs during mammalian germline development. To facilitate a molecular genetic approach to studying mammalian germline development, these cDNA libraries will be pooled to form an arrayed, addressed reference embryonic germ cell cDNA library. In parallel with large-scale cDNA sequencing efforts, genes that are differentially expressed in germ cells either prior to gonadal differentiation or in a sexually dimorphic manner following gonadal differentiation will be identified by screening the reference library with probes generated by subtractive hybridization. Complementary DNAs identified using this approach will be analyzed by sequencing, database comparison, genomic mapping and *in situ* hybridization to ascertain the potential functional importance of each gene to germline development. In addition to providing a wealth of novel information regarding patterns of gene expression during germline development, these results will form the basis for future experiments to determine the function of these genes in this process. The addressed reference embryonic germ cell

cDNA library will constitute a valuable, permanent shared resource that will enable the entire international research community to study the molecular basis for germline development during mammalian embryogenesis.

Abbreviations used in this paper

AIS	analytical imaging software
cDNA	complementary DNA
PGC	primordial germ cell
EEM	extra-embryonic mesoderm
MGF	mast cell growth factor
TNF-α	tumour necrosis factor - alpha
OSM	oncostatin M
LIF	leukemia inhibitory factor
IL-11	interleukin-11
RT-PCR	reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction
TNAP	tissue non-specific alkaline phosphatase
FACS	fluorescence activated cell sorter
MACS	magnetic antibody cell sorting
EST	expressed sequence tag
e7.5	embryonic day 7.5
FDG	fluorescein di-galactoside
MHC	major histocompatibility complex
LL-PCR	lone-linker - PCR
CCD	charge coupled device

Acknowledgments

We thank members of Ko laboratory for providing preliminary results, especially Rhonda H. Nicholson for the northern analyses in Figure 5, Marija J. Grahovac and Meng K. Lim for the large scale cDNA sequencing analyses, and Xueqian Wang for the mapping of Fsh-related gene. We also thank Colin Stewart, Peter Donovan, Jeff Pitman and Carol MacLeod for communicating results prior to publication. The work described is supported in part by grants to K.A. from the Science and Technology Agency of Japan, with Special Coordinating Funds for Promoting Science and Technology and by grants from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan, and to M.S.H.K. (R01HD32243) and G.R.M. (R01HD36437), from the NIH, USA.

References

- Aaronson JS, Eckman B, Blevins RA, Borkowski JA, Myerson J, Imran S, Elliston KO. Toward the development of a gene index to the human genome: an assessment of the nature of high-throughput EST sequence data. Genome Res 1996;6:829–845. [PubMed: 8889550]
- Abe K. Rapid isolation of desired sequences from lone linker PCR amplified cDNA mixtures: Application to identification and recovery of expressed sequences in cloned genomic DNA. Mammal. Genome 1992;2:252–259.
- Abe K, Hashiyama M, MacGregor G, Yamamura K-I, Abe K. Purification of primordial germ cells from TNAPβ-geo mouse embryos using FACS-gal. Dev. Biol 1996;180:468–472. [PubMed: 8954719]

- Adams MD, Kelley JM, Gocayne JD, Dubnick M, Polymeropoulos MH, Xiao H, Merril CR, Wu A, Olde B, Moreno RF, et al. Complementary DNA sequencing: expressed sequence tags and human genome project. Science 1991;252:1651–1656. [PubMed: 2047873]
- Alvarez-Buylla A, Merchant-Larios H. Mouse primordial germ cells use fibronectin as a substrate for migration. Exp. Cell Res 1986;165:362–368. [PubMed: 3720854]
- Anstrom KK, Tucker RP. Tenascin-C lines the migratory pathways of avian primordial germ cells and hematopoietic progenitor cells. Dev. Dynamics 1996;206:437–446.
- Ausbel, FM.; Brent, R.; Kingston, RE.; Moore, DD.; Seidman, JG.; Smith, JA.; Struhl, K. Current Protocols in Molecular Biology. Brooklyn, N Y: Wiley Interscience; 1994.
- Avarbock MR, Brinster CJ, Brinster RL. Reconstitution of spermatogenesis from frozen spermatogonial stem cells. Nature Med 1996;2:693–696. [PubMed: 8640563]
- Baker RK, Haendel MA, Swanson BJ, Shambaugh JC, Micales BK, Lyons GE. *In vitro* preselection of gene trapped ES cell clones for characterizing novel developmentally regulated genes in the mouse. Dev. Biol 1997;185:201–214. [PubMed: 9187083]
- Beck S, Hanson I, Kelley A, Pappin DJC, Trowsdale J. A homolog of the *Drosophila female sterile homeotic (fsh)* gene in the class II region of the human MHC. J. DNA Seq. Map 1992;2:203–210.
- Bennett D. The T-locus of the mouse. Cell 1975;6:441-454.
- Bennett D, Dunn LC. Effects on embryonic development of a group of genetically similar lethal alleles derived from different populations of wild housed mice. J. Morphol 1958;103:135–158.
- Bernard K, Auphan N, Granjeaud S, Victorero G, Schmitt-Verhulst AM, Jordan BR, Nguyen C. Multiplex messenger assay: simultaneous, quantitative measurement of expression of many genes in the context of T cell activation. Nucleic Acids Res 1996;24:1435–1442. [PubMed: 8628675]
- Besmer P, Manova K, Duttlinger R, Huang EJ, Packer A, Gyssler C, Bachvarova RF. The kit-ligand (steel factor) and its receptor c-kit/W: pleiotropic roles in gametogenesis and melanogenesis. Development 1993 Suppl.:125–137.
- Brinster RL, Avarbock MR. Germline transmission of donor haplotype following spermatogonial transplantation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1994;91:11303–11307. [PubMed: 7972054]
- Brinster RL, Zimmermann JW. Spermatogenesis following male germ-cell transplantation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1994;91:11298–11302. [PubMed: 7972053]
- Buehr, M.; McLaren, A. Isolation and culture of primordial germ cells. In: Wassarman, PM.; DePamphilis, ML., editors. Methods in Enzymology: Guide to techniques in mouse development. Vol. Vol. 225. San Diego: Academic Press; 1993. p. 58-77.
- Buehr M, McLaren A, Bartley A, Darling S. Proliferation and migration of primordial germ cells in We/We mouse embryos. Dev. Dynamics 1993;198:182–189.
- Cheng L, Gearing DP, White LS, Compton DL, Schooley K, Donovan PJ. Role of leukemia inhibitory factor and its receptor in mouse primordial germ cell growth. Development 1994;120:3145–3153. [PubMed: 7720558]
- Chiquoine AD. The Identification, origin, and migration of the primordial germ cells in the mouse embryo. Anat. Rec 1954;118:135–146. [PubMed: 13138919]
- Clouthier DE, Avarbock MR, Maika SD, Hammer RE, Brinster RL. Rat spermatogenesis in mouse testis. Nature 1996;381:418–421. [PubMed: 8632797]
- Cooke, JE.; Godin, I.; Ffrench-Constant, C.; Heasman, J.; Wylie, CC. Culture and manipulation of primordial germ cells. In: Wassarman, PM.; DePamphilis, ML., editors. Methods in Enzymology: Guide to techniques in mouse development. Vol. Vol. 225. San Diego: Academic Press; 1993. p. 37-57.
- Copp AJ, Roberts HM, Polani PE. Chimerism of primordial germ cells in the early post-implantation mouse embryo following microsurgical grafting of posterior primitive streak cells *in vitro*. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol 1986;95:95–115. [PubMed: 3641878]
- Coucouvanis EC, Jones PP. Changes in protooncogene expression correlated with general and sexspecific differentiation in murine primordial germ cells. Mech. Dev 1993;42:49–58. [PubMed: 8369223]
- De Felici M, Dolci S. *In vitro* adhesion of mouse fetal germ cells to extracellular matrix components. Cell Differ. Dev 1989;26:87–96. [PubMed: 2706569]

Abe et al.

- De Felici M, Pesce M. Growth factors in in mouse primordial germ cell migration and proliferation. Prog. Growth Factor Res 1994;5:135–143. [PubMed: 7919220]
- De Felici M, Dolci S, Pesce M. Cellular and molecular aspects of mouse primordial germ cell migration and proliferation in culture. Int. J. Dev. Biol 1992;36:205–213. [PubMed: 1381942]
- Denis GV, Green MR. A novel, mitogen-activated nuclear kinase is related to a *Drosophila developmental* regulator. Genes Dev 1996;10:261–271. [PubMed: 8595877]
- Donovan PJ. Growth factor regulation of mouse primordial germ cell development. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol 1994;29:189–225. [PubMed: 7530182]
- Donovan PJ, Stott D, Cairns LA, Heasman J, Wylie CC. Migratory and postmigratory mouse primordial germ cells behave differently in culture. Cell 1986;44:831–838. [PubMed: 3955652]
- Duncan MK, Lieman J, Chada KK. The germ cell deficient locus maps to mouse chromosome 11A2-3. Mammal. Genome 1995;6:697–699.
- Dworkin MB, Dawid IB. Use of a cloned library for the study of abundant poly(A)+RNA during Xenopus laevis development. Dev. Biol 1980;76:449–464. [PubMed: 7390013]
- Eddy, EM.; Hahnel, AC. Establishment of the germ cell line in mammals. In: McLaren, A.; Wylie, CC., editors. Current problems in germ cell differentiation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1983. p. 41-69.
- Ellis RE, Kimble J. Control of germ cell differentiation in Caenorhabditis elegans. Ciba Found Symp 1994;182:179–188. discussion 189-92. [PubMed: 7835149]
- Enders GC, May JJ. Developmentally regulated expression of a mouse germ cell nuclear antigen examined from embryonic day 11 to adult in male and female. Dev. Biol 1994;163:331–340. [PubMed: 8200475]
- England MA, Swan AP, Dane P. The migration of amphibian primordial germ cells in the chick embryo. Scan. Electron Microsc 1986;Pt3:1175–1182. [PubMed: 3798020]
- Escalante-Alcalde D, Recillas-Targa F, Hernandez-Garcia D, Castro-Obregon S, Terao M, Garattini E, Covarrubias L. Retinoic acid and methylation cis-regulatory elements control the mouse tissue non-specific alkaline phosphatase gene expression. Mech. Dev 1996;57:21–32. [PubMed: 8817450]
- Ffrench-Constant C, Hollingsworth A, Heasman J, Wylie CC. Response to fibronectin of mouse primordial germ cells before, during and after migration. Development 1991;113:1365–1373. [PubMed: 1688357]
- Fodor SP, Rava RP, Huang XC, Pease AC, Holmes CP, Adams CL. Multiplexed biochemical assays with biological chips. Nature 1993;364:555–556. [PubMed: 7687751]
- Forrester LM, Nagy A, Sam M, Watt A, Stevenson L, Bernstein A, Joyner AL, Wurst W. An induction gene trap screen in embryonic stem cells: Identification of genes that respond to retinoic acid *in vitro*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1996;93:1677–1682. [PubMed: 8643689]
- Friedrich G, Soriano P. Promoter traps in embryonic stem cells: a genetic screen to identify and. Genes Dev 1991;5:1513–1523. [PubMed: 1653172]
- Froussard P. rPCR: a powerful tool for random amplification of whole RNA sequences. PCR Methods Appl 1993;2:185–190. [PubMed: 7680262]
- Fujimoto T, Ukeshima A, Kiyofuji R. The origin, migration and morphology of the primordial germ cells in the chick embryo. Anat. Rec 1976;185:139–145. [PubMed: 945007]
- Fujimoto T, Yoshinaga K, Kono I. Distribution of fibronectin on the migratory pathway of primordial germ cells in mice. Anat. Rec 1985;211:271–278. [PubMed: 3993980]
- Fujiwara Y, Komiya T, Kawabata H, Sato M, Fujimoto H, Furusawa M, Noce T. Isolation of a DEADfamily protein gene that encodes a murine homolog of *Drosophila* vasa and its specific expression in germ cell lineage. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1994;91:12258–12262. [PubMed: 7991615]
- Garcia-Castro MI, Anderson R, Heasman J, Wylie C. Interactions between germ cells and extracellular matrix glycoproteins during migration and gonad assembly in the mouse embryo. J. Cell Biol 1997;138:471–480. [PubMed: 9230086]
- Gardner RL, Beddington RSP. Multi-lineage 'stem' cells in the mammalian embryo. J. Cell Sci 1988;10 Suppl.:11–27.

- Gardner RL, Lyon MF, Evans EP, Burtenshaw MD. Clonal analysis of X-chromosome inactivation and the origin of the germ line in the mouse embryo. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol 1985;88:349–363. [PubMed: 4078538]
- Ginsburg M, Snow MHL, McLaren A. Primordial germ cells in the mouse during gastrulation. Development 1990;110:521–528. [PubMed: 2133553]
- Godin I, Wylie CC. TGF beta 1 inhibits proliferation and has a chemotropic effect on mouse primordial germ cells in culture. Development 1991;113:1451–1457. [PubMed: 1811953]
- Godin I, Wylie CC, Heasman J. Genital ridges exert long range effects on lmouse primordial germ cell numbers and direction of migration in culture. Development 1990;108:357–363. [PubMed: 2351075]
- Goh KL, Yang JT, Hynes RO. Mesodermal defects and cranial neural crest apoptosis in a5 integrinnull embryos. Development 1997;124:4309–4319. [PubMed: 9334279]
- Gomperts M, Garcia-Castro M, Wylie C, Heasman J. Interactions between primordial germ cells play a role in their migration in mouse embryos. Development 1994a;120:135–141. [PubMed: 8119122]
- Gomperts M, Wylie C, Heasman J. Primordial germ cell migration. Ciba Found. Symp 1994b; 182:121–134. discussion 134-9. [PubMed: 7530617]
- Gossler A, Joyner AL, Rossant J, Skarnes WC. Mouse embryonic stem cells and reporter constructs to detect developmentally regulated genes. Science 1989;244:463–465. [PubMed: 2497519]
- Granjeaud S, Nguyen C, Rocha D, Luton R, Jordan BR. From hybridization image to numerical values: a practical, high throughput quantification system for high density filter hybridizations. Genet Anal 1996;12:151–162. [PubMed: 8673741]
- Gress TM, Hoheisel JD, Lennon GG, Zehetner G, Lehrach H. Hybridization fingerprinting of highdensity cDNA-library arrays with cDNA pools derived from whole tissues. Mammal. Genome 1992;3:609–619.
- Hahnel AC, Eddy EM. Cell surface markers of mouse promordial germ cells defined by two monoclonal antibodies. Gamete Res 1986;15:25–34.
- Hahnel AC, Eddy EM. The distribution of two cell surface determinants of mouse embryonal carcinoma and early embryonic cells. J Reprod. Immunol 1987;10:89–110. [PubMed: 3598980]
- Hahnel AC, Rappolee DA, Millán JL, Manes T, Ziomek CA, Theodosiou NG, Werb Z, Pederson RA, Schultz GA. Two alkaline phosphatase genes are expressed during early development in the mouse embryo. Development 1990;110:555–564. [PubMed: 2133555]
- Harrison SM, Dunwoodie SL, Arkell RM, Lehrach H, Beddington RSP. Isolation of novel tissuespecific genes from cDNA libraries representing the individual tissue constituents of the gastrulating mouse embryo. Development 1995;121:2479–2489. [PubMed: 7671812]
- Hatano O, Takayama K, Imai T, Waterman MR, Takakusu A, Omura T, Morohashi K. Sex-dependent expression of a transcription factor, Ad4BP, regulating steroidogenic P-450 genes in the gonads during prenatal and postnatal rat development. Development 1994;120:2787–2797. [PubMed: 7607070]
- Haynes SR, Mozer BA, Bhatia-Dey N, Dawid IB. The *Drosophila fsh* locus, a maternal effect homeotic gene encodes apparent membrane proteins. Dev. Biol 1989;134:246–257. [PubMed: 2567251]
- Heasman J, Hynes RO, Swan AP, Thomas V, Wylie CC. Primordial germ cells of Xenopus embryos: the role of fibronectin in their adhesion during migration. Cell 1981;27:437–447. [PubMed: 6101198]
- Heller RA, Schena M, Chai A, Shalon D, Bedilion T, Gilmore J, Woolley DE, Davis RW. Discovery and analysis of inflammatory disease-related genes using cDNA microarrays. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1997;94:2150–2155. [PubMed: 9122163]
- Hillier LD, Lennon G, Becker M, Bonaldo MF, Chiapelli B, Chissoe S, Dietrich N, Dubuque T, Favello A, Gish W, Hawkins M, Hultman M, Kucaba T, Lacy M, Le M, Le N, Mardis E, Moore B, Morris M, Parsons J, Prange C, Rifkin L, Rohlfing T, Schellenberg, Bento Soares M, Tan F, Thierry-Meg J, Trevaskis E, Underwood K, Wohldman P, Waterston R, Wilson R, Marra M. Generation and analysis of 280,000 human expressed sequence tags. Genome Res 1996;6:807– 828. [PubMed: 8889549]

- Holzschu D, Lapierre L, Neubaum D, Mark WH. A molecular strategy designed for the rapid screening of gene traps based on sequence identity and gene expression pattern in adult mice. Transgenic Res 1997;6:97–106. [PubMed: 9032982]
- Hoog C. Isolation of a large number of novel mammalian genes by a differential cDNA library screening strategy. Nucleic Acids Res 1991;19:6123–6127. [PubMed: 1659684]
- Jaglarz MK, Howard KR. The active migration of *Drosophila* primordial germ cells. Development 1995;121:3495–3503. [PubMed: 8582264]
- Kawamoto S, Matsumoto Y, Mizuno K, Okubo K, Matsubara K. Expression profiles of active genes in human and mouse livers. Gene 1996;174:151–158. [PubMed: 8863742]
- Kawase E, Yamamoto H, Hashimoto K, Nakatsuji N. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha) stimulates proliferation of mouse primordial germ cells in culture. Dev. Biol 1994;161:91–95. [PubMed: 7507449]
- Kelley C, Blumberg H, Zon LI, Evans T. GATA-4 is a novel transcription factor expressed in endocardium of the developing heart. Development 1993;118:817–827. [PubMed: 8076520]
- Khan AS, Wilcox AS, Polymeropoulos MH, Hopkins JA, Stevens TJ, Robinson M, Orpana AK, Sikela JM. Single pass sequencing and physical and genetic mapping of human brain cDNAs. Nature Genet 1992;2:180–185. [PubMed: 1345165]
- Kishimoto T, Taga T, Akira S. Cytokine signal transduction. Cell 1994;76:253–262. [PubMed: 8293462]
- Ko MSH. An 'equalized cDNA library' by the reassociation of short double-stranded cDNAs. Nucleic Acids Res 1990;18:5705–5711. [PubMed: 2216762]
- Ko, MSH. Equalized cDNA libraries. In: Larrick, JW.; Siebert, PD., editors. Reverse Transcriptase PCR. London: Ellis Horwood; 1995. p. 245-264.
- Ko MSH, Ko SBH, Takahashi N, Nishiguichi K, Abe K. Unbiased amplification of a highly complex mixture of DNA fragments by "lone linker"-tagged PCR. Nucleic Acids Res 1990a;18:4293–4294. [PubMed: 2377489]
- Ko MSH, Nakauchi H, Takahashi N. The dose dependence of glucocorticoid-inducible gene expression results from changes in the number of transcriptionally active templates. EMBO J 1990b;9:2835–2842. [PubMed: 2167833]
- Ko MSH, Wang X, Horton JH, Hagen MD, Takahashi N, Maezaki Y, Nadeau JH. Genetic mapping of 40 cDNA clones on the mouse genome by PCR. Mammal. Genome 1994;5:349–355.
- Komiya T, Tanigawa Y, Hirohashi S. A large scale *in situ* hybridization with an equalized cDNA library. Anal. Biochem 1997;254:23–30. [PubMed: 9398341]
- Koshimizu U, Taga T, Watanabe M, Saito M, Shirayoshi Y, Kishimoto T, Nakatsuji N. Functional requirement of gp130-mediated signaling for growth and survival of mouse primordial germ cells *in vitro* and derivation of embryonic germ (EG) cells. Development 1996;122:1235–1242.
 [PubMed: 8620850]
- Kreidberg JA, Sariola H, Loring JM, Maeda M, Pelletier J, Housman D, Jaenisch R. WT-1 is required for early kidney development. Cell 1993;74:679–691. [PubMed: 8395349]
- Kuwabara PE. Worming your way through the genome. Trends Genet 1997;13:455–460. [PubMed: 9385843]
- Kuwana T, Maeda-Suga H, Fujimoto T. Attraction of chick primordial germ cells by gonadal anlage *in vitro*. Anat. Rec 1986;215:403–406. [PubMed: 3740475]
- Lashkari DA, Derisi JL, McCusker JH, Namath AF, Gentile C, Hwang SY, Brown PO, Davis RW. Yeast microarrays for genome wide parallel genetic and gene expression analysis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1997;94:13057–13062. [PubMed: 9371799]
- Lawson, K.; Hage, W. Germline development. Vol. 182. Wiley, Chichester: Ciba Found. Symp.; 1994. Clonal analysis of the origin of primordial germ cells in the mouse; p. 68-91.
- Lennon GG, Lehrach H. Hybridization analyses of arrayed cDNA libraries. Trends Genet 1991;7:314– 317. [PubMed: 1781028]
- Lin TP, Labosky PA, Grabel LB, Kozak CA, Pitman JL, Kleeman J, MacLeod CL. The Pem homeobox gene is X-linked and exclusively expressed in extraembryonic tissues during early murine development. Dev. Biol 1994;166:170–179. [PubMed: 7958444]

- Lyon, MF.; Rastan, S.; Brown, SDM. Genetic variants and strains of the laboratory mouse. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1996.
- MacGregor GR, Mogg AE, Burke JF, Caskey CT. Histochemical staining of clonal mammalian cell lines expressing E.coli beta-galactosidase indicates heterogeneous expression of the bacterial gene. Somatic Cell Mol. Genet 1987;13:253–265.
- MacGregor, GR.; Nolan, GP.; Fiering, S.; Roederer, M.; Herzenberg, LA. Use of E.coli lacZ (betagalactosidase) as a reporter gene. In: Murray, EJ., editor. Gene Transfer and Expression Protocols. Vol. Vol. 7. Clifton: Humana Press; 1991.
- MacGregor GR, Zambrowicz BP, Soriano P. Tissue nonspecific alkaline phosphatase is expressed in both embryonic and extra-embryonic lineages during mouse embryogenesis but is not required for migration of primordial germ cells. Development 1995;121:1487–1496. [PubMed: 7789278]
- Marziali G, Lazzaro D, Sorrentino V. Binding of germ cells to mutant Sld Sertoli cells is defective and is rescued by expression of the transmembrane form of the c-kit ligand. Dev. Biol 1993;157:182–190. [PubMed: 7683283]
- Matsubara N, Takahashi Y, Nishina Y, Mukouyama Y, Yanagisawa M, Watanabe T, Nakano T, Nomura K, Arita H, Nishimune Y, Obinata M, Matsui Y. A receptor tyrosine kinase, Sky, and its ligand Gas 6 are expressed in gonads and support primordial germ cell growth or survival in culture. Dev. Biol 1996;180:499–510. [PubMed: 8954722]
- McCarrey, JR. Development of the Germ Cell. In: Desjardins, C.; Ewing, LL., editors. Cell and Molecular Biology of the Testis. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1993. p. 58-89.
- McCarrey JR, Hsu KC, Eddy EM, Klevecz RR, Bolen JL. Isolation of viable mouse primordial germ cells by antibody directed flow sorting. J. Exp. Zool 1987;242:107–111. [PubMed: 3598509]
- McKay RG, A.T H, Adams EC, Danziger S. Histochemical observations on the germ cells of human embryos. Anat. Rec 1953;117:201–219. [PubMed: 13104988]
- McLaren A. Studies on mouse germ cells inside and outside the gonad. J. Exp. Zool 1983;228:161– 171.
- McLaren A. The developmental history of female germ cells in mammals. Oxford Rev. Reprod. Biol 1988;10:162–179.
- McLaren A. Germ cell sex determination. Semin. Dev. Biol 1993;4:171-177.
- McLaren A. Development of primordial germ cells in the mouse. Andrologia 1994;24:243–247. [PubMed: 1530150]
- McLaren A, Southee D. Entry of mouse embryonic germ cells into meiosis. Dev. Biol 1997;187:107– 113. [PubMed: 9224678]
- McLaren, A.; Wylie, CC. Current problems in germ cell differentiation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1983.
- Meier-Ewert S, Maier E, Ahmadi A, Curtis J, Lehrach H. An automated approach to generating expressed sequence catalogues. Nature 1993;361:375–376. [PubMed: 8426656]
- Narita N, Bielinska M, Wilson DB. Wild-type endoderm abrogates the ventral developmental defects associated with GATA-4 deficiency in the mouse. Dev. Biol 1997;189:270–274. [PubMed: 9299119]
- Nguyen C, Rocha D, Granjeaud S, Baldit M, Bernard K, Naquet P, Jordan BR. Differential gene expression in the murine thymus assayed by quantitative hybridization of arrayed cDNA clones. Genomics 1995;29:207–216. [PubMed: 8530073]
- Nolan GP, Fiering S, Nicolas JF, Herzenberg LA. Fluorescence-activated cell analysis and sorting of viable mammalian cells based on beta-D-galactosidase activity after transduction of Escherichia coli lacZ. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1988;85:2603–2607. [PubMed: 3128790]
- Okubo K, Hori N, Matoba R, Niiyama T, Fukushima A, Kojima Y, Matsubara K. Large scale cDNA sequencing for analysis of quantitative and qualitative aspects of gene expression. Nature Genet 1992;2:173–179. [PubMed: 1345164]
- Okubo K, Itoh K, Fukushima A, Yoshii J, Matsubara K. Monitoring cell physiology by expression profiles and discovering cell type-specific genes by compiled expression profiles. Genomics 1995;30:178–186. [PubMed: 8586417]
- Ozdzenski W. Observations on the origin of primordial germ cells in the mouse. Zool. Pol 1967;17:367–379.

- Pellas TC, Ramachandran B, Duncan M, Pan SS, Marone M, Chada K. Germ-cell deficient (gcd), an insertional mutation manifested as infertility in transgenic mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1991;88:8787–8791. [PubMed: 1924340]
- Pesce M, De Felici M. Purification of mouse primordial germ cells by miniMACS magnetic separation system. Dev. Biol 1995;170:722–725. [PubMed: 7649397]
- Rowe LB, Nadeau JH, Turner R, Frankel WN, Letts VA, Eppig JT, Ko MSH, Thurston SJ, Birkenmeier EH. Maps from two interspecific backcross DNA panels available as a community genetic mapping resource. Mammal. Genome 1994;5:253–274.
- Russell LD, Brinster RL. Ultrastructural observations of spermatogenesis following transplantation of rat testis cells into mouse seminiferous tubules. J. Androl 1996;17:615–627. [PubMed: 9016391]
- Russell LD, Franca LR, Brinster RL. Ultrastructural observations of spermatogenesis in mice resulting from transplantation of mouse spermatogonia. J. Androl 1996;17:603–614. [PubMed: 9016390]
- Sambrook, J.; Fritsch, EF.; Maniatis, T. Molecular cloning. Cold Spring Harbor: CSHL Press; 1989.
- Schena M, Shalon D, Davis RW, Brown PO. Quantitative monitoring of gene expression patterns with a complementary DNA microarray. Science 1995;270:467–470. [PubMed: 7569999]
- Scholer H, Ruppert S, Suzuki N, Chowdury K, Gruss P. New type of POU domain in germ-line specific protein. Nature 1990;344:435–439. [PubMed: 1690859]
- Schultheiss TM, Xydas S, Lassar AB. Induction of avian cardiac myogenesis by anterior endoderm. Development 1995;121:4203–4214. [PubMed: 8575320]
- Skarnes WC, Auerbach BA, Joyner AL. A gene trap approach in mouse embryonic stem cells: the lacZ reported is activated by splicing, reflects endogenous gene expression, and is mutagenic in mice. Genes Dev 1992;6:903–918. [PubMed: 1592261]
- Skarnes WC, Moss JE, Hurtley SM, Beddington RS. Capturing genes encoding membrane and secreted proteins important for mouse development. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1995;92:6592– 6596. [PubMed: 7604039]
- Snow MHL. Autonomous development of parts isolaed from primitive-streak-stage mouse embryos. Is development clonal? J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol 1981;65:269–287. [PubMed: 7334310]
- Snow, MHL.; Monk, M. Emergence and migration of mouse primordial germ cells. In: McLaren, A.; Wylie, CC., editors. Current problems in germ cell differentiation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1983. p. 115-135.
- Soudais C, Bielinska M, Heikinheimo M, Macarthur CA, Narita N, Saffitz JE, Simon MC, Leiden JM, Wilson DB. Targeted mutagenesis of the transcription factor GATA-4 gene in mouse embryonic stem cells disrupts visceral endoderm differentiation *in vitro*. Development 1995;121:3877–3888. [PubMed: 8582296]
- Stewart CL, Kaspar P, Brunet LJ, Bhatt H, Gadi I, Kontgen F, Abbondanzo SJ. Blastocyst implantation depends on maternal expression of leukaemia inhibitory factor. Nature 1992;359:76–79. [PubMed: 1522892]
- Szabo PE, Mann JR. Biallelic expression of imprinted genes in the mouse germ line: implications for erasure, establishment, and mechanisms of genomic imprinting. Genes Dev 1995;9:1857–1868. [PubMed: 7649473]
- Takahashi N, Ko MSH. The short 3'-end region of complementary DNAs as PCR-based polymorphic markers for an expression map of the mouse genome. Genomics 1993;16:161–168. [PubMed: 8486351]
- Takahashi N, Ko MSH. Toward a whole cDNA catalog: construction of an equalized cDNA library from mouse embryos. Genomics 1994;23:202–210. [PubMed: 7829072]
- Takahashi N, Hashida H, Zhao N, Misumi Y, Sakaki Y. High-density cDNA filter analysis of the expression profiles of the genes preferentially expressed in human brain. Gene 1995;164:219– 227. [PubMed: 7590334]
- Tam PP, Zhou SX. The allocation of epiblast cells to ectodermal and germ-line lineages is influenced by the position of the cells in the gastrulating mouse embryo. Dev. Biol 1996;178:124–132. [PubMed: 8812114]
- Tam PP, Zhou SX, Tan SS. X-chromosome activity of the mouse primordial germ cells revealed by the expression of an X-linked lacZ transgene. Development 1994;120:2925–2932. [PubMed: 7607082]

- Tam PPL, Snow MHL. Proliferation and migration of primordial germ cells during compensatory growth in mouse embryos. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol 1981;64:133–147. [PubMed: 7310300]
- Timberlake WE. Developmental gene regulation in Aspergillus nidulans. Dev. Biol 1980;78:497–510. [PubMed: 6997115]
- Urven LE, Abbott UK, Erickson CA. Distribution of extracellular matrix in the migratory pathway of avian primordial germ cells. Anat. Rec 1989;224:14–21. [PubMed: 2499221]
- Velculescu VE, Zhang L, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW. Serial analysis of gene expression. Science 1995;270:484–487. [PubMed: 7570003]
- Whitington PM, Dixon KE. Quantitative studies of germ plasm and germ cells during early embryogenesis of *Xenopus laevis*. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol 1975;33:57–74. [PubMed: 1151270]
- Williamson A, Lehmann R. Germ cell development in *Drosophila*. Annu. Rev.Cell Dev. Biol 1996;12:365–391. [PubMed: 8970731]
- Wodicka L, Dong H, Mittmann M, Ho M-S, Lockhart DJ. Genome-wide exprssion monitoring in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nature Biotech 1997;15:1359–1367.
- Wurst W, Rossant J, Prideaux V, Kownacka M, Joyner A, Hill DP, Guillemot F, Gasca S, Cado D, Auerbach A. A large-scale gene-trap screen for insertional mutations in developmentally regulated genes in mice. Genetics 1995;139:889–899. [PubMed: 7713439]
- Wylie CC, Heasman J. Migration, proliferation and potency of primordial germ cells. Semin. Dev. Biol 1993;4:161–170.
- Yanagisawa M, Mukouyama Y, Watanabe T, Obinata M, Matsui Y. A novel serine/threonine kinase gene, Gek1, is expressed in meiotic testicular germ cells and primordial germ cells. Mol. Reprod. Dev 1996;45:411–420. [PubMed: 8956278]
- Yang JT, Rayburn H, Hynes RO. Embryonic mesodermal defects in α5 integrin deficient mice. Development 1993;119:1093–1105. [PubMed: 7508365]
- Yokoyama M, Nishi Y, Yoshii J, Okubo K, Matsubara K. Identification and cloning of neuroblastomaspecific and nerve tissue-specific genes through compiled expression profiles. DNA Res 1996;3:311–320. [PubMed: 9039501]
- Zamboni L, Upadhyay S. Germ cell differentiation in mouse adrenal glands. J. Exp. Zool 1983;228:178–193.
- Zeng J, Gorski RA, Hamer D. Differential cDNA cloning by enzymatic degrading subtraction (EDS). Nucleic Acids Res 1994;22:4381–4385. [PubMed: 7971268]
- Zhang L, Zhou W, Velculescu VE, Kern SE, Hruban RH, Hamilton SR, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW. Gene expression profiles in normal and cancer cells. Science 1997;276:1268–1272. [PubMed: 9157888]
- Zhao N, Hashida H, Takahashi N, Misumi Y, Sakaki Y. Highdensity cDNA filter analysis: a novel approach for large-scale, quantitative analysis of gene expression. Gene 1995;156:207–213. [PubMed: 7758958]



Fig. 1. Marking of the embryonic germ lineage with *lacZ* expression in $TNAP^{\beta-geo}$ mouse embryos

(A) Expression of TNAP^{β -geo} in PGCs at the base of the allantois (al) in e7.5 mouse embryos as indicated by X-gal staining. The left embryo is heterozygous for TNAP^{β -geo}, right embryo is wild-type (negative control). (B) Heterozygous TNAP^{β -geo} embryo at e8.5. At this stage, the PGCs are located within the hindgut endoderm [see transverse section indicated by white line, shown in (C)]. (C) Transverse section of embryo in Figure 1B. The PGCs (arrowheads) at this stage are masked by expression of TNAP^{β -geo} in overlying neurectoderm (n) and mesoderm (m), (h) hindgut endoderm, (v) vitelline artery. (D) PGCs at e9.5 are located in developing hindgut (arrowhead). (E) By e10.5, PGCs have arrived in genital ridge (arrowhead). (F) At e14, gonocytes continue to express TNAP^{β -geo} and are located in the differentiating gonad (g); (r), ribs. The embryo has been cut transversely, eviscerated and the caudal half stood upright to permit observation of the gonads. Abe et al.



Fig. 2.

(A). Purification of PECs from TNAP^{β -geo} embryos. FACS profile of disaggregated gonads isolated from e12.5 wild-type embryos (left) or embryos obtained from a cross involving a TNAP^{β -geo} parent (right). The cells were stained with FDG to detect lacZ activity. X-axis indicates fluorescence, Y-axis indicates viability (propidium iodide exclusion). The F(+) population is boxed in red (right panel). (B) Alkaline phosphatase (AP) staining of the F(+) sorted cells from the e12.5 embryonic gonads. Inset – higher magnification of a single AP(+) cell. (C) AP staining of the F(-) sorted cells from e12.5 gonads. (D) The F(+) sorted cells of a e12.5 embryonic gonad were stained with the ACK2 antibody that defines c-kit expression using streptavidin-biotin peroxidase conjugate (Histostain SP kit, Zymed, CA).

The red color indicates a positive reaction. Inset –higher magnification of c-kit positive cell. (E) Immunocytochemical staining of F(+) cells sorted from an e13.5 TNAP^{β-geo} gonad using the EMA-1 antibody. Cells were stained as in (D) except tetramethyl benzidine (blue color) was used to detect a positive immunoreactivity. Cells were counter-stained with nuclear fast red to reveal nuclei. Figure from Abe et al. (1996), used with permission.



Fig. 3. Hybridization signature approach to analyze gene expression See main text for description of details.



Fig. 4. Use of AIS software to quantitate relative levels of gene expression

A 22×22cm hybridization filter containing approximately 36864 (4×4×384) individual mouse genomic DNA BAC clones spotted in 4×4 arrays was hybridized with a complex probe, washed and exposed to a phospho-storage screen. The plate was read by a phospho-imager (Fuji BAS 2000) and the image directly imported by the AIS software program. The screen-shot illustrates a grid used by the software to define individual areas that are subjected to relative quantitation. The grid represents one sixth of the entire filter. The software locates spots, quantitates the signals and converts them into numerical data that can be imported to any spread-sheet application. Signals are normalized to a standard reference set of target sequences on the filter. The AIS software can also compare one hybridization 'signature' with another and present the results in either a numerical or graphic format. More recently, EXPANEL software has been developed to perform relative quantitation of hybridization signatures (M.S.H.K., unpublished). The EXPANEL application provides a 2-dimensional color-graded graphical representation of differential expression of addressed cDNAs.

Abe et al.



Fig. 5. PCR based analysis of gene expression in PGCs and somatic tissues from mouse embryos (**A**) Expression of M 96, Dlg2-like, fsh-related and Hprt (control) was determined by PCR analysis of the following cDNA samples-(M)-marker; (1) e6.5 epiblast; (2) e11.5 PGC; (3) e12.5 PGC; (4) e13.5 PGC; (5) e13.5 male PGC; (6) e13.5 female PGC; (7) e12.5 F(–) somatic cells. (**B**) Expression of fsh-related gene (H0503B12) during embryonic development and in adult mouse tissues. Northern blots containing adult (upper panel) or embryonic mouse total RNA (lower panel) were hybridized with a fsh-related cDNA. (1) heart; (2) brain; (3) spleen; (4) lung; (5) liver; (6) muscle; (7) kidney; (8) testis; (9) e7.5; (10) e11.5; (11) e15.5; (12) e17.5. Molecular weight sizes are in kb. (data courtesy of Rhonda H. Nicholson). (**C**) H0503B12 (fsh-related) maps to mouse chromosome 17 within the t-complex. fsh-related was mapped using an inter-specific species backcross panel (Rowe et al., 1994). (Data courtesy of Xueqian Wang).

TABLE 1

Discrete stages in germ line development during mouse embryogenesis

age (sex)	location and developmental stage of PGCs or gonocytes
e7.25	PGCs at the base of the allantois
e8.75 - e9.25	PGCs mostly in hindgut endoderm, non-migrating, mitotic (12-18 somite stage)
e10.25 - 10.5	PGCs mostly in hindgut mesentery, migrating, mitotic (30-35 somite stage)
e11.5	All PGCs in genital ridge, non-migrating, mitotic
e12.5	gonadal differentiation initiates, PGCs mitotic
e13.5 (M)	testis discernible, PGCs differentiate into M-prospermatogonia, mitotic
e13.5 (F)	ovary discernible, PGCs differentiate into oogonia, some enter meiotic prophase = oocyte
e15.5 (M)	T1-prospermatogonia, in mitotic arrest
e15.5 (F)	few oogonia; mostly oocytes in meiotic prophase

TABLE 2

Example of blastn search results of cDNA clones from mixture of male and female e13.5 PGCs

Clone #	Reads	$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{S}}$	Putative ID (Blastn score > 800) or Similar to (Blastn score >300)	Accession	Score	P value
H0501A01-3	648	Г	UNKNOWN	D10471	132	8.30E-00
H0501A04-3	567	0	UNKNOWN	X07077	135	5.60E-00
H0501A12-3	564	9	UNKNOWN	D10444	130	8.70E-00
H0501B01-3	613	10	Mouse mRNA for ubiquitin, score = 1490	X51703	1490	0.00E+01
H0501B02-3	490	9	Rat mRNA for ribosomal protein L27, score = 1313	X07424	1313	0.00E+01
H0503A03-3	641	б	Human peptidyl-prolyl isomerase and essential mitotic, score = 1448	U49070	1448	0.00E+01
H0503A07-3	723	87	UNKNOWN	X63234	194	1.10E-04
H0503A11-3	291	0	UNKNOWN	M99063	122	9.90E-00
H0503A12-3	568	0	UNKNOWN, similar to Human splicing factor SRp55-1 (SRp-55) mRNA, complete cds.	U30883	390	0.00E+01
H0503B01-3	670	86	UNKNOWN		0	0.00E+01
H0503B03-3	282	22	UNKNOWN, similar to M.musculus mRNA for 17 beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase	X89998	331	0.00E+01
H0503B04-3	614	26	Mouse single stranded DNA binding protein p9 mRNA, complete, score = 1394	J03750	1394	0.00E+01
H0503B07-3	522	7	UNKNOWN	U12235	144	1.30E-00
H0503B12-3	595	46	UNKNOWN, similar to H.sapiens mRNA for Drosophila female sterile homeotic (FSH)	X62083	487	0.00E+01
H0504A07-3	162	0	UNKNOWN, similar to Rat matrin 3 mRNA.	M63485	471	0.00E+01
H0504A09-3	677	71	UNKNOWN, similar to R.rattus mRNA for ribosomal protein L23a	X65228	519	0.00E+01
H0504A10-3	758	38	UNKNOWN, similar to H.sapiens mRNA for DLG2	X82895	594	0.00E+01
H0504A12-3	702	18	M96 = metal response element DNA-binding protein	S78454	1938	0.00E+01
H0505A03-3	604	19	UNKNOWN		0	0.00E+01
H0505A05-3	421	36	UNKNOWN		0	0.00E+01
H0505A06-3	530	18	Rat mRNA for ribosomal protein S13, score = 2015	X53378	2015	0.00E+01
H0505A09-3	722	76	Mouse 24.6 kda protein mRNA, complete cds., score = 1521	M93980	1521	0.00E+01