AUU-to-AUG mutation in the initiator codon of the translation initiation factor IF3 abolishes translational autocontrol of its own gene (infC) in vivo

(protein synthesis control/site-specific mutagenesis)

J. SCOTT BUTLER*, MATHIAS SPRINGERt, AND MARIANNE GRUNBERG-MANAGOt

*Department of Biochemistry, University of Rochester Medical Center, ⁶⁰¹ Elmwood Avenue, Rochester, NY 14642; and tInstitut de Biologie Physico-Chimique, 13, rue Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris 75005, France

Contributed by Marianne Grunberg-Manago, February 20, 1987

ABSTRACT We previously showed that Escherichia coli translation initiation factor IF3 regulates the expression of its own gene infC at the translational level in vivo. Here we create two alterations in the $infC$ gene and test their effects on translational autocontrol of infC expression in vivo by measuring β -galactosidase activity expressed from infC-lacZ gene fusions under conditions of up to 4-fold derepression or 3-fold repression of infC expression. Replacement of the infC promoter with the trp promoter deletes 120 nucleotides of the infC mRNA ⁵' to the translation initiation site without affecting autogenous translational control. Mutation of the unusual AUU initiator codon of infC to the more common AUG initiator codon abolishes translation initiation factor IF3-dependent repression and derepression of infC expression in vivo. These results establish the AUU initiator codon of infC as an essential cis-acting element in autogenous translational control of translation initiation factor IF3 expression in vivo.

The initiation of protein synthesis in *Escherichia coli* requires the activity of three protein factors (IF1, IF2, and IF3) (for reviews, see refs. 1-3). One of these initiation factors, IF3, binds to the 30S ribosomal subunit and shifts the equilibrium between 70S ribosomes and their 50S and 30S ribosomal subunits in favor of the free subunits, thus enhancing the availability of 30S subunits on which protein synthesis initiation begins. In addition, IF3 may play a direct role in the binding of mRNA to the 30S ribosome.

The cellular levels of the protein synthesis initiation factors, like other protein synthesis components, increase with increases in cellular growth rate (4), suggesting a shared system of genetic regulation. However, the genes for each of the factors do not map adjacent to one another, implying that coordinate control of IF gene expression requires separate, but not necessarily independent, control elements. The gene for IF2, infB, lies at 69 min on the E . coli genetic map, and it appears that the product of the adjacent gene, NusA protein, regulates both infB and nusA gene expression at the transcriptional level in vivo $(5, 6)$. The infC gene for IF3 maps at 38 min on the E. coli chromosome (7), adjacent to other genes involved in protein synthesis (8). The genetic organization of infC is very unusual: (i) infC is unique in initiating translation at an AUU codon (9) , (ii) the infC AUU initiator codon lies only three nucleotides ³' to the stop codon of thrS, the gene for threonyl-tRNA ligase (10), and (iii) transcription of the majority of infC mRNAs initiates at ^a promoter, pO', inside the thrS coding sequence and 182 base pairs upstream of the $infC$ initiator AUU (11).

Our recent experiments demonstrated that IF3 regulates expression of its own gene at the translational level in vivo

(11). First, $infC$ mutant strains with elevated expression of structurally altered IF3 do not have corresponding increases in $infC$ mRNA synthesis rates. Second, $infC$ mutant alleles cause derepression of infC-lacZ gene fusions (translation from the translation initiation site of $infC$) but not $infC$ -lacZ operon fusions (translation from the translation initiation site of $lacZ$). Third, a cellular excess of IF3 supplied in trans from a multicopy plasmid represses expression of an infC-lacZ gene fusion without affecting the rate of synthesis of hybrid infC-lacZ mRNA.

In the present work we tested whether the unusual AUU initiator codon plays a role in $\inf C$ translational autoregulation. The results clearly show that a single mutation of AUU-to-AUG abolishes autogenous translational control of $infC$ expression. This result is discussed in light of a theoretical analysis of the $infC$ system by Gold *et al.* (12) that predicted the infC AUU initiator codon to be an essential element in the autoregulatory system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The *E. coli* K-12 strains used here were as described (11). Genetic techniques and β -galactosidase measurements were as described by Miller (13). Molecular cloning techniques were as described by Maniatis et al. (14). Selection and screening of λ monolysogens were as described (15). Measurements of infC-lacZ mRNA levels were made as described (11) with details given in the legend to Table 3.

Construction of M13mpl9TSX3. Our first step in in vitro mutagenesis of infC was to clone the HindIII-Sal I, trpPOcontaining fragment of pDR720 (16) into the same sites in M13mp19 to yield the Lac⁻ derivative M13mp19ptrp4. Next, the promoterless Sal I-Sst II fragment of pUSX15 (11) containing the translation initiation site and the first 54 codons of infC was inserted into the same sites between trpPO and lacZ on M13mpl9ptrp4 to make the Lac' derivative M13mp19TSX3.

Oligonucleotide Directed Site Specific Mutagenesis. We used the deoxyoligonucleotide ⁵' TCCGCCTTTCATACCTTA ³' provided by B. Ehresmann (Institut de Biologie Moleculaire et Cellulaire du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Laboratoire de Biochimie, Strasbourg, France) to mutate the infC AUU initiator codon to AUG using the method of Kunkel (17). The nucleotide sequence of the resulting mutant M13mpl9TSG2 and the parent M13mp19TSX3 was determined (18) by sequencing across the M13mpl9 polylinker at the infC-lacZ fusion all the way to the EcoRI site just ⁵' to trpPO. The change of AUU-to-AUG is the only difference between the two sequences.

Transfer of Wild-Type and Mutant infC-lacZ Fusions to λ . To allow study of our $infC$ -lacZ gene fusions as single copies

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviation: IF, translational initiation factor.

in vivo, we constructed a λ bacteriophage carrying all of the lac operon except lacI, the promoter, the translation initiation site, and the first seven amino acids of lacZ. The EcoRI-Sst II lac fragment of pNM482 (19) was inserted between the left arm, up to the Sst II site of λ NM540 (20), and the right arm, from the far right $EcoRI$ site of λ SCX16 (11). After in vitro packaging we characterized by restriction analysis the cI857, Lac⁻ phage λ NNS4.

The EcoRI fragments containing the trpPO-infC fusion of M13mp19TSX3 and its mutant derivative M13mp19TSG2 were inserted separately into the EcoRI site in front of lac in XNNS4, creating an in-frame gene fusion between infC and $lacZ$. After in vitro packaging and screening of Lac⁺ λ phage, we characterized by restriction analysis the respective derivatives XTSX31 (wild type, AUU) and XTSG25 (mutant, AUG) (Fig. 1).

RESULTS

Replacement of the $infC$ Promoter with the trp Promoter Does Not Affect Translational Autoregulation of infC Expression. We replaced the $infC$ promoter pO' with the controllable trp promoter because changing the infC initiator AUU to AUG in the presence pO' resulted in apparent instability of infC-lacZ gene fusions cloned in λ (J.S.B., unpublished results), possibly due to lethal levels of hybrid IF3-Bgalactosidase expression. The data in Table ¹ show that although IF3- β -galactosidase expression decreases from 519 to 93 units when the infC pO' promoter is replaced with the trp promoter, the level of derepression from the fusions is

FIG. 1. Physical maps of the λ bacteriophage carrying infC-lacZ gene fusions. The λ genomes are abbreviated and drawn in the direction opposite to normal. Boxes above the line represent E . coli DNA. Open boxes below the line represent λ DNA. A prime before or after a gene means that it is incomplete on that side. The arrows represent mRNA transcripts and are preceded by a designation of their promoter. The nucleotide triplets represent the translation initiation codons and the approximate positions in DNA and RNA where infC-lacZ protein synthesis initiates. Y, lacY gene; A, lacA gene; and J, J gene.

exactly the same: the derepression factor is about four in an infCl9 background and about two in an infC37 background whatever promoter is used to express the $infC$ -lac \overline{Z} gene fusion. Similarly, the data in Table 2 show that an excess of IF3 produced from an infC-carrying, multicopy plasmid represses IF3- β -galactosidase expression almost 3-fold with either the trp or the $infC$ pO' promoter. We showed previously that such repression of *infC* pO' gene fusions occurs without changes in the synthesis rate of infC-lacZ mRNA (11), and the data in Table 3 show that, using the trp promoter, the derepression seen in an infCJ9 background occurs without a significant change in the rate of synthesis of infC-lacZ mRNA. These results demonstrate that translational autoregulation of infC expression occurs independent of the nature of the promoter expressing the gene and thereby confirm our previous experimental results showing autogenous translational control of infC expression in vivo (11).

Changing the infC AUU Initiator Codon to AUG Abolishes Translational Autoregulation of infC Expression in Vivo. The unusual usage of an AUU initiator codon in translation of $infC(9)$ and the fact that IF3 regulates its own gene expression at the level of translation (11) suggest that the AUU codon may play a role in the autoregulatory system (12). To test this hypothesis we changed the $infC$ initiator AUU to the more common initiator AUG and tested the ability of IF3 to control its own gene expression by monitoring the levels of IF3- β -galactosidase and infC-lacZ mRNA expressed from infC-lacZ gene fusions under conditions normally causing derepression or repression of infC expression in vivo. Mutation of the AUU initiator codon of $infC$ has two interesting effects on infC expression in vivo. First, initiation of translation with AUG (XTSG25) instead of AUU (XTSX31) increases the expression of an infC-lacZ gene fusion 10-fold in a wild-type background (Table 1) without a comparable increase in infC-lacZ mRNA levels (Table 3). These results indicate that the lower level of expression from the fusion carried by λ TSX31 relative to λ TSG25 is due to a translational, instead of transcriptional, phenomenon. Second, and most dramatically, the mutation of AUU to AUG completely abolishes translational autoregulation of infC expression. Neither infC mutant alleles (Table 1) nor over-production of IF3 in trans (Table 2) affects AUG-initiated translation of the infC-lacZ gene fusion carried by λ TSG25, whereas its AUU counterpart λ TSX31 is normally regulated. We conclude, therefore, that the infC AUU initiator codon is a necessary cis-acting element in the translational autoregulation of $infC$ expression in vivo.

DISCUSSION

The experimental results presented here identify the unusual initiator AUU codon of infC as ^a necessary cis-acting element in autogenous translational control of infC expression in vivo. Replacement of the major promoter pO' of *infC* by the *trp* promoter has no effect on autogenous translational control of \textit{infC} expression, confirming our previous conclusion that IF3 controls its own synthesis at the translational level in vivo J (11). The replacement also deletes the first 120 nucleotides of the leader of the $infC$ mRNA without affecting regulation of)xTSG25 infC expression, indicating that information required for translational autocontrol lies in a region 62 nucleotides ⁵' to the initiator AUU. Because each of the gene fusions used here contains the first 54 codons of $infC$ fused to lacZ we cannot exclude the possibility that control elements lie in the 159 nucleotides 3' to the AUU codon.

> The most important result of our experiments is that a single nucleotide mutation changing the wild-type AUU initiator codon to AUG eliminates autogenous translational control of $infC$ expression. This mutation causes the loss of derepression of infC expression by infC mutant alleles (Table 1) and the loss

Measurements were made on monolysogenic, $\Delta{}lac$ strains IBPC5311 (wild type), IBPC5231 (infCl9), and IBPC5251 (infC37) (11) growing in exponential phase at 30'C in 4-morpholinepropanesulfonic acid (Mops)-glucose culture media (21) supplemented with arginine at 0.05 mg/ml. The doubling times for the strains are 120 min each. The values are the average of five or six measurements \pm SD and are expressed per A650 unit of bacteria as described by Miller (13).

of repression of infC expression by increased cellular levels of IF3 (Table 2) without ^a significant increase in infC-lacZ mRNA levels (Table 3). It is not likely that the increased translational efficiency caused by mutation of AUU-to-AUG can, alone, account for loss of translational autocontrol because excess IF3 represses, 2.5-fold, comparable levels of IF3- β -galactosidase expression from the wild-type fusion on λ SCX16 (Table 2). Instead, the AUU initiator codon must itself specify recognition by the system governing translational autocontrol of infC expression, and its mutation to AUG results, most likely, in ^a combination of derepression of translation initiation due to loss of autocontrol and an increase in efficiency of translation initiation from AUG instead of AUU.

Our earlier experimental results showing autogenous translational control of infC expression (11) and the present results showing its dependency on an AUU initiator codon confirm two predictions of a theoretically based model proposed by Gold et al. (12). In their model $infC$ mRNA is translated in an IF3-independent manner due to the abnormal AUU initiator codon and other unusual features of the translation initiation site of infC. The model predicts that when the IF3 level is low relative to the number of 30S ribosomal subunits, IF3 independent translation of infC mRNA will be preferred to IF3-dependent translation of other cellular mRNAs-causing a relative increase in IF3 levels. The Gold et al. model also predicts twenty-nucleotide base pairing interactions between the translation initiation site of infC mRNA and various domains of the 16S RNA that specify infC mRNA-30S ribosomal subunit interaction in the proposed IF3-independent mode. In this respect, it is somewhat surprising that a single mutation of U-to-G, changing only a single base pair,

should result in complete loss of translational autoregulation. It is, however, possible that the AUU-to-AUG change is enough to favor translation of the mutated infC mRNA in the IF3-dependent mode, which should, if the model is correct, cause loss of autoregulation of IF3 synthesis.

The negative autoregulation of $infC$ translation can be explained alternately by a more conventional model whereby IF3 binds to an operator site covering the translation initiation site on the infC mRNA. In this case, the third base of the AUU initiator codon should be essential to the binding of IF3 to the translational operator. Chemical crosslinking experiments (22) and assays showing binding of IF3 to the ³' end of the 16S RNA (23) suggest that IF3 may interact directly with sites on the 16S RNA during translation initiation. Some nucleotide sequence homology exists between these sites and the translation initiation site of $infC(9, 22, 23)$ —yet these homologies do not include the *infC* initiator AUU codon. Such nucleotide sequence homologies might participate in secondary structures recognized by IF3 and destabilized by mutation of AUU-to-AUG. However, examination of the nucleotide sequence of the portion of infC shown here to specify autocontrol does not reveal significant secondary structure involving the translation initiation site. Lack of apparent homology between the 16S RNA and infC mRNA does not rule out the existence of a functional competition between the RNAs for IF3. We see little reason at this time, however, to favor a classical IF3-mRNA interaction model over the more indirect model of Gold et al.

A growing number of proteins control their own gene expression at the translational level in vivo. These include genes for ribosomal proteins (24, 25), the gene 32 protein (26,

The strains and techniques are described in the legend of Table ¹ except that 4-morpholinepropanesulfonic (Mops)-glucose culture media was supplemented with all the amino acids (21) except tryptophan; ampicillin was added to 0.1 mg/ml every 2 hr during growth; and the doubling time for the strains was 90 min.

Biochemistry: Butler et aL

Table 3. Cellular levels of infC-lacZ mRNA expressed from infC-lacZ gene fusions

Strain	Input RNA, cpm \times 10 ⁻⁴	RNA bound, cpm	Percent bound. $\% \times 10^2$
IBPC5311ATSX31			
(wild-type strain,			
gene fusion with			
wild-type AUU			
initiator codon)	103	91 ± 17	0.9 ± 0.2
IBPC5311ATSG25			
(wild-type strain,			
gene fusion with			
mutant AUG			
initiator codon)	58	90 ± 24	1.5 ± 0.4
IBPC5231ATSX31			
(infCl9 strain,			
gene fusion with			
wild-type AUU			
initiator codon)	166	170 \pm 2	1.0 ± 0.01

The strains were grown as described in the legend of Table 1. The [3H]uridine-labeled RNA was isolated and hybridized to an excess of the lacZ-specific probe M13mp81acl4 as described (11). Background hybridization to single-stranded M13mp8 was <25% of the values in column 3 and has been subtracted from them.

27) and the RegA protein (28) of bacteriophage T4, and threonyl-tRNA ligase $(15, 29)$. In all of these examples (RegA being a possible exception) the gene products made by and controlling each gene interact functionally, in a well-defined manner, with nucleic acids. The ability of the protein to control translation is thought to depend upon its binding to a region on its own mRNA that shares some homology with its primary nucleic acid substrate. For example, mutations on the thrS mRNA disrupting translational repression by threonyl-tRNA ligase lie in an area of the mRNA adjacent to the ribosome binding site showing striking homologies in sequence and structure to threonine isoacceptor tRNAs (30). IF3 differs from these examples because, although it interacts with a variety of RNAs, it does so without any apparent nucleotide sequence specificity (23, 31, 32). Nevertheless, IF3 may control its own translation simply by binding to its AUU codon, thereby blocking the access of 30S ribosomes. Such a model, together with the results presented here, suggests that IF3 should have a measurable difference in binding affinity for infC mRNAs containing an AUU initiator codon or ^a AUG initiator codon.

Translation initiation of E. coli mRNAs occurs at specific sites characterized by a certain number of determinants whose importance depends upon their spatial organization (33). One of these determinants is the translation initiation codon to which initiator fMet-tRNA binds on the 30S ribosomal subunit. The translation initiation codon is generally AUG, but E. coli initiator tRNA can also recognize GUG and exceptionally AUA and UUG (33). Surprisingly, IF3, which is essential for efficient translation initiation complex formation in vitro, has ^a unique AUU initiator codon. The results reported here show that this exception is not fortuitous, but is necessary for the controlled synthesis of IF3 itself.

The authors are grateful to Terry Platt, for reading the manuscript and for generously providing laboratory facilities where this work was completed. J.S.B. was supported by the National Institutes of Health-French Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique Pro-

gram for Scientific Collaboration. This work was supported by grants from Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, from the Fondation pour la Recherche Medicale, and from E. I. du Pont de Nemours.

- 1. Grunberg-Manago, M. (1979) in Ribosomes: Structure, Function, and Genetics, eds. Chambliss, G., Craven, G. R., Davies, J., Davis, K., Kahan, L. & Nomura, M. (University Park Press, Baltimore), pp. 445-447.
- 2. Hershey, J. W. B. (1980) in Cell Biology: A Comprehensive Treatise, eds. Prescott, D. M. & Goldstein, L. (Academic, New York), Vol. 4, pp. 1-68.
- 3. Kozak, M. (1983) Microbiol. Rev. 47, 1-45.
- 4. Howe, J. G. & Hershey, J. W. B. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 258, 1954-1959.
- 5. Plumbridge, J. A., Dondon, J., Nakamura, Y. & Grunberg-Manago, M. (1985) Nucleic Acids Res. 13, 3371-3388.
- 6. Nakamura, Y., Plumbridge, J. A., Dondon, J. & Grunberg-Manago, M. (1985) Gene 36, 189-193.
- 7. Springer, M., Graffe, M. & Hennecke, H. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 3970-3974.
- 8. Plumbridge, J. A., Springer, M., Graffe, M., Goursot, R. & Grunberg-Manago, M. (1980) Gene 11, 33-42.
- 9. Sacerdot, C., Fayat, G., Dessen, P., Springer, M., Plumbridge, J., Grunberg-Manago, M. & Blanquet, S. (1982) EMBO J. 1, 311-315.
- 10. Mayaux, J.-F., Fayat, G., Fromant, M., Springer, M., Grunberg-Manago, M. & Blanquet, S. (1983) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80, 6152-6156.
- 11. Butler, J. S., Springer, M., Dondon, J., Graffe, M. & Grunberg-Manago, M. (1986) J. Mol. Biol. 192, 767-780.
- 12. Gold, L., Stormo, G. & Saunders, R. (1984) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81, 7061-7065.
- 13. Miller, J. H. (1972) Experiments in Molecular Genetics (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY).
- 14. Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E. F. & Sambrook, J. (1982) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY).
- 15. Springer, M., Plumbridge, J. A., Butler, J. S., Graffe, M., Dondon, J., Mayaux, J. F., Lestienne, P., Blanquet, S. & Grunberg-Manago, M. (1985) J. Mol. Biol. 185, 93-104.
- 16. Russel, D. R., Auger, E. A., Vermersch, P. S. & Bennett, G. N. (1984) Gene 32, 337-348.
- 17. Kunkel, T. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 488-492.
- 18. Sanger, F., Coulson, A. R., Barrel, B. G., Smith, A. J. H. & Roe, B. (1980) J. Mol. Biol. 143, 161–178.
- 19. Minton, N. P. (1984) Gene 31, 269–273.
20. Borck, K., Beggs, J. D., Brammar, W.
- Borck, K., Beggs, J. D., Brammar, W. J., Hopkins, A. S. & Murray, N. E. (1976) Mol. Gen. Genet. 146, 199-207.
- 21. Neidhardt, F. C., Bloch, P. L. & Smith, D. F. (1974) J. Bacteriol. 119, 736-747.
- 22. Ehresmann, C., Moine, H., Mougel, M., Dondon, J., Grun-berg-Manago, M., Ebel, J.-P. & Ehresmann, B. (1986) Nucleic Acids Res. 14, 4803-4821.
- 23. Wickstrom, E. (1983) Nucleic Acids Res. 7, 2035-2052.
24. Lindahl, L. & Zengel, J. (1986) Annu. Rev. Genet. 20, 29
- 24. Lindahl, L. & Zengel, J. (1986) Annu. Rev. Genet. 20, 297–326.
25. Nomura, M., Gourse, R. & Baughman, G. (1984) Annu. Rev. 25. Nomura, M., Gourse, R. & Baughman, G. (1984) Annu. Rev.
- Biochem. 53, 75-177. 26. Russel, M. L., Gold, L., Morrissett, H. & ^O'Farrell, P. Z.
- (1976) J. Biol. Chem. 251, 7263-7270.
- 27. Lamaire, G., Gold, L. & Yarus, M. (1978) J. Mol. Biol. 129, 66-70.
- 28. Karam', J., Gold, L., Singer, B. & Dawson, M. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 75-117.
- 29. Butlet', J. S., Springer, M., Dondon, J. & Grunberg-Manago, M. (1986) J. Bacteriol. 165, 198-203.
- 30. Springer, M., Graffe, M., Butler, J. S. & Grunberg-Manago, M. (1986) Proc. NatIl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 4384-4388.
- 31. Schleigh, T., Wickstrom, E., Twombly, K., Schmidt, B. & Tyson, R. W. (1980) Biochemistry 19, 4486-4492.
- 32. Johnson, B. & Szekely, M. (1977) Nature (London) 267, 550-552.
- 33. Gold, L., Pribnow, D., Schneider, T., Shinedling, S., Singer, B. S. & Stormo, G. (1981) Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 35, 365-403.