Analysis of nutR, a site required for transcription antitermination in phage λ

(termination/boxA/NusA protein/ λ N protein/translation)

MOHAMMED ZUBER^{*}, THOMAS A. PATTERSON, AND DONALD L. COURT[†]

Laboratory of Molecular Oncology, National Cancer Institute, Frederick Cancer Research Facility, Frederick, MD 21701-1013

Communicated by Harold J. Evans, February 19, 1987

ABSTRACT Deletions extending from the cro gene into boxA and nutR of the Rho-dependent t_{R1} terminator of bacteriophage λ have been generated and cloned between promoters and the galK gene of Escherichia coli on a multicopy plasmid. Terminators placed between the promoters and galK restrict transcription and expression of galK on these plasmids. However, when λ N protein is provided, and if a functional N interaction site, nutR, is intact, transcription antitermination occurs and galK expression increases. Deletions into the nutR region affect the ability to antiterminate. From the results obtained we conclude that: (i) boxA, a site believed to bind host factors (Nus), is not required for transcription antitermination in this system; (ii) the host NusA function is required even in the absence of $boxA$; (iii) nutR is required for N antitermination; (iv) translation across the *nutR* sequence prevents Ndependent antitermination.

The N gene product of bacteriophage λ positively regulates phage early gene expression by antitermination of transcription at various terminator signals (see ref. 1). Salstrom and Szybalski (2) isolated a cis-acting mutation, nutL, in the P_L operon that impairs N protein-mediated antitermination activity. A similar site of protein N action in the right operon of phage λ , referred to as *nutR*, has also been identified on the basis of sequence homology to $nutL$ (3), genetic deletion studies (4), and cloning experiments (5). In addition, an octamer sequence, CGCTCTTA, called boxA, located just promoter-proximal to nutR, has been implicated in Escherichia coli host protein NusA interaction (6, 7). The host proteins involved in antitermination are a complex of factors including at least the $nusA$, $nusB$, and $nusE$ gene products [see review by Friedman et al. (8) and ref. 9]. To reveal the individual cis-acting components that participate in interaction with various phage- and host-encoded factors, deletions were generated in vitro in boxA and nutR and assayed for their effect on antitermination activity in vivo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains. Bacterial strains are listed in Table 1. Plasmids [pFW1 (11), pKG1800 and pKG100 (12), pMZ105 (13), and pMS3 (14)] were used to construct the plasmids used for the experiments summarized in Tables 2, 3, and 4 (see Methods below). Phages $\lambda biol0$ cI857, λ imm434, λ imm434 Nam7 Nam53, Ximm434 nin, and Plkc are from the National Institutes of Health collection.

Strain Constructions. MZ1 was constructed from N5271 (see Table 1). N5271 was lysogenized by XbiolO c1857. The lysogen remains bio^- but can grow on the biotin intermediate desthiobiotin; it is also temperature sensitive for cell growth at 42°C. Temperature sensitivity is caused by inactivation of the $\lambda c1857$ repressor and the induction of λ ; growth on desthiobiotin is permitted by the product of the *bioB* gene of λbi olo. Homologous recombination can eliminate the λbi o phage. Such cells cured of the λ can be selected as the rare cells $\left(\langle 1\% \rangle \right)$ that survive and form colonies on plates at 42 °C. Some of these survivors remain Nam7 Nam53 like N5271, whereas others are N^+ (i.e., complement a λ imm434 $N^$ phage for growth at 42° C). One of these was saved as MZ1.

MZ2 was derived from MZ1 by P1 cotransduction of the cya^- marker with ilv ::Tn10. Tetracycline-resistant transductants were screened for a lactose-negative (cya^-) phenotype on MacConkey/lactose indicator plates.

DC1101 and DC1102 were made from MZ1 and N5271, respectively, by P1 cotransduction of the nusAl marker with $argG$: Tn5. The nusA⁻ strain is characterized by the inability of λ imm434 to cause plaques at 42°C, whereas λ imm434 nin can cause plaque (15).

Generation of Deletions in pMZ105. Plasmid DNA $(pMZ105)$ was linearized by cutting with HindIII (Fig. 1). The two 3'-OH ends of this DNA were resected with exonuclease III (by ¹⁰⁰ to ³⁰⁰ nucleotides), and the resulting DNA with ⁵' single-strand overhangs was digested with S1 nuclease (16). The flush ends were joined with T4 DNA ligase in the presence of phosphorylated HindIII linkers (CCCAAGCT-TGGG). This DNA mixture was used to transform E. coli strain C600. Plasmid DNAs that had undergone the deletions were isolated and digested at the HindIII linker, as well as the Pst I site in the bla gene, with the respective enzymes, and the deletion fragment containing the t_{R1} terminator was purified by gel electrophoresis. The deletion end at HindIII was sequenced by following the Maxam and Gilbert (17) technique. Each HindIII-Pst ^I deletion segment wasjoined to the reciprocal HindIII-Pst I segment of pMZ105 containing the P_{gal} promoter to produce a set of bla^+ (ampicillinresistant) plasmids with deletions originating at the *HindIII* site of pMZ105 and extending toward t_{R1} (Fig. 1).

Construction of pMZ215 and Its Deletion Derivatives. Terminator t_1 on a DNA fragment that extends from 27,481 bp to 27,632 bp on the λ map (see ref. 18) was placed beyond the t_{R1} terminator of pMZ105. The joint between t_{R1} and the t_1 clone was made at the Nde ^I site near cIl (indicated in Fig. 1). The t_I segment came from plasmid pMS3 (14), a pKG1800 derivative in which galK follows t_1 . Thus, a t_1 galK segment between two Nde ^I sites was used to replace cII galK in pMZ105. In this way, the cII gene segment was replaced by t_1 ; one such construct, pMZ51, contains the t_1 substitution beyond t_{R1} (Fig. 1). The P_{gal} promoter of pMZ51 was replaced with the P_{lac} promoter from pFW1 (11) to form the plasmid pMZ215 (Fig. 2). DNA from pMZ51 was first cut with EcoRI and repaired to ^a flush end with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase. After extraction with phenol, the DNA was cut with H indIII, and the large fragment containing bla and galK

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

^{*}Present address: Laboratory for Nitrogen Fixation Research, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331.

[†]To whom requests for reprints should be addressed.

Table 1. Bacterial strains

Strain	Markers	Source
C ₆₀₀	leu pro thr lacY tonA supE44	NIH
N5271*	his ilv rpsL gal K_{am} pgl $\Delta 8$ (bio-uvrB) $\Delta H1$	NIH
$MZ1*$	his ilv rpsL gal K_{am} pgl $\Delta 8$ (bio-uvrB) $\Delta H1$	Our work
M7.2	MZ1 cva	Our work
DC1101	$MZ1$ arg G ::Tn5 nusAl	Our work
DC1102	$N5271$ arg G ::Tn5 nusAl	Our work
K1457	galK argG::Tn5 nusAl rpsL	Friedman

NIH, National Institutes of Health bacterial stocks.

 $N5271$ and MZ1 carry a defective λ prophage. The genetic structure of the prophage is altered by two major deletion mutants. One is ΔB am in the P_L operon (10); the other is $\Delta H1$, which deletes the *cro* gene and all other λ prophage genes to the right of *cro*. Only three λ genes remain intact in this prophage: N, rex, and cI. In N5271, the N gene carries two amber mutations, Nam7 and Nam53, and the cI gene carries the temperature-sensitive mutation c1857. MZ1 is identical to N5271 except that the prophage is N^+ .

was purified from an agarose gel. DNA from plasmid pFW1 was cut with Pvu II and HindIII. The small fragment containing P_{lac} was purified from a gel, mixed with the large galK fragment, and joined by DNA ligase. The correct plasmid, pMZ215, was detected by restriction analysis after transformation. The $EcoRI$ site is restored at the $EcoRI-Pvu$ II junction.

The other deletion isolates of pMZ105 were recombined in a similar way with the t_1 terminator and the P_{lac} promoter to generate a set of deletion plasmids with t_{R1} and t_I between P_{lac} and galK.

The P_{gal} promoter is approximately 30–50% stronger than the P_{lac} promoter as measured in the galK vectors (ref. 12; M.Z. and D.L.C., unpublished data). Galactokinase levels from the P_{lac} promoter are dependent upon cAMP—i.e., cya^{-} strains are defective for galactokinase, and addition of cAMP restores galactokinase to comparable levels in a $cya⁺$ strain (data not shown; also see ref. 11).

Enzymes and Other Materials. Enzymes were obtained from New England Biolabs. ['4C]Galactose (58 mCi/mmol; ¹

FIG. 1. Plasmid pMZ105 has the galactose promoter (P_{gal}) , the galE structural gene to the HindIII site, and the galK structural gene of plasmid pKG1800 (12). Within the Sma ^I site of pKG1800 was inserted the Hae III-HincII fragment [399 base pairs (bp)] of λ that contains the distal part of the cro gene, the end of the clI gene that encodes the amino terminus of the protein, and the intercistronic region (boxA, nutR, t_{R1}). The broken line and arrow indicate the position and direction of the deletions produced. Restriction enzyme sites used in this work for other plasmid constructions are shown (EcoRI, HindIII, Nde I, Pst I).

FIG. 2. Plasmid pMZ215 is developed to monitor N antitermination. The wild-type promoter P_{lac} is indicated. Transcription initiates at P_{lac} and extends rightward. This transcript lacks both a ribosome binding site and AUG initiation signal. The portion of the cro gene encoding the carboxyl end of the protein is present; the vertical bar represents the *cro* UAA codon. The terminators t_{R1} and t_1 are positioned in tandem before galK and beyond $nutR$. Restriction sites: E, EcoRI; Hi, HindIII; Ha, Hae III; S, Sma I; N, Nde I; A, Alu I. The slashes represent hybrid sites joined by blunt-end ligation. Deletion endpoints are indicated below the map as nucleotide base pair position on the λ map (18): pMZ211 (38,231), pMZ439 (38,258), pMZ440 (38,260), pMZ441 (38,262), pMZ475 (38,268), and pMZ480 (38,320). At each deletion junction are the HindIlI site and the sequence AAGCTTGGG followed by the ^X nucleotide at the position indicated above (also see Fig. 3).

 $Ci = 37 GBq$) is from Amersham. HindIII linkers are from New England Biolabs. Enzyme reaction conditions used are as specified by the supplier. Other DNA manipulations are taken from Maniatis et al. (19).

Galactokinase Enzyme Assays. Bacterial cells grown overnight in M56 minimal medium with fructose as carbon source were diluted 1:50 in fresh medium and were grown at 32°C to OD₆₅₀ of about 0.2 (\approx 1 × 10⁸ cells per ml) in preparation for the experiments. One milliliter of cells from each culture was treated by the method described by McKenney *et al.* (12). Galactokinase units were measured and expressed as nanomoles of galactose phosphorylated per minute per $OD₆₅₀$. In these strains, galactokinase levels are unaffected by the presence of fucose, an inducer of the gal operon (data not shown). The multicopy plasmid titrates gal repressor in this system (20). Plasmid copies per cell in different strains varied less than 2-fold as determined by quantitation of plasmid DNA yields.

RESULTS

X N-dependent transcription antitermination has been reproduced on plasmids containing the boxA and nut sequences from λ (5, 7, 11, 21). In these plasmid systems, λ N function is required for antitermination and can be provided in trans from a prophage. In similar plasmids we will analyze the requirements for the boxA nutR segment of λ during antitermination by generating a set of deletions that dissect the $boxA$ nutR segment and by determining each deletion's effect on antitermination. A transcription vector has been developed to specifically study this problem with the deletion mutants.

Transcription Antitermination Vector and Deletion Mutants. The vector pMZ215 (Fig. 2) is designed to allow transcription initiation at the normal *lac* promoter. However, the ribosome binding site and the AUG translation initiation signals have been eliminated to prevent translation of the transcript. Note that this same promoter region was used by Warren and Das (11) to show that upstream translation was not an essential component of N-dependent antitermination. The galactokinase gene, $galK$, from the galactose operon is located on the vector beyond the promoter. This is the same galK construct that exists on the transcription vector pKG1800 (12). Between the lac promoter and galK, Rhodependent (t_{R1}) and Rho-independent (t_I) terminators have been cloned in tandem. The dual terminator arrangement has been employed by others to reduce N^- galactokinase levels and increase the sensitivity of the assay for N function (6, 11). The boxA nutR region of λ is present in its natural location on the t_{R1} terminator DNA segment. Deletion mutants of pMZ215 were derived in vitro. These deletions removed DNA from the HindIII site into the *cro* t_{R1} region. The exact location of each deletion endpoint was determined by DNA sequence analysis (Fig. 2).

N-Dependent Antitermination. Two sites have been defined as being involved in N-dependent antitermination. The Nutilization site nut is believed to be specific for the N protein. The site has been defined by point mutations that prevent antitermination (2) and by the homology between *nutL* and nutR (3) ; 16 of 17 bases in these two regions are identical (see Fig. 3). Thus, $nutR$ is defined as the 17-base segment from 38,265 bp to 38,281 bp on the λ map. Just 7 bases upstream of $nutL$ and 8 bases upstream of $nutR$ is a second conserved sequence in this region (see Fig. 3). It is 8 bases long and is called boxA (1). Specific point mutations in boxA can also affect N-dependent antitermination (22). We have analyzed the deletions that dissect this region (Figs. 2 and 3) for their effect on N-dependent galactokinase expression. Cells containing the plasmids can be monitored in either N^- or N^+ conditions. In experiment 1 of Table 2, N^- or N^+ conditions were achieved in the same strain by growth at 32°C or 42°C, respectively. At 32° C the $\lambda c1857$ temperature-sensitive repressor protein in the cell (MZ1) represses the N gene of the prophage, whereas at 42° C the repressor is inactive and N is expressed. In experiment 2, N^- and N^+ conditions were both achieved under derepressed conditions at 42°C by using two strains, either an N^- prophage strain (N5271) or the N⁻ prophage strain (MZ1). In both experiments, the results are similar. In the parental vector, N-dependent antitermination occurs, resulting in a high level of galactokinase in the N^+ , as compared to the N^- , condition. Interestingly, deletions $(\Delta 6.27$ and $\Delta 6C4$) that remove boxA have little effect on the level of N-dependent antitermination, whereas deletions $(\Delta 6.10$ and $\Delta 6.18$) that remove boxA and nutR are defective for this antitermination property. One deletion $(\Delta 6E1)$, which removes only boxA and extends two bases beyond 6C4, has also lost most of its N-dependent antitermination activity. Thus, boxA appears dispensable for N-dependent antitermination in this system, whereas $nutR$, and perhaps some additional signals between boxA and nutR, is essential.

Host NusA Requirement. If boxA is dispensable for Ndependent antitermination as suggested in the previous section, are the host Nus factors required for antitermina-

FIG. 3. Sequences of the boxA and nut regions of wild-type and deletion strains. Vertical arrows indicate the extent of the deletions shown. Deletion $\Delta 6.7$ has the wild-type (WT) sequence (top line). The underlined UAA is the *cro* gene stop signal in wild-type λ . The double-underlined UAA is the position at which terminating ribosomes have been shown to prevent N antitermination (7, 11). The boxA and nut sequences are in rectangles. The wavy lines indicate the AUU sequence common to all sequences active for antitermination. Note there are seven bases between $nutL$ and $boxA$, but eight between the normal nutR and $boxA$. "Activity" refers to N antitermination.

Table 2. N-dependent antitermination: Effect of deletions

						Galactokinase	
					Exp. E1		Exp. E2
Plasmid	Δ	boxA	nutR	N^+	N^-	N^+	N^-
pMZ215		\div	$\ddot{}$	58	3	99	3
pMZ211	6.7	$\,{}^+$	$\,^+$	66		84	6
pMZ439	6.27		$\ddot{}$	63	14	69	20
pMZ440	6C4		$\,^+$	48	4	69	8
pMZ441	6E1		┿	22	9	37	13
pMZ475	6.10			18	12		
pMZ480	6.18			19	17		

Two experiments are presented, El and E2. In both experiments bacterial cultures were grown as described in Materials and Meth*ods*. In E1, the strain MZ1 was used. The N^+ condition was induced by cell growth at 42° C, whereas the N⁻ condition was maintained at 32°C. In E2, two strains were used: the N^+ condition was in MZ1 at 42°C as in E1, and the N^- condition was in N5271 at 42°C. The column Δ indicates the particular deletion allele number in this plasmid. In five experiments, the galactokinase levels under N+ conditions varied (e.g., from 58 to 101 for pMZ215), however, the relative values within an experiment remained approximately the same as those in E1 and E2. In the N^- condition, there is a constant trend in all five sets of experiments. The larger deletions have greater N^- galactokinase levels because the t_{R1} terminator is inactive. Thus, in pMZ215 and pMZ211 there is always an additive effect of t_{R1} and t_1 , whereas in the larger deletions only t_1 is active (M.Z. and D.L.C., unpublished data).

tion? This is a particularly important question for NusA, which is postulated to interact with $boxA$ not only in λ antitermination but also at $boxA$ sites in the E. coli genome to modulate transcription termination (1, 23). If NusA must interact with boxA to exert its effect, then we are led to the conclusion that NusA should also be dispensable for N antitermination. To test this, a set of isogenic strains, $nusA^+$ and nusAl, carrying each of the plasmids tested previously has been constructed and examined for antitermination activity. The result observed (Table 3) is that $nusA^{+}$ is required in all of the plasmids tested that showed N-dependent antitermination-i.e., pMZ215, pMZ211, pMZ439, and pMZ440. Thus, we are led to conclude that nusA can exert its effect independently of the presence of boxA.

Translation of *nutR* Inhibits Antitermination. Ribosome positioning has been found to influence antitermination at nutR (6, 7, 11). In phage λ DNA, the *cro* gene is located promoter proximal to the boxA nutR region. Its translation stops at ^a UAA codon seven bases before boxA (see Fig. 3). Frameshift mutants that cause ribosomes to move four bases beyond cro to ^a second UAA codon prevent N-dependent antitermination, whereas when ribosomes stop at the normal UAA codon four bases away, antitermination is unaffected. Ribosomes that stop within the cro gene also have no effect

Table 3. N-dependent antitermination: Effect of NusA*

				Galactokinase			
				$N+$		N^-	
Plasmid	Δ	boxA	nutR	$nusA^+$	nusA1	nusA1	
pMZ215				72	8		
pMZ211	6.7	┿	$\,{}^+$	60	6		
pMZ439	6.27		$\ddot{}$	55	15	10	
pMZ440	6C ₄		$\ddot{}$	64	18	4	
pMZ441	6E1		$\ddot{}$	24	13	11	
pMZ475	6.10			22	15	16	
pMZ480	6.18			20	25	22	

*Conditions are as described for Table 2. N^+ is provided at 42 \degree C by MZ1 ($nusA⁺$) or DC1101 ($nusAI$). The N⁻ $nusAI$ strain is DC1102 at 42°C.

Genetics: Zuber et al.

on antitermination. To explain the inhibiting effect, it was suggested that ribosomes idling at the distal UAA nonsense codon might sterically block site(s) required for antitermination activity. Another possibility, not tested yet, is that ribosome translation through the RNA in the *nut* region may prevent this RNA from binding antitermination factors such as Nus, N, or even RNA polymerase. To test this, deletion mutants described above were joined downstream of the galactose operon promoter and fused to the first 140 codons of the structural gene galE. This allows translation from galE to enter the cro region.

Plasmid pMS3 is a control: it contains only the t_1 terminator without the N recognition region of the $cro-r_{R1}$ segment. As expected, it produces the same levels of galactokinase under N^+ or N^- conditions. Plasmid pMZ51 contains the cro-t_{R1} segment upstream of t_1 . In this construct, translation from the $g \circ dE$ gene stops within the *cro* gene message and, as others have shown under similar conditions (11, 22), N is able to antiterminate transcription. The N^- level of expression is reduced relative to pMS3 because of the additive effect of both terminators, t_{R1} and t_1 (Table 4).

In plasmid pMZ49 the galE gene is fused to cro at the $\Delta 6.7$ deletion HindIII joint. In this case, unlike in pMZ51, translation from galE does not terminate within cro but passes beyond cro and through the t_{R1} terminator. Here no Ndependent antitermination occurs. The level of galactokinase is similar in N^+ and N^- conditions (Table 4). These levels are those found for pMS3 because translation through the t_{R1} terminator prevents Rho-dependent termination and only t_l is active (M.Z. and D.L.C., unpublished data).

Plasmid pMZ109 is identical to pMZ49 except that the HindIII joint between galE and cro has been modified by digesting with HindIII, resynthesis with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase, and ligation with T4 DNA ligase. This creates the sequence AAGCUAGCUU in the RNA. In this sequence, the UAG is the translation stop signal for $g \circ dE$, thereby preventing translation beyond the cro gene. In this construct, transcription antitermination by N occurs and yields higher levels of galactokinase than in the primary construct, pMZ49 (Table 4). In pMZ125 the HindIII site of pMZ49 was resected after HindIII treatment with S1 nuclease. In this fusion, galE and cro translation is in frame and

Table 4. N-dependent antitermination: Effect of translation

	Galactokinase		
Plasmid	N+		
pMS3	28	30	
pMZ51	118		
pMZ49	35	38	
pMZ109	95	2	
pMZ125	87		

Plasmid pMS3 contains just the t_1 terminator between P_{gal} and the $galK$ gene (14). From pMS3, the units of galactokinase should be unaffected by N since no *nut* site is present. Plasmid $pMZ51$ is identical to pMZ215 except that it contains P_{gal} and part of the galE structural gene. In the same way, pMZ49 is analogous to pMZ211. Plasmids pMZ109 and pMZ125 are derived from pMZ49: pMZ49 was digested with HindIII and then, to make pMZ109, DNA polymerase was used to fill in the sticky ends before ligation. The plasmid pMZ109 has a new restriction site, Nhe I, created by this treatment. To make pMZ125, the sticky ends at the HindIII site were removed with S1 nuclease and joined with ligase. Translation from galE terminates upstream of the cro UAA stop codon (see Fig. 3) in plasmids pMZ51 and pMZ109 and at the cro UAA codon in pMZ125. In plasmid pMZ49 translation proceeds beyond *cro* and the *nutR* region to a stop codon between t_{R1} and t_1 . The reason for higher levels of galactokinase in pMZ49 under N^- conditions is that the Rhodependent t_{R1} terminator is not active because of translation into t_{R1} (M.Z. and D.L.C., unpublished data).

stops at the normal cro UAA codon. Again, N is able to antiterminate in this condition (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

An analysis has been made of the *nutR* t_{R1} region of λ to determine the effect of deletion mutations and the act of translation on N-dependent antitermination. This analysis was carried out on plasmid vectors designed to analyze antitermination of transcripts by measuring changes in levels of galactokinase produced from the plasmids. Several deletions were isolated and characterized for their effect on antitermination.

The boxA, nutR, and NusA Requirements. Antitermination on the vector pMZ215 is N dependent. Deletions $\Delta 6.27$ and A6C4 remain active for antitermination despite the fact that boxA is deleted. Longer deletions, $\Delta 6.10$ and $\Delta 6.18$, are defective for antitermination, whereas the deletion $\Delta 6E1$ may retain some antitermination activity. Deletions $\Delta 6.10$ and Δ 6.18 remove *nutR*, whereas Δ 6E1 retains the 17 nucleotides conserved between $nutR$ and $nutL$ (Table 2). By comparing all of the deletion sequences with the wild-type nutR and nutL sequences, it appears that the 17-nucleotide nut sequence (2, 3), plus additional nucleotides between $boxA$ and nut, are important. In this regard we note an AUU sequence common to all fully active sites but missing from the defective sites (Fig. 3). Thus, part or all of the AUU sequence may be required in common with the nut sequence for activity, but boxA itself is not required. Note that the deletion junction sequences of Δ 6.27 and Δ 6C4 do not recreate a *boxA* site. We also note that there is no other boxA site in the transcribed region between the promoters and the t_1 terminator.

Host Nus factors are thought to interact with boxA to allow N antitermination; in particular, the factor NusA has been implicated in association with $boxA$ (6, 7, 22). The fact that boxA is not required here provoked us to ask whether NusA is required. The result is that NusA is still required in the presence or absence of a boxA site (Table 3). This result allows us to suggest that NusA may recognize sites other than boxA itself or interacts directly with a protein component of the antitermination complex.

There is a discrepancy between the results here and those found in other laboratories; that is, the requirement for $boxA$ in antitermination $(21, 22, 24)$. The results of Olson *et al.* (22) are more easily compared with our results because the vector and *nutR* fragments examined were nearly the same. It is more difficult to compare results of Peltz et al. (21) and Brown and Szybalski (24). They used synthesized DNA cassettes for $boxA$ and $nutR$ and thereby changed the sequence between and at either end of $boxA$ and nutR in their studies, sequences we believe may be important for antitermination activity. It should be mentioned, however, that Drahos et al. (25) and Peltz et al. (21) found that, in certain conditions, boxA could be deleted in their system, and N-dependent antitermination activity could be retained.

There are major differences between the system used by Olson et al. (22) and that used here: first, the promoter P_{gal} was used to test the requirement for $boxA$ as opposed to P_{lac} ; second, translation of the galE segment beyond P_{gal} occurs in their plasmid and not in the P_{lac} plasmid; third, although the t_{R1} region was identical between the two systems, the second terminator used here was the Rho-independent t_I terminator [Olson et al. (22) used the Rho-dependent terminator of the insertion element IS2]; last, Olson *et al.* (22) changed a single base in boxA in causing the defect, whereas our constructs are deletions of boxA. A careful analysis of each of these variables, as well as exchanging the systems, may be required to understand these differences and at the same time allow us to better understand the complex N-antiterminator system.

There is reason to believe that NusA protein interacts with RNA (23) and is required to interact with the boxA site at certain times for N antitermination and for RNA polymerase pausing (7, 22). However, a boxA interaction is not always required for NusA to exert its effect. NusA protein has been found to accentuate transcription termination in vitro at the rRNA terminator T1 and at the λ terminator t_{R2} in the absence of an upstream boxA signal (28). Finally, we note here that the Q antiterminator system appears to be much simpler than that for N (26). Q-dependent antitermination can proceed in vitro with ^a suitable DNA template and only RNA polymerase; NusA protein (without additional Nus factors) stimulates Q antitermination. However, deletion mutants lacking a normal boxA are also antiterminated.

Translation of the nutR Region. Translation of the cro gene of phage λ does not normally interfere with transcription antitermination by N at $nutR$. However, when ribosomes proceed beyond the cro UAA codon to ^a second UAA codon just 4 bases away (see Fig. 3), N-dependent antitermination is affected (7, 11). The model to explain this is that ribosomes idling at the distal UAA codon during translation termination sterically prevent ^a protein from binding at boxA on the RNA (22). Since we have suggested that the N binding site may be as close as ¹⁵ bases from this UAA codon, ^a distance easily encompassed by a ribosome (27), it is possible that these ribosomes may also block N binding at nutR.

We have shown that ribosomes translating through the nutR region prevent N antitermination (Table 4), as do ribosomes that terminate at ^a second UAA codon beyond the normal cro UAA codon (22). In the former case, the ribosome would not be idling at a stop codon, but actively translating the nutR RNA. Whether idling or actively translating, ribosomes may be exerting ^a similar steric hindrance for N (or Nus) binding to RNA.

We thank D. Friedman and A. Honigman for helpful discussions during this work, and we appreciate the excellent typing and editing of the manuscript by K. Cannon.

- 1. Friedman, D. I. & Gottesman, M. (1983) in Lambda II, eds. Hendrix, R. W., Roberts, J. W., Stahl, F. W. & Weisberg, R. A. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY), pp. 21-51.
- 2. Salstrom, J. S. & Szybalski, W. (1978) J. Mol. Biol. 124, 195-221.
- 3. Rosenberg, M., Court, D., Shimatake, H., Brady, C. & Wulff, D. L. (1978) Nature (London) 272, 414-423.
- 4. Dambly-Chaudiere, C., Gottesman, M., Debouck, C. & Adhya, S. (1983) J. Mol. Appl. Genet. 2, 45-56.
- 5. de Crombrugghe, B., Mudryj, M., DiLauro, R. & Gottesman, M. (1979) Cell 18, 1145-1151.
- 6. Olson, E. R., Flamm, E. L. & Friedman, D. I. (1982) Cell 31, 61-70.
- 7. Friedman, D. I. & Olson, E. R. (1983) Cell 34, 143-149.
8. Friedman, D. I., Olson, E. R., Georgopoulos, C., Tilly
- 8. Friedman, D. I., Olson, E. R., Georgopoulos, C., Tilly, K., Herskowitz, I. & Banuett, F. (1984) Microbiol. Rev. 48, 299-325.
- 9. Das, A. & Wolska, K. (1984) Cell 38, 165-173.
10. Gottesman, M. E., Adhya, S. & Das, A. (1980)
- 10. Gottesman, M. E., Adhya, S. & Das, A. (1980) J. Mol. Biol. 140, 57-75.
- 11. Warren, F. & Das, A. (1984) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81, 3612-3616.
- 12. McKenney, K., Shimatake, H., Court, D., Schmeissner, U., Brady, C. & Rosenberg, M. (1981) in Gene Amplification and Analysis, Vol. II: Structural Analysis of Nucleic Acids, eds. Chirikjian, J. G. & Papas, T. S. (Elsevier/North-Holland, New York), pp. 383-415.
- 13. Tsugawa, A., Kurihara, T., Zuber, M., Court, D. L. & Nakamura, Y. (1985) EMBO J. 4, 2337-2342.
- 14. Montafiez, C., Bueno, J., Schmeissner, U., Court, D. L. & Guarneros, G. (1986) J. Mol. Biol. 191, 29-37.
- 15. Friedman, D. (1971) in The Bacteriophage Lambda, ed. Hershey, A. D. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY), pp. 733-738.
- 16. Guo, L. & Wu, R. (1983) Methods Enzymol. 100, 60-95.
- 17. Maxam, A. M. & Gilbert, W. (1980) Methods Enzymol. 65, 499-560.
- 18. Daniels, D., Schroeder, J., Szybalski, W., Sanger, F., Coulson, A., Hong, G., Hill, D., Peterson, G. & Blattner, F. (1983) in Lambda II, eds. Hendrix, R. W., Roberts, J. W., Stahl, F. W. & Weisberg, R. A. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY), p. 521.
- 19. Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E. F. & Sambrook, J. (1982) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY).
- 20. Irani, M. H., Orosz, L. & Adhya, S. (1983) Cell 32, 783-788.
- 21. Peltz, S. W., Brown, A. L., Hasan, N., Podhajska, A. J. & Szybalski, W. (1985) Science 228, 91-93.
- 22. Olson, E. R., Tomich, C.-S. C. & Friedman, D. I. (1984) J. Mol. Biol. 180, 1053-1063.
- 23. Nakamura, Y., Mizusawa, S., Court, D. L. & Tsugawa, A. (1986) J. Mol. Biol. 189, 103-111.
- 24. Brown, A. L. & Szybalski, W. (1986) Gene 42, E125-E132.
25. Drahos, D., Galluppi, G. R., Caruthers, M. & Szybalski, W.
- 25. Drahos, D., Galluppi, G. R., Caruthers, M. & Szybalski, W. (1982) Gene 18, 343-354.
- 26. Grayhack, E. J., Yang, X., Lau, L. F. & Roberts, J. W. (1985) Cell 42, 259-269.
- 27. Gold, L., Pribnow, D., Schneider, T., Shinedling, S., Singer, B. S. & Stormo, G. (1981) Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 35, 365-403.
- 28. Schmidt, M. & Chamberlin, M. J. (1987) J. Mol. Biol. 195, in press.