Global DNA methylation levels in girls with and without a family history of breast cancer

Hui-Chen Wu,^{1,2} Esther M. John,^{3,4} Jennifer S. Ferris,¹ Theresa H. Keegan,³ Wendy K. Chung,⁵ Irene Andrulis,⁶ Lissette Delgado-Cruzata,² Maya Kappil,² Karina Gonzalez,² Regina M. Santella^{2,7} and Mary Beth Terry^{1,7,8,}*

'Departments of Epidemiology; and ²Environmental Health Sciences; Mailman School of Public Health; ⁵Departments of Pediatrics and Medicine; 7Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center; ⁸Imprints Center; Columbia University Medical Center; New York, NY USA; ³Cancer Prevention Institute of California (formerly the Northern California Cancer Center); Fremont, CA USA; ⁴Stanford University School of Medicine and Stanford Cancer Center; Stanford, CA USA; 6 Department of Molecular Genetics; University of Toronto; Samuel Lunenfeld Research Institute; Mount Sinai Hospital and Cancer Care Ontario; Toronto, Ontario CA

Key words: Alu, DNA global methylation, early life exposure, epigenetics, LINE1, methylight, pyrosequencing, Sat2

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; LINE1, long interspersed nucleotide element-1; m5C, 5-methylcytosine; Sat2, satellite 2; SINEs, short interspersed nucleotide elements; WBC, white blood cell

Lower levels of global DNA methylation in white blood cell (WBC) DNA have been associated with adult cancers. It is unknown whether individuals with a family history of cancer also have lower levels of global DNA methylation early in life. We examined global DNA methylation in WBC (measured in three repetitive elements, *LINE1*, *Sat2* and *Alu*, by MethyLight and in *LINE1* by pyrosequencing) in 51 girls aged 6–17 years. Compared to girls without a family history of breast cancer, methylation levels were lower for all assays in girls with a family history of breast cancer and statistically significantly lower for *Alu* and *LINE1* pyrosequencing. After adjusting for age, body mass index (BMI) and Tanner stage, only methylation in *Alu* was associated with family history of breast cancer. If these findings are replicated in larger studies, they suggest that lower levels of global WBC DNA methylation observed later in life in adults with cancer may also be present early in life in children with a family history of cancer.

Introduction

Lower levels of global DNA methylation (demethylation) have been observed in breast cancer tissues¹ and white blood cell (WBC) DNA of cases compared to controls.2 Global DNA methylation in WBC has also been associated with a number of other cancers including head and neck and bladder cancers.^{3,4} Some epidemiological studies suggest that nutritional, chemical and physical factors might alter methylation levels in WBC DNA.5-9 Greater differences in global DNA methylation levels between older monozygotic twins compared with differences in global DNA methylation in younger monozygotic twins suggest that DNA methylation levels change over the lifecourse and that these changes cannot be explained by genetics.⁶ Decreases in global DNA methylation with increases in age suggest that endogenous and/or exogenous exposures may be associated with DNA methylation. For example, an occupational study found that benzene exposure was associated with lower levels of global methylation of peripheral blood DNA.⁵ Global DNA methylation has also been associated with other environmental exposures including cigarette smoking.10

Less is known about whether exposures early in life are associated with global DNA methylation. Early life exposures may

be particularly important to hormonal cancers like breast cancer.11-14 For example, prenatal exposure to diethylstilbestrol, a synthetic nonsteroidal estrogen, has been associated with an increase in breast cancer.¹³ In animal models, neonatal exposure to diethylstilbestrol alters the expression of DNA methyltransferases and methylation of global DNA in the epididymis of mice.15 Persistent dysregulation of global DNA methylation may be a plausible molecular mechanism underlying the association between early life exposures and disease development later in life, including breast cancer.^{10,16-18} However, data on the association between early life exposures and global methylation levels in WBC DNA are limited. Using information from girls ages 6–17 years enrolled in a prospective study of early-life exposures and pubertal development, we conducted a pilot study in 51 girls to examine whether global DNA methylation measured in childhood and adolescence differed in girls with and without a family history of breast cancer.

Results

The average ages of girls with and without a family history of breast cancer were 13.3 [standard deviation (SD0 = 2.9] and 12.3 (SD = 3.2) years, respectively. Age was not statistically

^{*}Correspondence to: Mary Beth Terry; Email: mt146@columbia.edu

Submitted: 06/21/10; Accepted: 08/21/10

Previously published online: www.landesbioscience.com/journals/epigenetics/article/13393

DOI: 10.4161/epi.6.1.13393

 $p < 0.05$.

Figure 1. Mean differences (and 95% CI) in global DNA methylation between girls with a family history of breast cancer compared to girls without a family history of breast cancer.

significantly associated with global DNA methylation. The Spearman correlations with age for *LINE1*, *Sat2* and *Alu* by MethyLight are -0.08 (p = 0.57), 0.15 (p = 0.31) and 0.09 (p = 0.53), respectively. The correlation between age and *LINE1* by pyrosequencing was -0.19 (p = 0.18). **Table 1** presents the mean and SD of global DNA methylation using the MethyLight and pyrosequencing assays by different participant characteristics. Differences by family history are

reported in **Table 1** and illustrated in **Figure 1** (**Sup. Table 1**). **Figure 1** presents the mean difference in global DNA methylation between girls with and without a family history of breast cancer. Compared to girls without a family history of breast cancer, global DNA methylation levels were lower for all assays in girls with a family history of breast cancer, and statistically significantly lower as measured by *Alu* MethyLight and *LINE1* pyrosequencing.

Girls with Tanner scores of 4–5 had slightly lower *LINE1* and *Sat2* methylation by MethyLight compared to girls with Tanner scores of 1–3. *LINE1*, but not *Sat2* or *Alu*, levels were also lower among girls who had already experienced menarche versus girls who had not. And among girls who had already experienced menarche, *LINE1* methylation levels measured by MethyLight and pyrosequencing were lower among girls with menarche ≥12 years compared to girls who started menstruation at <12 years. Among girls who had already experienced menarche, age at menarche was inversely correlated with *LINE1* methylation by both MethyLight and pyrosequencing $(r = -0.41, p = 0.02;$ and $r =$ -0.48, p *=* 0.007, respectively).

In the multivariable linear models (**Table 2**), *LINE1* methylation measured by MethyLight was inversely associated with Tanner score. In addition, family history of breast cancer was inversely associated with *Alu* methylation but not with the other DNA methylation markers after adjusting for age, BMI and Breast Tanner Staging.

Discussion

We observed lower levels of global methylation in WBC DNA in girls with a family history of breast cancer than in girls without a family history, although this association was only statistically significant with global DNA methylation as measured by *Alu* MethyLight and *LINE1* pyrosequencing. In utero exposures and early-life factors have been associated with increased risk of breast cancer.11,12,14 Changes in DNA methylation level may be one mechanism linking early-life exposures to adult health.10,16,21 We have previously reported that in utero exposure to maternal cigarette smoking and other risk factors across the life course, such as age at menarche, birth length and pregnancy history, were associated with adult levels of methylation measured in the peripheral blood of women.16 Here we observed an inverse association between *LINE1* methylation and age at menarche and breast development (Tanner score). The association with Tanner staging remained after adjusting for age, family history and body mass index. The molecular mechanisms by which pubertal status may be associated with methylation patterns needs to be further investigated in larger samples.

Studies of global DNA methylation patterns in families have found positive correlations in DNA methylation levels within families pointing to shared environment and/or genetics in explaining DNA methylation patterns.⁸ However, twin studies also support greater differences in DNA methylation patterns as twins age suggesting a role for the environment in explaining differences even within families.⁶ Lower 5-methyldeoxycytosine (m5C) in leukocyte DNA was observed in breast cancer patients compared with cancer-free controls, and the association between global demethylation and breast cancer risk was more pronounced among women with a family history of breast cancer.2 We found that girls with a family history of breast cancer had lower methylation levels in *Alu* and *LINE1* elements compared with girls without a family history; the association with *Alu* and family history remained after further adjusting for Tanner stage and BMI.

Table 2. Multivariable^{*} age-adjusted linear regression of genomic DNA methylation

* adjusted for age, family history, BMI and Breast Tanner Staging.

DNA methylation of centromeric repeats and repetitive sequences accounts for the bulk of global DNA methylation levels in the genome. LINE sequences account for at least 34% of the human genome and Alu repeats comprise at least 10%.^{22,23} Loss of DNA methylation in these sequences is thought to cause chromosomal instability, reactivation of transposable elements and loss of imprinting resulting in the initiation of carcinogenesis.²⁴

If replicated in much larger studies, our study suggests that DNA methylation levels may differ between families with and without breast cancer, and that these differences may be observed early in life. Prospective studies measuring global DNA methylation over time within the same individuals are needed in order to understand the potential role of environmental factors in altering global DNA methylation patterns, even early in life.

Materials and Methods

Study participants. This pilot study includes girls participating in LEGACY (Lessons in Epidemiology and Genetics of Adult Cancer from Youth), a prospective study of early-life exposures, pubertal development and other endpoints relevant to breast cancer etiology. The study included girls aged 6–17 years with a family history of breast cancer whose mothers are enrolled in the California ($N = 22$) and New York ($N = 9$) sites of the Breast Cancer Family Registry (BCFR).¹⁹ Girls from families without breast cancer in first or second degree relatives were identified from participating BCFR mothers who were asked to provide names and contact information for friends or acquaintances who have daughters of similar ages $(N = 20)$. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Columbia University and the Cancer Prevention Institute of California. The girls' mothers were interviewed by trained interviewers about their daughters' health, pubertal development and exercise. The girls completed a self-administered questionnaire about their pubertal status. Weight and height measurements and blood for the isolation of plasma and WBC DNA were collected from the girls at the time of interview in the clinic (NY) or the girls' home (CA).

DNA extraction and bisulfite treatment. Genomic DNA was extracted from total WBC by a salting out procedure. Cells were lysed with SDS in a nuclei lysis buffer and treated with RNase A (final 133 μg/mL) and RNase T1 (final 20 units/mL) to remove RNA. Proteins were coprecipitated with NaCl (330 μL of saturated NaCl added per 1 mL solution) by centrifugation. Genomic

DNA was recovered from the supernatant by precipitation with 100% ethanol, washed in 70% ethanol and dissolved in the Tris-EDTA buffer. The laboratory investigator who performed the assays was blinded to the epidemiologic data.

For the MethyLight and *LINE1* pyrosequencing assays, aliquots of DNA (500 ng) were bisulfite-treated with the EZ DNA methylation kit (Zymo Research, Orange, CA) to convert unmethylated cytosines to uracils while leaving methylated cytosines unmodified. The DNA was resuspended in 20 μL of distilled water and stored at -20°C until used.

MethyLight assay. We used the sequences of probes and forward and reverse primers designated as LINE1-M1, Alu-M2 and Sat2-M1 in Weisenberger et al.²⁰ Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed in a 10 μ l reaction volume with 0.3 μ M forward and reverse PCR primers, 0.1 μL probe, 3.5 μM $MgCl₂$, using the following PCR program: 95°C for 10 min, then 55 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec, followed by 60°C for 1 min. Standard curves for the *AluC4* repeat control reaction were generated from 1:25 serial dilutions of bisulfite-converted, CpGenome universal methylated and unmethylated DNAs. A pooled sample of DNA from five controls was used as a quality control and analyzed with each batch of test samples. Assays were run on an ABI Prism 7900 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The inter assay coefficient of variation (CV) was 1.2%.

The MethyLight data were expressed as percent of methylated reference (PMR) values.

PMR = 100% * 2 exp - [δ Ct (target gene in sample - control gene in sample) - δ Ct (100% methylated target in reference sample - control gene in reference sample)].

LINE1 amplification and pyrosequencing. The methylation status of *LINE1* was also measured by pyrosequencing. The sequences of primers and PCR condition have been described in detail previously.⁵ The biotinylated PCR products were purified and made single-stranded to act as a template in the pyrosequencing reaction as recommended by the manufacture using the Pyrosequencing Vacuum Prep Tool (Pyrosequencing, Westborough, MA). Then, 0.3 nmol/L of pyrosequencing primer was annealed to the purified single-stranded PCR product and pyrosequencing was run on a PyroMark Q24. We used non-CpG

References

- 1. Esteller M, Fraga MF, Guo M, Garcia-Foncillas J, Hedenfalk I, Godwin AK, et al. DNA methylation patterns in hereditary human cancers mimic sporadic tumorigenesis. Hum Mol Genet 2001; 10:5-9.
- 2. Choi JY, James SR, Link PA, McCann SE, Hong CC, Davis E, et al. Association between global DNA hypomethylation in leukocytes and risk of breast cancer. Carcinogenesis 2009; 30:1889-97.
- 3. Moore LE, Pfeiffer RM, Poscablo C, Real FX, Kogevinas M, Silverman D, et al. Genomic DNA hypomethylation is a biomarker for bladder cancer susceptibility in the Spanish bladder cancer case-control study. Lancet Oncol 2008; 9:359-66.
- 4. Hsiung DT, Marsit CJ, Houseman EA, Eddy K, Furniss CS, McClean MD, et al. Global DNA methylation level in whole blood as a biomarker in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2007; 16:108-14.
- 5. Bollati V, Baccarelli A, Hou L, Bonzini M, Fustinoni S, Cavallo D, et al. Changes in DNA methylation patterns in subjects exposed to low-dose Benzene. Cancer Res 2007; 67:876-80.
- 6. Fraga MF, Ballestar E, Paz MF, Ropero S, Setien F, Ballestar MK, et al. Epigenetic differences arise during the lifetime of monozygotic twins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005; 102:10604-9.
- 7. Pavanello S, Bollati V, Pesatori AC, Kapka L, Bolognesi C, Bertazzi PA, et al. Global and gene-specific promoter methylation changes are related to anti-B[a]PDE-DNA adduct levels and influence micronuclei levels in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-exposed individuals. Int J Cancer 2009; 125:1692-7.
- 8. Bjornsson HT, Sigurdsson MI, Fallin MD, Irizarry RA, Aspelund T, Cui H, et al. Intra-individual change in DNA methylation over time with familial clustering. JAMA 2008; 299:2877-83.
- 9. Rampersaud GC, Kauwell GP, Hutson AD, Cerda JJ, Bailey LB. Genomic DNA methylation decreases in response to moderate folate depletion in elderly women. Am J Clin Nutr 2000; 72:998-1003.

cytosine residues as internal controls to verify efficient sodium bisulfite DNA conversion and universal unmethylated and methylated DNAs were run as controls. Methylation quantification was performed using the PyroMark Q24 1.010 software. The degree of methylation was expressed for each DNA locus as percentage methylated cytosine over the sum of methylated and unmethylated cytosine. The inter assay CV was 1.1%.

Statistical methods. We used ANOVA to test for differences in global methylation by participant characteristics. We calculated Spearman correlation coefficients to determine the correlation of each marker with age at menarche and used multivariable linear regression models to examine associations between each methylation marker and participant characteristics, including family history of breast cancer (yes vs. no), age (years), body mass index (BMI, kg/m²), reached menarche (yes vs. no), age at menarche (<12 vs. \geq 12) and Tanner breast score (1–3 vs. 4–5). We also examined supplemental models which adjusted for age at menarche and whether a girl started menstruation (yes vs. no). All analyses were performed with SAS software 9.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by an award from the Breast Cancer Research Foundation and NIH grants U01 CA69398, P30 CA13696 and P30 ES009089. The recruitment of girls in California was supported by a Stanford Cancer Center Development grant and NIH grant R03 CA141528. This work was also supported by the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health under RFA # CA-06-503 and through cooperative agreements with members of the Breast Cancer Family Registry (BCFR) and Principal Investigators. The content of this manuscript does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the National Cancer Institute or any of the collaborating centers in the BCFR, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products or organizations imply endorsement by the US Government or the BCFR.

Note

Supplementary material may be found at: www.landesbioscience.com/supplement/Terry-EPI6-1-Sup.xls

- 10. Breton CV, Byun HM, Wenten M, Pan F, Yang A, Gilliland FD. Prenatal tobacco smoke exposure affects global and gene-specific DNA methylation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009; 180:462-7.
- 11. Titus-Ernstoff L, Egan KM, Newcomb PA, Ding J, Trentham-Dietz A, Greenberg ER, et al. Early life factors in relation to breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2002; 11:207-11.
- 12. Trichopoulos D. Hypothesis: Does breast cancer originate in utero? Lancet 1990; 335:939-40.
- 13. Palmer JR, Wise LA, Hatch EE, Troisi R, Titus-Ernstoff L, Strohsnitter W, et al. Prenatal diethylstilbestrol exposure and risk of breast cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2006; 15:1509-14.
- 14. Michels KB, Xue F. Role of birthweight in the etiology of breast cancer. Int J Cancer 2006; 119:2007-25.
- Sato K, Fukata H, Kogo Y, Ohgane J, Shiota K, Mori C. Neonatal exposure to diethylstilbestrol alters the expression of DNA methyltransferases and methylation of genomic DNA in the epididymis of mice. Endocr J 2006; 53:331-7.
- 16. Terry MB, Ferris JS, Pilsner R, Flom JD, Tehranifar P, Santella RM, et al. Genomic DNA methylation among women in a multiethnic New York City birth cohort. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008; 17:2306-10.
- 17. Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Cooper C, Thornburg KL. Effect of in utero and early-life conditions on Adult health and disease. N Engl J Med 2008; 359:61-73.
- 18. Waterland RA, Michels KB. Epigenetic epidemiology of the developmental origins hypothesis. Annual Review of Nutrition 2007; 27:363-88.
- 19. John EM, Hopper JL, Beck JC, Knight JA, Neuhausen SL, Senie RT, et al. The breast cancer family registry: An infrastructure for cooperative multinational, interdisciplinary and translational studies of the genetic epidemiology of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 2004; 6:375-89.
- 20. Weisenberger DJ, Campan M, Long TI, Kim M, Woods C, Fiala E, et al. Analysis of repetitive element DNA methylation by MethyLight. Nucl Acids Res 2005; 33:6823-36.
- 21. Tobi EW, Lumey LH, Talens RP, Kremer D, Putter H, Stein AD, et al. DNA Methylation differences after exposure to prenatal famine are common and timingand sex-specific. Hum Mol Genet 2009; 18:4046-53.
- 22. Deininger PL, Moran JV, Batzer MA, Kazazian HH Jr. Mobile elements and mammalian genome evolution. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2003; 13:651-8.
- 23. Weiner AM. SINEs and LINEs: the art of biting the hand that feeds you. Curr Opin Cell Biol 2002; 14:343-50.
- 24. Ehrlich M. DNA methylation in cancer: too much, but also too little. Oncogene 2002; 21:5400-13.