

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Anesthesiology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 June 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Anesthesiology. 2010 June ; 112(6): 1303-1306. doi:10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181da89e4.

Diastolic Function: A Barometer for Cardiovascular Risk?

Leanne Groban, MD and Dalane W. Kitzman, MD

Departments of Anesthesiology and Internal Medicine, Section on Cardiology, Sticht Center of Aging, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina

Perioperative cardiovascular risk assessment has undergone significant advances, including development and validation of multivariable risk indices for prediction of major cardiac complications, 1^{-3} advances in detecting ischemic heart disease, and noninvasive detection of symptomatic reductions in left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction.⁴ However, we have recently recognized that current preoperative assessments may fail to *fully* appreciate a patient's vulnerability after major noncardiac surgery because early and late cardiac events can occur in the absence of coronary artery disease or heart failure symptoms.^{5–7} In this issue of *Anesthesiology*, Flu and colleagues⁸ extend these findings, identifying the impact that subclinical LV systolic and diastolic dysfunction (DD) has on postoperative outcomes in patients undergoing open vascular or endovascular surgery. As the current American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association perioperative guidelines fall short in discussing the clinical implications of DD, a closer look at this disorder is warranted.

Diastolic dysfunction and diastolic heart failure are not synonymous. The former refers to a preclinical state involving abnormalities in cardiac filling, which result from a combination of slowed LV relaxation and increased stiffness, usually associated with hypertension, diabetes, or ischemia. In contrast, diastolic heart failure, also called heart failure with normal ejection fraction, is the presence of signs and symptoms of heart failure with a normal ejection fraction (>50%), in the absence of significant valvular and pericardial disease, usually with echocardiographic or angiographic evidence of DD.⁹ Diastolic heart failure is a true heart failure syndrome, producing nearly identical signs and symptoms, as well as alterations in neurohormonal activation and impairments in exercise tolerance and exercise cardiac output, as those with heart failure associated with reduced ejection fraction.10.11

Diastolic dysfunction is also a *real* disorder that merits clinical recognition, prevention, and treatment. Asymptomatic DD in the general population is common, even in patients without heart failure,12 increases with age, and is particularly prevalent among older women with systemic hypertension and ventricular hypertrophy. The presence of DD alone predicts worse outcome, with a worsening prognosis as the degree of DD increases.¹² Furthermore, up to 50% of all heart failure patients have a normal ejection fraction (0.50 or more) in the absence of major valve disease.¹³,14 Compared with classic systolic heart failure, DD is increasing in incidence and prevalence,13,15,¹⁶ triggers at least as many hospitalizations and healthcare expenditures,¹⁷ causes equivalent exercise intolerance,¹⁸ and has a nearly similar death rate,¹⁴ particularly among older patients who are hospitalized.

Address correspondence to: Leanne Groban, M.D. Department of Anesthesiology Wake Forest University School of Medicine Medical Center Boulevard Winston-Salem, NC 27157-1009 Phone: 336-716-4498 FAX: 336-716-8190 lgroban@wfubmc.edu. The authors are not supported by, nor maintain any financial interest in any commercial activity that may be associated with the topic of this article.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Groban and Kitzman

Work to date has suggested that diastolic function may be an additional barometer of cardiovascular risk not only in patients with established symptomatic heart disease,19⁻²³ but also in patients undergoing major cardiothoracic and vascular surgery. In patient's undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery, Doppler-derived markers of DD were found to be more accurate in predicting cardiac events and mortality than traditional risk scores, including preoperative LV ejection fraction.24^{,25} Preoperative DD, defined by the transmitral peak early filling velocity-to-late diastolic filling velocity (E/A) ratio or transmitral peak early filling velocity-to-early diastolic annular velocity (E/e') ratio, before cardiac surgery has also been shown to be associated with the need for early inotropic support and increased intensive care unit length of stay.^{26,}27 Similarly, reductions in transmitral flow propagation velocity predicts postoperative heart failure and prolonged hospital stay after major vascular surgery.28

In their eloquent study reported in this journal, Flu and colleagues8 add important and timely information to the prognostic value of preclinical LV dysfunction in patients undergoing vascular surgery. They examined the independent contribution of 1) asymptomatic isolated DD as defined by conventional Doppler parameters, 29 or 2) asymptomatic systolic dysfunction (defined by LV ejection fraction <50% with or without accompanying DD) for predicting 30-day cardiovascular events and longer term mortality in 1005 consecutive patients undergoing open vascular or endovascular surgery. All patients were followed for a mean of 26 months. Interestingly, of the patients with overall LV dysfunction (n=506/1005), 80% (n=405) were without heart failure symptoms. The majority of these patients (n=205) had isolated DD which corresponds to the widespread occurrence of preclinical diastolic function abnormalities noted in the general population.¹² Although preclinical systolic dysfunction portended a greater in-hospital risk for cardiovascular events than isolated DD (odds ratio 2.3, 95% confidence interval, 1.4-3.6), the risk associated with DD was not trivial—the probability of cardiac events was nearly twice that of the patient with normal LV function (odds ratio 1.8; 95% confidence interval 1.1–2.9). Furthermore, patients with preoperative DD had 3 times the risk of cardiac death than those found not to have LV dysfunction (hazard ratio 3.0, 95% confidence interval, 1.5-6.0), and those with asymptomatic systolic dysfunction had nearly five-times the risk of death when compared to patients with normal LV function. In summary, the authors found that asymptomatic LV dysfunction, whether due primarily to diastolic or to systolic dysfunction, independently predicted unfavorable outcomes in their patients who underwent open vascular procedures.

These important results regarding the predictive value of DD are not surprising. Normal diastolic function enables the left ventricle to quickly adapt to the varying loading conditions typical of the perioperative state. Furthermore, one of the earliest manifestations of ischemia is abnormal diastolic function.³⁰ This is because diastolic function depends not only on passive properties, but is also an active, adenosine triphosphate-requiring process, thus providing a quick and reliable `barometer' of myocardial health.

The present study also has some limitations. First, there was no differentiation between the stages of DD. Does early diastolic impairment (E/A < 0.8) portend the same risk as more advanced stages (E/A > 2)? Certainly, the restrictive filling pattern of the LV has been shown to independently predict mortality after a myocardial infarction,³¹ in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy,32 and in patients with severely reduced LV ejection fraction. 33 Second, because conventional diastolic parameters are highly influenced by changes in volume status, blood pressure, and heart rate, and the Doppler exams were performed in the immediate preoperative period, following nothing by mouth after midnight, and presumably bowel preparation orders, there may have been underestimation of the prevalence of advanced DD with seemingly even higher perioperative risk. The authors recognize this limitation and suggest that load-independent measures, such as early diastolic flow

Anesthesiology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 June 1.

propagation velocity, or mitral annular velocity,29 in conjunction with the E/A ratio, could better characterize DD.

So what are the clinical implications of this study? With the changing demographics of our patients, we will need more robust biomarkers and noninvasive imaging techniques to aid in identification of patients who are at higher risk than suspected on clinical grounds alone. The present study indicates that detection of preclinical LV dysfunction will improve risk estimates and should enable intensified management of perioperative therapy aimed toward mitigating cardiovascular events. These strategies might include optimization of preoperative cardiac medications, enhanced monitoring, specialized fluid management strategies, or more intensive postoperative surveillance, though the relative merit of these interventions is currently unknown. In a prior publication from this same data set, Flu and colleagues³⁴ showed that patients are often not managed preoperatively with standard medications. Nonetheless, in ambulatory, non-perioperative patients with overt, symptomatic diastolic heart failure, clinical trials of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (PEP-CHF), angiotensin receptor antagonism (I-PRESERVE), digoxin, and betaadrenergic antagonism (Hong-Kong Nebivolol) have not convincingly demonstrated reductions in morbidity or mortality.³⁵ Accordingly, current American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association management guidelines have assigned all therapies aside from the treatment of hypertension to a "C" level of evidence.36 Given the paucity of data, management of these patients with overt DD in the perioperative period is presently based on individualized, empiric principles, such as careful fluid management and control of hypertension and tachycardia.

Importantly, the data from Flu et al.⁸ indicate that identification of subclinical LV dysfunction by the resting Doppler-echocardiogram could be used to frame specific therapeutic patient care decisions, e.g. open vs. minimally invasive surgical approach. In the current study, adverse outcomes among the patients receiving endovascular repair (n=356) were only associated with those patients with preoperative symptomatic LV dysfunction; odds ratio for 30-day cardiovascular events was 1.8 (95% confidence interval 1.1-2.9), and the odds ratio for long-term cardiovascular mortality was 10.3 (95% confidence interval 5.4-19.3).

Thus, the study by Flu et al.⁸ has made an important contribution to the literature on the perioperative cardiovascular risks associated with asymptomatic LV dysfunction. Taken together with the prior studies of Hammill et al.⁵ and Hernandez et al.,⁶ it is our opinion that there has evolved strong enough support for the following modification of the 2009 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Preoperative Cardiac Risk Assessment Guidelines: Resting echocardiography for assessment of LV systolic and diastolic function in asymptomatic patients undergoing high-risk noncardiac surgery *is* recommended.

Acknowledgments

Supported in part by grants to L. Groban Paul Beeson Award, National Institutes of Aging (Bethesda, MD, USA) K08 AG-026764-04 and RO1-AG033727-01 and to DW Kitzman R37AG18915, and P30AG21332

References

 Goldman L, Caldera DL, Nussbaum SR, Southwick FS, Krogstad D, Murray B, Burke DS, O'Malley TA, Goroll AH, Caplan CH, Nolan J, Carabello B, Slater EE. Multifactorial index of cardiac risk in noncardiac surgical procedures. N Engl J Med 1977;297:845–50. [PubMed: 904659]

- Detsky AS, Abrams HB, Forbath N, Scott JG, Hilliard JR. Cardiac assessment for patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. A multifactorial clinical risk index. Arch Intern Med 1986;146:2131–4. [PubMed: 3778043]
- Lee TH, Marcantonio ER, Mangione CM, Thomas EJ, Polanczyk CA, Cook EF, Sugarbaker DJ, Donaldson MC, Poss R, Ho KK, Ludwig LE, Pedan A, Goldman L. Derivation and prospective validation of a simple index for prediction of cardiac risk of major noncardiac surgery. Circulation 1999;100:1043–9. [PubMed: 10477528]
- 4. Fleisher LA, Beckman JA, Brown KA, Calkins H, Chaikof EL, Fleischmann KE, Freeman WK, Froehlich JB, Kasper EK, Kersten JR, Riegel B, Robb JF. 2009 ACCF/AHA focused update on perioperative beta blockade incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2007 guidelines on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and care for noncardiac surgery: A report of the American college of cardiology foundation/American heart association task force on practice guidelines. Circulation 2009;120:e169–276. [PubMed: 19884473]
- Hammill BG, Curtis LH, Bennett-Guerrero E, O'Connor CM, Jollis JG, Schulman KA, Hernandez AF. Impact of heart failure on patients undergoing major noncardiac surgery. Anesthesiology 2008;108:559–67. [PubMed: 18362586]
- Hernandez AF, Whellan DJ, Stroud S, Sun JL, O'Connor CM, Jollis JG. Outcomes in heart failure patients after major noncardiac surgery. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;44:1446–53. [PubMed: 15464326]
- Xu-Cai YO, Brotman DJ, Phillips CO, Michota FA, Tang WH, Whinney CM, Panneerselvam A, Hixson ED, Garcia M, Francis GS, Jaffer AK. Outcomes of patients with stable heart failure undergoing elective noncardiac surgery. Mayo Clin Proc 2008;83:280–8. [PubMed: 18315993]
- Flu W-J, van Kuijk J-P, Hoeks SE, Kuiper R, Schouten O, Goei D, Elhendy A, Verhagen HJM, Thomson IR, Bax JJ, Fleisher LA, Poldermans D. Prognostic implications of asymptomatic left ventricular dysfunction in patients undergoing vascular surgery. Anesthesiology. 2010 TO BE SUPPLIED BY EDITORIAL OFFICE.
- 9. Paulus WJ, Tschöpe C, Sanderson JE, Rusconi C, Flachskampf FA, Rademakers FE, Marino P, Smiseth OA, De Keulenaer G, Leite-Moreira AF, Borbély A, Edes I, Handoko ML, Heymans S, Pezzali N, Pieske B, Dickstein K, Fraser AG, Brutsaert DL. How to diagnose diastolic heart failure: a consensus statement on the diagnosis of heart failure with normal left ventricular ejection fraction by the Heart Failure and Echocardiography Associations of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J 2007;28:2539–50. [PubMed: 17428822]
- Kitzman DW, Little WC, Brubaker PH, Anderson RT, Hundley WG, Marburger CT, Brosnihan B, Morgan TM, Stewart KP. Pathophysiological characterization of isolated diastolic heart failure in comparison to systolic heart failure. JAMA 2002;288:2144–50. [PubMed: 12413374]
- Kitzman DW, Higginbotham MB, Cobb FR, Sheikh KH, Sullivan MJ. Exercise intolerance in patients with heart failure and preserved left ventricular systolic function: Failure of the Frank-Starling mechanism. J Am Coll Cardiol 1991;17:1065–72. [PubMed: 2007704]
- Redfield MM, Jacobsen SJ, Burnett JC Jr, Mahoney DW, Bailey KR, Rodeheffer RJ. Burden of systolic and diastolic ventricular dysfunction in the community: Appreciating the scope of the heart failure epidemic. JAMA 2003;289:194–202. [PubMed: 12517230]
- 13. Kitzman DW, Gardin JM, Gottdiener JS, Arnold A, Boineau R, Aurigemma G, Marino EK, Lyles M, Cushman M, Enright PL. Cardiovascular Health Study Research Group. Importance of heart failure with preserved systolic function in patients ≥ 65 years of age. CHS Research Group. Cardiovascular Health Study. Am J Cardiol 2001;87:413–9. [PubMed: 11179524]
- Owan TE, Hodge DO, Herges RM, Jacobsen SJ, Roger VL, Redfield MM. Trends in prevalence and outcome of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. N Engl J Med 2006;355:251–9. [PubMed: 16855265]
- Senni M, Tribouilloy CM, Rodeheffer RJ, Jacobsen SJ, Evans JM, Bailey KR, Redfield MM. Congestive heart failure in the community: A study of all incident cases in Olmsted County, Minnesota, in 1991. Circulation 1998;98:2282–9. [PubMed: 9826315]
- Vasan RS, Larson MG, Benjamin EJ, Evans JC, Reiss CK, Levy D. Congestive heart failure in subjects with normal versus reduced left ventricular ejection fraction: Prevalence and mortality in a population-based cohort. J Am Coll Cardiol 1999;33:1948–55. [PubMed: 10362198]

- Liao L, Jollis JG, Anstrom KJ, Whellan DJ, Kitzman DW, Aurigemma GP, Mark DB, Schulman KA, Gottdiener JS. Costs for heart failure with normal vs reduced ejection fraction. Arch Intern Med 2006;166:112–8. [PubMed: 16401819]
- Kitzman DW, Groban L. Exercise intolerance. Heart Fail Clin 2008;4:99–115. [PubMed: 18313628]
- Wang M, Yip GW, Wang AY, Zhang Y, Ho PY, Tse MK, Lam PK, Sanderson JE. Peak early diastolic mitral annulus velocity by tissue Doppler imaging adds independent and incremental prognostic value. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:820–6. [PubMed: 12628728]
- 20. Okura H, Takada Y, Kubo T, Iwata K, Mizoguchi S, Taguchi H, Toda I, Yoshikawa J, Yoshida K. Tissue Doppler-derived index of left ventricular filling pressure, E/E', predicts survival of patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. Heart 2006;92:1248–52. [PubMed: 16449507]
- 21. Hillis GS, Møller JE, Pellikka PA, Gersh BJ, Wright RS, Ommen SR, Reeder GS, Oh JK. Noninvasive estimation of left ventricular filling pressure by E/e' is a powerful predictor of survival after acute myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;43:360–7. [PubMed: 15013115]
- Møller JE, Pellikka PA, Hillis GS, Oh JK. Prognostic importance of diastolic function and filling pressure in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Circulation 2006;114:438–44. [PubMed: 16880341]
- 23. Dokainish H, Zoghbi WA, Lakkis NM, Ambriz E, Patel R, Quinones MA, Nagueh SF. Incremental predictive power of B-type natriuretic peptide and tissue Doppler echocardiography in the prognosis of patients with congestive heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:1223–6. [PubMed: 15837253]
- Liu J, Tanaka N, Murata K, Ueda K, Wada Y, Oyama R, Matsuzaki M. Prognostic value of pseudonormal and restrictive filling patterns on left ventricular remodeling and cardiac events after coronary artery bypass grafting. Am J Cardiol 2003;91:550–4. [PubMed: 12615258]
- Merello L, Riesle E, Alburquerque J, Torres H, Aránguiz-Santander E, Pedemonte O, Westerberg B. Risk scores do not predict high mortality after coronary artery bypass surgery in the presence of diastolic dysfunction. Ann Thorac Surg 2008;85:1247–55. [PubMed: 18355505]
- Bernard F, Denault A, Babin D, Goyer C, Couture P, Couturier A, Buithieu J. Diastolic dysfunction is predictive of difficult weaning from cardiopulmonary bypass. Anesth Analg 2001;92:291–8. [PubMed: 11159219]
- Groban L, Sanders DM, Houle TT, Antonio BL, Ntuen EC, Zvara DA, Kon ND, Kincaid EH. Prognostic value of tissue Doppler-derived E/e' on early morbid events after cardiac surgery. Echocardiography. 2010 In Press.
- Matyal R, Hess PE, Subramaniam B, Mitchell J, Panzica PJ, Pomposelli F, Mahmood F. Perioperative diastolic dysfunction during vascular surgery and its association with postoperative outcome. J Vasc Surg 2009;50:70–6. [PubMed: 19563954]
- Nagueh SF, Appleton CP, Gillebert TC, Marino PN, Oh JK, Smiseth OA, Waggoner AD, Flachskampf FA, Pellikka PA, Evangelisa A. Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography. Eur J Echocardiogr 2009;10:165–93. [PubMed: 19270053]
- Grossman W, Momomura SI, Ingwall JS. Diastolic relaxation abnormalities during ischaemia and their association with high energy phosphate depletion, intracellular pH and myocardial blood flow. Basic Res Cardiol 1987;82(Suppl 2):289–99. [PubMed: 3663022]
- 31. Meta-Analysis Research Group in Echocardiography (MeRGE) AMI Collaborators. Møller JE, Whalley GA, Dini FL, Doughty RN, Gamble GD, Klein AL, Quintana M, Yu CM. Independent prognostic importance of a restrictive left ventricular filling pattern after myocardial infarction: an individual patient meta-analysis: Meta-Analysis Research Group in Echocardiography acute myocardial infarction. Circulation 2008;117:2591–8. [PubMed: 18474816]
- 32. Biagini E, Spirito P, Rocchi G, Ferlito M, Rosmini S, Lai F, Lorenzini M, Terzi F, Bacchi-Reggiani L, Boriani G, Branzi A, Boni L, Rapezzi C. Prognostic implications of the Doppler restrictive filling pattern in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol 2009;104:1727–31. [PubMed: 19962484]

Anesthesiology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 June 1.

- Shen WF, Tribouilloy C, Rey JL, Baudhuin JJ, Boey S, Dufossé H, Lesbre JP. Prognostic significance of Doppler-derived left ventricular diastolic filling variables in dilated cardiomyopathy. Am Heart J 1992;124:1524–33. [PubMed: 1462909]
- 34. Flu WJ, van Kuijk JP, Galal W, Kuiper R, van de Ven LL, Verhagen HJ, Bax JJ, Poldermans D. Prevalence and pharmacological treatment of left-ventricular dysfunction in patients undergoing vascular surgery. Eur J Heart Fail. 2010 Epub ahead of print.
- 35. Thohan V, Patel S. The challenges associated with current clinical trials for diastolic heart failure. Curr Opin Cardiol 2009;24:230–8. [PubMed: 19318936]
- 36. Hunt SA, Abraham WT, Chin MH, Feldman AM, Francis GS, Ganiats TG, Jessup M, Konstam MA, Mancini DM, Michl K, Oates JA, Rahko PS, Silver MA, Stevenson LW, Yancy CW. 2009 focused update incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2005 Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Heart Failure in Adults: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines: developed in collaboration with the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. Circulation 2009;119:e391–479. [PubMed: 19324966]