Determinants of the Specificity of Rotavirus Interactions with the α 2 β 1 Integrin^{*}

Received for publication, May 27, 2010, and in revised form, November 28, 2010 Published, JBC Papers in Press, December 6, 2010, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M110.142992

Fiona E. Fleming‡ **, Kate L. Graham**‡1**, Yoshikazu Takada**§ **, and Barbara S. Coulson**‡2

From the ‡ *Department of Microbiology and Immunology, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia and the* § *Department of Dermatology, School of Medicine, University of California-Davis, Sacramento, California 95817*

The human α 2 β 1 integrin binds collagen and acts as a cellu**lar receptor for rotaviruses and human echovirus 1. These li**gands require the inserted (I) domain within the α 2 subunit of **2**-**1 for binding. Previous studies have identified the binding** sites for collagen and echovirus 1 in the α 2 I domain. We used CHO cells expressing mutated α 2 β 1 to identify amino acids **involved in binding to human and animal rotaviruses. Residues where mutation affected rotavirus binding were located in sev**eral exposed loops and adjacent regions of the α 2 I domain. **Binding by all rotaviruses was eliminated by mutations in the** activation-responsive α C- α 6 and α F helices. This is a novel feature that distinguishes rotavirus from other α 2 β 1 ligands. **Mutation of residues that co-ordinate the metal ion (Ser-153,** Thr-221, and Glu-256 in α 2 and Asp-130 in β 1) and nearby **amino acids (Ser-154, Gln-215, and Asp-219) also inhibited rotavirus binding. The importance of most of these residues was greatest for binding by human rotaviruses. These muta**tions inhibit collagen binding to α 2 β 1 (apart from Glu-256) **but do not affect echovirus binding. Overall, residues where mutation affected both rotavirus and collagen recognition are located at one side of the metal ion-dependent adhesion site, whereas those important for collagen alone cluster nearby. Mutations eliminating rotavirus and echovirus binding are distinct, consistent with the respective preference of these viruses for activated or inactive 2**-**1. In contrast, rotavirus and** collagen utilize activated α 2 β 1 and show an overlap in α 2 β 1 **residues important for binding.**

Integrins are heterodimers of α and β subunits anchored in the cell membrane that interact with the extracellular matrix, the actin cytoskeleton and signaling pathways to regulate cell processes including survival, differentiation, and migration (1). Their abundant expression, moderate affinity for natural ligands and ability to connect with endocytic processes means integrins are frequently commandeered by invading viruses to act as cellular receptors (2, 3).

Rotaviruses are the major etiologic agents of infantile gastroenteritis in humans and animals worldwide, causing at least 500,000 deaths annually (4). The multiple rotavirus cell interactions leading to penetration of intestinal epithelial cells are likely to be major determinants of rotavirus tropism. Many rotaviruses, including human (*e.g.* Wa and K8), monkey (RRV and SA11), and bovine (NCDV) strains, utilize the α 2 β 1 integrin as a key cellular receptor or entry cofactor in epithelial cell lines (5–9). The rotavirus non-structural protein NSP4, which is produced from viral RNA after cell entry, also binds α 2 β 1 (10). Cellular α 2 β 1 expression plays a role in mouse susceptibility to rotavirus-induced biliary atresia (11). The α 2 β 1 integrin is one of four human collagen-binding integrins that comprises a distinct subgroup along with the five leukocyte integrins (including $\alpha X\beta 2$), because of possession of an additional "inserted" (I) ligand-binding α domain (1, 12). Rotaviruses also bind terminal and subterminal sialic acids, and can recognize other integrins including $\alpha X\beta2$ during cell entry (6, 7, 13–16). Previous studies indicated that rotavirus usage of α 2 β 1 requires the presence of the α 2 subunit I domain (α 2I),³ as cells expressing α 2 β 1 that lacks α 2I do not support monkey rotavirus binding or infection via α 2 β 1 (17). Rotavirus binding to α 2 β 1 is inhibited by type I collagen and several function-blocking anti- α 2I monoclonal antibodies, but not by anti- α 2 antibodies that map outside α 2I (7, 17, 18). Human echovirus 1 (EV1) also uses α 2 β 1 as a cellular receptor, with α 2I being sufficient for EV1 binding (19, 20).

The α 2I is an independently folding domain of \sim 200 amino acids (aa) containing the divalent cation-binding sequence DXSXS within the metal ion-dependent adhesion site (MIDAS) (21). The MIDAS is the major site for ligand binding. Integrins exist in inactive or active states for ligand binding and signaling that are proposed to relate to conformational change between bent (closed) and extended (open) extracellular domains, respectively (1, 12). Ligand binding to α 2 β 1 appears to alter the closed conformation to the open form (21, 22). Titers of SA11 rotavirus bound to cellular α 2 β 1 were increased following β 1 activation with 8A2 antibody, providing evidence that rotavirus preferentially binds to activated α2β1 (7). Natural α2β1 ligands also show higher binding levels to the open over the closed form of α 2I (23, 24). However, EV1 preferentially recognizes the bent, inactive α 2β1 form (25).

^{*} This work was supported, in whole or in part, by National Institutes of Health Grant 1R01CA131015-01A2 (to Y. T.) and Grants 350252, 350253, 509006, and 628319 (to B. S. C.) from the National Health and Medical

Research Council of Australia (NHMRC).
¹ Present address: St. Vincent's Institute of Medical Research, Fitzroy, Victo-
ria 3065, Australia.

 $2A$ Senior Research Fellow of the NHMRC. To whom correspondence should be addressed: Dept. of Microbiology and Immunology, Gate 11, Royal Parade, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia. Tel.: 61383448823; Fax: 61393471540; E-mail: barbarac@unimelb.edu.au.

³ The abbreviations used are: α 2l, integrin α 2 subunit-inserted domain; EV1, human echovirus 1; MIDAS, metal ion-dependent adhesion site; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; RLMFI, relative linear median fluorescence intensity.

The rotavirus spike protein VP4 is a major virulence determinant, eliciting neutralizing antibodies and dictating the P serotype (26). VP4 is the major rotavirus recognition protein for host cell surface receptors (7, 27–29). Protease cleavage of VP4 facilitates rotavirus infectivity and generates the virionassociated VP5* (60 kDa) and VP8* (28 kDa) subunits. Truncated RRV VP5 $*$ binds expressed α 2I protein and competes with RRV for binding to cell surface-expressed α 2 β 1 (7, 30). Mutation of the putative α 2 β 1 ligand sequence DGE located in VP5* at positions 308 to 310 abrogates binding of truncated VP5 $*$ to α 2I and VP5 $*$ competition with RRV cell binding and infectivity (7, 30). Additionally, DGE-containing peptides specifically inhibit rotavirus-cell binding and infection mediated through α 2 β 1 (6, 7, 30, 31). The DGE sequence is externally located on the trypsin-primed and putative post-penetration structural forms of VP5* (32, 33).

These findings demonstrate the importance of α 2I in monkey rotavirus recognition of $\alpha 2\beta 1.$ However, the role of $\alpha 2I$ in α 2 β 1 recognition by rotaviruses from other species (including humans) has not been determined, and the α 2I residues involved have not been identified for any rotavirus strain. Monkey rotaviruses preferentially recognize human $\alpha 2\beta 1$ over human α 2 combined with hamster β 1 (17), suggesting a role for the β 1 subunit that requires further analysis. In this study, the α 2I was shown to be necessary for α 2 β 1 binding by human, monkey and bovine rotaviruses. The effect of mutations in exposed loops and adjacent regions of α 2I, and in β 1, on α 2 β 1 binding by these rotaviruses was determined. Interestingly, rotaviruses showed strain-specific differences in their dependence on particular α 2 β 1 residues for binding. We have identified regions of α 2 β 1 that are involved in rotavirus recognition, including sites that differentiate rotavirus binding from that of other α 2 β 1 ligands. Notably, all rotaviruses required residues in the activation-responsive α C- α 6 and α F helices of α 2I, in contrast to other α 2 β 1 ligands.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Lines—The derivation, mutagenesis, and propagation with G418 sulfate selection (Invitrogen) of CHO cells transfected with cDNA encoding empty vector (PBJ-1); human α 2 combined with hamster β 1 (Hu α 2); human α 2 containing a single point mutation or deletion, combined with hamster $\beta 1$ $(n = 51)$; human α 2 with a human-to-mouse swap at amino acids 212–216 in α 2I, combined with hamster β 1 (Hu-mur α 2I); human α 2 containing a human-to-mouse swap of α 2I, combined with hamster β 1 (Mur α 2I); human α 2 combined with human β 1 (Hu α 2 β 1); and human α 2 and human β 1 with a D130A mutation (Hu $\alpha 2\beta 1$ D130A) were as described previously (17, 34–36). The presence of the mutations was confirmed by nucleotide sequence analysis, as before (35).

Antibodies and Rotavirus Strains—Anti-human α 2 monoclonal antibodies AK7 (37, 38) and HAS4 (39), and isotype control antibodies MOPC21 and UPC10, were obtained as described previously (7, 40). Mouse anti-human α 2 antibody Gi9 was provided through participation of B.S.C. in the Leukocyte Typing VI Workshop. FITC-conjugated anti-rat α 2 antibody Ha1/29 and isotype control antibody Ha4/8 were donated by Dale Godfrey, Department of Microbiology and

Immunology, The University of Melbourne (41). The origins and characterization of rotavirus strains RRV and SA11 (monkey), Wa and K8 (human), NCDV (bovine) and CRW-8 (porcine) have been described previously (7, 42). Rotaviruses were propagated in MA104 cells following trypsin activation of infectivity, harvested by two freeze-thawing cycles and stocks clarified by low-speed centrifugation (RRV cell culture harvest), as previously (7, 8, 17). RRV was further purified by glycerol gradient ultracentrifugation, as before (17). Rotavirus infectivity titers were determined by indirect immunofluorescent staining of MA104 cells inoculated with serial dilutions of samples followed by microscopy, as described previously (8, 17). Titers were expressed as the number of fluorescent cellforming units (FCFU)/ml.

Flow Cytometric Analysis—Surface α2β1 integrin expression was detected on CHO cell lines by direct (Ha1/29) or indirect (AK7, Gi9, Has4) immunofluorescent staining of 8 \times 10⁵ cells followed by flow cytometry as described previously (8). The relative linear median fluorescence intensity (RLMFI) was calculated, defined as the ratio of the median fluorescence intensities obtained with the test and isotype control antibodies. A positive RLMFI was defined as \geq 1.2, as before (8).

Rotavirus Cell Binding and Rotavirus Replication Assays— Assays of infectious rotavirus binding at 4 °C for 1 h to confluent CHO cell lines (8×10^5 cells) were performed as previously described (8, 17). Rotavirus doses of 4×10^6 and $8 \times$ 10⁶ FCFU, corresponding to 5 and 10 FCFU/cell, respectively, were bound to cells. The multiplicity of infection used, defined as the number of FCFU/cell, was 5 or 10. Rotavirus-cell binding assays were conducted at 4 °C rather than 37 °C to avoid inaccuracy due to the virus entry that begins within 5 min at 37 °C (43). Titers of bound virus were measured by inoculation and immunofluorescent staining of MA104 cell monolayers as described above (8, 17). Rotavirus replication in CHO cell lines (8×10^5 cells) was assayed at 16 h post-infection at a multiplicity of infection of 1. Rotavirus titers (expressed in FCFU/ml) were determined by titration in MA104 cells as described above.

Data Analysis—In graphs, data are given as the mean of at least three independent experiments, and the bar indicates the S.D. A probability level of 0.05 was considered significant in statistical analysis. Data were analyzed by Student's *t* test unless otherwise indicated.

RESULTS

Surface Expression of Mutated 2-*1 Integrin on Transfected CHO Cells, and Recognition of Mutated 2*-*1 by AK7 Antibody*—A panel of CHO cell lines was produced, each transfected to express human α 2 with a single point mutation $(n = 50)$ or a short deletion $(n = 1)$ in α 2I. As transfection produces cell surface expression of heterodimers of human α 2 combined with the endogenous hamster β 1, these cell lines were examined for surface α 2 expression by flow cytometry. The binding of monoclonal antibodies HAS4 and AK7, directed to α 2 outside the I domain and to the I domain, respectively, was determined for a subset of 14 cell lines, including parental CHO K1 cells transfected with empty vector (PBJ-1)

FIGURE 1. **Determining the parameters of rotavirus binding to native and mutant** α **2 on CHO cells.** A, levels of α 2 expression determined by flow cytometry using AK7 and HAS4 antibodies, expressed as RLMFI, were compared using cells expressing empty vector (PBJ-1), wt human α 2 (Hu α 2), wt human α 2 β 1, and mutated human α 2 (randomly selected; Y157A, Q212A, R213A, G240A, D259A, K264A, D273A, L291A, D292A, K298A, E309A). *Lines* indicate the positive-negative RLMFI cut-off of 1.2. PBJ-1 cells exhibited the single negative RLMFI value. *B*, RRV purification did not alter virus titers bound to PBJ-1 and Hu α 2 CHO cells. RRV cell culture harvest (RRV harvest) and purified RRV were bound to cells at a multiplicity of infection of 10. C, dose-dependence of rotavirus binding to α 2 β 1 on CHO cells. Binding of representative rotavirus strains RRV, Wa, SA11, and CRW-8 was evaluated at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5 and 10. Data obtained with rotaviruses K8 and NCDV were similar to Wa binding levels. *D*, comparison of α 2 RLMFI values and RRV binding levels for cells expressing mutated α 2 that retained RRV binding ($n = 29$) or showed reduced RRV binding ($n = 22$, comprising 21 point mutants and the α C deletion mutant). RLMFI values for α 2 were determined using AK7 except for CHO α 2 R288A, which was recognized by HAS4 only. Data marked *, **, and *** represent CHO α 2 E256A, N295A, and K163A, respectively. RRV and Wa bound these mutants at intermediate and low levels, respectively (Fig. 2).

or with cDNA encoding human α 2 (Hu α 2). With both antibodies, PBJ-1 cells showed a negative RLMFI of \leq 1.2, and Hu α 2 showed positive RLMFI of 13.6 (HAS4) and 10.5 (AK7; Fig. 1*A*). All other CHO cell lines showed positive RLMFI values, with means \pm 95%CI of 5.7 \pm 2.6 (HAS4) and 6.2 \pm 2.1 (AK7). As shown in Fig. 1*A*, a highly significant correlation was observed between the α 2 RLMFI values determined using these antibodies (Spearman $r = 0.94; p < 0.0001$). In the 13 α 2-positive cell lines, the percentage of cells expressing α 2 (mean \pm 95%CI) was 40.9 \pm 10.5 with HAS4 and 45.9 \pm 12.8 with AK7. These percentages also were significantly correlated (Spearman $r = 0.83; p = 0.0001$). Levels of α 2 expression on the full mutant CHO cell panel $(n = 51)$ were determined using AK7. With one exception, AK7 detected α 2 on all cell lines. These α 2-positive cell lines showed RLMFI values and percentages of positive cells (mean \pm 95%CI) of 10.5 ± 5.0 and 50.2 ± 7.3 , respectively. The exception was the CHO α 2 R288A cell line, which showed a negative RLMFI of 1.1 ± 0.1 with AK7, and a positive RLMFI of 5.1 ± 0.1 when tested with HAS4. This implicates Arg-288 in AK7 recognition of α 2I.

2-*1 Integrin Amino Acids Involved in Rotavirus Binding*

Establishing Assay Parameters for Rotavirus Binding to CHO Cells Expressing Recombinant α 2 β 1-Initially, binding to PBJ-1 or Hu α 2 cells by purified RRV was compared with binding by RRV cell culture harvest (Fig. 1*B*). As purification did not affect infectious RRV binding to these cell lines ($p > 0.05$), cell culture harvests were used for all further experiments.

The effect of rotavirus dose on α 2 β 1 binding was determined. Previously, we were unable to detect Wa binding to α 2 β 1 on CHO cells using a multiplicity of infection of 1 (17). However, use of higher doses allowed significant levels of dose-dependent Wa binding to Hu α 2 to be demonstrated (Fig. $1*C*$; *). The other integrin-using rotavirus strains* RRV, SA11, K8, and NCDV also showed dose-dependent binding to α 2 β 1 on Hu α 2 cells ($p < 0.01$), and a multiplicity of infection of 10, corresponding to 8×10^6 FCFU, was optimal for all rotaviruses (Fig. 1*C*). Dose-independent binding to Hu α 2 cells at control levels by the integrin-independent rotavirus CRW-8 demonstrated the specificity of α 2 β 1 binding by the other rotaviruses.

To examine if the level of CHO cell α 2 expression affected RRV binding, α 2 RLMFI values on the 29 cell lines that bound RRV rotavirus (titer of virus bound \geq 80% of the titer bound to cells expressing wt α 2) were compared with those on the 22 cell lines showing RRV binding at or near the level of the PBJ-1 negative control (Fig. 1*D*). The RLMFI values determined with AK7 were used for all cell lines except CHO α 2 R288A, for which the HAS4 RLMFI was used. Irrespective of the effect of the α 2 mutation of RRV binding, there was no correlation between levels of RRV binding and cellular α 2 expression (Spearman $r < -0.02$; $p > 0.75$). This shows that the level of α 2 integrin expression on transfected CHO cell lines was not limiting for detection of rotavirus binding, and lack of binding above control levels was an indication that the α 2 mutation inhibited virus binding.

Regions of 2I Required for Binding by Rotaviruses RRV and Wa —To determine the effect of α 2I mutations on rotavirus recognition, the titer of RRV bound to the CHO cells expressing recombinant α 2 with mutated α 2I residues was assayed. As shown in Fig. 2, the 21 point mutations in α 2I that abolished or reduced RRV binding were located in the N-terminal region (Cys-140), the loop between βA and $\alpha 1$ (Ser-153, Asn-154), the α 1 helix (Asp-160, Lys-163), the loop between helices α 3 and α 4 (Gln-215, Asp-219, Leu-220, Thr-221), the region between $\alpha 4$ and β D (Arg-231, Lys-232, Tyr-233, Arg-242), the loop between β D and α 5 (Glu-256, Gly-260, Ser-261), the α 5 helix (Asp-268), the α 6 loop (Thr-293, Asn-295, Lys-298), and the α F loop (Glu-309). Our earlier data indicated a trend for a reduction in RRV binding to CHO cells expressing α 2 β 1 with the T221A mutation (17). Improvements in assay reproducibility led to a clear demonstration of RRV binding loss due to this mutation (Fig. 2). Deletion of the α C loop (amino acids 283–290) also abolished RRV binding, although individual alanine point mutations within and immediately adjacent to this region (Gly-284, Tyr-285, Asn-287, Arg-288, Asn-289, Leu-291, Asp-292) did not affect RRV binding (Fig. 2). This CHO cell-expressed α 2I deletion was shown previously to have no effect on collagen binding affin-

FIGURE 2. **Effect of mutations in** α **2l on** α **2** β **1 binding by rotavirus strains RRV and Wa. CHO cells stably expressing empty vector (PBJ-1), wild-type hu**man α 2 (wt α 2), or mutant human α 2 were used to determine binding by monkey rotavirus RRV (first bar in each pair, or single bar) and human rotavirus Wa (second bar of each pair). The mutations consisted of single amino acid changes as indicated, with the exception of αC del that contained a deletion of the α C loop (amino acids 283–290). Data are presented as a percentage of the virus titer bound to wt α 2 cells. These cells bound twice the level of virus bound by cells containing the empty vector. As cellular α 2 expression was similar between mutant cell lines bound by rotaviruses and those that did not bind virus (Fig. 1), these data were not normalized for α 2 expression levels. Secondary structural elements of α 2I (α -helices and β -sheets as defined in Ref. 34) are indicated *above* the bars.

FIGURE 3. **Analysis of binding by rotaviruses K8 (human), SA11 (monkey), and NCDV (bovine) to 2I domain mutants that variably affected RRV** and Wa binding, and α 2I containing an α C loop deletion (amino **acids 283-290) or single α6 loop mutations.** Rotaviruses were bound to CHO cell lines selected from those described in the legend to Fig. 2. Titers bound by K8, SA11, and NCDV are represented by the first, second, and third bars in each group, respectively. Data are presented as a percentage of the virus titer bound to CHO parental cells expressing wild-type human α 2 (wt α 2).

ity, demonstrating its functionality (34). A single C terminus mutation (D343A) increased RRV binding. This mutation appeared to increase collagen binding in a previous study (44).

With one exception (D219A), all mutations that reduced RRV binding also reduced Wa binding to α 2 β 1 (Fig. 2). However, mutations E256A and N295A reduced Wa binding to a greater extent than RRV binding. The findings indicated that Wa binding to α 2 β 1 also involves α 2I, and rotavirus binding to α 2I was affected by mutations of many residues in a manner novel to α 2 β 1 ligands. In addition, several α 2I mutations affected binding by individual rotavirus strains to differing extents.

Differential Effects of 2I Mutations on 2-*1 Binding by Human, Monkey, and Bovine Rotaviruses*—Mutations differentially affecting RRV and Wa binding to α 2 β 1 (D219A, E256A, N295A) or involving the αC , α 6, or α F loops were investigated for their effects on binding by other human (K8), monkey (SA11), and bovine (NCDV) rotaviruses (Fig. 3). As

previous studies had shown SA11 binding to be unaffected by the T221A mutation, whereas RRV binding was reduced (Fig. 2), the effects of this mutation also were further analyzed. Initially, the ability of rotaviruses K8 and NCDV to bind human α 2 on CHO cells was demonstrated (wt α 2; Fig. 3). This is consistent with the K8 and NCDV binding to human α 2 β 1 on K562 cells shown previously (7). Each virus strain showed a distinct pattern of reactivity with cells expressing the D219A, T221A, or E256A mutations in α 2I (Fig. 3). Although K8 binding was almost eliminated by all 3 mutations, SA11 binding was reduced by D219A and E256A and unaffected by T221A, and NCDV binding was unaffected by any of the three mutations. In contrast, mutation of αC , the loop between αC and α 6, α 6 helix or α F region abrogated binding by K8, SA11, and NCDV (Fig. 3). These findings also show the dependence on α 2I of K8 and NCDV binding to α 2 β 1. Overall, D219A, T221A, E256A, and N295A mutations differentially affected rotavirus binding to α 2 β 1, whereas mutations of other residues in the αC , $\alpha 6$, and αF regions and/or intervening loops abolished binding by all rotaviruses tested.

Effect of Human-to-Mouse Swapping Mutations in 2I on Rotavirus Cell Binding and Infection—A region surrounding the MIDAS in α 2I contains residues critically important for type I collagen binding (Asp-219, Thr-221), and shown above to be involved in rotavirus binding (Gln-215, Asp-219, Leu-220, Thr-221). Residues 217–221 are conserved among human, monkey,⁴ bovine and mouse α 2I, whereas amino acids 212–216 vary between species, being QTSQY in humans and ETRQH in mice (19, 36, 45). To further test the importance of this region, CHO cells expressing human α 2 with residues 212–216 (Hu-mur α 2I) or the entire α 2I (Hu-mur α 2I) swapped to the mouse sequence were studied. The functional effect of these mutations was evaluated by flow cytometry using α 2 antibodies (Table 1). Binding of anti-human α 2I antibody Gi9 has been mapped to aa 212–216 (46). Swapping either this sequence (Hu-mur α 2I) or the entire α 2 I domain (Mur α 2I) to the corresponding mouse residues abolished Gi9 binding as would be predicted. Conversely, the anti-mouse

⁴ NCBI Reference Sequence: XM_001095246.1.

TABLE 1

Functional verification of human-to mouse swapping mutations in 2I by reactivity of transfected CHO cells with monoclonal antibodies directed to human or mouse α 2

CHO cells expressing wild-type human α 2 (wt α 2), human α 2 with amino acids 212–216 swapped to the mouse sequence (Hu-mur α 2I) or mouse α 2I combined with human α 2 (Mur α 21) were evaluated. Levels of α 2 are expressed as the relative linear median fluorescence intensity (RLMFI), as defined in "Experimental Procedures." Positive RLMFI values are given in bold. The fluorescence histograms of CHO cells expressing empty vector (PBJ-1) were indistinguishable from isotype control antibody profiles, showing \overline{RLMFI} values of <1.1.

FIGURE 4. **Effect of human-to-mouse swapping and point mutations in 2I on rotavirus binding and infection through cell surface-expressed** α 2 β 1. Relative levels of binding by rotaviruses RRV and Wa (A) and K8, SA11, and NCDV (*B*) to CHO cells expressing empty vector (PBJ-1), human α 2 (Hu α 2), mouse α2I within human α2 (Mur α2I), or human α2 with amino acids 212-216 swapped to the mouse sequence (Hu-mur α2I) are illustrated. C, infectious rotavirus titers produced at 16 h after infection of PBJ-1, Hu α 2, and Hu-mur α 2I by RRV, Wa, NCDV, or integrin-independent porcine rotavirus CRW-8 at a multiplicity of infection of 1. *D*, infectious RRV titers produced at 16 h after infection of PBJ-1, Hu α 2, Y157A, N289A, T293A, and D243A.

 α 2I antibody Ha1/29 bound both the human-to-mouse swapping mutants, but not human α 2. This confirms the presence of functional mouse sequences in the mutants, and indicates that provision of mouse amino acids 212–216 is sufficient to confer Ha1/29 binding. Thus, Ha1/29 requires amino acids 212–216 for binding, similarly to Gi9. Non-function blocking antibody HAS4, which maps outside α 2I (19, 44), detected the human α 2 non-I region present in all transfected CHO cell lines, as expected. Overall, these data demonstrate the functionality of these swapping mutations in recombinant α 2 expressed on CHO cells.

The impact of these human-to-mouse swapping mutations in α 2I on α 2 β 1 recognition by rotaviruses was measured. As shown previously for RRV and SA11 (17), rotaviruses Wa, K8, and NCDV bound to human $\alpha 2\beta 1$ expressed on CHO cells (Fig. 4, *A* and *B*). Binding by rotaviruses RRV, Wa, and K8 was reduced to background levels by swapping residues 212–216 (Hu-mur α 2I), whereas SA11 and NCDV binding was unaffected (Fig. 4, *A* and *B*). The effect was similar to that of the

T221A mutation on binding by these rotaviruses. This finding was confirmed with Mur α 2I cells, as swapping to the mouse I domain abrogated binding by RRV and Wa (Fig. 4*A*). The relation of the degree of virus binding to productive infection was determined (Fig. 4*C*). Expression of human α 2 in CHO cells allows limited replication of RRV and Wa rotaviruses (5, 17). Consistent with this and the binding studies above, CHO cell yields of infectious RRV and Wa due to the presence of human α 2 were reduced to background levels by the humanto-mouse swap of amino acids 212–216. Although we demonstrated α 2-dependent NCDV rotavirus replication in CHO cells, this mutation did not affect the NCDV yield. This is in complete agreement with the lack of effect of this mutation on NCDV binding to α 2 β 1. Porcine rotavirus CRW-8 does not use human or monkey α 2 β 1 as a cellular receptor (7, 30, 31). Consistent with this, the very low level of CRW-8 replication in CHO cells was unaffected by the presence of human α 2 or its mutation. Overall, the ability of rotaviruses to bind α 2 β 1 with this swapping mutation correlated with their suc-

TABLE 2

^a Residues where mutation affects both rotavirus and collagen binding are shown in bold.

^b Published findings (20, 35, 48).

^c Published findings (21, 34, 44, 48, 51).

^d Residues where mutations produced strain-specific effects on rotavirus binding.

cess in α 2 β 1-mediated replication. Taken together with the data presented in Figs. 2 and 3, these studies indicate the importance of the entire α 3- α 4 region in human rotavirus and RRV usage of α 2 β 1 as a cellular receptor, and the lesser importance of this region for α 2 β 1 usage by SA11 and NCDV rotaviruses.

Effects of Point Mutations in 2I on Rotavirus Replication— The effect on RRV growth of representative point mutations in α 2I that had shown varying effects on rotavirus binding to α2β1 was determined (Fig. 4D). The T293A mutation that reduced RRV binding to control levels also reduced RRV yield, by 54% ($p < 0.0001$). Similarly, the enhanced RRV binding to the D343A mutant (140% of wt α 2 control; Fig. 2) translated to a 120% increase in RRV yield ($p < 0.0001$). Mutations that did not affect RRV binding to α 2 β 1 either had no effect (Y157A; 92% of control, $p = 0.07$) or increased infectious yield (N289A; 240% of control, $p < 0.0001$). The CHO-N289A cells displayed an elongated morphology that was distinct from the other CHO cell lines analyzed for virus yield. This may indicate changes in cellular function that facilitated virus entry or replication independently of α 2 β 1 expression. Taking into account the limitation provided by the varying effects of α 2I mutation on cell function, these data provide further evidence that the outcome of α 2I mutations for rotavirus replication is strongly influenced by their effect on viruscell binding.

Comparison of α2β1 Recognition by Rotaviruses, Echovi*ruses, and Type 1 Collagen*—Studies described above indicated that the α 2I point mutations eliminating rotavirus and EV1 binding were distinct, whereas mutations in the D*X*S*X*S region at Ser-153, Asn-154 and in the α 3- α 4 loop surrounding the MIDAS at Gln-215, Asp-219, and Thr-221 affected both rotavirus and collagen binding (summarized in Table 2). Native α 2 β 1 expressed on CHO cells is activated, based on recognition by antibody 12F1 (47). When visualized in the crystal structure of activated α 2I, mutations affecting rotavirus binding were shown to reside primarily in the vicinity of the MIDAS and the α C- α 6 loops (Fig. 5). In contrast, the residues that directly interact with EV1 and are both necessary and sufficient for binding (amino acids 199–201, 212–216) are located on a side face of α 2I (20, 35)(Fig. 5A). Mutation of Asn-289 in the α C helix also inhibits EV1 binding, although Asn-289 might not be sufficient for EV1 binding (48). Asn289 is located at the edge of the α 2I interface with EV1 and is considered likely to exert its effect by conformational means (20). In contrast, Asn-289 mutation did not affect rotavirus binding, even though deletion of the α C helix containing Asn-289 abolished binding. Interestingly, we found that residues where mutations affected both rotavirus and collagen recognition were located to one side of the MIDAS, whereas those inhibiting collagen but not rotavirus clustered separately in an adjacent site (Fig. 5, *B* and *C*). Residues where mutation differentially inhibited binding by the various rotavirus strains (Asp-219, Thr-221, and Glu-256) also were located in close mutual proximity within the MIDAS region (Fig. 5*C*).

Mutation of β1 (D130A) Abolished α2β1 Binding by RRV and Human Rotaviruses, and Reduced Binding by SA11 and $\mathit{NCDV}\mathrm{-The}$ $\beta1$ integrin subunit contains an I-like or β I domain that is important for ligand binding (12). Residue Glu-309 is located near the C terminus of α 2I and might interact with the β 1 I domain MIDAS (49). As the E309A mutation in α 2I eliminated rotavirus binding (Figs. 2 and 3), and the D130A mutation within the β I MIDAS of α 2 β 1 abolishes collagen binding (50), the effect of D130A mutation on rotavirus binding was determined. In these experiments, rotavirus binding to CHO cells transfected to express human α 2 and human β 1 (Hu α 2 β 1) was compared with binding to cells expressing human α 2 and human β 1 with a D130A mutation (Fig. 6). RRV and SA11 binding to α 2 β 1 on CHO cells is increased by 22 and 27%, respectively, when both integrin subunits are of human origin (17). RRV, Wa, and K8 binding to human α 2 β 1 was eliminated by this mutation, whereas SA11 and NCDV binding was partially reduced (Fig. 6). This differential effect on binding by these rotavirus strains paralleled the effect of T221A mutation and the human-to-mouse swapping mutation at 212–216 in α 2I, suggesting a possible functional link between the effects of these mutations in α 2I and the D130A mutation in β 1.

DISCUSSION

Recognition of the α 2 β 1 integrin is part of the process of cell attachment and entry for many rotaviruses. It was found here that the $\alpha 2$ subunit I domain is necessary for $\alpha 2\beta 1$ binding and α 2 β 1-mediated infection by human and bovine rotaviruses. Amino acids in α 2 β 1 that are necessary for binding by several human and animal rotaviruses were identified in

2-*1 Integrin Amino Acids Involved in Rotavirus Binding*

FIGURE 6. **Effect of D130A mutation in the** β **1 subunit of human** α **2** β **1 on rotavirus binding to cell surface-expressed** α **2** β **1. Relative infectious** titers of RRV, Wa, K8, SA11, and NCDV bound to CHO cells transfected with human α2β1 (Hu α2β1), or human α2β1 with the point mutation D130A in the β 1 subunit (Hu α 2 β 1 D130A) are given. The titer of RRV and SA11 bound to Hu α 2 β 1 cells is increased by 22 and 27%, respectively, over that bound to wt α 2 cells (17).

to collagen in the α 2 β 1 residues necessary for binding than did monkey and bovine rotaviruses. For all these rotaviruses, replication mediated through α 2 β 1 was substantially determined by the ability to bind α 2 β 1.

We found that the α 6 helix is important for rotavirus recognition, as several α 6 mutations abolished binding by all rotavirus strains. This α 6 involvement is a novel feature that distinguishes rotavirus binding from that of other α 2I ligands, including collagens, EV1, and snake venom toxins jararhagin and EMS16 (20, 21, 34, 35, 44, 48, 51–54). The adjacent αC helix also was necessary for recognition by all rotavirus strains. This also contrasts with binding by type 1 collagen (34, 44, 48, 51), although deletion of amino acids 284–288 in α C weakens type 1 collagen binding (52). The protruding α C helix unwinds and relocates upon $\alpha 2\beta 1$ activation, and is the principal insertion that distinguishes the α subunit I domains of collagen-binding integrins from those of leukocyte integrins (21, 52). It has been proposed that α C might act to inhibit nonspecific collagen recognition (21). The lack of impact of point mutations within αC on rotavirus binding suggests its overall conformation is more important for rotavirus binding than any virus recognition of particular αC residues. Alanine mutagenesis showed that Asp-309 in α F was required for binding by all rotaviruses. In contrast, D309A mutation does not affect collagen binding, cell spreading on collagen, α 2 β 1 avidity for collagen, α 2 β 1 aggregation or EV1 binding (25, 49). The α F site is contained within α C- α 7 so is expected to undergo conformational change during activation (12, 21, 49, 55). Similarly, mutation of two residues in the α 1 helix that moves inward during activation (56) also affected binding by rotavirus but not collagen or EV1. The importance of these activation-responsive residues in α 1, α C- α 6, and α F for rotavirus binding might relate to the rotavirus preference for activated α 2 β 1 (30).

Rotavirus binding was affected by mutation of two (Ser-153, Thr-221) of the three residues that directly coordinate the MIDAS metal ion in α 2I, one (Glu-256) of the three residues that make water-mediated bonds to the metal ion in α 2I and Asp-130 that coordinates the cation in the β 1 MIDAS (21, 50). It is likely that loss of virus binding to these mutants is due to the disruption of the metal binding, as for collagen

FIGURE 5. **Structural comparison of the 2I locations of amino acids affecting rotavirus, EV1, and type I collagen binding.** The sites of mutations inhibiting rotavirus or EV1 binding (*A*), and rotavirus and/or collagen binding (*B*, *C*) are compared individually, with the atoms of residues where mutation affects binding shown as *colored-filled spheres*. The view in *A* and *B* is identical, looking from one side with the MIDAS at the *top*. In *C*, the view is from the same side from the *top* and is restricted to the MIDAS and surrounds. Mutations affecting the binding of rotaviruses (*light blue*), echovirus (*yellow*), collagen but not viruses (*orange*), and both rotaviruses and collagen (*olive green*) are indicated. In *C*, amino acids with mutations that affected collagen binding and variably affected rotavirus binding (Asp-219, Thr-221) are shown in *pink*, and Glu-256 where mutation variably affected rotavirus binding only is shown in *purple*. In all panels the MIDAS divalent cation is shown in *red*, the position of a bound model triple-helical collagen peptide is given in *green*, and the αC loop is shown in *dark blue*. This is adapted from the x-ray structure of activated α 2I bound to triple-helical collagen peptide, Protein DataBase Code 1DZI (21).

our studies. In the α 2 subunit I domain, these residues are located mainly in the MIDAS and surrounds, and include amino acids involved in metal ion co-ordination. The residues involved in rotavirus binding to α 2 β 1 were shown to be distinct from those required by EV1, but overlapped with those used by type 1 collagen. This is consistent with the reported competition by type 1 collagen with rotaviruses for α 2 β 1 binding (7). Human rotaviruses exhibited a greater similarity

(21). Mutation of these residues differentially affected binding by rotavirus strains, with the exception of S153A. This suggests that RRV and the human rotaviruses have a greater dependence on the α 2 and β 1 MIDAS than SA11 and NCDV. The rotavirus outer capsid (containing VP5*) and virus infectivity are lost when divalent cations are chelated (57–59) so it has not been feasible to directly evaluate the importance of metal ions for rotavirus recognition of α 2 β 1. Our findings here indicate that a role for divalent cations cannot be ruled out.

As almost half of the α 2I point mutations tested reduced rotavirus binding to α 2 β 1, theoretically a substantial portion of the α 2I surface could be involved. Most α 2I residues where mutation affects EV1 or collagen binding have a direct binding role (20, 21, 34, 35, 44, 51). However, it seems less likely that most of the α 2I residues where mutations inhibited rotavirus binding similarly form part of the rotavirus- α 2I interface, given their distribution over about 20% of the α 2I surface.

We found that that α 2I binding by RRV, Wa, and K8 was strongly affected by several α 2I point mutations, swapping of amino acids 212–216 to the mouse sequence and D130A mutation of β 1, whereas these mutations had little effect on SA11 and NCDV binding. However, this functional division of these rotavirus strains does not reflect their overall VP4 sequence relatedness. Our VP4 gene segment sequences together with data from the National Center for Biotechnology Information⁵ show that RRV, SA11, and NCDV share $>84\%$ VP4 aa sequence identity, whereas RRV shares 69–71% identity with Wa and K8. As truncated RRV VP5* protein (amino acids 247–474) is sufficient for α 2I protein binding (7), the relatedness of this VP5* sequence among these rotaviruses was determined. RRV VP5* amino acids 247– 474 showed 82 and 83% identity with Wa and K8, and 86 and 87% with SA11 and NCDV, respectively. In contrast, a smaller region of RRV VP5* (amino acids 335–380) showed 93 and 91% identity with Wa and K8, respectively, and 84% with SA11 and NCDV. In the RRV VP5* structure that represents the protease-primed form, segments of the amino acids 335–380 region are surface-located and near the DGE sequence (Fig. 7). No structure of VP5* from any other rotavirus strain is available for comparison. These findings indicate that sequence variation in VP5* amino acids 335–380 parallels rotavirus strain-specific differences in the effects of α 2I mutation on virus binding. It is proposed that VP5* amino acids 335–380 could influence rotavirus recognition of α 2 β 1 in addition to the DGE site.

It is intriguing that RRV and human rotaviruses could not bind to $\alpha 2\beta 1$ when $\alpha 2I$ residues 212 to 216, or the entire $\alpha 2I$ domain, were swapped to the mouse sequence. As SA11 and NCDV binding was unaffected by the small swapping mutation, this effect is not a general rotavirus property. Although our data could be interpreted as suggesting that Wa and RRV might not recognize mouse α 2 β 1, indirect evidence favoring RRV recognition of mouse α 2 β 1 on immortalized cholangiocytes has been obtained (11). We have been unable to deter-

FIGURE 7. **Location of DGE sequence (***yellow***) and residues 335 to 380 (***pale blue***) in the truncated RRV dimeric VP5* structure considered to represent the protease-activated form.** Residues differing between the RRV/Wa/K8 and SA11/NCDV rotavirus clusters are indicated in *dark blue*. The VP5* dimer is oriented with the hydrophobic loops required for cell membrane disruption at the *top* of the image. This is adapted from the x-ray structure of dimeric RRV VP5*, Protein DataBase Code 2B4H (63).

mine if mouse α 2 β 1 is a rotavirus receptor, as a mouse cell line that supports rotavirus replication, expresses $\alpha 2\beta 1$ in our hands and is suitable for virus binding assays could not be identified despite extensive efforts. Alternatively, insertion of the mouse α 2I domain or residues 212–216 might render the partnership of human α 2 with hamster β 1 as non-functional for binding particular rotaviruses. However, recognition of this heterologous α 2 β 1 by antibody Ha1/29 indicated that it is expressed on the cell surface and retains a functional epitope. Also, insertion of human α 2I regions into mouse α 2 and association with hamster β 1 does not impair EV1 binding (35).

In response to type 1 collagen, α 2 β 1 induces cell signaling through the $p38\alpha$ mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway (60). On CHO cells expressing human α 2, collagen- or antibody-induced α 2 β 1 clustering produces transient p38 kinase activation 15 min later (25). This p38 activation can be considered as an indicator of the α 2I Glu-336-dependent conformational change in α 2 β 1 to the open form (25, 49). As RRV does not activate p38 in the first hour after infection when using α 2 β 1 (61), it unlikely that rotavirus binding induces the open α 2 β 1 conformation. Rotavirus activation of p38 and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) later in the replicative cycle is independent of integrin recognition as it is also induced by integrin-independent rotavirus strains including CRW-8 (40, 61). PI3K is a possible upstream effector of the p38 signaling induced via collagen-stimulated α 2 β 1 (60). These data provide evidence that rotavirus does not induce α 2 β 1 activation despite preferentially binding to activated α 2 β 1. Similarly to rotavirus, EV1 activation of p38 is unrelated to α 2 β 1 binding and occurs at a post-entry stage (25). Consistent with this, EV1 induces α 2 β 1 clustering but not conformational change, and preferentially recognizes inactive α2β1 (25, 62). The distinct mechanisms of α2β1 recognition by rotavirus and EV1 are shown through the differing α 2I residues required for binding and opposite conformational

⁵ NCBI Reference Sequences: P12473.2 (RRV), P11193.3 (Wa), Q01641.1 (K8), P12976.1 (SA11), P17465.1 (NCDV).

preferences. Rotavirus is distinguished from collagen by α 2I binding site requirements and the likely inability to activate α 2 β 1 or p38.

Our studies have delineated regions of α 2 β 1 that are involved in rotavirus recognition, including sites distinct from those used by other α 2 β 1 ligands. These include the α 1, α C- α 6, and α F helices in α 2I. A greater dependence on MIDAS residues of human over animal rotaviruses also was identified. Structural studies of complexes of α 2I with VP5^{*} or virions of human and animal rotaviruses are needed to provide a detailed understanding of how rotaviruses interface with α 2 β 1. Overall, the information obtained in the present study indicates the feasibility of developing compounds that block rotavirus but not collagen binding to α 2 β 1. This is important because blocking collagen binding to α 2 β 1 is expected to affect wound healing and thrombosis. Such compounds could lead to an effective drug for rotavirus disease.

Acknowledgments—We thank Gavan Holloway for assistance with nucleotide sequencing. The generous gifts of monoclonal antibodies by Dale Godfrey and Fiona Watt are much appreciated.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hynes, R. O. (2002) *Cell* **110,** 673–687
- 2. Stewart, P. L., and Nemerow, G. R. (2007) *Trends Microbiol.* **15,** 500–507
- 3. Triantafilou, K., Takada, Y., and Triantafilou, M. (2001) *Crit. Rev. Immunol.* **21,** 311–322
- 4. Greenberg, H. B., and Estes, M. K. (2009) *Gastroenterology* **136,** 1939–1951
- 5. Ciarlet, M., Crawford, S. E., Cheng, E., Blutt, S. E., Rice, D. A., Bergelson, J. M., and Estes, M. K. (2002) *J. Virol.* **76,** 1109–1123
- 6. Coulson, B. S., Londrigan, S. L., and Lee, D. J. (1997) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **94,** 5389–5394
- 7. Graham, K. L., Halasz, P., Tan, Y., Hewish, M. J., Takada, Y., Mackow, E. R., Robinson, M. K., and Coulson, B. S. (2003) *J. Virol.* **77,** 9969–9978
- 8. Hewish, M. J., Takada, Y., and Coulson, B. S. (2000) *J. Virol.* **74,** 228–236
- 9. Zárate, S., Espinosa, R., Romero, P., Guerrero, C. A., Arias, C. F., and Lo´pez, S. (2000) *Virology* **278,** 50–54
- 10. Seo, N. S., Zeng, C. Q., Hyser, J. M., Utama, B., Crawford, S. E., Kim, K. J., Ho¨o¨k, M., and Estes, M. K. (2008) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105,** 8811–8818
- 11. Jafri, M., Donnelly, B., Allen, S., Bondoc, A., McNeal, M., Rennert, P. D., Weinreb, P. H., Ward, R., and Tiao, G. (2008) *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* **295,** G16–G26
- 12. Luo, B. H., Carman, C. V., and Springer, T. A. (2007) *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **25,** 619–647
- 13. Blanchard, H., Yu, X., Coulson, B. S., and von Itzstein, M. (2007) *J. Mol. Biol.* **367,** 1215–1226
- 14. Graham, K. L., Fleming, F. E., Halasz, P., Hewish, M. J., Nagesha, H. S., Holmes, I. H., Takada, Y., and Coulson, B. S. (2005) *J. Gen. Virol.* **86,** 3397–3408
- 15. Guerrero, C. A., Méndez, E., Zárate, S., Isa, P., López, S., and Arias, C. F. (2000) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **97,** 14644–14649
- 16. Haselhorst, T., Fleming, F. E., Dyason, J. C., Hartnell, R. D., Yu, X., Holloway, G., Santegoets, K., Kiefel, M. J., Blanchard, H., Coulson, B. S., and von Itzstein, M. (2009) *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **5,** 91–93
- 17. Londrigan, S. L., Graham, K. L., Takada, Y., Halasz, P., and Coulson, B. S. (2003) *J. Virol.* **77,** 9486–9501
- 18. Coulson, B. S. (1997) in *Leukocyte Typing VI* (Kishimoto, T., Kikutani, H., von dem Borne, A. E. G. Kr., *et al.*, ed) Garland Publishing, Inc., New York
- 19. Bergelson, J. M., St John, N. F., Kawaguchi, S., Pasqualini, R., Berdichev-

sky, F., Hemler, M. E., and Finberg, R. W. (1994) *Cell Adhes. Commun.* **2,** 455–464

- 20. Xing, L., Huhtala, M., Pietiäinen, V., Käpylä, J., Vuorinen, K., Marjomäki, V., Heino, J., Johnson, M. S., Hyypiä, T., and Cheng, R. H. (2004) *J. Biol. Chem.* **279,** 11632–11638
- 21. Emsley, J., Knight, C. G., Farndale, R. W., Barnes, M. J., and Liddington, R. C. (2000) *Cell* **101,** 47–56
- 22. Jin, M., Andricioaei, I., and Springer, T. A. (2004) *Structure* **12,** 2137–2147
- 23. Aquilina, A., Korda, M., Bergelson, J. M., Humphries, M. J., Farndale, R. W., and Tuckwell, D. (2002) *Eur. J. Biochem.* **269,** 1136–1144
- 24. Tulla, M., Pentikäinen, O. T., Viitasalo, T., Käpylä, J., Impola, U., Nykvist, P., Nissinen, L., Johnson, M. S., and Heino, J. (2001) *J. Biol. Chem.* **276,** 48206–48212
- 25. Jokinen, J., White, D. J., Salmela, M., Huhtala, M., Kapyla, J., Sipila, K., Puranen, J. S., Nissinen, L., Kankaanpaa, P., Marjomaki, V., Hyypia, T., Johnson, M. S., and Heino, J. (2010) *EMBO J.* **29,** 196–208
- 26. Coulson, B. S. (1993) *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **31,** 1–8
- 27. Ludert, J. E., Feng, N., Yu, J. H., Broome, R. L., Hoshino, Y., and Greenberg, H. B. (1996) *J. Virol.* **70,** 487–493
- 28. Bass, D. M., Mackow, E. R., and Greenberg, H. B. (1991) *Virology* **183,** 602–610
- 29. Kirkwood, C. D., Bishop, R. F., and Coulson, B. S. (1998) *J. Virol.* **72,** 9348–9352
- 30. Graham, K. L., Takada, Y., and Coulson, B. S. (2006) *J. Gen. Virol.* **87,** 1275–1283
- 31. Graham, K. L., Zeng, W., Takada, Y., Jackson, D. C., and Coulson, B. S. (2004) *J. Virol.* **78,** 11786–11797
- 32. Trask, S. D., Kim, I. S., Harrison, S. C., and Dormitzer, P. R. *J. Virol.* **84,** 1764–1770
- 33. Dormitzer, P. R., Nason, E. B., Prasad, B. V., and Harrison, S. C. (2004) *Nature* **430,** 1053–1058
- 34. Kamata, T., Liddington, R. C., and Takada, Y. (1999) *J. Biol. Chem.* **274,** 32108–32111
- 35. King, S. L., Kamata, T., Cunningham, J. A., Emsley, J., Liddington, R. C., Takada, Y., and Bergelson, J. M. (1997) *J. Biol. Chem.* **272,** 28518–28522
- 36. Takada, Y., and Hemler, M. E. (1989) *J. Cell Biol.* **109,** 397–407
- 37. Gamble, J. R., Matthias, L. J., Meyer, G., Kaur, P., Russ, G., Faull, R., Berndt, M. C., and Vadas, M. A. (1993) *J. Cell Biol.* **121,** 931–943
- 38. O'Connell, P. J., Faull, R., Russ, G. R., and D'Apice, A. J. (1991) *Immunol. Cell Biol.* **69,** 103–110
- 39. Tenchini, M. L., Adams, J. C., Gilberty, C., Steel, J., Hudson, D. L., Malcovati, M., and Watt, F. M. (1993) *Cell Adhes. Commun.* **1,** 55–66
- 40. Halasz, P., Holloway, G., Turner, S. J., and Coulson, B. S. (2008) *J. Virol.* **82,** 148–160
- 41. Pellicci, D. G., Hammond, K. J., Coquet, J., Kyparissoudis, K., Brooks, A. G., Kedzierska, K., Keating, R., Turner, S., Berzins, S., Smyth, M. J., and Godfrey, D. I. (2005) *J. Immunol.* **175,** 4416–4425
- 42. Coulson, B. S., and Kirkwood, C. (1991) *J. Virol.* **65,** 5968–5974
- 43. Kaljot, K. T., Shaw, R. D., Rubin, D. H., and Greenberg, H. B. (1988) *J. Virol.* **62,** 1136–1144
- 44. Kamata, T., and Takada, Y. (1994) *J. Biol. Chem.* **269,** 26006–26010
- 45. Edelman, J. M., Chan, B. M., Uniyal, S., Onodera, H., Wang, D. Z., St John, N. F., Damjanovich, L., Latzer, D. B., Finberg, R. W., and Bergelson, J. M. (1994) *Cell Adhes. Commun.* **2,** 131–143
- 46. Tuckwell, D. S., Smith, L., Korda, M., Askari, J. A., Santoso, S., Barnes, M. J., Farndale, R. W., and Humphries, M. J. (2000) *Biochem. J.* **350,** 485–493
- 47. Vuoriluoto, K., Jokinen, J., Kallio, K., Salmivirta, M., Heino, J., and Ivaska, J. (2008) *Exp. Cell Res.* **314,** 3369–3381
- 48. Dickeson, S. K., Mathis, N. L., Rahman, M., Bergelson, J. M., and Santoro, S. A. (1999) *J. Biol. Chem.* **274,** 32182–32191
- 49. Connors, W. L., Jokinen, J., White, D. J., Puranen, J. S., Kankaanpää, P., Upla, P., Tulla, M., Johnson, M. S., and Heino, J. (2007) *J. Biol. Chem.* **282,** 14675–14683
- 50. Valdramidou, D., Humphries, M. J., and Mould, A. P. (2008) *J. Biol. Chem.* **283,** 32704–32714
- 51. Kamata, T., Puzon, W., and Takada, Y. (1994) *J. Biol. Chem.* **269,**

9659–9663

- 52. Käpylä, J., Ivaska, J., Riikonen, R., Nykvist, P., Pentikäinen, O., Johnson, M., and Heino, J. (2000) *J. Biol. Chem.* **275,** 3348–3354
- 53. Lambert, L. J., Bobkov, A. A., Smith, J. W., and Marassi, F. M. (2008) *J. Biol. Chem.* **283,** 16665–16672
- 54. Horii, K., Okuda, D., Morita, T., and Mizuno, H. (2004) *J. Mol. Biol.* **341,** 519–527
- 55. Lee, J. O., Bankston, L. A., Arnaout, M. A., and Liddington, R. C. (1995) *Structure* **3,** 1333–1340
- 56. Shimaoka, M., Xiao, T., Liu, J. H., Yang, Y., Dong, Y., Jun, C. D., Mc-Cormack, A., Zhang, R., Joachimiak, A., Takagi, J., Wang, J. H., and Springer, T. A. (2003) *Cell* **112,** 99–111
- 57. Prasad, B. V., Wang, G. J., Clerx, J. P., and Chiu, W. (1988) *J. Mol. Biol.* **199,** 269–275
- 58. Yeager, M., Dryden, K. A., Olson, N. H., Greenberg, H. B., and Baker, T. S. (1990) *J. Cell Biol.* **110,** 2133–2144
- 59. Cohen, J., Laporte, J., Charpilienne, A., and Scherrer, R. (1979) *Arch. Virol.* **60,** 177–186
- 60. Ivaska, J., Reunanen, H., Westermarck, J., Koivisto, L., Kähäri, V. M., and Heino, J. (1999) *J. Cell Biol.* **147,** 401–416
- 61. Holloway, G., and Coulson, B. S. (2006) *J. Virol.* **80,** 10624–10633
- 62. Bergelson, J. M., Chan, B. M., Finberg, R. W., and Hemler, M. E. (1993) *J. Clin. Invest.* **92,** 232–239
- 63. Yoder, J. D., and Dormitzer, P. R. (2006) *EMBO J.* **25,** 1559–1568

