RESEARCH PAPER



Related polymorphic F-box protein genes between haplotypes clustering in the BAC contig sequences around the S-RNase of Japanese pear

Kazuma Okada^{1,†}, Nozomi Tonaka¹, Tomio Taguchi¹, Takehiko Ichikawa¹, Yutaka Sawamura², Tetsu Nakanishi¹ and Takeshi Takasaki-Yasuda^{1,*}

¹ Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Hyogo 657-8501, Japan

² National Institute of Fruit Tree Science, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8605, Japan

[†] Present address: National Institute of Fruit Tree Science, Morioka, Iwate 020-0123, Japan.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: taka@kobe-u.ac.jp

Received 20 March 2010; Revised 28 September 2010; Accepted 2 November 2010

Abstract

Most fruit trees in the Rosaceae exhibit self-incompatibility, which is controlled by the pistil *S* gene, encoding a ribonuclease (S-RNase), and the pollen *S* gene at the *S*-locus. The pollen *S* in *Prunus* is an F-box protein gene (*SLF/SFB*) located near the *S-RNase*, but it has not been identified in *Pyrus* and *Malus*. In the Japanese pear, various F-box protein genes (*PpSFBB*^{- α - γ}) linked to the *S-RNase* are proposed as the pollen *S* candidate. Two bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) contigs around the *S-RNase* genes of Japanese pear were constructed, and 649 kb around *S₄-RNase* and 378 kb around *S₂-RNase* were sequenced. Six and 10 pollen-specific F-box protein genes (designated as *PpSFBB*^{4-u1-u4, 4-d1-d2} and *PpSFBB*^{2-u1-u5, 2-d1-d5}, respectively) were found, but *PpSFBB*^{4- α - γ} and *PpSFBB*^{2- γ} were absent. The *PpSFBB*⁴ genes showed 66.2–93.1% amino acid identity with the *PpSFBB*² genes, which indicated clustering of related polymorphic F-box protein genes between haplotypes near the *S-RNase* of the Japanese pear. Phylogenetic analysis classified 36 F-box protein genes of *Pyrus* and *Malus* into two major groups (I and II), and also generated gene pairs of *PpSFBB* genes and *PpSFBB/Malus* F-box protein genes. Group I consisted of gene pairs with 76.3–94.9% identity, while group II consisted of gene pairs with higher identities (>92%) than group I. This grouping suggests that less polymorphic *PpSFBB* genes in group II are non-*S* pollen genes and that the pollen *S* candidates are included in the group I *PpSFBB* genes.

Key words: BAC contig, F-box protein, pollen S gene, Pyrus pyrifolia, self-incompatibility, S-locus, S-RNase.

Introduction

Self-incompatibility (SI) is a genetic system that prevents self-fertilization in flowering plants by the recognition and rejection of self-pollen (de Nettancourt, 2001). In the Rosaceae, Solanaceae, and Plantaginaceae families, SI is classified as gametophytic SI (GSI), and is controlled by a single S-locus with multiple S-haplotypes. Each S-haplotype contains two genetically linked genes, the pistil S gene and the pollen S gene, which determine the S-haplotype specificity of the pistil and pollen, respectively (McCubbin and Kao, 2000). The pistil S encodes a ribonuclease known as S-RNase (McClure *et al.*, 1989; Ishimizu *et al.*, 1996; Xue *et al.*, 1996). The RNase activity of S-RNases is essential for rejection of self-pollen, and the degradation of rRNA by S-RNases inside the self-pollen tube results in inhibition of pollen growth (McClure *et al.*, 1990; Huang *et al.*, 1994). Thus, it is thought that the self S-RNase inhibits growth of the self-pollen tube via degradation of pollen rRNAs. On the other hand, the identity and function of the pollen S remained unknown for a long time. Recently, F-box protein genes were identified as the pollen S genes by

Abbreviations: GSI, gametophytic self-incompatibility; PFGE, pulsed field gel electrophoresis; SC, self-compatible; SFB, S haplotype-specific F-box protein; SFBB, S locus F-box brothers; SI, self-incompatibility; SLF, S locus F-box. © 2010 The Author(s).

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.5), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

sequence analyses of cosmid and bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) contigs around S-RNase in Prunus species of the Rosaceae, in Petunia inflata of the Solanaceae, and in Antirrhinum hispanicum of the Plantaginaceae. These F-box protein genes were termed SLF (S-locus F-box) or SFB (S-haplotype-specific F-box protein) (Lai et al., 2002; Entani et al., 2003; Ushijima et al., 2003; Sijacic et al., 2004). Transformation experiments in P. inflata and analyses of pollen-part self-compatible (SC) mutants in Prunus species provided evidence that SLF/SFB genes are the pollen S genes (Sijacic et al., 2004; Ushijima et al., 2004; Sonneveld et al., 2005; Hauck et al., 2006; Tsukamoto et al., 2006; Vilanova et al., 2006). Generally, F-box proteins function as one of the four major subunits (CUL1, SKP1, RBX1, and F-box) that make up the SCF complex, which regulates protein stability through the ubiquitinproteasome system (Lechner et al., 2006). The model for S-RNase degradation proposes that the non-self-interaction between S-RNase and SLF/SFB leads to S-RNase ubiquitylation and degradation by the 26S proteasome (McClure and Franklin-Tong, 2006).

In Rosaceae, the pollen S has been identified only in Prunus (almond, apricots, and cherry), but not in Pyrus (pear) and Malus (apple). The Rosaceae comprises three subfamilies: Rosoideae, Dryadoideae, and Spiraeoideae. Prunus, Pvrus, and Malus are all included in Spiraeoideae (Potter et al., 2007). Therefore, it is likely that the pollen S genes in Pyrus and Malus are also F-box protein genes. Recently, S-locus-linked and pollen-specific polymorphic F-box protein genes were isolated from apple (Malus×domestica) and Japanese pear (Pyrus pyrifolia), and these have been proposed as good candidates for the pollen S genes. Cheng et al. (2006) cloned two Slocus-linked F-box protein genes ($MdSLF_1$ and $MdSLF_2$) from apple by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) with degenerate primers designed from the conserved SLF/ SFB sequences. Sassa et al. (2007) found several pollenspecific polymorphic F-box protein genes termed SFBB (S locus F-box brothers) in BAC contig sequences around apple S-RNase genes. These SFBB genes include MdSFBB3- α and $MdSFBB^{3-\beta}$ around S_3 -RNase, and $MdSFBB^{9-\alpha}$ and $MdSFBB^{9-\beta}$ around S₉-RNase. Using RT-PCR, they also cloned various PpSFBB genes $(PpSFBB^{-\alpha}, PpSFBB^{-\beta})$, and $PpSFBB^{-\gamma}$) that are linked to S-RNase genes of the Japanese pear; $PpSFBB^{4-\alpha}$, $PpSFBB^{4-\beta}$, and $PpSFBB^{4-\gamma}$ are linked to S_4 -RNase, and $PpSFBB^{5-\alpha}$, $PpSFBB^{5-\beta}$, and $PpSFBB^{5-\gamma}$ are linked to S_5 -RNase. $PpSFBB^{-\gamma}$ genes that are linked to another eight S-RNase genes have been cloned. They show high amino acid sequence identities (97.5-99.7%) among the 10 S-haplotypes (Kakui et al., 2007). However, it is not clear whether *PpSFBB* genes are located near the S-RNase, like MdSFBB genes, or whether they are the pollen S genes. To identify the pollen S genes in the Japanese pear, a previously constructed BAC library from an S_4 homozygote was used and a BAC contig of ~570 kb around S_4 -RNase was assembled. Sequence analysis of the 240 kb spanning 51 kb upstream to 189 kb downstream of S_{4} -RNase revealed a pollen-specific F-box protein gene (S_4F -box θ ; S_4 -haplotype F-box protein gene) that differed from $PpSFBB^{4-\alpha-\gamma}$. S_4F -box θ is located 127 kb downstream of S_4 -RNase (Okada et al., 2008). The SC cultivar 'Osa Nijisseiki' ($S_2S_4^{sm}$) is a natural mutant derived from 'Nijisseiki' (S_2S_4). The S_4 -haplotype of 'Osa Nijisseiki' lacks the pistil S function but retains the pollen S function, and is termed the S_4^{sm} -haplotype, where 'sm' stands for 'stylar-part mutant' (Sato, 1993). The S_4^{sm} -haplotype has a 236 kb deletion, which includes S_4 -RNase and S_4F -box θ , suggesting that the pollen S_4 allele is located outside of the region spanning 48 kb upstream to 188 kb downstream of S_4 -RNase—that is, outside the region that is deleted in the S_4^{sm} -haplotype (Okada et al., 2008).

In this study, the sequence outside of the deleted region in S_4^{sm} was analysed, and the 649 kb region from 290 kb upstream to 359 kb downstream of S_4 -RNase was determined; six $PpSFBB^4$ genes were found. To evaluate the S-haplotype polymorphism of $PpSFBB^4$ genes, a BAC library was constructed from the Japanese pear cultivar 'Choujuuro' (S_2S_3) to assemble a BAC contig around S_2 -RNase. A 378 kb region from 166 kb upstream to 212 kb downstream of S_2 -RNase was sequenced, and 10 $PpSFBB^2$ genes were found. Relationships among 36 F-box protein genes of Pyrus and Malus were analysed by comparing their amino acid sequences and by phylogenetic clustering.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

One cultivar and three S homozygotes of the Japanese pear were used: 'Choujuuro' (S_2S_3) , and S_2 , S_3 , and S_4 homozygotes. The S_2 and S_3 homozygotes were selected from bud-selfed progeny of 'Choujuuro' (S_2S_3) (Terai *et al.*, 1999). The S_4 homozygote was segregated from bud-selfed progeny of 'Nijisseiki' (S_2S_4) (Okada *et al.*, 2008). The leaves, mature pollen, and pistils were frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C until use.

Construction and characterization of an S₂S₃ BAC library

An S_2S_3 BAC library was constructed and characterized according to the method of Okada et al. (2008). High molecular weight DNA was isolated from leaf tissue (3 g) of 'Choujuuro' (S_2S_3) , partially digested with HindIII, and size-selected twice by pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). In the first size selection, an agarose slice containing DNA fragments of 60-210 kb was excised and embedded into a new 1% SeaPlaque GTG agarose gel (Cambrex, http:// www.cambrex.com/). In the second size selection, two size fractions (145-185 kb and 185-205 kb) were recovered by digestion of agarose slices with β -agarase I. DNA from each fraction was separately ligated into HindIII-digested CopyControl pCC1BAC Cloning-Ready vector (EPICENTRE, http://www.epibio.com/) and transformed into Escherichia coli strain TransforMax EPI300 (EPICENTRE). Equal numbers of transformed cells were picked from each fraction and a total of 61 440 colonies were pooled in 64 individual 96-well plates with 12 columns and eight rows (10 colonies per well) and stored at -80 °C. The BAC plasmid was extracted from the randomly chosen BAC clones by the standard alkaline lysis method, digested with NotI, and separated by PFGE. Insert size was estimated by comparison with a PFGE lambda ladder (New England Biolabs, http://www.neb. com/).

Chromosome walking

Chromosome walking in the region around *S-RNase* was performed by PCR screening of the S_2S_3 BAC library and the previously constructed S_4S_4 BAC library (Okada *et al.*, 2008). The PCR screening was performed in three consecutive steps as described by Okada *et al.* (2008). Chromosome walking around the S_2 -*RNase* was initiated by PCR screening of the S_2S_3 BAC library with an *S-RNase*-specific primer pair, 'FTQQYQ' and 'anti-IIWPNV' (Ishimizu *et al.*, 1999).

BAC plasmids were isolated from positive BAC clones using the Plasmid Midi Kit (Qiagen, http://www1.qiagen.com/). Both ends of the BACs (~ 600 bp) of the positive clones were sequenced using T7 and RP vector primers, and a primer pair was designed from each BAC-end sequence (Supplementary Table S1 available at JXB online). For chromosome walking, non-repetitive primer pairs were selected from the BAC-end primer pairs located at the outer ends of the contig by PCR amplification of plate pool templates, which were prepared by mixing all 960 BAC clones in each plate. Furthermore, S_2 -specific primer pairs were identified from among the non-repetitive primer pairs by PCR, using genomic DNA of the S_2 and S_3 homozygotes as templates. These S_2 -specific primer pairs were used for PCR screening of the BAC library (Supplementary Table S1). For PCR, genomic DNA was isolated from leaves of the S_2 and S_3 homozygotes by the modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Castillo et al., 2001).

To estimate insert sizes and compare restriction patterns, BAC plasmids were digested with *Not*I and subjected to PFGE. Based on overlapping of the BAC clones, their insert sizes, and restriction patterns, the physical distance was calculated to construct a BAC contig.

BAC subcloning

The plasmids of BAC clones were completely digested with HindIII or EcoRI, separated on a 0.7% agarose gel, and purified from the gels using GENECLEAN Kit III (Qbiogene, http:// www.qbiogene.com/). Each fragment was ligated into pBluescriptII SK (+) and transformed into E. coli strain TOP10F' (Invitrogen, http://www.invitrogen.com/). Inserts from subclones that were smaller than 7 kb were sequenced by primer walking, and those that were larger than 7 kb were sequenced after subcloning using other restriction enzymes. A primer was designed from each insert-end sequence. Using these primers, the regions outside of the subclones in the BAC plasmids were sequenced. The sequences from subclones and the outside sequences were assembled to construct contigs for each BAC clone. Gap regions, for which no sequence data were obtained, were amplified from BAC plasmids by PCR with the Expand High Fidelity PCR system (Roche Diagnostics, http://www.roche-diagnostics.jp/), and directly sequenced.

Nucleotide and amino acid sequence analysis

Nucleotide sequences were determined with the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit using an ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, http://www3.appliedbiosystems. com/). The sequence data were analysed using GENETYX-MAC Ver. 13 and ATGC Ver. 4 software packages (Genetyx, http:// www.sdc.co.jp/genetyx/). Protein-coding sequences were predicted using the GENSCAN program (Burge and Karlin, 1997). Homology searches were carried out using the BLASTX program (Altschul *et al.*, 1997). Deduced amino acid sequences were analysed by Pfam (http://pfam.janelia.org/) to search for protein motifs. Amino acid sequences were aligned using ClustalW (http:// clustalw.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/top-j.html) and manually optimized. A phylogenetic tree was constructed by the Neighbor–Joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987). A Harr plot analysis was performed using GENETYX-MAC Ver. 13 software.

RT-PCR

Total RNAs extracted from pollen, pistils, and leaves were subjected to first-strand cDNA synthesis using ReverTra Ace α (TOYOBO, http://www.toyobo.co.jp/). Using the Expand High Fidelity PCR system (Roche Diagnostics), PCR was then carried out with gene-specific primer pairs (Supplementary Table S2 at *JXB* online). PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on 1.5% or 0.8% agarose gels and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. The PCR products were purified from the gels, and sequenced to confirm gene specificity.

Sequence data

The 649 kb and 378 kb sequences around S_{4^-} and $S_{2^-}RNase$ genes have been deposited in the EMBL/GenBank Data Libraries under accession nos AB545981 and AB545982, respectively.

Results

Sequence analysis of 649 kb around the S_4 -RNase gene

Previously, an S_4 BAC contig spanning 202 kb upstream (18F9 T7-end) to 359 kb downstream (33G4 T7-end) of S_{4} -RNase was constructed. In addition, the complete sequences of two BAC clones (17C7 and 5D3) and the partial sequences of two other BAC clones (32D11 and 4D9) were analysed to determine a 240 001 bp sequence spanning 51 kb upstream to 189 kb downstream of S_4 -RNase (Okada et al., 2008). In this study, 32D11 and 4D9 were sequenced in their entirety. To extend the S_4 BAC contig upstream, chromosome walking was resumed using a non-repetitive BAC-end primer pair (33H11-T7). PCR screening of the S_4S_4 BAC library yielded five BAC clones: 12B8, 15C1, 31C7, 31F1, and 36B6. As a result, chromosome walking from S_4 -RNase produced a set of overlapping BAC clones (36B6, 18F9, 32D11, 17C7, 5D3, 4D9, and 33G4) covering \sim 649 kb, spanning 290 kb upstream to 359 kb downstream of S_4 -RNase (Fig. 1A). Three BAC clones (36B6, 18F9, and 33G4) were subcloned and completely sequenced. The sequence assembly of the seven BAC clones (36B6, 18F9, 32D11, 17C7, 5D3, 4D9, and 33G4) yielded a 648 516 bp sequence spanning 290 kb upstream to 359 kb downstream of the S_{4} -RNase.

Analysis using GENSCAN software predicted 89 open reading frames (ORFs) in the S_4 BAC 649 kb contig sequence (Fig. 2A). Among the 89 ORFs, 34 (ORF33-ORF66) were included in the 236 kb deleted region of the S_4^{sm} -haplotype that spans 48 kb upstream to 188 kb downstream of S₄-RNase (Fig. 2A; Okada et al., 2008). The other 55 ORFs (ORF1-ORF32 and ORF67-ORF89) were located outside the deleted region. A BLASTX search of the 89 ORFs yielded 61 ORFs with significant similarity (E-value <e-4) to sequences of known proteins in the database (Table 1). Of the 89 ORFs, 40 showed similarity to a (retro) transposon, and 10 were similar to a hypothetical or predicted protein. ORF14 was similar to a zinc knuckle family protein, ORF43 to a zinc finger, ORF50 to a chromosome-associated kinesin KIF4A, and ORF62 to an unknown protein. ORF42 and ORF54 corresponded to



Fig. 1. Construction of BAC contigs, schematic genomic structures, and locations of (pseudo) F-box protein genes around S_4 -RNase (A) and S_2 -RNase (B) of the Japanese pear. Names, T7-, and RP-ends of BAC clones are shown in boxes. Black ends represent BAC-ends used for chromosome walking. Hatched boxes indicate BAC clones chosen for complete sequencing. Schematic genomic structures of S_4 - and S_2 -haplotypes are shown below the BAC contigs. The directions of transcription of *S*-*RNase* and *PpSFBB* genes are represented by arrows. Physical distances from *S*-*RNase* are indicated in parentheses. A double-headed arrow indicates the 236 kb deleted region in the S_4^{sm} -haplotype.

 S_4 -RNase and S_4 F-box0, respectively. Five ORFs (ORF3, ORF9, ORF15, ORF25, and ORF79) were located outside the deleted region, and showed similarity to MdSFBBs and $PpSFBB^{9-\gamma}$. Using GENETYX-MAC Ver. 13 software, the predicted ORFs were reanalysed to determine the precise

ORFs from the start (ATG) to the stop codon. ORF3, ORF9, ORF15, ORF25, and ORF79 encoded 410, 390, 403, 394, and 393 amino acid residues, respectively. A Pfam motif search predicted that these five proteins had an F-box domain at the N-terminus and an FBA_1 domain in the



Fig. 2. ORF maps of the region around S_4 -RNase (A) and S_2 -RNase (B). Arrowheads indicate the location and transcriptional direction of genes predicted by GENSCAN software. Open arrowheads indicate genes showing no significant homology to proteins in databases. Grey arrowheads represent transposable elements. Black arrowheads indicate non-transposon-like genes. The 649 kb and 378 kb sequences around S_4 -RNase and S_2 -RNase have been deposited with the EMBL/GenBank Data Libraries under accession nos AB545981 and AB545982, respectively.

centre (Fig. 3). These ORFs showed pairwise deduced amino acid sequence identities ranging from 62.9% to 94.4% when compared with reported PpSFBB, MdSFBB, and MdSLF genes (Supplementary Table S3 at JXB online). They represent F-box protein genes that differ from $PpSFBB^{4-\alpha-\gamma}$, which are linked to S_{4} -RNase (Sassa et al., 2007). Thus, these five ORFs were assigned as new PpSFBB⁴ genes. ORF3, ORF9, ORF15, and ORF25 were located ~284, ~245, ~199, and ~113 kb upstream of S_4 -RNase. ORF54 (S₄F-box0) and ORF79 were located ~127 kb and ~275 kb downstream of S_4 -RNase. These $PpSFBB^4$ genes upstream and downstream of S_4 -RNase were named $PpSFBB^{4-u}$ and PpSFBB^{4-d}, respectively, and lower case numbers were assigned to the PpSFBB^{4-u} and PpSFBB^{4-d} located close to S₄-RNase; therefore, ORF25, ORF15, ORF9, and ORF3 were designated as *PpSFBB*^{4-u1}, *PpSFBB*^{4-u2}, *PpSFBB*^{4-u3}, and $PpSFBB^{4-u4}$, and ORF54 (S₄F-box0) and ORF79 were (re)named as *PpSFBB*^{4-d1} and *PpSFBB*^{4-d2}, respectively. These $PpSFBB^4$ genes around S_4 -RNase shared the same transcriptional orientation, except for *PpSFBB*^{4-d1} (Fig. 1A). Using ATGC Ver. 4 software, the S_4 649 kb BAC contig sequence was searched for SFBB-like sequences. The analysis revealed a pseudogene ($\Psi PpSFBB^{4-u1}$) encoding a truncated F-box protein at ~239 kb upstream of S_4 -RNase (Fig. 1A). $PpSFBB^{4-u1-u4}$ and $PpSFBB^{4-d1-d2}$ shared 67.2–86.2% amino acid sequence identities with each other (Table 3).

To examine expression of *PpSFBB*^{4-u1-u4} $PpSFBB^{4-d1-d2}$, total RNA was extracted from pollen, pistils, and leaves of the S_4 homozygote. RT-PCR analyses were conducted using gene-specific primer pairs (Supplementary Table S2 at JXB online). PpSFBB^{4-u1-u4} and $PpSFBB^{4-d1-d2}$ were all specifically expressed in pollen, but not in pistils or leaves (Supplementary Fig. S1A). The PpSFBB^{4-d2}-specific primer pair yielded fragments of 1373 bp and 1142 bp, which both were derived from the PpSFBB^{4-d2} transcript, because the forward primer annealed to the 5' untranslated region (UTR) and the coding region of PpSFBB^{4-d2} (Supplementary Table S2, Fig. S1A). Thus, in the 649 kb sequence around S_4 -RNase there were six F-box protein genes $(PpSFBB^{4-u1-u4})$ and $PpSFBB^{4-d1-d2}$) with pollen-specific expression. The three PpSFBB genes previously shown to be linked to the S_4 -RNase, $PpSFBB^{4-\alpha-\gamma}$, were not within the sequenced region.

Table 1. Open reading frames (ORFs) predicted by GENSCAN in the 649 kb region around S_4 -RNase

ORFs		Homologous protein	Species	Amino acid identity	Score (bits)	E-value ^a	Accession no.
ORF1		None					
ORF2		Transposon protein	Oryza sativa	64/122 (52%)	134	9e-30	DP000011
ORF3	(SFBB ^{4-u4})	PpSFBB ^{9-γ}	Pyrus pyrifolia	291/378 (76%)	566	4e-159	AB297939
ORF4		Putative retroelement pol polyprotein	Arabidopsis thaliana	24/36 (66%)	50.8	4e-05	AC006920
ORF5		GAG-POL precursor	Vitis vinifera	34/124 (27%)	61.2	3e-08	AB111100
ORF6		None					
ORF7		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	45/107 (42%)	79.7	9e-14	DP000009
ORF8		Retrotransposon protein	Orvza sativa	45/158 (28%)	82.4	1e-14	DP000009
ORF9	(SFBB ^{4-u3})	MdSFBB ^{9-β}	Malus×domestica	350/390 (89%)	726	0.0	AB270792
ORF10	(- <i>)</i>	Retrotransposon protein	Orvza sativa	31/78 (39%)	54.3	6e-08	DP000011
ORF11		None	,	()			
ORF12		Retrotransposon protein	Orvza sativa	36/82 (43%)	81.6	6e-14	DP000009
OBE13		Hypothetical protein	Vitis vinifera	59/181 (32%)	71.6	3e-11	AM472051
ORE14		Zinc knuckle family protein	Onza sativa	60/182 (32%)	100	40-19	DP000010
ORE15	(SFRR ^{4-u2})	MdSEBB ^{9-a}	Malus×domestica	300/315 (95%)	590	4e-166	AB270792
ORE16		Retrotransposon protein	Onza sativa	71/165 (43%)	124	76-27	DP000009
ORE17		None	01920 30010	11/100 (40/0)	124	10 21	DI 000000
		Prodicted protein	Populus trichocarpa	11/121 (22%)	60.7	20.10	09017068
		Nono	r opulus inchocarpa	44/104 (02/0)	09.7	26-10	03017900
OPE20		Retratransposon protoin	Onza sativa	31/81 (38%)	60.1	10-00	
			Vitia vinifora	40/100 (00%)	70.5	10-09	DF000000
			Vius viriliera	43/132 (32%)	70.5	1e-10	AIVI420737
ORF22		Retrotransposon protein	Bela vulgaris	01/1/3 (35%)	82.0	36-13	EF 101800
ORF23		Retrotransposon gag protein	Asparagus officinalis	418/767 (54%)	772	0.0	AC 183435
ORF24	(05004-111)	Retrotransposon gag protein	Oryza sativa	487/1032 (47%)	921	0.0	AC 120534
ORF25	(SFBB · · · ·)	Masfbbs	<i>Maius×domestica</i>	306/394 (77%)	628	4e-178	AB270796
ORF26		Hypothetical protein	Vitis vinifera	28/42 (66%)	64.3	4e-08	AM455744
ORF27		None		/ / /)			
ORF28		Reverse transcriptase	Vigna radiata	23/37 (62%)	48.5	2e-04	AY684634
ORF29		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	521/962 (54%)	1028	0.0	DP000011
ORF30		Retrotransposon gag protein	Asparagus officinalis	48/129 (37%)	91.3	2e-16	AC183436
ORF31		Retrotransposon gag protein	Asparagus officinalis	827/1636 (50%)	1568	0.0	AC183435
ORF32		Integrase	Populus trichocarpa	48/149 (32%)	84.0	3e-14	DQ536160
ORF33		Predicted protein	Populus trichocarpa	34/92 (36%)	62.4	3e-08	EQ134071
ORF34		None					
ORF35		Retrotransposon gag protein	Asparagus officinalis	95/194 (48%)	172	4e-41	AC183435
ORF36		Retrotransposon gag protein	Asparagus officinalis	117/405 (28%)	141	2e-31	AC183435
ORF37		None					
ORF38		None					
ORF39		None					
ORF40		Transposon protein Pong subclass	Zea mays	31/120 (25%)	60.1	3e-07	EU964924
ORF41		Transposon protein Pong subclass	Zea mays	185/380 (48%)	355	8e-96	EU962682
ORF42	(S₄-RNase)	S ₄ -RNase	Pyrus pyrifolia	49/50 (98%)	115	2e-24	AB014072
ORF43		Zinc finger	Medicago truncatula	23/63 (36%)	47.8	6e-04	AC148290
ORF44		None					
ORF45		Retrotransposon gag protein	Asparagus officinalis	65/291 (22%)	59.7	5e-07	AC183435
ORF46		Retroelement pol polyprotein-like	Arabidopsis thaliana	667/1331 (50%)	1272	0.0	AB024037
ORF47		Retrotransposon gag protein	Asparagus officinalis	793/1644 (48%)	1496	0.0	AC183435
ORF48		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	352/728 (48%)	673	0.0	DP000011
ORF49		None					
ORF50		Chromosome-associated kinesin KIF4A	Ricinus communis	37/96 (38%)	60.5	2e-07	EQ974117
ORF51		None					
ORF52		None					
ORF53		Retrotransposon protein	Beta vulgaris	101/201 (50%)	191	7e-46	EF101866
ORF54	(SFBB ^{4-d1})	S₄F-box0	Pyrus pyrifolia	400/400 (100%)	834	0.0	AB308360
ORF55	- *	None		. ,			
ORF56		Hypothetical protein	Vitis vinifera	45/154 (29%)	72.0	2e-11	AM429787
ORF57		Hypothetical protein	Vitis vinifera	50/135 (37%)	81.3	2e-13	AM467140

Table 1. Continued

ORFs		Homologous protein	Species	Amino acid identity	Score (bits)	E-value ^a	Accession no.	
ORF58		RNase H family protein	Asparagus officinalis	35/63 (55%)	78.6	2e-18	AC183436	
ORF59		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	67/121 (55%)	137	1e-30	DP000009	
ORF60		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	127/297 (42%)	234	2e-59	DP000009	
ORF61		Retrotransposon gag protein	Asparagus officinalis	37/129 (28%)	74.7	1e-11	AC183435	
ORF62		Unknown protein	Arabidopsis thaliana	51/74 (68%)	102	6e-20	AK117191	
ORF63		Retrotransposon gag protein	Asparagus officinalis	119/299 (39%)	195	3e-47	AC183435	
ORF64		None						
ORF65		Retrotransposon protein	Beta vulgaris	35/44 (79%)	76.3	2e-18	EF101866	
ORF66		Hypothetical protein	Vitis vinifera	39/110 (35%)	55.1	5e-06	AM489256	
ORF67		RNase H family protein	Asparagus officinalis	58/121 (47%)	115	2e-24	AC183436	
ORF68		Retrotransposon gag protein	Asparagus officinalis	229/692 (33%)	341	2e-91	AC183435	
ORF69		Hypothetical protein	Vitis vinifera	68/227 (29%)	84.7	3e-14	AM451669	
ORF70		None						
ORF71		None						
ORF72		Retrotransposon gag protein	Asparagus officinalis	714/1299 (54%)	1440	0.0	AC183435	
ORF73		Retrotransposon gag protein	Asparagus officinalis	401/608 (65%)	821	0.0	AC183435	
ORF74		None						
ORF75		Integrase	Populus trichocarpa	212/535 (39%)	357	2e-96	DQ536178	
ORF76		Retrotransposon gag protein	Asparagus officinalis	167/506 (33%)	223	1e-55	AC183435	
ORF77		None						
ORF78		None						
ORF79	(SFBB ^{4-d2})	MdSFBB ^{3-β}	Malus×domestica	293/393 (74%)	561	4e-158	AB270796	
ORF80		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	175/487 (35%)	225	9e-57	DP000009	
ORF81		None						
ORF82		None						
ORF83		Hypothetical protein	Vitis vinifera	27/46 (58%)	56.2	1e-06	AM482339	
ORF84		None						
ORF85		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	242/432 (56%)	471	4e-130	DP000009	
ORF86		None						
ORF87		None						
ORF88		None						
ORF89		None						

^a Significant similarity corresponds to an E-value $< e^{-4}$.

Construction of a BAC contig around the S_2 -RNase gene

To analyse the sequence polymorphism of PpSFBB^{4-u1-u4} and $PpSFBB^{4-d1-d2}$ in another haplotype, a BAC library was constructed from the Japanese pear cultivar 'Choujuuro' (S_2S_3) . The BAC library consisted of two sublibraries derived from two DNA size fractions. One sublibrary, which was derived from the 145-185 kb size fraction, consisted of 30 720 clones with an average insert size of 111 kb. The other sublibrary, which was derived from the 185-205 kb size fraction, consisted of 30 720 clones with an average insert size of 127 kb. The average insert size of the whole BAC library was ~119 kb. The haploid genome size of pear is estimated to be 496-536 Mb (Arumuganathan and Earle, 1991). Therefore, the BAC library represented \sim 14fold genome coverage, giving a >99% theoretical probability of recovering any single-copy DNA sequences in the genome.

To construct a BAC contig around S_2 -RNase, chromosome walking was initiated from S_2 -RNase. PCR screening of the BAC library of 'Choujuuro' with an *S-RNase*-specific primer pair yielded 10 BAC clones containing S_2 -*RNase*: 2E10, 5B5, 13C10, 15E3, 21F7, 37F11, 41A10, 48F8, 53E3, and 57B1. These BAC clones were aligned by PCR analysis with primer pairs designed from each BAC-end sequence, and a first contig was constructed based on the insert size and restriction pattern of the BAC plasmids (Fig. 1B).

For chromosome walking, two non-repetitive and S_{2} -haplotype specific primer pairs, 13C10-RP and 2E10-T7, were selected from the BAC-end primer pairs located at the outer ends of the first contig (Supplementary Table S1 at *JXB* online). PCR screening of the BAC library with 13C10-RP yielded two BAC clones (43D6 and 55A9) upstream of S_2 -RNase. PCR screening of the BAC library with 2E10-T7 yielded five BAC clones (3A1, 7F3, 10H7, 27C4, and 52C1) downstream of S_2 -RNase. Finally, chromosome walking from S_2 -RNase yielded a total of 17 BAC clones. These were aligned to construct a BAC contig of ~391 kb spanning 166 kb upstream to 225 kb downstream of S_2 -RNase (Fig. 1B).

Table 2. Open reading frames (ORFs) predicted by GENSCAN in the 378 kb region around S_2 -RNase

ORFs		Homologous protein	Species	Amino acid identity	Score (bits)	E-value ^a	Accession no.
ORF1		Serine-threonine protein kinase	Ricinus communis	262/411 (63%)	506	2e-141	EQ974075
ORF2		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	189/479 (39%)	355	1e-95	DP000011
ORF3	(SFBB ^{2-u5})	S ₂ -locus F-box	Malus×domestica	280/312 (89%)	602	9e-170	DQ422811
ORF4		DNA glycosylase DEMETER	Arabidopsis thaliana	351/1051 (33%)	385	1e-110	DQ335243
ORF5		None					
ORF6		DNA glycosylase	Populus trichocarpa	196/291 (67%)	365	4e-99	CM000346
ORF7	(SFBB ^{2-u4})	PpSFBB ^{4-p}	Pyrus pyrifolia	376/396 (94%)	752	0.0	AB270798
ORF8	(SFBB ^{2-u3})	S ₁ -locus F-box	Malus×domestica	369/394 (93%)	747	0.0	DQ422810
ORF9		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	78/248 (31%)	104	2e-20	DP000010
ORF10		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	132/267 (49%)	249	8e-64	DP000010
ORF11		None					
ORF12		None		/ //			
ORF13		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	38/82 (46%)	83.6	3e-14	DP000086
ORF14		GAG-POL precursor	Vitis vinifera	65/208 (31%)	105	2e-20	AB111100
ORF15	(05002)112	None	••• · · ·				1000000
ORF16	(SFBB ^{2-u2})	MdSFBB ^{3-p}	Malus×domestica	369/391 (94%)	/68	0.0	AB270792
ORF17		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	332/820 (40%)	570	4e-160	DP000011
ORF18	(c == = 2 .u1)	Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	96/180 (53%)	184	5e-44	DP000011
ORF19	(SFBB ^{2-ur})	MdSFBB ³⁻⁴	Malus×domestica	361/392 (92%)	694	0.0	AB270792
ORF20		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	269/693 (38%)	466	8e-129	DP000009
ORF21		Retrotransposon protein	Beta vulgaris	41/57 (71%)	89.0	9e-16	EF101866
ORF22	(S ₂ -RNase)	S ₂ -RNase	Pyrus pyrifolia	191/191 (100%)	410	5e-112	AB014073
ORF23		None					
ORF24	(SFBB ^{2-u1})	MdSFBB ^{3-a}	Malus×domestica	366/394 (92%)	735	0.0	AB270795
ORF25		Hypothetical protein	Vitis vinifera	22/36 (61%)	46.2	3e-09	AM426737
ORF26		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	34/68 (50%)	80.9	4e-13	DP000009
ORF27		None					
ORF28		Retrotransposon protein	Beta vulgaris	25/38 (65%)	54.3	4e-06	EF101866
ORF29		None					
ORF30	0 -10	None					
ORF31	(SFBB ²⁻⁰²)	MdSFBB ^{3-p}	Malus×domestica	304/394 (77%)	637	0.0	AB270796
ORF32		None					
ORF33		Hypothetical protein	Vitis vinifera	69/195 (35%)	88.2	9e-16	AM483001
ORF34		None					
ORF35		Hypothetical protein	Vitis vinifera	49/180 (27%)	60.8	2e-07	AM423348
ORF36		None					
ORF37		None					
ORF38		Retroelement pol polyprotein-like	Arabidopsis thaliana	151/243 (62%)	238	1e-60	AB024037
ORF39		Retrotransposon gag protein	Asparagus officinalis	72/152 (47%)	146	5e-33	AC183435
ORF40		TIR-NBS-LRR-type disease resistance protein	Populus trichocarpa	109/213 (51%)	204	3e-51	DQ513203
ORF41		LTR retrotransposon like protein	Arabidopsis thaliana	148/283 (52%)	283	4e-74	AL022140
ORF42		TIR-NBS-LRR-type disease resistance protein	Populus trichocarpa	66/90 (73%)	137	3e-31	DQ513203
ORF43	(SFBB ^{2-d3})	S ₄ F-box0	Pyrus pyrifolia	331/400 (82%)	681	0.0	AB308360
ORF44		None					
ORF45		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	145/328 (44%)	249	2e-63	DP000011
ORF46	(SFBB ^{2-d4})	MdSFBB _{3-β}	Malus×domestica	366/392 (93%)	772	0.0	AB270796
ORF47		Putative retroelement polyprotein	Arabidopsis thaliana	388/919 (42%)	652	0.0	AC018460
ORF48		None					
ORF49	(SFBB ^{2-d5})	MdSFBB ^{3-β}	Malus×domestica	309/388 (79%)	647	0.0	AB270796
ORF50		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	178/526 (33%)	233	1e-58	DP000010
ORF51		Polyprotein 1	Petunia vein clearing virus	67/284 (23%)	66.2	7e-09	AY228106
ORF52		Retrotransposon protein	Oryza sativa	145/350 (41%)	255	2e-65	DP000011
ORF53		Cyclin-like F-box	Medicago truncatula	41/89 (46%)	88.2	2e-16	AC150889
ORF54		None					
ORF55		Hypothetical protein	Prunus persica	42/91 (46%)	92.8	6e-17	DQ863257
ORF56		Retrotransposon protein	Beta vulgaris	64/86 (74%)	139	7e-31	EF101866
ORF57		None					

 $^{\rm a}$ Significant similarity corresponds to an E-value <e $^{-4}.$

PpSFBB2-d1 PpSFBB2-d5 PpSFBB2-d4 PpSFBB2-d2 PpSFBB2-d3 PpSFBB2-d3 PpSFBB2-u3 PpSFBB2-u4 PpSFBB2-u2 PpSFBB2-u1 PpSFBB2-u1 PpSFBB2-u2 PpSFBB4-u2 PpSFBB4-u2 PpSFBB4-u2 PpSFBB4-u4	MSYVRESGTPEDRVIEILSKLPPKSLMRFKCIHKSWFSLINSLSFVAKHLSNSVDNKLSSSTCILLNRSQAHIFPD-QSWKQEVFWSMINFSIDSDENNL MLESETPGERVVEILSKLPKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTLINSPSFVAKHLNNSVDNRLSSSTCILLNRSQAHIFPD-QSWKQEVFWSKINISIDSDEHNL MSQUHETETPEDKVVEILSRLPPKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTLINSPSFVAKHLNNSVDNRLSSSTCILLNRSQAHIFPD-QSWKQEVFWSMINLSIDSDEHNL MSQVHENEIPEDKVVEILSRLPPKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTLINSPSFVAKHLNNSVDNRLSSSTCILLNRSQAHIFPD-QSWKQEVFWSMINLSIDSDEHNL MSQVHENEIPEDKVVEILSRLPPKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTLINSPSFVAKHLNNSVDNRLSSSTCILLNRSQAHIFPD-QSWKQEVFWSMINLSIDSDEHNL MSQVTESETPEDRVVEILSRLPPKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTLINSPSFVAKHLNNSVDNRLSSSTCILLNRSQAHVFPD-NSWKPEVFWSMINLSIDSDEHNL MFQVSESDTLEDSVVETLSILPPKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTLINSPSFVAKHLSNSVDNRLSSSTCILLRSQAVVFPD-NSWKPEVFWSMINLSIDSDEHNL MSVCETETFEDRLVAINSLPPKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTLINSPSFVAKHLSNSVDNRLSSSTCILLRSQMVFPD-SWKHEVLWSMINLSIDSDEHNL MSVCETETFEDRLVAINSLPPKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTLINSPSFVAKHLSNSVDNKISSSTCILLNRSQMVFPD-SWKHEVLWSMINLSIDSDEHNL MFQVRESETPEDRVVEILSRLPFKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTLINSPSFVAKHLSNSVDNKFSSYTCILLNRSQMVFPD-SWKHEVLWSMINLSIDSDEHNL MFQVRESETPEDRVVEILSRLPFKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTLINSPSFVAKHLSNSVDNKFSSSTCILLNRSQMVFPD-SWKNYEVFWSMINLSIDSDEHNL MFQVRESETPEDRVVEILSRLPFKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTLINSPSFVAKHLSNSVDNKLSSSTCILLNRSQMVFPD-SWKNYEVFWSMINLSIDSDEHNL MFQVRESETPEDRVVEILSRLPFKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTIINSSFVAKHLSNSIDKLSSSTCILLNRSQMVFPD-SWKNYEVIWSMINLSIDSDENNL MSQVCESETPEDRVVEILSRLPFKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTIINSSFVAKHLSNSIDKLSSSTCILLNRSQMVFPD-SWKNYEVIWSMINLSIDSDENNL MSQVCESETPEDRVVEILSRLPFKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTIINSSFVAKHLSNSIDKLSSSTCILLNRQVHVFPD-SWKNPSWINLSIDSDENNL MSQVCESETPEDRVVEILSRLPFKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTIINSSFVAKHLSNSIDKLSSSTCILLNRQVHVFPD-SWKNPWSMINLSIDSDENNL MSQVCESETPEDRVVEILSRLPFKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTIINSSFVAKHLSNSIDKLSSSTCILLNRQVHVFPD-SWKNPWSMINLSIDSDENNL MSQVCESETPEDRVVEILSRLPFKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTIINSSFVAKHLSNSDNKLSSSTCILLNRQVHVFPD-SWKNPWSMINLSIDSDENNL MSQVCESETPEDRVVEILSRLPFKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTIINSSFVAKHLSNSDNKLSSTCILLNRQVHVFPD-RSWKQDVFWSMINLSIDSDENNL MSQVCESETPEDRVVEILSRLPFKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTIINSSFVAKHLSNSDNKLSSTCILLNRQVHVFPD-RSWKQDVFWSMINLSIDSDENNL MSQVCESETPEDKVVEINSLPPKSLMRFKCIRKSWCTIINSSFVAKHLSNSDNKLSSTC	99 96 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 9
PpSFBB2-d1 PpSFBB2-d5 PpSFBB2-d4 PpSFBB2-d2 PpSFBB4-d2 PpSFBB2-u3 PpSFBB2-u3 PpSFBB2-u3 PpSFBB2-u4 PpSFBB2-u2 PpSFBB2-u1 PpSFBB2-u2 PpSFBB4-u2 PpSFBB4-u2 PpSFBB4-u4 PpSFBB4-u4	HYDVEDLNIPFPLEDHEFVLIFGYCNGIVCVEAGKNVLLCNPATREFRQLPDSCLLLPSP-PEGKFELETSFQALGFGYDCNAKEYKVVRIIE HYDVEDLIIPFPLEDHDFVLIFGYCNGIVCVIVGKNVLLCNPATREFRQLPDSCLLP-P-AEGKFELETTFQALGFGYDCNAKEYKVVRIIE HYDVEDLNIPFPLEDHDFVLIFGYCNGIVCVIVGKNVLLCNPATREFRQLPDSCLLPSR-PKGKFELETTFQALGFGYDCNAKEYKVVRIIE HYDVEDLNIPFPLEGHDFVLIFGYCNGIVCVIXGKNVLLCNPATREFRQLPDSCLLPSR-PKGKFELETTFQALGFGYDCNAKEYKVVRIIE HYDVEDLNIPFPLEGHDFVLIFGYCNGIVCVIXGKNVLLCNPATREFRQLPDSCLLPSR-PKGKFELETTFQALGFGYDCNAEVKYVVRIIE HYDVEDLNIPFPLEGHDFVLIFGYCNGIVCVIXGKNVLLCNPATREFRQLPDSLLLPSR-PKGKFELETTFQALGFGYDCNAEVKYVVRIIE HYDVEDLNIPFPLEDHDFVJIFGYCNGIVCVIXGKNVLLCNPATREFRQLPDSLLLPSR-PKGKFELETTFQALGFGYDCNAEVKYVVRIIE HYDVEDLNIPFPLEDHDFVJIFGYCNGIVCVIXGKNVLLCNPATREFRQLPDSLLLPSP-LSGKFELETDFGGLGFGYDCKAEVKYVKIIE HYDVEDLNIPFPLEDHDFVJIFGYCNGIVCVIXGKNVLLCNPATREFRQLPDSLLLPSP-LSGKFELETTFGGLGFGYDCKAEKKYVVRIIE HYDVEDLNIPFPLEDHDFVJIFGYCNGIVCVIXGKNVLLCNPATREFRQLPDSLLLPSP-LSGKFELETTFGGLGFGYDCKAEKKYVVRIIE HYDVEDLNIPFPLEDHDFVQIFGYCNGIVCVIXGKNVLLCNPATGEFRQLPDSSLLPTH-KGKFELETTFFGGLGFGYDCKAKKYVVRIIE HYDVEDLNIPFPREDQDNVELHGYCNGIVCVIXGKNVLLCNPATGEFRQLPDSSLLPP-KGKFGELETTFFGGLGFGYDCKAKKYVVRIIE HYDVEDLNIPFPNEDQDNVELHGYCNGIVCVIVGKNVLLCNPATGEFRQLPDSSLLPLPKGRFGLETTFKGGFGYDCKAKEYKVVRIIE HYDVEDLNIPFPNEDQDNVELHGYCNGIVCVIVGKNVLLCNPATGEFRQLPDSSLLPLPKGRFGLETTFKGMGFGYDCKAKEYKVVRIIE HYDVEDLNIPFPNEDQDNVELHGYCNGIVCVIVGKNVLLCNPATGEFRQLPDSSLLPLPKGRFGLETTFKGMGFGYDCKAKEYKVVRIIE HYDVENLNIPFPNEDQDNVELHGYCNGIVCVIVGKNVLLCNPATGEFRQLPDSSLLPLPKGRFGLETTFKGMGFGYDCKAKEYKVVRIIE HYDVENLNIPFPNEDQDNVELHGYCNGIVCVIVGKNVLLCNPATGEFRGLPDSSLLPLPKGRFGCLETTFKGMGFGYDCKAKEYKVVRIIE HYDVENLNIPFPNEDQDNVELHGYCNGIVCVIVGKNVLLCNPATGEFRGLPDSSLLPLPKGRFGCLETTFKGMGFGYDCKAKEYKVVRIIE +YDVENLNIPFPNEDQDNVELHGYCNGIVCVIVGCNVLLCNPATGEFRGLPDSSLLPLPKGRFGCLETTFKGMGFGYDCKAKEYKVVRIIE +YDVENLNIPFPNEDQDNVELHGYCNGIVCVIVGCNVLLCNPATGEFRGLPDSSLLPLPKGRFGCLETTFKGMGFGYDCKAKEYKVVRIIE +YDVENLNIPFPNEDQDNVELHGYCNGIVCVIVGE	191 187 190 196 196 191 191 192 191 190 190 190 188 194
PpSFBB2-d1 PpSFBB2-d5 PpSFBB2-d4 PpSFBB2-d4 PpSFBB2-d3 PpSFBB2-d3 PpSFBB2-d3 PpSFBB2-u3 PpSFBB2-u4 PpSFBB2-u4 PpSFBB2-u1 PpSFBB2-u5 PpSFBB2-u5 PpSFBB2-u2 PpSFBB4-u3 PpSFBB4-u3 PpSFBB4-u4	NCEYSDDEQTFHILLPHTAELYITTANSWKE IK ID ISSTTYSCSRSVFVKGFCYWYATDGEEYILSFDLGDDTFHI IQLPSKTESDFKFYY NCEYSDDEQTFHNICTTLPHTAEVYTTTANSWKE IK ID ISSTTYSWSCSVYLKGFCYWYATDGEEYILSFDLGDETFHIQFPSRGESGFTFFY NCEYSDDEQTFHHRIALPHTAEVYTTTANSWKE IK ID ISSTTYSWSSVYLKGFCYWFADDEEYILSFYLGDETFHI IQLPSRRESGFTFDY NCEYSDDEQTFHHRIALPHTAEVYTTANSWKE IK ID ISSTTYSWSSVYLKGFCYWFADDEEYILSFPLGDETFHIQLPSRRESGFTFDY NCEYSDDEQTFYHRIALPHTAEVYTTANSWKE IK ID ISSTTYFWSSVYLKGFCYWFADDGEYILSFDLGDETFHIQLPSRRESGFTFDY NCEYSDDEQTYYHRIALPHTAEVYTTANSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVSSVYLKGFCYWFATDGEKY ILSFDLGDETFHIQLPSRRESGFEFSN NCEYSDDEQTYYHRIALPHTAEVYTTANSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVSCVHLKGFCYWFATDGEEY ILSFDLGDETFHIQLPSRRESGFFFY NCEYSDDEQTYFHRIALPHTAEVYTTANSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVSCVHLKGFCYWFATDGEEYULSFDLGDETFHIQLPSRRESGFFFY NCEYSDDEQTFYHRIALPHTAEVTTANSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVSCVHLKGFCYWFATDGEEYULSFDLGDETFHIQLPSRRESGFFFY NCEYSDDEQTFYHRIALPHTAEVTTANSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVSCVHLKGFCYWFATDGEEYULSFDLGDETFHRIQLPSRRESGFFFY NCEYSDDEQTFYHRIALPHTAEVTTTANSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVFCSVYLKGFCYWFATDGEEYULSFDLGDETFHRIQLPSRRESGFKFYS NCEYSDDEQTYYHRI ALPHTAEVTTTANSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVFCSVYLKGFCYWFATDGEEYULSFDLGDETFHRIQLPSRRESGFKFYS NCEYSDDEQTYVHRI ALPHTAEVTTTANSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVFCSVYLKGFCYWFATDGEEY ILSFDLGDETFHRIQLPSRRESGFKFYS NCEYSDDEQTYVHRI ALPHTAEVTTTANSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVFCSVYLKGFCYWFATDGEEY ILSFDLGDETFHRIQLPSRRESGFKFYG NCDCEYSEGEESYYERILLPHTAEVTTTTNSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVFCSVYLKGFCYWFATDGEEY ILSFDLGDETFHRIGLPSRRESGFKFYG NCDCEYSEGEESYYERILLPHTAEVYTTTNSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVFCSVYLKGFCYWFSDDNGEY ISSPLGDETFHRIGLPSRRESFFKFG NCDCEYSEGEESYYERILLPHTAEVYTTTNSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVFCSVYLKGFCYWFSDDNGEY ISSPLGDETFHRIELPSRRESFKFYG NVDCEYSDGEEYYERILLPHTAEVYTTTNSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVFCSVYLKGFCYWFSDDNGEY ISSPLGDETFHRIELPSRRESFKFYG NVDCEYSDGEESYYERILLPHTAEVYTTTNSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVFCSVYLKGFCYWFSDDNGEY ISSPLGDETFHRIELPSRRESFKFYG NVDCEYSDGEEYYERILLPHTAEVYTTTNSWKE IK ID ISSTYFVFCSVYLKGFCYWFSDDNGEY ISSPLGDETFHRIELPSRRESFKFYG NVDCEYSDGEEYYERILLP	284 280 283 289 289 289 284 284 284 283 289 289 289 289 289 289 287 294
PpSFBB2-d1 PpSFBB2-d5 PpSFBB2-d4 PpSFBB4-d2	K2 K3 IFMRNGSLASFCSRYDRNEDSESCEIWVMDAYDGVKSSWTKLLTIGPLQGIKKPLTFWKSDELLMLDSDGRATSYNSSTKNLKYLHIPPILNRVVDFE IFLRNESLTSFCSRYDQSGDSQSCEIWVMDHYDGVKSSWTKLLTIGPLQGIEKPLTFWKSDELLMLASDGRATSYNSSTENLKYVHIPPILNKVVDFQ IFLRNESLASFCSPYSPSEDSKLFEIWVMDDMDGVKSSWTKLLTVGPFKGIEYPLTLWKCDELLMLASDGRATSYNSSTGNFKYLHILPILNKVVDFE IFLQNDSLASFCSPHYPSEDSKLFQIWVMDDTVDGIESSWTKLLTVGPFKGIEYPLTLWKCDELLMLAFGGKTTSYNTSTRNLKSLHIPPILDRVVDFK	382 378 381 381
PpSFBB2-d3 PpSFBB2-u3 PpSFBB2-u3 PpSFBB2-u4 PpSFBB2-d2 PpSFBB2-u5 PpSFBB2-u5 PpSFBB2-u1 PpSFBB2-u2 PpSFBB2-u2 PpSFBB4-u3 PpSFBB4-u4	IFLCNESIASFCFCHDPSD-EASTLCE IWVMDDYDRVKSSWTKLLTFGPLKGIVNPFAFWKTDELFLVASGGRATSYNSNTCNLKYLHIPPILNEVRGFQ LFLCKESIAAFCSCCDPSD-EDSTLCE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLLTVGPLKGIENPFTFWKSDELLMVASGGRATSYNSSTRNLNYLHIPPILNEVRGFQ IFLCNESIASFCSLVDRSEDSKLSCE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLLVAGPFKGIEKPLTLWKCDELLMIDTNGRVISYNSITGVLSYLHIPPINNVDSG LFLVNESIASFCSLVDRSEDSKLFE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLTUGPFKGIEVPLLWKCNELLMIDTNGRVISYNSTCNLKYLHIPPINNVDPE LGVNESITSYCCRYDPSEDSKLFE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLLTVGPFKGIEVPLLWKCNELLMLASDGRAISYNSSTGNLKYLHIPPINNVDPE LFLVNESITSYCCRYDPSEDSKLFE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLLTVGPFKGIEVPLLWKCNELLMLASDGRAISYNSSTGNLKYLHIPPINNVDPE LFLVNESITSYCSRYDPSEDSKLFE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLLTVGPFKGIEVPLLWKCNELLMLASDGRAISYNSSTGNLKYLHIPPINNVDFE LFLVNESITSYCSRYPSEDSKLFE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLLTVGPFKGIEVPLTIKCDELLMLASDGRAISYNSSTGNLKYLHIPPINNPTE IFLVNESITSYCSRYPSEDSKLFE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLLTVGPFKGIEVPLTIKKCDELLMLSSYGRASCNSSTGSLKYFHIPPINHQVTDLQ IFLVNESITSYCSRYEEDCKLFE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLLTVGPFKGIEVPLTIKKCDELLMLGSYGRAASCNSSTGNLKYLHIPPINW IFLVNESITSYCSRYEEDCKLFE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLLTVGPFKDIDYPLTIKCDELLMLGSYGRAASCNSSTGNLKYLHIPPINW IFLVNESITSYCSRYEEDCKLFE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLLTVGPFKDIDYPLTIKKCDELLMLGSYGRAASCNSSTGNLKYLHIPPINW IFLVNESITSYCSRYEEDCKLFE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLLTVGPFKDIDYPLTIKKCDELLMLGSYGRAASCNSSTGNLKYLHIPPINW IFLVNESITSYCSRYEEDCKLFE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLLTVGPFKDIDYPLTIKKCDELLMLGSYGRAASCNSSTGNLKYLHIPPINKW IFLVNESITSYCSRYEEDCKLFE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLLTVGPFKDIDYPLTIKKCDELLMLGSYGRAASCNSSTGNLKYLHIPPINKW IFLVNESITSYCSRYEEDCKLFE IWVMDDYDGVKSSWTKLLTVGPFKDIDYPLTIKKCDELLMLGSYGRAASCNSSTGNLKYLHIPPINKW	388 388 382 384 383 382 381 380 380 380 380 380 380 387
PpSFBB2=d1	R4 R5	394
PpSFBB2-d5 PpSFBB2-d5 PpSFBB2-d4 PpSFBB2-d3 PpSFBB2-d3 PpSFBB2-u3 PpSFBB2-u3 PpSFBB2-u4 PpSFBB2-u2 PpSFBB2-u5 PpSFBB2-u5 PpSFBB2-u2 PpSFBB4-u3 PpSFBB4-u3 PpSFBB4-u4	ALIYVESIVPLK	390 393 393 400 400 394 395 394 393 392 403 392 390 410

Sequence analysis of 378 kb around the $\mathrm{S}_2\text{-}\mathrm{RNase}$ gene

To identify the genes around S_2 -RNase, three overlapping BAC clones, 55A9, 48F8, and 10H7, were subcloned and completely sequenced (Fig. 1B). Sequence assembly of the three BAC clones yielded a 378 419 bp sequence. Analysis using GENSCAN software predicted 57 ORFs in the 378 kb region (Fig. 2B). A BLASTX search of these ORFs yielded 41 ORFs with significant similarity (E-value <e-4) to sequences of known proteins in the database (Table 2). ORF22 corresponded to S_2 -RNase. Among the 57 ORFs, 20 were similar to (retro) transposons and four were similar to a hypothetical protein. ORF1 was similar to a serinethreonine protein kinase, ORF4 to the DNA glycosylase DEMETER, ORF6 to a DNA glycosylase, ORF40 and ORF42 to TIR-NBS-LRR-type disease resistance proteins, and ORF53 to a cyclin-like F-box. Ten ORFs (ORF3, ORF7, ORF8, ORF16, ORF19, ORF24, ORF31, ORF43, ORF46, and ORF49) showed high sequence similarity to *MdSFBB* genes, *MdSLF* genes, *PpSFBB*^{4- β}, or *S*₄*F*-*box*0. Using GENETYX-MAC Ver. 13 software, the predicted ORFs were reanalysed to determine the precise ORFs from the start (ATG) to the stop codon. ORF3, ORF7, ORF8, ORF16, ORF19, ORF24, ORF31, ORF43, ORF46, and ORF49 encoded 393, 396, 394, 392, 392, 394, 395, 400, 393, and 390 amino acid residues, respectively. A Pfam motif search predicted that these proteins had an F-box domain at the N-terminus and an FBA_1 domain in the centre (Fig. 3). When compared with reported PpSFBB, MdSFBB, and MdSLF genes, these ORFs showed pairwise deduced amino acid sequence identities ranging from 62.0% to 94.9% (Supplementary Table S3 at JXB online). The F-box protein genes differed from $PpSFBB^{2-\gamma}$, which was reported to be linked to S2-RNase (Kakui et al., 2007). Thus, these ORFs were assigned as new *PpSFBB*² genes. ORF3, ORF7, ORF8, ORF16, and ORF19 were located ~146, ~108, ~102, ~53, and ~ 22 kb, respectively, upstream of S₂-RNase. ORF24, ORF31, ORF43, ORF46, and ORF49 were located ~10, ~56, ~119, ~137, and ~158 kb, respectively, downstream of S_2 -RNase. These new $PpSFBB^2$ genes upstream and downstream of S_2 -RNase were named $PpSFBB^{2-u}$ and PpSFBB^{2-d5}, respectively, and lower case numbers were assigned to the PpSFBB^{2-u} and PpSFBB^{2-d} located close to S₂-RNase. Therefore, ORF19, ORF16, ORF8, ORF7, and ORF3 were designated as $PpSFBB^{2-u1}$, $PpSFBB^{2-u2}$, $PpSFBB^{2-u3}$, $PpSFBB^{2-u3}$, and ORF24, ORF31, ORF43, ORF46, and ORF49 were designated as $PpSFBB^{2-d1}$, $PpSFBB^{2-d2}$, $PpSFBB^{2-d3}$, $PpSFBB^{2-d4}$, and $PpSFBB^{2-d5}$, respectively. These $PpSFBB^2$ genes around S_2 -RNase shared variable transcriptional orientations (Fig. 1B). Using ATGC Ver. 4 software, the 378 kb S_2 BAC contig

sequence was searched for *SFBB*-like sequences. The analysis revealed two pseudogenes ($\Psi PpSFBB^{2-u1}$ and $\Psi PpSFBB^{2-u2}$) encoding truncated F-box proteins that were located ~46 kb upstream and ~159 kb upstream of *S*₂-*RNase*, respectively (Fig. 1B). *PpSFBB*^{2-u1-u5} and *PpSFBB*^{2-d1-d5} shared 66.3–86.0% amino acid sequence identity with each other, and showed 66.2–93.1% identity with *PpSFBB*^{4-u1-u4} and *PpSFBB*^{4-d1-d2} (Table 3).

Total RNA was extracted from pollen, pistils, and leaves of the S_2 homozygote to examine the expression of $PpSFBB^{2-u1-u5}$ and $SFBB^{2-d1-d5}$. RT-PCR analyses were conducted using gene-specific primer pairs (Supplementary Table S2 at JXB online). $PpSFBB^{2-u1-u5}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-d1-d5}$ were all specifically expressed in pollen, but not in pistils or leaves (Supplementary Fig. S1B). Thus, in the 378 kb sequence around S_2 -RNase there were 10 F-box protein genes ($PpSFBB^{2-u1-u5}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-d1-d5}$) with pollenspecific expression. The $PpSFBB^{2-d1-d5}$) with pollenspecific expression. The $PpSFBB^{2-\gamma}$ gene, previously shown to be linked to the S_2 -RNase, was not within the sequenced region.

Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences between the PpSFBB⁴ and PpSFBB² genes

The pairwise deduced amino acid sequence identities of nine $PpSFBB^4$ genes $(PpSFBB^{4-u1-u4}, PpSFBB^{4-d1-d2}, and PpSFBB^{4-\alpha-\gamma})$ and 11 $PpSFBB^2$ genes $(PpSFBB^{2-u1-u5}, PpSFBB^{2-d1-d5}, and PpSFBB^{2-\gamma})$ were compared within and between haplotypes (Table 3). Sequence identity among the $PpSFBB^4$ genes ranged from 62.3% to 86.2%, and among $PpSFBB^2$ genes ranged from 63.0% to 86.0%. The $PpSFBB^4$ and $PpSFBB^2$ genes showed 62.1–99.0% identity between haplotypes. Identities of >90% were found between $PpSFBB^{4-u2}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-u1}$ (92.1%), $PpSFBB^{4-u3}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-u2}$ (93.1%), $PpSFBB^{4-\beta}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-u4}$ (94.9%), and $PpSFBB^{2-u2}$ (87.8%), $PpSFBB^{4-u3}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-u1}$ (84.9%), $PpSFBB^{4-u2}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-u3}$ (87.8%), $PpSFBB^{4-u3}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-u1}$ (84.9%), $PpSFBB^{4-d1}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-u1}$ (84.9%), $PpSFBB^{4-d2}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-u2}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-u3}$ (82.8%), $PpSFBB^{4-d2}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-u4}$ (84.2%), $PpSFBB^{4-\alpha}$ (81.6%). The other 89 pairwise comparisons showed identities of <80%.

Phylogenetic analysis of the F-box protein genes of Pyrus and Malus

Most $PpSFBB^4$ and $PpSFBB^2$ genes cloned in this study shared the highest amino acid sequence identities with the Fbox protein genes of *Malus* (*MdSFBB* and *MdSLF* genes), although $PpSFBB^{4-u4}$ and $PpSFB^{2-u4}$ showed the highest identities with $PpSFBB^{3, 4, 9-\gamma}$ (77.5%) and $PpSFBB^{4-\beta}$ (94.9%) derived from the same species, respectively

Fig. 3. Alignment of deduced amino acid sequences of *PpSFBB*^{4-u1-u4, 4-d1-d2} and *PpSFBB*^{2-u1-u5, 2-d1-d5}. Amino acid sequences were aligned using ClustalW. Conserved sites and relatively conserved sites are marked with asterisks and dots, respectively. F-box domains and FBA_1 domains of F-box proteins are coloured and underlined, respectively. Accession numbers for the F-box protein genes are as follows: *PpSFBB*^{4-u1-u4, 4-d1-d2} (AB545981) and *PpSFBB*^{2-u1-u5, 2-d1-d5} (AB545982).

	PpSF BB ^{4-u2}	PpSF BB ^{4-u3}	PpSF BB ^{4-u4}	PpSF BB ^{4-d1}	PpSF BB ^{4-d2}	PpSF BB ^{4-α}	PpSF BB ^{4-β}	PpSF BB ^{4-γ}	PpSF BB ^{2-u1}	PpSF BB ^{2-u2}	PpSF BB ^{2-u3}	PpSF BB ^{2-u4}	PpSF BB ^{2-u5}	PpSF BB ^{2-d1}	PpSF BB ^{2-d2}	PpSF BB ^{2-d3}	PpSF BB ^{2-d4}	PpSF BB ^{2-d5}	PpSF BB ^{2-γ}
PpSFBB ^{4-u1}	71.4	70.6	72.7	73.1	71.8	69.6	71.0	66.3	72.3	73.6	73.3	71.2	74.0	72.8	76.3	72.6	76.3	71.5	66.3
PpSFBB ^{4-u2}	-	86.2	73.7	67.3	69.7	83.2	67.0	70.8	92.1	87.8	71.5	66.5	68.5	70.3	72.4	72.0	75.1	66.2	70.3
PpSFBB ^{4-u3}		-	70.3	67.2	69.5	80.5	67.2	67.4	84.9	93.1	70.1	66.9	68.8	70.8	70.6	72.4	73.7	67.1	66.9
PpSFBB ^{4-u4}			-	69.7	68.8	70.9	67.9	77.5	71.2	73.2	68.4	67.1	70.4	70.2	70.4	69.7	72.7	69.4	77.3
PpSFBB ^{4-d1}				-	71.2	70.7	69.6	63.6	68.7	68.7	68.8	68.6	72.5	73.9	72.3	82.8	74.3	73.1	63.6
PpSFBB ^{4-d2}					-	69.2	67.9	63.7	67.6	70.7	69.7	68.1	71.2	77.4	73.0	74.8	84.2	73.6	63.4
PpSFBB ^{4-α}						-	68.7	68.2	81.9	81.6	70.2	68.7	70.7	69.4	70.5	72.5	73.0	69.2	67.7
$PpSFBB^{4-\beta}$							-	62.3	66.6	68.4	68.8	94.9	69.2	71.8	69.0	71.3	73.0	70.5	62.1
$PpSFBB^{4-\gamma}$								-	68.2	70.0	64.9	62.5	65.5	65.6	65.1	65.1	69.2	65.5	99.0
PpSFBB ^{2-u1}									-	86.0	69.7	66.3	69.7	70.5	72.0	70.5	73.3	67.9	67.7
PpSFBB ^{2-u2}										-	70.5	67.9	70.7	72.8	72.8	74.1	75.1	70.2	69.5
PpSFBB ^{2-u3}											-	69.5	70.7	74.1	71.1	72.3	73.8	71.0	64.9
PpSFBB ^{2-u4}												-	68.4	70.8	69.0	70.9	72.5	70.0	63.0
PpSFBB ^{2-u5}													-	71.0	74.0	72.8	74.3	71.8	65.5
PpSFBB ^{2-d1}														-	72.8	75.4	81.2	79.0	65.4
PpSFBB ^{2-d2}															-	74.9	77.8	73.6	64.3
PpSFBB ^{2-d3}																-	77.1	73.6	65.1
PpSFBB ^{2-d4}																	-	78.7	69.4
PpSFBB ^{2-d5}																		_	65.3

 Table 3. Pairwise amino acid sequence identities (%) of PpSFBB⁴ and PpSFBB² genes

Values >90% are shown in bold.



Fig. 4. Phylogenetic analysis of the F-box protein genes of *Pyrus* and *Malus*, and Japanese apricot *PmSLFS*⁷. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using the Neighbor–Joining method. *PmSLFS*⁷ was used as an outgroup. Numbers besides the branches are bootstrap values >50%. The bar under the tree represents the number of amino acid substitutions per site.

(Supplementary Table S3 at JXB online). The deduced amino acid sequences of the 36 F-box protein genes of Pyrus and Malus were aligned with $PmSLFS^7$ of P. mume using ClustalW, and a rooted phylogenetic tree was constructed by the Neighbor–Joining method with $PmSLFS^7$ as an outgroup (Fig. 4). F-box protein genes of Pyrus and Malus did not form taxa-independent clusters, and several PpSFBB genes were positioned closest to MdSFBB and MdSLF genes. The F-box protein genes of Pyrus and Malus were

grouped into two major groups: group I (84% bootstrap value) and group II (91% bootstrap value). Group I included $PpSFBB^{4-u1, 4-d1-d2}$, $PpSFBB^{2-u3-u5, 2-d1-d5}$, $PpSFBB^{\beta}$ genes, $MdSFBB^{3}$ genes, and MdSLF genes, while group II included $PpSFBB^{4-u2-u4}$, $PpSFBB^{2-u1-u2}$, $PpSFBB^{-\alpha}$ genes, $PpSFBB^{-\gamma}$ genes, and $MdSFBB^{9}$ genes. Comparing group I with group II, amino acid sequences were conserved in F-box domains, but were divergent in the five regions designated as R1, R2, R3, R4, and R5. In these regions, sequences and/or

insertions/deletions (indels) were relatively conserved within each group (Fig. 3).

Discussion

The results of a previous study suggested that the pollen S_4 allele is distal to the region from 48 kb upstream to 188 kb downstream of S_4 -RNase (Okada et al., 2008). In this study, the BAC contig around S_{4} -RNase was extended to 659 kb, and a 648 516 bp region spanning 290 kb upstream to 359 kb downstream of S_4 -RNase was sequenced. Sequence analysis of the 649 kb region predicted five new pollen-specific F-box protein genes $(PpSFBB^{4-u1-u4} \text{ and } PpSFBB^{4-d2})$. The 649 kb sequence around S_4 -RNase included six $PpSFBB^4$ genes including $PpSFBB^{4-d1}$ (S₄F-box0), but not $PpSFBB^{4-\alpha-\gamma}$. In addition, a BAC library was constructed from 'Choujuuro' (S_2S_3) , and a BAC contig of 391 kb around S_2 -RNase was assembled. Sequence analysis of a 378 419 bp region spanning 166 kb upstream to 212 kb downstream of S₂-RNase predicted 10 new pollen-specific F-box protein genes ($PpSFBB^{2-u1-u5}$, $^{2-d1-d5}$). The 378 kb sequence around S_{2} -*RNase* included 10 $PpSFBB^2$ genes, but not $PpSFBB^{2-\gamma}$. The predicted products of $PpSFBB^{4-u1-u4, 4-d1-d2}$, and $PpSFBB^{2-u1-u5, 2-d1-d5}$ showed typical features of F-box proteins: an F-box domain at the N-terminus and an FBA 1 domain in the centre (Fig. 3). These results indicated that Fbox protein genes with pollen-specific expression are clustered around the S-RNase of Japanese pear, and that $PpSFBB^{4-\alpha-\gamma}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-\gamma}$, which are linked to the S-RNase, were located outside the sequenced region.

Organization of the F-box protein gene cluster around the S-RNase gene of Japanese pear

Among PpSFBB^{4-u1-u4, 4-d1-d2} and PpSFBB^{2-u1-u5, 2-d1-d5}. some genes may be located more distantly from S-locus regions. Entani et al. (2003) conducted pattern matching analysis of homologies (Harr plot analysis) for the sequences around PmS₁- and PmS₇-RNases of P. mume. Their results revealed that highly divergent S_{1} - and S_{7} -locus regions are surrounded by co-linear flanking regions, and that S_{1} - and S_{7} -locus regions are ~ 27 kb and 15 kb long, respectively. Harr plot analysis of the 649 kb and 378 kb sequences around S_4 - and S_2 -RNases was conducted, and no co-linearity was found between these sequences (data not shown). This result suggests that both the 649 kb and 378 kb sequences are a part of the S-locus region, or that either sequence could contain both the S-locus region and its flanking region. Sequence analysis of the 649 kb and 378 kb regions predicted 40 and 20 transposon-like sequences around S_4 -RNase and S_2 -RNase, respectively (Tables 1, 2). The S-locus, which controls S-RNase-based GSI, contains many transposon-like sequences. For example, transposon-like sequences were found in three out of 12 ORFs in 72 kb of the P. dulcis S_c -haplotype (Ushijima et al., 2003), in four out of 11 ORFs in 64 kb of the A. hispanicum S_2 -haplotype (Lai et al., 2002), and in 31 out of 50 ORFs in 328 kb of the P. inflata S₂-haplotype (Wang *et al.*, 2004). These transposon-like sequences generate polymorphisms among S-haplotypes, and might contribute to suppression of recombination between S-RNase and SLF/SFB. In the sequenced regions around S₄-RNase and S₂-RNase, the non-co-linearity, the abundant (retro) transposon insertions, and the absence of $PpSFBB^{4-\alpha-\gamma}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-\gamma}$ suggest that the 649 kb and 378 kb sequences around S₄-RNase and S₂-RNase are part of the S-locus region, and that the S-locus regions of the Japanese pear are probably larger than those of Prunus species.

The organization of the F-box protein gene clusters around the S_4 -RNase and S_2 -RNase was compared when S_4 -RNase and S_2 -RNase were fixed in the same transcriptional orientation (Fig. 1). $PpSFBB^{4-u1}$ and $PpSFBB^{4-d1}$ are located ~113 kb upstream and ~127 kb downstream of S_4 -RNase, whereas $PpSFBB^{2-u1}$ and $PpSFBB^{2-d1}$ are located close to S_2 -RNase (~22 kb upstream and ~10 kb downstream of S_2 -RNase, respectively). The average densities of F-box protein genes were one gene/108 kb around S_4 -RNase and one gene/38 kb around S_2 -RNase. Together, these results suggest that F-box protein genes are clustered in the region around S_2 -RNase.

F-box protein genes, SLF/SFB and SLF-like genes (SLFL), were identified in cosmid and fosmid contigs around the S-RNase of Prunus species. SLF/SFB genes are the pollen S genes, but SLFL genes are probably not involved in SI recognition (Entani et al., 2003; Ushijima et al., 2003). SLF/SFB and SLFL1-SLFL3 cloned from the same haplotypes show low amino acid sequence identity with each other. For example, PmSLFS⁷ is 11.7-16.9% identical to PmSLFL1S⁷, PmSLFL2S⁷, and PmSLFL3S⁷, which share 26.9-45.3% identity with each other (Entani et al., 2003; Matsumoto et al., 2008). $PdSFB^{c}$ and $PdSFB^{d}$ are 18.7 and 20.2% identical to $PdSLF^{c}$ and $PdSLF^{d}$ (orthologuess of PmSLFL1 of P. mume), respectively (Ushijima et al., 2003). In contrast to *Prunus* species, $PpSFBB^{4-u1-u4}$, 4-d1-d2 and $PpSFBB^{2-u1-u5}$, 2-d1-d5 shared 67.2–86.2% and 66.3–86.0% identity within each haplotype, respectively (Table 3). This indicates that the region around an S-RNase of the Japanese pear comprises related F-box protein genes, which is different from the F-box protein gene organization around the S-RNases in Prunus, in which there are clusters of F-box protein genes that show low levels of identity to each other. The amino acid sequence identities between $PpSFBB^{4-u1-u4}$, ^{4-d1-d2} and $PpSFBB^{2-u1-u5}$, ^{2-d1-d5} ranged from 66.2% to 93.1% (Table 3), and were higher than those within each haplotype (66.3-86.2%). These similarities between haplotypes indicated that related polymorphic F-box protein genes between haplotypes were clustered in the regions around S_4 -*RNase* and S_2 -*RNase*.

Classification of PpSFBB genes based on phylogenetic analysis and sequence polymorphism

In *Prunus* species, F-box protein genes around *S-RNase* genes were grouped into two major classes, the *SLF/SFB* clade and the *SLFL* clade, by a phylogenetic analysis

(Matsumoto *et al.*, 2008). *SLF/SFB* genes show lower levels of allelic sequence identity (77.8–81.3% for *PmSLF* genes, 68.4–76.4% for *PdSFB* genes, and 75.1–81.1% for *PavSFB* genes, respectively) than *SLFL* genes (88.5–92.0% for *PmSLFL1*, 95.8–98.6% for *PmSLFL2*, and 95.1% for *PdSLF*) (Entani *et al.*, 2003; Ushijima *et al.*, 2003; Ikeda *et al.*, 2004; Matsumoto *et al.*, 2008). The sequence differences of the F-box protein genes among haplotypes implied that *SLF/SFB* genes with lower levels of identity were pollen *S* candidates, and that *SLFL* genes with high levels of identity were not (Entani *et al.*, 2003; Ushijima *et al.*, 2003).

The phylogenetic relationships and sequence differences of F-box protein genes of Pyrus and Malus would be useful for delineating pollen S candidates from PpSFBB^{4-u1-u4, 4-d1-d2} and $PpSFBB^{2-u1-u5, 2-d1-d5}$. Phylogenetic analysis based on the deduced amino acid sequences of 36 F-box protein genes of Pyrus and Malus allowed them to be classified into two major groups, I and II (Fig. 4). PpSFBB^{4-u2-u4}, PpSFBB^{2-u1-u2}, $PpSFBB^{-\alpha}$ genes, $PpSFBB^{-\gamma}$ genes and $MdSFBB^{9}$ genes were classified into group II, and the other *PpSFBB*, *MdSFBB*³, and *MdSLF* genes were in group I. The phylogenetic analysis also generated a $PpSFBB^{-\gamma}$ subgroup and 10 gene pairs of PpSFBB genes and PpSFBB/Malus F-box protein genes. Sequence identities between the paired genes ranged from 76.3% to 96.4% (Table 3. Supplementary Table S3 at JXB online), which were higher than those among PpS_{2-} , PpS_4 -, PpS_5 -, MdS_1 -, MdS_2 -, MdS_3 -, and MdS_9 -RNases (60.9-71.1%). Group I consisted of gene pairs with high levels of identity (>91%): MdSLF2/PpSFBB^{2-u5} (91.3% identity), *PpSFBB*^{4-β}/*PpSFBB*^{2-u4} (94.9% identity), *MdSLF1*/ $PpSFBB^{2-u3}$ (93.9% identity), $MdSFBB^{3-\beta}/PpSFBB^{2-d4}$ (93.1% identity), $MdSFBB^{3-\alpha}/PpSFBB^{2-d1}$ (92.9% identity); and gene pairs with low levels of identity: PpSFBB4-u1/ $PpSFBB^{2-d2}$ (76.3% identity) and $PpSFBB^{4-d1}/PpSFBB^{2-d3}$ (82.8% identity). Group II consisted of the $PpSFBB^{-\gamma}$ subgroup sharing 97.5–99.7% identity among 10 haplotypes (Kakui et al., 2007), and gene pairs with high levels of identities (>92%): $PpSFBB^{5-\alpha}/PpSFBB^{4-\alpha}$ (96.4% identity, Sassa *et al.*, 2007), $PpSFBB^{4-u3}/PpSFBB^{2-u2}$ (93.1% identity), and PpSFBB^{2-u1}/PpSFBB^{4-u2} (92.1% identity). The gene pairs with low levels of identity were included in group I, not in group II. suggesting that pollen S candidates were included in the group I.

Therefore, the group I F-box protein genes from the region around *S-RNase* with low levels of sequence identity, $PpSFBB^{4-u1}/PpSFBB^{2-d2}$ and $PpSFBB^{4-d1}/PpSFBB^{2-d3}$, are expected to be pollen *S* candidates of Japanese pear. In a previous study, $PpSFBB^{4-d1}$ (*S*₄*F-box0*) was thought unlikely to be the pollen *S*₄ allele, because it is found in the deleted region of the *S*₄sm-haplotype (Okada *et al.*, 2008). Interestingly, Saito *et al.* (2002) observed that *S*₄sm pollen is rejected by pistils harbouring not only the *S*₄-haplotype, but also the *S*₁-haplotype. It seems, therefore, that *S*₄sm pollen has a dual specificity for S₄-RNase and S₁-RNase, which have high amino acid identity (90.0%) (Ishimizu *et al.*, 1998). This dual specificity is probably due to the loss of *PpSFBB*^{4-d1}, and *S*₄sm pollen might come to recognize S₁-

RNase. Therefore, $PpSFBB^{4-d1}$ might also be a pollen *S* candidate. However, *SLF* genes of *A. hispanicum* and *P. inflata* share high levels of amino acid identity among haplotypes (97–99% and 88.4–89.7%, respectively; Zhou *et al.*, 2003; Sijacic *et al.*, 2004). There is no evidence for a co-evolutionary relationship between *SLF/SFB* and *S-RNase* in *A. hispanicum* and *P. inflata*, or in *Prunus* species, which implies that sequence polymorphism between haplotypes can no longer be considered a reliable diagnostic feature of pollen *S* genes, and functional analysis must be used to identify pollen *S* genes (Newbigin *et al.*, 2008). Therefore, all *PpSFBB*⁴ genes and *PpSFBB*² genes should be considered as pollen *S* gene candidates.

However, it is not a reasonable interpretation that all *PpSFBB* genes act in concert as the pollen S genes. Among several F-box protein genes around the S-RNases of Prunus species, A. hispanicum, and P. inflata, one F-box protein gene, SLF/SFB, functions as the pollen S gene; the other Fbox protein genes, SLFL genes, are non-S pollen genes (Entani et al., 2003; Ushijima et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2004; Hua et al., 2007). Therefore, several *PpSFBB* genes in a particular haplotype are probably not pollen S genes. The non-pollen S proteins of P. inflata, PiSLFLs, either fail to interact with S-RNase or interact much more weakly than PiSLF. When the deduced amino acid sequences of PiSLF and PiSLFLs were compared. three PiSLF-specific regions (SR1, SR2, and SR3) that confer on PiSLF its unique function in SI were revealed (Hua et al., 2007). Although the interactions of PpSFBBs with S-RNase have not yet been analysed, five regions (R1, R2, R3, R4, and R5) were identified where amino acid sequences were variable between the group I and II F-box proteins (Fig. 3). The sequence differences in these regions might account for different interactions with S-RNase between the group I and II F-box proteins. Therefore, there remains the possibility that the less polymorphic group II Fbox protein genes are non-pollen S genes.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

Figure S1. Expression of *PpSFBB* genes located around S_4 -*RNase* (A) and S_2 -*RNase* (B).

Table S1. Primer pairs used to construct BAC contigs around *S-RNase*.

Table S2. Gene-specific RT-PCR primer pairs.

Table S3. Pairwise amino acid sequence identities (%) of PpSFBB genes with previously reported PpSFBB, MdSFBB, and MdSLF genes.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (nos 16380028; 19380020) and (C) (no. 17580027) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. K.O. was supported by research fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists.

References

Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang JH, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Research* **25**, 3389–3402.

Arumuganathan K, Earle ED. 1991. Nuclear DNA content of some important plant species. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 9, 208–218.

Burge C, Karlin S. 1997. Prediction of complete gene structures in human genomic DNA. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **268**, 78–94.

Castillo C, Takasaki T, Saito T, Yoshimura Y, Norioka S, Nakanishi T. 2001. Reconsideration of *S*-genotype assignments, and discovery of a new allele based on *S*-*R*Nase PCR-RFLPs in Japanese pear cultivars. *Breeding Science* **51**, 5–11.

Cheng JH, Han ZH, Xu XF, Li TZ. 2006. Isolation and identification of the pollen-expressed polymorphic F-box genes linked to the S-locus in apple (*Malus×domestica*). Sexual Plant Reproduction **19**, 175–183.

de Nettancourt D. 2001. *Incompatibility and incongruity in wild and cultivated plants*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Entani T, Iwano M, Shiba H, Che FS, Isogai A, Takayama S. 2003. Comparative analysis of the self-incompatibility (S-) locus region of *Prunus mume*: identification of a pollen-expressed F-box gene with allelic diversity. *Genes to Cells* **8**, 203–213.

Hauck NR, Ikeda K, Tao R, Iezzoni AF. 2006. The mutated S_1 -haplotype in sour cherry has an altered S-haplotype-specific F-box protein gene. *Journal of Heredity* **97**, 514–520.

Hua ZH, Meng XY, Kao T- H. 2007. Comparison of *Petunia inflata* S-locus F-box protein (Pi SLF) with Pi SLF-like proteins reveals its unique function in S-RNase based self-incompatibility. *The Plant Cell* **19**, 3593–3609.

Huang S, Lee HS, Karunanandaa B, Kao TH. 1994. Ribonuclease activity of *Petunia inflata S*-proteins is essential for rejection of self-pollen. *The Plant Cell* **6**, 1021–1028.

Ikeda K, Igic B, Ushijima K, Yamane H, Hauck NR, Nakano R, Sassa H, Iezzoni AF, Kohn JR, Tao R. 2004. Primary structural features of the S-haplotype-specific F-box protein, SFB *in Prunus*. *Sexual Plant Reproduction* **16**, 235–243.

Ishimizu T, Inoue K, Shimonaka M, Saito T, Terai O, Norioka S. 1999. PCR-based method for identifying the S-genotypes of Japanese pear cultivars. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **98**, 961–967.

Ishimizu T, Sato Y, Saito T, Yoshimura Y, Norioka S, Nakanishi T, Sakiyama F. 1996. Identification and partial amino acid sequences of seven S-RNases associated with self-incompatibility of Japanese pear, *Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai. *Journal of Biochemistry* **120**, 326–334.

Ishimizu T, Shinkawa T, Sakiyama F, Norioka S. 1998. Primary structural features of rosaceous S-RNases associated with gametophytic self-incompatibility. *Plant Molecular Biology* **37**, 931–941.

Kakui H, Tsuzuki T, Koba T, Sassa H. 2007. Polymorphism of SFBB-gamma and its use for *S* genotyping in Japanese pear (Pyrus pyrifolia). *Plant Cell Reports* **26**, 1619–1625.

Lai Z, Ma WS, Han B, Liang LZ, Zhang YS, Hong GF, Xue YB. 2002. An F-box gene linked to the self-incompatibility (S) locus of Antirrhinum is expressed specifically in pollen and tapetum. *Plant Molecular Biology* **50**, 29–42.

Lechner E, Achard P, Vansiri A, Potuschak T, Genschik P. 2006. F-box proteins everywhere. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **9**, 631–638.

Matsumoto D, Yamane H, Tao R. 2008. Characterization of *SLFL1*, a pollen-expressed F-box gene located in the *Prunus S* locus. *Sexual Plant Reproduction* **21**, 113–121.

McClure BA, Franklin-Tong V. 2006. Gametophytic selfincompatibility: understanding the cellular mechanisms involved in 'self' pollen tube inhibition. *Planta* **224**, 233–245.

McClure BA, Gray JE, Anderson MA, Clarke AE. 1990. Selfincompatibility in *Nicotiana alata* involves degradation of pollen ribosomal RNA. *Nature* **347**, 757–760.

McClure BA, Haring V, Ebert PR, Anderson MA, Simpson RJ, Sakiyama F, Clarke AE. 1989. Style self-incompatibility gene products of *Nicotiana alata* are ribonucleases. *Nature* **342**, 955–957.

McCubbin AG, Kao TH. 2000. Molecular recognition and response in pollen and pistil interactions. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* **16**, 333–364.

Newbigin E, Paape T, Kohn JR. 2008. RNase-based selfincompatibility: puzzled by pollen S. *The Plant Cell* **20**, 2286–2292.

Okada K, Tonaka N, Moriya Y, Norioka N, Sawamura Y, Matsumoto T, Nakanishi T, Takasaki-Yasuda T. 2008. Deletion of a 236 kb region around S_4 -RNase in a stylar-part mutant $S4^{sm}$ -haplotype of Japanese pear. Plant Molecular Biology **66**, 389–400.

Potter D, Eriksson T, Evans RC, et al. 2007. Phylogeny and classification of Rosaceae. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **266**, 5–43.

Saito T, Sato Y, Sawamura Y, Shoda M, Kotobuki K. 2002. Studies on breeding of self-compatibility in Japanese pear. 2. Characteristic of pollen of *S4sm* gene originated from 'Osa-nijisseiki'. Journal of the Japanese Society for Horticultural Science 71. *suppl* **2**), 123(in Japanese).

Saitou N, Nei M. 1987. The neighbor–joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **4**, 406–425.

Sassa H, Kakui H, Miyamoto M, Suzuki Y, Hanada T, Ushijima K, Kusaba M, Hirano H, Koba T. 2007. *S* locus F-box brothers: multiple and pollen-specific F-box genes with *S* haplotypespecific polymorphisms in apple and Japanese pear. *Genetics* **175**, 1869–1881.

Sijacic P, Wang X, Skirpan AL, Wang Y, Dowd PE, McCubbin AG, Huang S, Kao TH. 2004. Identification of the pollen determinant of S-RNase-mediated self-incompatibility. *Nature* **429**, 302–305.

Sato Y. 1993. Breeding of self-compatible Japanese pear. In: Hayashi T, Omura M, Scott NS, eds. *Techniques on gene diagnosis and breeding in fruit trees*. 241–247 Tsukuba, Japan: FTRS (Fruit Tree Research Station).

Sonneveld T, Tobutt KR, Vaughan SP, Robbins TP. 2005. Loss of pollen *S* function in two self-compatible selections of *Prunus avium* is associated with deletion/mutation of an *S* haplotype-specific F-box gene. *The Plant Cell* **17**, 37–51.

1902 | Okada et al.

Terai O, Sato Y, Saito T, Abe K, Kotobuki K. 1999. Identification of homozygotes of self-incompatibility gene (*S*-gene), as useful tools to determine the *S*-genotype in Japanese pear, *Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai. *Bulletin of the National Institute of Fruit Tree Science* **32**, 31–38.

Tsukamoto T, Hauck NR, Tao R, Jiang N, lezzoni AF. 2006. Molecular characterization of three non-functional *S*-haplotypes in sour cherry (*Prunus cerasus*). *Plant Molecular Biology* **62**, 371–383.

Ushijima K, Sassa H, Dandekar AM, Gradziel TM, Tao R, Hirano H. 2003. Structural and transcriptional analysis of the selfincompatibility locus of almond: identification of a pollen-expressed F-box gene with haplotype-specific polymorphism. *The Plant Cell* **15**, 771–781.

Ushijima K, Yamane H, Watari A, Kakehi E, Ikeda K, Hauck NR, Iezzoni AF, Tao RT. 2004. The *S* haplotype-specific F-box protein

gene, SFB, is defective in self-compatible haplotypes of *Prunus avium* and *P. mume*. The Plant Journal **39**, 573–586.

Vilanova S, Badenes ML, Burgos L, Martinez-Calvo J, Llacer G, Romero C. 2006. Self-compatibility of two apricot selections is associated with two pollen-part mutations of different nature. *Plant Physiology* **142**, 629–641.

Wang Y, Tsukamoto T, Yi KW, Wang X, Huang SS, McCubbin AG, Kao TH. 2004. Chromosome walking in the *Petunia inflata* selfincompatibility (S) locus and gene identification in an 881 kb contig containing S₂-*RNase*. *Plant Molecular Biology* **54**, 727–742.

Xue YB, Carpenter R, Dickinson HG, Coen ES. 1996. Origin of allelic diversity in antirrhinum S locus RNases. *The Plant Cell* **8**, 805–814.

Zhou JL, Wang F, Ma WS, Zhang YS, Han B, Xue YB. 2003. Structural and transcriptional analysis of S-locus F-box genes in. *Antirrhinum. Sexual Plant Reproduction* **16**, 165–177.