

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Chem Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 January 1

Published in final edited form as: *Chem Sci.* 2011 ; 4(2): 573–589. doi:10.1039/C0SC00394H.

Metallacycle-Mediated Cross-Coupling with Substituted and Electronically Unactivated Alkenes

Holly A. Reichard and Glenn C. Micalizio^a

^a The Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute; Scripps–Florida, 130 Scripps Way #3A2, Jupiter, FL 33418, USA. Fax: 561 228 3092; Tel: 561 228 2463; micalizio@scripps.edu

Abstract

This perspective surveys the history of- and recent advances in- metallacycle-mediated coupling chemistry of substituted alkenes. While the reaction of preformed metal– π complexes with ethylene was reported nearly 30 years ago, the generalization of this mode of bimolecular C–C bond formation to the regio- and stereoselective union of complex substrates has only recently begun to emerge. This perspective discusses early observations in this area, the challenges associated with controlling such processes, the evolution of a general strategy to overcome these challenges, and a summary of highly regio- and stereoselective convergent coupling reactions that are currently available by metallacycle-mediated cross-coupling with substituted alkenes.

1. Introduction

The chemical sciences are advancing at an impressive pace, with frequent reports describing novel patterns of reactivity and selectivity in organic chemistry. While contributions that impact synthesis at the tactical level are abundant, those that offer advances at the strategic level (via the establishment of novel bond constructions) are rare, yet have a unique and perhaps more significant impact on the evolution of chemical synthesis.¹ Modern advances in this vein include asymmetric olefin oxidation,² metal-catalyzed cross-coupling (Pd-, Ni-, and Cu- catalysis),³ and olefin metathesis chemistry.⁴ While much recent attention has been given to the manner in which these processes proceed (i.e. reagent stoichiometry), their broad impact in chemical synthesis is arguably derived from the novel synthetic transformations that they define. It is from this perspective that the current review is written, presenting a brief history of- and recent advances in- metallacycle mediated cross-coupling of substituted alkenes.

Bimolecular, or convergent, C–C bond formation defines the backbone of organic synthesis, and chemical advances that provide new avenues for such bond construction can broadly impact strategic planning in organic synthesis. It is widely accepted that points of convergency (enabled by such processes) in synthesis pathways greatly enhance efficiency and throughput, in part by decreasing the longest linear sequence of steps required to prepare a target. Despite their rather central role in chemistry, one can argue that only a few general modes of reactivity are typically exploited for such bond construction:

- 1. Nucleophilic substitution,
- **2.** Nucleophilic addition to a polarized π -bond,

Correspondence to: Glenn C. Micalizio.

- 3. Cycloaddition,
- 4. Metal-catalyzed cross-coupling, and more recently,
- 5. Crossed olefin metathesis.

While carbometalation chemistry of alkenes and alkynes (Figure 1A) could be considered as another mode of reactivity suitable to be included in this list, such processes are often significantly limited in substrate scope.⁵ This rather general statement is supported by the accepted difficulty associated with carbometalation of highly substituted and electronically unactivated π -systems (i.e. those that are not highly polarized), and the challenges associated with the control of site- and stereoselectivity in the C–C bond-forming event. Despite these barriers to utility, significant advances have been made in harnessing this mode of reactivity for the functionalization of alkynes.⁶ Unfortunately, similar success has not been realized in the related transformations of substituted and electronically unactivated alkenes. The focus of this *Perspective* is to present the potential utility of metallacycle-mediated bond construction for such transformations (Figure 1B), outline a selected historical account of advances in the field, and conclude by highlighting a collection of synthetic methods that have emerged from our studies in this area (Figure 2; eqs 1-6):

- 1. Stereodefined alkenes from homoallylic alcohol-alkyne coupling,
- 2. 1,4-dienes from allylic alcohol–alkyne coupling,
- 3. (*Z*)-trisubstituted alkenes by allylic alcohol–vinylsilane coupling,
- 4. Stereodefined 1,5-amino alcohols through homoallylic alcohol-imine coupling,
- 5. Homoallylic amines via allylic alcohol-imine coupling,
- **6.** Skipped dienes or trienes from the coupling of vinylcyclopropanes with vinylsilanes or alkynes.

2. Background and Challenges

2.1 Initial observations in metal-centered [2+2+1] for alkene functionalization

An early example of metallacycle-mediated coupling of alkenes is the Pauson-Khand reaction, where treatment of a preformed Co–alkyne complex and a reactive alkene result in the formation of a cyclopentenone (Figure 3).⁷ While this process was initially reported in the context of intermolecular annulation, the utility of the intramolecular Pauson Khand reaction has far exceeded the bimolecular process in part due to the challenges with controlling regioselection.⁸ That said, strategies are emerging to control a subset of intermolecular variations of this cyclopentenone annulation.⁹

The development of related metal-centered [2+2+1] chemistry for alkene functionalization based on the reactivity of Group (IV) metals soon followed. In 1974, Whitesides hypothesized that the reaction of ethylene with Cp₂TiN=NTiCp₂ (**2**) leads to an equilibrium mixture of a saturated titanacyclopentane (**3**) and the corresponding bis-ethylene complex (**4**) (Figure 4).¹⁰ Two years later, he demonstrated the ability to dimerize alkenes through the *in situ* reduction of Cp₂TiCl₂ with Li-naphthalenide, formation of intermediate metallacyclopentanes (**6**), and carbonylation *en route* to substituted cyclopentanones (**7**).¹¹ Soon thereafter, Grubbs described a dimerization process that proceeded by exposure of a preformed metallacyclopentane (**3**) to an excess of the terminal olefin of interest (**8**).¹² Overall, hydrocarbon products of homodimerization (**10**) resulted via olefin exchange with the preformed titanacyclopentane. While these initial reports documented the interesting behavior of metallacyclopentanes based on Cp₂Ti, their apparent limitation to homodimerization of terminal- or strained alkenes greatly constrained their potential impact in synthetic organic chemistry. A significant advance was described by Erker in 1979 that documented the ability to control this mode of reactivity for effective cross-coupling reactions of benzyne.¹³ As depicted in Figure 5, mild heating of Cp₂ZrPh₂ (11) resulted in the formation of benzyne-Zr complex (12) that, when exposed to a terminal alkene, underwent cross-coupling to generate a substituted metallaindane (13). Interestingly, exposure of this complex to strained or unhindered terminal alkenes resulted in an olefin exchange reaction, presumably via regeneration of a reactive Zr-benzyne complex (12) and subsequent carbometalation (\rightarrow 14).

The conclusion of this discussion, regarding the early development of metal-centered [2+2+1] chemistry as a means for cross-coupling of alkenes, is suitably focused on contributions from the Bercaw laboratory. After confirming the structure of a $(Cp^*)_2$ Ti-ethylene complex by X-ray diffraction, Bercaw and coworkers demonstrated that this organometallic species was a viable intermediate for the generation of saturated metallacyclopentanes (17).¹⁴ Unfortunately, while insertion of ethylene was effective, the reaction was not suitable for higher olefins. That said, carbometalation of a range of other π -systems, including internal alkynes (i.e. \rightarrow 18), nitriles, carbon dioxide and acetaldehyde were successful.

By 1985, a series of early observations regarding the basic reactivity of Cp₂Ti- and Cp₂Zrin metallacycle-mediated bimolecular C–C bond formation had been reported. However, the use of this chemistry in organic synthesis was restricted to: 1) cross-coupling of benzene with terminal or strained alkenes, and 2) reductive cross-coupling reactions of ethylene.

2.2 The emergence of more general cross-coupling procedures: Cp_2Zr - and Cp_2Ti - mediated alkyne-alkene and imine-alkene coupling

Over the next fifteen years, progress from Erker's Zr–benzyne and metallaindane chemistry (illustrated in Figure 5) fueled the development of some general classes of cross-coupling based on the chemistry of zirconacyclopropenes and azazirconacyclopropanes – prepared from the decomposition of $Cp_2Zr(Me)$ -R complexes (Figure 6A-D).¹⁵ Here, Buchwald demonstrated that intermediate zirconacyclopropanes undergo bimolecular coupling with ethylene and a small subset of unhindered terminal alkenes. As previously established by Erker, the regiochemistry of insertion with terminal alkenes was such that C–C bond formation occured at the internal carbon of the terminal alkene (i.e. **27** and **30**).

Overall, the contributions depicted in Figure 6 defined a significant step forward from the initial findings of Whitesides, Grubbs, Erker, and Bercaw. These advances provided a means to overcome the problems associated with homodimerization of alkenes or alkynes in Group (IV) metal-mediated coupling, yet substantial barriers associated with substrate scope and selectivity remained unanswered for years to come:

- 1. Can the control of regiochemistry in metallacycle-mediated cross-coupling between two unsymmetrical systems be general, where regioselection is observed in the functionalization of each unsymmetrical π -system? Very few examples of such complex coupling chemistry had been described (for one example, see Figure 6D).
- 2. Can metal-centered [2+2+1] chemistry be utilized in coupling reactions that engage complex (substituted and branched) alkenes? To date, nearly all reported olefin functionalization reactions based on metal-centered [2+2+1] chemistry employ ethylene as a substrate, with only a handful exploring the reactivity of terminal unbranched olefins.

- **4.** Related to the question above, if internal alkenes could be employed, how could relative and/or absolute stereochemistry be controlled in the insertion process?
- **5.** From a practical perspective, is there a means to avoid the use of sensitive solid reagents required to generate the metallacyclopropane intermediates (i.e. without the requirement of glovebox techniques)?
- **6.** Could one get away from the requirement of using metallated substrates (vinyl- and aryl- Grignard and organolithiums) as starting materials? Substrate scope could be markedly enhanced if coupling reactions did not require that one of the substrates be a highly reactive organometallic.

2.3 Metallacycle-mediated functionalization of allylic- and homoallylic alcohols and ethers

In the 1990's, a number of reports surfaced describing metallacycle-mediated functionalization of allylic- and homoallylic alcohols/ethers. Among the first of these, described by Hoveyda and coworkers, was Zr-catalyzed and Zr-promoted addition reactions of EtMgCl to stereodefined allylic and homoallylic systems.¹⁶ As illustrated in Figure 7, Zr-catalyzed addition of EtMgCl to **31a** produces the *syn*-product **32** with up to 95:5 levels of diastereoselection, while the same addition reaction to the allylic ether **31b** delivers the *anti*-product **33** with selectivities up to $\geq 99:1$. Interestingly, these authors found that a related stoichiometric process proceeds with an opposite sense of regioselection (**36** \rightarrow **37** + **38**). Here, the major products derive from formal S_N2' alkylation. While no comment was made concerning the stereochemistry of the product mixture from this reaction, the related Cp₂Zr-promoted coupling of an allylic benzyl ether (**40**) with a TMS-alkyne (**41**) delivered a nearly 1:1 mixture of stereoisomeric 1,4-dienes (**42** + **43**).¹⁷ The lack of stereoselection associated with these Zr-promoted processes has been uniformly observed with related metal-promoted transformations: 1) Ta-promoted coupling of alkynes with allylic alcohols,¹⁸ and 2) Ti-promoted coupling of Grignard reagents with allylic alcohols.¹⁹

As illustrated in Figure 8, similar addition reactions of EtMgCl have been realized with homoallylic alcohols and ethers.^{16c} Zr-catalyzed ethylmagnesiation of homoallylic alcohol **45a** or **45b** provided the *anti* product **46**, albeit with varying levels of stereoselection (from 92:8 to \geq 95:5).

In studies focused on the use of TaCl₅ for metallacycle-mediated bond construction, Utimoto has reported the cross-coupling of internal alkynes with homoallylic alcohols (Figure 8).²⁰ Formation of a Ta–alkyne complex (**51**) was followed by the addition of a homoallylic alkoxide to generate an intermediate fused bicyclyic metallacycle **52**. Interestingly, the regiochemical course of this coupling reaction (with respect to the alkene) is opposite to that seen in related Cp₂Zr- and Cp₂Ti-mediated chemistry (see Figure 6C and D). Unfortunately, this alkoxide-directed coupling reaction proved to be significantly limited in substrate scope. As in all other bimolecular carbometalation reactions of electronically unactivated alkenes, this Ta-promoted coupling reaction was limited to the functionalization of terminal alkenes.

2.4 Metal-catalyzed Alder-Ene chemistry for alkyne–alkene cross-coupling

The Alder-Ene reaction represents an alternative metallacycle-mediated process for the functionalization of alkenes, where bimolecular C–C bond formation is accompanied by the formation of a 1,4-diene.²¹ Ru-catalysis has rendered a collection of Alder-Ene chemistry between monosubstituted alkenes and terminal alkynes possible under relatively mild conditions (Figure 9; $53 + 54 \rightarrow 55$). The application of this type of bimolecular coupling

reaction is often challenging in complex settings, in some cases requiring an extensive screen of substrates, solvent and additive to produce high yields and levels of regioselection $(56 + 57 \rightarrow 58)$.²² Recent advances in this area of cross-coupling include the development of reaction conditions suitable for the regioselective coupling of internal alkynes with terminal alkenes (i.e. 60 and 63).²³ Finally, novel catalysts based on Co have emerged that define similarly effective regioselective Alder-Ene reactions between conjugated alkynes and terminal alkenes ($65 + 66 \rightarrow 67$).²⁴

2.5 Ni-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions

Recently, progress in the chemistry of Ni has led to the elucidation of reaction pathways for the coupling of terminal (monosubstituted) alkenes **68** with aldehydes (Figure 9). In coupling reactions promoted by 20 mol% of Ni(cod)₂ along with 40 mol% of dicyclohexylphenylphosphine, a mixture of regio and stereoisomeric products **70** and **71** (rs = 2:1 to 5:1) result in yields ranging from 45-95%.²⁵ While advances have been described in an effort to address regioselection, a few notable characteristics of this method warrant consideration: 1) yields are optimal when the alkene is used as co-solvent, 2) Ni(cod)₂ is a sensitive starting material (glovebox techniques were reported for experiment set up), and 3) while the reactions are substoichiometric in Ni(cod)₂, turnover numbers typically range from 2 to 5, thereby requiring a significant quantity of a toxic and sensitive metal reagent.

In related studies, it was discovered that replacing Cy₂PhP with EtOPPh₂ in the coupling of terminal alkenes with aldehydes altered the regiochemical course of C–C bond formation. ^{25b,c} This variation of the coupling protocol delivers homoallylic silyl ethers with regioselection up to 95:5; unfortunately, the alkene is typically generated with low levels of stereoselection (*E:Z* from 2:1 to ca. 5:1 when R¹ = alkyl).²⁶

Most recently, a modified Ni(cod)₂-catalyzed coupling reaction was reported for allylic substitution of simple alkenes.²⁷ While most examples to date describe addition reactions of ethylene to allylic ethers and carbonates, a few coupling processes were reported with terminal (monosubstituted) alkenes (Figure 11). As seen in other Ni-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions with alkenes, a large excess of the terminal alkene is required.

2.6 Ta-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions

Tantalum-catalyzed cross-coupling of secondary amines to alkenes represents a unique collection of metallacycle-mediated bimolecular C–C bond-forming processes.^{28a,b} Early accomplishments in this area by Maspero and Nugent led to bond formation, but reactions were plagued with generally low yields, extended reaction times, and low catalyst turnover. Recently, Herzon and Hartwig described significant advances in this area. First, 4-8 mol% Ta[N(CH₃)₂]₅ was found to promote coupling of *N*-methyl aniline with a range of alkenes at 160-165 °C.^{28c} While requiring only 1.25 equiv of alkene, coupled products were formed in 66-96% yields in 27-67 h (eq 1). Subsequently, these authors reported that this type of coupling reaction was possible with a range of secondary amines using 2 mol% of $[TaCl_3(NEt_2)_2]_2$ (eq 2).^{28d}



* 1,1-disubstituted alkenes were compatible, providing products containing a quaternary carbon.

Chem Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 January 1.

(1)



R¹ = -(CH₂)₂Ph, *n*-hexyl, cyclohexyl, *n*-Pr, *i*-Pr, Et, benzyl

(2)

2.7 Summary of background

While effort has been taken to highlight a collection of metallacycle-mediated alkene functionalization reactions, we recognize that this discussion is not comprehensive. Rather, the selected examples serve to document some of the most relevant history and shed light on the relatively small subset of metallacycle-mediated coupling reactions that are suitable for complex stereoselective fragment coupling. What should be immediately evident is that this entire class of intermolecular C–C bond forming processes is significantly limited in scope – a limitation that is independent of the nature of the metal employed, or whether that metal is used in a substoichiometric fashion.

2.7 Summary of Challenges

Metallacycle-mediated bond construction for the functionalization of alkenes has great potential to define powerful fragment union reactions for convergent synthesis. To realize this potential, a variety of problems must be overcome that currently limit this class of chemical reactivity to a boutique collection of coupling processes. While much attention has been given to rendering metallacycle-mediated coupling chemistry substoichiometric with respect to the central metal component, major limitations in this broad area of chemical reactivity are independent of such considerations. To date, the development of metallacyclemediated coupling chemistry of alkenes is truly in its infancy, with most reactions defining effective solutions to the functionalization of ethylene. In fact, only a handful of reactions are currently available for complex fragment union, and these reside firmly in the coupling chemistry of terminal alkenes with alkynes via Alder-Ene chemistry.

The major barriers that complicate all reaction development in this area are:

- 1. Low levels of reactivity of substituted olefins in metallacycle-mediated chemistry. Not only are substituted olefins poor substrates for the formation of metal $-\pi$ complexes, they are also poor substrates for reactions with preformed metal $-\pi$ complexes.
- 2. *Control of regioselection.* While reactivity issues stand first and foremost as a barrier to achieving novel bond construction processes with alkenes, if one could access sufficient reactivity to employ substituted alkenes in metallacycle-mediated coupling, regioselectivity arises as a major unadressed challenge.
- **3.** *Control of diastereoselection.* Similarly, if one could use substituted alkenes in metallacycle-mediated bond construction, the issue of diastereoselection emerges as an additional unsolved problem.

3.1. Our Goal

In designing a general process to overcome the limitations of pre-existing methods in reductive cross-coupling technology, we targeted a metal-based system that could be used for the stoichiometric pre-activation of one of the coupling partners – a strategy that would provide a general solution to the control of cross-coupling over homodimerization. Given this initial design criteria, the metal-based system needed to be: 1) inexpensive, 2) non-toxic, and 3) result in byproducts that are both non-toxic and easy to remove from the products of interest. In addition, we favored the selection of a metallic system compatible with a range of Lewis-basic functionality, as we aimed to develop coupling reactions of utility in complex molecule synthesis (i.e. natural product synthesis). Furthermore, we desired a system that would be capable of forging C-C bonds in the presence of unprotected heteroatom-based functionality. Finally, we set out to devise a collection of heteroatom-directed reductive cross-coupling reactions where the control of reactivity and selectivity would be possible based on the strategic placement of a suitable directing group.²⁹

3.2. The selection of a titanium alkoxide-based system

Given our goal of providing chemical methods useful for the convergent synthesis of complex natural products, we desired a system capable of being directed by functionality commonly found embedded in the backbone of such systems. Based on the prevalence of oxygen and nitrogen functionality in natural products of biomedical relevance, we focused our efforts on defining a collection of directed reductive cross-coupling methods where such functionality could serve to direct C-C bond formation - in preference to functionality based on phosphorous, sulfur, aromatic heterocycles or simple π -unsaturation (i.e. a remote alkene).³⁰

To identify a suitable system based on these requirements, one needs to consider only a handful of relevant precedent. First, the ability of Ti alkoxides to undergo rapid and reversible ligand exchange has been well-showcased in the Sharpless epoxidation.^{2a,b} Second, $Cp_2Ti-\pi$ complexes are known to participate in reductive coupling chemistry.^{10-12, 14} In fact, this type of system was first demonstrated in the reductive dimerization of diphenylacetylene, later studied by Bercaw,¹⁴ and subsequently employed in a variety of intramolecular reductive coupling reactions.³¹ Finally, the pioneering studies of Kulinkovich and Rothwell demonstrated that titanium aryloxides and titanium alkoxides could be employed to access similar reactivity seen with $Cp_2Ti-\pi$ complexes.³² Subsequent investigation of the chemistry of Ti-alkoxides in metallacycle-mediated C–C bond-forming processes, primarily in the laboratories of Professors Sato and Cha, has led to the discovery and development of a wide range of novel reactions.³³ While the contributions to date have established a solid foundation of Ti-mediated metallacycle-based bond constructions in organic chemistry, the previously described barriers related to the control of reactivity and selectivity have remained firmly in place.

As we further considered the use of $Ti(Oi-Pr)_4$ as a stoichiometric component of our reaction design, issues of cost and toxicity were at the forefront of our thoughts. First, the byproducts from aqueous work-up of stoichiometric $Ti(Oi-Pr)_4$ -mediated coupling reactions (i.e. the Kulinkovich reaction) are *i*-PrOH and TiO_2 . Fortunately *i*-PrOH is a relatively benign solvent and TiO_2 is a species encountered daily in most of our lives, as it is a component of products like toothpaste, chewing gum, sunscreen and paint. The accompanying reducing metal typically employed alongside the titanium(IV) alkoxide is a Grignard reagent, the byproducts of which are simple magnesium salts and hydrocarbons.

Although we will describe Ti(O*i*-Pr)₄-based reductive cross-coupling methods that provide a means to couple π -systems not currently possible with catalytic methods, a cost analysis between projected use of stoichiometric Ti(O*i*-Pr)₄ versus known catalysts (based on Zr, Ni, Rh and Ru) for metallacycle-mediated functionalization of alkenes is informative.³⁴ If one were to conduct a hypothetical metallacycle-mediated coupling reaction on a 1 mole scale, stoichiometric use of Ti(O*i*-Pr)₄ would cost \$18 (Strem 2008) while the catalytic use of 20 mol% Ni(cod)₂ would cost over \$1,000 (Strem 2008). In the case of Zr-catalyzed transformations, 5 mol% Cp₂ZrCl₂ would be competitive with stoichiometric use of Ti(O*i*-Pr)₄ (\$27 – Strem 2008). Alternatively, if a CpRu(cod)Cl-promoted reaction was performed at the typically published 5 mol%, this catalyst would have to cost ca. \$1/g in order to compete with the stoichiometric use of Ti(O*i*-Pr)₄.³⁵

The challenges associated with the development of metal-catalyzed C–C bond formation is a significant and popular concern in current organic chemistry. While much scientific inquiry has embraced this pursuit, our interests were focused on defining a broad class of reductive cross-coupling reactions that extend beyond the basic bond constructions afforded by current methods. Given the considerations described above that are based on reaction design, cost, toxicity, and the ease with which $Ti(Oi-Pr)_4$ can be handled, we embraced a program aimed at defining alkoxide-directed reductive cross-coupling reactions mediated by stoichiometric $Ti(Oi-Pr)_4$ as a strategy to overcome the barriers that have broadly limited all intermolecular metallacycle-mediated coupling reactions.

3.3. The design of alkoxide-directed coupling reactions of alkenes

Two strategies were conceived for alkoxide-directed metallacycle-mediated coupling of alkenes. First, we imagined preformation of a Ti–olefin complex followed by alkoxide-directed coupling with a second π -system (Figure 12A). This reaction design was thought to define a very limited subset of synthetically useful fragment coupling reactions for the following reasons: 1) internal alkenes are typically not effective for the generation of metallacyclopropanes,³⁶ 2) even if producing titanacyclopropanes from disubstituted alkenes was efficient, a method for the control of stereochemistry at each Ti–C bond would have to be established, and 3) while directed carbometalation with unsaturated alkoxides (**77**) was anticipated to deliver metallacyclopentane products, regiochemical control with respect to the alkene (**75**) would likely be a function of the differential steric environment around the preformed metallacyclopropane **76**.

Alternatively, we imagined that introduction of the alkene as the second π -system in a metallacycle-mediated cross-coupling could have significant advantages (Figure 12B). First, the formation of the initial Ti– π complex could be achieved with established protocols (i.e. internal alkyne, terminal alkene, imine). Second, the intramoleculare nature of the carbometalation process, achieved by transient formation of a mixed titanate ester between **81** and **82**, should provide a means to achieve bond constructions typically not accessible in bimolecular processes.³⁷ Third, the regiochemical control of alkene functionalization would be based on the position of a pendant hydroxyl. Finally, stereochemical control in the carbometalation of the alkene was thought feasible by translation of stereochemical information from the allylic and/or homoallylic positions of **82**.

4. New Regio- and Stereoselective Metallacycle-Mediated Coupling Reactions of Alkenes

4.1. Ti-mediated coupling of homoallylic alcohols with internal alkynes

We initiated our investigations with the coupling of homoallylic alcohols and internal alkynes. As depicted in Figure 13, *in-situ* formation of a Ti–alkyne complex **85** (alkyne,

 $Ti(Oi-Pr)_4$, $c-C_5H_9MgCl$) would be followed by addition of a suitably functionalized alkene (84). Metal-centered [2+2+1] would then furnish functionalized metallacyclopentenes (86 or 87), protonation of which would deliver cross-coupled product 88 or 89.

As illustrated in Figure 14, our initial studies into this reaction process confirmed that the order of bond forming processes targeted was sufficient to overcome the poor levels of reactivity associated with substituted alkenes.³⁸ Here, the structures of all products isolated (i.e. **90-95**) were consistent with the formation of a fused bicyclic titanacyclopentene (i.e. **86**; Figure 13), delivering products where C–C bond formation occurred distal to the pendant hydroxyl. This coupling reaction was demonstrated to be compatible with a range of alkene substitution, however, with alkenes bearing terminal substituents that were α -branched (i.e. *i*-Pr), the reaction was not effective. The coupling of a hydroxymethyl-substituted cyclohexene and a symmetrical alkyne provided additional evidence that this C–C bond-forming reaction was directed by the neighboring hydroxyl group. Here, **93** was formed with very high levels of regio- and stereoselection (C–C bond formation occurred *syn*- to the neighboring hydroxymethyl substituent).

As evidenced by the highly selective formation of **94** and **95**, this alkene–alkyne coupling reaction can be used for highly complex fragment coupling reactions. Chiral homoallylic alcohols were coupled with a chiral and unsymmetrically substituted internal alkyne. In each case, no evidence for the production of minor stereoisomeric products was observed, indicating that the cross-coupling process proceeded with very high levels of regioselection *with respect to both unsymmetrically substituted* π -systems, while also occuring with very high levels of diastereoselection (presumably derived from the minimization of A-1,3 strain in the (*Z*)-homoallylic alcohol starting materials – not shown).

Recently, this regioselective alkene–alkyne cross-coupling reaction was a central feature of a novel convergent pathway to substituted spiroketals (Figure 15).³⁹ Here, TMS-substituted alkynes, derived from a formal hydroalkynylation of a homoallylic ether, were coupled to stereodefined homoallylic alcohols to deliver intermediate vinylsilanes. Subsequent oxidative cleavage and acid-promoted spiroacetylization then delivered complex stereodefined spiroketals (i.e. **98**, **101**, **103** and **106**) that contained a substitution pattern that would be quite challenging to prepare with available aldol- and dithiane-based methods. In all cases, the central Ti-mediated alkene–alkyne coupling reaction proceeded with high levels of regio- and stereocontrol (no evidence was found for the production of isomeric products).

4.2. Ti-mediated coupling of homoallylic alcohols with imines

While azatitanacyclopropanes have been prepared from imines,⁴⁰ and demonstrated to participate in metallacycle-mediated coupling chemistry with terminal alkynes, their potential utility in alkene functionalization had not been demonstrated. This absence was thought to be related to the particularly low levels of reactivity associated with these complexes, as bimolecular carbometalation reactions with internal alkynes are known to be challenging.⁴⁰ Building on our success with homoallylic alcohol–alkyne coupling, we speculated that a similar reaction strategy may be effective for the functionalization of imines (Figure 16). Here, selective formation of a fused bicyclic azametallacyclopentane would be coupled to a complex stereochemical issue that ultimately results in the formation of the substituted 1,5-aminoalcohol **110**.

Our initial study of this alkoxide-directed cross-coupling reaction began with evaluation of reactivity and relative stereoselection for the coupling of a series of simple achiral homoallylic alcohols with aromatic imines.⁴¹ As illustrated in Table 1, this coupling reaction proved effective for the union of terminal-, (Z)-, (E)-, and 1,1-disubstituted alkenes.

Interestingly, the coupling reactions of the (*Z*)-, and (*E*)-disubstituted alkenes proceeded with exquisite levels of stereoselection and delivered *anti*- products with $\ge 20:1$ selectivity (in neither instance was any evidence found for the production of regio- or diastereomeric products). In the case of 1,1-disubstituted alkenes, similarly high levels of regioselection were observed, albeit with dimished levels of stereoselection. In this case the *syn*- isomer **122** was produced as the major product alongside minor quantities of the *anti*-product (dr = 4:1). As demonstrated, these coupling reactions define a convergent stereoselective path to the assembly of substituted piperidines (**114, 117, 120**, and **123**) by a simple two-step sequence: 1) reductive cross-coupling, and 2) cyclization (PPh₃, imidazole, CCl₄).

The origin of stereoselection in this coupling reaction remains under investigation, yet the observed selectivity is consistent with a proposal based on kinetically controlled C–C bond formation. As illustrated in Figure 17, *anti*-selectivity in the coupling of (*E*)- or (*Z*)- alkenes is consistent with an empirical model whereby non-bonded steric-interactions about the developing C–C bond are minimized. Here, avoiding eclipsing interactions between the alkene- and imine-substituent are reasoned to result in the observed stereoconvergence. In the coupling reaction of 1,1-disubstituted alkenes, stereoselection is thought to derive from the preferred orientation of the imine substituent in the cis-fused bicyclo[3.3.0] transition state geometry **F**.

While it is well-established that saturated metallacyclopentanes can be in rapid equilibrium with expulsion of an alkene and generation of a metallacyclopropane,⁴² recent investigations have provided support for the proposition that the coupling reactions depicted in Table 1 proceed under reaction conditions that do not promote such fragmentation.⁴³ These observations are consistent with the assumed underlying principles that govern the empirical model depicted in Figure 17.

The imine–allylic alcohol coupling reaction can also be conducted in an asymmetric fashion. As highlighted in Table 2 entries 1-3, single asymmetric coupling reactions proceeded with very high levels of stereoselection, defining a unique stereoselective convergent coupling process for the synthesis of optically active 1,5-aminoalcohols and substituted piperidines. Interestingly, this coupling process is also highly effective in a double asymmetric mode. Coupling of the chiral homoallylic alcohols **129** and **132** with a chiral imine, delivers either the 1,5-*syn*-1,4-*anti*-1,5-aminoalcohol product **130** with \geq 50:1 selectivity, or the 1,5 - *anti*-1,4-*syn*-1,5-aminoalcohol product **133** with high, but somewhat lower, selectivity (dr = 34:4:1). Conversion to chiral tetrasubstituted piperidines **131** and **134** was possible by a sequence consisting of: 1) selective reductive removal of the phenylglycine motif, 2) formation of a sulfonamide, and 3) cyclization.

4.3. Ti-mediated coupling of allylic alcohols with alkynes

While allylic alcohols and ethers have been defined as substrates for metallacycle-mediated coupling, transformations that proceed with allylic transposition have been uniformly unselective, delivering products as mixtures of olefin isomers.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ In an effort to define a series of stereoselective and synthetically useful cross-coupling reactions based on this mode of reactivity, we aimed to design a means to control the regio- and stereochemical course of such processes. As illustrated in Figure 18, we speculated that alkoxide-directed carbometalation may provide a means to access a rigid boat-like transition state geometry *en route* to a fused bicyclo-[3.2.0] system (**135**). Here, the boat-like geometry would be enforced by the mechanistic requirements for bond formation that include: 1) ligand exchange at Ti, and 2) alignment between σ_{Ti-C} with $\pi_{C=C}$. Once achieved, the resulting fused metallacycle was anticipated to undergo a stereospecific *syn*-elimination akin to that proposed in the Tebbe olefination.⁴⁴ In this manner, the relative stereochemistry of the oxatitanacyclobutane intermediate should correlate to the alkene geometry in the product.

Our initial studies in this area resulted in the elucidation of highly stereoselective coupling reactions for the synthesis of 1,4-dienes.⁴⁵ As depicted in Figure 19, (*Z*)-allylic alcohol starting materials (**137**) can be selectively coupled with internal alkynes (**138**) to deliver 1,4-dienes possessing one (*E*)-disubstituted alkene, one (*E*)-trisubstituted alkene, and a central C3-substituent (\mathbb{R}^2 ; **139**).

Alternatively, the stereochemical course of this cross-coupling reaction can be controlled by minimization of A-1,2 strain. Here, allylic alcohols bearing 1,1-disubstituted alkenes (140), are coupled to internal alkynes (138) *en route* to 1,4-dienes that contain one (Z)-trisubstituted alkene (141; Figure 20).

While these initial studies defined the first highly stereoselective coupling reactions of its kind, recent studies have shed light on chemoselectivity associated with this process.⁴⁶ As depicted in Table 3, 1,5-dienes that possess one alkene that is part of an allylic alcohol functionality, and one alkene that is part of a homoallylic alcohol motif, can be employed in highly selective coupling reactions. When the diene substrates have related levels of substitution, highly chemo- and stereoselective cross-coupling is observed at the allylic alcohol, resulting in the formation of stereodefined skipped polyenes (144, 147, 149, 151, and 153).

This coupling process has been demonstrated to be of great utility in natural product synthesis. As depicted in Figure 21, the first total synthesis and structure elucidation of a phorbasin was accomplished by a chemical pathway that employed an allylic alcohol–alkyne coupling reaction to establish the oxygenated cyclohexene core.⁴⁷ In this process, a highly stereoselective addition reaction, controlled by the stereochemistry of the allylic alcohol **154**, was coupled to a bond construction where high levels of site-selectivity for C–C bond formation with respect to each reaction component was observed. In an unrelated campaign in total synthesis, a late stage allylic alcohol–alkyne coupling reaction was used to establish the stereodefined skipped polyene backbone of lehualide B.⁴⁸ Interestingly, the potentially sensitive γ -pyrone moiety of **157** was compatible with this metallacycle mediated coupling process.

4.4. Ti-mediated coupling of allylic alcohols with vinylsilanes: A stereochemically orthogonal reaction to the Claisen rearrangement

The unique stereochemical course of the alkyne–allylic alcohol coupling reaction, where 1,1-disubstituted olefins delivered (Z)-trisubstituted alkene-containing 1,4-diene products, prompted us to consider the potential of a related coupling reaction for the stereoselective synthesis of isolated alkenes. While Claisen chemistry has been established as a strategy for the synthesis of stereodefined trisubstituted olefins, this process typically delivers (E)-trisubstituted alkenes with high levels of stereochemical control. We wondered whether a Ti-promoted reductive coupling process could define a stereochemically orthogonal pathway to related products.

As depicted in Figure 22, use of chlorodimethylvinylsilane in titanium alkoxide-mediated reductive cross-coupling with allylic alcohols bearing 1,1-disubstituted alkenes was anticipated to deliver products containing a (*Z*)-trisubstituted alkene (**160**). This expectation was in accord with the empirical model for the allylic alcohol–alkyne coupling reaction previously discussed. As depicted in Figure 23, this coupling reaction proceeds with uniformly high levels of stereoselection, and delivers products not accessible with modern methods based on the Claisen-rearrangement (**161-166**).⁴⁹

4.5. Ti-mediated coupling of allylic alcohols with imines, a unique pathway to stereodefined homoallylic amines

Based on the high degree of stereochemical control observed in the reductive cross-coupling reactions of substituted allylic alcohols with alkynes and vinylsilanes, we wondered whether the aspects of stereochemical control observed in homoallylic alcohol–imine coupling chemistry would translate to a new coupling process. In short, we anticipated that preformation of a Ti–imine complex, followed by addition of an allylic alkoxide would result in a highly controlled allyl transfer process proceeding by way of directed carbometalation and syn-elimination. We recognized that this subset of cross-coupling would be significantly more complex than previously discussed allylic alcohol-based transformations, as stereochemical control would have to be addressed in the functionalization of each reaction component.

The following discussion breaks down our observations in this interesting coupling reaction by basic considerations associated with product structure and control of the bimolecular process:

Imine prenylation—While our initial investigations demonstrated that Ti-mediated reductive cross-coupling of allylic alcohols with imines was successful in delivering homoallylic amines ($111 + 167 \rightarrow 168$; Figure 24),⁵⁰ this basic coupling reaction is possible with a host of methods based on the reactivity of allylic organometallic reagents. A significantly more difficult bond construction is the prenylation of imines. It is well known that allylic organometallic reagents are typically not useful for imine prenylation, as these reagents typically react to deliver products of inverse prenylation.⁵¹ Based on the unique mechanistic course of reductive cross-coupling reactions, we speculated that imine prenylation could derive from reaction with a simple commercially available tertiary allylic alcohol (169). In fact, these processes are equally effective with aromatic and aliphatic imines, delivering products of imine prenylation (170, 172, and 174) in 80-92% yield (rs \geq 20:1).

Imine allylation with control of relative stereochemistry—Reductive crosscoupling of a range of substituted allylic alcohols proceeds in a highly stereoselective manner (Figure 25).⁵⁰ As seen in previous coupling reactions of allylic alcohols bearing 1,1disubstituted alkenes, union of imine **111** with allylic alcohol **175** delivers a homoallylic amine product **176** possessing a (*Z*)-trisubstituted alkene in 87% yield. Interestingly, when the allylic alcohol contains an internal alkene (**177** or **179**), the reductive cross-coupling reaction proceeds with both high stereoselectivity for the formation of a substituted alkene product (*E*-disubstituted or *Z*-trisubstituted), and high *anti*-stereochemistry between the allylic and homoallylic stereocenters. This sense of stereoselection is consistent with the empirical models depicted in Figure 26.

Enantioselective imine allylation by reductive cross-coupling—Based on the emerging empirical model for stereochemical control of these imine–allylic alcohol reductive cross-coupling reactions, we speculated that asymmetric allyltransfer chemistry would be possible with chiral allylic alcohols. As depicted in Figure 27, complex asymmetric imine allylation proceeds with outstanding transfer of stereochemical information, in this case delivering the chiral homoallylic amine **178** with \geq 95% ee.⁵²

While a more thorough discussion of asymmetric reductive cross-coupling reactions of imines with allylic alcohols is not within the scope of this review, recent studies in our laboratory have found that a range of double asymmetric coupling processes are useful for perturbing the stereochemical course of these allyltransfer processes.

Application of allylic alcohol-imine coupling for the synthesis of

stereodefined heterocycles—The significant functional group tolerance of Ti-mediated reductive cross-coupling chemistry, in combination with the stereochemical control possible in alkoxide-directed processes, defines a fertile area for the development of new synthetic pathways to complex heterocycles. As illustrated in Figure 28A, a two-step process consisting of chemoselective coupling and intramolecular aza-Sakurai allylation delivers complex indolizines and quinolizidines from simple starting materials.⁵³ In an unrelated study, chemoselective coupling of allylic alcohols containing a pendent vinylhalide serves as the first step of a one-pot process for the synthesis of substituted lactams (Figure 28B).⁵⁴ Here, formation of the intermediate azametallacyclopentane, from the union of **111** with **185**, is followed by addition of water, then 2 mol% of a PdCl₂, 6 mol% of *t*-Bu₃P, Et₃N, and CO. Overall, an one-pot annulation results, delivering the fused γ -lactam **186** in 73% yield (dr ≥ 20 :1).

4.6. Ti-mediated coupling of vinylcyclopropanes with alkynes as a route to stereodefined skipped trienes

In a recent study aimed at defining novel stereoselective routes to the assembly of skipped trienes, we elucidated a stereospecific reductive cross-coupling reaction of vinylcyclopropanes with alkynes.⁵⁵ As depicted in Figure 29A, preformation of a Ti–alkyne complex, followed by alkoxide-directed carbometalation of a vinylcyclopropane was expected to deliver an unstable tricyclic metallacyclopentane. Decomposition of this intermediate (**189**) by a six electron process would then deliver, after protonation of the terminal organometallic product, a stereodefined skipped triene.

One representation of the power of this bond construction is shown in Figure 29B. Here, union of the stereodefined vinylcyclopropane **191** (itself derived from a four step-functionalization of a simple allylic alcohol) with a TMS-alkyne delivers the complex stereodefined skipped triene **193** in 82% yield based on recovered starting material. While the conversion for this transformation remained relatively low (36% isolated yield), the triene product was formed as a single isomer. Note that this bimolecular C–C bond-forming reaction delivers a skipped triene product that contains three stereodefined alkenes (one (*Z*)-disubstituted, one (*E*)-disubstituted, and one (*E*)-trisubstituted), while also harboring stereodefined substitution at each central sp³ carbon of the isolated 1,4-dienes.

In a brief study to explore the utility of this new reductive cross-coupling process, we pursued the synthesis of a collection of complex and diverse polyunsaturated fatty acids (**194-196**; Figure 29C). Interestingly, the stereochemical course of this coupling reaction is unique compared to the chemoselective reactions of 1,5-diene-3-ols described in Table 3, as all examples explored provide a central (E)- alkene (dior trisubstituted). While these polyunsaturated acyclic molecules may appear as relatively simple architectures, one should not overlook the complexity associated with these structures, or the difficulties that one would incur in attempted synthesis using available chemical methods.

Conclusions

Chemo-, regio- and stereoselective intermolecular C–C bond formation represents the backbone of organic synthesis. Despite the central role of these bond-forming processes in organic chemistry, relatively few general areas of chemical reactivity are typically employed for such bond construction. Carbometalation chemistry is one area of chemical reactivity with great potential to impact the manner in which complex molecules are forged. Unfortunately, major hurdles have stood in the way of advancing broadly useful methods in this area based on the often low reactivity of electronically unactivated alkenes and internal

alkynes, as well as the often harsh reaction conditions required to enable addition of preformed organometallic reagents to these systems.

While the reactivity of metallacyclopropanes in addition reactions to ethylene, and simple unfunctionalized terminal alkenes have been known for over 20 years, these reactions have not proven to have a significant impact on complex organic synthesis due to challenges asociated with the control of such processes. Recently, a program aimed at defining complex fragment union reactions based on the chemistry of metallacyclopropanes has resulted in the discovery of a collection of novel synthetic methods that, for the first time, harnesses the potential of reactive metallacyclopropanes for defining highly controlled bimolecular C–C bond forming reactions. In short, a series of alkoxide-directed Ti-mediated coupling reactions have been established as a means to achieve the synthesis of a range of complex functionality from fragment coupling reactions of homoallylic alcohols and allylic alcohols with alkynes, vinylsilanes and imines. We look forward to the growth of new reaction methods and applications in target-oriented synthesis that follow from these initial discoveries.

Notes and references

‡ Footnotes should appear here. These might include comments relevant to but not central to the matter under discussion, limited experimental and spectral data, and crystallographic data.

- a) Corey, EJ.; Cheng, X. The Logic of Chemical Synthesis. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; New York: 1989. p. 436b) Nicoloau, KC.; Sorensen, EJ. Classics in Total Synthesis. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim: 1996. p. 798
- a) Katsuki T, Sharpless KB. J Am Chem Soc. 1980; 102:5974. b) Katsuki T, Martin VS. Org React. 1996; 48:1. c) Kolb HC, VanNieuwenhze MS, Sharpless KB. Chem Rev. 1994; 94:2483. d) Wong OA, Shi Y. Chem Rev. 2009; 108:3958. [PubMed: 18590339]
- For recent reviews, see: a) de-Meijere, A.; Diederich, F. Metal-catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim: 2004. p. 938 b) Nicolaou KC, Bulger PG, Sarlah D. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2005; 44:4442. c) Negishi E. Bull Chem Soc Jpn. 2007; 80:233. d) Evano G, Blanchard N, Toumi M. Chem Rev. 2008; 108:3054. [PubMed: 18698737] e) Beletskaya IP, Cheprakov AV. Coordin Chem Rev. 2004; 248:2337.
- 4. For recent reviews, see: a) Hoveyda AH, Zhugralin AR. Nature. 2007; 450 b) Grubbs RH. Tetrahedron. 2004; 60:7117.. For a review of metathesis reactions in total synthesis, see: c) Nicolaou KC, Bulger PG, Sarlah D. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2005; 44:4490.. For a review of enyne metathesis, see: d) Diver ST, Giessert AJ. Chem Rev. 2004; 104:1317. [PubMed: 15008625] . For a review of olefin cross-metathesis, see: e) Connon SJ, Blechert S. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2003; 42:1900.
- For reviews see: a) Dénés F, Pérez-Luna A, Chemla F. Chem Rev. 2010; 110:2366. [PubMed: 20196544] b) Fallis AG, Forgione P. Tetrahedron. 2001; 57:5899. c) Marek I. J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans. 1999; 1:535. d) Nakamura E. Pure & Appl Chem. 1996; 68:123. e) Negishi E. Acc Chem Res. 1987; 20:65. f) Beletskaya IP, Cheprakov AV. Chem Rev. 2000; 100:3009. [PubMed: 11749313]
- For reviews, see: a) Normant JF, Alexakis A. Synthesis. 1981:841. b) Lipshutz BH, Sengupta S. Org React. 1992; 41:135.c) Marek, I.; Normant, JF. Metal-catalyzed Cross-coupling Reactions. Diederich, F.; Stang, PJ., editors. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim: 1998. p. 271-337.d) Reichard, HA.; McLaughlin, M.; Chen, MZ.; Micalizio, GC. Eur J Org Chem. 2010. p. 391
- 7. a) Khand IU, Knox GR, Pauson PL, Watts WE. J Chem Soc, Chem Commun. 1971:36. b) Khand IU, Knox GR, Pauson PL, Watts WE, Foreman MI. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans. 1973; 1:977.
- For recent reviews on metal-mediated [2+2+1] annulations, see: a) Croatt MP, Wender PA. Eur J Org Chem. 2010:19. b) Gibson SE, Stevenazzi A. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2003; 42:1800. c) Pauson PL. Tetrahedron. 1985; 41:5855.

- 9. For a recent review of the intermolecular Pauson-Khand annulation, see: a) Gibson SE, Mainolfi N. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2005; 44:3022.. For recent examples, see: b) Wender PA, Deschamps NM, Williams TJ. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2004; 43:3076.. For an intermolecular example of [2+2+1] between a 2,3-disubstituted diene and norbornene, see: c) Wender PA, Croatt MP, Deschamps NM. J Am Chem Soc. 2004; 126:5948. [PubMed: 15137743]
- 10. McDermott JX, Whitesides GM. J Am Chem Soc. 1974; 96:947.
- 11. McDermott JX, Wilson ME, Whitesides GM. J Am Chem Soc. 1976; 98:6529.
- 12. Grubbs RH, Miyashita A. Chem Commun. 1977:864.
- 13. Erker G, Kropp K. J Am Chem Soc. 1979; 101:3659.
- 14. a) Cohen SA, Auburn PR, Bercaw JE. J Am Chem Soc. 1983; 105:1136. b) Cohen SA, Bercaw JE. Organometallics. 1985; 4:1006.
- a) Buchwald SL, Lum RT, Dewan JC. J Am Chem Soc. 1986; 108:7441. b) Buchwald SL, Watson BT, Huffman JC. J Am Chem Soc. 1987; 109:2544. c) Buchwald SL, Watson BT, Wannamaker MW, Dewan JC. J Am Chem Soc. 1989; 111:4486. d) Cámpora J, Buchwald SL. Organometallics. 1993; 12:4182. e) Aoki K, Peat AJ, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 1998; 120:3068.
- 16. a) Hoveyda AH, Xu Z. J Am Chem Soc. 1991; 113:5079. b) Hoveyda AH, Xu Z, Morken JP, Houri AF. J Am Chem Soc. 1991; 113:8950. c) Houri AF, Didiuk MT, Xu Z, Horan NR, Hoveyda AH. J Am Chem Soc. 1993; 115:6614.
- 17. Suzuki N, Kondakov DY, Kageyama M, Kotora M, Hara R, Takahashi T. Tetrahedron. 1995; 51:4519.; stereoselection was enhanced if an allylic chloride was used in place of an allylic ether.
- 18. Takai K, Yamada M, Odaka H, Utimoto K, Fujii T, Furukawa I. Chem Lett. 1995:315.
- 19. Kulinkovich OG, Epstein OL, Isakov VE, Khmel'nitskaya EA. Synlett. 2001:49.
- 20. Takai K, Yamada M, Odaka H, Utimoto K. J Org Chem. 1994; 59:5852.
- 21. Trost BM, Indolese AF, Müller TJJ, Treptow B. J Am Chem Soc. 1995; 117:615.
- 22. Trost BM, Probst GD, Schoop A. J Am Chem Soc. 1998; 120:9228.. After a thorough investigation of this Alder-Ene reaction, optimal levels of regioselectivity were obtained with the diol of 56 (removal of acetonide), 11 mol% of the catalyst, 22 mol% of NH_4PF_6 , and 1.1 eq of the alkyne (rs = 12.5:1)
- 23. a) Trost BM, Gunzner JL. J Am Chem Soc. 2001; 123:9449. [PubMed: 11562231] b) Trost BM, Machacek MR, Ball ZT. Org Lett. 2003; 5:1895. [PubMed: 12762680]. For an interesting example with alkynylborates, see: c) Hansen EC, Lee D. J Am Chem Soc. 2005; 127:3252. [PubMed: 15755122]
- 24. Hilt G, Treutwein J. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2007; 46:8500.
- 25. a) Ng SS, Jamison TF. J Am Chem Soc. 2005; 127:14194. [PubMed: 16218608] b) Ho CY, Ng SS, Jamison TF. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:5362. [PubMed: 16620106] c) Ng SS, Jamison TF. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:11513. [PubMed: 16939275] d) Ho CY, Jamison TF. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2007; 46:782... For a recent review of Ni-catalyzed coupling reactions of alkenes, see: e) Ng SS, Ho CY, Schleicher KD, Jamison TF. Pure Appl Chem. 2008; 80:929.
- 26. High levels of stereoselection were observed when R^1 = aromatic or *t*-Bu.
- 27. Matsubara R, Jamison TF. J Am Chem Soc. 2010; 132:6880. [PubMed: 20433144] . Allylic alcohols, ethers, carbonates and chlorides were also examined in this coupling reaction.
- 28. a) Clerici MG, Maspero F. Synthesis. 1980:305. b) Nugent WA, Ovenall DW, Holmes SJ. Organometallics. 1983; 2:161. c) Herzon SB, Hartwig JF. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129:6690.
 [PubMed: 17474747] d) Herzon SB, Hartwig JF. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; 130:14940. [PubMed: 18937477]
- 29. For reviews, see: a) Hoveyda AH, Evans DA, Fu GC. Chem Rev. 1993; 93:1307. b) Catellani M, Chiusoli GP, Costa M. J Organomet Chem. 1995; 500:69. c) Breit B. Chem Eur J. 2000; 6:1519. d) Oestreich M. Eur J Org Chem. 2005:783.
- 30. For an example of a P-directed hydroformylation for the synthesis of stereodefined polyketides, see: a) Krauss IJ, Wang CCY, Leighton JL. J Am Chem Soc. 2001; 123:11514. [PubMed: 11707144]. For an example of a pyridyl-directed Pauson Khand annulation, see: b) Itami K, Mitsudo K, Fujita K, Ohashi Y, Yoshida J. J Am Chem Soc. 2004; 126:11058. [PubMed: 15339192]. For an example of an alkene-directed reductive coupling, see: c) Miller KM, Jamison TF. J Am Chem Soc. 2004; 126:15342. [PubMed: 15563136]

- 31. For examples of Cp₂Ti-promoted cyclization reactions, see: a) Nugent WA, Calabrese JC. J Am Chem Soc. 1984; 106:6422. b) Nugent WA, Thorn DL, Harlow RL. J Am Chem Soc. 1987; 109:2788. c) RajanBabu TV, Nugent WA, Taber DF, Fagan PJ. J Am Chem Soc. 1988; 110:7128. d) Berk SC, Grossman RB, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 1993; 115:4912. e) Berk SC, Grossman RB, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 1994; 116:8593. f) Hicks FA, Kablaoui NM, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 1996; 118:9450. g) Sturla SJ, Kablaoui NM, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 1999; 121:1976. h) Hicks FA, Kablaoui NM, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 1999; 121:5881.. See also, refs 11 and 12.
- 32. For chemistry of Ti-aryloxides, see: a) Chamberlain LR, Durfee LD, Fanwick PE, Kobriger L, Latersky SL, McMullen AK, Rothwell IP, Folting K, Huffman JC, Streib WE, Wang R. J Am Chem Soc. 1987; 109:390. b) Chamberlain LR, Durfee LD, Fanwick PE, Kobriger LM, Latesky SL, McMullen AK, Steffey BD, Rothwell IP, Folting K, Huffman JC. J Am Chem Soc. 1987; 109:6068. c) Thorn MG, Hill JE, Waratuke SA, Johnson ES, Fanwick PE, Rothwell IP. J Am Chem Soc. 1997; 119:8630.. For early examples documenting the reactivity of Ti-alkoxides, see: d) Kulinkovich OG, Sviridov SV, Vasilevski DA, Pritytskaya TS. J Org Chem USSR (Eng Transl). 1989; 25:2027.
- 33. For recent reviews, see: a) Wolan A, Six Y. Tetrahedron. 2010; 66:15. b) Wolan A, Six Y. Tetrahedron. 2010; 66:3097. c) Kulinkovich O. Eur J Org Chem. 2004:4517. d) Sato F, Urabe H, Okamoto S. Chem Rev. 2000; 100:2835. [PubMed: 11749307] e) Kulinkovich OG, de Meijere A. Chem Rev. 2000; 100:2789. [PubMed: 11749306]
- For a related discussion in the context of regioselective reductive cross-coupling reactions of internal alkynes, see ^{Ref 6d}.
- 35. While we were unable to locate a commercial vendor for CpRu(cod)Cl, the cost of [Cl₂Ru(cod)]_n (a potential precursor to CpRu(cod)Cl) is nearly \$70/g.
- 36. For a discussion regarding the limitations associated with the Kulinkovich and related reactions, see ref 32d and 32f.
- 37. The ease with which intramolecular metallacycle-mediated bond formation occurs is supported by the large collection of cyclization reactions reported. For examples based on Ti, see ^{ref 30}.
- 38. Reichard HA, Micalizio GC. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2007; 46:1440.
- 39. Canterbury DP, Micalizio GC. J Am Chem Soc. 2010; 132:7602. [PubMed: 20476790]
- 40. Gao Y, Yoshida Y, Sato F. Synlett. 1997:1353.
- 41. Takahashi M, Micalizio GC. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129:7514. [PubMed: 17530760]
- 42. Hill JE, Fanwick PE, Rothwell IP. Organometallics. 1992; 11:1775.
- 43. Takahashi M, Micalizio GC. Chem Commun. 2010; 46:3336.
- 44. a) Tebbe FN, Parshall GW, Reddy GS. J Am Chem Soc. 1978; 100:3611. b) Petasis NA, Bzowej EI. J Am Chem Soc. 1990; 112:6392.
- 45. Kolundzic F, Micalizio GC. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129:15112. [PubMed: 18004854]
- 46. Diez PS, Micalizio GC. J Am Chem Soc. 2010:132.10.1021/ja103836h
- 47. Macklin TK, Micalizio GC. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:1392. [PubMed: 19173665]
- 48. Jeso V, Micalizio GC. J Am Chem Soc. 2010:132. 2010. accepted.

Chem Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 January 1.

- 49. Belardi JK, Micalizio GC. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; 130:16870. [PubMed: 19053421]
- 50. Takahashi M, McLaughlin M, Micalizio GC. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2009; 48:3648.
- 51. Prenylation of imines has represented a significant challenge in organic chemistry. For a route to products like 170, 172 and 174, based on the reactivity of allylic barium reagents, see: Yanagisawa A, Ogasawara K, Yasue K, Yamamoto H. J Chem Soc Chem Commun. 1996:367.
- 52. Chen MZ, McLaughlin M, Takahashi M, Tarselli MA, Yang D, Umemura S, Micalizio GC. 2010 submitted.
- 53. Yang D, Micalizio GC. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:17548. [PubMed: 19950989]
- 54. Umemura S, McLaughlin M, Micalizio GC. Org Lett. 2009; 11:5402. [PubMed: 19877599]
- 55. Macklin TK, Micalizio GC. Nature Chem. 2010:2.10.1038/nchem.665 [PubMed: 21124365]

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Figure 1. Functionalization of electronically unactivated π -systems by carbometalation

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Page 18



Figure 2. Examples of metallacycle-mediated coupling by way of olefin functionalization





Figure 3. Cyclopentene annulation via Co-centered [2+2+1]

Page 20

101000 -	ies: 1014 - 1070 cp,796-871Cp,	- [8	-	81
1		1.7		
	Stylic, () is Linght danse	- [27	3]#	te for
Grubber	1977			
ਿੰ	۰ <u>۴</u>	→[•%	-] ÷.	~~~*

Figure 4. Homodimerization via saturated metallacyclopentanes



Figure 5. Cross-coupling with alkenes via metallacyclopentenes

$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{serv}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{\to} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{serv}} \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{\to} - \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{serv}} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{serv}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{\to} - \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{serv}$					
A Formal cyclohexene-ethylene coupling:					
$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\ i=1,\dots,n\\ i=1,\dots,n}}{\sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\ i=1,\dots,n}} \left[\bigcup_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\ i=1}}^{n} \bigcup_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\ i=1,\dots,n}}^{n} \right] \xrightarrow{=} \bigcup_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\ i=1,\dots,n}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\ i=1,\dots,n}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\ i=1,\dots,n}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\ i=1,\dots,n}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\ i=1,\dots,n}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\ i=1,\dots,n}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n}}^{n} \sum$					
$ \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & $					
C. Formal imine-terminal alkene coupling:					
$\underset{m}{} \underset{m}{} \underset{m}$					
25 29 27					
D. Formal benzyne-terminal alkene coupling:					
$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta \alpha} \frac{g_{0,0,1,0}}{g_{0,0,1,0}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0} \right] \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0} \frac{g_{0,0,0,1,0}}{g_{0,0,1,0,1,0}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0} \right] \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0} \frac{g_{0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0}}{g_{0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0} \right] \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0} \frac{g_{0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0}}{g_{0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0} \right] \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0,0,1,0,1,0,0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0,0,1,0,0,0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0,0,0,0,0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0,0,0,0,0,0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0,0,0,0,0,0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0,0,0,0,0,0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0,0,0,0,0,0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0,0,0,0,0,0,0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0,0,0,0,0,0,0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\theta}^{0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,$					
20 27 29					

Figure 6. Early Cp2Zr-based methods for metallacycle-mediated coupling of ethylene and some unhindered terminal alkenes









Figure 8. Metallacycle-mediated coupoling of homoallylic alcohols and ethers



Figure 9. Alkene–alkyne coupling via Alder-Ene chemistry

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript



* In some cases, use of 3-5 eq of alkene resulted in moderate yields of product

Figure 10. Alkene-aldehyde coupling by Ni-catalysis

Figure 11. Terminal alkene–allylic carbonate coupling by Ni-catalysis



Figure 12. Basic considerations in the design of alkoxide-directed metallacycle-mediated coupling of alkenes



Figure 13. Basic reaction pathways for the coupling of alkenyl alcohols with internal alkynes



Figure 14. Examples of cross-coupling reactions between homoallylic alcohols and alkynes





Reaction conditions: ^a alkyne (2.5 eq), Ti(Oⁱ-Pr)₄ (2.5 eq), c-C₅H₉MgCl (2.5 eq), Et₂O, -78 °C to -30 °C, then add homoallylic alkoxide (-30 °C to 0 °C), then 1N HCl. ^bOsO₄, NalO₄, *t*-BuOH, pyr. ^c PPTS, CH₂Cl₂, CH₃OH. ^d TsOH, acetone. ^e O₃, CH₂Cl₂, then Me₂S, then TsOH. ^fHCl (1N), THF (1:1).



Figure 16. Homoallylic alcohol-imine coupling

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

With (Z)-disubstituted olefins:



With (E)-disubstituted olefins:



With 1,1-disubstituted olefins:



Figure 17. Empirical model for simple diastereoselection in reductive cross-coupling between homoallylic alcohols and imines



Figure 18. Reaction design for the stereoselective functionalization of allylic alcohols



Figure 19. (E)-selective allylic alcohol–alkyne coupling



Figure 20. (Z)-selective allylic alcohol–alkyne coupling



Figure 21. Application of allylic alcohol-alkyne coupling in natural product total synthesis



Figure 22. Allylic alcohol–vinylsilane coupling as a stereochemically orthogonal reaction to the Claisen rearrangement



Figure 23. (Z)-selective allylic alcohol–vinylsilane coupling

A. Basic allylation:



B. Imine prenylation by reductive cross-coupling:



Reaction conditions: a) imine (1 eq), Ti(Oi-Pr)₄ (1.5 eq), *c*-C₅H₉MgCl (3 eq) (-78 to -40 °C), then add lithium alkoxide of allylic alcohol (1.5 eq) in THF (-40 to 0 °C; or -40 °C to rt), then quench with H₂O. b) Imine (2 equiv), Ti(Oi-Pr)₄ (2.0 equiv), *n*-BuLi (4.0 equiv), alkoxide (1.0 equiv) Et₂O / THF.

Figure 24. Imine-allylic alcohol coupling reactions - a path to imine prenylation

Page 41



Reaction conditions: a) imine (1 eq), Ti(O+Pr)₄ (1.5 equiv), c-C₅H₉MgCl (3 equiv) (-78 to -40 °C), then add lithium alkoxide of allylic alcohol (1.5 equiv) in THF (-40 to 0 °C; or -40 °C to rt), then quench with H₂O.

Figure 25. Diastereoselective allylic alcohol-imine coupling reaction



Figure 26. Empirical model in support of the relative stereochemistry observed in imine–allylic alcohol reductive cross-coupling



Figure 27. Asymmetric reductive cross-coupling



Figure 28. Routes to stereodefined heterocycles enabled by a stereoselective reductive crosscoupling between allylic alcohols and imines



Figure 29. Skipped trienes from the stereoselective reductive cross-coupling of vinylcyclopropanes with alkynes

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript







Chem Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 January 1.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript



piperidine^b(yield)

dr 4:1

yield (%)

1,5-aminoalcohof^a

homoallylic alcohol

entry 4

cyclization

HN^{.Bn}

F

¥.

Ł

Cross

rr ≥95:5

67

Me HN^{, Bn}

но

Me

HO

Ч

122

121

Me



Ъh

123 (76%)

Bn

bReaction conditions for cyclization: PPh3, imidazole, CCl4, reflux. **NIH-PA Author Manuscript**









Chem Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 January 1.



aReaction conditions for cross coupling: innine (1 eq), Ti(Oi-Pr)4 (1.5 eq), c-C5H9MgCl (3.0 eq), Et2O (-60 to -30 °C), then add alkoxide (1.5 eq) (-40 to 0 °C or rt).

^b Reaction conditions for cyclization: For **126** - 2-NsCl, Et3N, DMAP, CH2Cl2, rt. For **128** -MsCl, Et3N, CH2Cl2, rt. R = CH(Ph)CH2OMe. For **131** and **134** - 1) H2 (1 atm), Pd(OH)2, AcOH/MeOH (1:10 v/v), rt, 2) 2-NsCl, Et3N, DMAP, CH2Cl2, 0 °C to rt, 3) PPh3, DIAD, THF, rt.





^{*a*}Reaction conditions: alkyne (2-3 equiv.), Ti(O*i*-Pr)4 or ClTi(O*i*-Pr), *c*-C5H9MgCl, PhMe (-78 to -35 °C), then cool to -78 °C and add Li alkoxide of the allylic alcohol as a solution in THF (warm to 0 °C).

^bYield reported is over two steps: chemoselective reductive cross-coupling and silyl deprotection with TBAF in THF.