

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Xenobiotica. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 April 1

Published in final edited form as:

Xenobiotica. 2011 April; 41(4): 281–289. doi:10.3109/00498254.2010.538748.

Multiple substrate-binding sites are retained in cytochrome P450 3A4 mutants with decreased cooperativity

Harshica Fernando^b, Jessica A. O. Rumfeldt^a, Nadezhda Y. Davydova^a, James R. Halpert^a, and Dmitri R. Davydov^{a,*}

^aSkaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, UCSD, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

^bDepartment of Pathology, The University of Texas Medical Branch, 301 University Blvd., Galveston, Texas 77555, USA

Abstract

1. The basis of decreased cooperativity in substrate binding in the cytochrome P450 3A4 mutants F213W, F304W and L211F/D214E was studied with fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) and absorbance spectroscopy.

2. Whereas in the wild type enzyme the absorbance changes reflecting the interactions with 1-pyrenebutanol exhibit a Hill coefficient ($n_{\rm H}$) around 1.7 ($S_{50} = 11.7 \,\mu M$), the mutants showed no cooperativity ($n_{\rm H} \le 1.1$) with unchanged S_{50} values.

3. Contrary to the premise that the mutants lack one of the two binding sites, the mutants exhibited at least two substrate binding events. The high affinity interaction is characterized by a dissociation constant (K_D) $\leq 1.0 \mu$ M, whereas the K_D of the second binding has the same magnitude as the S_{50} .

4. Theoretical analysis of a two-step binding model suggests that $n_{\rm H}$ values may vary from 1.1 to 2.2 depending on the amplitude of the spin shift caused by the first binding event.

5. Alteration of cooperativity in the mutants is caused by a partial displacement of the "spinshifting" step. Whereas in the wild type the spin shift occurs in the ternary complex only, the mutants exhibit some spin shift upon binding of the first substrate molecule.

Keywords

P450 allostery; fluorescent resonance energy transfer (FRET); α -naphthoflavone; 1-pyrenebutanol; spin shift; phenylalanine cluster

Introduction

The major human drug-metabolizing enzyme, cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP3A4), represents the most prominent example of homo- and heterotropic cooperativity among cytochromes P450 (see (Davydov and Halpert, 2008; *et al.*, 2009) for review). Cooperativity was originally explained assuming that a single ligand molecule is only loosely bound in the active site, making it necessary for multiple ligands to bind before efficient catalysis can occur (Harlow and Halpert, 1998; Korzekwa *et al.*, 1998). Although simultaneous

^{*}Corresponding author: Dmitri R. Davydov, Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, UCSD, MC 0703, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093; Tel.: +1 (858) 2460271, Fax: +1 (858) 2460089. ddavydov@ucsd.edu..

occupancy of the active site by multiple ligands is now well established (Cupp-Vickery *et al.*, 2000; Hosea *et al.*, 2000; Dabrowski *et al.*, 2002; Baas *et al.*, 2004; Ekroos and Sjogren, 2006), there is increasing evidence that the mechanisms of cooperativity are more complex and involve a ligand-induced conformational transition and possible alteration of P450 oligomerization in the membrane (see (Davydov and Halpert, 2008; Denisov *et al.*, 2009) for review).

Previous studies in this laboratory involved construction and characterization of a series of CYP3A4 mutants that were designed to alter cooperativity (Domanski *et al.*, 1998; Domanski *et al.*, 2001). Of particular interest are the mutants F213W, F304W, and L211F/ D214E. These lack homotropic cooperativity of progesterone hydroxylation yet still exhibit activation of both progesterone and testosterone hydroxylation by α -naphthoflavone (ANF). The larger side chains of the substituted residues were originally proposed to mimic effector binding and result in a loss of cooperativity (Harlow and Halpert, 1998; Domanski *et al.*, 2000; Domanski *et al.*, 2001). However, subsequent crystal structures (Williams *et al.*, 2004) indicate that these residues generally point away from the active site. The residues substituted in F213W and F304W are involved in the formation of the so-called phenylalanine cluster at the proximal surface of CYP3A4 that involves Phe₂₁₃, Phe₂₁₅, Phe₂₁₉, Phe₂₂₀, Phe₂₄₁, and Phe₃₀₄. The finding that this cluster is disrupted in the complex of CYP3A4 with ketoconazole (Ekroos and Sjogren, 2006) suggests that this region is involved in the substrate-induced conformational transitions of the enzyme.

Detailed exploration of the altered cooperativity in the mutants F304W, F213W and L211F/ D214E may provide important information on the role of the phenylalanine cluster in the molecular mechanisms of cooperativity and related conformational dynamics in CYP3A4. Therefore, in the present study we probe the interactions of these mutants with the substrates ANF, bromocriptine, and 1-pyrenebutanol (1-PB). For this purpose we employed a combination of detection of substrate binding by fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) or absorbance spectroscopy with a "titration-by-dilution" approach (Fernando *et al.*, 2006). This allowed us to probe the effect of the mutations on the individual substratebinding events in the interactions of these mutants with 1-PB, a substrate exhibiting prominent cooperativity with the wild type enzyme.

Our results indicate that, contrary to the initial premise that the mutants lack one of the two substrate binding sites, the mutants exhibited at least two substrate binding events. Alteration of cooperativity in the mutants is caused by a partial displacement of the "spin-shifting" step from the final step of the formation of ternary complex, as it takes place in the wild type, to intermediate step of the binary complex formation.

Materials and Methods

Materials

1-PB was from Invitrogen/Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR), ANF was from Indofine Chemical Company (Hillsbrough, NJ), and bromocriptine mesylate and 2-hydroxypropyl-βcyclodextrin were from Sigma Chemicals (St. Louis, MO). All other chemicals were of the highest grade available from commercial sources and were used without further purification.

Expression and purification of the CYP3A4 mutants F213W, L211F/D214E and F304W

The enzymes were expressed as the His-tagged protein in *Escherichia coli* TOPP3 (Harlow and Halpert, 1998). The proteins were purified with a three-column procedure, which includes ion-exchange chromatography on Macro-Prep CM Support as previously described (Tsalkova *et al.*, 2007; Davydov *et al.*, 2010).

Experimental setup

The fluorometric measurements were performed with a combination of either an Edinburgh Instruments FLS920 (Edinburgh Instruments, Edinburgh, UK) or a computerized Hitachi F-2000 spectrofluorometer (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) with an S2000 fiber optic CCD spectrometer (Ocean Optics, Dunedin, FL), which was used to monitor the changes in the transmittance of the sample during the experiment. Both Hitachi and Edinburgh Instruments spectrofluorometers were equipped with a custom-made thermostated cell holder with a direct-path window used to attach the CCD spectrometer via a fiber-optic light guide. This design permitted instant correction of the spectra of fluorescence to compensate for the internal filter effect. The excitation wavelength was set to 331 nm. The spectra of absorbance were measured with an S2000 rapid scanning CCD spectrometer (Ocean Optics, Inc., Dunedin, FL, USA) equipped with an L7893 UV-VIS fiber-optics light source (Hamamatsu Photonics K. K., Hamamatsu City, Shizuoka, Japan) and a custom-made thermostated cell holder with magnetic stirrer. In fluorescence dilution experiments, a 100µl aliquot of enzyme-substrate mixture of desired stoichiometry was placed in a fluorescence quartz ultra-micro cell with 2×10 mm optical chamber (HELLMA GmbH, Müllheim, Germany, Product #105.250). Small aliquots of the buffer were added to the cell up to the maximal volume of the cell (1600 μ l). The spectra were recorded after each addition. The experiments were performed at 25° C in 0.1 M Na-Hepes buffer, pH 7.4. All experiments with 1-PB were carried out in the presence of 0.6 mg/ml 2-hydroxypropyl- β -cyclodextrin (HPCD), which was used to increase the solubility of this substrate and prevent the formation of the pyrene excimers. Control experiments showed that the addition of HPCD resulted in a modest increase (~30%) in the values of S_{50} or K_D observed in the absorbance titration experiments but had no effect on the cooperativity (Hill coefficient), spin state of the substrate-free enzyme, or amplitudes of the substrate-induced spin shift (data not shown).

Data Processing

The series of absorbance and fluorescence spectra obtained in titration experiments were analyzed using principal component analysis (PCA) as described previously (Davydov *et al.*, 1995; Renaud *et al.*, 1996). To interpret the spectral transitions in terms of the concentration of P450 species we used a least-squares fitting of the spectra of principal components to the set of spectral standards of pure low-spin, high-spin and P420 species of CYP3A4 (Fernando *et al.*, 2006). Application of PCA to the series of emission spectra obtained in FRET experiments resulted in the first principal component corresponding to the changes in substrate fluorescence and covering over 99% of the total spectral changes. The changes in the loading factor of the first principal component during the experiment were used to calculate the relative changes in the intensity of fluorescence of the substrate. All data treatment procedures and curve fitting were performed using our SPECTRALAB software package (Davydov *et al.*, 1995).

The dependence of the fraction of the high spin heme protein (in absorbance titration experiments) or the loading factor of the first principal component (in FRET studies) on the substrate concentration was used to determine the parameters of the interactions from the fitting of these curves to an appropriate equation using a combination of Marquardt and Nelder-Mead non-linear least squares algorithms as described previously (Davydov *et al.*, 1995). To analyze the results of titration and dilution experiments in those cases when the interpretation of the results did not require an assumption of the involvement of multiple binding events, we used the equation for the equilibrium of bimolecular association ((Segel, 1975), p 73, eq. II-53):

$$[ES] = \frac{[E]_0 + [S]_0 + [K]_D - \left\{ \left([E]_0 + [S]_0 + [K]_D \right)^2 - 4 \cdot [E]_0 \cdot [S]_0 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}$$
(1)

Results

Spin state of the substrate-free F213W, L211F/D214E and F304W mutants

Relative to wild type CYP3A4, all three mutants were characterized by slight displacement of the spin equilibrium of the heme iron towards the high-spin state. Accordingly, the high-spin content in F213W, F304W and L211F/D214E mutants at 25 °C was $16.3 \pm 1.7\%$, 24.2 $\pm 4.0\%$, and $24.2 \pm 4.0\%$ respectively, compared with $10.9 \pm 0.5\%$ in the wild-type. Furthermore, in contrast to what was previously observed with the triple mutant L211F/D214E/F304W (Fernando *et al.*, 2007), the position of the spin equilibrium of the substrate-free F213W, F304W and L211F/D214E mutants did not exhibit any considerable displacement toward high-spin with increasing content of the apo-protein in the enzyme preparations (data not shown).

Interactions of F213W, F304W and L211F/D214E with bromocriptine, 1-PB, and ANF

Similar to observations with the wild type enzyme (Fernando *et al.*, 2007; Tsalkova *et al.*, 2007), the interactions of the mutants with all three substrates resulted in a Type I spectral transition corresponding to a shift to high spin. Importantly, all three mutants exhibit a prominent decrease in the homotropic cooperativity with 1-PB, whereas the cooperativity observed with ANF is retained (Fig. 1, Table 1). The interaction of the enzyme with the non-allosteric substrate bromocriptine is not altered in F213W or F304W, whereas the mutant L211F/D214E exhibits decreased affinity and lowered amplitude of the spin shift with this substrate (Table 1).

Resolution of individual substrate-binding events in the interactions of 1-PB with CYP3A4 and its mutants in "titration-by-dilution" experiments with substrate binding monitored by FRET

Our approach to studying the individual steps involved in the mechanism of cooperativity is based on examining the dissociation of the enzyme-substrate complex upon dilution of enzyme-substrate mixtures of various stoichiometry (Davydov *et al.*, 2006; Fernando *et al.*, 2006). In the present study, substrate binding was determined either by FRET from 1-PB to the heme of the enzyme, or by the substrate-induced spin shift monitored by absorbance spectroscopy.

As demonstrated earlier (Fernando *et al.*, 2006), the formation of the complexes of CYP3A4 with 1-PB resulted in a considerable decrease in the intensity of fluorescence of the substrate due to FRET to the heme. Similar behavior is observed in our experiments with the F213W, F304W, and L211F/D214E mutants. The dependence of the normalized intensity of fluorescence on the concentration of equimolar mixtures of 1-PB with either wild type CYP3A4 or its mutants obeys the equation for the equilibrium of bimolecular association (1) (Fig 2a). Although the values of the dissociation constant (K_{D1}) obtained from these experiments were in all cases considerably lower than the corresponding S₅₀ values, the values of K_{D1} exhibit considerable variation among the mutants. The K_{D1} of L211F/D214E for 1-PB was notably higher than the value obtained with the wild type enzyme, whereas F213W exhibited a decreased K_{D1} value (Table 2).

Results of FRET dilution studies performed at excess substrate (Fig 2b) do not exhibit any qualitative difference between the wild type and the mutants. In all four cases the titration curves obey equation 1 with values for the dissociation constant (K_{D2}) about one order of magnitude higher than those found in 1:1 dilution experiments (Table 2). However, as with K_{D1} , the K_{D2} observed with L211F/D214E was notably higher than the estimates obtained with either the wild type or the F213W and F304W mutants. It is also noteworthy that in all four cases the fitting of titration curves to equation 1 results in an apparent efficiency of FRET slightly exceeding 100% (Table 2). These anomalously high values may be caused by incomplete resolution of the two substrate binding events, as far as a decrease in the degree of saturation of the high-affinity binding site at low concentrations of the enzyme-substrate mixture may affect the apparent FRET amplitude.

"Titration-by-dilution" experiments at excess substrate with monitoring of the substrateinduced spin shift

The formation of the final, productive complex of CYP3A4 with 1-PB is evidenced by the spin-shift and may therefore be detected by absorbance spectroscopy in dilution experiments at excess substrate. Results of these experiments are shown in Fig. 2c. The data points shown in this figure represent the difference between the high-spin content at each concentration of the enzyme-substrate mixture and the value that would be observed at infinite dilution according to the extrapolation of the fitting curves. Similar to the curves obtained in FRET titrations at excess substrate, these data sets may be adequately approximated by equation (1) with the values of dissociation constant (K_{D2}) over an order of magnitude higher that the values of K_{D1} found in 1:1 dilution experiments. In all cases except for the L211F/D214E mutant, the estimates of K_{D2} found here were approximately 2-times higher than the values deduced from FRET experiments. However, the mutant L211F/D214E represents the opposite case, with the FRET-based estimate being higher that the estimate found in spin-shift experiments (Table 2).

Analysis of the amplitudes of the substrate-induced spin shift observed in these experiments reveals an important difference between the wild type and the mutants. In the case of the wild type, the amplitude estimated from dilution experiments ($50 \pm 7\%$) is similar to the value observed in absorbance titrations ($47 \pm 5\%$). In contrast, the amplitudes obtained in dilution experiments with the mutants are lower than the corresponding values found in titration experiments (cf. Table 1 and Table 2). This difference is especially important in the case of L211F/D214E, where the amplitude found in dilution titration ($18 \pm 7\%$) is approximately 2-times lower than the value found in absorbance titrations ($40 \pm 3\%$). This finding may suggest that the requirement of the binding of the second substrate molecule for spin shift to occur in the ternary complex only, according to our initial sequential model of interactions (Fernando et al., 2006) is compromised in the mutants. In particular, an initial spin shift which may take place in the mutants upon formation of the 1:1 complex would not be detected in dilution curves measured at excess substrate, inasmuch as the high affinity binding site would be substrate-saturated throughout these experiments. In the following section we probe the hypothesis that explanation of altered cooperativity may be found if we allow for displacement of the spin equilibrium in both the ES and SES complexes, although not necessarily to the same extent.

Analysis of the substrate-induced spin shift in the mutants with a two-step sequential model

According to the sequential model (Fig. 3) introduced in our earlier study (Fernando *et al.*, 2006), the binding at one of the two substrate binding sites in the enzyme requires a prior substrate association at another, higher-affinity site. This property results in cooperativity in the formation of the final ternary complex (SES). It was also hypothesized that modulation

of the spin equilibrium is observed only in the ternary complex, so that $K_h^E = K_h^{ES}$. To probe the applicability of this scheme to the interactions of F213W, F304W, and L211F/D214E with 1-PB we attempted to fit the absorbance titration curves with the relationship describing the formation of the ternary complexes *SES*. In the general case, when the concentration of the enzyme is comparable with the concentrations of the substrate and changes in free substrate concentration cannot be neglected, the two-step sequential mechanism (Fig. 3) may be described with the following relationships (Fernando *et al.*, 2006):

$$2 \cdot [SES]^{2} - ([E]_{0} - [SES] + K_{D1}) \cdot [ES] - ([S]_{0} + 2 \cdot [E]_{0} + K_{D2}) \cdot [SES] + [S]_{0} \cdot [E]_{0} = 0$$
$$[ES] = \frac{[S]_{0}}{2} - [SES] - \left([SES]^{2} - ([S]_{0} + K_{D2}) \cdot [SES] + \frac{[S]_{0}^{2}}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2)

Although the explicit analytical solution of this system is intricate, the concentrations [*ES*] and [*SES*] may be found from a numerical solution of (2), as previously described (Davydov *et al.*, 2005; Fernando *et al.*, 2006). In the case when the spin shift is observed only upon the formation of the complex SES, the spin state of the heme protein at each given concentration of substrate (F_h^s) is determined as:

$$h^{s} = h_{0} + \Delta h_{max} \cdot \left(\frac{(SES)}{[E]_{0}}\right)$$
(3)

where h^0 is the fraction high spin in the substrate-free enzyme, Δh^{max} is the maximal amplitude of the spin shift, [*SES*] is determined according to (2), and [*E*]₀ is the total concentration of the heme protein. In our fitting of the titration curves to this equation with the non-linear least-square regression (see *Data Processing* in *Materials and Methods*) we optimized the values of h^0 , Δh^{max} and K_{D2} , while the K_{D1} was fixed to the experimentally determined value of this constant (Table 2) (Davydov *et al.*, 2005;Fernando *et al.*, 2006). The results of this fitting are shown in Fig. 4 in dashed lines. Similar to the previous study (Fernando *et al.*, 2006), the sequential model (Fig. 3) adequately describes the titration curves reflecting the interactions of 1-PB with wild type CYP3A4. The fitting shown in Fig. 4 (circles, dashed line) is characterized by the square correlation coefficient (ρ^2) of 0.9984 and yields the estimates of K_{D2} , h^0 and Δh^{max} of 12.6 μ M, 12.6%, and 51.1%, respectively. At the same time, the fitting of the data sets obtained with the three mutants reveal an important systematic deviation from the experimental results. As seen from the Fig 4b, these deviations are especially important at low concentrations of the ligand.

Therefore, the initial model, where the spin shift takes place upon the formation of *SES* complex only, is insufficient to explain the altered cooperativity in the mutants. The attempts to use the parallel model, where the binding of the substrate is allowed to either of the two binding sites in the substrate-free enzyme (Fernando *et al.*, 2006), the experimentally determined values of K_{D1} and K_{D2} were found incompatible with this mechanism (data not shown). Accordingly, we analyzed the behavior of the sequential model with the additional allowance for displacement of the spin equilibrium in both the *ES* and *SES* complexes. In this case the increase in the fraction of the high-spin enzyme observed in the titrations would reflect a combination of the concentrations of *ES* and *SES*:

$$h_{s} = h_{0} + \Delta h_{max}^{ES} \cdot \left(\frac{[ES]}{[E]_{0}}\right) + \Delta h_{max}^{ES} \cdot \left(\frac{[SES]}{[E]_{0}}\right) = h_{0} + \Delta h_{max}^{total} \cdot \left[F_{ES}\left(\frac{[ES]}{[E]_{0}}\right) + (1 - F_{ES}) \cdot \left(\frac{[SES]}{[E]_{0}}\right)\right]$$
(4)

Here Δh_{max}^{ES} and Δh_{max}^{SES} are the amplitudes of the spin shift (relative to the substrate-free state) observed upon the formation of the complexes *ES* and *SES* respectively,

 $\Delta h_{max}^{total} = \Delta h_{max}^{ES} + \Delta h_{max}^{SES}$. The concentrations [ES] and [SES] are determined according to (2), and the parameter F_{ES} is equal to the ratio of the amplitude of the spin shift exerted by the complex ES to the total spin shift amplitude, Δh_{max}^{total} .

$$F_{ES} = \frac{h_{max}^{ES}}{h_{max}^{total}}$$

This parameter signifies the fraction of the total signal that is caused by the displacement of the spin equilibrium in the binary complex. The case when $F_{\rm ES}=0$ corresponds to the initial model, where the spin shift is observed in the ternary complex only. The situation when the full-amplitude spin shift takes place upon the formation of the complex *ES* and the binding of the second molecule has no further effect on the spin state corresponds to $F_{\rm ES}=0.5$. The intermediate situation, when the binding of the first substrate molecule results in some partial spin shift, although the full amplitude requires the formation of the ternary complex, is observed when $0 \le F_{\rm ES} \le 0.5$. The case when $F_{\rm ES}>0.5$ represent the situation where the formation of the ternary complex results in a backward (high-to-low) displacement of the spin equilibrium relative to that observed in the binary complex.

To probe how the change in the parameter $F_{\rm ES}$ may affect the experimentally determined values of the Hill coefficient and S_{50} we built a series of modeling curves for $F_{\rm ES}$ changing from 0 to 0.5. In these calculations we used a linear combination of the concentrations of *ES* and *SES* as determined by the expression (4) in (Fernando *et al.*, 2006) and substituted $K_{\rm D1}$ and $K_{\rm D2}$ with the values of 0.25 μ M and 7.5 μ M respectively, which were chosen according to the estimates found for the wild type enzyme. The calculated data sets along with the results of their fitting are shown in Fig. 5a. Although the increase in $F_{\rm ES}$ results in a significant alteration of the shape of the curves, the quality of their fitting to Hill equation remains appropriate (ρ^2 of 0.997 – 0.9998). As illustrated in Fig. 5*b*, the initial increase in $F_{\rm ES}$ results in a decrease in the Hill coefficient ($n_{\rm H}$) from 1.54 to 1.097 and the minimal value of $n_{\rm H}$ is observed at $F_{\rm ES}$ =0.25. Further increase in $F_{\rm ES}$ boosts $n_{\rm H}$ up to value of 2.21, which is observed at $F_{\rm ES}$ =0.5.

Fitting of the absorbance titration curves obtained with mutants with the improved sequential model is shown on Fig. 4 in solid lines. Here again we fixed the value of K_{D1} at the experimentally determined estimate and allowed the program to optimize the values of K_{D2} , h_0 , Δh_{max} , and F_{ES} . The changes in the value of K_{D2} in the optimization procedure never exceeded 30% of the initial estimate, which was set to the mean of the values found by two different experimental approaches (see Table 2). As seen from Fig 4, the modification of the model increased the quality of fitting dramatically. No systematic deviations were observed in this case ($\rho^2 > 0.996$). The values of the parameter F_{ES} obtained for F213W, F304W, and L211F/D214E comprise 7.9 ±1.0%, 15.1 ± 0.8% and 21.0 ± 5.7% respectively. At the same time, the fitting of the titration curve obtained with the wild type enzyme results in $F_{ES} = 0$, confirming that the substrate-induced spin shift in the wild type is observed in the ternary complex only.

Discussion

Our studies of the binding of three substrates to the CYP3A4 mutants F304W, F213W, and L211F/D214E revealed no considerable effect on the spin shift caused by bromocriptine, a non-allosteric substrate, or any substantial decrease in the cooperativity with ANF, a

heterotropic activator. Strikingly, although homotropic cooperativity with 1-PB is abolished (Table 1), according to the results of our dilution experiments, none of the substitutions eliminates either binding site for 1-PB (Table 2). Our analysis of these results in terms of the two-step sequential model (Fig. 3) suggests that the probed mutations do not affect formation of the enzyme-substrate complexes but rather alter how the individual substrate binding events affect the spin equilibrium. This finding contradicts the initial premise that one binding site is lacking in the mutants. Our results are consistent with a model where the substrate-induced spin shift occurs in the ternary complex for the wild type enzyme, but the mutants reveal some partial spin shift upon the interactions with the first substrate molecule. We also demonstrate that variation in the fraction of the total signal that is caused by the displacement of the spin equilibrium in the binary complex ($F_{\rm ES}$) can account for variation of the experimentally determined values of the Hill coefficient from ~1.1 to ~2.2 without any modification of the number of binding sites or the sequence of binding events. This observation indicates that the two-step sequential model complemented with the allowance for the substrate-induced spin shift in both ES and SES complexes may explain other cases of homotropic cooperativity in CYP3A4.

The discussed mechanisms may also explain the loss of cooperativity in steroid hydroxylation observed in the mutants (Domanski et al., 1998; Domanski et al., 2001). Although the interrelationship between the substrate-induced spin shift and the efficiency of P450-catalysis is not straightforward, a conversion from the hexa-coordinated low-spin to the penta-coordinated high-spin state has an important impact on the catalytic efficiency and coupling of the P450 (see (Hlavica, 2007) for review). This link is demonstrated clearly, for example, in studies with CYP2B4 and a series of benzphetamine analogs (Blanck et al., 1983; Schwarze et al., 1985; Blanck et al., 1991). Those results reveal a strict correlation between the rate of substrate N-demethylation and its coupling to NADPH oxidation with the amplitude of the substrate-induced spin shift. Furthermore, the low-to-high spin transition is known to increase the rate of electron transfer to cytochromes P450 (Tamburini et al., 1984; Backes et al., 1985; Backes and Eyer, 1989) due to a considerable decrease in the reorganization energy associated with the reduction process (Honeychurch et al., 1999; Denisov et al., 2005) along with a positive displacement of the P450 redox potential (Fisher and Sligar, 1985). This has been demonstrated with CYP3A4 (Das et al., 2007) in particular. Therefore, mechanisms of the cooperativity in the substrate-induced spin shift and substrate hydroxylation are tightly interrelated, so far as the spin state of the enzyme in the complex ES is commensurate to the rate of hydroxylation observed in this complex. Our analysis also suggests that, contrary to common expectations (see (Denisov et al., 2009) for discussion), cases of P450 interactions with substrates where the Hill coefficient exceeds 2 (Domanski et al., 2001; Davydov et al., 2002; Baas et al., 2004; Jushchyshyn et al., 2005; Davydov et al., 2010) do not necessarily suggest an involvement of more than 2 substrate binding sites in the mechanism.

There might be at least two fundamentally different mechanistic bases for the variation in the effect of the first substrate binding event on the amplitude of the spin shift. If, following the long-established hypothesis (Domanski *et al.*, 1998; Korzekwa *et al.*, 1998), we assume that the two binding sites are both located in close proximity of the heme and the cooperativity mechanism does not involve any effector-induced conformational changes, the variation may simply reflect some (possibly quite subtle) changes in the geometry of the active site. These changes may augment the ability of the first bound substrate molecule to displace the water that serves as a heme ligand in the low-spin state.

A completely different mechanism may take place if the cooperativity mechanism involves a remote binding site, where interaction with the substrate triggers a conformational transition (see (Davydov *et al.*, 2008) for review). In this case, although the high affinity binding event

may reflect the binding of the substrate in close proximity to the heme, the second (remote) binding is required for the wild type enzyme to adapt an active conformation, where the substrate-induced spin shift (and the catalysis) takes place. Accordingly, the probed mutations may compromise this modulatory mechanism by partial displacement of the conformational equilibrium towards the "activated" conformer even without effector bound at the second site.

Page 9

Discrimination between the two possibilities requires use of advanced methods for detection of enzyme-substrate interactions, which would allow us to locate the position of the respective substrate binding sites in CYP3A4. In particular, these studies may utilize site-directed modification of the enzyme with a fluorescent probe in order to establish a FRET donor/acceptor pair with an appropriate substrate fluorophore.

Acknowledgments

Declaration of interests

This research was supported by grant GM054995 from the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

References

- Baas BJ, Denisov IG, Sligar SG. Homotropic cooperativity of monomeric cytochrome P450 3A4 in a nanoscale native bilayer environment. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2004; 430:218–228. [PubMed: 15369821]
- Backes WL, Eyer CS. Cytochrome P-450 LM2 reduction. Substrate effects on the rate of reductase-LM2 association. J. Biol. Chem. 1989; 264:6252–6259. [PubMed: 2495281]
- Backes WL, Tamburini PP, Jansson I, Gibson GG, Sligar SG, Schenkman JB. Kinetics of cytochrome P-450 reduction: evidence for faster reduction of the high-spin ferric state. Biochemistry. 1985; 24:5130–5136. [PubMed: 3935158]
- Blanck J, Ristau O, Zhukov AA, Archakov AI, Rein H, Ruckpaul K. Cytochrome P-450 spin state and leakiness of the monooxygenase pathway. Xenobiotica. 1991; 21:121–135. [PubMed: 1848383]
- Blanck JR,H, Sommer M, Ristau O, Smettan G, Ruckpaul K. Correlations between spin equilibrium shift, reduction rate, and N-demethylation activity in liver microsomal cytochrome P-450 and a series of benzphetamine analogs as substrates. Biochem. Pharm. 1983; 32:1683–1688. [PubMed: 6870907]
- Cupp-Vickery J, Anderson R, Hatziris Z. Crystal structures of ligand complexes of P450eryF exhibiting homotropic cooperativity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2000; 97:3050–3055. [PubMed: 10716705]
- Dabrowski MJ, Schrag ML, Wienkers L, Atkins WM. Pyrene-pyrene complexes at the active site of cytochrome P450 3A4: Evidence for a multiple substrate binding site. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002; 124:11866–11867. [PubMed: 12358527]
- Das A, Grinkova YV, Sligar SG. Redox potential control by drug binding to cytochrome p450 3A4. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007; 129:13778–13779. [PubMed: 17948999]
- Davydov DR, Botchkareva AE, Davydova NY, Halpert JR. Resolution of two substrate-binding sites in an engineered cytochrome P450eryF bearing a fluorescent probe. Biophys. J. 2005; 89:418–432. [PubMed: 15834000]
- Davydov DR, Davydova NY, Halpert JR. Allosteric transitions in cytochrome P450eryF explored with pressure-perturbation spectroscopy, lifetime FRET, and a novel fluorescent substrate, Fluorol-7GA. Biochemistry. 2008; 47:11348–11359. [PubMed: 18831537]
- Davydov DR, Deprez E, Hui Bon Hoa G, Knyushko TV, Kuznetsova GP, Koen YM, Archakov AI. High-pressure-induced transitions in microsomal cytochrome P450 2B4 in solution - evidence for conformational inhomogeneity in the oligomers. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1995; 320:330–344. [PubMed: 7625841]

- Davydov DR, Femando H, Halpert JR. Variable path length and counter-flow continuous variation methods for the study of the formation of high-affinity complexes by absorbance spectroscopy. An application to the studies of substrate binding in cytochrome P450. Biophys. Chem. 2006; 123:95– 101. [PubMed: 16701937]
- Davydov DR, Halpert JR. Allosteric P450 mechanisms: multiple binding sites, multiple conformers or both? Expert Opin. Drug Met. 2008; 4:1523–1535.
- Davydov DR, Kumar S, Halpert JR. Allosteric mechanisms in P450eryF probed with 1-pyrenebutanol, a novel fluorescent substrate. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2002; 294:806–812. [PubMed: 12061778]
- Davydov DR, Sineva EV, Sistla S, Davydova NY, Frank DJ, Sligar SG, Halpert JR. Electron transfer in the complex of membrane-bound human cytochrome P450 3A4 with the flavin domain of P450BM-3: the effect of oligomerization of the heme protein and intermittent modulation of the spin equilibrium. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2010; 1797:378–390. [PubMed: 20026040]
- Denisov IG, Frank DJ, Sligar SG. Cooperative properties of cytochromes P450. Pharmacol Ther. 2009; 124:151–167. [PubMed: 19555717]
- Denisov IG, Macris TM, Sligar SG, Schlichting I. Structure and chemistry of cytochrome P450. Chem. Rev. 2005; 105:2253–2277. [PubMed: 15941214]
- Domanski TL, He YA, Harlow GR, Halpert JR. Dual role of human cytochrome P450 3A4 residue Phe-304 in substrate specificity and cooperativity. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2000; 293:585–591. [PubMed: 10773032]
- Domanski TL, He YA, Khan KK, Roussel F, Wang Q, Halpert JR. Phenylalanine and tryptophan scanning mutagenesis of CYP3A4 substrate recognition site residues and effect on substrate oxidation and cooperativity. Biochemistry. 2001; 40:10150–10160. [PubMed: 11513592]
- Domanski TL, Liu J, Harlow GR, Halpert JR. Analysis of four residues within substrate recognition site 4 of human cytochrome P450 3A4: role in steroid hydroxylase activity and alphanaphthoflavone stimulation. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1998; 350:223–232. [PubMed: 9473295]
- Ekroos M, Sjogren T. Structural basis for ligand promiscuity in cytochrome P450 3A4. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2006; 103:13682–13687. [PubMed: 16954191]
- Fernando H, Davydov DR, Chin CC, Halpert JR. Role of subunit interactions in P450 oligomers in the loss of homotropic cooperativity in the cytochrome P450 3A4 mutant L211F/D214E/F304W. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2007; 460:129–140. [PubMed: 17274942]
- Fernando H, Halpert JR, Davydov DR. Resolution of multiple substrate binding sites in cytochrome P450 3A4: The stoichiometry of the enzyme-substrate complexes probed by FRET and Job's titration. Biochemistry. 2006; 45:4199–4209. [PubMed: 16566594]
- Fisher MT, Sligar SG. Control of heme protein redox potential and reduction rate-Linear free energy relation between potential and ferric spin state equilibrium. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985; 107:5018–5019.
- Harlow GR, Halpert JR. Analysis of human cytochrome P450 3A4 cooperativity: construction and characterization of a site-directed mutant that displays hyperbolic steroid hydroxylation kinetics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 1998; 95:6636–6641. [PubMed: 9618464]
- Hlavica P. Control by substrate of the cytochrome p450-dependent redox machinery: Mechanistic insights. Curr. Drug Metab. 2007; 8:594–611. [PubMed: 17691919]
- Honeychurch MJH, Hill AO, Wong LL. The thermodynamics and kinetics of electron transfer in the cytochrome P450(cam) enzyme system. FEBS Lett. 1999; 451:351–353. [PubMed: 10371219]
- Hosea NA, Miller GP, Guengerich FP. Elucidation of distinct ligand binding sites for cytochrome P450 3A4. Biochemistry. 2000; 39:5929–5939. [PubMed: 10821664]
- Jushchyshyn MI, Hutzler JM, Schrag ML, Wienkers LC. Catalytic turnover of pyrene by CYP3A4: Evidence that cytochrome b(5) directly induces positive cooperativity. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2005; 438:21–28. [PubMed: 15910734]
- Korzekwa KR, Krishnamachary N, Shou M, Ogai A, Parise RA, Rettie AE, Gonzalez FJ, Tracy TS. Evaluation of atypical cytochrome P450 kinetics with two-substrate models: evidence that multiple substrates can simultaneously bind to cytochrome P450 active sites. Biochemistry. 1998; 37:4137–4147. [PubMed: 9521735]

- Renaud JP, Davydov DR, Heirwegh KPM, Mansuy D, Hui Bon Hoa G. Thermodynamic studies of substrate binding and spin transitions in human cytochrome P-450 expressed in yeast microsomes. Biochem J. 1996; 319:675–681. [PubMed: 8920966]
- Schwarze W, Blanck J, Ristau O, Janig GR, Pommerening K, Rein H, Ruckpaul K. Spin state control of cytochrome P-450 reduction and catalytic activity in a reconstituted P-450 LM2 system as induced by a series of benzphetamine analogs. Chem Biol Interact. 1985; 54:127–141. [PubMed: 4028286]
- Segel, IH. Enzyme Kinetics: Behavior and Analysis of Rapid Equilibrium and Steady-State Enzyme Systems. Wiley-Interscience; New York: 1975.
- Tamburini PP, Gibson GG, Backes WL, Sligar SG, Schenkman JB. Reduction kinetics of purified rat liver cytochrome P-450. Evidence for a sequential reaction mechanism dependent on the hemoprotein spin state. Biochemistry. 1984; 23:4526–4533. [PubMed: 6437438]
- Tsalkova TN, Davydova NY, Halpert JR, Davydov DR. Mechanism of interactions of alphanaphthoflavone with cytochrome p450 3A4 explored with an engineered enzyme bearing a fluorescent probe. Biochemistry. 2007; 46:106–119. [PubMed: 17198380]
- Williams PA, Cosme J, Vinkovic DM, Ward A, Angove HC, Day PJ, Vonrhein C, Tickle IJ, Jhoti H. Crystal structures of human cytochrome P450 3A4 bound to metyrapone and progesterone. Science. 2004; 305:683–686. [PubMed: 15256616]



Fig. 1.

Interactions of CYP3A4 wild type (circles), and its F213W (triangles), F304W (squares), and L211F/D214E (diamonds) mutants with ANF (**a**), 1-PB (**b**) and bromocriptine (**c**) monitored by a substrate-induced spin shift. Solid lines show the results of fitting of the data sets with the Hill equation (panels **a** and **b**) or the equation for the equilibrium of binary association (equation (1), panel **c**). Conditions: 1-1.5 μ M cytochrome P450 in 0.1 M Na-Hepes, pH 7.4, 25 °C. In the experiments with 1-PB (**a**) the buffer also contained 0.6% HPCD.



Fig. 2.

Interactions of 1-PB with CYP3A4 wild type (circles) and its F213W (triangles) and F304W (squares) and L211F/D214E (diamonds) mutants in dilution experiments. Changes in the normalized intensity of fluorescence upon dilution of a 1:1 mixture of 1-PB and enzyme are shown in panel (a). The results of a similar set of experiments performed at excess substrate are shown in panel (b). In the experiments with F304W and L211F/D214E the enzyme:substrate ratio was equal to 1:6, while the data sets shown for the wild type enzyme and F213W were obtained with a ratio of 1:4 and 1:5 respectively. Panel (c) illustrates the dilution experiments performed at 1:5 enzyme:substrate ratio with registration of absorbance. Solid lines represent the results of fitting of the data set to equation (1).



Fig. 3.

Sequential two-state binding mechanism of substrate binding with two substrate binding sites and linked spin equilibria.



Fig. 4.

Approximation of the results of the absorbance titration experiments of CYP3A4 mutants F213W (triangles) F304W (squares) and L211F/D214E (diamonds) with the sequential model of substrate binding (Fig. 3). The data points represent the same data sets as shown in Fig. 1b. Dashed lines show the results of approximation of the data sets with the initial sequential model, where the spin shift is observed in the ternary complex only. The solid lines represent the results of fitting to improved sequential model, where the substrate-induced displacement of the spin equilibrium in the complex *ES* is allowed. The fitting of the data set for the wild type enzyme with improved model is not shown, as it yields $F_{\rm ES} = 0$ and results in the approximation identical to that given by the initial model (dashed line). Panel **b** shows the initial part of the same curves zoomed in.



Fig. 5.

The effect of the parameter $F_{\rm ES}$ on the behavior of the improved sequential model and the effective values of $n_{\rm H}$ and S_{50} determined from the fitting of the simulated titration curves to the Hill equation. Data sets shown in the panel *a* were calculated for $K_{\rm D1}$ =0.25 µM, $K_{\rm D2}$ =7.5 µM, the enzyme concentration of 1.5 µM and $F_{\rm ES}$ equal to 0 (circles), 0.05 (inverted triangles), 0.1 (squares), 0.2 (diamonds), 0.3 (triangles), 0.4 (hexagons) and 0.5 (circles). The dashed line shows the dependence calculated for $F_{\rm ES}$ =1 (i.e., reflecting the changes in the concentration of the binary complex only). Solid lines show the fitting of the calculated data sets to the Hill equation. The effect of $F_{\rm ES}$ on the values of $n_{\rm H}$ and S_{50} found from this fitting is illustrated on the panels *b* and *c*. The solid lines shown on these panels represent the approximation of the dependencies with a third-order polynomial. These approximations have no interpretative meaning and are shown only to illustrate the general trend.

Table 1

Position of spin equilibrium and the parameters of substrate binding monitored by substrate-induced spin shift for CYP3A4 wild type and its F213W ,F304W and L211F/D214E mutants.^{*}

Curbotnoto	Domotou		CYP3/	A4 variant	
Substrate	r ar anneuer	Wild Type	F213W	F304W	L211F/D214E
None	$h_0, \% a$	10.9 ± 0.5	16.3 ± 1.7	24.2 ± 4.1	26.8 ± 1.0
1-PB	$S_{50, \mu M}$ $n_{ m H}$ $\Delta h_{ m max}, \% b$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.7 \pm 2.4 \\ 1.67 \pm 0.18 \\ 46.7 \pm 4.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.6 \pm 4.6 \\ 1.07 \pm 0.16 \\ 57.0 \pm 5.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.7 \pm 2.0 \\ 1.07 \pm 0.12 \\ 57.7 \pm 5.6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.1 \pm 1.8 \\ 1.07 \pm 0.17 \\ 39.4 \pm 5.1 \end{array}$
ANF	$S_{50}, \mu M$ $n_{ m H}$ $\Delta h_{ m max}, \%$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.66 \pm 0.70 \\ 2.04 \pm 0.30 \\ 40.0 \pm 2.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.50 \pm 1.36 \\ 1.52 \pm 0.30 \\ 33.5 \pm 2.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.63 \pm 1.46 \\ 2.10 \pm 0.20 \\ 36.0 \pm 5.2 \end{array}$	3.67 ± 1.16 1.68 ± 0.16 27.9 ± 2.2
Bromocriptine	$K_{ m D},\mu{ m M}$ $\Delta h_{ m max},\%$	0.83 ± 0.11 49.0 ± 3.3	$\begin{array}{c} 0.86 \pm 0.27 \\ 30.2 \pm 8.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.64 \pm 0.12 \\ 41.0 \pm 4.0 \end{array}$	1.50 ± 0.37 23.6 ± 3.6
	-				

The values given in the table represent the averages of 3-7 individual measurements and the " \pm " values show the confidence interval calculated for p = 0.05.

 $^{d}\mathrm{The}$ fraction of the high spin heme protein at 25 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ at no substrate added.

 $^b\mathrm{The}$ amplitude of the substrate-induced spin shift, %.

Table 2

Parameters of the individual substrate binding transitions found in dilution experiments with detection of 1-PB binding to CYP3A4 and its mutants by FRET and substrate-induced spin shift.*

CYP3A4	Titration-by- equimolar en mixture moni	filution with an zyme-substrate tored by FRET	Titration-b excess substr by I	y-dilution at ate monitored RET	Titration-b excess substr by spi	y-dilution at 'ate monitored in shift
Variant	$K_{\mathrm{D1}},\mu\mathrm{M}$	Efficiency of FRET, %	K_{D2} , $\mu\mathrm{M}$	Efficiency of FRET, %	K_{D2} , $\mu\mathrm{M}$	$\Delta h_{ m max}, \sqrt[6]{a}$
Wild Type	0.25 ± 0.11	95.2 ± 5.7	5.0 ± 1.1	108.0 ± 1.2	10.2 ± 1.2	49.7 ± 7.3
F213W	0.07 ± 0.04	80.8 ± 26.4	7.0 ± 0.05	109.0 ± 2.0	14.4 ± 1.5	40.0 ± 16
F304W	0.26 ± 0.08	99.6 ± 7.8	5.4 ± 0.5	109.0 ± 1.6	11.4 ± 1.1	23.2 ± 14.0
L211F/D214E	0.82 ± 0.43	108.4 ± 12.9	16.4 ± 16.5	83.4 ± 16.4	7.3 ± 2.9	18.2 ± 7.1

The values given in the table represent the averages of 2-4 individual measurements and the " \pm " values show the confidence interval calculated for p = 0.05.

 $^{\alpha}$ Maximal amplitude of the spin shift deduced from the fitting of the titration curves.