

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Curr Atheroscler Rep. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 April 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Curr Atheroscler Rep. 2011 April ; 13(2): 115–122. doi:10.1007/s11883-010-0153-0.

Diabetes and Cardiovascular Disease: The Potential Benefit of Incretin-Based Therapies

Daniel Addison and

Winters Center for Heart Failure Research and Section of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA

David Aguilar

Winters Center for Heart Failure Research and Section of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA. Cardiovascular Division, Baylor College of Medicine, 1709 Dryden Street-BCM 620, Suite 500, Box 13, Houston, TX 77030, USA

David Aguilar: daguilar@bcm.edu

Abstract

The health burden of type 2 diabetes mellitus continues to increase worldwide. A substantial portion of this burden is due to the development of cardiovascular disease in patients with diabetes. Recent failures of clinical trials of intensive glucose control to reduce macrovascular events, coupled with reports of potential harm of certain diabetic therapy, have led to increased scrutiny as new diabetic therapies are developed. Incretin peptides are a group of gastrointestinal proteins that regulate glucose metabolism through multiple mechanisms, and incretin-based therapies have been developed to treat type 2 diabetes. These agents include glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and dipeptidyl peptidase-IV (DPP-IV) inhibitors. In addition to effects on glucose homeostasis, growing evidence suggest that these peptides may also affect the cardiovascular system. In this review, we discuss recent findings concerning the potential, yet untested, benefits of incretin-based pharmacotherapy in the treatment of cardiovascular disease.

Keywords

Diabetes; Glucagon-like peptide 1; DPP-IV; Incretin hormones; Cardiovascular disease

Introduction

The prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus continues to increase. It is estimated that approximately 7.8% of the US population, or nearly 24 million people, have diabetes [1]. Additionally, it is projected that approximately one of three individuals born in the United States in the year 2000 will develop diabetes during their lifetime [2]. Importantly, these increased rates of diabetes are not unique to the United States, but are similar across the globe. The total number of people with diabetes worldwide is projected to increase from 171 million in 2000 to 366 million in 2030 [3].

The increased prevalence of diabetes has tremendous health and financial consequences given the impact of diabetes on mortality and morbidity [1]. The age-adjusted risk of death

Correspondence to: David Aguilar, daguilar@bcm.edu.

Disclosure Daniel Addison reports no potential conflict of interest relevant to this article. David Aguilar is a consultant for Amylin Pharmaceuticals and has received research support from Amylin Pharmaceutical and Sanofi-Aventis.

among people with diabetes is approximately twice that of people without diabetes, and life expectancy is reduced 5 to 10 years among middle-aged persons with diabetes. Given the substantial health burden, the tremendous economic cost of treating diabetes is not surprising, with total (direct and indirect) annual estimated costs in the United States of approximately \$174 billion. One important factor contributing the increased morbidity and mortality in diabetic individuals is the development of cardiovascular disease (CVD). In this review, we address the dangerous intersection of diabetes and CVD and discuss the potential role of incretin-based therapies in the prevention and treatment of CVD in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Diabetes and Cardiovascular Disease: Implications of Recent Clinical Trials

Multiple epidemiologic studies have established diabetes as a major risk factor for the development of all manifestations of CVD, including myocardial infarction, stroke, peripheral vascular disease, and heart failure [4–6,7•], and recent data suggest that the proportion of CVD attributable to diabetes is increasing [5]. It is estimated that CVD accounts for 65% of all deaths in persons with diabetes [1]. In a recent meta-analysis of nearly 700,000 people from 102 prospective studies, diabetes conferred an approximate twofold risk for coronary heart disease and stroke, independently from other conventional risk factors [7•]. Thus, in order to reduce the health burden of diabetes, it is necessary to aggressively prevent and treat CVD.

The etiology for the accelerated atherosclerosis and cardiovascular diseases seen in patients with diabetes is likely multifactorial [8,9]. A number of potential mechanisms have been implicated in this process, including the direct and indirect effects of hyperglycemia and advanced glycation end-products (AGEs), impaired endothelial function, increased subclinical inflammation, and abnormalities of thrombosis and fibrinolysis. Additional mechanisms include the development of atherogenic dyslipidemia, changes in adipokines, and increased levels of free fatty acids. In addition to these mechanisms, individuals with diabetes often have a clustering of additional cardiovascular risk factors closely linked to insulin resistance, including hypertension and central obesity. Efforts to lower cardiovascular risk in patients have included strategies that address several of these pathophysiologic abnormalities [4]. These strategies include lifestyle interventions to prevent obesity and physical inactivity, adequate blood pressure control, treatment of atherogenic dyslipidemia, and appropriate treatment with antiplatelet therapy [4].

The importance of glycemic control and the role of anti-glycemic therapy in reducing adverse outcomes in diabetic patients are areas of intense interest. Multiple epidemiologic studies have demonstrated an association between worse glycemic control and an increased risk of both microvascular and macrovascular adverse outcomes [7•,10]. These observational data linking worse glycemic control to higher rates cardiovascular events in diabetic patients led to several recent studies (Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes [ACCORD], Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicron MR Controlled Evaluation [ADVANCE], and Veterans Affairs Diabetes Trial [VADT]) designed to assess the strategy of intensive glucose control to normal or near normal levels in order to improve cardiovascular outcomes $[11-12,13\bullet]$. Although these randomized controlled studies demonstrated reductions in microvascular complications, these studies did not demonstrate improvements in total mortality or cardiovascular mortality in individuals randomized to strategies of more intensive glucose control. Contrary, in the ACCORD trial, there was an unexpected increase in mortality in patients assigned to the intensive-treatment arm when compared to patients receiving standard care [13••]. A recent meta-analysis of randomized trials that compared clinical outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes receiving intensive glucose control to those receiving conventional glucose control demonstrated that

intensive glucose control reduced the risk for non-fatal myocardial infarction but did not reduce the risk for cardiovascular death or all-cause mortality [14]. Reassuringly, this metaanalysis did not demonstrate the increased the mortality seen in patients randomized to intensive glucose control in the ACCORD trial.

Although several mechanisms may have contributed to the negative findings of these clinical trials, one important possibility is that the adverse consequences of hypoglycemia and limitations of current anti-diabetic therapy may have offset any potential benefit of more intensive glycemic control. In the ACCORD trial, risks of severe hypoglycemia were three times higher in those individuals randomized to intensive glucose control [13••]. Similarly, in addition to the risk of hypoglycemia, weight gain associated with insulin, sulfonylureas, and thiazolidinediones (TZDs) may offset any potential benefit. Additionally, TZDs have been associated with increased volume retention and increased risk of heart failure hospitalizations [15]. Finally, the TZD rosiglitazone has been linked to increased risk of myocardial infarction [16].

These recent failures of intensive glycemic control to reduce macrovascular events and the realization of potential harm with current diabetic therapy, such as rosiglitazone, has led to a paradigm shift to move "beyond glycemic control" as new anti-diabetic therapies are developed. This paradigm shift was highlighted in recent recommendations to industry by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) that new anti-diabetic therapies to treat type 2 diabetes should not result in an unacceptable increase in cardiovascular risk [17]. Incretinbased therapies represent a developing class of diabetic therapy that are currently being used and will be tested in the current environment of heightened cardiovascular safety and efficacy. There are several advantages to this class of medication that hold potential, yet untested, promise in the prevention of CVD.

Incretin-Based Therapies

Incretin System

Incretin peptides are a group of gastrointestinal proteins secreted in response to food ingestion that stimulate insulin production from the beta-cells of the pancreas [18•,19•]. The "incretin effect" refers to the observation that glucose triggers a much greater insulin secretory response when ingested orally than when administered intravenously [20]. This effect may account for up to 50% to 70% of the total insulin secreted after glucose ingestion. In humans, two molecules serve as the main incretin peptides: glucose-dependant insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP, formerly called gastric inhibitory polypeptide) and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1).

GIP is a 42-amino acid peptide secreted by intestinal K-cells, mostly in the proximal small intestine, in response to ingestion of oral intake of carbohydrates and lipids [18•,19•]. GIP augments glucose-stimulated insulin release via G-protein coupled receptors located mostly on pancreatic β-cells [21]. GIP is rapidly degraded (plasma half-life of 5–7 min) by the enzyme dipeptidyl-peptidase IV (DPP-IV) [18•]. The ubiquitous enzyme DPP-IV can be found in multiple tissues, including endothelial cells, lymphocytes, central nervous system, kidney, lung, and pancreas. DPP-IV has many substrates, including neuropeptides, cytokines, and other GI peptides [18•,19•]. Despite its relatively short half-life, GIP contributes substantially to the incretin effect seen in healthy adults. In patients with type 2 diabetes, GIP is normally secreted, but the insulinotropic effects of GIP are greatly reduced, limiting its potential in the treatment of diabetes [22].

GLP-1 is a derivative of the transcription product of the proglucagon gene [18•,19•]. It is secreted in large measure from the L-cells of the distal jejunum, ileum, and colon in two

Addison and Aguilar Page 4

main biologically active forms, GLP-1 (7–36) amide (the major form in humans) and glycine-extended GLP-1 (7–37). GLP-1 is released within minutes of food ingestion, suggesting that this rapid release is more indirectly controlled by both neural and endocrine signaling, rather than direct stimulation of the L-cells in the gastrointestinal tract. Similar to GIP, GLP-1 is rapidly inactivated by DPP-IV; the half-life of circulating GLP-1 is 1 to 2 min. The actions of GLP-1 are felt to be predominantly mediated through GLP-1 receptors, which are expressed in the endocrine pancreas (alpha and beta-cells), gastrointestinal tract, stomach, heart, hypothalamus, kidneys, and lung. Binding of GLP-1 to the receptor leads to activation of adenyl cyclase, and leads to an increase of intracellular cyclic AMP levels [18•]. Other signaling pathways (MAP kinase, phospho-inositol-phospate [PIP3], and protein kinase [PKB]) may also be activated [21].

GLP-1 modulates various processes involved in glucose homeostasis [18•,20]. Through actions on pancreatic β-cells, GLP-1 stimulates insulin secretion in a glucose-dependent fashion, thus mitigating the potential risk of hypoglycemia. In addition to effects on insulin secretion, GLP-1 promotes glucose-stimulated insulin gene transcription and biosynthesis. Other GLP-1-mediated effects include inhibition of glucagon secretion and inhibition of gastrointestinal secretion and mobility, particularly inhibition of gastric emptying. GLP-1 has also been shown to reduce appetite and food intake and has been associated with weight loss. Finally, GLP-1 may have trophic effects on pancreatic beta-cells [23], with concurrent reduction of cellular apoptosis [24]. In patients with type 2 diabetes, the postprandial secretion of GLP-1 appears to be diminished [25], but, importantly, the effects of exogenous GLP-1 on insulin secretion, glucagon suppression, and delayed gastric emptying remain preserved.

Given these effects on glucose homeostasis, GLP-1-based therapies have been developed as potential treatment for patients with type 2 diabetes. As described above, the short half-life of native GLP-1 due to rapid degradation by DPP-IV has limited its use in the chronic treatment of type 2 diabetes, as the native peptide must be given by continuous intravenous or subcutaneous infusion. In order to overcome this limitation, inhibitors of the DPP-IV enzyme and GLP-1 receptor agonists resistant to DPP-IV have been developed.

Examples of DPP-IV inhibitors that are commercially available include sitagliptin, saxagliptin, and vildagliptin (Table 1). These agents typically result in a twofold increase in endogenous GLP-1 levels and have been associated with a 0.7% to 1% reduction in glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1C). These agents are administered orally, are weight neutral, and generally are well tolerated.

Commercially available GLP-1 receptor agonists include exenatide and liraglutide. GLPreceptor agonists have been associated with a 0.8% to 1.1% reduction in HbA1C [26]. In contrast to the DPP-IV inhibitors, these agents may be administered at higher pharmacologic levels and lead to greater receptor activation. In addition, these agents have been associated with modest weight loss (approximately 3 kg over 6 months) [26].

Potential Cardiovascular Benefits of Incretin-Based Therapy: Beyond Glucose Control

Incretin based therapies have several attributes that may make this class of medication beneficial in the prevention and treatment of CVD when compared to other pharmacologic diabetic treatment. As opposed sulfonylureas, TZDs, and insulin, which are all associated with weight gain, DPP-IV inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists are either weight-neutral or promote weight loss, respectively. In addition, incretin-based therapies have not been linked to fluid retention and/or worsening heart failure, a problem that has been reported with TZDs [15]. In addition, a growing body of literature has demonstrated a more direct

Addison and Aguilar Page 5

association between incretin-based therapies and modulation of both cardiovascular risk factors and cardiovascular disease states.

Dyslipidemia—Clinical studies of GLP-1 receptor agonists [26] and DPP-IV inhibitors [27] have demonstrated modest improvements in lipid panels. These improvements have included modest reduction in levels of total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, triglycerides, and apolipoprotein B. It is important to note, that these reductions have been modest, and not all studies have demonstrated a significant benefit [28]. Part of the association between improvements in lipid parameters and incretin-based therapy may be related to potential incretin-associated weight loss [29].

Hypertension—GLP-1 agonists have been implicated in the reduction of systolic blood pressure [30,31•]. In a meta-analysis of six trials, exenatide was associated with a reduction of 2 to 4 mmHg in systolic blood pressure (SBP) when compared to placebo or insulin therapy [31•]. In this meta-analysis, the reduction in SBP was greatest in those with high baseline blood pressure and was not significant in patients with normal blood pressure at baseline. Similarly, liraglutide has been associated with an SBP reduction of 2 to 3 mmHg when compared to glimepiride [30]. Although weight loss may contribute to these reductions in SBP, the meta-analysis of exenatide trials demonstrated only a weak correlation between SBP reduction and weight loss [31•]. Similarly, the reductions in SBP seem to occur prior to the onset of significant weight loss [30]. Other potential explanations for a reduction in SBP include increased excretion of sodium [32] or improved endothelial function [33].

Endothelial Function—Vascular endothelial dysfunction is strongly associated with diabetes and insulin resistance and represents an early manifestation of atherosclerosis. Several studies have demonstrated potential benefits of incretin-based therapies on endothelial function. GLP-1 has been shown to improve endothelial-dependent vasodilation in a small study of patients with type 2 diabetes [33]. In addition to vascular reactivity, GLP-1 has been associated with a reduction in inflammatory markers and adhesion molecules that may adversely affect endothelial function. For example, treatment with the GLP-1 receptor agonist exendin-4 reduced monocyte/macrophage accumulation in the arterial wall of mice by inhibiting the inflammatory response in macrophages [34]. Exendin-4 appears to regulate this inflammatory response via the cAMP/PKA pathway, which subsequently inhibits pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-*α* and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 [34]. Liraglutide has also been shown to inhibit tumor necrosis factor-*α* or hyperglycemia-mediated induction of plasminogen-activator inhibitor type-1, intercellular adhesion molecule-1, vascular cell adhesion molecule-1, mRNA, and protein expression in human vascular endothelial cell lines [35].

Preliminary data also suggest potential benefits of DPP-IV inhibitors on endothelial function. DPP-IV inhibition by vildagliptin has been shown to reduce endothelial senescence in a diabetic rat model through the activation of protein kinase A and induction of antioxidant genes [36]. Additionally, a non-randomized study of 32 patients with type 2 diabetes demonstrated that inhibition of DPP-IV by sitagliptin for 4 weeks was associated with increased circulating endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs), a finding felt to be related to up-regulation of stromal-derived factor-1*α* by DPP-IV inhibition [37]. Given the potential protective vascular effects of EPCs and reduced EPCs in patients with diabetes [38], such effects may translate to favorable outcomes.

Coronary Artery Disease/Myocardial Infarction—As described above, GLP-1 may affect the development of atherosclerosis by improving many of the cardiovascular risk factors associated with the development of coronary artery disease (CAD). In addition,

GLP-1-based therapies may have beneficial effects in the setting of ischemia and myocardial infarction. GLP-1 has been shown to be cardioprotective in a rat model of myocardial ischemia and reperfusion/injury through multiple prosurvival kinases [39].

Similarly, both exenatide [40] and liraglutide [41•] have been shown to reduce infarct size in porcine models and mice models of acute myocardial infarction, respectively. In addition to reduced infarct size, treatment with exenatide prior to coronary artery ligation and subsequent reperfusion prevented the deterioration of systolic and diastolic cardiac function and was associated with reduced markers of nuclear oxidative stress and increased expression of the pro-survival kinase phosphorylated Akt [40]. In the study of liraglutide, 7 day pre-treatment with liraglutide modulated the expression and activity of cardioprotective genes and led to improvement in cardiomyocyte survival [41•]. Interestingly, in this study, liraglutide conferred cardioprotection and survival advantages over metformin, despite equivalent glycemic control [41•].

The effects of incretin-based therapies in humans with established CAD have been limited. In a non-randomized study of 10 patients with acute myocardial infarction and severe left ventricular (LV) systolic dysfunction (LV ejection fraction <40%), a 72-hour continuous infusion of GLP-1 (1.5 pmol/kg per minute) started shortly after successful percutaneous coronary intervention was associated with significant improvements in LV ejection fraction (from 29% to 39%) and both global and regional wall motion when compared to 11 control patients [42]. Similarly, in another randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of 20 patients with coronary heart disease and preserved LV function who were scheduled to undergo coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), continuous infusion of GLP-1 (1.5 pmol/ kg/min) beginning 12 h before CABG and continued for 48-hours after surgery was associated with less need for inotropic support and better glycemic control than patients receiving insulin alone [43]. Finally, a more recent human study also suggested potential benefit with the DPP-IV inhibitor sitagliptin [44]. In a pilot study of 14 patients with CAD and preserved LV function who were awaiting revascularization, sitagliptin improved global and regional LV performance in response to dobutamine stress testing and mitigated postischemic stunning when compared with placebo.

Heart Failure—GLP-1-based therapies have shown potential promise in animal models of heart failure and limited human studies. GLP-1 receptors are present in the heart, and a potential physiologic function to this receptor was demonstrated in a mouse model where genetic disruption of the GLP-1 receptor was associated with phenotype characteristics of diastolic heart failure, including increased LV wall thickness, smaller LV chamber dimensions, and increased LV end-diastolic pressure [45]. Forty-eight hour continuous GLP-1 infusion has also been associated with improvements in myocardial glucose uptake and improvements in LV and systemic hemodynamics in conscious dogs with dilated cardiomyopathy due to rapid pacing [46]. Of note, in this study of canine dilated cardiomyopathy, beneficial cardiac and hemodynamic effects were seen with both GLP-1 (7–36) amide and, the metabolite GLP-1 (9–36) (generated by the breakdown of GLP-1 (7– 36) by DPP-IV) [46]. A subsequent study, in a similar canine heart failure model, demonstrated that 48-hour infusion of GLP-1 (7–36) stimulated myocardial glucose uptake through a p38*α* MAP kinase-mediated and nitric oxide-dependent mechanism and that the increased myocardial uptake was not dependent on adenyl cyclase or Akt [47]. Finally, when administered continuously over a 3-month period in spontaneously hypertensive, heart-failure-prone rats, GLP-1 (7–36) was associated with improved survival and associated preserved LV function, increased myocardial glucose uptake, and reduced myocyte apoptosis [48•].

Limited human studies of GLP-1-based therapies have also shown potential promise in heart failure patients. As described above, a 72-hour continuous infusion of GLP-1 in survivors of acute myocardial infarction complicated by severe LV dysfunction was associated with significant improvements in LV ejection fraction [42]. Similarly, in a non-randomized study of 12 patients with New York Heart Association (NYHA) class III/IV HF, a continuous infusion of GLP-1 (2.5 pmol/kg/min) for 12 weeks improved LV ejection fraction (21% to 27%), VO₂ max, and 6-minute walk compared to nine HF patients on standard therapy [49]. Conclusions from these studies in HF populations have been limited due to the small sample sizes and largely non-randomized nature of the studies.

Unresolved Questions and Future Directions

Despite the growing body of literature regarding the potential benefits of incretin-based therapy in the prevention and treatment of CVD, several limitations exist and deserve future studies. Most importantly, large, randomized, blinded clinical trials assessing the impact of incretin-based therapies in the prevention and treatment of CVD have not been performed. To date, human studies examining cardiovascular effects have been small, mostly openlabel, and have focused on surrogate endpoints. Recent lessons from studies of glycemic control [13], diabetic therapy [16], and other surrogate markers demonstrate the need for large clinical trials to asses both safety and efficacy of diabetic treatment and define the benefit to risk ratio. Fortunately, several of these trials with incretin-based therapies are ongoing or being planned (Table 2).

In addition, mechanistic studies need to be completed to compare potential differences between the different available therapies (native GLP-1, GLP-1 analogues, and DPP-IV inhibitors). For example, some of the cardioprotective and vasodilatory actions of GLP-1 appear to be mediated by both GLP-1 $(7-36)$ and the metabolite GLP-1 $(9-36)$, which is formed when GLP-1 (7–36) is degraded by DPP-IV [50•]. The implications of these findings on the potential effects of GLP-1 receptor analogues and DPP-IV inhibitors require future studies. Finally, given the ubiquitous nature of DPP-IV, large studies of DPP-IV inhibitors need to be performed to ensure that there are no adverse off-target effects; to date, the safety profile appears acceptable.

Conclusions

The prevalence of type 2 diabetes continues to increase, seemingly unabated. The incretinbased therapies have several potential advantages when compared to other diabetic pharmacotherapy, including lower risks of hypoglycemia and less weight gain. In addition to beneficial effects on glucose homeostasis, a growing body of literature suggests potential benefits of this class of medication in the prevention and treatment of cardiovascular disease, the major contributor to increased morbidity and mortality in patients with diabetes. As we strive to lower the burden of cardiovascular disease in this population, future studies are necessary to assess cardiovascular safety and efficacy of these agents in patients with type 2 diabetes.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- • Of major importance

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Diabetes Fact Sheet. 2007 [Accessed September 2010]. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubs/pdf/ndfs_2007.pdf
- 2. Narayan KM, Boyle JP, Thompson TJ, et al. Lifetime risk for diabetes mellitus in the United States. JAMA. 2003; 290:1884–1890. [PubMed: 14532317]
- 3. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, et al. Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care. 2004; 27:1047–1053. [PubMed: 15111519]
- 4. Buse JB, Ginsberg HN, Bakris GL, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular diseases in people with diabetes mellitus: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association and the American Diabetes Association. Circulation. 2007; 115:114–126. [PubMed: 17192512]
- 5. Fox CS, Coady S, Sorlie PD, et al. Increasing cardiovascular disease burden due to diabetes mellitus: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation. 2007; 115:1544–1550. [PubMed: 17353438]
- 6. Kannel WB, McGee DL. Diabetes and cardiovascular disease. The Framingham study. JAMA. 1979; 241:2035–2038. [PubMed: 430798]
- 7•. Sarwar N, Gao P, Seshasai SR, Gobin R, et al. Diabetes mellitus, fasting blood glucose concentration, and risk of vascular disease: a collaborative meta-analysis of 102 prospective studies. Lancet. 2010; 375:2215–2222. Results from this meta-analysis of nearly 700,000 people confirm the burden of diabetes to the current era. Diabetes confers a twofold increased risk of CVD, including CAD and ischemic stroke, independent from other conventional risk factors. [PubMed: 20609967]
- 8. Laakso M. Cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes from population to man to mechanisms: the Kelly West Award Lecture 2008. Diabetes Care. 2010; 33:442–449. [PubMed: 20103560]
- 9. Mazzone T, Chait A, Plutzky J. Cardiovascular disease risk in type 2 diabetes mellitus: insights from mechanistic studies. Lancet. 2008; 371:1800–1809. [PubMed: 18502305]
- 10. Selvin E, Coresh J, Golden SH, et al. Glycemic control and coronary heart disease risk in persons with and without diabetes: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. Arch Intern Med. 2005; 165:1910–1916. [PubMed: 16157837]
- 11. Duckworth W, Abraira C, Moritz T, et al. Glucose control and vascular complications in veterans with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360:129–139. [PubMed: 19092145]
- 12. Patel A, MacMahon S, Chalmers J, et al. Intensive blood glucose control and vascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2008; 358:2560–2572. [PubMed: 18539916]
- 13••. Gerstein HC, Miller ME, Byington RP, et al. Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2008; 358:2545–2559. This randomized controlled study of 10,251 diabetic patients tested whether intensive therapy to target normal glycated hemoglobin would reduce cardiovascular events. The study demonstrated that the use of intensive therapy to target normal glucose levels did not significantly reduce major cardiovascular events and suggested possible harm. [PubMed: 18539917]
- 14. Kelly TN, Bazzano LA, Fonseca VA, et al. Systematic review: glucose control and cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes. Ann Intern Med. 2009; 151:394–403. [PubMed: 19620144]
- 15. Lago RM, Singh PP, Nesto RW. Congestive heart failure and cardiovascular death in patients with prediabetes and type 2 diabetes given thiazolidinediones: a meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials. Lancet. 2007; 370:1129–1136. [PubMed: 17905165]
- 16. Nissen SE, Wolski K. Rosiglitazone Revisited: An Updated Meta-analysis of Risk for Myocardial Infarction and Cardiovascular Mortality. Arch Intern Med. 2010; 170:1191–1201.
- 17. Food and Drug Administration: Guidance for Industry. Diabetes Mellitus-Evaluation Cardiovascular Risk in New Antidiabetic Therapies to Treat Type 2 Diabetes. 2008 [Accessed September 2010]. Available at [http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/](http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm071627.pdf) [ucm071627.pdf](http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm071627.pdf)
- 18•. Gautier JF, Choukem SP, Girard J. Physiology of incretins (GIP and GLP-1) and abnormalities in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Metab. 2008; 34(Suppl 2):S65–S72. This in-depth review discusses the physiology behind the incretin system. [PubMed: 18640588]
- 19•. Nauck MA. Unraveling the science of incretin biology. Am J Med. 2009; 122:S3–S10. This indepth review discusses the physiology behind the incretin system and the importance of incretinbased therapies in glucose homeostasis. [PubMed: 19464426]

- 20. Nauck MA, Homberger E, Siegel EG, et al. Incretin effects of increasing glucose loads in man calculated from venous insulin and C-peptide responses. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1986; 63:492– 498. [PubMed: 3522621]
- 21. Baggio LL, Drucker DJ. Biology of incretins: GLP-1 and GIP. Gastroenterology. 2007; 132:2131– 2157. [PubMed: 17498508]
- 22. Vilsboll T, Krarup T, Madsbad S, et al. Defective amplification of the late phase insulin response to glucose by GIP in obese Type II diabetic patients. Diabetologia. 2002; 45:1111–1119. [PubMed: 12189441]
- 23. Egan JM, Bulotta A, Hui H, et al. GLP-1 receptor agonists are growth and differentiation factors for pancreatic islet beta cells. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2003; 19:115–123. [PubMed: 12673779]
- 24. Buteau J, El-Assaad W, Rhodes CJ, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 prevents beta cell glucolipotoxicity. Diabetologia. 2004; 47:806–815. [PubMed: 15095038]
- 25. Vilsboll T, Krarup T, Deacon CF, et al. Reduced postprandial concentrations of intact biologically active glucagon-like peptide 1 in type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes. 2001; 50:609–613. [PubMed: 11246881]
- 26. Buse JB, Rosenstock J, Sesti G, et al. Liraglutide once a day versus exenatide twice a day for type 2 diabetes: a 26-week randomised, parallel-group, multinational, open-label trial (LEAD-6). Lancet. 2009; 374:39–47. [PubMed: 19515413]
- 27. Rosenstock J, Baron MA, Dejager S, et al. Comparison of vildagliptin and rosiglitazone monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 24-week, double-blind, randomized trial. Diabetes Care. 2007; 30:217–223. [PubMed: 17259484]
- 28. DeFronzo RA, Ratner RE, Han J, et al. Effects of exenatide (exendin-4) on glycemic control and weight over 30 weeks in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005; 28:1092–1100. [PubMed: 15855572]
- 29. Horton ES, Silberman C, Davis KL, et al. Weight loss, glycemic control, and changes in cardiovascular biomarkers in patients with type 2 diabetes receiving incretin therapies or insulin in a large cohort database. Diabetes Care. 2010; 33:1759–1765. [PubMed: 20460445]
- 30. Nauck M, Frid A, Hermansen K, Shah NS, Tankova T, Mitha IH, et al. Efficacy and safety comparison of liraglutide, glimepiride, and placebo, all in combination with metformin, in type 2 diabetes: the LEAD (liraglutide effect and action in diabetes)-2 study. Diabetes Care. 2009; 32:84– 90. [PubMed: 18931095]
- 31•. Okerson T, Yan P, Stonehouse A, Brodows R. Effects of exenatide on systolic blood pressure in subjects with type 2 diabetes. Am J Hypertens. 2010; 23:334–339. Results pooled from six trials examining over 2100 patients who were studied for over 6 months reveal that exenatide therapy was associated with a small but significant reduction in systolic blood pressure. The investigators found that the greatest reduction in blood pressure was seen in individuals with systolic blood pressure of at least 150 mm Hg at baseline and in African Americans. [PubMed: 20019672]
- 32. Gutzwiller JP, Tschopp S, Bock A, et al. Glucagon-like peptide 1 induces natriuresis in healthy subjects and in insulin-resistant obese men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2004; 89:3055–3061. [PubMed: 15181098]
- 33. Nystrom T, Gutniak MK, Zhang Q, et al. Effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 on endothelial function in type 2 diabetes patients with stable coronary artery disease. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2004; 287:E1209–1215. [PubMed: 15353407]
- 34. Arakawa M, Mita T, Azuma K, et al. Inhibition of monocyte adhesion to endothelial cells and attenuation of atherosclerotic lesion by a glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist, exendin-4. Diabetes. 2010; 59:1030–1037. [PubMed: 20068138]
- 35. Liu H, Dear AE, Knudsen LB, et al. A long-acting glucagon-like peptide-1 analogue attenuates induction of plasminogen activator inhibitor type-1 and vascular adhesion molecules. J Endocrinol. 2009; 201:59–66. [PubMed: 19136619]
- 36. Oeseburg H, de Boer RA, Buikema H, et al. Glucagon-like peptide 1 prevents reactive oxygen species-induced endothelial cell senescence through the activation of protein kinase A. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2010; 30:1407–1414. [PubMed: 20448207]

- 37. Fadini GP, Boscaro E, Albiero M, et al. The oral dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor sitagliptin increases circulating endothelial progenitor cells in patients with type 2 diabetes: possible role of stromal-derived factor-1alpha. Diabetes Care. 2010; 33:1607–1609. [PubMed: 20357375]
- 38. Fadini GP, de Kreutzenberg S, Agostini C, et al. Low CD34+ cell count and metabolic syndrome synergistically increase the risk of adverse outcomes. Atherosclerosis. 2009; 207:213–219. [PubMed: 19406403]
- 39. Bose AK, Mocanu MM, Carr RD, et al. Glucagon-like peptide 1 can directly protect the heart against ischemia/reperfusion injury. Diabetes. 2005; 54:146–151. [PubMed: 15616022]
- 40. Timmers L, Henriques JP, de Kleijn DP, et al. Exenatide reduces infarct size and improves cardiac function in a porcine model of ischemia and reperfusion injury. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009; 53:501– 510. [PubMed: 19195607]
- 41•. Noyan-Ashraf MH, Momen MA, et al. GLP-1R agonist liraglutide activates cytoprotective pathways and improves outcomes after experimental myocardial infarction in mice. Diabetes. 2009; 58:975–983. This article examines the role of liraglutide therapy in the modulation of acute myocardial infarction in mice. The results demonstrate a significant reduction in post-ischemic injury and improved myocardial function, leading to improved survival. [PubMed: 19151200]
- 42. Nikolaidis LA, Mankad S, Sokos GG, et al. Effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 in patients with acute myocardial infarction and left ventricular dysfunction after successful reperfusion. Circulation. 2004; 109:962–965. [PubMed: 14981009]
- 43. Sokos GG, Bolukoglu H, German J, et al. Effect of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) on glycemic control and left ventricular function in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. Am J Cardiol. 2007; 100:824–829. [PubMed: 17719327]
- 44. Read PA, Khan FZ, Heck PM, et al. DPP-4 inhibition by sitagliptin improves the myocardial response to dobutamine stress and mitigates stunning in a pilot study of patients with coronary artery disease. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2010; 3:195–201. [PubMed: 20075143]
- 45. Gros R, You X, Baggio LL, et al. Cardiac function in mice lacking the glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor. Endocrinology. 2003; 144:2242–2252. [PubMed: 12746281]
- 46. Nikolaidis LA, Elahi D, Shen YT, et al. Active metabolite of GLP-1 mediates myocardial glucose uptake and improves left ventricular performance in conscious dogs with dilated cardiomyopathy. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2005; 289:H2401–2408. [PubMed: 16024574]
- 47. Bhashyam S, Fields AV, Patterson B, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 increases myocardial glucose uptake via p38alpha MAP kinase-mediated, nitric oxide-dependent mechanisms in conscious dogs with dilated cardiomyopathy. Circ Heart Fail. 2010; 3:512–521. [PubMed: 20466848]
- 48•. Poornima I, Brown SB, Bhashyam S, et al. Chronic glucagon-like peptide-1 infusion sustains left ventricular systolic function and prolongs survival in the spontaneously hypertensive, heart failure-prone rat. Circ Heart Fail. 2008; 1:153–160. The investigators examined the efficacy of 3 months of GLP-1 infusion therapy in obese hypertensive rats and found that GLP-1 was associated with significant survival benefits. The results also reveal preserved left ventricular mass and function, with decreased myocyte apoptosis. [PubMed: 19727407]
- 49. Sokos GG, Nikolaidis LA, Mankad S, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 infusion improves left ventricular ejection fraction and functional status in patients with chronic heart failure. J Card Fail. 2006; 12:694–699. [PubMed: 17174230]
- 50•. Ban K, Noyan-Ashraf MH, Hoefer J, et al. Cardioprotective and vasodilatory actions of glucagonlike peptide 1 receptor are mediated through both glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor-dependent and -independent pathways. Circulation. 2008; 117:2340–2350. This important study describes cardioprotective actions of GLP-1 (7–36) mediated through the known GLP-1 receptor. In addition, the study also demonstrates novel cardiac and vascular actions of GLP-1 (7–36) and its metabolite GLP-1 (9–36), independent of the known GLP-1 receptor. [PubMed: 18427132]

Table 1

Examples of incretin-based therapies

DPP-IV dipeptidyl-peptidase-IV; *GLP-1* glucagon-like peptide 1

Table 2

Selected ongoing large-scale, prospective clinical trials

ACS acute coronary syndrome; *CHF* congestive heart failure; *CV* cardiovascular; *LVEF* left ventricular ejection fraction; *NYHA* New York Heart Association; *T2DM* type 2 diabetes mellitus