

Online Submissions: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327office wjg@wjgnet.com doi:10.3748/wjg.v17.i9.1204 World J Gastroenterol 2011 March 7; 17(9): 1204-1210 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) © 2011 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

BRIEF ARTICLE

Coffee drinking and pancreatic cancer risk: A meta-analysis of cohort studies

Jie Dong, Jian Zou, Xiao-Feng Yu

Jie Dong, Jian Zou, Xiao-Feng Yu, Department of Gastroenterology, Huadong Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200040, China

Author contributions: Dong J and Zou J contributed equally to this work; Zou J designed the research; Dong J, Yu XF and Zou J performed the research and analyzed the data; Dong J and Zou J wrote the paper.

Correspondence to: Dr. Jian Zou, Department of Gastroenterology, Huadong Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200040, China. apollozou@hotmail.com

Telephone: +86-21-62483180 Fax: +86-21-32140503 Received: October 13, 2010 Revised: December 16, 2010

Accepted: December 23, 2010 Newleden December 23, 2010

Published online: March 7, 2011

Abstract

AIM: To quantitatively assess the relationship between coffee consumption and incidence of pancreatic cancer in a meta-analysis of cohort studies.

METHODS: We searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, Science Citation Index Expanded and bibliographies of retrieved articles. Studies were included if they reported relative risks (RRs) and corresponding 95% CIs of pancreatic cancer with respect to frequency of coffee intake. We performed random-effects meta-analyses and metaregressions of study-specific incremental estimates to determine the risk of pancreatic cancer associated with a 1 cup/d increment in coffee consumption.

RESULTS: Fourteen studies met the inclusion criteria, which included 671080 individuals (1496 cancer events) with an average follow-up of 14.9 years. Compared with individuals who did not drink or seldom drank coffee per day, the pooled RR of pancreatic cancer was 0.82 (95% CI: 0.69-0.95) for regular coffee drinkers, 0.86 (0.76-0.96) for low to moderate coffee drinkers, and 0.68 (0.51-0.84) for high drinkers. In subgroup analyses, we noted that, coffee drinking was associated with

a reduced risk of pancreatic cancer in men, while this association was not seen in women. These associations were also similar in studies from North America, Europe, and the Asia-Pacific region.

CONCLUSION: Findings from this meta-analysis suggest that there is an inverse relationship between coffee drinking and risk of pancreatic cancer.

© 2011 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

Key words: Coffee; Cohort study; Meta-analysis; Pancreatic neoplasm

Peer reviewer: Mitsuyoshi Urashima, MD, PhD, MPH, Division of Molecular Epidemiology, Jikei University School of Medicine, 3-25-8 Nishi-shimbashi, Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-8461, Japan

Dong J, Zou J, Yu XF. Coffee drinking and pancreatic cancer risk: A meta-analysis of cohort studies. *World J Gastroenterol* 2011; 17(9): 1204-1210. Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v17/i9/1204.htm DOI: http://dx.doi. org/10.3748/wjg.v17.i9.1204

INTRODUCTION

Coffee is one of the most widely consumed beverages in the world, with a yearly world average consumption of 1.1 kg per capita, which reaches 4.5 kg in industrialized countries^[1]. More recently, coffee consumption has been associated with a reduction in the risk of several chronic diseases, including type 2 diabetes mellitus, Parkinson's disease and liver disease^[2:4]. Of these associations, the relationship between coffee drinking and cancer risk is of great interest.

Coffee consumption may exert an anticarcinogenic effect in some organs. For example, a reduction in cholesterol, bile acid, and neutral sterol secretion in the colon is a



WJG www.wjgnet.com

direct effect of coffee consumption as is increased colonic motility, which can reduce exposure of epithelium to carcinogens^[5]. The components of coffee which have received attention are caffeine (a purine alkaloid), cafestol (a diterpene), kahweol (another diterpene), and chlorogenic acid (a dietary phenol). Cafestol and kahweol, which are active ingredients in the coffee oil, decrease mutagenesis and tumorigenesis in animal models. Diterpenes, found in coffee, reduce genotoxicity of carcinogens and lower DNA adduct formation. Caffeic acid and chlorogenic acid are antioxidants and have been reported to decrease DNA methylation^[6]. More subtly, caffeine itself appears to be protectiveaffecting cell cycle, proliferation, and apoptosis^[7,8].

Pancreatic cancer is one of the most aggressive and treatment-refractory malignancies in humans. Given that there is no screening test for the early detection of this cancer and no effective treatment to prolong survival time, primary prevention appears to be the most important way of reducing pancreatic cancer mortality. Over the last 4 decades, a number of epidemiologic studies have estimated the association between coffee consumption and pancreatic cancer occurrence. However, the results of these studies were inconsistent. Data from casecontrol studies may be subject to recall bias with respect to coffee consumption and selection bias with respect to the control group. Additional prospective cohort studies excluding those biases would be more useful for assessing coffee-cancer associations. We, therefore, systematically reviewed and performed a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies to quantitatively assess the association between coffee intake and pancreatic cancer risk in humans. Because of the high consumption of coffee, even small effects on pancreatic cancer occurrence could have a large impact on public health.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Literature search

We searched the electronic databases MEDLINE (1966 to August 2010), EMBASE (1985 to August 2010), and Science Citation Index Expanded (1945 to August 2010), using the Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) term coffee combined with pancreatic cancer or pancreatic neoplasm or pancreatic carcinoma. Furthermore, we reviewed reference lists of retrieved articles to search for more studies. Articles published in any language were included.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

For inclusion, studies had to fulfill the following criteria: have a prospective cohort design; report relative risks (RRs) or hazard ratios and their corresponding 95% CIs (or data to calculate them) of pancreatic cancer relating to every category of coffee intake; and provide the frequency of coffee consumption. Studies were excluded if: case-control design was used; mixed beverage was reported, in which the effect of coffee could not be separated; only surrogate nutrients of coffee were reported; no categories of coffee intake were reported that could not allow for adequate

classification of intake. If multiple published reports from the same study cohort were available, we included only the one with the most detailed information for both outcome and coffee consumption.

Data extraction

Data were extracted independently by two investigators (Yu and Dong) according to the meta-analysis of observation studies in epidemiology guidelines^[9], and discrepancies were resolved by discussion with a third investigator (Zou). For each study, the following information was extracted: first author's last name; year of publication; country of origin; follow-up period; number of subjects and cases; age at baseline; category amounts of coffee intake; outcome assessment; RRs or hazard ratios of pancreatic cancer and corresponding 95% CIs for every category of coffee intake; and covariates adjusted in the statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis

The measures of interest were the RR and the corresponding 95% CIs for included cohort studies. When RRs were not available in the published article, they were computed from the exposure distributions. Because various studies used different measurement units for coffee consumption, we converted these into cups per day as a standard measure. If coffee consumption was indicated by milliliter, we assumed 125 mL as approximately equivalent to 1 cup.

We computed the summary RR for coffee drinkers *vs* nondrinkers and for different levels of consumption by giving each study-specific RR a weight that was proportional to its precision (i.e. the inverse of the variance derived, when necessary, from the reported 95% CIs). To estimate the summary RR for various levels of coffee consumption, we first calculated the study-specific estimate separately for low to moderate consumption and high consumption.

Statistical heterogeneity among studies was estimated using Q and I^2 statistics. For the Q statistic, heterogeneity was considered present when P < 0.1. We pooled the study-specific estimates using both the fixed effect model and the random effect model proposed by DerSimonian and Laird; when a significant heterogeneity was found, the random effect model results were presented. A sensitivity analysis was also conducted, in which one study at a time was removed and the rest analyzed to estimate whether the results could have been affected markedly by a single study.

For dose-response analysis, we used the method proposed by Greenland *et al*^[10] to estimate study-specific slopes from the correlated natural logarithm of the RR across categories of coffee consumption, assigning to each class the dose corresponding to the midpoint of upper and lower boundaries. The highest, open-ended category was assumed to have the same amplitude of consumption as the preceding category^[11]. Then the summary RR for pancreatic cancer risk with a 1 cup/d increment in



coffee consumption was obtained by pooling the studyspecific slopes, using the inverse of the corresponding variances as weights.

Finally, publication bias was evaluated through funnel plot visual analysis and with the Begg's and Egger's tests. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed with STATA (version 9.0; Stata Co., College Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS

Using the predefined search strategy, we identified 14 prospective cohort studies (Figure 1), including 671080 participants and 1496 incident cases of pancreatic cancer with an average follow-up of 14.9 years, which were eligible for inclusion in the meta-analysis^[12-25]. The characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Table 1. Initial agreement between the two reviewers on whether a study was eligible for inclusion occurred in 48/50 manuscripts (96%; $\kappa = 0.92$). Of the 14 cohorts included in the metaanalysis, 4 were conducted in Europe (Norway, Sweden and Finland), 6 in North America (the United States), and 4 in Asia (Japan).

Figure 2A shows the estimated RRs for coffee drinkers vs non/lowest drinkers from the cohort studies. The summary RR of pancreatic cancer from all combined studies was 0.82 (95% CI: 0.69-0.95). There was significant heterogeneity across the studies (Q = 21.88, P = 0.057, $I^2 = 40.6\%$). Figure 2B and C give the RRs according to low to moderate and high coffee consumption from various studies. The summary RR was 0.86 (95% CI: 0.76-0.96) for low to moderate coffee consumption, with no heterogeneity between studies ($Q = 16.12, P = 0.186, I^2 =$ 25.6%). The summary RR for high consumption of coffee was 0.68 (95% CI: 0.51-0.84), also with no heterogeneity between studies ($Q = 7.82, P = 0.729, I^2 = 0\%$). The summary RR for an increment of 1 cup of coffee per day was 0.96 (95% CI: 0.90-1.02) for all studies combined, but was statistically insignificant.

Various sources of heterogeneity likely exist due to international differences in coffee consumption (e.g. coffee type, serving size, or brewing method) in this analysis. To examine the magnitude of the combined RR in each stratum and its respective test of heterogeneity, we conducted subgroup analyses by gender and geographic regions. The summary RR was 0.73 (95% CI: 0.63-0.84) for men and 0.82 (95% CI: 0.52-1.11) for women when combining all studies. There was no heterogeneity for men (Q = 9.01, P = 0.252, $\vec{I}^2 = 22.3\%$) and a significant heterogeneity for women (Q = 12.42, P = 0.029, $\vec{I}^2 = 59.7\%$).

Associations were also similar in studies from North America, Europe and the Asia-Pacific region. The RR was 0.81 (95% CI: 0.67-0.95) when considering the 6 studies conducted in North America, 0.86 (95% CI: 0.50-1.22) for the 4 studies from Europe, and 0.76 (95% CI: 0.52-0.99) for the 4 Asian studies. No significant differences by sex were found.

There was no indication of publication bias from either




Figure 1 Flow diagram of search strategy and study selection.

visualization of the funnel plot or Egger's (P = 0.735) and Begg's (P = 0.381) (Figure 3) tests. A sensitivity analysis, in which one study was removed at a time, was performed to evaluate the stability of the results. This analysis confirmed the stability of our results.

DISCUSSION

Coffee consumption, as a major and frequent dietary exposure in diverse cultures around the globe, has been shown to be associated with pancreatic cancer in epidemiological studies. However, there is no comprehensive, up-to-date overview of the entirety of the substantial body of epidemiologic evidence. To address this need, we quantitatively assessed the relationship between coffee intake and incidence of pancreatic cancer in a meta-analysis of cohort studies.

Coffee can potentially impact the etiology of cancer of various sites along multiple pathways, ranging from carcinogenesis to cellular apoptosis. A number of in vitro studies suggest that caffeine can influence carcinogenesis through inhibition of DNA repair, and induction of mitotic events before DNA replication is completed^[26-28]. Porta et al^[29] argues that in exocrine pancreatic cancer, caffeine, other coffee compounds, or other correlates of coffee drinking could modulate Ki-ras activation by interfering with DNA repair, cell-cycle checkpoints, and apoptosis. However, for most cancer sites, there is a significant amount of evidence to show that there is no detrimental effect following the consumption of up to 6 cups of coffee per day in relation to cancer occurrence. Through the meta-analysis of cohort studies, we found that compared with individuals who did not drink or seldom drank

Study	Country	Follow-up period	Study subjects	No. of cases	Coffee consumption	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustments
Snowdon	United States	1960-1980	23912	71	< 1 cup/d	1.00 (reference)	Age, sex
et al ^[12]			Aged \geq 30 yr		1 cup/d	1.7 (0.9-3.3)	
.984					$\geq 2 \operatorname{cups}/d$	0.8 (0.4-1.6)	
acobsen	Norway	1967-1978	16555	63	$\leq 2 \text{ cups/d}$	1.00 (reference)	Sex, age and residence (men for
t al ^[13]			13664 male		3-4 cups/d	1.22 (0.66-2.35)	cigarette smoking)
986			2891 female		5-6 cups/d	0.53 (0.21-1.26)	
					\geq 7 cups/d	0.62 (0.18-1.75)	
Jomura	Japan	1965-1983	7355 male	21	0 cup/d	1.00 (reference)	Age, years of smoking, number
t al ^[14]					1-2 cups/d	0.83 (0.16-5.34)	of cigarettes smoked per day,
.986					3-4 cups/d	1.39 (0.32-8.31)	smoking status at exam, and past
					\geq 5 cups/d	1.27 (0.27-7.84)	smoking status
Hiatt et al ^[15]	United States	1978-1984	122894	49	<1 cup/d	0.4 (0.1-4.3)	Age, sex, ethnic origin, blood
988					1-3 cups/d	1.2 (0.3-4.4)	glucose levels, consumption of
					> 4 cups/d	0.8 (0.2-4.6)	alcohol, tea
Theng	United States	1966-1986	17633 male	57	< 3 cups/d	1.00 (reference)	Age, smoking index, alcohol index
t al ^[16]			Aged \geq 35 yr		3-4 cups/d	0.6 (0.3-1.2)	
993					5-6 cups/d	0.7 (0.4-1.6)	
					\geq 7 cups/d	0.9 (0.3-2.4)	
hibata	United States	1981-1990	13979	63	< 1 cup/d	1.00 (reference)	Sex, age and cigarette smoking
t al ^[17]					1 cup/d	1.82 (0.75-4.43)	
.994					2-3 cups/d	1.67 (0.74-3.77)	
					$\geq 4 \text{ cups/d}$	0.88 (0.28-2.80)	
Stensvold	Norway	1977-1990	42973	41	$\leq 2 \text{ cups/d}$	1.00 (reference)	Age, cigarette smoking, county of
t al ^[18]	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		21735 male	26 M	3-4 cups/d	2.76 (0.63-25.21)	residence
994			21 238 female	15 F	5-6 cups/d	3.09 (0.72-27.87)	
			Aged 35-54 yr		\geq 7 cups/d	2.71 (0.59-25.15)	
Theng	United States	1986-1993	35369 female	66	Never/monthly	1.00 (reference)	Age, education, smoking status,
t al ^[19]			Aged 55-69 yr		Weekly-3 cups/d	1.82 (0.87-3.82)	pack-years of smoking, physical
1996			0 ,		$\geq 4 \text{ cups/d}$	2.15 (1.01-4.07)	activity, all fruit and vegetable
					1 /	· · · · ·	intake, total energy intake, waist/
							hip ratio, family history of cancer,
							prior history of blood transfusion
Michaud	United	1986-1998	136593	288	None	1.00 (reference)	Age in 5-yr categories, pack-years
t al ^[20]	States	1980-1996	47794 male	130 M	<1 cup/d	0.94 (0.65-1.36)	of smoking, bidy mass index,
2001			Aged 40-75 yr	158 F	1 cup/d	0.60 (0.38-0.94)	history of diabetes mellitus, histor
			88799 female	1001	2-3 cups/d	0.88 (0.65-1.21)	of cholecystectomy, energy intake
			Aged 30-55 yr		> 3 cups/d	0.62 (0.27-1.43)	and period
saksson	Sweden	1961-1997	21884	131	0-2 cups/d	1.00 (reference)	Sex, age, cigarette smoking
t al ^[21]	Sweden	1701 1777	9680 male	101	3-6 cups/d	0.91 (0.60-1.38)	Sex, age, eightette smoking
.002			12204 female		≥ 7 cups/d	0.39 (0.17-0.89)	
.002			Aged 36-75 yr		> / cup5/ u	0.09 (0.17-0.09)	
in et al ^[22]	Ianan	1988-1997	99527	225	Nondrinkers		Age, cigarette smoking in pack-
2002	Japan	1900-1997	44 646 male	225	1-2 cups/mo		0 0 0 1
_002			54881 female		1-4 cups/wk		years
			Aged 40-79 yr		1 cup/d 2-3 cups/d		
					•		
Stolzonborg	Finland	1985 1007	27111 mala	163	$\geq 4 \text{ cups/d}$ $\leq 321.4 \text{ g/d}$	1.00 (reference)	Age, years of smoking
Stolzenberg-	Finland	1985-1997	27111 male	105	$\leq 321.4 \text{ g/d}$ > 321.4 $\leq 450.0 \text{ g/d}$	1.00 (reference)	rige, years of smoking
Solomon et al ^[23]			Aged 50-69 yr		> $321.4 \le 450.0 \text{ g/d}$ > $450.0 \le 624.9 \text{ g/d}$	1.48 (0.89-2.46)	
					> $450.0 \le 624.9 \text{ g/d}$	1.12 (0.61-2.03)	
2002					> 624.9- \leq 878.6 g/d	1.72 (1.01-2.86)	
(han 1 1/24)	T	1094 2002	2150	25	> 878.6 g/d	0.95 (0.54-1.68)	A go con health a last of
Khan $et al^{[24]}$	Japan	1984-2002	3158	25	\leq several times/mo	1.00 (reference)	Age, sex, health education,
2004			1524 male	12 M	≥ several times/wk	0.38 (0.01-1.05)	health examination, health status,
			1634 female	13 F			smoking
[25]		1000 2002	Aged $\geq 40 \text{ yr}$		D 1	100/	
uo et al ^[25]	Japan	1990-2003	102137	233	Rarely	1.00 (reference)	Body mass index, frequency of
2007			48783 male	135 M	1-2 cups/wk	1.0 (0.7-1.4)	sports, smoking status, alcohol
			53354 female	98 F	3-4 cups/wk	1.1 (0.7-1.7)	intake, history of diabetes, history
			Aged 40-69 yr		1-2 cups/d	0.9 (0.6-1.3)	of cholelithiasis, study area, age
					\geq 3 cups/d	0.8 (0.4-1.3)	and tea consumption

coffee per day, the pooled RR of pancreatic cancer was 0.82 (95% CI: 0.69-0.95) for regular coffee drinkers, 0.86

(0.76-0.96) for low to moderate coffee drinkers, and 0.68 (0.51-0.84) for high drinkers. Overall, an increase in con-

⊤#§ Baishideng®

Dong J et al. Coffee drinking and pancreatic cancer

Study ID		ES (95% CI)	% Weight
Snowdon <i>et al</i> ^[12] 1984	-	0.98 (0.44-1.52)	4.70
Jacobsen <i>et al</i> ^[13] 1986	-	0.70 (0.31-1.08)	7.69
Nomura <i>et al</i> ^[14] 1986	•	1.04 (0.01-2.49)	1.07
Hiatt <i>et al</i> ^[15] 1988		0.81 (0.01-1.82)	1.94
Zheng <i>et al</i> ^[16] 1993	-	0.67 (0.33-1.01)	8.99
Shibata <i>et al</i> ^[17] 1994		1.34 (0.48-2.19)	2.15
Stensvold et al ^[18] 1994	•	2.87 (0.01-8.27)	0.10
Zheng <i>et al</i> ^[19] 1996		1.98 (0.92-3.04)	1.44
Michaud <i>et al</i> ^[20] 2001	+	0.78 (0.61-0.94)	16.60
Isaksson <i>et al</i> ^[21] 2002	-	0.63 (0.37-0.89)	11.97
Lin <i>et al</i> ^[22] 2002	•	0.68 (0.48-0.88)	14.79
Stolzenberg-Solomon et al ^[23] 2002	+	1.22 (0.86-1.57)	8.53
Khan <i>et al</i> ^[24] 2004	•	0.38 (0.01-1.05)	4.99
Luo <i>et al</i> ^[25] 2007	+	0.95 (0.75-1.14)	15.04
Overall ($I^2 = 40.6\%, P = 0.057$)	\$	0.82 (0.69-0.95)	100.00

0 1 2

Study ID		ES (95% CI)	% Weight
Snowdon <i>et al</i> ^[12] 1984	- •	1.70 (0.90-3.30)	0.72
Jacobsen <i>et al</i> ^[13] 1986	-	0.72 (0.28-1.17)	5.22
Nomura <i>et al</i> ^[14] 1986	-	1.00 (0.01-3.16)	0.42
Hiatt <i>et al</i> ^[15] 1988	• • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.81 (0.01-2.28)	0.80
Zheng <i>et al</i> ^{(16]} 1993	*	0.64 (0.28-1.00)	7.98
Shibata <i>et al</i> ^{(17]} 1994		1.73 (0.56-2.90)	0.76
Stensvold <i>et al</i> ^[18] 1994	•	→ 2.91 (0.01-12.02)	0.03
Zheng <i>et al</i> ^[19] 1996	•	1.82 (0.87-3.82)	0.48
Michaud <i>et al</i> ^[20] 2001	•	0.79 (0.62-0.96)	35.80
Isaksson <i>et al</i> ^[21] 2002	+	0.91 (0.60-1.38)	6.80
Lin <i>et al</i> ^[22] 2002	+	0.71 (0.44-0.97)	14.73
Stolzenberg-Solomon <i>et al</i> ^[23] 2002	+	1.39 (0.93-1.85)	4.89
Luo <i>et al^[25]</i> 2007	•	0.98 (0.76-1.20)	21.37
Overall ($I^2 = 25.6\%$, $P = 0.186$)	6	0.86 (0.76-0.96)	100.00

0 1 2

		5
	0.80 (0.40-1.60)	7.77
-	0.62 (0.18-1.75)	4.54
-	—— 1.27 (0.27-7.84)	0.20
	0.80 (0.20-4.60)	0.58
	0.90 (0.30-2.40)	2.54
	0.88 (0.28-2.80)	1.76
_	2.15 (1.01-4.07)	1.19
-	0.62 (0.27-1.43)	8.31
	0.39 (0.17-0.89)	21.58
-	0.64 (0.33-0.95)	29.11
•	0.95 (0.54-1.68)	8.61
	0.80 (0.40-1.30)	13.81
 	0.68 (0.51-0.84)	100.00
		0.62 (0.18-1.75) 1.27 (0.27-7.84) 0.80 (0.20-4.60) 0.90 (0.30-2.40) 0.88 (0.28-2.80) 2.15 (1.01-4.07) 0.62 (0.27-1.43) 0.39 (0.17-0.89) 0.64 (0.33-0.95) 0.95 (0.54-1.68) 0.80 (0.40-1.30)

Figure 2 Summary relative risks of pancreatic cancer for coffee drinkers vs non/lowest drinkers from included studies (A), low to moderate coffee drinkers vs non/lowest drinkers from included studies (C). Weights are from random effect analysis. Squares represent study-specific relative risk estimates (size of the square reflects the study-specific statistical weight, that is, the inverse of the variance); horizontal lines represent 95% CIs; diamonds represent summary relative risk estimates with corresponding 95% CIs.

WJG | www.wjgnet.com




Figure 3 Publication bias in the studies. Begg's funnel plot indicating no publication bias in the studies included in this meta-analysis. No indication of publication bias was noted from both visualization of funnel plot and Egger's test. RR: Relative risk.

sumption of 1 cup of coffee per day was associated with a 4% reduced risk of pancreatic cancer (RR, 0.96; 95% CI: 0.90-1.02). Thus, the evidence presented above suggests that coffee intake might prevent pancreatic cancer occurrence in humans.

Over the past two decades, many studies have been carried out on coffee and pancreatic cancer following the early warning in the early 1980s that coffee consumption was related to pancreatic cancer risk. Some ecological $^{[30]}$, case-control $^{[31]}$, and cohort $^{[20,22]}$ studies carried out in the USA, Canada, Europe and Asia investigated the relationship between coffee consumption and the risk of pancreatic cancer. In general, these investigations yielded inconsistent results, with a meta-analysis on 25 casecontrol studies giving a summary effect estimate of 1.04 (95% CI: 1.00-1.07) and a summary RR of 1.00 (0.94-1.07) per 1 cup/d for 10 cohort studies^[5]. Since the WCRF report, Luo et al^{25]} studied the association between drinking coffee and the risk of pancreatic cancer in a large population-based cohort study in Japan. Among 102137 participants followed for an average of 11 years in which 233 incident cases of pancreatic cancer were identified, there was no increased risk of pancreatic cancer with coffee intake. A reduced risk was apparent among men who drank at least 3 cups of coffee per day compared with those who did not drink any or only rarely drank coffee. After a pooled analysis of 14 cohort studies, we found that there was a reverse association between coffee consumption and the risk of pancreatic cancer.

Some limitations of this meta-analysis should be acknowledged. First, as in all observational studies of diet and disease, the possibility of bias and confounding can not be excluded. However, cohort studies, which are less susceptible to bias because of the prospective design, also showed an inverse association between coffee consumption and risk of pancreatic cancer, suggesting that the finding is not likely attributable to recall and selection bias. Individual studies may have failed to adjust for potential known or unknown confounders. Second, our results are likely to be affected by the misclassification of

coffee consumption. Coffee exposure is mostly assessed in relation to the number of cups of coffee consumed daily, weekly or monthly. However, most of the studies included in our meta-analysis did not provide information on coffee type, serving size, or brewing method. Serving sizes and brewing methods for coffee can vary substantially within and between countries. Standard coffee cups are larger in the United States than in Europe or Japan, and the difference in the strength of the coffee brewed may compensate for the different serving size between countries^[32]. Third, we extracted the risk estimates that reflected the greatest degree of the control potential confounders, because it was hard to obtain raw data from each study to conduct standardized adjustments. Therefore, it is probable that the results based on the adjustment for different confounders were different from those based on standardized adjustments. Finally, only published studies were included in our meta-analysis. Therefore, publication bias may have occurred although no publication bias was indicated from both visualization of the funnel plot and Egger's test.

In summary, there is substantial evidence from both laboratory and animal studies on the favorable influence of coffee on the risk of pancreatic cancer. Although well designed studies, in particular randomized clinical studies among high risk populations, are needed to provide valuable insights into coffee consumption and the risk of pancreatic cancer, our meta-analysis which included 14 prospective cohort studies confirmed that coffee consumption is inversely associated with the risk of pancreatic cancer.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the authors who kindly provided the data necessary for our meta-analysis.

COMMENTS

Background

Coffee consumption, as a major and frequent dietary exposure in diverse cultures around the globe, has been shown to be associated with pancreatic cancer in epidemiological studies. However, there is no comprehensive, up-to-date overview of the entirety of the substantial body of epidemiologic evidence.

Research frontiers

Over the past two decades, many studies have been carried out on coffee and pancreatic cancer. Some ecological, case-control, and cohort studies carried out in the USA, Canada, Europe and Asia investigated the relationship between coffee consumption and the risk of pancreatic cancer. However, these investigations yielded inconsistent results, with a meta-analysis on 25 case-control studies giving a summary effect estimate of 1.04 and a summary relative risk (RR) of 1.00 per 1 cup/d for 10 cohort studies.

Innovations and breakthroughs

Findings from this meta-analysis suggested that, compared with individuals who did not drink or seldom drank coffee per day, the pooled RR of pancreatic cancer was 0.82 for regular coffee drinkers, 0.86 for low to moderate coffee drinkers, and 0.68 for high drinkers. Coffee drinking was associated with a reduced risk of pancreatic cancer for men, while this association was not seen in women.

Applications

Coffee consumption may reduce pancreatic cancer incidence and it has a



consistent preventive effect on some type of cancers. These epidemiological observations should provide information for the exploration of biological mechanisms involved in the inverse relationship between coffee drinking and risk of pancreatic cancer.

Terminology

Roasted coffee is a complex mixture of more than one thousand chemicals. Many of these constituents could potentially alter cancer risk through several biological mechanisms. The anticarcinogenic components in coffee which have received attention are caffeine, cafestol, kahweol, polyphenols, caffeic acid and chlorogenic acid.

Peer review

Dr. Dong *et al* showed that coffee consumption may reduce the pancreatic cancer incidence, using meta-analysis. The results are very interesting.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Food and Agricultural Organization**. Food balance sheets. Accessed on Apr 2004. Available from: URL: http://www. fao.org/waicent/portal/statistics_en.asp
- 2 Huxley R, Lee CM, Barzi F, Timmermeister L, Czernichow S, Perkovic V, Grobbee DE, Batty D, Woodward M. Coffee, decaffeinated coffee, and tea consumption in relation to incident type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Arch Intern Med* 2009; 169: 2053-2063
- 3 Sääksjärvi K, Knekt P, Rissanen H, Laaksonen MA, Reunanen A, Männistö S. Prospective study of coffee consumption and risk of Parkinson's disease. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2008; 62: 908-915
- 4 Modi AA, Feld JJ, Park Y, Kleiner DE, Everhart JE, Liang TJ, Hoofnagle JH. Increased caffeine consumption is associated with reduced hepatic fibrosis. *Hepatology* 2010; 51: 201-209
- 5 Post SM, de Roos B, Vermeulen M, Afman L, Jong MC, Dahlmans VE, Havekes LM, Stellaard F, Katan MB, Princen HM. Cafestol increases serum cholesterol levels in apolipoprotein E*3-Leiden transgenic mice by suppression of bile acid synthesis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2000; 20: 1551-1556
- 6 Lee WJ, Zhu BT. Inhibition of DNA methylation by caffeic acid and chlorogenic acid, two common catechol-containing coffee polyphenols. *Carcinogenesis* 2006; 27: 269-277
- 7 **Bode AM**, Dong Z. The enigmatic effects of caffeine in cell cycle and cancer. *Cancer Lett* 2007; **247**: 26-39
- 8 Ito K, Nakazato T, Miyakawa Y, Yamato K, Ikeda Y, Kizaki M. Caffeine induces G2/M arrest and apoptosis via a novel p53dependent pathway in NB4 promyelocytic leukemia cells. J Cell Physiol 2003; 196: 276-283
- 9 Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, Moher D, Becker BJ, Sipe TA, Thacker SB. Metaanalysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA 2000; 283: 2008-2012
- 10 Greenland S, Longnecker MP. Methods for trend estimation from summarized dose-response data, with applications to meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* 1992; 135: 1301-1309
- 11 Orsini N, Bellocco R, Greenland S. Generalized least squares for trend estimation of summarized dose-response data. *Stata* J 2006; 6: 40-57
- 12 **Snowdon DA**, Phillips RL. Coffee consumption and risk of fatal cancers. *Am J Public Health* 1984; **74**: 820-823
- 13 Jacobsen BK, Bjelke E, Kvåle G, Heuch I. Coffee drinking, mortality, and cancer incidence: results from a Norwegian prospective study. J Natl Cancer Inst 1986; 76: 823-831
- 14 Nomura A, Heilbrun LK, Stemmermann GN. Prospective study of coffee consumption and the risk of cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 1986; 76: 587-590

- 15 Hiatt RA, Klatsky AL, Armstrong MA. Pancreatic cancer, blood glucose and beverage consumption. *Int J Cancer* 1988; 41: 794-797
- 16 Zheng W, McLaughlin JK, Gridley G, Bjelke E, Schuman LM, Silverman DT, Wacholder S, Co-Chien HT, Blot WJ, Fraumeni JF Jr. A cohort study of smoking, alcohol consumption, and dietary factors for pancreatic cancer (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 1993; **4**: 477-482
- 17 Shibata A, Mack TM, Paganini-Hill A, Ross RK, Henderson BE. A prospective study of pancreatic cancer in the elderly. *Int J Cancer* 1994; 58: 46-49
- 18 Stensvold I, Jacobsen BK. Coffee and cancer: a prospective study of 43,000 Norwegian men and women. *Cancer Causes Control* 1994; 5: 401-408
- 19 Zheng W, Doyle TJ, Kushi LH, Sellers TA, Hong CP, Folsom AR. Tea consumption and cancer incidence in a prospective cohort study of postmenopausal women. *Am J Epidemiol* 1996; 144: 175-182
- 20 Michaud DS, Giovannucci E, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Fuchs CS. Coffee and alcohol consumption and the risk of pancreatic cancer in two prospective United States cohorts. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2001; 10: 429-437
- 21 Isaksson B, Jonsson F, Pedersen NL, Larsson J, Feychting M, Permert J. Lifestyle factors and pancreatic cancer risk: a cohort study from the Swedish Twin Registry. *Int J Cancer* 2002; 98: 480-482
- 22 Lin Y, Tamakoshi A, Kawamura T, Inaba Y, Kikuchi S, Motohashi Y, Kurosawa M, Ohno Y. Risk of pancreatic cancer in relation to alcohol drinking, coffee consumption and medical history: findings from the Japan collaborative cohort study for evaluation of cancer risk. *Int J Cancer* 2002; **99**: 742-746
- 23 **Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ**, Pietinen P, Taylor PR, Virtamo J, Albanes D. Prospective study of diet and pancreatic cancer in male smokers. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002; **155**: 783-792
- 24 Khan MM, Goto R, Kobayashi K, Suzumura S, Nagata Y, Sonoda T, Sakauchi F, Washio M, Mori M. Dietary habits and cancer mortality among middle aged and older Japanese living in hokkaido, Japan by cancer site and sex. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2004; 5: 58-65
- 25 Luo J, Inoue M, Iwasaki M, Sasazuki S, Otani T, Ye W, Tsugane S. Green tea and coffee intake and risk of pancreatic cancer in a large-scale, population-based cohort study in Japan (JPHC study). Eur J Cancer Prev 2007; 16: 542-548
- 26 Kuhlmann W, Fromme HG, Heege EM, Ostertag W. The mutagenic action of caffeine in higher organisms. *Cancer Res* 1968; 28: 2375-2389
- 27 Selby CP, Sancar A. Molecular mechanisms of DNA repair inhibition by caffeine. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990; 87: 3522-3525
- 28 Schlegel R, Pardee AB. Caffeine-induced uncoupling of mitosis from the completion of DNA replication in mammalian cells. *Science* 1986; 232: 1264-1266
- 29 Porta M, Ayude D, Alguacil J, Jariod M. Exploring environmental causes of altered ras effects: fragmentation plus integration? *Mol Carcinog* 2003; 36: 45-52
- 30 Vioque J, González Sáez L, Cayuela Domínguez A. [Cancer of the pancreas: an ecologic study]. *Med Clin* (Barc) 1990; **95**: 121-125
- 31 **Ghadirian P**, Simard A, Baillargeon J. Tobacco, alcohol, and coffee and cancer of the pancreas. A population-based, case-control study in Quebec, Canada. *Cancer* 1991; **67**: 2664-2670
- 32 **Bracken MB**, Triche E, Grosso L, Hellenbrand K, Belanger K, Leaderer BP. Heterogeneity in assessing self-reports of caffeine exposure: implications for studies of health effects. *Epidemiology* 2002; **13**: 165-171

S-Editor Tian L L-Editor Webster JR E-Editor Zheng XM

. Heng® WJG www.wjgnet.com