

Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase Gene Sequences in Gram-Negative Saprophytes on Retail Organic and Nonorganic Spinach[∇]

Eva Raphael, Lisa K. Wong, and Lee W. Riley*

Division of Infectious Diseases and Vaccinology, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

Received 24 October 2010/Accepted 27 December 2010

A substantial proportion of infections caused by drug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) in community and health care settings are recognized to be caused by evolutionarily related GNB strains. Their global spread has been suggested to occur due to human activities, such as food trade and travel. These multidrug-resistant GNB pathogens often harbor mobile drug resistance genes that are highly conserved in their sequences. Because they appear across different GNB species, these genes may have origins other than human pathogens. We hypothesized that saprophytes in common human food products may serve as a reservoir for such genes. Between July 2007 and April 2008, we examined 25 batches of prepackaged retail spinach for cultivatable GNB population structure by 16S rRNA gene sequencing and for antimicrobial drug susceptibility testing and the presence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) genes. We found 20 recognized GNB species among 165 (71%) of 231 randomly selected colonies cultured from spinach. Twelve strains suspected to express ESBLs based on resistance to cefotaxime and ceftazidime were further examined for *bla*_{CTX-M} and *bla*_{TEM} genes. We found a 712-bp sequence in *Pseudomonas teessidea* that was 100% identical to positions 10 to 722 of an 876-bp *bla*_{CTX-M-15} gene of an *E. coli* strain. Additionally, we identified newly recognized ESBL *bla*_{RAHN-2} sequences from *Rahnella aquatilis*. These observations demonstrate that saprophytes in common fresh produce can harbor drug resistance genes that are also found in internationally circulating strains of GNB pathogens; such a source may thus serve as a reservoir for drug resistance genes that ultimately enter pathogens to affect human health.

Drug-resistant human infections caused by pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) that contaminate fresh produce are becoming increasingly common in the United States (18, 28). One example is the large multistate epidemic of a strain of drug-resistant *E. coli* O157:H7 spread by contaminated spinach that occurred in 2006 (30). While food products are well recognized to disperse drug-resistant GNB pathogens that cause enteric illnesses, the modes of geographic dispersion of drug-resistant GNB pathogens that cause extraintestinal infections are less obvious. A sudden increase of extraintestinal infections caused by *Enterobacteriaceae* strains expressing New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase (NDM-1) between 2008 and 2009 in the United Kingdom has been suggested to have occurred due to international travel to South Asia (15). The international spread of *Escherichia coli* serogroup O25 belonging to multilocus sequence type (MLST) ST131 has been suggested to occur due to food trade and travel; many of these ST131 strains express an extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) encoded by *bla*_{CTX-M} on a plasmid (21, 22, 26). A clonal group of *E. coli* strains belonging to ST69 that cause urinary tract infections (UTI), harboring the class 1 integron gene cassette configuration *dfrA17-aadA5*, has been isolated from clinical sources and food sources from all over the world (1, 13).

These so-called “international clones” of recognized pathogens all carry multiple drug resistance genes on mobile elements. These mobile elements are horizontally transferred to other pathogenic bacterial strains and even across bacterial species, which could cause a recipient strain to become multidrug resistant. Such a mechanism could suddenly increase the prevalence of drug-resistant infections in a community or institution without any selective pressures of antimicrobial drug use. However, all of these pathogens at some point acquire these mobile drug resistance genes horizontally from other sources. It has been suggested, for example, that *bla*_{CTX-M} may have originated from an environmental saprophytic *Kluyvera* spp. (6). CTX-M-type genes have rapidly become the most common ESBL genes found in *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates causing community- and hospital-acquired infections worldwide (23, 24). TEM-type ESBL genes are also found in a wide variety of environmental saprophytes (10).

Although it is recognized that saprophytes can harbor drug resistance genes, the extent to which they contribute to human drug-resistant infections is not evident. The observation that drug resistance genes with 100% identical nucleic acid sequences are found in different species of pathogenic GNB isolated from food sources all over the world suggests that they have common sources and are dispersed by the international food trade (22, 29). Therefore, the microbiota of common food products humans consume may serve as a reservoir for some of the drug resistance genes we observe in human pathogens. Because spinach is usually eaten uncooked in the United States and because it is increasingly implicated in outbreaks of drug-resistant GNB infections (28, 30), we sought to examine saprophytic bac-

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Division of Infectious Diseases and Vaccinology, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, 201 Hildebrand Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-7360. Phone: (510) 642-9200. Fax: (510) 642-8250. E-mail: lriley@berkeley.edu.

[∇] Published ahead of print on 7 January 2011.

teria of commercially obtained spinach for drug-resistant GNB and genes responsible for drug resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Spinach sampling. Organic and nonorganic “baby” spinach packages were obtained from three local retail supermarkets in or around Berkeley, California. We obtained 6 brands of spinach, which were distributed by 6 different California producers; the source farms were not indicated on the packages. They are all sold in Bay Area supermarkets and are also distributed nationally. Organic spinach is defined by the USDA as spinach grown with no antibiotics or pesticides (12). The packages were purchased during two different seasons—summer (May 2007 to August 2007) and spring (March 2008). To avoid the effect of potential contamination from human handlers or consumers, we analyzed only prewashed and ready-to-eat packaged (boxes or bags) spinach. The spinach samples were stored at 4°C until processed, within 24 h of purchase.

Quantification and isolation of bacteria in spinach. For each spinach package, 25 g of spinach was weighed and placed in a UV-pretreated polyethylene bag containing 50 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4). The spinach was incubated in PBS for 30 to 60 min at room temperature after brief kneading. The PBS wash was then transferred to a 50-ml conical tube and centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ for 5 min at room temperature. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 2 ml of PBS, and 1 ml of it was saved in a 10% glycerol stock. The rest of the suspension was serially diluted in PBS by 10 logs and plated onto MacConkey agar plates to select for GNB. The plates were incubated for 24 to 48 h at 37°C. Plates containing 10 to 200 CFU were analyzed further. The number of CFU per gram of spinach was determined for each batch of spinach, and single isolated colonies with different morphologies were randomly selected from the plates for further analyses. Up to 12 colonies were picked from each plate, as determined by a rarefaction curve analysis generated in triplicate from 3 different spinach batches (see below). The colonies were saved on tryptic soy agar (TSA) slants and used for DNA extraction.

Bacterial DNA extraction. We extracted DNA from the PBS bacterial suspensions for integron analysis and from individual bacterial colonies for 16S rRNA gene sequence and ESBL gene analyses. DNA was extracted from 650 μ l of total PBS suspensions from all 25 batches. In addition, individual bacterial colonies were randomly picked from MacConkey agar plates. Each colony was suspended in 200 μ l of sterile double-distilled water in a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube and then vortexed. A freeze-thaw method of DNA extraction was used. The bacterial suspensions were boiled for 15 min and frozen overnight at -80°C . They were again boiled for 15 min before being used for PCR amplification.

PCR amplification of class 1 and 2 integron, 16S rRNA gene, and beta-lactamase gene (*bla*_{CTX-M} and *bla*_{TEM}) sequences. PCR amplification was carried out with 2 μ l of DNA template in 25 μ l of PCR mixture. Each reaction mixture contained 0.2 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs), 1 U of *Taq* polymerase (New England BioLabs [NEB]), $1 \times$ *Taq* reaction buffer, and 1 μ M primers. The 16S rRNA gene PCRs were carried out with the primers 16s8F/16s806R18 as published previously (Table 1). Class 1 integron (*intI1*) and class 2 integron (*intI2*) genes were amplified from the total spinach batch DNA with primers Int1F/Int1R19 and RB201/RB202 (Table 1), respectively, and the class 1 integron gene cassette was amplified with primers GC1_RLF/GC1_RLR (Table 1). Gram-negative bacterial colonies that were resistant to cefotaxime and ceftazidime were tested for beta-lactamase genes by PCR amplification of *bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{CTX-M-1}, and *bla*_{TEM} with primers CTX-M-F/CTX-M/R, CTX-M-1-MP-F/CTX-M-1-MP-R, and T1/T2, respectively (Table 1). The PCR conditions were modified from those previously published (3, 5, 11, 16, 19, 31, 32) (Table 1). The PCR products were electrophoresed on a 1 to 1.5% agarose gel. The gel was stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV transillumination. As a positive control for the 16S rRNA genes, we used *E. coli* ATCC 25922 DNA. PCR controls for *bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{CTX-M-1}, and *bla*_{TEM} DNA were obtained from a clinical *E. coli* strain (608.35) that contained the three genes, kindly provided by Satowa Suzuki of the National Institute of Infectious Diseases in Tokyo, Japan.

Sequencing analysis of 16S rRNA, *bla*_{CTX-M}, and *bla*_{TEM} genes. Each PCR product that showed an electrophoretic band of an expected size was cleaned up by using ExoSAP-IT according to the manufacturer's instructions (USB Corporation). The purified PCR product was diluted 100 times and used for direct sequencing. Sequencing was done on an Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) at the University of California Berkeley DNA Sequencing Facility. DNA sequences of 600 bp or more for the 16S rRNA gene and 400 bp or more for *bla*_{CTX-M} and *bla*_{TEM} were visually inspected, aligned, and compared against sequences in GenBank by using BLAST (National Center for Biotechnology Information) or in the Greengenes server using the “Align” tool (greengenes.lbl.gov). Genus and species were determined by the

TABLE 1. PCR primer sequences and conditions used in this study

Target	Primers	Sequences (5'–3')	PCR conditions	Expected amplicon size (bp)	Reference
16S rRNA genes	16s8F/16s806R	AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG/GGACTACCAGGGTATCTAATCC	94°C, 5 min; 30 cycles of 94°C, 30 s, 62°C, 30 s, 72°C, 90 s	800	19
Integrase 1 gene (<i>intI1</i>)	Int1F/Int1R	CCTCCCGCAGGATGATC/TCCACGCAATCGTCAGGC	94°C, 5 min; 33 cycles of 94°C, 45 s, 64°C, 30 s, 72°C, 1 min	280	16
Integrase 2 gene (<i>intI2</i>)	RB201/RB202	GCAAACGCAAGCATTCA/TAACGGATATGGACAAAAAAG	95°C, 5 min; 35 cycles of 94°C, 1 min, 55°C, 1 min, 72°C, 1.5 min	Variable	5
Class 1 gene cassette	GC1_RLF/GC1_RLR	GGCATCCAAGCAGCAAG/AAGCAGACTTGGACCTGA	95°C, 5 min; 35 cycles of 94°C, 1 min, 55°C, 1 min, 72°C, 1.5 min	500	11
CTX-M (<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M})	CTX-M-F/CTX-M/R	TTTGGATGTGCAAGTACCAGTAA/CTCCGCTGCGCGTTTATC	94°C, 1 min, 55°C, 1 min, 72°C, 1.5 min	415	31
CTX-M-1 (<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-1})	CTX-M-1-MP-F/ CTX-M-1-MP-R	AAAAATCACTGGCCAGTTC/AGCTTATTCATCGCCACGTT			
TEM (<i>bla</i> _{TEM})	T1/T2	CCGTGTCGCCCTTATTCC/AGGCACCTATCTCAGCGA		800	32




FIG. 1. Flowchart of the spinach saprophyte analysis.

criteria of >98% sequence identity for species and >95% sequence identity for genus (2). To identify all of the bacterial genera present in a batch of spinach, we randomly and sequentially picked individual colonies of distinct morphologies on MacConkey plates for 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis until no new genera could be identified (rarefaction curve analysis).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of bacterial colonies. The drug susceptibility of a selected number of colonies on MacConkey agar plates was assessed with the Dade-Behring MicroScan Gram-negative breakpoint panel (Dade Behring MicroScan, Inc., West Sacramento, CA), following the manufacturer's protocol. More than 50% of the colonies from each species that we identified were randomly selected for drug susceptibility testing. These colonies were selected from growths from all 25 spinach batches. Resistance and susceptibility to the panel of antimicrobial drugs were determined with the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute standards (9). *E. coli* ATCC 29522 was used for quality control. Intermediate-resistant bacterial colonies were considered susceptible in this study.

Statistical analysis. An independent sample *t* test was conducted to compare differences in mean CFU counts per gram of spinach.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. Partial coding sequences of *bla*_{CTX-M} PCR products that were less than 100% identical to previously identified genes have been deposited in GenBank under the following accession numbers: HQ339919, HQ339920, HQ339921, HQ339922, HQ339923, and HQ339924.

RESULTS

Sample collection and CFU counts in organic and nonorganic spinach. Twenty-five spinach batches, purchased between July 2007 and April 2008, were examined. Among these, 12 (48%) were organic and 13 (52%) were nonorganic. The collection was spread out over two seasons, with 21 (84%) spinach batches collected in the summer and 5 (16%) in the spring. A mean of 1.39×10^7 CFU per gram of spinach (standard deviation [SD], $\pm 1.44 \times 10^7$) was found for all samples. There was a significant difference in the CFU counts found in organic spinach (mean \pm SD, $2.07 \times 10^7 \pm 1.53 \times 10^7$ CFU/g)

and nonorganic spinach ($7.51 \times 10^6 \pm 1.05 \times 10^7$) ($P = 0.027$, two-sample *t* test).

Analysis of 16S rRNA genes. Rarefaction curve analyses showed that picking more than 12 colonies per spinach batch did not add to the number of bacterial genera found in the spinach samples. A total of 231 bacterial colonies were analyzed, and 165 (71%) were successfully sequenced for their 16S rRNA genes (Fig. 1). Of the 165 isolates sequenced, 125 (76%) could be identified to the species and genus level based on published criteria for 16S rRNA gene sequencing (2).

The majority of the colonies belonged to *Pseudomonas* spp. (43 [34%]) and *Pantoea* spp. (30 [24%]). The distribution by genus and species between organic and nonorganic spinach varied slightly (Table 2). Organic spinach contained 8 genera and 15 species, compared to 6 genera and 14 species in nonorganic spinach. Little difference in genus and species distribution was found between the two collection periods. The highest diversity in species was found in the collection from late August of 2007.

Antimicrobial drug resistance of Gram-negative bacteria in spinach. Of 125 sequenced isolates, 69 (55%) were tested against 26 different antimicrobial agents (Fig. 1 and Tables 3 and 4). All of the individual colonies were resistant to more

TABLE 2. Prevalences of genera and species^a found in organic and nonorganic spinach samples

Genus	Species	No. (%) of isolates in spinach from indicated source		No. (%) of isolates in total samples
		Organic	Nonorganic	
<i>Acinetobacter</i>	<i>rhizosphaerae</i>	1 (1.4)	0	1 (0.8)
<i>Enterobacter</i>	<i>aerogenes</i>	0	1 (1.8)	1 (0.8)
	<i>amnigenus</i>	2 (2.8)	2 (3.7)	4 (3.2)
	<i>kobei</i>	2 (2.8)	0	2 (1.6)
	<i>ludwigii</i>	1 (1.4)	0	1 (0.8)
	ND	3 (4.2)	0	3 (2.4)
<i>Erwinia</i>	<i>persicina</i>	6 (8.4)	11 (20.3)	17 (13.6)
	<i>rhapontici</i>	0	2 (3.7)	2 (1.6)
<i>Pantoea</i>	<i>agglomerans</i>	11 (6.6)	15 (27.7)	26 (20.8)
	<i>ananatis</i>	3 (4.2)	1 (1.8)	4 (3.2)
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	<i>fragi</i>	0	1 (1.8)	1 (0.8)
	<i>libanensis</i>	1 (1.4)	0	1 (0.8)
	<i>orientalis</i>	2 (2.8)	1 (1.8)	3 (2.4)
	<i>putida</i>	20 (28.1)	8 (14.8)	28 (22.4)
	<i>reactans</i>	1 (1.4)	0	1 (0.8)
	<i>rhodesiae</i>	0	1 (1.8)	1 (0.8)
	<i>syringae</i>	1 (1.4)	0	1 (0.8)
	<i>teessidea</i>	1 (1.4)	1 (1.8)	2 (1.6)
	ND	3 (4.2)	2 (3.7)	5 (4)
<i>Rahnella</i>	<i>aquatilis</i>	7 (9.8)	3 (5.5)	10 (8)
<i>Rhizobium</i>	ND	1 (1.4)	0	1 (0.8)
<i>Serratia</i>	<i>fonticola</i>	5 (7.0)	3 (5.5)	8 (6.4)
	<i>proteamaculans</i>	0	2 (3.7)	2 (1.6)
Total		71 (100.0)	54 (100.0)	125 (100.0)

^a The criteria for genus and species identification were BLAST identities above 95% and 98%, respectively. ND, not determined.

TABLE 3. Frequency of resistance to various drugs among Gram-negative bacteria across genera and species isolated from organic and nonorganic spinach

Organism (no. of isolates)	No. of isolates resistant to ^a :															Pol (Cl)	T/S
	Aminoglycoside				Penicillin			Penicillin/beta-lactamase inhibitor			Fluoroquinolone			Carbapenem			
	K	Ak	Gm	To	P	Am	Pi	A/S	P/T	Tim	Cp	Lvx	Mxf	Mer	Imp		
<i>Acinetobacter</i> (1)																	
<i>rhizosphaerae</i> (1)	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Enterobacter</i> (5)																	
<i>aerogenes</i> (1)	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
<i>amnigenus</i> (2)	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>kobei</i> (1)	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>ludwigii</i> (1)	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Erwinia</i> (7)																	
<i>persicina</i> (6)	0	0	0	0	6	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>rhapontici</i> (1)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Pantoea</i> (18)																	
<i>agglomerans</i> (15)	0	0	0	0	15	4	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>ananatis</i> (3)	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
<i>Pseudomonas</i> (27)																	
<i>fragi</i> (1)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>libanensis</i> (1)	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>orientalis</i> (3)	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>putida</i> (16)	0	0	0	0	16	15	3	15	2	15	0	0	0	2	1	0	11
<i>rhodesiae</i> (1)	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>syringae</i> (1)	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>teessidea</i> (1)	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Species not determined (3)	1	0	0	0	3	2	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
<i>Rahnella</i> (7)																	
<i>aquatilis</i>	0	0	0	0	6	4	3	3	2	3	0	1	0	2	2	0	0
<i>Serratia</i> (4)																	
<i>fonticola</i> (3)	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
<i>protamaculans</i> (1)	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
TOTAL	2	0	0	0	68	41	23	28	9	28	0	1	0	6	5	6	18

^a Pol, polymyxin; Cl, colistin; T/S, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; K, kanamycin; Ak, amikacin; Gm, gentamicin; To, tobramycin; P, penicillin; Am, ampicillin; Pi, piperacillin; A/S, ampicillin/sulbactam; P/T, piperacillin-tazobactam; Tim, ticarcillin/K clavulanate; Cp, ciprofloxacin (expanded spectrum); Lvx, levofloxacin (broad spectrum); Mxf, moxifloxacin (broad spectrum); Mer, meropenem; Imp, imipenem.

than one agent. All 27 *Pseudomonas* sp. isolates were resistant to 19 drugs. The 15 *Pantoea agglomerans* isolates showed resistance to 10 antimicrobial agents. Only 2 (3%) *Pseudomonas* isolates were resistant to kanamycin (MIC \geq 4 μ g/ml), and only 1 (1%) *Rahnella aquatilis* isolate was resistant to levofloxacin (MIC \geq 4 μ g/ml). Among the 41 (59%) isolates resistant to ampicillin (MIC \geq 16 μ g/ml), 28 (40%) were resistant to ampicillin-sulbactam (MIC \geq 16/8 μ g/ml) and to ticarcillin-K clavulanate (MIC \geq 64/2 μ g/ml). Similarly, 23 (33%) were resistant to piperacillin (MIC \geq 64 μ g/ml), and 9 (16%) were resistant to piperacillin-tazobactam (MIC \geq 64/4 μ g/ml). Six (8%) of the isolates were resistant to meropenem (MIC \geq 8 μ g/ml). Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (MIC \geq 2/38 μ g/ml) resistance was seen in 18 (26%) isolates.

Cephalosporin and aztreonam resistance was assessed separately (Table 4). Most isolates (58) were resistant to cephalothin (MIC \geq 8 μ g/ml), a narrow-spectrum cephalosporin, but fewer (26) were resistant to cefotetan (MIC \geq 32 μ g/ml), an

expanded-spectrum cephalosporin. The resistance to broad-spectrum cephalosporins varied: 14 (20%) isolates were resistant to ceftazidime (MIC \geq 16 μ g/ml), 23 (33%) to cefotaxime (MIC \geq 32 μ g/ml), and 10 (14%) to ceftriaxone (MIC \geq 32 μ g/ml). Resistance to cefepime (MIC \geq 32 μ g/ml) was observed in 10 (14%) isolates. Finally, 38 (55%) isolates were resistant to aztreonam (MIC \geq 16 μ g/ml).

For all of the drugs, the difference in frequency of resistance between Gram-negative bacteria of organic versus nonorganic spinach source was not statistically significant. There was no statistically significant difference in antimicrobial resistance in the isolates collected during summer 2007 or spring 2008 as well.

Class 1 and class 2 integrons and ESBL genes *bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{CTX-M-1}, and *bla*_{TEM}. Class 1 integron was detected in DNA extracts from 3 spinach batch samples. However, only one of these contained an insert DNA, which was 98 bp in length. This sequence did not match any recognized drug-resistance gene

TABLE 4. Antimicrobial drug resistance to cephalosporins and monobactam among Gram-negative bacteria across genera and species in spinach

Organism (no. of isolates)	No. of isolates resistant to ^a :								Monobactam (Azt)
	Cephalosporin of indicated class								
	Narrow spectrum		Expanded spectrum		Broad spectrum				
	Cfz	Cf	Ctn	Crn	Cft	Caz	Cax	Cpe	
<i>Acinetobacter</i> (1)									
<i>rhizosphaerae</i> (1)	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
<i>Enterobacter</i> (5)									
<i>aerogenes</i> (1)	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
<i>amnigenus</i> (2)	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
<i>kobei</i> (1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>ludwigii</i> (1)	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
<i>Erwinia</i> (7)									
<i>persicina</i> (6)	3	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
<i>rhapontici</i> (1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Pantoea</i> (18)									
<i>agglomerans</i> (15)	8	11	0	4	1	1	0	0	3
<i>ananatis</i> (3)	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Pseudomonas</i> (27)									
<i>fragi</i> (1)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>libanensis</i> (1)	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1
<i>orientalis</i> (3)	3	3	3	3	3	0	1	0	3
<i>putida</i> (16)	16	15	15	15	8	5	4	5	14
<i>rhodesiae</i> (1)	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
<i>syringae</i> (1)	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
<i>teessidea</i> (1)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Species not determined (3)	3	2	2	2	2	0	1	1	2
<i>Rahnella</i> (7)									
<i>aqualitis</i>	5	6	1	4	3	3	0	2	2
<i>Serratia</i> (4)									
<i>fonticola</i> (3)	3	3	0	3	2	2	1	1	3
<i>proteamaculans</i> (1)	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
Total	50	58	26	41	23	14	10	10	38

^a Cfz, cefazolin; Cf, cephalothin; Ctn, cefotetan; Crn, cefuroxime; Cft, cefotaxime; Caz, ceftazidime; Cax, ceftriaxone; Cpe, cefepime; Azt, aztreonam.

sequences. Class 2 integrons were not found in any of the 25 batches. To rule out the possible effect of PCR inhibitors in the total spinach wash, we spiked the wash with integron DNA at a concentration equivalent to 1 bacterium. The PCR assay was able to amplify the DNA.

Of 12 isolates (4 *Pseudomonas putida*, 1 *Pseudomonas teessidea*, 3 *Rahnella aquatilis*, 2 *Serratia fonticola*, 1 *Serratia proteamaculans*, and 1 *Pantoea agglomerans*) that showed resistance to both cefotaxime and ceftazidime, 10 tested positive by PCR primers designed to amplify CTX-M-type beta-lactamase genes. None of the 12 samples showed a PCR product for *bla*_{TEM}. The PCR-amplified sequences were compared against the NCBI database (Table 5). Of the 10 isolates, 2 gave PCR products with the *bla*_{CTX-M} primers whose amplicon sequences did not match with any entry in the database (*Serratia proteamaculans* and *Pantoea agglomerans*). A 479-bp sequence from one *Pseudomonas putida* strain (S24M) and a 444-bp sequence from another *P. putida* strain (MS40-16) were 100% identical to animal and human *E. coli bla*_{CTX-M-15} sequences deposited

in the NCBI database (Table 5). One *P. teessidea* strain had a 712-bp sequence that was 100% identical to positions 10 to 722 of an 876-bp *bla*_{CTX-M-15} sequence (GenBank sequence accession no. HQ157357.1) from an *E. coli* strain. The other strains, 3 *Rahnella aquatilis* isolates and 2 *Serratia fonticola* isolates, contained sequences that were 99% and 98% identical to a newly found extended-spectrum beta-lactamase gene, *bla*_{RAHN-2}, reported by others to be found in vegetables (27), and beta-lactamase FON-5 from an unidentified source (GenBank sequence accession no. AJ251243.1), respectively (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we sought to characterize the population structure, drug susceptibility profile, and drug resistance genes of GNB saprophytes in spinach. To our surprise, we found several well-recognized and common CTX-M sequences as well as new ESBL gene sequences that have been previously reported from

TABLE 5. Genetic analysis of putative ESBL-producing spinach saprophytes

Strain	Spinach type	Size (bp) of sequenced DNA fragment (accession no.)	Position (bp) of sequence on matched sequence	Matched sequence(s) in NCBI database (organism of origin, sequence length [bp], accession no.), % match
<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>				
S24-M	Organic	479	47–525	CTX-M-15 (<i>Salmonella enterica</i> , 619, HQ589352.1), 100
MS40-16	Nonorganic	444	87–526	CTX-M-15 (<i>Salmonella enterica</i> , 619, HQ589352.1), 100
<i>Pseudomonas teessidea</i>				
MS40-13	Nonorganic	712	10–722	CTX-M-15 (<i>E. coli</i> , 876, HQ157357.1), 100
<i>Rahnella aquatilis</i>				
MS32-6A	Organic	523 (HQ339921)	67–552	RAHN-2 (<i>Rahnella</i> sp. 366, 655, GU584921.1), 99
MS32-6C	Organic	523 (HQ339922)	67–552	RAHN-2 (<i>Rahnella</i> sp. 366, 655, GU584921.1), 99
MS37-1	Organic	489 (HQ339923)	250–737	RAHN-2 (<i>Rahnella</i> sp. 344, 887, GU584905.1), 99
<i>Serratia fonticola</i>				
MS23-3	Nonorganic	522 (HQ339919)	217–738	FONR-5 (transcriptional regulator) and FONA-5 (beta-lactamase) (<i>Serratia fonticola</i> , 888, AJ251243.1), 98
MS26-1	Nonorganic	523 (HQ339920)	216–738	FONR-5 (transcriptional regulator) and FONA-5 (beta-lactamase) (<i>Serratia fonticola</i> , 888, AJ251243.1), 98

GNB pathogens from human clinical sources and GNB pathogens from food products from other parts of the world. We found partial *bla*_{CTX-M} sequences in strains of *Pseudomonas putida* and *Pseudomonas teessidea* that were identical to the CTX-M-15 gene sequences deposited in the NCBI database (Table 5). These NCBI sequences were identified in *E. coli* strains from human clinical samples and animal food products. We found no other *bla*_{CTX-M-15} sequences deposited in NCBI that were obtained from saprophytes in fresh produce items. One study of fresh produce items in France found *bla*_{RAHN-2}, a new ESBL gene, in *Rahnella aquatilis* from fruits and vegetables (27). In our study, we also found new beta-lactamase genes similar to *bla*_{RAHN-2} in 3 *Rahnella aquatilis* samples, as well as genes similar to *fonA-5* in two *Serratia fonticola* strains.

The design of this study may have underestimated the total number of GNB species represented among the spinach microbiota because we focused on analyzing only bacteria cultivated on MacConkey agar plates. Our original rationale for using MacConkey agar plates was that we wanted to select for *E. coli* in spinach since there had been a national epidemic of *E. coli* O157:H7 shortly before this project was undertaken. Nevertheless, the main findings—the identification of ESBL gene sequences in saprophytes—are not affected by this study limitation.

CTX-M beta-lactamase was first identified in Japan in 1986 (20). Since 2000, CTX-M-producing *E. coli* strains have begun to emerge in different regions of the world, often associated with community-acquired UTI (8, 23). More than 80 CTX-M beta-lactamases have been described to date (23). Among these, the CTX-M-15 type has been reported from all continents except Antarctica (22). It was first described in an *E. coli* strain from India (14). An international *E. coli* clone belonging to serotype O25 and MLST type ST131 carrying *bla*_{CTX-M-15} is now reported from many regions of the world, from clinical sources, companion animals, and food-producing animals (17, 21, 22, 25, 26, 29). However, *bla*_{CTX-M-15} has not been previously identified from any saprophytic organisms from any food

source. It may not have been sought in saprophytes from such sources before.

Integrations play an important role in the dissemination of drug-resistant genes across bacterial organisms (7). Class 1 integrations are found in up to 59% of Gram-negative bacteria causing clinical infections (7). A recent study of drug resistance in oxidase-positive organisms in fresh produce in Canada found class 1, 2, and 3 integrase genes in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* from alfalfa sprouts (4). In our study, we found Class 1 integrase sequences in 3 of the spinach batches, and only one had an insert, which did not encode any drug resistance.

We did not identify any recognized pathogens in the sampled spinach, but saprophytes on food can certainly get introduced into hospitals. If they are resistant, they could conceivably spread their mobile drug resistance determinants to pathogens prevalent in hospital settings. Our findings provide evidence that microbiota found in fresh produce—organic or nonorganic—could serve as a potential reservoir for mobile drug resistance genes. The identification of saprophytes encoding ESBLs is particularly worrisome, given the worldwide dispersion of GNB pathogens that harbor ESBL genes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Satowa Suzuki of the National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Japan, for kindly providing the universal CTX-M and TEM primers as well as the positive-control strains for the CTX-M and TEM genes. We thank Remi Ajiboye, Owen Solberg, Olivera Marjanovic, Kate Williams, Camila Barcia, and Sheila Adams-Sapper for their technical support and their input in discussions.

This study was funded in part by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (grant AI059523).

REFERENCES

- Ajiboye, R. M., et al. 2009. Global spread of mobile antimicrobial drug resistance determinants in human and animal *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* strains causing community-acquired infections. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **49**: 365–371.
- Backhed, F., R. E. Ley, J. L. Sonnenburg, D. A. Peterson, and J. I. Gordon. 2005. Host-bacterial mutualism in the human intestine. *Science* **307**:1915–1920.

3. Barlow, R. S., J. M. Pemberton, P. M. Desmarchelier, and K. S. Gobius. 2004. Isolation and characterization of integron-containing bacteria without antibiotic selection. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **48**:838–842.
4. Bezanson, G. S., R. MacInnis, G. Potter, and T. Hughes. 2008. Presence and potential for horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance in oxidase-positive bacteria populating raw salad vegetables. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **127**:37–42.
5. Bissonnette, L., and P. H. Roy. 1992. Characterization of In0 of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* plasmid pVS1, an ancestor of integrons of multiresistance plasmids and transposons of gram-negative bacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* **174**:1248–1257.
6. Bonnet, R. 2004. Growing group of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases: the CTX-M enzymes. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **48**:1–14.
7. Cambray, G., A. M. Guerout, and D. Mazel. 2010. Integrons. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **44**:141–166.
8. Carattoli, A. 2008. Animal reservoirs for extended spectrum beta-lactamase producers. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **14**(Suppl. 1):117–123.
9. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2008. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 18th informational supplement. CLSI M100-S18. CLSI, Wayne, PA.
10. D'Costa, V. M., E. Griffiths, and G. D. Wright. 2007. Expanding the soil antibiotic resistome: exploring environmental diversity. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **10**:481–489.
11. Edelstein, M., M. Pimkin, I. Palagin, I. Edelstein, and L. Stratchounski. 2003. Prevalence and molecular epidemiology of CTX-M extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Russian hospitals. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **47**:3724–3732.
12. FACT: Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990. Organic Foods Production Act of 1990, Title XXI. Public Law 109-97; **25**:1–21. (Amended in 2005.)
13. Johnson, J. R., et al. 2005. Distribution and characteristics of *Escherichia coli* clonal group A. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **11**:141–145.
14. Karim, A., L. Poirel, S. Nagarajan, and P. Nordmann. 2001. Plasmid-mediated extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (CTX-M-3 like) from India and gene association with insertion sequence ISEcp1. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **201**:237–241.
15. Kumarasamy, K. K., et al. 2010. Emergence of a new antibiotic resistance mechanism in India, Pakistan, and the UK: a molecular, biological, and epidemiological study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **10**:597–602.
16. Lévesque, C., and P. H. Roy. 1993. PCR analysis of integrons, p. 590–594. In D. H. Persing, T. F. Smith, F. C. Tenover, and T. J. White (ed.), *Diagnostic molecular microbiology: principles and applications*. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
17. Li, J., et al. 2010. Dissemination of cefotaxime-M-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates in poultry farms, but not swine farms, in China. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* **7**:1387–1392.
18. Lynch, M. F., R. V. Tauxe, and C. W. Hedberg. 2009. The growing burden of foodborne outbreaks due to contaminated fresh produce: risks and opportunities. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **137**:307–315.
19. Martinez-Freije, P., et al. 1998. Class I integrons in Gram-negative isolates from different European hospitals and association with decreased susceptibility to multiple antibiotic compounds. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **42**:689–696.
20. Matsumoto, Y., F. Ikeda, T. Kamimura, Y. Yokota, and Y. Mine. 1988. Novel plasmid-mediated beta-lactamase from *Escherichia coli* that inactivates oxyimino-cephalosporins. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **32**:1243–1246.
21. Oteo, J., M. Perez-Vazquez, and J. Campos. 2010. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing *Escherichia coli*: changing epidemiology and clinical impact. *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* **23**:320–326.
22. Peirano, G., and J. D. Pitout. 2010. Molecular epidemiology of *Escherichia coli* producing CTX-M beta-lactamases: the worldwide emergence of clone ST131 O25:H4. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **35**:316–321.
23. Pitout, J. D. 2010. Infections with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: changing epidemiology and drug treatment choices. *Drugs* **70**:313–333.
24. Pitout, J. D., and K. B. Laupland. 2008. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: an emerging public-health concern. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **8**:159–166.
25. Rodriguez, I., et al. 2009. Extended-spectrum {beta}-lactamases and AmpC {beta}-lactamases in ceftiofur-resistant *Salmonella enterica* isolates from food and livestock obtained in Germany during 2003-07. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **64**:301–309.
26. Rogers, B. A., H. E. Sidjabat, and D. L. Paterson. 2011. *Escherichia coli* O25b-ST131: a pandemic, multiresistant, community-associated strain. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **66**:1–14.
27. Ruimy, R., D. Meziane-Cherif, S. Momcilovic, G. Arlet, A. Andreumont, and P. Courvalin. 2010. RAHN-2, a chromosomal extended-spectrum class A beta-lactamase from *Rahnella aquatilis*. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **65**:1619–1623.
28. Sivapalasingam, S., C. R. Friedman, L. Cohen, and R. V. Tauxe. 2004. Fresh produce: a growing cause of outbreaks of foodborne illness in the United States, 1973 through 1997. *J. Food Prot.* **67**:2342–2353.
29. Vincent, C., et al. 2010. Food reservoir for *Escherichia coli* causing urinary tract infections. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **16**:88–95.
30. Wendel, A. M., et al. 2009. Multistate outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection associated with consumption of packaged spinach, August–September 2006: the Wisconsin investigation. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **48**:1079–1086.
31. Woodford, N., E. J. Fagan, and M. J. Ellington. 2006. Multiplex PCR for rapid detection of genes encoding CTX-M extended-spectrum (beta)-lactamases. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **57**:154–155.
32. Yagi, T., H. Kurokawa, N. Shibata, K. Shibayama, and Y. Arakawa. 2000. A preliminary survey of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) in clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli* in Japan. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **184**:53–56.