Efficient Generation of Unmarked Deletions in Legionella pneumophila[⊽]†

Andrew Bryan, Kaoru Harada, and Michele S. Swanson*

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-5620

Received 13 December 2010/Accepted 26 January 2011

Unmarked gene deletions facilitate studies of *Legionella pneumophila* multicomponent processes, such as motility and exonuclease activity. For this purpose, *FRT*-flanked alleles constructed in *Escherichia coli* using λ -Red recombinase were transferred to *L. pneumophila* by natural transformation. Resistance cassettes were then efficiently excised using the Flp site-specific recombinase encoded on a plasmid that is readily lost.

Virulence strategies of Legionella pneumophila can be studied by exploiting its genome sequence, natural competence, and growth in artificial media (18, 20). However, research has been complicated by limited selectable markers and the microbe's functional redundancy, including numerous secretion substrates (7, 14, 15). To facilitate construction of strains with multiple unmarked nonpolar deletions, we coupled phage-mediated recombination in Escherichia coli with Flp-mediated excision in L. pneumophila. By exploiting a λ phage enzyme to mediate homologous recombination between DNA substrates with as few as 35 nucleotides of homology, so-called recombineering offers several advantages over restriction enzymebased cloning, including increased efficiency (8, 10, 27, 28, 31). The Saccharomyces cerevisiae Flp site-specific recombinase excises DNA flanked by directly repeated 34-bp FRT sites (9, 12, 13, 19, 21, 23, 24). Here we efficiently generated unmarked deletions in L. pneumophila using Flp induced from plasmids, which were then cured from the strain. Compared to traditional methods, this approach generated unmarked deletions at a higher frequency and with greater consistency.

To construct null alleles with *FRT*-flanked antibiotic resistance cassettes, 500 to 1,000 bp flanking each gene to be deleted were amplified by PCR from the chromosome of wildtype *L. pneumophila* and cloned into pGEM-T Easy (Promega) using *E. coli* DH5 α as the host (Table 1). The gene of interest was replaced by an *FRT*-flanked *cat* or *kan* cassette from pKD3 or pKD4, respectively (10), using recombineering and *E. coli* DY330 (Table 1) (27, 28). The recombinant allele from pGEM was then transferred to the Lp02 chromosome by natural transformation (25, 26). An *FRT*-flanked gentamicin resistance cassette can be amplified with the same primers used for the *cat* and *kan* genes (Table 1).

FRT-flanked cassettes excised using vectors that express Flp. For construction of a shuttle vector for efficient expression of the Flp recombinase by *L. pneumophila*, *flp* was cloned into a gentamicin-resistant and sucrose-sensitive derivative of the broad-host-range plasmid pMMB206, yielding pMMBFlp (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Vector pMMBFlp encodes an inducible and functional Flp recombinase (Fig. 1A; see Table S1). However, since this plasmid was difficult to cure from the host strain (Fig. 1B), it is suitable for stable *flp* expression in *L. pneumophila* or for development of tools in other species (see Table S1). For both transient Flp expression and ready segregation from *L. pneumophila*, pBSFlp was constructed by replacing the RSF1010 origin of replication of pMMBFlp with the ColE1 *ori* from pBluescript KS- (Table 1).

Flp-mediated excision of cassettes and removal of vector. pBSFlp was transferred by electroporation (1a, 5, 16) to L. pneumophila strains harboring FRT-flanked deletion constructs, and transformants were selected on ACES [N-(2-acetamido)-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid]-buffered charcoal yeast extract (22) supplemented with 100 µg/ml of thymidine (CYET), gentamicin (10 μg/ml), and IPTG (isopropyl-β-Dthiogalactopyranoside) (200 µM). After being incubated for 5 to 6 days, individual colonies from the transformation were patched onto medium without antibiotics and IPTG and incubated overnight. Next, clones were isolated by streaking them onto CYET containing 5% sucrose, and their phenotypes and genotypes were determined. To verify the versatility of the method, deletions were constructed in lpg1782 (fliA), lpg2217, lpg0826 (xseA), and lpg1461 (recJ); an xseA recJ double mutant was also generated (Table 1).

For every locus examined, the vast majority of clones had excised the resistance cassette and lost the plasmid. When mutants were generated in *fliA*, *lpg2217*, *xseA*, and *recJ*, 100% of the total clones (n = 96) lost the desired resistance cassette when plated on medium containing IPTG, whereas 89% (n = 44) of the isolates plated on medium without IPTG did so. Loss of vector was also highly efficient; 100% (n = 96) of clones lacked the vector after being restreaked on sucrose-containing medium.

The ability of Flp recombinase to excise two cassettes in one step was also examined. A double *recJ::FRT xseA::FRT* unmarked deletion mutant was constructed in three steps: one step for each cassette insertion and then Flp-mediated excision of both cassettes in a single final step (Table 1). In contrast, traditional allelic exchange methods utilizing counter selection would require four selection steps, costing the researcher more time while increasing

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan Medical School, 5641 Medical Sciences Building II, 1150 West Medical Center Dr., Ann Arbor, MI 48109-5620. Phone: (734) 647-7295. Fax: (734) 764-3562. E-mail: mswanson@umich.edu.

[†] Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://aem .asm.org/.

^v Published ahead of print on 4 February 2011.

Bacterium and strain	Genotype	Source or reference	
E. coli strains			
DH5a	supE44 Δ lacU169 (80lacZ Δ M15) hsdR17 recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 relA1	Laboratory collection	
DY330	$W3110$ ΔlacU169 gal490 $\lambda cI857$ $\Delta (cro-bioA)$	31	
	pGEM-T Easy	Promega	
	pKD3 (FRT-cat-FRT allele)	10	
	pKD4 (FRT-kan-FRT allele)	10	
MB838	DH5αλpir pR6KγFRT-gent-FRT	This work	
MB790	DH5a pMMBFlp	This work	
MB791	DH5a pBSFlp	This work	
MB750	DH5a pGEMfliA	This work	
MB751	DH5a pGEMAfliA::FRT-cat-FRT	This work	
L. pneumophila strains			
MB110	Lp02 wild type; <i>thyA hsdR rpsL</i> (Str ^r)	1	
MB811	Lp02 AfliA::FRT-cat-FRT	This work	
MB818	Lp02 AfliA::FRT	This work	
MB758	Lp02 recJ::FRT-cat-FRT	1a	
MB819	$Lp02 \Delta recJ::FRT$	This work	
MB759	Lp02 xseA::FRT-kan-FRT	1a	
MB820	$Lp02 \Delta xseA::FRT$	This work	
MB760	Lp02 recJ::FRT-cat-FRT xseA::FRT-kan-FRT	1a	
MB821	Lp02 recJ::FRT xseA::FRT	This work	

TABLE 1. Abbreviated list of bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study^a

^a See Table S1 for a complete list of strains.



FIG. 1. After antibiotic resistance cassettes are efficiently cured from the L. pneumophila chromosome, plasmids expressing the Flp recombinase can be segregated from the host strain. (A) Flp-mediated excision of a cat cassette was examined in a letA::FRT-cat-FRT strain using pMMBFlp and IPTG induction in broth and then plating on medium with and without chloramphenicol (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Data represent means \pm standard errors of the means (SEMs) of 3 experiments. (B) Loss of Flp-encoding plasmids with either an RSF1010 (pMMBFlp) or ColE1 (pBSFlp) origin of replication. For cultures that were selected for plasmid loss with sucrose, overnight cultures were first grown in the absence of sucrose and then exponential-phase cultures were normalized, resuspended, and grown overnight in ACES-buffered yeast extract broth with thymidine plus 5% sucrose without antibiotics. After cultures were plated on media with and without gentamicin, the presence of the resistance cassette carried on the vector was scored. Data represent means \pm SEMs of ≥ 3 experiments.

the chances of second-site mutations. Minimizing strain passage was particularly important for the nuclease mutants, whose DNA repair is predicted to be defective.

Mutations in multiple genes with redundant functions reveal phenotypes. To examine whether functionally redundant genes can be studied by constructing multiple unmarked deletions in a single strain, we investigated the individual and combined effects of the RecJ and ExoVII (XseA) nucleases. E. coli has four canonical single-stranded nucleases (ssExos) with a great deal of redundancy (2, 11, 29, 30), whereas only two of these enzymes are annotated in the L. pneumophila genome (1a, 6). In E. coli, increased sensitivity to the base analog 2-aminopurine (2-AP) is not revealed until three or four of its ssExos are mutated (29). Nuclease mutants also exhibit increased sensitivity to nalidixic acid (Fig. 2) (4, 29). To test genetically if the L. pneumophila RecJ and ExoVII nucleases are redundant and together provide the majority of ssExo activity, we examined the susceptibilities of single and double mutants to 2-AP and nalidixic acid. When the mutants were treated with either DNA-damaging agent, the plating efficiency of the double mutant was less than that of either single mutant, suggesting overlapping function (Fig. 2). It is notable that the L. pneumophila double mutant was more susceptible to 2-AP than the corresponding E. coli double mutant, yet it was more resistant than the E. coli quadruple mutant (2). Since in E. coli nucleases are necessary for methyl-directed mismatch repair (MDMR), L. pneumophila may have a less responsive MDMR system than E. coli or recruit other mechanisms to repair base mismatches; alternatively, this pathogen may have unrecognized ssExos. However, the extreme sensitivity of the double mutant to nalidixic acid suggests that RecJ and ExoVII are L. pneumophila's main ssExos.

Unmarked *fliA* deletion alleles are not polar on *motAB*. Unmarked mutations pose a lower risk of polar effects on downstream genes than insertion mutations. For example, a transposon mutation in the gene encoding the flagellar sigma



FIG. 2. Exonuclease double mutants are sensitive to 2-aminopurine and nalidixic acid. The indicated strains were grown to the postexponential phase, as assessed by motility, cultures were normalized to an OD_{600} of 1.0, and 10-fold serial dilutions were plated on CYET without a drug or on CYET supplemented with 350 µg/ml of 2-AP or 2 µg/ml of nalidixic acid. A *mutS::cat* strain (1a) is shown as a specificity control.

factor, *fliA*, completely eliminates flagella and motility, and these defects are only partially complemented (17). Positioned 9 bp 3' of *fliA* is the *motAB* locus, which encodes ion channels critical for flagellar motion, as <5% of *motAB* mutant *L*. *pneumophila* exhibit motility (17).

To test the polarities of *fliA* mutations generated with Flp, we compared the resulting phenotypes to those of the *fliA* transposon mutant (17). Motility was scored microscopically, since soft-agar assays are not applicable for the nonchemotactic *L. pneumophila* (3). Wet mounts were observed through an inverted-phase microscope at least three times as the broth cultures achieved optical densities at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀s) of 3.70 to 4.70. Plasmid-borne *fliA* restored full motility only to the *fliA* unmarked-mutant strain (Table 2). Since the partial motility of both the *fliA* transposon and the *FRT-cat-FRT* mutant strains carrying *fliA* in *trans* resembled that of the *motAB* mutant, both of the insertion mutations are likely polar.

Conclusions. A powerful approach to study fundamental bacterial processes is the construction and analysis of unmarked nonpolar mutations. Certain experimental questions,

TABLE 2. Motility phenotypes

Strain	Chromosome	Plasmid	% motile ^a
Lp02	Wild-type postexponential phase	None	>95
Lp02	Wild-type exponential phase	None	0
MB560	motAB::gent	None	<5
MB808	<i>fliA::kan</i> transposon insertion	pMMBGent-empty	0
MB510	<i>fliA::kan</i> transposon insertion	pMMBGent-FliA	<5
MB814	$\Delta fliA::FRT$ -cat-FRT	pMMBGent-FliA	<5
MB816	$\Delta fliA::FRT$	pMMBGent-empty	0
MB817	ΔfliA::FRT	pMMBGent-FliA	>95

^{*a*} Motility of >10⁴ cells scored as a population in two independent experiments, as observed periodically from OD₆₀₀s of 3.70 to 4.70, except for the wild-type exponential phase, which was observed at OD₆₀₀s of 0.90 to 1.00.

including whether factors are functionally redundant, require that multiple mutations be constructed in a single strain. To facilitate the genetic manipulation, we developed an efficient tandem approach in which recombinant alleles are first constructed in *E. coli* using the λ -Red recombinase system and then Flp-mediated excision is induced in *L. pneumophila*.

We thank David Friedman for sharing equipment, Rachel L. Edwards for the pGEM*letA* plasmid, and Zachary D. Abbott for critical reading of the manuscript.

Our research was supported by NIH grants NIGMS T32 GM07863 and T32 AI07528-10, a University of Michigan Rackham Graduate Student Research Grant, a University of Michigan Department of Microbiology Novy Fellowship to A.B. and NIH grants AI044212-09S1 to K.H. and RO1 AI044212 to M.S.S.

REFERENCES

- Berger, K. H., and R. R. Isberg. 1993. Two distinct defects in intracellular growth complemented by a single genetic locus in *Legionella pneumophila*. Mol. Microbiol. 7:7–19.
- 1a.Bryan, A., and M. S. Swanson. 2011. Oligonucleotides stimulate genomic alterations of *Legionella pneumophila*. Mol. Microbiol. [Epub ahead of print.] doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07573.x.
- Burdett, V., C. Baitinger, M. Viswanathan, S. T. Lovett, and P. Modrich. 2001. *In vivo* requirement for RecJ, ExoVII, ExoI, and ExoX in methyldirected mismatch repair. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 98:6765–6770.
- Byrne, B., and M. S. Swanson. 1998. Expression of *Legionella pneumophila* virulence traits in response to growth conditions. Infect. Immun. 66:3029– 3034.
- Chase, J. W., and C. C. Richardson. 1977. Escherichia coli mutants deficient in exonuclease VII. J. Bacteriol. 129:934–947.
- Chen, D. Q., S. S. Huang, and Y. J. Lu. 2006. Efficient transformation of Legionella pneumophila by high-voltage electroporation. Microbiol. Res. 161: 246–251.
- Chien, M., et al. 2004. The genomic sequence of the accidental pathogen Legionella pneumophila. Science 305:1966–1968.
- Cianciotto, N. P. 2009. Many substrates and functions of type II secretion: lessons learned from *Legionella pneumophila*. Future Microbiol. 4:797–805.
- Court, D. L., J. A. Sawitzke, and L. C. Thomason. 2002. Genetic engineering using homologous recombination. Annu. Rev. Genet. 36:361–388.
- Cox, M. M. 1983. The FLP protein of the yeast 2-microns plasmid: expression of a eukaryotic genetic recombination system in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 80:4223–4227.
- Datsenko, K. A., and B. L. Wanner. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97:6640–6645.
- Dutra, B. E., V. A. Sutera, Jr., and S. T. Lovett. 2007. RecA-independent recombination is efficient but limited by exonucleases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104:216–221.

- Falco, S. C., Y. Li, J. R. Broach, and D. Botstein. 1982. Genetic properties of chromosomally integrated 2 mu plasmid DNA in yeast. Cell 29:573–584.
- Golic, K. G., and S. Lindquist. 1989. The FLP recombinase of yeast catalyzes site-specific recombination in the *Drosophila* genome. Cell 59:499–509.
- Hubber, A., and C. R. Roy. 2010. Modulation of host cell function by Legionella pneumophila type IV effectors. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 26:261–283.
- Isberg, R. R., T. J. O'Connor, and M. Heidtman. 2009. The Legionella pneumophila replication vacuole: making a cosy niche inside host cells. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 7:13–24.
- Marra, A., S. J. Blander, M. A. Horwitz, and H. A. Shuman. 1992. Identification of a *Legionella pneumophila* locus required for intracellular multiplication in human macrophages. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 89:9607–9611.
- Molofsky, A. B., L. M. Shetron-Rama, and M. S. Swanson. 2005. Components of the *Legionella pneumophila* flagellar regulon contribute to multiple virulence traits, including lysosome avoidance and macrophage death. Infect. Immun. 73:5720–5734.
- Molofsky, A. B., and M. S. Swanson. 2004. Differentiate to thrive: lessons from the Legionella pneumophila life cycle. Mol. Microbiol. 53:29–40.
- Morschhäuser, J., S. Michel, and P. Staib. 1999. Sequential gene disruption in *Candida albicans* by FLP-mediated site-specific recombination. Mol. Microbiol. 32:547–556.
- Newton, H. J., D. K. Ang, I. R. van Driel, and E. L. Hartland. 2010. Molecular pathogenesis of infections caused by *Legionella pneumophila*. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 23:274–298.
- O'Gorman, S., D. T. Fox, and G. M. Wahl. 1991. Recombinase-mediated gene activation and site-specific integration in mammalian cells. Science 251:1351–1355.

- Pasculle, A. W., et al. 1980. Pittsburgh pneumonia agent: direct isolation from human lung tissue. J. Infect. Dis. 141:727–732.
- Schweizer, H. P. 2003. Applications of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae Flp-FRT system in bacterial genetics. J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 5:67–77.
- Senecoff, J. F., R. C. Bruckner, and M. M. Cox. 1985. The FLP recombinase of the yeast 2-micron plasmid: characterization of its recombination site. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 82:7270–7274.
- Sexton, J. A., and J. P. Vogel. 2004. Regulation of hypercompetence in Legionella pneumophila. J. Bacteriol. 186:3814–3825.
- Stone, B. J., and Y. A. Kwaik. 1999. Natural competence for DNA transformation by *Legionella pneumophila* and its association with expression of type IV pili. J. Bacteriol. 181:1395–1402.
- 27. Thomason, L., et al. 2007. Recombineering: genetic engineering in bacteria using homologous recombination. Curr. Protoc. Mol. Biol. 1:1.16.
- Thomason, L. C., N. Costantino, D. V. Shaw, and D. L. Court. 2007. Multicopy plasmid modification with phage lambda Red recombineering. Plasmid 58:148–158.
- Viswanathan, M., V. Burdett, C. Baitinger, P. Modrich, and S. T. Lovett. 2001. Redundant exonuclease involvement in *Escherichia coli* methyl-directed mismatch repair. J. Biol. Chem. 276:31053–31058.
- Viswanathan, M., and S. T. Lovett. 1998. Single-strand DNA-specific exonucleases in *Escherichia coli*. Roles in repair and mutation avoidance. Genetics 149:7–16.
- Yu, D., et al. 2000. An efficient recombination system for chromosome engineering in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97:5978–5983.