Published in final edited form as:
Trends Cell Biol. 2011 March ; 21(3): 159–167. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2010.11.003.

Transport According to GARP: Receiving Retrograde Cargo at the *Trans*-Golgi Network

Juan S. Bonifacino[1] and **Aitor Hierro**[2]

[1] Cell Biology and Metabolism Program, *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

[2] Structural Biology Unit, Center for Cooperative Research in Biosciences (CIC bioGUNE), Bizkaia Technology Park, 48160 Derio, Spain

Abstract

Tethering factors are large protein complexes that capture transport vesicles and enable their fusion with acceptor organelles at different stages of the endomembrane system. Recent studies have shed new light on the structure and function of a heterotetrameric tethering factor named Golgi-associated retrograde protein (GARP), which promotes fusion of endosome-derived, retrograde transport carriers to the *trans*-Golgi network (TGN). X-ray crystallography of the Vps53 and Vps54 subunits of GARP has revealed that this complex is structurally related to other tethering factors such as the exocyst, COG and Dsl1, indicating that they all might work by a similar mechanism. Loss of GARP function compromises the growth, fertility and/or viability of the defective organisms, underscoring the essential nature of GARP-mediated retrograde transport.

Introduction

Transfer of biomolecular cargo (*e.g.*, proteins, lipids and other macromolecules) between organelles of the endomembrane system (*e.g.*, the endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi apparatus, plasma membrane, endosomes, lysosomes, etc.) occurs by budding of vesicular or tubular transport carriers (TCs) from a donor compartment, followed by fusion of the TCs to an acceptor compartment [2]. Budding is carried out by a complex molecular machinery that is recruited from the cytosol to the acceptor membrane in the form of a protein “coat” [3]. Fusion, on the other hand, is effected by a set of small, membrane-bound proteins named SNAREs [4]. Cognate SNAREs from both the TCs (*i.e.*, vesicle SNAREs or v-SNAREs) and the acceptor compartment (*i.e.*, target SNAREs or t-SNAREs) assemble into a tight four-α-helix bundle that brings the two membranes into close apposition, eventually leading to the merger of the lipid bilayers [4,5]. Additional regulators, including small GTPases [6] and their effectors, tethering factors [7,8], cooperate with the SNAREs in this process. Tethering factors are large proteins or protein complexes that establish long-range interactions between the TCs and the acceptor compartment prior to contacts between v- and t-SNAREs, and that subsequently function to promote SNARE complex assembly [7,8]. The specificity and efficiency of each transport step in the endomembrane system are determined by the use of a particular combination of SNAREs, small GTPases, and tethering factors.

Corresponding authors: Juan S. Bonifacino (juan@helix.nih.gov); Aitor Hierro (ahierro@cicbiogune.es).

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Tethering factors have been classified into two types: homodimeric long coiled-coil proteins and heteromeric multisubunit tethering complexes (MTCs) [7,8,9] (Box 1). Among the MTCs, there is a group of structurally-related complexes named CATCHR (for complex associated with tethering containing helical rods), which includes the Dsl1 (dependence on SLY1-20), COG (conserved oligomeric Golgi), exocyst, and GARP (Golgi-associated retrograde protein)/VFT (Vps fifty-three) complexes [8] (Box 1). The study of tethering factors is a very active area of research in cell biology and has been the subject of several reviews [7,8,9]. The understanding of the structure and function of GARP/VFT (herein simply referred to as GARP), however, has lagged behind that of other tethering factors. After the discovery of this complex in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* a decade ago [10,11], its study went into a lull, and only recently has interest resurged with the description and characterization of the orthologous complex in higher eukaryotes [12,13]. Many new studies offer the first glimpses into the three-dimensional structure of GARP and highlight the critical importance of this complex for a broad range of cellular functions. This review focuses on these recent developments in the understanding of GARP structure and function.

Box 1

Classification of tethering factors (TFs) according to their structure. This classification is based on [7], [8] and [9] (Figure I). Only four of ~20 known golgins [9] are listed. TRAPP occurs as three related complexes (I, II, and III) that differ in the identity of some of its subunits [7,8]. HOPS and CORVET also have common and distinct subunits [8]. The subunits of the four CATCHR complexes are structurally related but distinct [8]. CATCHR complexes were previously referred to as “quatrefoil” because of the four-fold nature of some of them [19]; this latter term applies more to GARP than to other MTCs (see Figure 1). Most of these tethering factors are known to function as effectors of small GTPases of the Rab, Arl and/or Rho/Cdc42 families [8,7,9]. As such, they preferentially bind to the GTP-bound (active) forms of the GTPases. TRAPP complexes, however, function as guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) for the Rab family GTPases, Ypt1 and Ypt31/32 [85].



Figure I.

GARP subunit composition and function in retrograde transport

The discovery of GARP stemmed from genetic and biochemical studies of protein traffic in *S. cerevisiae* [10,11,14,15]. These studies established that GARP is a complex of four

distinct proteins termed Vps51, Vps52, Vps53 and Vps54 (Vps stands for vacuolar protein sorting) (Table 1). GARP is peripherally associated with the cytosolic face of the late Golgi apparatus (herein referred to as the *trans*-Golgi network or TGN), where it functions to tether "retrograde" TCs derived from endosomes (Fig. 1a) [10,11,14,15]. The ensuing TC-TGN fusion allows for the retrieval of recycling transmembrane proteins such as the vacuolar protein sorting receptor Vps10 to the TGN. Vps10 binds newly-synthesized vacuolar hydrolase precursors such as pro-carboxypeptidase Y (pro-CPY) at the TGN, and takes them to endosomes, from where the hydrolase precursors go to the vacuole (the equivalent of the mammalian lysosome) while the receptors return to the TGN for further rounds of sorting [16]. Mutations in the genes encoding any of the four GARP subunits prevent recycling of Vps10 to the TGN and consequently cause missorting of the vacuolar hydrolase precursors to the exterior of the cell [10,11,14,15,17]. This phenotype is the basis for the designation of the four GARP subunits as "Vps" proteins. Other recycling transmembrane proteins such as the dibasic endoprotease Kex2 [10,14] and the v-SNARE Snc1 [14,15,17] also require GARP for retrieval to the TGN. Their missorting in GARP-deficient yeast strains results in additional phenotypic defects.

Recent studies have shown that the structure and function of GARP are conserved in higher eukaryotes. Orthologs of Vps52, Vps53 and Vps54 were readily identified by sequence homology to the yeast proteins, and were also shown to assemble into a complex [12,13] (Table 1). Vps51 orthologs in higher eukaryotes were more difficult to identify because they exhibit low sequence identity to the yeast proteins. It was only this past year that a human Vps51 ortholog was identified in a two-hybrid screen using human Vps53 as bait [18]. Remarkably, human Vps51 turned out to be Ang2 [18], an uncharacterized open reading frame that had been previously recognized as being remotely homologous to subunits of other CATCHR complexes [19] and that is orthologous to the zebrafish Fat-free (Ffr) protein [20] (Table 1). Biochemical analyses demonstrated that this protein is indeed part of an obligatory 1:1:1:1, ~360-kDa complex with human Vps52, Vps53 and Vps54 (*i.e.*, human GARP) [18].

Like its yeast counterpart, human GARP is mainly associated with the TGN (Fig. 2a–c), although the existence of an endosomal pool has also been reported [12,13,18,20]. Human GARP participates in the retrieval of receptors for lysosomal hydrolase precursors, such as the mannose 6-phosphate receptors (MPRs), from endosomes to the TGN [13,18]. Accordingly, depletion of GARP subunits by RNAi results in secretion of hydrolase precursors such as pro-cathepsin D into the extracellular space, with consequent lysosomal dysfunction [13,18]. GARP depletion also prevents retrograde transport of the TGN-resident protein TGN46, some SNAREs and internalized Shiga toxin B-subunit (Fig. 2d,e) from endosomes to the TGN. Thus, GARP plays a general role in endosome-to-TGN transport in a wide range of eukaryotes.

Insights into GARP structure

The four subunits of GARP are large proteins composed of 700–1700 amino acid residues in most eukaryotes (Fig. 3a). An exception is Vps51 from *S. cerevisiae* and other yeasts, which comprises 125–320 residues distantly related to the amino-terminal region of Ang2. A common feature of the amino-terminal regions of all GARP subunits is the presence of short sequence stretches that are predicted to form coiled coils or amphipathic α-helices [10,12,14,15,18,19,21] (Fig. 3). These structural elements have been proposed to participate in assembly of the complex, which indeed depends on the amino-terminal, but not the carboxy-terminal, regions of the GARP subunits [13,15,17,18,22]. Based on these findings and other structural considerations, GARP is thought to consist of a core composed of the

amino-terminal regions of the subunits, with four projecting arms (three in the case of yeast) corresponding to the carboxy-terminal regions of the subunits (Fig. 1b).

Early sequence analyses revealed a low degree of homology of the subunits of GARP to subunits of the Dsl1, COG and exocyst complexes [19,23], which are now grouped under the CATCHR moniker [8]. The homology is most significant in the amino-terminal regions but also extends to the carboxy-terminal regions of the proteins [19,23]. The notion that these complexes are related has been confirmed by the recent resolution of the crystal structures of carboxy-terminal fragments from human Vps54 [24] and *S. cerevisiae* Vps53 [25] (Fig. 3b). These structures show that both proteins have an α helical bundle organization similar to that of other CATCHR complex subunits [24,25], providing further evidence for their divergent evolution from a common ancestral molecule [8]. The entire length of CATCHR subunits is structured as an elongated array of α-helical bundles [8] (Fig. 3b). These bundles have been denoted A–E in the two largest CATCHR structures, Exo70 [26] and Dsl1 [27]. Based on structural comparisons, this domain nomenclature has been extended to other CATCHR structures [28,29,18]. The crystallized region of Vps54 folds as a compact five-α-helix bundle [24] that is most similar to the D-like domain of the exocyst Sec6 subunit [30]. Similarly, the crystallized region of Vps53 folds into two continuous α-helical bundles comparable to the D- and E-like domains of Sec6 [30]. The presence of a hydrophobic groove formed by the first two α-helices of the D-like domains in both crystal structures, in conjunction with sequence analyses, hints at the extension of the α-helical bundle organization towards the amino-termini of the proteins [24,25], as in Tip20 (Fig. 3b). Sequence analyses predict that Vps51 and Vps52 are highly α-helical, suggesting that they too might share a CATCHR fold.

The CATCHR fold is also found in the cargo-binding domain of the *S. cerevisiae* molecular motor Myo2, which tethers transport vesicles to actin filaments [31]. In addition, the MUN domain of MUNC13, which regulates SNARE complex assembly at the synapse [32], has been predicted to have a CATCHR fold based on remote sequence homology [33]. It is thus tempting to speculate that this fold might have evolved to enable vesicle tethering and SNARE complex assembly in a variety of contexts. Despite these structural similarities, CATCHR complexes differ in the number of subunits and the number of α-helical bundles, curvature and flexibility of each subunit [8]. Furthermore, some subunits exhibit distinct structural elements such as hinges or disordered regions [29] that might endow the proteins with specific mechanistic properties. CATCHR complexes hence exemplify the adaptation of a common structural blueprint to the performance of distinct vesicle tethering events.

GARP interactions with membranes, small GTPases and SNAREs

GARP must exert its tethering function by simultaneously binding to both the TC and acceptor membranes. In this regard, the carboxy-terminal region of human Vps53 was shown to be required for binding of GARP to retrograde TCs and for retrieval of TGN46 to the TGN [22]. This is in line with the finding of a conserved patch of charged, polar and hydrophobic residues in the carboxy-terminal domain of *S. cerevisiae* Vps53 (Fig. 4a, highlighted in yellow) that is required for Vps10-mediated sorting of pro-CPY to the vacuole [25]. In addition, the carboxy-terminal region of *S. cerevisiae* Vps54 was found to bind to endosomes (the donor compartment for retrograde TCs) and to participate in retrieval of Snc1 to the TGN [17]. The nature of the “receptors” (*e.g.*, proteins and/or lipids) for GARP on TCs and endosomes remains to be determined. The search for GARP-interacting proteins [34] might be an avenue to identify such receptors.

Binding of GARP to the acceptor membrane (*i.e.*, the TGN), on the other hand, is likely mediated by interactions with GTPases of the Rab and Arl families (Fig. 1b), as is the case

for other tethering factors [7,8,9]. *S. cerevisiae* GARP interacts through its Vps52 subunit with the GTP-bound form of Ypt6, the ortholog of mammalian Rab6 [11,15], and through its Vps53 subunit with the GTP-bound form of Arl1 [35]. Depletion of Ypt6 causes dispersal of GARP from the TGN, as observed by immunofluorescence microscopy [11], but does not diminish its association with a membrane fraction in subcellular fractionation experiments [14]. Depletion of Arl1 does not alter GARP localization to the TGN [35]. It is therefore unclear whether Ypt6 and Arl1 regulate actual recruitment of GARP to the TGN or some other function of the complex. Like GARP, the exocyst complex interacts with the GTP-bound form of two small GTPases, Rho3 and Cdc42 [36]. Loss-of-function mutations of these GTPases block exocytosis without altering exocyst localization [37,38,39]. It is thus apparent that these small GTPases regulate properties other than (or in addition to) the association of CATCHR complexes with their corresponding membranes. Membrane association may therefore depend on multiple interactions only some of which are provided by small GTPases [40].

S. cerevisiae Vps51 specifically interacts with the amino-terminal regulatory Habc domain of the t-SNARE, Tlg1 [14,15]. In this interaction, the amino-terminal region of Vps51 (residues 9–30) forms a partial α-helix that binds to a hydrophobic groove on the three-α-helix bundle of the Habc domain [41]. This interaction appears phylogenetically conserved, since human Vps51 (*i.e.*, Ang2) interacts with the Habc domain of Syntaxin 6 (*i.e.*, the human ortholog of Tlg1) [18]. Point mutations that disrupt the Tlg1-Vps51 interaction, however, do not cause any traffic defects in yeast [41], suggesting that additional interactions might contribute to function. In this regard, the amino-terminal regions of human Vps53 and Vps54 bind to the SNARE motifs of other SNAREs involved in endosome-to-TGN transport, namely Syntaxin 6, Syntaxin 16, and Vamp4 [22]. Moreover, GARP binds not only single SNAREs but also SNARE complexes [22]. Finally, depletion of GARP reduces formation of TGN SNARE complexes [22]. Thus, GARP engages in various interactions with TGN SNAREs, contributing to their assembly and/or stabilization. The COG complex has also been shown to interact with the fusion machinery, including direct contact of Cog4 with the *cis*-Golgi t-SNARE Sed5p [42] as well as enhancement of the stability of intra-Golgi SNARE complexes [43]. Likewise, Dsl1 interacts with the N-terminal regulatory domains of ER SNAREs, although this interaction exerts only a modest effect on SNARE complex formation *in vitro* [29].

Requirement of GARP for a broad range of cellular processes

Although it is now well-known that the primary role of GARP is in the reception of endosome-derived TCs at the TGN, the impact of GARP mutations on single cells and multicellular organisms extends far beyond this particular step (Table 2). Some phenotypic defects most likely result from impaired TGN, endosomal or vacuolar/lysosomal functions that are secondary to altered retrograde transport. In this category may be cell wall defects [44,45] and hypersensitivity to metal ions, toxic drugs and pH extremes [21] in *S. cerevisiae* GARP mutants, as well as defective lipid absorption and transport in enterocytes of the *fat-free* zebrafish Vps51 mutant [20]. GARP mutations in *S. cerevisiae* [46] and RNAi in cultured human cells [18] also cause defects in autophagy, the process by which cells target cytoplasmic organelles and particles for lysosomal degradation [47]. Altered autophagy could result from lysosomal dysfunction [18] or from a requirement for some components of the autophagy machinery such as Atg9 to cycle between the TGN and endosomes [48] or between mitochondria and pre-autophagosomal structures [46]. Another possibility is that GARP plays a direct role in autophagy, as is the case for the COG [49] and TRAPP [50] tethering complexes.

GARP has also been shown to be required for maintenance of actin filaments [44,51,52] and microtubules [44,53] in *S. cerevisiae*, although these requirements appear dependent on the genetic background of the strains [10,21,44]. Defects in the actin cytoskeleton or membrane traffic in GARP mutants might underlie the impaired polarity or formation of reproductive structures such as *S. cerevisiae* buds [54], shmoos [44] and spores [55], *Arabidopsis thaliana* pollen tubes [56,57], and *Drosophila* sperm cells [58].

In light of the broad range of cellular processes that depend on GARP function, it is not surprising that mutations in GARP genes severely compromise the growth, fertility and/or viability of the mutant organisms. For example, *S. cerevisiae* GARP mutants are viable, but exhibit slow, temperature-sensitive growth [10,15,21] and small cell size [59,60]. Homozygous *Drosophila* GARP mutants have also been reported to be viable, although the mutants display reproductive and developmental defects [58]. GARP mutations are even more deleterious to plants and mice. Indeed, homozygous mutations in GARP genes are embryonically lethal in *A. thaliana* [56,57]. In the mouse, homozygous disruption of the gene encoding Vps54 results in abnormal development and lethality of embryos between days 11.5 and 12.5 post-gestation [61]. These findings underscore the essential nature of GARP for many cellular functions.

Motor neuron degeneration caused by defective GARP

Of particular interest regarding the physiological requirement of GARP is the finding [61] that a single amino-acid substitution, leucine-967 to glutamine, in the carboxy-terminal region of Vps54 is the cause of defective spermiogenesis and motor neuron disease in the “wobbler” mouse (Fig. 4b). This mouse strain arose as the result of a spontaneous, recessive mutation more than fifty years ago [62]. Characterization of the phenotype showed that homozygous mutant mice display unsteady (“wobbly”) gait with progressive muscle weakness, atrophy and contractures, predominantly in the forelimbs, head and neck [63]. Severe muscle weakness is eventually fatal for some, though not all, of the affected mice [63]. This phenotype is caused by degeneration of motor neurons [63] and resembles the human disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), also named Lou Gehrig’s disease after the American baseball player who was one of its best-known victims [64]. A recent screening for mutations in the Vps54 gene in a cohort of patients with familial and sporadic forms of ALS, however, identified a substitution of alanine for threonine-360 in only one out of 192 patients, ruling out mutations in this protein as a major cause of ALS [65].

The hydrophobic leucine-967 residue is completely buried against the carboxy-terminal α-helical bundle of Vps54 (Fig. 4a) [24]. Its substitution by a hydrophilic glutamine residue in the wobbler mouse destabilizes the protein and causes it to be rapidly degraded [24]. As a consequence, levels of the mutant protein are greatly reduced in all tissues of the wobbler mouse, including the spinal cord, where many motor neurons are located [24]. Levels of Vps53 are similarly decreased, owing to degradation of the excess, unassembled protein and reflecting a reduction of the whole GARP complex [24]. Evidently, the lower levels of GARP in the wobbler mouse are sufficient to support viability of the animal — in contrast to the embryonic lethality of the Vps54-null mouse [61] — but not the long-term health of its motor neurons.

How does a GARP deficit specifically lead to motor neuron degeneration? Motor neurons have very long axons that innervate skeletal muscles far away from the cell body. Maintenance of this distinct architecture likely requires optimal function of the protein trafficking machinery. Partial defects that are tolerable to less sprawling cell types may have catastrophic consequences for far-flung neuronal processes. The broad impact of GARP deficiency on intracellular organelles and the cytoskeleton (Table 2) could affect the ability

to transport cargos, in both retrograde and anterograde directions, along the axon. Indeed, abnormalities in axonal transport have been reported to be a major cause of motor neuron death [66], perhaps due to defective reception in the cell body of trophic signals coming from the nerve terminal through signaling endosomes [67]. Another clue to the pathogenesis of motor neuron disease in the wobbler mouse might lie in the autophagy defects caused by GARP deficiency. Some forms of hereditary ALS result from mutations in proteins such as SOD1 (copper/zinc superoxide dismutase 1) and TDP-43 (TAR DNA binding protein 43), which cause these proteins to accumulate as ubiquitinated aggregates in the cytoplasm of motor neurons [64,68]. Forms of sporadic ALS also present with neuronal inclusions that correlate with the severity of the disease [69]. These inclusions could act as “sinks” that sequester proteins that are essential for cell survival. Strikingly, wobbler motor neurons exhibit ubiquitinated TDP-43 inclusions similar to those in ALS [70]. It is thus tempting to speculate that the autophagy defect caused by reduced GARP levels in the wobbler mouse prevents effective clearance of cytoplasmic aggregates, eventually leading to motor neuron death.

Concluding remarks

Like the homonymous character in John Irving’s novel [1], GARP is finally coming of age. Judging from the accelerating pace of discovery of its structure and function, we should soon have a more complete understanding of its mechanism of action. We still need to learn the details of the interactions of GARP with GTPases, SNAREs and other proteins, and to elucidate how exactly it participates in tethering and fusion at the TGN. Relevant to this mechanism is the question of whether GARP cooperates with other tethering factors in the performance of its function. It is intriguing that retrograde transport of several cargo molecules to the TGN is blocked by depletion of not only GARP but also several golgins [71]. The structures of GARP and the golgins are so different that it is hard to imagine that they function in similar ways in the process of tethering. We also need to explain how GARP deficiency has such a broad impact on cell and organismal physiology, including the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative disorders. Does GARP perform other functions in addition to tethering TCs at the TGN? The elucidation of these and other outstanding issues will be enabled by further studies on GARP as well as other CATCHR complexes of related structure and function.

Acknowledgments

We thank the members of the Bonifacino and Hierro laboratories for their contributions to the work discussed here. Work in the Bonifacino laboratory was funded by the Intramural Program of the US National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, and in the Hierro laboratory by the Carlos III Health Institute (PI081739) and the Ramon y Cajal program of the Ministry of Science and Innovation of Spain.

References

1. Irving, J. The World According to Garp. New York, NY: Dutton; 1978.
2. Bonifacino JS, Glick BS. The mechanisms of vesicle budding and fusion. Cell. 2004; 116:153–166. [PubMed: 14744428]
3. McMahon HT, Mills IG. COP and clathrin-coated vesicle budding: different pathways, common approaches. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2004; 16:379–391. [PubMed: 15261670]
4. Sudhof TC, Rothman JE. Membrane fusion: grappling with SNARE and SM proteins. Science. 2009; 323:474–477. [PubMed: 19164740]
5. Jahn R, Scheller RH. SNAREs--engines for membrane fusion. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2006; 7:631–643. [PubMed: 16912714]
6. Stenmark H. Rab GTPases as coordinators of vesicle traffic. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009; 10:513–525. [PubMed: 19603039]

7. Cai H, Reinisch K, Ferro-Novick S. Coats, tethers, Rabs, and SNAREs work together to mediate the intracellular destination of a transport vesicle. Dev Cell. 2007; 12:671–682. [PubMed: 17488620]
8. Yu IM, Hughson FM. Tethering Factors as Organizers of Intracellular Vesicular Traffic. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2010; 26:137–156. [PubMed: 19575650]
9. Barr FA, Short B. Golgins in the structure and dynamics of the Golgi apparatus. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2003; 15:405–413. [PubMed: 12892780]
10. Conibear E, Stevens TH. Vps52p, Vps53p, and Vps54p form a novel multisubunit complex required for protein sorting at the yeast late Golgi. Mol Biol Cell. 2000; 11:305–323. [PubMed: 10637310]
11. Siniossoglou S, Pelham HR. An effector of Ypt6p binds the SNARE Tlg1p and mediates selective fusion of vesicles with late Golgi membranes. EMBO J. 2001; 20:5991–5998. [PubMed: 11689439]
12. Liewen H, et al. Characterization of the human GARP (Golgi associated retrograde protein) complex. Exp Cell Res. 2005; 306:24–34. [PubMed: 15878329]
13. Perez-Victoria FJ, Mardones GA, Bonifacino JS. Requirement of the human GARP complex for mannose 6-phosphate-receptor-dependent sorting of cathepsin D to lysosomes. Mol Biol Cell. 2008; 19:2350–2362. [PubMed: 18367545]
14. Conibear E, Cleck JN, Stevens TH. Vps51p mediates the association of the GARP (Vps52/53/54) complex with the late Golgi t-SNARE Tlg1p. Mol Biol Cell. 2003; 14:1610–1623. [PubMed: 12686613]
15. Siniossoglou S, Pelham HR. Vps51p links the VFT complex to the SNARE Tlg1p. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277:48318–48324. [PubMed: 12377769]
16. Marcusson EG, et al. The sorting receptor for yeast vacuolar carboxypeptidase Y is encoded by the VPS10 gene. Cell. 1994; 77:579–586. [PubMed: 8187177]
17. Quenneville NR, et al. Domains within the GARP subunit Vps54 confer separate functions in complex assembly and early endosome recognition. Mol Biol Cell. 2006; 17:1859–1870. [PubMed: 16452629]
18. Perez-Victoria FJ, et al. Ang2/Fat-free Is a Conserved Subunit of the Golgi-associated Retrograde Protein (GARP) Complex. Mol Biol Cell. 2010; 21:3386–3395. [PubMed: 20685960]
19. Whyte JR, Munro S. The Sec34/35 Golgi transport complex is related to the exocyst, defining a family of complexes involved in multiple steps of membrane traffic. Dev Cell. 2001; 1:527–537. [PubMed: 11703943]
20. Ho SY, et al. Zebrafish fat-free is required for intestinal lipid absorption and Golgi apparatus structure. Cell Metab. 2006; 3:289–300. [PubMed: 16581006]
21. Conboy MJ, Cyert MS. Luv1p/Rki1p/Tcs3p/Vps54p, a yeast protein that localizes to the late Golgi and early endosome, is required for normal vacuolar morphology. Mol Biol Cell. 2000; 11:2429–2443. [PubMed: 10888679]
22. Perez-Victoria FJ, Bonifacino JS. Dual roles of the mammalian GARP complex in tethering and SNARE complex assembly at the trans-golgi network. Mol Cell Biol. 2009; 29:5251–5263. [PubMed: 19620288]
23. Koumandou VL, et al. Control systems for membrane fusion in the ancestral eukaryote; evolution of tethering complexes and SM proteins. BMC Evol Biol. 2007; 7:29. [PubMed: 17319956]
24. Perez-Victoria FJ, et al. Structural basis for the wobbler mouse neurodegenerative disorder caused by mutation in the Vps54 subunit of the GARP complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:12860–12865. [PubMed: 20615984]
25. Vasan N, et al. Structure of a C-terminal fragment of its Vps53 subunit suggests similarity of Golgi-associated retrograde protein (GARP) complex to a family of tethering complexes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:14176–18181. [PubMed: 20660722]
26. Dong G, et al. The structures of exocyst subunit Exo70p and the Exo84p C-terminal domains reveal a common motif. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2005; 12:1094–1100. [PubMed: 16249794]
27. Tripathi A, et al. Structural characterization of Tip20p and Dsl1p, subunits of the Dsl1p vesicle tethering complex. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2009; 16:114–123. [PubMed: 19151722]
28. Richardson BC, et al. Structural basis for a human glycosylation disorder caused by mutation of the COG4 gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106:13329–13334. [PubMed: 19651599]

29. Ren Y, et al. A structure-based mechanism for vesicle capture by the multisubunit tethering complex Dsl1. Cell. 2009; 139:1119–1129. [PubMed: 20005805]
30. Sivaram MV, et al. The structure of the exocyst subunit Sec6p defines a conserved architecture with diverse roles. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2006; 13:555–556. [PubMed: 16699513]
31. Pashkova N, et al. Structural basis for myosin V discrimination between distinct cargoes. EMBO J. 2006; 25:693–700. [PubMed: 16437158]
32. Basu J, et al. A minimal domain responsible for Munc13 activity. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2005; 12:1017–1018. [PubMed: 16228007]
33. Pei J, et al. Remote homology between Munc13 MUN domain and vesicle tethering complexes. J Mol Biol. 2009; 391:509–517. [PubMed: 19563813]
34. Otto GP, et al. A novel syntaxin 6-interacting protein, SHIP164, regulates syntaxin 6-dependent sorting from early endosomes. Traffic. 2010; 11:688–705. [PubMed: 20163565]
35. Panic B, Whyte JR, Munro S. The ARF-like GTPases Arl1p and Arl3p act in a pathway that interacts with vesicle-tethering factors at the Golgi apparatus. Curr Biol. 2003; 13:405–410. [PubMed: 12620189]
36. Wu H, et al. The Exo70 subunit of the exocyst is an effector for both Cdc42 and Rho3 function in polarized exocytosis. Mol Biol Cell. 2010; 21:430–442. [PubMed: 19955214]
37. Adamo JE, et al. Yeast Cdc42 functions at a late step in exocytosis, specifically during polarized growth of the emerging bud. J Cell Biol. 2001; 155:581–592. [PubMed: 11706050]
38. Roumanie O, et al. Rho GTPase regulation of exocytosis in yeast is independent of GTP hydrolysis and polarization of the exocyst complex. J Cell Biol. 2005; 170:583–594. [PubMed: 16103227]
39. Hutagalung AH, et al. An internal domain of Exo70p is required for actin-independent localization and mediates assembly of specific exocyst components. Mol Biol Cell. 2009; 20:153–163. [PubMed: 18946089]
40. Grosshans BL, Ortiz D, Novick P. Rabs and their effectors: achieving specificity in membrane traffic. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103:11821–11827. [PubMed: 16882731]
41. Fridmann-Sirkis Y, et al. Structural analysis of the interaction between the SNARE Tlg1 and Vps51. Traffic. 2006; 7:182–190. [PubMed: 16420526]
42. Suvorova ES, Duden R, Lupashin VV. The Sec34/Sec35p complex, a Ypt1p effector required for retrograde intra-Golgi trafficking, interacts with Golgi SNAREs and COPI vesicle coat proteins. J Cell Biol. 2002; 157:631–643. [PubMed: 12011112]
43. Shestakova A, et al. Interaction of the conserved oligomeric Golgi complex with t-SNARE Syntaxin5a/Sed5 enhances intra-Golgi SNARE complex stability. J Cell Biol. 2007; 179:1179–1192. [PubMed: 18086915]
44. Fiedler TA, et al. The vesicular transport protein Cgp1p/Vps54p/Tcs3p/Luv1p is required for the integrity of the actin cytoskeleton. Mol Genet Genomics. 2002; 268:190–205. [PubMed: 12395193]
45. Conde R, et al. Screening for new yeast mutants affected in mannosylphosphorylation of cell wall mannoproteins. Yeast. 2003; 20:1189–1211. [PubMed: 14587103]
46. Reggiori F, Klionsky DJ. Atg9 sorting from mitochondria is impaired in early secretion and VFT-complex mutants in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Cell Sci. 2006; 119:2903–2911. [PubMed: 16787937]
47. Yang Z, Klionsky DJ. Mammalian autophagy: core molecular machinery and signaling regulation. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2010; 22:124–131. [PubMed: 20034776]
48. Young AR, et al. Starvation and ULK1-dependent cycling of mammalian Atg9 between the TGN and endosomes. J Cell Sci. 2006; 119:3888–3900. [PubMed: 16940348]
49. Yen WL, et al. The conserved oligomeric Golgi complex is involved in double-membrane vesicle formation during autophagy. J Cell Biol. 2010; 188:101–114. [PubMed: 20065092]
50. Lynch-Day MA, et al. Trs85 directs a Ypt1 GEF, TRAPPIII, to the phagophore to promote autophagy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:7811–7816. [PubMed: 20375281]
51. Novick P, Osmond BC, Botstein D. Suppressors of yeast actin mutations. Genetics. 1989; 121:659–674. [PubMed: 2656401]

52. Bonangelino CJ, Chavez EM, Bonifacino JS. Genomic screen for vacuolar protein sorting genes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Biol Cell. 2002; 13:2486–2501. [PubMed: 12134085]
53. Smith AM, Archer JE, Solomon F. Regulation of tubulin polypeptides and microtubule function: Luv1p [correction of Rki1p] interacts with the beta-tubulin binding protein Rbl2p. Chromosoma. 1998; 107:471–478. [PubMed: 9914379]
54. Ni L, Snyder M. A genomic study of the bipolar bud site selection pattern in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Biol Cell. 2001; 12:2147–2170. [PubMed: 11452010]
55. Morishita M, et al. Snc1p v-SNARE transport to the prospore membrane during yeast sporulation is dependent on endosomal retrieval pathways. Traffic. 2007; 8:1231–1245. [PubMed: 17645731]
56. Lobstein E, et al. The putative Arabidopsis homolog of yeast vps52p is required for pollen tube elongation, localizes to Golgi, and might be involved in vesicle trafficking. Plant Physiol. 2004; 135:1480–1490. [PubMed: 15235115]
57. Guermonprez H, et al. The POK/AtVPS52 protein localizes to several distinct post-Golgi compartments in sporophytic and gametophytic cells. J Exp Bot. 2008; 59:3087–3098. [PubMed: 18583349]
58. Fabrizio JJ, et al. Genetic dissection of sperm individualization in Drosophila melanogaster. Development. 1998; 125:1833–1843. [PubMed: 9550716]
59. Jorgensen P, et al. Systematic identification of pathways that couple cell growth and division in yeast. Science. 2002; 297:395–400. [PubMed: 12089449]
60. Sudbery P. Cell biology. When wee meets whi. Science. 2002; 297:351–352. [PubMed: 12130772]
61. Schmitt-John T, et al. Mutation of Vps54 causes motor neuron disease and defective spermiogenesis in the wobbler mouse. Nat Genet. 2005; 37:1213–1215. [PubMed: 16244655]
62. Falconer, DS. Mouse News Lett. Vol. 15. 1956. Wobbler (wr); p. 23
63. Duchen LW, Strich SJ. An hereditary motor neurone disease with progressive denervation of muscle in the mouse: the mutant 'wobbler'. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1968; 31:535–542. [PubMed: 5709840]
64. Rothstein JD. Current hypotheses for the underlying biology of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Ann Neurol. 2009; 65(Suppl 1):S3–9. [PubMed: 19191304]
65. Meisler MH, et al. Evaluation of the Golgi trafficking protein VPS54 (wobbler) as a candidate for ALS. Amyotroph Lateral Scler. 2008; 9:141–148. [PubMed: 18574757]
66. El-Kadi AM, Soura V, Hafezparast M. Defective axonal transport in motor neuron disease. J Neurosci Res. 2007; 85:2557–2566. [PubMed: 17265455]
67. Cosker KE, Courchesne SL, Segal RA. Action in the axon: generation and transport of signaling endosomes. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2008; 18:270–275. [PubMed: 18778772]
68. Buratti E, Baralle FE. The molecular links between TDP-43 dysfunction and neurodegeneration. Adv Genet. 2009; 66:1–34. [PubMed: 19737636]
69. van Welsem ME, et al. The relationship between Bunina bodies, skein-like inclusions and neuronal loss in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Acta Neuropathol. 2002; 103:583–589. [PubMed: 12012090]
70. Dennis JS, Citron BA. Wobbler mice modeling motor neuron disease display elevated transactive response DNA binding protein. Neuroscience. 2009; 158:745–750. [PubMed: 19013502]
71. Goud B, Gleeson PA. TGN golgins, Rabs and cytoskeleton: regulating the Golgi trafficking highways. Trends Cell Biol. 2010; 20:329–336. [PubMed: 20227882]
72. Bidlingmaier S, Snyder M. Large-scale identification of genes important for apical growth in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by directed allele replacement technology (DART) screening. Funct Integr Genomics. 2002; 1:345–356. [PubMed: 11957109]
73. Farber SA, et al. Genetic analysis of digestive physiology using fluorescent phospholipid reporters. Science. 2001; 292:1385–1388. [PubMed: 11359013]
74. Lee CF, et al. Mutation in a homolog of yeast Vps53p accounts for the heat and osmotic hypersensitive phenotypes in Arabidopsis hit1-1 mutant. Planta. 2006; 224:330–338. [PubMed: 16408208]
75. Walter L, Gunther E. Identification of a novel highly conserved gene in the centromeric part of the major histocompatibility complex. Genomics. 1998; 52:298–304. [PubMed: 9790748]

76. Bensen ES, Costaguta G, Payne GS. Synthetic genetic interactions with temperature-sensitive clathrin in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Roles for synaptojanin-like Inp53p and dynamin-related Vps1p in clathrin-dependent protein sorting at the trans-Golgi network. Genetics. 2000; 154:83–97. [PubMed: 10628971]
77. Klionsky DJ, Herman PK, Emr SD. The fungal vacuole: composition, function, and biogenesis. Microbiol Rev. 1990; 54:266–292. [PubMed: 2215422]
78. Lemmens I, et al. Construction of a 1.2-Mb sequence-ready contig of chromosome 11q13 encompassing the multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 (MEN1) gene. The European Consortium on MEN1. Genomics. 1997; 44:94–100. [PubMed: 9286704]
79. Reggiori F, et al. Vps51 is part of the yeast Vps fifty-three tethering complex essential for retrograde traffic from the early endosome and Cvt vesicle completion. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278:5009–5020. [PubMed: 12446664]
80. Wagner MC, et al. Loss of the homotypic fusion and vacuole protein sorting or golgi-associated retrograde protein vesicle tethering complexes results in gentamicin sensitivity in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2006; 50:587–595. [PubMed: 16436714]
81. Markovich S, et al. Genomic approach to identification of mutations affecting caspofungin susceptibility in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004; 48:3871–3876. [PubMed: 15388447]
82. Valis K, et al. Immunity to killer toxin K1 is connected with the Golgi-to-vacuole protein degradation pathway. Folia Microbiol (Praha). 2006; 51:196–202. [PubMed: 17004650]
83. Santoro B, et al. Evidence for chronic mitochondrial impairment in the cervical spinal cord of a murine model of motor neuron disease. Neurobiol Dis. 2004; 17:349–357. [PubMed: 15474372]
84. Brass AL, et al. Identification of host proteins required for HIV infection through a functional genomic screen. Science. 2008; 319:921–926. [PubMed: 18187620]
85. Jones S, et al. The TRAPP complex is a nucleotide exchanger for Ypt1 and Ypt31/32. Mol Biol Cell. 2000; 11:4403–4411. [PubMed: 11102533]
86. Bonifacino JS, Rojas R. Retrograde transport from endosomes to the trans-Golgi network. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2006; 7:568–579. [PubMed: 16936697]
87. Johannes L, Popoff V. Tracing the retrograde route in protein trafficking. Cell. 2008; 135:1175–1187. [PubMed: 19109890]



Figure 1.

Proposed role of GARP in tethering retrograde transport carriers to the *trans*-Golgi network. (a) Protein cycling between the TGN and endosomes. Some transmembrane proteins, including acid hydrolase receptors, processing proteases and SNAREs, cycle between the TGN and endosomes [86,87]. Retrograde transport of these proteins from endosomes to the TGN occurs through a tubular compartment referred to as the tubular endosomal network (TEN). From this compartment, tubular or vesicular transport carriers (TCs) deliver cargos to the TGN [86]. (b) Schematic representation of tethering mediated by GARP. GARP is shown as a heterotetramer assembled through the amino-terminal regions of its four subunits [13,15,17,18,22]. GARP has been shown to interact with small GTPases of the Rab and Arl families (Ypt6 and Arl1, respectively in *S. cerevisiae*), which might contribute to GARP recruitment to the TGN [11,35]. GARP also interacts with the Habc domain of a t-SNARE (Tlg1 in *S. cerevisiae* and Syntaxin 6 in humans) [11,15,14,18,41], probably leading to displacement of this domain from the SNARE domain and thus enabling pairing with other SNAREs. Weaker interactions with other SNAREs [12,22] might further promote SNARE complex formation. The specifics of this graphic representation are highly speculative, since the molecular details of the interactions have not been worked out.



Figure 2.

GARP localizes to the TGN, where it enables cargo transport from endosomes. (a–c) Co-localization of GARP (labeled by expression of a Vps54-GFP chimera) (green channel) with the TGN marker TGN46 (labeled with a specific antibody to this protein followed by Alexa-594 conjugated secondary antibody) (red channel) in human HeLa cells imaged by confocal fluorescence microscopy. Panel c shows a merged image in which yellow indicates co-localization. The TGN appears as a cisternal/tubular network partially surrounding the nucleus (Nu). Cy: cytoplasm. (d,e) Evidence for the involvement of GARP in retrograde transport. Confocal fluorescence microscopy shows that internalized Cy3-conjugated B subunit of Shiga toxin (STxB) reaches the TGN in control HeLa cells, but accumulates in endosomes and retrograde transport carriers in HeLa cells depleted of Vps51 (*i.e.*, Ang2) by RNAi knock-down (KD). Images are reproduced from refs. [13] (a–c) and [18] (d,e).



Figure 3.

Characteristics of the GARP subunits. (a) Schematic representation of the human GARP subunits. N and C represent the amino- and carboxy-termini of the proteins. The scheme shows the approximate sizes of the four subunits and the location of predicted coiled-coil (CC) motifs. The presence of coiled coils, particularly in the amino-terminal regions, is a conserved feature of GARP subunits from all species. Analyses using the SMART server (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/) predict the presence of a C2H2-type zinc finger at the amino-terminus of Vps54 from *Drosophila* and *C. elegans* but not from other species. These domains generally function as binding sites for other macromolecules, but their exact role in these Vps54 orthologs is unknown. (b) Crystal structures of carboxy-terminal (CT) fragments from *S. cerevisiae* Vps53 [25] and human Vps54 [24] in comparison to those of a carboxy-terminal (CT) fragment from the *S. cerevisiae* Sec6 subunit of the exocyst complex [30] and the full-length *S. cerevisiae* Tip20 subunit of the Dsl1 complex [27]. Structures are represented as ribbon diagrams with the tandem α-helical-bundle domains (designated A–E) shown in different colors.



Figure 4.

Functional regions of GARP subunits. (a) Ribbon diagrams of *S. cerevisiae* Vps53 and human Vps54 carboxy-terminal (CT) fragments shown under a translucent surface. Segments corresponding to the different α-helical-bundle domains are indicated in green (C domain), blue (D domain) and red (E domain). The yellow highlight on Vps53-CT indicates a cluster of highly conserved residues that are required for pro-CPY sorting to the vacuole [25]. The magenta highlight on Vps54-CT indicates a hydrophobic pocket containing the leucine-967 residue (red stick model) that is mutated in the wobbler mouse [24]. (b) Defective motor function of the Vps54-mutant wobbler (*wr*) mouse. Unlike a normal mouse (+/+), a homozygous wobbler mouse (*wr/wr*) cannot grab onto the grid with either the forelimbs or hindlimbs (arrows) as a result of motor neuron degeneration. Insertion of a normal Vps54 allele (*wr/wr-Vps54-tg*) into the genome rescues the phenotype of the mutant mouse. Photograph courtesy of Thomas Schmitt-John (Aarhus University, Denmark). Reproduced with permission from ref. [61].

Table 1

GARP subunit names and aliases

Organisms	GARP Subunit Names and Aliases			
Canonical	Vps51	Vps52	Vps53	Vps54
S. cerevisiae	Vps67 Whi6 Api3	Sac2		Luv1 Cgp1 Tcs3
A. thaliana		Pok	Hit1	
Drosophila				Scat
Zebrafish	Ffr			
Mammals	Ang2	Are1 Sacm2l		Hcc8

Most of the names and aliases of the GARP subunits are indicative of the broad range of defects that result from mutations in different model organisms, as follows: Api3, apical growth defects 3 [72]; Cgp1, centromere and promoter factor 1 (Cpf1) genetically interacting protein 1 [44]; Ffr, fat-free [73]; Hcc8, hepatocellular carcinoma 8 [12]; Hit1, heat intolerant 1 [74]; Luv1, loss upsets vacuole 1 [21]; Pok, poky pollen tube [56]; Sac2, supressor of actin 2 [51]; Sacm2l, suppressor of actin mutation 2-like [75]; Scat, scattered spermatid nuclei [58]; Tcs3, temperature-sensitive clathrin synthetic 3 [76]; Vps, vacuolar protein sorting [77]. The moniker Whi (Whi6) denotes small cell size; it was coined to sound like Wee ("small") and to evoke the bottle of whisky that was won in a bet over the isolation of the corresponding *S. cerevisiae* mutants [60]. Ang2 and Are1 are acronyms of "another new gene 2" and "a region expressed 1", respectively, reflecting the lack of knowledge of the functions of the corresponding proteins at the time of annotation [78,75]; it should not be confused with genes encoding angiogenin or angiopoietin, which have been given the same name. *S. cerevisiae* Vps54 was also dubbed Rki1 [53], but in the meanwhile this name was assigned to a different protein. Cloning and sequencing of *S. cerevisiae* Vps51 [15,14,79] revealed that it was allelic to the previously reported Vps67 [52] (systematic name: Ykr020w).

Table 2

Requirement of GARP for a broad range of cellular processes

Organisms	Processes	References
S. cerevisiae	Cell growth and viability	10,21,44
	Transport of Vps10 (vacuolar sorting receptor), Kex2 (dibasic endoprotease), Ste13 (dipeptidyl aminopeptidase A), Snc1 (exocytic v-SNARE) and Sso1 (plasma membrane t-SNARE) from endosomes to the late Golgi complex	10,14,15,17,41
	Sorting of hydrolase precursors to the vacuole	10,14,21,52,76
	Maintenance of vacuole integrity	10,14,15,21,76
	Pro-α-factor processing	10,52,76
	Positioning of the mitotic spindle at the neck between mother and daughter cell	44
	Maintenance of actin cytoskeleton integrity and polarization	44,46,51,52
	Polarized localization of the unconventional myosin motor protein Myo2	44
	Bipolar bud site selection	54
	Formation of pheromone-induced mating projections (“shmoos”)	44
	Cell wall composition and integrity	44,45
	Meiotic progression and spore formation	55
	Cytoplasm-to-vacuole targeting	79
	Autophagy	46,79
	Maintenance of the mitochondrial tubular network	46
	Resistance to high concentrations of Zn^{2+}, Mn^{2+}, and Cd^{2+}, to hygromycin B, caffeine, FK506, gentamicin, caspofungin, microtubule-destabilizing and actin- disrupting drugs, to killer toxin 1, and to pH extremes	21,44,53,80,81,82
A. thaliana	Embryonic development and viability	56,57
	Gametophyte development	56,57
	Pollen tube apical growth	56,57
	Heat and osmotic stress tolerance	74
Drosophila	Male fertility	58
	Sperm individualization from syncitial cysts	58
Zebrafish	Maintenance of lipid transport and Golgi morphology in the digestive tract	20
Mammals	Embryonic development and viability	61
	Retrograde transport of CI-MPR (acid hydrolase receptor), TGN46 (TGN protein), Vamp4 (v-SNARE), Syntaxin 6 (t-SNARE) and Shiga toxin B subunit from endosomes to the TGN	13,18,22,24,34
	Sorting of cathepsin D (acid hydrolase) to lysosomes	13,18
	Lysosome morphology and function	13,18
	Motor neuron survival	61
	Spermiogenesis	61

Organisms	Processes	References
	Mitochondrial morphology and function	83
	Autophagy	18
	Endosomal cholesterol transport	18
	Infection of T cells by HIV-1	84

Most of these requirements were determined in studies of the phenotypes of mutant organisms.