Involvement of Arabidopsis RACK1 in Protein Translation and Its Regulation by Abscisic Acid^{1[C][W][OA]}

Jianjun Guo², Shucai Wang, Oliver Valerius, Hardy Hall, Qingning Zeng, Jian-Feng Li, David J. Weston, Brian E. Ellis, and Jin-Gui Chen^{2*}

Department of Botany (J.G., S.W., H.H., Q.Z., J.-G.C.) and Michael Smith Laboratories (H.H., Q.Z., B.E.E.), University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z4; Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115 (J.G., J.-F.L.); Department of Molecular Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02114–2790 (J.G., J.-F.L.); Institute of Microbiology and Genetics, Department of Molecular Microbiology and Genetics, University of Goettingen, 37077 Goettingen, Germany (O.V.); and Biosciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831–6422 (D.J.W., J.-G.C.)

Earlier studies have shown that RACK1 functions as a negative regulator of abscisic acid (ABA) responses in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), but the molecular mechanism of the action of RACK1 in these processes remains elusive. Global gene expression profiling revealed that approximately 40% of the genes affected by ABA treatment were affected in a similar manner by the rack1 mutation, supporting the view that RACK1 is an important regulator of ABA responses. On the other hand, coexpression analysis revealed that more than 80% of the genes coexpressed with RACK1 encode ribosome proteins, implying a close relationship between RACK1's function and the ribosome complex. These results implied that the regulatory role for RACK1 in ABA responses may be partially due to its putative function in protein translation, which is one of the major cellular processes that mammalian and Saccharomyces cerevisiae RACK1 is involved in. Consistently, all three Arabidopsis RACK1 homologous genes, namely RACK1A, RACK1B, and RACK1C, complemented the growth defects of the S. cerevisiae cross pathway control2/rack1 mutant. In addition, RACK1 physically interacts with Arabidopsis Eukaryotic Initiation Factor6 (eIF6), whose mammalian homolog is a key regulator of 80S ribosome assembly. Moreover, rack1 mutants displayed hypersensitivity to anisomycin, an inhibitor of protein translation, and displayed characteristics of impaired 80S functional ribosome assembly and 60S ribosomal subunit biogenesis in a ribosome profiling assay. Gene expression analysis revealed that ABA inhibits the expression of both RACK1 and eIF6. Taken together, these results suggest that RACK1 may be required for normal production of 60S and 80S ribosomes and that its action in these processes may be regulated by ABA.

Living organisms need to maintain their cellular homeostasis while dealing with various environmental stresses. This process involves multiple regulatory mechanisms, including the regulation of protein translation. Protein translation is regulated at three steps: initiation, elongation, and termination (Scheper et al., 2007). Most signaling events regulate translation at the initiation stage (Sonenberg and Hinnebusch, 2009). Translation initiation is a complex multireaction process. Briefly, in mammalian cells, a preinitiation complex (containing the 40S ribosome subunit) first binds to the 5'-cap of target mRNA and scans for the AUG start codon. Subsequently, the 60S subunit joins to assemble a functional 80S ribosome complex, which is ready to accept the appropriate aminoacyl-tRNA and form the first peptidtyl bond and thereby initiate translation elongation (Sonenberg and Hinnebusch, 2009).

Early studies in plants identified a variety of abiotic stresses, including drought, cold, and salt stresses, that could lead to inhibition of global protein translation (Ben-Zioni et al., 1967; Aspinall, 1986; Kawaguchi and Bailey-Serres, 2002; Kawaguchi et al., 2003). Although the regulation of gene expression at the translation initiation stage plays an important role in the adaptation of organisms to various environmental stresses (Brostrom and Brostrom, 1998; Yamasaki and Anderson, 2008), there has also been one report on the effect of stress conditions on regulating protein translation at the

 1 This work was supported by grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Canada Foundation for Innovation, the British Columbia Ministry of Advanced Education, and the Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program of Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract number DE–AC05–00OR22725.
 $\frac{2}{1}$ These authors contributed equally to the article.

^{*} Corresponding author; e-mail chenj@ornl.gov.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantphysiol.org) is: Jin-Gui Chen (chenj@ornl.gov).

[[]C] Some figures in this article are displayed in color online but in black and white in the print edition.

[[]W] The online version of this article contains Web-only data.

[[]OA] Open Access articles can be viewed online without a subscription.

www.plantphysiol.org/cgi/doi/10.1104/pp.110.160663

³⁷⁰ Plant Physiology®, January 2011, Vol. 155, pp. 370–383, www.plantphysiol.org © 2010 American Society of Plant Biologists

elongation and termination stages (Shenton et al., 2006). In addition, ribosome biogenesis, one of the major energy-consuming cellular processes, is also under tight regulation in response to environmental signals (Martin et al., 2004). Despite the widely observed direct regulation of environmental stress on protein translation in plants, the identity of the specific molecular players that link stress responses, the stress-signaling hormone abscisic acid (ABA), and the regulation of global translation has remained elusive.

Mammalian RACK1 was initially identified as a Receptor for Activated C Protein Kinase1 (Ron et al., 1994) and later found to interact with numerous proteins involved in various signal transduction pathways (for review, see McCahill et al., 2002; Sklan et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2007). In plants, RACK1 homologs appear to play multiple roles. The first RACK1 homolog was initially identified as an auxin-responsive gene in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) BY-2 cells (Ishida et al., 1993), and a related gene was subsequently isolated from alfalfa (Medicago sativa; McKhann et al., 1997). The tobacco RACK1 homolog was found to mediate cell cycle arrest triggered by salicylic acid and UV irradiation (Perennes et al., 1999). More recently, RACK1 was identified as a component of the plant 40S ribosome subunit (Chang et al., 2005; Giavalisco et al., 2005) and as an interacting partner within a rice (Oryza sativa) Rac1 immune complex that mediates the innate immune response (Nakashima et al., 2008). The crystal structure of the Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) RACK1A protein was also recently resolved (Ullah et al., 2008).

In earlier studies, we found that a loss-of-function mutation in one of the three RACK1 genes in Arabidopsis, RACK1A, conferred altered responses to multiple plant hormones (Chen et al., 2006). Later, we provided evidence to support the view that the three RACK1 genes regulate plant development in a manner of unequal genetic redundancy (Guo and Chen, 2008). More recently, we found that RACK1 genes work redundantly as negative regulators of ABA responses and mediate stress responses (Guo et al., 2009a). Interestingly, although Arabidopsis possesses homologs of both mammalian RACK1 and heterotrimeric G-proteins, the plant homologs appear to act through a mechanism that is distinct from their counterparts in mammals (Guo et al., 2009b).

One of the best characterized roles for RACK1 in Arabidopsis is acting as a regulator of ABA and abiotic stress responses (Guo et al., 2009a), and in this study, we investigate its molecular mechanism of action. Through a combination of molecular, genetic, biochemical, and pharmacological approaches, we show that RACK1 is involved in protein translation and 60S ribosome biogenesis and that its action in these processes may be regulated by ABA. These findings provide new insights into the molecular mechanism of action of RACK1 in modulating ABA responses and into the regulation of protein translation, a fundamental cellular process in plants.

RESULTS

Many Genes Are Coregulated by ABA and the rack1 Mutation

To characterize the role of RACK1 in ABA responses in more detail, a global gene expression profiling assay was conducted using rack1a rack1b double mutants. We specifically looked for genes that are up- or downregulated 2.0-fold or more in the rack1a rack1b mutant background and compared these responses with the list of genes that are up- or down-regulated by ABA treatment in the wild-type Columbia (Col-0) background. Three biological replicates were used for each sample. This analysis identified a total of 1,254 genes that were up-regulated 2.0-fold or more in the rack1a rack1b mutant plants and a total of 1,312 genes that were down-regulated (Fig. 1). Under our experimental conditions, a total of 968 genes were up-regulated and 1,253 genes were down-regulated by ABA treatment in the wild-type plants (Fig. 1). Functional categorization of the genes that were differentially expressed in the rack1a rack1b mutant background revealed a relatively high percentage of genes whose predicted biological function is involved in stress responses (4.7% of upregulated genes and 4.6% of down-regulated genes), in response to abiotic and biotic stimulus (4.1% of upregulated genes and 4.8% down-regulated genes), in protein metabolism (6.7% of up-regulated genes and 5.8% of down-regulated genes), and in developmental processes (4.3% of up-regulated genes and 4.9% downregulated genes; Supplemental Fig. S1), suggesting an important role for RACK1 genes in mediating these biological processes. Furthermore, when the gene profile between rack1a rack1b and Col after ABA treatment was compared, we found that the expression of many genes that are known to respond to stress (6.952%) or abiotic or biotic stress stimulus (6.245%) were further up-regulated in the rack1a rack1b mutant (Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. S2; Supplemental Table S1). This coincides with the earlier observation that rack1a rack1b mutants displayed enhanced physiological response to ABA (Chen et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2009a).

Interestingly, we found that approximately 41% (400 out of 968) of the ABA-up-regulated genes in wildtype plants were also up-regulated in the rack1a rack1b double mutant background even in the absence of ABA treatment (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Table S2). Similarly, we found that approximately 41% (519 out of 1,253) of the ABA-down-regulated genes in wildtype plants were also down-regulated in the rack1a rack1b mutant plants without ABA treatment (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Table S2). In contrast, only seven ABAdown-regulated genes were up-regulated in the rack1a rack1b mutant and 26 ABA-up-regulated genes were down-regulated in the rack1a rack1b background.

Consistently, when analyzing all the significantly up- and down-regulated genes (genes whose expression level was significantly changed at 95% confidence interval with no regard to fold change), the changes in

Guo et al.

Figure 1. Analysis of DNA microarray data. A, A Venn diagram shows the number of genes that are co-up-regulated 2.0-fold or more by 50 μ MM ABA treatment and by $rack1$ mutation. The number of genes that were coregulated by ABA treatment and rack1 mutation appears in the overlapped portion of the circles, and the number of genes that were not coregulated appears in the nonoverlapping portions for the 2-fold up-regulated genes. B, A Venn diagram shows the number of genes that are co-down-regulated 2.0-fold or more by 50 μ M ABA treatment and by rack1 mutation. C, Scatterplot shows the correlation of the genes that were regulated by ABA treatment and of genes that were regulated by rack1a rack1b mutation. The calculated Pearson correlation coefficient was 0.494, indicating a moderate correlation level. [See online article for color version of this figure.]

gene expression resulting from the rack1a rack1b mutation and from ABA treatment also showed considerable similarity (Fig. 1B). Quantification of this similarity using the Pearson correlation coefficient showed moderate correlation ($P = 0.494$) between the effect of the rack1a rack1b mutation and ABA treatment. These results indicate an important role for RACK1 in mediating ABA-regulated transcriptional responses.

Coexpression Analysis of RACK1 Genes

To gain further insights into the biochemical/molecular function of RACK1 in Arabidopsis, we performed global coexpression data analysis (PRIME; http://prime.psc.riken.jp/) to identify genes that are coexpressed with all three RACK1 genes. Surprisingly, we found that more than 80% (128 out of 154) of the genes that are coexpressed with RACK1 encode ribosomal proteins (Supplemental Fig. S3; Supplemental Table S3), implying a potential relationship between RACK1 function and the ribosome complex. RACK1 proteins were previously reported to be physically associated with ribosomes in Arabidopsis (Chang et al., 2005; Giavalisco et al., 2005), and one of the major functions of RACK1 in mammalian cells and Saccharomyces cerevisiae is to regulate translation initi-

ation at the stage of ribosome assembly (Ceci et al., 2003; Shor et al., 2003). These findings prompted us to examine the function of Arabidopsis RACK1 in ribosome assembly and translation initiation as well as the relationship, if any, between such a role and cellular responses to ABA.

Arabidopsis RACK1 Complements the S. cerevisiae cross pathway control2/rack1 Mutant

Because a large amount of information has been accumulated about the molecular function of RACK1 in mammals and S. cerevisiae, and many of the signaling pathways and cellular processes that RACK1 is involved in appear to be conserved across eukaryotic kingdoms

Figure 2. Functional categorization of genes that were up-regulated 2-fold or more in the rack1a rack1b mutant background treated with ABA compared with Col treated with ABA. Functional categorization of genes was obtained through The Arabidopsis Information Resource Gene Ontology (GO) Annotations tool (http://www.arabidopsis.org/ tools/bulk/go/index.jsp).

(McCahill et al., 2002; Sklan et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2007), we asked whether the Arabidopsis RACK1 genes can rescue the S. cerevisiae cross pathway control2 (cpc2)/rack1 mutant phenotypes.

Diploid *S. cerevisiae* strains of the genetic Σ 1278b background are dimorph and develop from single spherical S. cerevisiae cells to filament-like pseudohyphal cells under nitrogen starvation conditions (Gimeno et al., 1992). A homozygous deletion of CPC2 results in the loss of pseudohyphae development under nitrogen starvation conditions and the formation of a smooth-border round colony (Fig. 3, A and B; Valerius et al., 2007). We first expressed the full-length S. cerevisiae CPC2 gene in the cpc2 mutant using the S. cerevisiae expression vector p424MET25 (Mumberg et al., 1994) and observed the restoration of pseudohyphae growth (Fig. 3C). With this validated system, we found that when any of the three Arabidopsis RACK1 genes were expressed in the S. cerevisiae cpc2 diploid mutant background, the transformant regained the ability to produce the filament-like structures (pseudohyphae; Fig. 3, D–F). These results demonstrated that the Arabidopsis RACK1 genes are functionally equivalent to the S. cerevisiae CPC2/ RACK1. In an earlier study, Gerbasi et al. (2004) demonstrated that the mammalian RACK1 is also a functional ortholog of the S. cerevisiae CPC2 gene. In agreement with these genetic data, both the amino acid sequence (Chen et al., 2006) and crystal structure (Ullah et al., 2008) of RACK1 are also highly conserved in different eukaryotic organisms. Taken together,

Figure 3. Complementation assay for failed pseudohyphal growth in the diploid S. cerevisiae cpc2 mutant using three Arabidopsis RACK1 genes. Transformants were patched on nitrogen starvation plates and grown for 5 d before photographs were taken. A, RH2656 (wild type [WT]) + $p424$ MET25 (empty vector). B, RH3246 (cpc2) + $p424$ MET25 (empty vector). C, RH3246 (cpc2) + p424MET25-CPC2. D, RH3246 (cpc2) + p424MET25-RACK1A. E, RH3246 (cpc2) + p424MET25- RACK1B. F, RH3246 (cpc2) + p424MET25-RACK1C.

these results supported the view that some functions of the RACK1 gene are likely to be conserved in mammals, S. cerevisiae, and Arabidopsis. In this study, we focused on the possible role of Arabidopsis RACK1 in ribosome assembly and protein translation.

RACK1 Physically Interacts with Eukaryotic Initiation Factor6

In mammalian ribosomes, it has been proposed that RACK1 acts as a scaffold protein to bring together activated protein kinase C (PKC) and Eukaryotic Initiation Factor6 (eIF6). eIF6 is then phosphorylated by PKC and subsequently dissociates from the 60S ribosome subunit, which allows the 40S and 60S ribosome subunits to form the functional 80S ribosome (Ceci et al., 2003). Despite the lack of obvious PKC homologs in the Arabidopsis genome, two homologs of eIF6, encoded by loci At3g55620 (hereafter named eIF6A) and At2g39820 (hereafter named eIF6B) are present, which led us to test whether physical interaction can be detected between the Arabidopsis RACK1 and eIF6 proteins.

When these interactions were tested in a yeast twohybrid system, each of the three RACK1 proteins was found to physically interact with each of the two eIF6 proteins (Fig. 4A). To establish whether the physical interaction also occurs in plant cells, a bimolecular fluorescence complementation system (BiFC; Citovsky et al., 2006) was used in combination with an Arabidopsis leaf mesophyll protoplast transient expression assay (Yoo et al., 2007). Again, positive interactions were detected for each pair of RACK1 and eIF6 proteins (Fig. 4B). The interaction was primarily detected in the cytoplasm and nucleus, which is consistent with the respective subcellular localization of each protein (Supplemental Fig. S4) and resembles the subcellular localization patterns of their mammalian counterparts (Ceci et al., 2003). To determine whether ABA could influence the interaction between RACK1 and eIF6, the BiFC experiment was also conducted in the presence of 50 μ M ABA. No obvious difference was observed for the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) signal (Supplemental Fig. S5), implying that the interaction between RACK1 and eIF6 is not ABA dependent.

eIF6 Homologs in Arabidopsis

The proteins predicted to be encoded by the two Arabidopsis eIF6 genes share 86% sequence similarity at the amino acid level and are 72% identical (Supplemental Fig. S6, A and B). The protein sequence of eIF6A also appears to be highly conserved within the plant kingdom. Moreover, Arabidopsis eIF6A shares about 73% identity and 85% similarity with its homologs in human (Homo sapiens) and S. cerevisiae (Supplemental Fig. S6, A and B), whereas eIF6B is somewhat more divergent and shares about 60% identity and 78% similarity with its homologs in human and S. cerevisiae. Reverse transcription (RT)-PCR analysis revealed that the expression of eIF6A is ubiquitous across various

Figure 4. Physical interaction between RACK1 and eIF6 detected in yeast two-hybrid assays and in the BiFC system. A, Interactions between RACK1s and eIF6s in the yeast two-hybrid assay. eIF6 genes were cloned into pDEST32 and RACK1 genes were cloned into pDEST22. The interaction between eIF6 and the empty prey vector (EV) was used as a negative control. The ability of yeast cells to grow on synthetic medium lacking Leu, Trp, and His and containing 10 mm 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole is scored as a positive interaction. B, Interactions between RACK1 and eIF6 in BiFC. RACK1 proteins were fused with the N-terminal half of YFP and eIF6 proteins were fused with C-terminal half of YFP. CHE/DIC, Overlay of mCherry images and differential interference contrast images of the same field. The interaction between AtOFP1 (Wang et al., 2007) and RACK1/eIF6 proteins was used as a negative control. The HY5-mCherry is included in each transfection to serve as a control for successful transfection as well as for nuclear localization. Image shown are the same transformants photographed under YFP fluorescence and differential interference contrast microscopic setups. Images were pseudocolored with ImageJ for easy visualization.

tissues and organs in Arabidopsis, whereas eIF6B is only expressed in flower buds (Fig. 5A). These results are largely consistent with the in silico data from the Genevestigator Arabidopsis microarray database (Zimmermann et al., 2004; Fig. 5B). The higher amino acid sequence homology of eIF6A to its counterparts in other organisms, as well as its ubiquitous expression pattern, implies that eIF6A may be the predominant functional copy of the two *eIF6* genes.

To further study the function of eIF6 genes in Arabidopsis, we obtained two independent T-DNA insertional alleles for each eIF6 gene, all in the Col-0 ecotype background. The two mutant alleles of eIF6A were designated as eif6a-1 (GABI_817H01) and eif6a-2 (emb1624; Syngenta), and the two mutant alleles of eIF6B were designated as eif6b-1 (SALK_017008) and eif6b-2 (SALK_057424). RT-PCR analysis indicated that the eif6b-1 allele is a full-transcript null allele, whereas eif6b-2 is a knockdown allele (Fig. 6B). All insertion positions were validated by DNA sequencing.

When we examined the phenotypes of these mutant alleles, we were unable to recover plants homozygous for either the eif6a-1 or eif6a-2 allele. We found that within the siliques of the *eif* $6a^{+/-}$ parent plants, the ratio of white seeds (containing developmentally halted embryos) to green seeds (containing normally developing embryos) was approximately 1:3 ($n = 500$), indicative of a homozygous embryo-lethal outcome (Fig. 6C). By examining the white seeds microscopically, we found that the development of the embryo was arrested at the globular stage (Fig. 6C). These results are consistent with the fact that the eif6a-2/ emb1624 allele was originally identified in a collection of mutants defective in embryo development (Tzafrir et al., 2004). We have observed such defects in both T-DNA insertional alleles of the eIF6A gene. The eif6b-1 and eif6-2 alleles, on the other hand, did not display any apparent developmental defects (Fig. 6D), which supports the view that eIF6A, but not eIF6B, may be the predominant member of the small eIF6 gene family in Arabidopsis.

rack1 Mutants Are Hypersensitive to Anisomycin, an Inhibitor of Protein Translation

Our coexpression analysis indicated that the majority of genes coexpressed with RACK1 encode ribosomal proteins (Supplemental Fig. S3; Supplemental Table S3), and we have shown that RACK1 physically interacts with eIF6 (Fig. 4), a key protein regulating functional 80S ribosome assembly in mammals. Therefore, we sought additional evidence that might support a role for RACK1 in protein translation. Anisomycin is a drug that inhibits peptide bond formation, presumably by competing with amino acids for access to the peptidyltransferase center (A-site, the entry point of amino acid-charged tRNA; Meskauskas et al., 2005). This drug has been used in other eukaryotic cells to functionally implicate specific proteins in the translation process (Nelson et al., 1992; Spence et al., 2000;

polle

inflorescence

siliqu

ē

hoot ape

roset

venile adult icent

rosette

Tavart dations

toot roadigte)

ioa tighthatige

mature root

Flower bude

root tij

ateral

tion zon zon oblast

ir

root

ទូ

root

eIF6A elF6B **ACTIN2**

stade right)

radigitalists

shoot lagtilates

noot labaath

A

B 12000

9000

6000

3000

9000

6000

3000

seedlings
cotyledons

hypocot radice

seedlings

ullis

Signal intensity 12000 rd lights dark

AtelF6B (At2g39820)

AtelF6A (At3g55620) Figure 5. Arabidopsis eIF6 homologs. A, RT-PCR assay for the expression of eIF6 genes in different Arabidopsis tissues and organs. PCR was performed with 30 cycles. B, In silico analysis of the relative transcript levels of eIF6A (At3g55620) and eIF6B (At2g39820) in various tissue and organs in Arabidopsis. Data were imported from the Genevestigator Arabidopsis microarray database (https://www. genevestigator.com/gv/index.jsp; Zimmermann et al., 2004).

all the genotypes examined, the rack1a rack1b double mutants displayed the greatest hypersensitivity to anisomycin (Fig. 7, A and B). These results are consistent with a role for RACK1 in protein translation in Arabidopsis.

RACK1 Might Be Involved in Functional 80S Ribosomal Subunit Assembly and 60S Ribosome Biogenesis

To assess the role of RACK1 in protein translation in vivo, we compared the polyribosome profile of extracts prepared from wild-type (Col-0) and rack1a rack1b double mutant plants. This assay provides a relative measurement of efficiency in mRNA translation, as controlled by ribosome biogenesis and assembly (Lee et al., 2007). The profiling assay revealed a decrease in the abundance of both 60S ribosomal subunits and 80S monosomes (Fig. 8A) in the rack1a rakc1b double mutant plants compared with Col, but no significant difference was observed at the level of polysomes, indicative of an important role for RACK1

Guo et al.

Figure 6. eif6 mutant alleles. A, T-DNA insertional mutant alleles of eIF6A and eIF6B in Arabidopsis. The exons are depicted by boxes, and the introns and intergenic regions are depicted by lines. The T-DNA insertion sites are drawn as triangles (not to scale). LB, T-DNA left border. B, RT-PCR analysis of eif6b-1 and eif6b-2 alleles. C, The eif6a mutants are embryo lethal. Each pair of images is representative of the green seeds (top) and white seeds (bottom) from the same silique. WT, Wild type. D, Three-week-old eif6b-1 and eif6b-2 mutant plants grown under a 14-h/10-h photoperiod.

in maintaining the normal 60S ribosome biogenesis and 80S monosome assembly.

ABA Inhibits Global Protein Translation

In view of the facts that RACK1 genes are negative regulators of ABA responses (Guo et al., 2009a), that our global gene expression profiling had revealed a convergent group of genes coregulated by both ABA and the rack1 mutation (Fig. 1), and that RACK1 appeared to be involved in ribosomal subunit assembly and 60S ribosome biogenesis (Fig. 8A), we next asked whether ABA might also affect translation initiation in Arabidopsis. By using the ribosome profiling

assay, we found that 50 μ m ABA caused a dramatic reduction in the relative abundance of polysomes (Fig. 8B). An increase in 80S monosome abundance was also observed, probably as a consequence of reduced progression into the elongation step (Naranda et al., 1997). These data agree with what was reported much earlier in soybean (Glycine max) hypocotyls (Bensen et al., 1988) and support a model in which ABA plays a direct role in regulating protein translation.

To further understand the role of RACK1 in ABAregulated protein translation, we compared the ribosome profiling between the wild type and the rack1a rack1b mutant after ABA treatment. As can be seen from Figure 8C, the accumulation of both the 60S ribosome subunit and the 80S monosome was reduced in the rack1a rack1b plants treated with ABA when compared with ABA-treated Col. The observed further reduction of ribosome/monosome peaks might be due to the inhibitory effect of ABA on the expression of RACK1C in the rack1a rack1b mutant, which will be further examined below.

ABA Regulates the Expression of Both RACK1 and eIF6 Genes

Since both ABA and RACK1 appear to be involved in the regulation of protein translation (Fig. 8, A and B), we further investigated the functional relationship between ABA and RACK1 in these processes. A preliminary experiment had shown that ABA negatively regulates the expression of RACK1 genes (Guo et al., 2009a), leading us to hypothesize that ABA might regulate ribosome assembly and translation initiation through down-regulation of RACK1 genes. Using quantitative RT-PCR, we conducted a detailed analysis of the expression of RACK1 gene family members in response to ABA treatment. The level of transcripts for all three RACK1 genes was down-regulated as early as 1 h after ABA treatment and remained suppressed thereafter (Fig. 9A). Consistent with these direct measurements of expression, the promoter activities of all three RACK1 genes in the root tip were inhibited by ABA treatment (Fig. 9B). We then extended our analysis to examine the possible regulation of eIF6 expression by ABA. We found that a reduction of eIF6A expression could be detected as early as 15 min after ABA treatment, and expression of eIF6A continued to decline for up to 6 h (Fig. 9A). The expression of the eIF6B gene was too low to be detected in seedlings used for quantitative RT-PCR. These results suggested that ABA might regulate translation initiation at least in part through the regulation of expression of RACK1 and eIF6.

DISCUSSION

To answer the question of how RACK1 gene products are involved in ABA responses in plants, we employed a combination of experimental approaches. First, by using global gene expression profiling, we

Figure 7. The synergistic effect of anisomycin treatment and rack1 mutation on Arabidopsis seedling root growth. A, Root growth of rack1 single mutants in the presence of 10 μ M anisomycin. B, Root growth of rack1 double mutants in the presence of 5 μ MM anisomycin. C, The primary root length of Col and the rack1 mutants in the absence of anisomycin treatment. The experiments were repeated three times, and the same data trends were obtained. Data from one experiment are presented here with the s_E ($n = 20$) indicated on the top of each column. Asterisks indicate significant differences from Col using Student's *t* test ($P < 0.05$).

detected a strong correlation between gene expression patterns evoked by ABA treatment and those associated with loss of function at the RACK1 loci. Second, S. cerevisiae genetic complementation assays demonstrated that the function of RACK1 genes can be conserved across different kingdoms. Third, gene coexpression analysis provided evidence that RACK1's function might be associated with the ribosome complex. Therefore, we specifically focused on investigation of the role of RACK1 in protein translation as a candidate mechanism through which RACK1 negatively regulates ABA responses.

Five lines of evidence directly or indirectly support the idea that RACK1 regulates protein translation and that these regulatory processes involve ABA. First, RACK1 physically interacts with eIF6 (Fig. 4), a homolog of a key regulator of the ribosome assembly reaction of translation initiation in mammals. Second, rack1 mutants are hypersensitive to anisomycin (Fig. 7), a known protein translation inhibitor. Third, a decrease in the relative abundance of 60S ribosome subunits and 80S ribosome was observed in rack1a rakc1b plants (Fig. 8A). Fourth, ABA itself inhibits protein translation at the initiation stage (Fig. 8B). Finally, ABA inhibits the expression of both RACK1 and eIF6 (Fig. 9).

Arabidopsis RACK1 Genes Are Functionally Equivalent to S. cerevisiae CPC2

RACK1 is a versatile scaffold protein that is involved in numerous signaling pathways and cellular processes in mammals and S. cerevisiae (McCahill et al.,

Figure 8. Ribosome profiling of the rack1a rack1b mutant and ABAtreated Arabidopsis seedlings. A, Overlay of the ribosome profiles of Col and the rack1a rack1b mutant (rack1ab) without ABA treatment. B, Overlay of the ribosome profiles of Col with or without ABA treatment. C, Overlay of the ribosome profiling of Col and the rack1a rack1b mutant after ABA treatment. The positions of 40S ribosomal subunits, 60S ribosomal subunits, and 80S ribosomes were located based on the $A₂₆₀$ peaks and are indicated with arrows. Profiles are averages of four independent experiments with SE indicated by error bars. Asterisks indicate significant differences using paired *t* tests ($P < 0.05$). Shown is Suc density gradient analysis of polysomes extracted from 4.5-d-old Col seedlings with or without 50 μ M ABA treatment for 8 h. [See online article for color version of this figure.]

2002; Sklan et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2007). A few earlier studies have implied that Arabidopsis RACK1 could be a functional ortholog of mammalian RACK1 and the S. cerevisiae CPC2/RACK1 gene. For example, the amino acid sequence of RACK1 is highly conserved between Arabidopsis and other taxa (Chen et al., 2006; Supplemental Fig. S7), as is the protein structure (Ullah et al., 2008). That proposed close relationship has been confirmed in this study, where Arabidopsis RACK1 was found to complement a genetic lesion at the S. cerevisiae CPC2 locus (Fig. 3). These results provide a rationale for utilizing the vast information available in the mammalian and S. cerevisiae systems to probe the function of RACK1 in Arabidopsis. However, we are cautious that our findings do not exclude the possibility that some aspects of RACK1's function are not conserved across different kingdoms. Indeed, the majority of the identified RACK1 interacting partners in mammals and S. cerevisiae do not have obvious homologs in Arabidopsis (Guo et al., 2007). Even for those with obvious plant homologs, there is evidence that their interaction with RACK1 is not necessarily conserved in Arabidopsis. For example, in mammals, RACK1 interacts with the β -subunit of the heterotrimeric G-proteins and mediates a subset of the downstream signaling events (Dell et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2004b, 2004a, 2005). However, genetic and biochemical analyses indicate that RACK1 probably does not directly interact with G-proteins in Arabidopsis (Guo et al., 2009b).

RACK1 May Be Required for the Normal Production of 60 Ribosome Subunits and 80S Monosomes in Arabidopsis

RACK1's multifaceted molecular function is mainly manifested via its physical interaction with many different signaling molecules in eukaryotes (Guo et al., 2007). Significantly, RACK1 was repeatedly identified as being associated with the ribosome in different species, using different approaches (Ceci et al., 2003; Shor et al., 2003; Gerbasi et al., 2004; Nilsson et al., 2004; Sengupta et al., 2004; Chang et al., 2005; Giavalisco et al., 2005; Manuell et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2005; Regmi et al., 2008; Coyle et al., 2009). Our coexpression data also indicated that RACK1 genes are coordinately regulated with many ribosome protein-encoding genes (Supplemental Fig. S3; Supplemental Table S3). These observations point to a phylogenetically conserved function of the RACK1 protein in its association with the ribosome complex. It has been proposed in other taxa that the function of RACK1 most directly related to its association with ribosomes is its regulatory effect on translation initiation at the functional 80S ribosome assembly reaction (Ceci et al., 2003). In mammalian cells, this regulatory role involves RACK1's interaction with activated PKC and eIF6 (Ceci et al., 2003). By using yeast two-hybrid assays and the BiFC assay, we showed that Arabidopsis RACK1 physically interacts with eIF6 (Fig. 4). This conserved interaction between RACK1

Figure 9. The regulation of RACK1 and eIF6 expression by ABA. A, Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of RACK1 and eIF6 gene expression. The transcript levels of RACK1 and eIF6A genes were normalized against the transcript level of ACTIN2 for each sample. Total RNA was extracted from 4.5-d-old Arabidopsis seedlings and used for quantitative RT-PCR analysis. Shown are averages of three biological replicates \pm s.e. B, Promoter::GUS assay. Seedlings at 4.5 d old were incubated in one-half-strength MS liquid medium with or without 50 μ M ABA for 6 h and then subjected to GUS staining.

and eIF6 likely mediates ribosome assembly, as is seen with their counterparts in mammalian cells. In addition, we found that a translation inhibitor, anisomycin, displayed a synergistic effect with the rack1 mutation in inhibiting root elongation (Fig. 7). A significant role for RACK1 in protein translation regulation is also supported by the polysome profiling data, where the rack1 mutation led to reduced levels of 60S ribosome subunits and 80S monosomes (Fig. 8A). Interestingly, the RACK1 homolog in S. cerevisiae is also known to play a role in ribosome biogenesis (Shor et al., 2003). Consistent with such an essential contribution of the RACK1 genes to the translation process, and the potentially same essential contribution of the eIF6 genes, the rack1 triple mutant is seedling lethal (Guo and Chen, 2008) whereas the knockout mutant of eIF6A is embryo lethal (Fig. 6C). Intriguingly, it has been demonstrated that the eIF6 gene is also involved in 60S ribosome biogenesis in S. cerevisiae (Basu et al., 2001). It would be interesting to know whether such impaired 80S ribosome assembly and reduced ribosome subunit biogenesis can also be observed in eif6a knockdown mutants generated using RNA interference techniques. In mammals, PKC plays an important role within the PKC-RACK1-eIF6 complex in regulating ribosome assembly. Although no apparent PKC ortholog has been found in plants, searching for other plant protein kinases (e.g. those possessing a C2 domain) that can phosphorylate eIF6 and interact with RACK1 might help identify a functionally equivalent protein complex that regulates the same essential process in Arabidopsis.

ABA Might Inhibit Ribosome Biogenesis and Monosome Assembly by Inhibiting RACK1 Expression

Plants are sessile and subject to constant biotic and abiotic stresses from the environment. ABA is one of the major phytohormones that regulate plant abiotic stress responses and also plays a role in plant growth

(Zhu, 2002). Global inhibition of protein translation in plants under stress conditions has been recognized for some time (Kawaguchi et al., 2004). However, little is known about the signaling mechanism responsible for linking abiotic stress signaling, ABA signaling, and the inhibition of protein translation machinery. In this study, we found that RACK1 genes, earlier identified as negative regulators of ABA responses (Guo et al., 2009a), may also be required for the normal production of 60S ribosome subunits and 80S monosomes (Fig. 8). In addition, ABA exerts a constant, inhibitory effect on RACK1 gene expression (Fig. 9), although it had no effect on the interaction between RACK1 and eIF6 (Supplemental Fig. S5). These data point to a scenario in which ABA might inhibit 60S ribosome subunit biogenesis and 80S monosome assembly via its inhibitory effect on the expression of RACK1 genes. However, the ribosome profile of ABA treatment displayed reduced polysome levels and concomitant accumulation of 80S ribosomes (Fig. 8B), whereas the profile of rack1a rack1b mutant plants displayed wildtype polysome levels and reduced 60S ribosome subunit and 80S monosome accumulation (Fig. 8A). Our interpretation is that ABA likely inhibits protein translation at multiple points (as summarized in Supplemental Fig. S8). On the one hand, ABA inhibits protein translation at the 60S ribosome biogenesis and 80S ribosome assembly steps, which may be mediated by RACK1 (and potentially also by eIF6); on the other hand, ABA inhibits the entry point of the translation elongation stage. The latter effect may not be mediated by RACK1, based on the ribosome profiling results. This model is supported by the finding that ABA inhibits the expression of RACK1 and eIF6 over an extended period (Fig. 9), that the "knockdown" mutant (rack1a rack1b) of RACK1 genes displayed characteristics of impaired 60S ribosome subunit biogenesis and 80S ribosome assembly (Fig. 8A), and by reports of similar functions for RACK1 and eIF6 homologs in

these two processes in other organisms (Basu et al., 2001; Ceci et al., 2003; Shor et al., 2003). These data together support a model in which RACK1 serves as a molecular link between ABA signaling and its effect on 60S ribosome subunit biogenesis and 80S monosome assembly. The inability to discern an obvious inhibitory effect of ABA on the accumulation of 60S subunits and 80S monosomes in the ribosome profiling assays (Fig. 8B) probably reflects masking of a relatively mild ABA-induced reduction in 60S and 80S ribosome accumulation by the vast accumulation of 80S ribosomes and ribosome subunits resulting from ABA blocking ribosome entry into the translation elongation phase. Consistent with our findings, an evolutionarily conserved protein kinase, TOR, which is known to regulate ribosome biogenesis in mammalian cells, is reported to be responsive to abiotic stress (Martin et al., 2004; Deprost et al., 2007). In addition, protein translation initiation efficiency was found to be reduced in tobacco leaves subjected to drought stress (Kawaguchi et al., 2003), while in soybean, ABA treatment increased the level of polysomes in hypocotyl tissue (Bensen et al., 1988). Nevertheless, in light of its multifaceted roles in mammal and S. cerevisiae biology, we cannot rule out the possibility that RACK1 may mediate ABA responses indirectly through its involvement in other signaling pathways and cellular processes.

Since one of the best characterized physiological targets of ABA is the control of stomatal aperture, we also measured the response of the guard cells to ABA in Col and the rack1a rack1b mutant. Although the stomatal aperture was wider in rack1a rack1b plants than in Col plants in the absence of ABA treatment, addition of 50 μ M ABA led to the closure of stomata to a similar aperture width in both Col and the rack1a rack1b mutant (Supplemental Fig. S9). In addition, because we only tested the ABA hypersensitivity using rack1a rack1b double mutants (weak rack1 mutant), it is likely that we may observe stronger ABA hypersensitivity in the *rack1a rack1b rack1c* triple mutant (rack1 knockout mutant). However, the rack1 triple mutant is seedling lethal (Guo and Chen, 2008), making it difficult to assess its ABA hypersensitivity.

It should be noted that we used RACK1A, RACK1B. and RACK1C nomenclature to describe the three RACK1 homologous genes in Arabidopsis because their gene products are highly similar to mammalian RACK1 (encoded by a single gene) at the amino acid level and so are the protein structures, although the exact biological/biochemical function of Arabidopsis RACK1 has not yet been established.

Taken together, our study supports the view that RACK1 is required for the normal production of 60S ribosome subunits and 80S monosome and protein translation in Arabidopsis. We further propose that the negative influence of RACK1 on plant response to ABA may result, in part, from its molecular function in ribosome biogenesis and protein translation. RACK1, therefore, may represent a novel molecular link between ABA signaling and the regulation of protein translation initiation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

All mutants are in the Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) Col-0 ecotype background. Plants were grown in $5- \times 5$ -cm pots containing Sunshine Mix 4 (Sun Gro Horticulture Canada; http://www.sungro.com) with a 14-h/10-h photoperiod at approximately 120 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ at 23°C.

DNA Microarray Assay

Seeds of Col-0 and the rack1a rack1b mutant were germinated on one-halfstrength Murashige and Skoog (MS) basal medium with vitamins (Plantmedia; http://www.plantmedia.com), 1% (w/v) Suc, 0.6% (w/v) phytoagar (Plantmedia), pH adjusted to 5.7 with 1 N KOH . The plates were vertically placed to allow root growth along the surface of the agar. Seedlings at 4.5 d old were harvested and then incubated in either liquid one-half-strength MS medium containing 50 μ M ABA or solvent only for 4 h before they were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Microarray analysis was performed using custommade full-genome (30 K) Arabidopsis 70-mer oligonucleotide arrays (Douglas and Ehlting, 2005; Ehlting et al., 2005). A detailed description of DNA microarray experiment design, procedure, and data analysis is provided in Supplemental Protocol S1.

Saccharomyces cerevisiae Strains and Plasmids Used in the S. cerevisiae Complementation Experiment

The S. cerevisiae strains of the Σ 1278b background used were RH2656 (wildtype diploid; MAT a/α ura3-52/ura3-5 trp1::hisG/TRP1; Braus et al., 2003) and RH3264 (homozygous diploid cpc2/rack1 mutant; MATa/a GCRE6-lacZ::URA3/ ura3-52 trp1::hisG/ trp1::hisG leu2::hisG/leu2::hisG cpc2Δ::LEU2/cpc2Δ::LEU; Valerius et al., 2007). The plasmid used was p424MET25, a TRP1-marked centromere vector (Mumberg et al., 1994). The protein-coding sequences of CPC2, RACK1A, RACK1B, and RACK1C were cloned into p424MET25 using the restriction enzyme digestion and ligation method. A lithium acetatemediated transformation method was used to transfer the plasmid into the host S. cerevisiae strain, and successful transformants were selected on appropriate nutrient-selective medium. For the pseudohyphal growth assay, the transformed S. cerevisiae strains were grown on nitrogen starvation plates (0.15% [w/v] yeast nitrogen base [without amino acids and ammonium sulfate; BD Difco; http://www.bd.com/ds/], 50 μ M ammonium sulfate, 2% [w/v] Glc, 2.5% [w/v] agar [Sigma; http://www.sigmaaldrich.com], and 350 mg L^{-1} uracil) for 5 d at 30°C before the morphology of individual S. cerevisiae colonies was examined and photographed using a compound light microscope.

Isolation of eif6a and eif6b T-DNA Insertional Mutants

All the T-DNA insertional mutants of RACK1 genes have been described previously (Chen et al., 2006; Guo and Chen, 2008). The T-DNA insertional mutant of eIF6A (At3g55620), eif6a-1 (GABI_817H01), and the T-DNA insertional mutants of eIF6B (At2g39820), eif6b-1 (SALK_017008) and eif6b-2 (SALK_057424), were identified from the SALK T-DNA Express database (http://signal.salk.edu/cgi-bin/tdnaexpresses). The second mutant allele of eIF6A, emb1624 (Tzafrir et al., 2004), was originally identified within a collection of mutants defective in embryo development and was here renamed eif6a-2. For each SALK T-DNA insertional mutant (Alonso et al., 2003), the insertion locus was confirmed by PCR and sequencing using eIF6B-specific primers (5'-ATGGCGACTCGTCTTCAGTTTGTGAACAAC-3' and 5'-TATC-GATCGAAGACTTCCTCATTTCACTAC-3') and a T-DNA left border-specific primer, JMLB1 (5'-GGCAATCAGCTGTTGCCCGTCTCACTGGTG-3'). For the GABI-Kat T-DNA insertional mutant eif6a-1 (Rosso et al., 2003), the eIF6A-specific primers (5'-ATGGCGACTCGTCTTCAATATGATAACAAATA-3' and 5'-AGATATTCACCAAAACTCTACAATC-3') and another T-DNA left border-specific primer, Gabi-LB-o2588 (5'-CGCCAGGGTTTTCCCAGTCACG-ACG-3'), were used to confirm the insertion position by PCR and sequencing. For eif6a-2 (emb1624), the eIF6A-specific primers (5'-CTCTACAATACCTCATTT-

TACATGCTCC-3' and 5'-AGGCTAACGTACACCTGCGTAG-3') and T-DNA left border-specific primer LB3 (5'-TAGCATCTGAATTTCATAACCAATCTC-GATACAC-3'; McElver et al., 2001) were used to confirm the insertion position by PCR and sequencing.

Yeast Two-Hybrid Assay

The interactions between eIF6s and RACK1s were tested by using the Pro-Quest yeast two-hybrid system (Invitrogen Canada; http://www.invitrogen. com). eIF6 genes were cloned into bait vector pDEST32, and RACK1 genes were cloned into prey vector pDEST22. The yeast transformants that contain both prey and bait were able to grow on minimum Synthetic Dextrose dropout medium lacking both Leu and Trp. A positive interaction between two proteins is indicated by the growth of yeast colony on the minimum Synthetic Dextrose medium lacking Leu, Trp, and His and containing 10 mm 3-amino-1,2,4-triazolium.

BiFC Assay in Arabidopsis Mesophyll Protoplasts

The coding sequences of RACK1 genes were cloned into $pSAT1A-nEYFP-$ N1 and fused to the N-terminal half of the YFP molecule. eIF6 genes were cloned into pSAT4A-cEYFP-N1 and fused to the C-terminal half of the YFP molecule. The coding sequences of RACK1 genes and eIF6 genes were also cloned into the pSAT6-EYFP-N1 vector, in which the full-length YFP is fused to the C terminus of the proteins, for studying subcellular localization of each protein (Citovsky et al., 2006).

The isolation and transfection of Arabidopsis leaf mesophyll protoplasts were conducted as described previously (Wang et al., 2005; Yoo et al., 2007). Briefly, protoplasts were isolated from rosette leaves of 3-week-old plants. Constructs prepared as described above were transfected (for subcellular localization) or cotransfected (for BiFC) into protoplasts and incubated in the dark for 6 h to allow expression of the introduced genes. The double 35S:HY5 (LONG HYPOCOTYL5)-mCherry was used as a control for nuclear localization. For testing the effect of ABA on the interaction between RACK1 and eIF6, cotransfected protoplasts were incubated with or without 50 μ M ABA for 6 h before being observed with the microscope. The YFP fluorescence was examined and photographed using a Leica DM-6000B upright fluorescence microscope with phase and differential interference contrast equipped with a Leica FW4000 digital image-acquisition and processing system (Leica Microsystems; www.leica-microsystems.com).

Root Growth Assay with Anisomycin

Seeds of Col and rack1 mutants were germinated on one-half-strength MS medium plates for 60 h in a 14-h/10-h photoperiod. The seedlings were then transferred to one-half-strength MS medium containing various concentrations of anisomycin and grown vertically for another 5 d before data were collected. The ImageJ software was used to measure the primary root length from photographs of each plate.

Analysis of Embryo Development

Siliques at different developmental stages from heterozygous eif6a-1 and eif6a-2 mutants were opened using a dissecting microscope with a fine-tip pin. Since all the seeds from the same silique are at the same developmental stage, the numbers of white seeds and green seeds in each silique were scored and the seeds were then individually immersed in fixation/clearing solution (chloral hydrate:water:glycerol, 8:2:1). The cleared green seeds were then examined with a compound microscope to assess their developmental stage. For each representative developmental stage of the green seeds, the white seeds from the same silique were observed microscopically and photographed.

Ribosome Profiling Assay

The procedure used for the ribosome profiling assay was essentially the same as described previously (Kawaguchi et al., 2003). In summary, a 2-g sample of 4.5-d-old seedlings was ground to fine powder under liquid nitrogen. For each sample, 750 μ L of frozen ground tissue was quickly homogenized in 750 μ L of ribosome extraction buffer (Kawaguchi et al., 2003) and incubated on ice for 10 min. The supernatant (500 μ L) was layered on top of a 5-mL (20%–60%) Suc gradient (Fennoy and Bailey-Serres, 1995) and centrifuged for 90 min at 45,000 rpm at 4°C. Gradient fractions (200 μ L) were collected manually, starting from the top of the gradient, and the optical density at 260 nm for each fraction was measured using a Synergy HT multimode microplate reader (BioTek Instruments; http://www.biotek.com). The baseline absorbance of a gradient loaded only with extraction buffer was subtracted, and the profiles were normalized to equal total optical density absorption units to allow for comparison between samples.

For ABA treatment, 4.5-d-old Col seedlings were incubated in one-halfstrength MS liquid medium containing 50 μ M ABA for 4 or 8 h before they were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and assayed later.

Gene Expression Analysis

For the quantitative RT-PCR assay, Col seeds were germinated on one-halfstrength MS medium and plates were placed vertically to allow the roots to grow along the surface of the agar. Col seedlings (4.5 d old) were gently removed from the agar surface and incubated in liquid one-half-strength MS medium with or without 20 μ M ABA for different periods of time. They were then harvested and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was isolated using the Qiagen Plant Mini RNA Isolation Kit, and cDNA was synthesized with the Omniscript RT Kit (Qiagen). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using the MJ MiniOpticon real-time PCR system (Bio-Rad Laboratories [Canada]; http://www.bio-rad.com) and IQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The real-time PCR primers used for analyzing the transcript levels of RACK1A, RACK1B, RACK1C, and ACTIN2 (used for normalization) were the same as described previously (Guo and Chen, 2008). The experiments were repeated three times, and data with similar trends were obtained.

For promoter::GUS assay, the P_{RACK1} ::GUS lines described previously (Guo et al., 2009a) were used. Seeds were germinated on one-half-strength MS medium, and plates were placed vertically. Seedlings (4.5 d old) were incubated in liquid one-half-strength MS medium with or without ABA for 6 h and then subjected to GUS staining as described previously (Guo et al., 2009a). Photographs of seedlings were taken using a dissecting microscope.

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession numbers At1g18080 (RACK1A), At1g48630 (RACK1B), At3g18130 (RACK1C), At3g55620 (eIF6A), and At2g39820 (eIF6B).

Supplemental Data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

- Supplemental Figure S1. Gene Ontology distribution of the genes that are misregulated in rack1a rack1b mutants.
- Supplemental Figure S2. Gene Ontology distribution of the genes that were down-regulated in rack1a rack1b mutants and in Col after ABA treatment.
- Supplemental Figure S3. RACK1 coexpression analysis.
- Supplemental Figure S4. Subcellular localization of RACK1 and eIF6.
- Supplemental Figure S5. The effect of ABA on the interaction of RACK1 and eIF6 in the BiFC system.
- Supplemental Figure S6. Arabidopsis eIF6 homologs.
- Supplemental Figure S7. Alignment of the amino acid sequences of three Arabidopsis RACK1 proteins.
- Supplemental Figure S8. A summary of the effect of ABA in protein translation and the role of RACK1 in this process.
- Supplemental Figure S9. The role of the RACK1 gene in ABA-inhibited stomatal opening.
- Supplemental Table S1. Genes that were up- or down-regulated 2.0-fold or more in the rack1a rack1b mutant compared with Col after ABA treatment
- Supplemental Table S2. Genes that were up- or down-regulated 2.0-fold or more in both the rack1a rack1b mutant without ABA treatment and Col with ABA treatment.

Supplemental Table S3. Genes that were coexpressed with RACK1 genes in the PRIME database.

Supplemental Protocol S1. Supplemental Materials and Methods.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center for providing Arabidopsis rack1a, rack1b, rack1c, eif6a, and eif6b mutant seeds; Dr. Jen Sheen (Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School) for offering her laboratory resources for carrying out some of the BiFC assays in this study; Dr. Stanton Gelvin (Purdue University) for sharing the constructs used for the BiFC assay; and Dana Aeschliman (University of British Columbia) and Dr. Juergen Ehlting (University of Victoria) for their generous contribution of custom scripts for background subtraction, normalization, and statistical tests in the R environment.

Received June 4, 2010; accepted November 18, 2010; published November 19, 2010.

LITERATURE CITED

- Alonso JM, Stepanova AN, Leisse TJ, Kim CJ, Chen H, Shinn P, Stevenson DK, Zimmerman J, Barajas P, Cheuk R, et al (2003) Genome-wide insertional mutagenesis of Arabidopsis thaliana. Science 301: 653–657
- Aspinall D (1986) Metabolic effects of water and salinity stress in relation to expansion of the leaf surface. Funct Plant Biol 13: 59–73
- Basu U, Si K, Warner JR, Maitra U (2001) The Saccharomyces cerevisiae TIF6 gene encoding translation initiation factor 6 is required for 60S ribosomal subunit biogenesis. Mol Cell Biol 21: 1453–1462
- Bensen RJ, Boyer JS, Mullet JE (1988) Water deficit-induced changes in abscisic acid, growth, polysomes, and translatable RNA in soybean hypocotyls. Plant Physiol 88: 289–294
- Ben-Zioni A, Itai C, Vaadia Y (1967) Water and salt stresses, kinetin and protein synthesis in tobacco leaves. Plant Physiol 42: 361–365
- Braus GH, Grundmann O, Brückner S, Mösch HU (2003) Amino acid starvation and Gcn4p regulate adhesive growth and FLO11 gene expression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Biol Cell 14: 4272–4284
- Brostrom CO, Brostrom MA (1998) Regulation of translational initiation during cellular responses to stress. Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol 58: 79–125
- Ceci M, Gaviraghi C, Gorrini C, Sala LA, Offenhäuser N, Marchisio PC, Biffo S (2003) Release of eIF6 (p27BBP) from the 60S subunit allows 80S ribosome assembly. Nature 426: 579–584
- Chang IF, Szick-Miranda K, Pan S, Bailey-Serres J (2005) Proteomic characterization of evolutionarily conserved and variable proteins of Arabidopsis cytosolic ribosomes. Plant Physiol 137: 848–862
- Chen JG, Ullah H, Temple B, Liang J, Guo J, Alonso JM, Ecker JR, Jones AM (2006) RACK1 mediates multiple hormone responsiveness and developmental processes in Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot 57: 2697–2708
- Chen S, Dell EJ, Lin F, Sai J, Hamm HE (2004a) RACK1 regulates specific functions of Gbetagamma. J Biol Chem 279: 17861–17868
- Chen S, Lin F, Hamm HE (2005) RACK1 binds to a signal transfer region of G betagamma and inhibits phospholipase C β 2 activation. J Biol Chem 280: 33445–33452
- Chen S, Spiegelberg BD, Lin F, Dell EJ, Hamm HE (2004b) Interaction of Gbetagamma with RACK1 and other WD40 repeat proteins. J Mol Cell Cardiol 37: 399–406
- Citovsky V, Lee LY, Vyas S, Glick E, Chen MH, Vainstein A, Gafni Y, Gelvin SB, Tzfira T (2006) Subcellular localization of interacting proteins by bimolecular fluorescence complementation in planta. J Mol Biol 362: 1120–1131
- Coyle SM, Gilbert WV, Doudna JA (2009) Direct link between RACK1 function and localization at the ribosome in vivo. Mol Cell Biol 29: 1626–1634
- Dell EJ, Connor J, Chen S, Stebbins EG, Skiba NP, Mochly-Rosen D, Hamm HE (2002) The betagamma subunit of heterotrimeric G proteins interacts with RACK1 and two other WD repeat proteins. J Biol Chem 277: 49888–49895
- Deprost D, Yao L, Sormani R, Moreau M, Leterreux G, Nicolaı¨ M, Bedu M, Robaglia C, Meyer C (2007) The Arabidopsis TOR kinase links plant

growth, yield, stress resistance and mRNA translation. EMBO Rep 8: 864–870

- Douglas CJ, Ehlting J (2005) Arabidopsis thaliana full genome longmer microarrays: a powerful gene discovery tool for agriculture and forestry. Transgenic Res 14: 551–561
- Ehlting J, Mattheus N, Aeschliman DS, Li E, Hamberger B, Cullis IF, Zhuang J, Kaneda M, Mansfield SD, Samuels L, et al (2005) Global transcript profiling of primary stems from Arabidopsis thaliana identifies candidate genes for missing links in lignin biosynthesis and transcriptional regulators of fiber differentiation. Plant J 42: 618–640
- Fennoy LS, Bailey-Serres J (1995) Post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression in oxygen-deprived roots of maize. Plant J 7: 287–295
- Gerbasi VR, Weaver CM, Hill S, Friedman DB, Link AJ (2004) Yeast Asc1p and mammalian RACK1 are functionally orthologous core 40S ribosomal proteins that repress gene expression. Mol Cell Biol 24: 8276–8287
- Giavalisco P, Wilson D, Kreitler T, Lehrach H, Klose J, Gobom J, Fucini P (2005) High heterogeneity within the ribosomal proteins of the Arabidopsis thaliana 80S ribosome. Plant Mol Biol 57: 577–591
- Gimeno CJ, Ljungdahl PO, Styles CA, Fink GR (1992) Unipolar cell divisions in the yeast S. cerevisiae lead to filamentous growth: regulation by starvation and RAS. Cell 68: 1077–1090
- Guo J, Chen JG (2008) RACK1 genes regulate plant development with unequal genetic redundancy in Arabidopsis. BMC Plant Biol 8: 108
- Guo J, Liang J, Chen JG (2007) RACK1: a versatile scaffold protein in plants. Int J Plant Dev Biol 1: 95–105
- Guo J, Wang J, Xi L, Huang WD, Liang J, Chen JG (2009a) RACK1 is a negative regulator of ABA responses in Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot 60: 3819–3833
- Guo J, Wang S, Wang J, Huang WD, Liang J, Chen JG (2009b) Dissection of the relationship between RACK1 and heterotrimeric G-proteins in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol 50: 1681–1694
- Ishida S, Takahashi Y, Nagata T (1993) Isolation of cDNA of an auxinregulated gene encoding a G protein β subunit-like protein from tobacco BY-2 cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90: 11152–11156
- Kawaguchi R, Bailey-Serres J (2002) Regulation of translational initiation in plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol 5: 460–465
- Kawaguchi R, Girke T, Bray EA, Bailey-Serres J (2004) Differential mRNA translation contributes to gene regulation under non-stress and dehydration stress conditions in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 38: 823-839
- Kawaguchi R, Williams AJ, Bray EA, Bailey-Serres J (2003) Water-deficitinduced translational control in Nicotiana tabacum. Plant Cell Environ 26: 221–229
- Lee B, Udagawa T, Singh C, Asano K (2007) Yeast phenotypic assays on translational control. In J Lorsch, ed, Methods in Enzymology, Vol 429. Elsevier, San Diego, pp 105–138
- Manuell AL, Yamaguchi K, Haynes PA, Milligan RA, Mayfield SP (2005) Composition and structure of the 80S ribosome from the green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii: 80S ribosomes are conserved in plants and animals. J Mol Biol 351: 266–279
- Martin DE, Soulard A, Hall MN (2004) TOR regulates ribosomal protein gene expression via PKA and the Forkhead transcription factor FHL1. Cell 119: 969–979
- McCahill A, Warwicker J, Bolger GB, Houslay MD, Yarwood SJ (2002) The RACK1 scaffold protein: a dynamic cog in cell response mechanisms. Mol Pharmacol 62: 1261–1273
- McElver J, Tzafrir I, Aux G, Rogers R, Ashby C, Smith K, Thomas C, Schetter A, Zhou Q, Cushman MA, et al (2001) Insertional mutagenesis of genes required for seed development in Arabidopsis thaliana. Genetics 159: 1751–1763
- McKhann HI, Frugier F, Petrovics G, de la Peña TC, Jurkevitch E, Brown S, Kondorosi E, Kondorosi A, Crespi M (1997) Cloning of a WD-repeatcontaining gene from alfalfa (Medicago sativa): a role in hormonemediated cell division? Plant Mol Biol 34: 771–780
- Meskauskas A, Petrov AN, Dinman JD (2005) Identification of functionally important amino acids of ribosomal protein L3 by saturation mutagenesis. Mol Cell Biol 25: 10863–10874
- Mumberg D, Müller R, Funk M (1994) Regulatable promoters of Saccharomyces cerevisiae: comparison of transcriptional activity and their use for heterologous expression. Nucleic Acids Res 22: 5767–5768
- Nakashima A, Chen L, Thao NP, Fujiwara M, Wong HL, Kuwano M, Umemura K, Shirasu K, Kawasaki T, Shimamoto K (2008) RACK1 functions in rice innate immunity by interacting with the Rac1 immune complex. Plant Cell 20: 2265–2279
- Naranda T, Kainuma M, MacMillan SE, Hershey JW (1997) The 39 kilodalton subunit of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 is essential for the complex's integrity and for cell viability in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 17: 145–153
- Nelson RJ, Ziegelhoffer T, Nicolet C, Werner-Washburne M, Craig EA (1992) The translation machinery and 70 kd heat shock protein cooperate in protein synthesis. Cell 71: 97–105
- Nilsson J, Sengupta J, Frank J, Nissen P (2004) Regulation of eukaryotic translation by the RACK1 protein: a platform for signalling molecules on the ribosome. EMBO Rep 5: 1137–1141
- Perennes C, Glab N, Guglieni B, Doutriaux MP, Phan TH, Planchais S, Bergounioux C (1999) Is arcA3 a possible mediator in the signal transduction pathway during agonist cell cycle arrest by salicylic acid and UV irradiation? J Cell Sci 112: 1181–1190
- Regmi S, Rothberg KG, Hubbard JG, Ruben L (2008) The RACK1 signal anchor protein from Trypanosoma brucei associates with eukaryotic elongation factor 1A: a role for translational control in cytokinesis. Mol Microbiol 70: 724–745
- Ron D, Chen CH, Caldwell J, Jamieson L, Orr E, Mochly-Rosen D (1994) Cloning of an intracellular receptor for protein kinase C: a homolog of the beta subunit of G proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91: 839–843
- Rosso MG, Li Y, Strizhov N, Reiss B, Dekker K, Weisshaar B (2003) An Arabidopsis thaliana T-DNA mutagenized population (GABI-Kat) for flanking sequence tag-based reverse genetics. Plant Mol Biol 53: 247–259
- Scheper GC, van der Knaap MS, Proud CG (2007) Translation matters: protein synthesis defects in inherited disease. Nat Rev Genet 8: 711–723
- Sengupta J, Nilsson J, Gursky R, Spahn CM, Nissen P, Frank J (2004) Identification of the versatile scaffold protein RACK1 on the eukaryotic ribosome by cryo-EM. Nat Struct Mol Biol 11: 957–962
- Shenton D, Smirnova JB, Selley JN, Carroll K, Hubbard SJ, Pavitt GD, Ashe MP, Grant CM (2006) Global translational responses to oxidative stress impact upon multiple levels of protein synthesis. J Biol Chem 281: 29011–29021
- Shor B, Calaycay J, Rushbrook J, McLeod M (2003) Cpc2/RACK1 is a ribosome-associated protein that promotes efficient translation in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. J Biol Chem 278: 49119-49128
- Sklan EH, Podoly E, Soreq H (2006) RACK1 has the nerve to act: structure meets function in the nervous system. Prog Neurobiol 78: 117–134
- Sonenberg N, Hinnebusch AG (2009) Regulation of translation initiation in eukaryotes: mechanisms and biological targets. Cell 136: 731–745
- Spence J, Gali RR, Dittmar G, Sherman F, Karin M, Finley D (2000) Cell cycle-regulated modification of the ribosome by a variant multiubiquitin chain. Cell 102: 67–76
- Tzafrir I, Pena-Muralla R, Dickerman A, Berg M, Rogers R, Hutchens S, Sweeney TC, McElver J, Aux G, Patton D, et al (2004) Identification of genes required for embryo development in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 135: 1206–1220
- Ullah H, Scappini EL, Moon AF, Williams LV, Armstrong DL, Pedersen LC (2008) Structure of a signal transduction regulator, RACK1, from Arabidopsis thaliana. Protein Sci 17: 1771–1780
- Valerius O, Kleinschmidt M, Rachfall N, Schulze F, López Marín S, Hoppert M, Streckfuss-Bömeke K, Fischer C, Braus GH (2007) The Saccharomyces homolog of mammalian RACK1, Cpc2/Asc1p, is required for FLO11-dependent adhesive growth and dimorphism. Mol Cell Proteomics 6: 1968–1979
- Wang S, Chang Y, Guo J, Chen JG (2007) Arabidopsis Ovate Family Protein 1 is a transcriptional repressor that suppresses cell elongation. Plant J 50: 858–872
- Wang S, Tiwari SB, Hagen G, Guilfoyle TJ (2005) AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR7 restores the expression of auxin-responsive genes in mutant Arabidopsis leaf mesophyll protoplasts. Plant Cell 17: 1979–1993
- Yamasaki S, Anderson P (2008) Reprogramming mRNA translation during stress. Curr Opin Cell Biol 20: 222–226
- Yoo SD, Cho YH, Sheen J (2007) Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts: a versatile cell system for transient gene expression analysis. Nat Protoc 2: 1565–1572
- Yu Y, Ji H, Doudna JA, Leary JA (2005) Mass spectrometric analysis of the human 40S ribosomal subunit: native and HCV IRES-bound complexes. Protein Sci 14: 1438–1446
- Zhu JK (2002) Salt and drought stress signal transduction in plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol 53: 247–273
- Zimmermann P, Hirsch-Hoffmann M, Hennig L, Gruissem W (2004) GENEVESTIGATOR: Arabidopsis microarray database and analysis toolbox. Plant Physiol 136: 2621–2632