

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Mol Oral Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 April 1.

# Published in final edited form as:

Mol Oral Microbiol. 2011 April; 26(2): 150-163. doi:10.1111/j.2041-1014.2010.00600.x.

# Streptococcus cristatus attenuates Fusobacterium nucleatuminduced cytokine expression by influencing pathways converging on nuclear factor-κB

# G. Zhang and J.D. Rudney

Department of Diagnostic and Biological Sciences, School of Dentistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

# SUMMARY

We previously reported that Streptococcus cristatus, an oral commensal, was able to downregulate the interleukin-8 (IL-8) response to Fusobacterium nucleatum, a putative oral pathogen in oral epithelial cells. The aim of this study was to extend the understanding of how S. cristatus regulates cytokine expression in oral epithelial cells on a broad basis, and investigate whether the modulation of a Toll-like receptor (TLR) pathway was involved in this process. KB and TERT-2 cells were co-cultured with F. nucleatum and S. cristatus, either alone or in combination. Total RNA was extracted and pathway-specific focused microarrays were used to profile the transcriptional responses of various cytokine genes and those related to TLR-mediated signal transduction. Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reactions (RT-PCR) and protein assays were performed to confirm the microarray results for selected genes. We found that exposure to either S. cristatus or F. nucleatum alone led to distinct changes in cytokine expression patterns. Fusobacterium nucleatum induced a greater number of gene expression changes than S. cristatus (15% vs 4%, respectively). The presence of S. cristatus with F. nucleatum attenuated the expression of a number of inflammatory cytokines, and upregulated several anti-inflammatory mediators. The RT-PCR confirmed the messenger RNA attenuation of IL-1 $\alpha$ , tumor necrosis factor-α and IL-6 by S. cristatus. Profiling of TLR-signaling-related genes revealed that S. cristatus most significantly impacted the downstream pathways, especially nuclear factor-KB, rather than altering TLRs and their adaptors and interacting proteins. Our data suggest that S. cristatus may attenuate the epithelial proinflammatory cytokine response to F. nucleatum by influencing pathways converging on nuclear factor-κB.

# Keywords

cytokines; epithelial cells; *Fusobacterium nucleatum*; inflammatory response; nuclear factor-κB; *Streptococcus cristatus* 

# INTRODUCTION

Epithelial cells are the first line of host defense against physical, microbial and chemical insults that may cause local injury. Studies have shown that epithelial cells play an integral role in mucosal immune defense by sensing signals from the external environment, generating various molecules to affect growth, development and function of other cells, and maintaining the balance between health and disease (Kagnoff & Eckmann, 1997). A

**Correspondence:** Joel D. Rudney, 17-252 Moos Tower, 515 Delaware Street S.E., Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA Tel.: + 1 612 624 7199; fax: + 1 612 626 2651; jrudney@umn.edu.

Zhang and Rudney

characteristic response of epithelial cells to bacterial stimuli is synthesis and release of cytokines, chemokines and other inflammatory mediators (Kagnoff & Eckmann, 1997). Putative oral pathogens, such as *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, have been shown to induce a wide array of proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-8 (IL-8), monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ) from epithelial cells (Han *et al.*, 2000, 2003; Sandros *et al.*, 2000; Kusumoto *et al.*, 2004). There is emerging evidence that certain commensal oral streptococci behave in a manner different from that exhibited by the pathogenic species, in that they induce very low levels of proinflammatory cytokines (Cosseau *et al.*, 2008; Zhang *et al.*, 2008; Sliepen *et al.*, 2009). However, the cytokine expression patterns following contact with oral commensal micro-organisms are less studied, and conflicting results have been reported (Vernier *et al.*, 1996; Cosseau *et al.*, 2008; Zhang *et al.*, 2008).

The C-X-C family of cytokines, represented by IL-8, is generally produced at high levels by infected epithelial cells. They can initiate the mucosal influx of polymorphonuclear leukocytes to orchestrate innate mucosal inflammatory responses. The inflammatory process is critical to host defense, but deregulation of inflammatory cytokine production can cause epithelial damage. Excessive recruitment of polymorphonuclear leukocytes to the periodontium has been considered to contribute to the pathogenesis of periodontal disease (Van Dyke & Serhan, 2003). It therefore seems necessary to have regulatory mechanisms controlling innate immunity operating at the level of epithelial cells to prevent persistent inflammation. Recently, two strains of oral commensal streptococci, *Streptococcus cristatus* and *Streptococcus salivarius*, were found not to elicit proinflammatory responses by themselves, and were able to inhibit the IL-8 secretion induced by periodontal and respiratory pathogens, indicating a potential role of commensal bacteria in the maintenance of host–microbe homeostasis (Cosseau *et al.*, 2008; Zhang *et al.*, 2008).

Epithelial cells sense bacterial products through a variety of pattern recognition receptors, the best understood of which are Toll-like receptors (TLRs). Each TLR recognizes specific microbial components present on diverse microbes. For example, TLR2 recognizes peptidoglycan, in addition to the lipoproteins and lipopeptides of gram-positive bacteria, whereas TLR4 recognizes lipopolysaccharide from most gram-negative species (Takeuchi *et al.*, 1999). It is known that TLR2 and TLR4 are present on or in oral epithelial cells (Uehara *et al.*, 2001). In general, the cascade of events occurring following ligation of the different TLRs involves the activation of a common set of adapter proteins and protein kinases that results in the activation of nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B (NF- $\kappa$ B) to express cytokine genes relevant to inflammation (Kawai & Akira, 2005). Downregulation of TLR expression (Sweet *et al.*, 2000) have been shown to be active in epithelial cells to mediate tolerance.

Given the amount of tissue or cells required for the variety of tests, traditional host–microbe interaction studies generally only focus on one or limited numbers of cytokines in a single assay. Transcriptional profiling using microarrays provides a way to monitor host responses on a broad scale (Mans *et al.*, 2006). Studies of the host transcriptional responses to oral bacteria have begun but the focus in these few studies is mostly on putative oral pathogens (Handfield *et al.*, 2005; Milward *et al.*, 2007). Using pathway-specific microarray technology in a dual infection model, here we demonstrated that the oral commensal species *S. cristatus* induces distinct cytokine expression patterns as opposed to the putative oral pathogen *F. nucleatum*, and dampens *F. nucleatum*-induced proinflammatory epithelial responses. Our data did not show altered expression of TLRs or their adaptors and interacting proteins as a mechanism for attenuation by *S. cristatus*. In contrast to the strong activation of elements downstream of the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway by *F. nucleatum*, the minimal NF- $\kappa$ B activation by *S. cristatus* raises the possibility that the mechanism of cytokine inhibition

in the presence of *S. cristatus* may be controlled at the level of the NF- $\kappa$ B transcription factor.

# METHODS

#### Bacterial strains and culture conditions

*Fusobacterium nucleatum* (ATCC 10953) and *S. cristatus* CC5A (ATCC 49999) were routinely grown under anaerobic conditions (85% N<sub>2</sub>, 10% H<sub>2</sub>, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) at 37°C. The *F. nucleatum* was cultured in trypticase soy broth (BBL, Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD) supplemented with 1 g yeast extract, 5 mg hemin and 1 mg menadione per liter; *S. cristatus* was grown in Todd–Hewitt broth (BBL).

#### **Epithelial cell cultures**

The epithelial carcinoma cell line KB was kindly provided by Dr Mark Herzberg (University of Minnesota). The immortalized normal oral epithelial cell line OKF6/TERT-2 was obtained under a materials transfer agreement from Dr James Rheinwald (Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA). All cell lines were maintained in 75-cm<sup>2</sup> flasks (Corning, Corning, NY) in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37°C. KB cells were grown in minimal essential medium (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, whereas OKF6/TERT-2 was cultured in keratinocyte serum-free medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with CaCl<sub>2</sub> (0.4 m<sub>M</sub>), bovine pituitary extract (25  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>) and epidermal growth factor (0.2 ng ml<sup>-1</sup>). Twenty-four hours before infection, cells were trypsinized, centrifuged and seeded into triplicate wells in duplicate cell culture plates (Corning).

#### **Bacterial infection procedure**

Overnight broth cultures of bacteria were harvested by centrifugation at 5000 g for 20 min, washed twice with 1 × Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline, and then re-suspended in serum-free cell culture media. Bacterial concentrations were adjusted to 10<sup>8</sup> colony-forming units per ml by measuring optical density at 620 nm for each experiment, and confirmed by colony counting on agar plates. Then, *F. nucleatum* and/or *S. cristatus* was added to cell monolayers at a multiplicity of infection of 100 for each species, and incubated for 2 h at 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Wells containing no bacteria served as negative controls. After stimulation, cell supernatants were collected for cytokine assays and cell monolayers were harvested for RNA extraction (see below). All assays were carried out in triplicate, and three independent experiments were performed. For all the experiments, the viability of infected cells was examined by trypan blue exclusion.

#### RNA extraction and array hybridization

Total RNA was extracted and purified from infected and control cells using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The integrity, purity and quantification of RNA were evaluated on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA).

Pathway-specific, non-radioactive GEArray Q Series Human Inflammatory Cytokines & Receptors Gene Arrays (HS-015.2; SuperArray Inc., Bethesda, MD) containing 96 cytokine and receptor genes associated with the inflammatory response were used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 3 µg total RNA from KB cells was used to synthesize biotin-16-dUTP-labeled (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) complementary DNA (cDNA) probes with an AmpoLabeling-LPR kit (L-03; SuperArray). Labeled cDNA probes were denatured and hybridized to GEArray membranes. After washing and blocking, the membranes were incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated streptavidin and CDP-Star substrate. Images

of the arrays were recorded by exposure to X-ray films (Kodak, Rochester, NY), and scanned by an imaging densitometer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Scanned images were digitized using S<sub>CANALYZE</sub> software (free download from http://rana.stanford.edu/software), and data were analyzed on the GEA<sub>RRAY</sub> analyzer program (SuperArray). The cDNA microarray experiments were carried out twice, using RNA isolated from two independent experiments.

Shortly after we finished the Inflammatory Cytokines & Receptors Array assays, SuperArray switched to the Oligo GEArrays® microarray system. To confirm the major cytokine responses obtained from KB cells and further analyse related signal transduction pathways, the Oligo GEArray® Human Toll-Like Receptor Signaling Pathway Microarray (EHS-018.2, SuperArray) was employed to assess the TLR-signaling-related gene expression in our dual infection model. OKF6/TERT2 cells were used because they had shown attenuation of the IL-8 response to F. nucleatum in a previous study (Zhang et al., 2008). The Oligo GEArray® Human Toll-Like Receptor Signaling Microarray profiles the expression of 113 genes related to TLR-mediated signal transduction, including members of the TLR family, key mediators including adaptors and proteins that interact with TLR and effectors, and members of the NF-κB, c-JUN N-terminal kinase (JNK)/p38, nuclear factor-IL-6 (NF/IL6), and interferon regulatory factor (IRF) signaling pathways downstream of TLR signaling, including major proinflammatory cytokines that were also components of the Inflammatory Cytokines & Receptors Array. According to the manufacturer's instructions, 3 µg total RNA from infected and control TERT2 cells was used to synthesize biotin-16-UTP-labeled cRNA via an in vitro transcription labeling kit (TrueLabeling-AMP kit, GA-030, SuperArray). Labeled cRNA was then quantified using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE) and hybridized to GEArray membranes. After washing and blocking, the membranes were incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated streptavidin and CDP-Star substrate. Images of the arrays were recorded by exposure to X-ray films (Kodak), and scanned with an imaging densitometer (Bio-Rad). Scanned images were analysed using the specially designed web-based and completely integrated GEARRAY EXPRESSION ANALYSIS SUITE software (SuperArray). Oligo microarray assays were repeated three times, using RNA isolated from three independent experiments.

#### Analysis of microarray data

We first eliminated spots where the signals were so strong that they 'bled' into the background area, resulting in regions of high background values or non-specific background. According to the SuperArray software, a transcript's spot is considered 'absent' if the average density of the spot is less than  $1.5 \times$  the mean value of the local backgrounds of the lower 75th percentile of all non-bleeding spots. Consequently all transcripts that were 'absent' on any array under any experimental condition were removed from further analysis. The hybridization signal of each gene present was normalized to that of the internal positive control glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) observed on the same membrane for cytokine arrays, and to  $\beta_2$ -microglobulin for TLR arrays. The expression levels of different genes after bacterial exposure were compared with those from uninfected controls, and expressed as relative ratios. Results are presented as the average of the number of replicates noted above for each array. A more than two-fold increase or less than 0.5-fold decrease in signal intensity between experimental groups (bacteria-infected) and the uninfected control group was considered biologically significant. Statistical comparison between conditions was performed by comparing fold differences averaged over all genes expressed for each condition, by using repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan's multiple range test. For TLR array data, relative gene expression levels were converted to logs for statistical analysis.

#### Qualitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction

A 3-µg sample of RNA from the same batch as that used for microarray assays was reverse transcribed using a random primer (Promega, Madison, WI) and Moloney monkey leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Promega) using conditions described by the manufacturer. One microliter of each cDNA sample was used for routine polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The primers for IL-1 $\alpha$ , IL-6, IL-8, TNF- $\alpha$  and GAPDH are listed in Table 1. Standard PCR was performed in a total volume of 25 µl with the following components: 1 × PCR buffer, 0.25 m<sub>M</sub> dNTPs, 2 m<sub>M</sub> MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 µ<sub>M</sub> of primers, and 1.5 U of platinum *Taq* polymerase (Invitrogen). The PCR amplicons were visualized in 1.5% agarose gels stained by ethidium bromide.

### Luminex multiplex cytokine assays

Frozen cell supernatants were sent to the Cytokine Reference Laboratory at the University of Minnesota. Bead-based Fluorokine<sup>®</sup> MultiAnalyte Profiling Kits (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) run on the Luminex<sup>®</sup> 100<sup>TM</sup> platform were used to measure the levels of selected cytokines including IL-1 $\alpha$ , IL-8, interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN- $\gamma$ ) and TNF- $\alpha$  simultaneously in a single sample. Cytokine concentrations were normalized to total protein levels as determined using the bicinchoninic acid protein assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL), and expressed as ng cytokine per mg total protein.

# RESULTS

### Differential cytokine expression profiles induced by F. nucleatum and S. cristatus

We first examined the effects of *F. nucleatum* and *S. cristatus* on 96 cytokine and receptor genes associated with an inflammatory response. After 2 h of exposure, *F. nucleatum* induced a potent proinflammatory cytokine response with 15% of all tested genes upregulated. No downregulated gene expression was found (Table 2). Among the 14 genes with high expression levels, *IL1a* was upregulated about 10-fold relative to the control. The relative messenger RNA (mRNA) expression of other upregulated genes varied from two- to approximately five-fold. Unfortunately, the manufacturer did not include the gene for IL-8 in this array. However, our previous work has shown *IL8* to be significantly upregulated by *F. nucleatum* (Zhang *et al.*, 2008).

A different gene expression pattern was found in cells treated with *S. cristatus* alone (Table 2). Only 4% (four genes) were modulated, with upregulation of three genes and downregulation of one. Among those, three genes exhibited more than a two-fold increase compared with the control, including *IL4*, *CCL1* and IL-10 receptor  $\alpha$  (*IL-10RA*). One downregulated gene, *IL18*, was identified with a 2.3-fold decrease in mRNA expression. Duncan's multiple range test confirmed that the overall expression patterns between *F. nucleatum*-infected versus *S. cristatus*-treated cells were significantly distinct (*P* = 0.0046), with significantly higher expression levels in *F. nucleatum*-infected cells.

#### Streptococcus cristatus attenuated epithelial cytokine production induced by F. nucleatum

Next, the cytokine expression pattern induced by the co-infection of *F. nucleatum* and *S. cristatus* was analysed. We observed at least two-fold changes in transcription of 14 genes following 2 h of combined infection, with upregulation of 12 genes and downregulation of two genes (Table 2). According to their immunoregulatory effects in inflammation, those 14 genes were classified into five groups. Genes in the first group included those for IL-1 $\alpha$ , CCL16, lymphotoxin- $\beta$ , TNF- $\alpha$  and XCL2, which are recognized as proinflammatory cytokines. Their upregulation in response to *F. nucleatum* was attenuated at least 1.4-fold by the presence of *S. cristatus*. Exposure to the two organisms together also downregulated the expression of *IL18* and IL-6 signal transducer gp130 (*IL6*), which were not changed in the *F.* 

*nucleatum*-infected cells. The third group comprised anti-inflammatory genes including *IL4* and *IL10RA*, whose expression remained upregulated in co-infection of KB cells with *F. nucleatum* and *S. cristatus* in an additive fashion, compared with the individual infection by either organism. The fourth group contained the chemokine genes *CCL1* and *CCL7* and chemokine receptor gene *CCR9*. In contrast to their response to *F. nucleatum* alone, their expression was upregulated with the addition of *S. cristatus*. The fifth group included chemokines *CCL2*, *CCL13*, *CCL15* and *CCL22*, which had similar levels of upregulation compared with *F. nucleatum* alone.

The ANOVA indicated that the overall cytokine expression patterns were significantly different between treatments (P = 0.01). Duncan's multiple range test further revealed that *F*. *nucleatum* and co-infection induced significantly higher gene expression levels than *S*. *cristatus* alone (P = 0.0046 and P = 0.04, respectively). However, the overall difference between *F*. *nucleatum* alone and co-infection was not significant (P = 0.3).

#### Modulation of TLR signaling pathway by F. nucleatum and S. cristatus

The previously reported IL-8 attenuation (Zhang *et al.*, 2008) and the cytokine array patterns pointed strongly toward *S. cristatus* having anti-inflammatory activities. Cytokine induction in response to micro-organisms is a recognized consequence of TLR activation in host cells (Akira & Takeda, 2004). To confirm the array results obtained from the KB cell model, and further explore the impacts of *F. nucleatum* and *S. cristatus* on TLR-signaling-related gene expression, we then profiled the expression of 113 genes related to TLR-mediated signal transduction in TERT2 cells. This array incorporated several cytokine genes, including those for IL-8 and IL-1 $\beta$ , which were not included in the cytokine array.

Among the 113 genes represented by the array, 7% (eight genes) were significantly modulated by *S. cristatus* alone. By contrast, exposure to *F. nucleatum* alone led to differential expression of 16% of genes (18 genes), and under combined infection this proportion was 12% (14 genes). These differentially expressed genes are listed in Table 3. As reported previously, no TLR expression was detected on the gene array, in contrast with their easy amplification by PCR (Durand *et al.*, 2006;Decanis *et al.*, 2009;Li & Dongari-Bagtzoglou, 2009). Such negative results have been attributed to the lower sensitivity resulting from the generation of the probes for the gene array (Luo *et al.*, 2002;Fuke *et al.*, 2004).

Compared with control cells, *S. cristatus*-stimulated cells never showed any notable changes in gene expression. Among the eight upregulated genes, modest increases were only seen in the expression of two genes: *NFKBIA* (NF- $\kappa$ B inhibitor  $\alpha$ , 4.24-fold) and *NFKBIB* (4.10fold), and the remaining six genes only had small increases in expression (from 2.02-fold to 2.49-fold). In contrast, *F. nucleatum*-exposed cells demonstrated a significant and dramatic increase in the expression of five genes: *CSF2* (colony stimulating factor 2, 17.96-fold), *IL1a* (11.16-fold), *IL8* (77.36-fold), *IRF1* (interferon regulatory factor 1, 19.87-fold) and *NFKBIA* (20.71-fold). Modest increases were seen in three genes: *CSF3* (4.13-fold), *IL1β* (5.12-fold) and *NFKB1* (3.99-fold), whereas the nine remaining genes only showed small increases (from 2.10-fold to 2.67-fold). Interestingly, we found that the addition of *S. cristatus* attenuated, to varying degrees (from 1.8-fold to 3-fold), the expression of almost every gene upregulated by *F. nucleatum* with the exception of *NFKBIB*, and ~ 60% of those genes were no longer upregulated.

Downregulation of TLR-related genes was not commonly seen with infection by either *F*. *nucleatum* or *S*. *cristatus* alone. Specifically, only one gene, *TOLLIP* (Toll interacting protein, 0.2-fold) was significantly downregulated in the presence of *F*. *nucleatum*. No gene was significantly downregulated by *S*. *cristatus*. In contrast, the combined infection of *F*.

*nucleatum* and *S. cristatus* led to significant downregulation of seven genes, the same number as were upregulated.

When overall gene expression levels were compared by repeat-measures ANOVA, there was a highly significant difference between groups (P = 0.00002). The *F. nucleatum* alone was significantly higher than *S. cristatus* alone (P = 0.0004), as well as than *F. nucleatum* plus *S. cristatus* (P = 0.00007). There was no significant difference found between *S. cristatus* alone and *F. nucleatum* plus *S. cristatus* (P = 0.29).

#### Immune function and pathway analysis of differentially expressed genes

The differentially expressed genes from TLR signaling arrays were further examined in the context of molecular function and pathway membership. In TERT2 cells, the majority of *F. nucleatum* upregulated genes were members of pathways downstream of TLR signaling (Table 3). Specifically, 10 genes were in the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway, two genes were in the IRF pathway and one gene was in the JNK/p38 pathway. The remaining five upregulated genes belong to the family of effectors, adaptors and TLR interacting proteins. *TOLLIP*, the only gene downregulated by *F. nucleatum*, is a negative regulator of TLR signaling.

Similar results were also found with *S. cristatus* alone, and the combined infection. Among the eight genes upregulated by *S. cristatus*, four were involved in the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway, two genes were in the JNK/p38 pathway, one was in the IRF pathway and one was in the family of adaptors and TLR-interacting proteins. On the other hand, the combined infection of *F. nucleatum* and *S. cristatus* modulated 10 genes involved in the NF- $\kappa$ B, IRF and JNK/p38 pathways, and four genes related to effectors, adaptors and TLR-interacting proteins.

Upon closer examination, we found that upregulation of key proinflammatory genes related to the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway (*CSF*, *IL1a*, *IL1β* and *IL8*) appeared as a major outcome following *F*. *nucleatum* stimulation of TERT2 cells, supporting the results obtained from inflammatory cytokine array analysis in KB cells. In the *S. cristatus* experiment, however, the expression levels of those genes did not change as much compared with control infected cells, except for a slight increase in *IL1a* level. The presence of *S. cristatus* clearly attenuated the degree of *F. nucleatum*-induced proinflammatory gene upregulation, which also confirmed the previous results.

#### Validation of array data of selected cytokine genes by RT-PCR and protein assays

To confirm the results of the array gene expression analysis, we performed qualitative RT-PCR to examine the mRNA expression changes of selected proinflammatory genes (*IL1a*, *IL6* and *TNFa*). As extensive assays had been performed in our previous study (Zhang *et al.*, 2008), IL-8 was not included in the validation. The RT-PCR was performed on the same set of RNA extracts used for arrays and the lowest possible number of PCR cycles was used to detect small differences. The RT-PCR results are shown in Fig. 1.

In KB cells, we found that *S. cristatus* alone barely stimulated *IL6* and *TNFa* expression, but was able to attenuate the robust expression of both cytokine genes induced by *F. nucleatum*. Constitutive expression of *IL1a* was detected in unstimulated KB cells and *S. cristatus* only induced a subtle increase of *IL1a* expression as opposed to the significant upregulation caused by *F. nucleatum*. In agreement with the array results, *F. nucleatum*-induced *IL1a* upregulation was inhibited in the presence of *S. cristatus*. The same expression patterns and *S. cristatus*-elicited attenuation effects were also observed in TERT2 cells for all three cytokines, although control TERT2 cells demonstrated a baseline constitutive expression of these genes.

To validate and extend the results of mRNA analysis, we further determined the secreted protein levels of four selected genes from KB cells using the Luminex multiplex cytokine assay (Fig. 2). Those included modulated genes such as those for IL-1 $\alpha$  and TNF- $\alpha$ , a non-modulated gene for IFN- $\gamma$ , and IL-8 as a positive control. No TNF- $\alpha$  protein was detected in any sample, levels were below the limit of detection. Interleukin-1- $\alpha$  was only detected in *F. nucleatum*-infected cell supernatants, and fell below the limit of detection in all the other three conditions. Interleukin-8 was significantly elevated following stimulation with *F. nucleatum*, and this elevation was attenuated in the presence of *S. cristatus*. Secretion of IFN- $\gamma$  was detected in all samples, and as with the array, no difference was found among the conditions. Results with the proteins selected for analysis were consistent with the data obtained by microarrays.

# DISCUSSION

In an effort to characterize the repertoire of cytokine responses of oral epithelia, in this study we have applied pathway-specific microarrays to the mRNA expression analysis of 96 cytokine genes in epithelial cells exposed to two common organisms, *S. cristatus*, a commensal oral viridans streptocococcus, and *F. nucleatum*, a putative oral pathogen. We demonstrated that *F. nucleatum* and *S. cristatus* induce differential cytokine expression patterns in epithelial cells, and *S. cristatus* is able to inhibit inflammatory responses provoked by *F. nucleatum*. By further profiling the expression of 113 genes related to TLR-mediated signal transduction, we confirmed the cytokine-modulating effect of *S. cristatus*, and our results suggest that *S. cristatus* exerts its anti-inflammatory activities through influencing pathways converging on NF- $\kappa$ B.

It is well recognized that F. nucleatum can stimulate a wide array of cytokines from oral epithelial cells (Ji et al., 2007; Milward et al., 2007; Peyret-Lacombe et al., 2009). Our microarray data confirmed the upregulation of the genes for IL-1 $\alpha$ , IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-8 and TNF- $\alpha$ , and identified a number of upregulated genes whose mRNA expression after F. nucleatum infection had not previously been investigated, including various CC, CXC and C chemokines such as CCL16, CCL2, CXCL11 and XCL2. These chemokines are chemoattractants of monocytes/macrophages, eosinophils and subpopulations of T cells. It is speculated that the expression of various chemokines by activated epithelial cells plays a role in orchestrating the initiation of mucosal inflammatory and immune responses in which a number of different cell types participate (Kagnoff & Eckmann, 1997). Other than the proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, it is noteworthy that IL4 and IL10RA were also found to be up-regulated to various degrees in F. nucleatum-infected KB cells. Interleukin-4 is known to be a potent anti-inflammatory cytokine that acts by inhibiting the synthesis of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1, TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-8 by hematopoietic cells (D'Andrea et al., 1995) and keratinocytes (Raingeaud & Pierre, 2005). Although mainly produced by T helper type 2 lymphocytes and mast cells, expression of IL-4 was also observed in bile duct epithelial cells (Chedid et al., 1999), indicating that IL-4 might be able to exert its activity directly at epithelial surfaces. Interleukin-10 is another potent immunosuppressive cytokine that initiates a wide variety of anti-inflammatory activities in cells of different lineages when it binds to its cellular receptor complex. The IL-10 receptor complex is a heterodimer consisting of the specific IL-10R1 (alias of IL10RA) and the common IL-10R2, but only the IL-10R1 is essential for initial ligand binding and receptor signaling (Moore et al., 2001). Primarily expressed by hematopoietic cells such as B cells, T cells, natural killer cells, monocytes and macrophages, *IL10R1* expression had also been described in keratinocytes (Michel et al., 1997) and colonic epithelium (Denning et al., 2000). The presence of IL-10RA in KB cells is an addition to this short list of nonhematopoietic cells. Upregulation of IL4 and IL10RA induced by F. nucleatum indicated that pathogenic bacteria not only activate a proinflammatory state of acute-phase response but

also trigger a potentially compensatory antagonistic mechanism involving anti-inflammatory mediators such as IL-4 or IL-10 receptor.

The cytokine response to oral streptococci is less studied, and the results have been conflicting (Vernier et al., 1996; Scannapieco et al., 2001; Ji et al., 2007; Peyret-Lacombe et al., 2009). In contrast to F. nucleatum, here we demonstrated that S. cristatus did not provoke a strong host response in either KB or TERT2 cells, which was in agreement with most previous studies (Scannapieco et al., 2001; Hasegawa et al., 2007; Ji et al., 2007; Cosseau et al., 2008; Peyret-Lacombe et al., 2009). Only four genes were altered following S. cristatus infection. Among those was a proinflammatory cytokine, IL-18, which was down-regulated. It has been reported that oral epithelial cells can produce IL-18 (Rouabhia et al., 2002). Accumulated IL-18 is positively correlated to unresolved periodontal inflammation (Johnson & Serio, 2005). Therefore, downregulation of IL-18 may indicate an anti-inflammatory mechanism exerted by S. cristatus. Two of the three genes upregulated after exposure to S. cristatus were again those for IL-4 and IL-10R, which further suggested that S. cristatus induces an anti-inflammatory response in epithelial cells. The distinct transcriptional responses to F. nucleatum and S. cristatus are relevant to their biological behaviors, and provide experimental support for their distinct roles in health and disease. It appears that S. cristatus is a 'true' commensal that has developed a balanced relationship with the epithelial cells, whereas F. nucleatum is a potential threat to the host, and has to be monitored closely.

Communities in the oral cavity are polymicrobial, and host immune responses to complex microbial communities may differ greatly from those to single species. Oral bacteria implicated in periodontal diseases appear to have the capacity to downregulate the cytokine response of the host to other pathogenic species through various components, which has been related to periodontal pathogenesis (Huang et al., 2004a; Asai et al., 2005). Little is known about the interactions between oral commensal bacteria and pathogens in the host cytokine response. In this study we found that the presence of S. cristatus decreased the levels of epithelial proinflammatory cytokine production induced by F. nucleatum, and upregulated anti-inflammatory mediators such as IL-4 and IL-10RA. Such community-based responses are of clinical significance. In the oral cavity, oral mucosa coexists in intimate contact with a diverse bacterial flora including putative periodontal pathogens but dominated by commensal streptococci (Rudney et al., 2005). We previously demonstrated that S. cristatus could be transported into KB and TERT-2 epithelial cells by invasive F. nucleatum through a combination of coaggregation and invasion mechanisms (Edwards et al., 2006); however, the inhibitory effect of S. cristatus on F. nucleatum-induced IL-8 was independent of interspecies' coaggregation and appeared to require bacterial contact with host cells (Zhang et al., 2008). The inhibition of an acute inflammatory response demonstrated by S. cristatus might represent an effective anti-inflammatory mechanism for polymicrobial flora to live in harmony with the host on epithelial surfaces. On the other hand, the mucosal hyporesponsiveness to invasive bacteria may also provide a protected environment for these microbes to later colonize the gingival crevice, and even remote locations.

Cytokine synthesis in response to microorganisms is largely a consequence of TLR activation (Kawai & Akira, 2009). Activation of TLR is essential for provoking the innate response and enhancing adaptive immunity against pathogens, but if it is left unchecked, the host would be overwhelmed by immune activation. Mechanisms exist to tightly regulate TLR signaling and functions at multiple levels (Liew *et al.*, 2005). As no TLR genes were detected on our arrays, no conclusions could be drawn regarding the differential effects of bacteria on TLR expression in our model system. Further analysis of the TLR array data revealed that most of the *F. nucleatum* up-regulated genes identified in our study are NF-κB-dependent proinflammatory genes, which was generally in agreement with previous reports

Zhang and Rudney

(Huang *et al.*, 2004b; Milward *et al.*, 2007). Typically pathogenic bacteria can prevent or limit the inflammatory response by targeting NF- $\kappa$ B (Schesser *et al.*, 1998). Here we observed that almost all of *F. nucleatum* upregulated NF- $\kappa$ B-dependent genes were attenuated by the presence of *S. cristatus* (some of those genes were also upregulated by *S. cristatus* alone, but at much lower levels). In support of this observation, it was recently reported that nonpathogenic/commensal gut flora are also able to act on the NF- $\kappa$ B activation pathway, either inducing its precocious nuclear clearance (Kelly *et al.*, 2004) or preventing the nuclear translocation of its active dimer (Neish *et al.*, 2000), to attenuate proinflammatory cytokine expression induced by various inflammatory stimuli. Given the limited number of NF- $\kappa$ B pathway-related genes activated by *S. cristatus* alone, and its attenuation of most NF- $\kappa$ B-targeted genes induced by *F. nucleatum*, we speculate that *S. cristatus* may also exert its attenuating activity through interfering with the signal transduction pathways of the host cells.

Other pathways stimulated by either species alone and attenuated in combination were mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) and interferon-regulatory factor (IRF) signal transduction. We have not been able to locate any previous reports of IRF pathway induction by F. nucleatum or S. cristatus, so the role of the IRF pathway remains elusive. Considerably more information is available for the MAPK pathway. For example, MAPK-JNK/p38 pathways, rather than NF-kB, were involved in the induction of an antimicrobial peptide human  $\beta$ -defensin-2 in epithelial cells by *F. nucleatum* (Krisanaprakornkit *et al.*, 2000; Chung & Dale, 2008). Largely mediated by NF- $\kappa$ B activation, IL-8 upregulation by F. nucleatum also involved MAPK p38 and MAPK kinase/extracellular regulated kinase pathways (Huang et al., 2004b). As cross-talk between various pathways in mammalian cells can regulate the amplitude of cytokine responses in inflammation, differences in the cytokine expression profiles between F. nucleatum alone and F. nucleatum with the addition of S. cristatus may be related to differential regulation of individual components of various pathways. The upregulation of c-Fos mRNA by S. cristatus, but not by F. nucleatum, was of interest. c-Fos is a transcription factor that, together with c-Jun, composes activator protein-1 (AP-1). It can be induced by a variety of stimuli in diverse cell types including keratinocytes. It was reported that c-Fos may function as an anti-inflammatory transcription factor to suppress systemic inflammatory response to endotoxin through inhibiting NF-κB activity (Ray *et al.*, 2006). In *Drosophila*, the lipopolysaccharide response of NF- $\kappa$ B target genes can be downregulated by AP-1 (Kim et al., 2005). Interestingly, MAPK signaling pathways regulate AP-1 activity by increasing transcription and by phosphorylation of AP-1. Based on these findings, it is tempting to speculate that the addition of S. cristatus induces a negative cross-talk between the c-Fos/AP-1 and NF-KB signaling modules, and consequently, the amplitude of cytokine responses in inflammation is dampened. Further study is warranted for confirmation.

In conclusion, the data presented here extend our previous study on the effects of *S. cristatus* on epithelial responses to *F. nucleatum*, and highlight many previously unreported findings. It is clear from our results that oral pathogens and commensals can induce distinct cytokine expression patterns in oral epithelial cells, and *S. cristatus* is able to attenuate the acute inflammatory responses to *F. nucleatum*, probably by influencing pathways converging on NF- $\kappa$ B.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Public Health Service grant 1 R01 DE 14214 from the National Institute for Dental and Craniofacial Research, Bethesda, MD, USA.

# REFERENCES

- Akira S, Takeda K. Toll-like receptor signalling. Nat Rev Immunol. 2004; 4:499–511. [PubMed: 15229469]
- Asai Y, Ohyama Y, Taiji Y, et al. *Treponema medium* glycoconjugate inhibits activation of human gingival fibroblasts stimulated with phenol-water extracts of periodontopathic bacteria. J Dent Res. 2005; 84:456–461. [PubMed: 15840783]
- Burns K, Clatworthy J, Martin L, et al. Tollip, a new component of the IL-1RI pathway, links IRAK to the IL-1 receptor. Nat Cell Biol. 2000; 2:346–351. [PubMed: 10854325]
- Chedid A, Mendenhall C, Moritz T. The antigenic heterogeneity of the bile duct epithelium in alcoholic liver disease. VA Cooperative Study Group 275. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 1999; 123:411–414. [PubMed: 10235499]
- Chung WO, Dale BA. Differential utilization of nuclear factor-kappaB signaling pathways for gingival epithelial cell responses to oral commensal and pathogenic bacteria. Oral Microbiol Immunol. 2008; 23:119–126. [PubMed: 18279179]
- Cosseau C, Devine DA, Dullaghan E, et al. The commensal *Streptococcus salivarius* K12 downregulates the innate immune responses of human epithelial cells and promotes host-microbe homeostasis. Infect Immun. 2008; 76:4163–4175. [PubMed: 18625732]
- D'Andrea A, Ma X, Aste-Amezaga M, Paganin C, Trinchieri G. Stimulatory and inhibitory effects of interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13 on the production of cytokines by human peripheral blood mononuclear cells: priming for IL-12 and tumor necrosis factor alpha production. J Exp Med. 1995; 181:537–546. [PubMed: 7836910]
- Decanis N, Savignac K, Rouabhia M. Farnesol promotes epithelial cell defense against *Candida albicans* through Toll-like receptor 2 expression, interleukin-6 and human beta-defensin 2 production. Cytokine. 2009; 45:132–140. [PubMed: 19121950]
- Denning TL, Campbell NA, Song F, et al. Expression of IL-10 receptors on epithelial cells from the murine small and large intestine. Int Immunol. 2000; 12:133–139. [PubMed: 10653848]
- Durand SH, Flacher V, Romeas A, et al. Lipoteichoic acid increases TLR and functional chemokine expression while reducing dentin formation in *in vitro* differentiated human odontoblasts. J Immunol. 2006; 176:2880–2887. [PubMed: 16493045]
- Edwards AM, Grossman TJ, Rudney JD. *Fusobacterium nucleatum* transports noninvasive *Streptococcus cristatus* into human epithelial cells. Infect Immun. 2006; 74(1):654–662. [PubMed: 16369022]
- Frieling J, Mulder J, Hendriks T, Curfs J, van der Linden C, Sauerwein R. Differential induction of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines in whole blood by bacteria: effects of antibiotic treatment. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1997; 41:1439–1443. [PubMed: 9210662]
- Fuke S, Betsuyaku T, Nasuhara Y, Morikawa T, Katoh H, Nishimura M. Chemokines in bronchiolar epithelium in the development of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2004; 31:405–412. [PubMed: 15220136]
- Han D, Huang G, Lin L, Warner N, Gim J, Jewett A. Expression of MHC Class II, CD70, CD80, CD86 and pro-inflammatory cytokines is differentially regulated in oral epithelial cells following bacterial challenge. Oral Microbiol Immunol. 2003; 18:350–358. [PubMed: 14622340]
- Han Y, Shi W, Huang G, et al. Interactions between periodontal bacteria and human oral epithelial cells: *Fusobacterium nucleatum* adheres to and invades epithelial cells. Infect Immun. 2000; 68:3140–3146. [PubMed: 10816455]
- Handfield M, Mans JJ, Zheng G, et al. Distinct transcriptional profiles characterize oral epitheliummicrobiota interactions. Cell Microbiol. 2005; 7:811–823. [PubMed: 15888084]
- Huang G, Zhang H, Dang H, Haake S. Differential regulation of cytokine genes in gingival epithelial cells challenged by *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. Microb Pathog. 2004a; 37:303–312. [PubMed: 15619426]
- Huang GT, Zhang HB, Dang HN, Haake SK. Differential regulation of cytokine genes in gingival epithelial cells challenged by *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. Microb Pathog. 2004b; 37:303–312. [PubMed: 15619426]

Page 11

- Ji S, Kim Y, Min BM, Han SH, Choi Y. Innate immune responses of gingival epithelial cells to nonperiodontopathic and periodontopathic bacteria. J Periodontal Res. 2007; 42:503–510. [PubMed: 17956462]
- Johnson R, Serio F. Interleukin-18 concentrations and the pathogenesis of periodontal disease. J Periodontol. 2005; 76:785–790. [PubMed: 15898940]
- Kagnoff MF, Eckmann L. Epithelial cells as sensors for microbial infection. J Clin Invest. 1997; 100:6–10. [PubMed: 9202050]
- Kawai T, Akira S. Pathogen recognition with Toll-like receptors. Curr Opin Immunol. 2005; 17:338–344. [PubMed: 15950447]
- Kawai T, Akira S. The roles of TLRs, RLRs and NLRs in pathogen recognition. Int Immunol. 2009; 21:317–337. [PubMed: 19246554]
- Kelly D, Campbell J, King T, et al. Commensal anaerobic gut bacteria attenuate inflammation by regulating nuclear-cytoplasmic shuttling of PPAR-gamma and RelA. Nat Immunol. 2004; 5:104– 112. [PubMed: 14691478]
- Kim T, Yoon J, Cho H, et al. Downregulation of lipopolysaccharide response in *Drosophila* by negative crosstalk between the AP1 and NF-kappaB signaling modules. Nat Immunol. 2005; 6:211–218. [PubMed: 15640802]
- Krisanaprakornkit S, Kimball JR, Weinberg A, Darveau RP, Bainbridge BW, Dale BA. Inducible expression of human beta-defensin 2 by *Fusobacterium nucleatum* in oral epithelial cells: multiple signaling pathways and role of commensal bacteria in innate immunity and the epithelial barrier. Infect Immun. 2000; 68:2907–2915. [PubMed: 10768988]
- Kusumoto Y, Hirano H, Saitoh K, et al. Human gingival epithelial cells produce chemotactic factors interleukin-8 and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 after stimulation with *Porphyromonas* gingivalis via toll-like receptor 2. J Periodontol. 2004; 75:370–379. [PubMed: 15088874]
- Li L, Dongari-Bagtzoglou A. Epithelial GM-CSF induction by *Candida glabrata*. J Dent Res. 2009; 88:746–751. [PubMed: 19734463]
- Liew FY, Xu D, Brint EK, O'Neill LA. Negative regulation of toll-like receptor-mediated immune responses. Nat Rev Immunol. 2005; 5:446–458. [PubMed: 15928677]
- Luo Y, Cai J, Liu Y, et al. Microarray analysis of selected genes in neural stem and progenitor cells. J Neurochem. 2002; 83:1481–1497. [PubMed: 12472902]
- Mans JJ, Lamont RJ, Handfield M. Microarray analysis of human epithelial cell responses to bacterial interaction. Infect Disord Drug Targets. 2006; 6:299–309. [PubMed: 16918488]
- Michel G, Mirmohammadsadegh A, Olasz E, et al. Demonstration and functional analysis of IL-10 receptors in human epidermal cells: decreased expression in psoriatic skin, down-modulation by IL-8, and up-regulation by an antipsoriatic glucocorticosteroid in normal cultured keratinocytes. J Immunol. 1997; 159:6291–6297. [PubMed: 9550434]
- Milward MR, Chapple IL, Wright HJ, Millard JL, Matthews JB, Cooper PR. Differential activation of NF-kappaB and gene expression in oral epithelial cells by periodontal pathogens. Clin Exp Immunol. 2007; 148:307–324. [PubMed: 17355248]
- Moore KW, de Waal Malefyt R, Coffman R, O'Garra A. Interleukin-10 and the interleukin-10 receptor. Annu Rev Immunol. 2001; 19:683–765. [PubMed: 11244051]
- Neish A, Gewirtz A, Zeng H, et al. Prokaryotic regulation of epithelial responses by inhibition of IkappaB-alphaubiquitination. Science. 2000; 289:1560–1563. [PubMed: 10968793]
- Peyret-Lacombe A, Brunel G, Watts M, Charveron M, Duplan H. TLR2 sensing of *F. nucleatum* and *S. sanguinis* distinctly triggered gingival innate response. Cytokine. 2009; 46:201–210. [PubMed: 19299164]
- Raingeaud J, Pierre J. Interleukin-4 downregulates TNFalpha-induced IL-8 production in keratinocytes. FEBS Lett. 2005; 579:3953–3959. [PubMed: 16004996]
- Ray N, Kuwahara M, Takada Y, et al. c-Fos suppresses systemic inflammatory response to endotoxin. Int Immunol. 2006; 18:671–677. [PubMed: 16569682]
- Rouabhia M, Ross G, Page N, Chakir J. Interleukin-18 and gamma interferon production by oral epithelial cells in response to exposure to *Candida albicans* or lipopolysaccharide stimulation. Infect Immun. 2002; 70:7073–7080. [PubMed: 12438388]

- Rudney JD, Chen R, Zhang G. Streptococci dominate the diverse flora within buccal cells. J Dent Res. 2005; 84:1165–1171. [PubMed: 16304448]
- Sandros J, Karlsson C, Lappin D, Madianos P, Kinane D, Papapanou P. Cytokine responses of oral epithelial cells to *Porphyromonas gingivalis* infection. J Dent Res. 2000; 79:1808–1814. [PubMed: 11077999]
- Scannapieco F, Wang B, Shiau H. Oral bacteria and respiratory infection: effects on respiratory pathogen adhesion and epithelial cell proinflammatory cytokine production. Ann Periodontol. 2001; 6:78–86. [PubMed: 11887474]
- Schesser K, Spiik A, Dukuzumuremyi J, Neurath M, Pettersson S, Wolf-Watz H. The yopJ locus is required for *Yersinia*-mediated inhibition of NF-kappaB activation and cytokine expression: YopJ contains a eukaryotic SH2-like domain that is essential for its repressive activity. Mol Microbiol. 1998; 28:1067–1079. [PubMed: 9680199]
- Sliepen I, Van Damme J, Van Essche M, Loozen G, Quirynen M, Teughels W. Microbial interactions influence inflammatory host cell responses. J Dent Res. 2009; 88:1026–1030. [PubMed: 19828891]
- Sweet MJ, Leung BP, Kang D, et al. A novel pathway regulating lipopolysaccharide-induced shock by ST2/T1 via inhibition of Toll-like receptor 4 expression. J Immunol. 2001; 166:6633–6639. [PubMed: 11359817]
- Takeuchi O, Hoshino K, Kawai T, et al. Differential roles of TLR2 and TLR4 in recognition of gramnegative and gram-positive bacterial cell wall components. Immunity. 1999; 11:443–451. [PubMed: 10549626]
- Uehara A, Sugawara S, Tamai R, Takada H. Contrasting responses of human gingival and colonic epithelial cells to lipopolysaccharides, lipoteichoic acids and peptidoglycans in the presence of soluble CD14. Med Microbiol Immunol (Berl). 2001; 189:185–192. [PubMed: 11599788]
- Van Dyke TE, Serhan CN. Resolution of inflammation: a new paradigm for the pathogenesis of periodontal diseases. J Dent Res. 2003; 82:82–90. [PubMed: 12562878]
- Vernier A, Diab M, Soell M, et al. Cytokine production by human epithelial and endothelial cells following exposure to oral viridans streptococci involves lectin interactions between bacteria and cell surface receptors. Infect Immun. 1996; 64:3016–3022. [PubMed: 8757828]
- Zhang G, Chen R, Rudney JD. *Streptococcus cristatus* attenuates *Fusobacterium nucleatum*-induced interleukin-8 expression in oral epithelial cells. J Periodontal Res. 2008; 43:408–416. [PubMed: 18942189]



## Figure 1.

Qualitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis of selected cytokine gene expression in infected KB and TERT2 cells. KB and TERT2 cells were cocultured for 2 h with medium; *Fusobacterium nucleatum* (Fn); *Streptococcus cristatus* (Sc); or *S. cristatus* and *F. nucleatum*. The same set of total RNA as that used for array analysis was analysed by RT-PCR. Representative gel images of triplicate analysis are shown.



## Figure 2.

Selected cytokine protein levels in KB cells following bacterial challenge. KB cells were cocultured for 2 h with medium (Blank); *Fusobacterium nucleatum* (Fn); *Streptococcus cristatus* (Sc); or *F. nucleatum* plus *S. cristatus* (Fn + Sc). Cell supernatants were then collected, and levels of the indicated cytokines were analysed by multiplexed Luminex assay. Cytokine concentrations were normalized to total protein levels, and expressed as ng cytokine per mg total protein. (A) Interleukin-1 $\alpha$  (IL-1 $\alpha$ ); (B) IL-8; (C) interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN- $\gamma$ ). Statistical analysis confirmed that the significant upregulation of IL-8 by *F. nucleatum* (*P* < 0.01) was significantly attenuated to background level by the presence of *S. cristatus* (*P* < 0.01).

# Table 1

The sequences of primers used for reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction

Gene	Forward primer sequence	Reverse primer sequence
IL1α	CAC TCC ATG AAG GCT GCA TGG	ACC CAG TAG TCT TGC TTT GTG G
IL6	GTG TGA AAG CAG CAA AGA GG	TGG ACT GCA GGA ACT CCT T
IL8	GAG ACA GCA GAG CAC ACA AGC	TTC TCA GCC CTC TTC AAA AAC T
TNF-α	CACCAGCTGGTTATCTCTCAGCTC	GGGACGTGGAGCTGGCCGAGGAG
GAPDH	GAC CCC TTC ATT GAC CTC AAC TAC	AGC CTT CTC CAT GGT GGT GAA GAC

Table 2

	-
	arrays
	okine
	cyt
:	d by
	mine
	deter
	as
	ristatus.
	occus c
	reptocc
č	r <i>St</i>
	and/o
	eatum
	пис
	erium
	obact
ļ	F WS
	by
	duced
	S III
;	cells
	n KB
;	ule 1
	i proi
	SSIOL
	expre
7	jene

IL lα     Interleukin l, al       IL6     Interleukin ℓ       IL18     Interleukin l				Enter So motic4
IL 1α Interleukin 1, al IL6 Interleukin 6 IL18 Interleukin 1:	Fn	Sc	Fn+Sc	F II/F II+SC FAUO
IL6 Interleukin 6 IL18 Interleukin 1:	oha 9.92	1.41	2.53	3.92
IL18 Interleukin 14	1.16	0.65	0.38	3.08
	0.77	0.44	0.40	1.90
CCL16 Chemokine ligar	d 16 3.84	1.31	2.65	1.45
LTB Lymphotoxin b	eta 3.56	1.61	2.51	1.41
TNFα Tumor necrosis fa	ctor, 2.32	0.85	1.64	1.41
XCL2 Chemokine liga	id 2 2.56	1.06	1.84	1.40
CCL19 Chemokine ligan	d 19 2.44	1.28	1.84	1.32
IL11 Interleukin 1	2.17	1.21	1.65	1.31
CXCL11 Chemokine ligan	d 11 2.31	0.92	1.77	1.30
CCL2 Chemokine liga	id 2 2.50	1.31	2.11	1.19
CCL13 Chemokine ligan	d 13 2.68	1.49	2.32	1.15
CCL22 Chemokine ligan	d 22 2.93	1.89	2.84	1.03
CCL15 Chemokine ligan	d 15 2.12	1.48	2.08	1.02
CCL7 Chemokine liga	id 7 1.93	1.35	2.06	0.94
IL10Rα Interleukin 10 recept	or, alpha <b>4.82</b>	2.06	6.64	0.73
CCR9 Chemokine recep	tor 9 1.47	1.59	2.04	0.72
CCL1 Chemokine liga	id 1 1.50	2.79	2.52	0.60
IL4 Interleukin 4	2.75	4.89	5.86	0.47

Mol Oral Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 April 1.

After background subtraction, the signal intensity of each gene present was normalized to that of GAPDH. The expression levels of different genes after bacterial exposure were compared with those from uninfected controls and expressed as relative ratios. Only the genes that had a fold change > 2-fold or < 0.5-fold in any condition (shown in bold) were included for analysis.

<sup>2</sup>Genes whose expression levels were significantly modulated by any experimental treatment. They were sorted in a descending order according to the Fn/Fn+Sc ratio.

 $\mathcal{J}$ values represent mean relative ratios from two independent experiments.

<sup>4</sup>Fold changes in expression levels when comparing *F. nucleatum* and *F. nucleatum* plus *S. cristatus*. Fn, *F. nucleatum*; Sc, *S. cristatus*; Fn+Sc, *F. nucleatum* plus *S. cristatus*.

5 Values represent the mean  $\pm$  SD for each ratio column. Statistical comparison showed that the overall expression level induced by *S. cristatus* was significantly lower than *F. nucleatum* (*P* = 0.0046), as well as lower than F. nucleatum plus S. cristatus (P = 0.04). However, the difference between F. nucleatum and F. nucleatum plus S. cristatus was not significant.

# Table 3

Gene expression profile in TERT2 cells induced by Fusobacterium nucleatum and/or Streptococcus cristatus, as determined by Toll-like receptor (TLR) arrays

FunctionFunctionFnScGPCIAdaptors & TLR Interacting $2.41$ $0.67$ HMGBIAdaptors & TLR Interacting $2.45$ $1.40$ HSPA1AAdaptors & TLR Interacting $2.45$ $2.49$ HSPA1AAdaptors & TLR Interacting $2.74$ $0.72$ HSPA1Adaptors & TLR Interacting $2.74$ $0.66$ HSPA1Adaptors & TLR Interacting $0.72$ $0.84$ HSPA1Adaptors & TLR Interacting $2.10$ $0.66$ HSPA1NF-kB Pathway $11.16$ $2.20$ IL1ANF-kB Pathway $17.96$ $1.71$ UL1BNF-kB Pathway $17.96$ $1.71$ IL1BNF-kB Pathway $17.96$ $1.71$ IL1BNF-kB Pathway $17.96$ $1.71$ IL1BIRFIRF Pathway $1.987$ $2.26$ IL1AIRFIRF Pathway $1.987$ $2.26$ IRAK1IRF Pathway $2.50$ $1.07$ IRFNK/p38 Pathway $2.67$ $1.06$ NFKB1NF-kB Pathway $2.67$ $4.10$ NFKB1NF-kB Pathway $2.67$ $4.10$ NFKB1NF-kB Pathway $2.67$ $2.76$ NFKB1NF-kB Pathway $2.67$ $1.07$ NFKB1NF-kB Pathway $2.67$ $0.70$ NF-kB Pathway $0.68$ $0.70$ $1.07$ POLLIPAdaptors & TLR Interacting $0.81$ $0.70$ NF-kB Pathway $0.81$ $0.81$ $0.70$ NF-kB Pathway $0.81$	Conol	Pathwav	Ex	pression ratio	2	En/En   Co motio3
GPCI       Adaptors & TLR Interacting $2.41$ $0.67$ HMGB1       Adaptors & TLR Interacting $2.45$ $2.49$ HSPA1A       Adaptors & TLR Interacting $2.45$ $2.49$ HSPD1       Adaptors & TLR Interacting $0.72$ $0.84$ HSPD1       NF-kB Pathway $11.16$ $2.20$ $1.01$ LL1B       NF-kB Pathway $77.36$ $1.01$ $1.77$ CSF2       NF-kB Pathway $17.96$ $1.01$ $1.77$ CSF3       NF-kB Pathway $10.98$ $2.20$ $0.72$ ILB       IRF1       IRF Pathway $2.59$ $0.72$ $0.72$ IRAK1       IRF Pathway $0.70$ $1.35$ $0.72$ $0.72$ IRF1       IRF Pathway $0.70$ $1.35$ $0.72$ $0.72$ IRF1<	Celle		Fn	Sc	Fn+Sc	FILFIF-SC FAU0-
HMGBIAdaptors & TLR Interacting $2.25$ 1.40HSPA1AAdaptors & TLR Interacting $2.45$ $2.49$ HSPA1Adaptors & TLR Interacting $0.72$ $0.84$ HSPA1Adaptors & TLR Interacting $0.72$ $0.84$ HSPD1Adaptors & TLR Interacting $2.10$ $0.60$ IL1ANF-kB Pathway $11.16$ $2.20$ IL1BNF-kB Pathway $77.36$ $1.01$ IRF1IRF Pathway $17.96$ $1.01$ IRF3NF-kB Pathway $0.70$ $1.35$ IRF3IRF4IRF Pathway $0.70$ $1.35$ IRF3NFKBIAINK/p38 Pathway $0.70$ $1.35$ UNJNNKJNNK/p38 Pathway $2.60$ $1.36$ MAPK9NF-kB Pathway $2.60$ $1.36$ NFKBIANF-kB Pathway $2.67$ $1.06$ NFKBIANF-kB Pathway $2.67$ $1.01$ NFKBIBNF-kB Pathway $2.67$ $1.01$ HCNF-kB Pathway $2.67$ $1.01$ HCNF-kB Pathway $2.67$ $0.70$ HCNF-kB Pathway $0.70$ $0.70$ HCNF-kB Pathway $0.70$ $0.70$ HCNF-kB Pathway $0.70$ $0.70$ <td>GPC1</td> <td>Adaptors &amp; TLR Interacting</td> <td>2.41</td> <td>0.67</td> <td>0.87</td> <td>3.06</td>	GPC1	Adaptors & TLR Interacting	2.41	0.67	0.87	3.06
HSPA1A       Adaptors & TLR Interacting $2.45$ $2.40$ HSPA4       Adaptors & TLR Interacting $0.72$ $0.84$ HSPD1       Adaptors & TLR Interacting $0.72$ $0.84$ HSPD1       Adaptors & TLR Interacting $2.10$ $0.60$ IL1A       NF-kB Pathway $11.16$ $0.72$ $0.84$ IL1B       NF-kB Pathway $5.12$ $1.01$ $1.01$ IL1B       NF-kB Pathway $77.36$ $1.01$ $1.01$ IL1A       NF-kB Pathway $77.36$ $1.01$ $1.01$ IL1B       NF-kB Pathway $17.96$ $1.01$ $1.01$ IRAK1       IRF Pathway $2.20$ $0.70$ $1.01$ IRAK1       IRF Pathway $2.59$ $0.70$ $1.01$ IRAK1       IRF Pathway $2.50$ $0.70$ $1.02$ IRAK1       IRF Pathway $2.50$ $0.70$ $1.02$ IRF       IRF Pathway $2.50$ $0.70$ $1.02$ IRF       IRF Pathway $2.50$ $0.70$ $1.02$ INN       NADK0       N	HMGB1	Adaptors & TLR Interacting	2.25	1.40	1.18	3.04
HSPA4       Adaptors & TLR Interacting       0.72       0.84         HSPD1       Adaptors & TLR Interacting       2.10       0.60         HL1A       NF-kB Pathway       2.10       0.60         IL1B       NF-kB Pathway       2.10       0.60         IL1B       NF-kB Pathway       77.36       1.61         IRF1       IRF Pathway       77.36       1.61         IRF3       NF-kB Pathway       2.20       0.61         IRF3       IRF Pathway       2.59       0.61         IRF3       IRF Pathway       2.56       1.61         IRF3       IRF Pathway       2.50       0.63         IRF3       IRF Pathway       2.56       0.63         IRF3       IRF Pathway       2.56       1.08         IRF3       NK/p38 Pathway       2.67       2.02         NFKBI       NF-kB Pathway       <	HSPA1A	Adaptors & TLR Interacting	2.45	2.49	1.24	3.03
HSPD1       Adaptors & TLR Interacting $2.10$ $0.60$ IL1A       NF- $\alpha$ B Pathway $11.16$ $2.20$ IL1B       NF- $\alpha$ B Pathway $5.12$ $1.01$ IL1B       NF- $\alpha$ B Pathway $5.12$ $1.01$ IL1B       NF- $\alpha$ B Pathway $5.12$ $1.01$ IL8       NF- $\alpha$ B Pathway $77.36$ $1.01$ CSF2       NF- $\alpha$ B Pathway $77.36$ $1.01$ CSF3       NF- $\alpha$ B Pathway $17.96$ $1.77$ CSF2       NF- $\alpha$ B Pathway $2.20$ $0.70$ IR7       IR7 Pathway $2.50$ $0.70$ IR7       NK/ $\beta$ 3 Pathway $0.70$ $1.35$ JUN       JNK/ $\beta$ 38 Pathway $0.66$ $0.53$ JUN       JNK/ $\beta$ 38 Pathway $0.66$ $0.20$ MAPK9       JNK/ $\beta$ 38 Pathway $0.68$ $0.70$ MYD88       Adaptors & TLR Interacting $1.14$ $1.35$ NFKB1B       NF- $\alpha$ B Pathway $2.67$ $0.70$ NFKB1B       NF- $\alpha$ B Pathway $2.67$ $0.70$ RELA       NF- $\alpha$ B Pathway	HSPA4	Adaptors & TLR Interacting	0.72	0.84	0.36	3.00
ILIA       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway <b>11.16 2.20</b> ILIB       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway <b>5.12</b> 1.01         ILB       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway <b>5.12</b> 1.01         ILB       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway <b>5.12</b> 1.01         ILB       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway <b>77.36</b> 1.01         CSF2       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway <b>77.36</b> 1.01         CSF3       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway <b>4.13</b> 0.79         IRAK1       Effectors <b>2.20</b> 0.61         IRF1       IRF Pathway <b>2.20</b> 0.70         IRF3       IRF Pathway <b>2.50</b> 0.70         IRF1       IRF Pathway <b>2.50</b> 0.70         IRF3       IRF Pathway <b>2.50</b> 1.08         IRF4       IRF Pathway <b>2.50</b> 0.70         IRF3       IRF Pathway <b>2.50</b> 0.70         IRF4       INK/ $\rho$ 38 Pathway       0.68       0.70         MAPK9       NFKBIA       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway <b>2.67</b> 4.10         NFKBIA       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway <b>2.67</b> 4.10       7.76         NFKBIB       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway <b>2.67</b> 4.10       <	<b>HSPD1</b>	Adaptors & TLR Interacting	2.10	0.60	0.82	2.97
ILJB         NF-κB Pathway         5.12         1.01           IL8         NF-κB Pathway         77.36         1.61           CSF2         NF-κB Pathway         77.36         1.61           CSF3         NF-κB Pathway         17.36         1.61           CSF3         NF-κB Pathway         17.36         1.73           CSF3         NF-κB Pathway         17.36         1.71           LRAL         Effectors         2.20         0.70           IRAL         IRF Pathway         19.87         2.26           IRF3         IRF Pathway         19.87         2.26           JUN         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.70         1.35           JUN         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.68         0.73           MAPK9         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.68         1.08           MAPK9         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.68         1.35           NFKB1         NF-κB Pathway         0.68         2.02           NFKB1         NF-κB Pathway         0.58         1.08           NFKB1         NF-κB Pathway         0.58         1.07           RELA         NF-κB Pathway         0.58         1.07           RELA         NF-κB Pathway	IL1A	NF-kB Pathway	11.16	2.20	3.83	2.95
IL8       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       77.36       1.61         CSF2       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       17.96       1.77         CSF3       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       17.96       1.77         CSF3       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       4.13       0.79         IRAL1       Effectors       2.20       0.61         IRF1       IRF Pathway       2.59       0.61         IRF3       IRF Pathway       2.59       1.08         IRF3       IRF Pathway       2.59       0.61         IRF3       IRF Pathway       2.59       0.61         IRF3       IRF Pathway       2.59       0.63         JUN       JNK/ $p$ 38 Pathway       0.68       0.63         MAPK9       JNK/ $p$ 38 Pathway       0.668       0.53         MYD88       Adaptors & TLR Interacting       1.14       1.35         NFKB1B       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       2.67       4.10         NFKB1B       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       2.67       4.10         RELA       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       0.58       1.07         RELB       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       0.58       2.34       1.07         RELB       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       0.58       0.56       1.07	IL1B	NF-kB Pathway	5.12	1.01	1.73	2.92
CSF2       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       17.96       1.77         CSF3       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       4.13       0.79         IRAK1       Effectors       2.20       0.61         IRF1       IRF Pathway       2.59       0.61         IRF3       IRF Pathway       2.59       0.61         IRF3       IRF Pathway       2.59       0.61         IRF3       IRF Pathway       2.59       1.08         IRF4       JNK/ $\rho$ 38 Pathway       0.66       1.35         JUN       JNK/ $\rho$ 38 Pathway       0.68       0.93         MAPK9       JNK/ $\rho$ 38 Pathway       0.68       0.93         MAPK9       JNK/ $\rho$ 38 Pathway       0.68       1.08         NFKB1       NFKB1       NFKB1       1.35         NFKB1       NFKB Pathway       2.67       4.10         NFKB1       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       2.67       4.10         RELA       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       0.58       1.07         NFKB1       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       0.58       1.07         RELA       NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway       0.58       0.70         POLLIP       Adaptors & TLR Interacting       0.20       0.70         TOLLIP       Adaptors & TLR Int	IL8	NF-kB Pathway	77.36	1.61	38.46	2.91
CSF3       NF-kB Pathway       4.13       0.79         IRAK1       Effectors       2.20       0.61         IRF1       IRF Pathway       19.87       2.26         IRF3       IRF Pathway       19.87       2.26         IRF3       IRF Pathway       2.59       0.61         IRF3       IRF Pathway       2.59       1.08         FADD       Effectors       0.70       1.35         JUN       JNK/p38 Pathway       0.68       0.93         MAPK9       JNK/p38 Pathway       0.68       0.93         MAPK9       JNK/p38 Pathway       0.68       0.33         MYDB8       Adaptors & TLR Interacting       1.14       1.35         NFKB1A       NF-kB Pathway       2.67       4.10         NFKB1A       NF-kB Pathway       0.58       1.07         RELA       NF-kB Pathway       0.58       1.07         RELA       NF-kB Pathway       0.58       2.34         NFKB1B       NF-kB Pathway       0.58       2.34         RELA       NF-kB Pathway       0.58       2.34         FOLLIP       Adaptors & TLR Interacting       0.10       2.34         TOLLIP       Adaptors & TLR Inter	CSF2	NF-kB Pathway	17.96	1.77	8.54	2.89
IRAK1         Effectors         2.20         0.61           IRF1         IRF Pathway         19.87         2.26           IRF3         IRF Pathway         19.87         2.26           IRF3         IRF Pathway         2.59         1.08           FADD         Effectors         0.70         1.35           JUN         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.68         0.93           JUN         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.68         0.93           MAPK9         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.68         0.93           MYDB8         Adaptors & TLR Interacting         1.14         1.35           NFKB1         NF-kB Pathway         2.60         4.10           NFKB1A         NF-kB Pathway         2.67         4.10           NFKB1B         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         1.07           RELA         NF-kB Pathway         0.56         1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         2.34         1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         2.34         1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         2.34         1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         0.56	CSF3	NF-kB Pathway	4.13	0.79	1.36	2.81
IRF1         IRF Pathway <b>19.87 2.36</b> IRF3         IRF Pathway <b>2.59</b> 1.08           FADD         Effectors         0.70         1.35           JUN         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.66         1.03           MAPK9         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.66         0.93           MYDB8         Adaptors & TLR Interacting         1.14         1.35           NFKB1         NF-kB Pathway <b>2.67 4.10</b> NFKB1A         NF-kB Pathway <b>2.67 4.10</b> NFKB1B         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         1.07           RELA         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         0.70           FOLLIP         Adaptors & TLR Interacting         0.10         0.76           TOLLIP         Adaptors & TLR Interacting         0.20         0.76           TNFRSF         NF-kB Pathway         0.89         0.78  <	IRAK1	Effectors	2.20	0.61	0.73	2.76
IRF3     IRF Pathway     2.59     1.08       FADD     Effectors     0.70     1.35       JUN     JNK/p38 Pathway     0.68     0.93       JUN     JNK/p38 Pathway     0.68     0.93       MAPK9     JNK/p38 Pathway     0.68     0.93       MAPK9     JNK/p38 Pathway     0.68     0.93       MAPK9     JNK/p38 Pathway     2.60     2.02       MYD88     Adaptors & TLR Interacting     1.14     1.35       NFKB1     NF-kB Pathway     2.071     4.24       NFKB18     NF-kB Pathway     2.071     4.10       NFKB18     NF-kB Pathway     2.071     4.10       RELA     NF-kB Pathway     0.58     1.07       RELA     NF-kB Pathway     0.58     1.07       RELA     NF-kB Pathway     0.58     0.73       TOLLIP     Adaptors & TLR Interacting     0.20     0.56       TOLLIP     Adaptors & TLR Interacting     0.81     0.78       TOLLIP     Adaptors & TLR Interacting     0.81     0.71       TNFRSF     NF-kB Pathway     0.81     0.78	IRF1	IRF Pathway	19.87	2.26	6.62	2.56
FADD     Effectors     0.70     1.35       JUN     JNK/p38 Pathway     0.68     0.93       MAPK9     JNK/p38 Pathway     0.68     0.93       MAPB3     JNK/p38 Pathway     0.68     0.93       MYD88     Adaptors & TLR Interacting     1.14     1.35       MYB1     NF-kB Pathway <b>3.99 2.02</b> NFKB1     NF-kB Pathway <b>3.99 2.23</b> NFKB1B     NF-kB Pathway <b>2.67 4.10</b> NFKB1B     NF-kB Pathway <b>2.67 4.10</b> RELA     NF-kB Pathway <b>0.58</b> 1.07       RELA     NF-kB Pathway <b>0.56</b> 1.07       RELB     NF-kB Pathway <b>0.58</b> 1.07       RELB     NF-kB Pathway <b>0.58</b> 0.56       TOLLIP     Adaptors & TLR Interacting <b>0.20</b> 0.56       TOLLIP     Adaptors & TLR Interacting <b>0.20</b> 0.56       TOLLIP     Maptors & TLR Interacting <b>0.81</b> 0.77       TNFRSF     NF-kB Pathway     1.32     0.78	IRF3	IRF Pathway	2.59	1.08	1.08	2.44
JUN         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.68         0.93           MAPK9         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.68         0.93           MYD88         Adaptors & TLR Interacting         1.14         1.35           MYB1         NF-kB Pathway <b>2.60 2.02</b> NFKB1A         NF-kB Pathway <b>3.99 2.33</b> NFKB1A         NF-kB Pathway <b>20.71 4.10</b> NFKB1B         NF-kB Pathway <b>20.71 4.24</b> NFKB1B         NF-kB Pathway <b>2.67 4.10</b> RELA         NF-kB Pathway <b>2.67 4.10</b> RELB         NF-kB Pathway <b>0.58</b> 1.07           FOS         JNK/p38 Pathway <b>0.39 2.34</b> 1.10           FOS         JNK/p38 Pathway <b>0.89 2.34</b> 1.10           FOLLIP         Adaptors & TLR Interacting <b>0.20 0.56 0.56</b> UBE2V1         Effectors         0.81         0.78         0.78         0.78	FADD	Effectors	0.70	1.35	0.46	2.41
MAPK9         JNK/p38 Pathway         2.50         2.02           MYD88         Adaptors & TLR Interacting         1.14         1.35           NFKB1         NF-kB Pathway <b>3.99 2.23</b> NFKB1A         NF-kB Pathway <b>3.99 2.23</b> NFKB1A         NF-kB Pathway <b>3.99 2.23</b> NFKB1B         NF-kB Pathway <b>20.71 4.10</b> RELA         NF-kB Pathway <b>2.67 4.10</b> RELA         NF-kB Pathway <b>0.58</b> 1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway <b>0.58</b> 1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway <b>0.54</b> 1.10           FOS         JNK/p38 Pathway <b>0.30 0.50</b> TOLLIP         Adaptors & TLR Interacting <b>0.20</b> 0.56           UBE2V1         Effectors         0.81         0.77           NFKSF         NF-kB Pathway         1.32         0.78	NUL	JNK/p38 Pathway	0.68	0.93	0.46	2.39
MYD88         Adaptors & TLR Interacting         1.14         1.35           NFKB1         NF-kB Pathway <b>3.99 2.23</b> NFKB1A         NF-kB Pathway <b>3.99 2.23</b> NFKB1B         NF-kB Pathway <b>20.71 4.24</b> NFKB1B         NF-kB Pathway <b>2.67 4.10</b> RELA         NF-kB Pathway <b>0.58</b> 1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         0.59           FOS         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.89         2.39           TOLLIP         Adaptors & TLR Interacting         0.81         0.76           UBE2V1         Effectors         0.81         0.77           NFKSF         NF-kB Pathway         1.32         0.78	MAPK9	JNK/p38 Pathway	2.50	2.02	0.86	2.25
NFKB1         NF-kB Pathway <b>3.99 2.23</b> NFKB1A         NF-kB Pathway <b>20.71 4.24</b> NFKB1B         NF-kB Pathway <b>20.71 4.24</b> NFKB1B         NF-kB Pathway <b>20.71 4.24</b> RELA         NF-kB Pathway <b>2.67 4.10</b> RELB         NF-kB Pathway <b>0.58</b> 1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway <b>0.34</b> 1.10           FOS         JNK/p38 Pathway <b>0.39 0.39 0.36</b> TOLLIP         Adaptors & TLR Interacting <b>0.20 0.56 0.76</b> UBE2V1         Effectors         0.81         0.77 <b>0.78</b>	MYD88	Adaptors & TLR Interacting	1.14	1.35	0.50	2.10
NFKBIA         NF-kB Pathway         20.71         4.24           NFKBIB         NF-kB Pathway         2.67         4.10           RELA         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway         0.53         1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway         0.39         0.39           FOS         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.89         2.39           TOLLIP         Adaptors & TLR Interacting         0.20         0.56           UBE2V1         Effectors         0.81         0.77           TNFRSF         NF-kB Pathway         1.32         0.78	NFKB1	NF-kB Pathway	3.99	2.23	2.27	2.04
NFKBIB         NF-kB Pathway         2.67         4.10           RELA         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         1.07           POS         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.89         2.39           TOLLIP         Adaptors & TLR Interacting         0.20         0.56           UBE2V1         Effectors         0.81         0.77           TNFRSF         NF-kB Pathway         1.32         0.78	NFKBIA	NF-kB Pathway	20.71	4.24	6.97	2.04
RELA         NF-kB Pathway         0.58         1.07           RELB         NF-kB Pathway <b>2.34</b> 1.10           FOS         JNK/p38 Pathway <b>2.34</b> 1.10           FOS         JNK/p38 Pathway <b>0.89 2.39</b> TOLLIP         Adaptors & TLR Interacting <b>0.20</b> 0.56           UBE2V1         Effectors         0.81         0.77           TNFRSF         NF-kB Pathway         1.32         0.78	NFKBIB	NF-kB Pathway	2.67	4.10	2.85	2.01
RELB         NF-kB Pathway         2.34         1.10           FOS         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.89         2.39           TOLLIP         Adaptors & TLR Interacting         0.20         0.56           UBE2V1         Effectors         0.81         0.77           TNFRSF         NF-kB Pathway         1.32         0.78	RELA	NF-kB Pathway	0.58	1.07	0.31	1.90
FOS         JNK/p38 Pathway         0.89         2.39           TOLLJP         Adaptors & TLR Interacting         0.20         0.56           UBE2V1         Effectors         0.81         0.77           TNFRSF         NF-KB Pathway         1.32         0.78	RELB	NF-kB Pathway	2.34	1.10	0.76	1.86
TOLLIPAdaptors & TLR Interacting0.200.56UBE2V1Effectors0.810.77TNFRSFNF-KB Pathway1.320.78	FOS	JNK/p38 Pathway	0.89	2.39	0.72	1.76
UBE2V1 Effectors 0.81 0.77 TNFRSF NF-xB Pathway 1.32 0.78	TOLLIP	Adaptors & TLR Interacting	0.20	0.56	0.10	1.54
TNFRSF NF-kB Pathway 1.32 0.78	UBE2V1	Effectors	0.81	0.77	0.33	1.48
•	TNFRSF	NF-kB Pathway	1.32	0.78	0.47	1.23

En/En L Co meting	FILTERTSC LAUD	
2	Fn+Sc	$3.23\pm7.53$
pression ratio	Sc	$1.55\pm0.98$
Ex	Fn	$7.26 \pm 15.49$
Pathwav	<b>6</b>	
Conol	CONTRA	Overall mean <sup>4</sup>

After background subtraction, the expression level of each gene present was normalized to \(\beta2-microglobulin.\) The expression levels of different genes after bacterial exposure were compared with those from uninfected controls and expressed as relative ratios. Only the genes which had a fold change > 2-fold or < 0.5-fold in any condition (shown in bold) were included for analysis.

I Genes whose expression levels were significantly modulated by any experimental treatment. They were sorted in a descending order according to the Fn/Fn+Sc ratio.

nucleatum was significantly higher than S. cristatus (P = 0.00042), as well as F. nucleatum plus S. cristatus (P = 0.0007). However, the difference between S. cristatus and F. nucleatum plus S. cristatus <sup>2</sup> Values represented mean relative ratios from three independent experiments. Statistical comparison was performed for log-transformed data, and showed that the overall expression level induced by *F*. was not significant.

<sup>3</sup>Fold changes in expression levels when comparing *F. nucleatum* and *F. nucleatum* plus *S. cristatus*. Fn, *F. nucleatum*; Sc, *S. cristatus*; Fn+Sc, *F. nucleatum* plus *S. cristatus*.

<sup>4</sup> Values represented mean ± SD for each ratio column. Statistical comparison was performed for log-transformed data, and showed that the overall expression level induced by *F. nucleatum* was significantly higher than S. cristatus (P = 0.00042), as well as F. nucleatum plus S. cristatus (P = 0.0007). However, the difference between S. cristatus and F. nucleatum plus S. cristatus was not significant.