

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

AIDS Care. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 January 1

Published in final edited form as:

AIDS Care. 2011 January ; 23(1): 98–106. doi:10.1080/09540121.2010.498861.

Utilization of dental care services among low income HIVpositive persons receiving primary care in South Florida

Margaret Pereyra, DrPH¹, Lisa R. Metsch, PhD¹, Scott Tomar, DMD, DrPH², Eduardo Valverde, MPH³, Yves Jeanty, MPH¹, Shari Messinger, PhD¹, and Henry Boza¹

¹ University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Miami, FL, USA

² University of Florida, College of Dentistry, Department of Community Dentistry and Behavioral Science, Gainesville, FL, USA

³ Behavioral and Clinical Surveillance Branch, Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA

Abstract

Objectives—We investigated the use of dental care services among a population of low income persons living with HIV/AIDS who had not seen a dental care provider during the twelve months prior to study enrollment.

Methods—Five hundred and ninety-three participants were recruited from five HIV primary care clinics in two South Florida counties and interviewed regarding past utilization of dental care services, HIV primary care service utilization, and barriers to care. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to determine correlates of oral care utilization within the preceding two years.

Results—One-third of respondents reported seeing a dentist in the preceding two years. The odds of having seen a dentist were greater for respondents with stable housing, more than a high school education, and who had received help in getting dental care; black respondents (compared to Hispanics and non-Hispanic whites) were less likely to have seen a dentist in the preceding two years.

Conclusions—Despite the availability of dental services for low-income HIV-positive persons, utilization of dental care remains low. This study reinforces the need to provide assistance to HIV-positive persons in obtaining dental care. In particular, it indicates that such assistance should be targeted toward Black Americans, persons with low income and unstable housing situations, and those with limited help to navigate the health care system.

Keywords

Oral health; HIV; dental health services; primary health care

Introduction

Access to and utilization of dental care is important to HIV-positive persons because, according to some estimates, over 90% of HIV-positive persons will have at least one oral

Corresponding Author: Margaret Pereyra, Dr.P.H., Research Assistant Professor, Dept. of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, P.O. Box 016069 (R669), Miami, Fl. 33136, (305) 243-7716 (office), (305) 243-6436 (fax), mpereyra@med.miami.edu.

manifestation of HIV disease during the course of their infection (McCarthy, 1992; Weinert, Grimes, & Lynch, 1996). In addition, oral health problems of HIV-positive individuals are often more severe and difficult to treat than those of the general population (McCarthy, 1992; Weinert et al., 1996). Poor oral health can be a contributing factor to opportunistic infections in persons living with HIV/AIDS (Capilouto, Piette, White, & Fleishman, 1991). Oral pain or discomfort of the mouth can interfere with optimal adherence to prescribed nutrition and medication regimens essential to the management of HIV and associated conditions (New York State Department of Health AIDS Institute, 2001;US Department of Health and Human Services [USDHHS], 2002).

Dental care is one of the greatest unmet health care needs among HIV-infected individuals as demonstrated by data from the oral health component of the HIV Cost and Services Utilization Study (HCSUS) (Bonuck et al., 1996; Coulter et al., 2000; Dobalian et al., 2003; Heslin et al., 2001; Marcus et al., 2000; Marcus et al., 2005), the first nationally representative study of HIV-positive adults receiving care in the United States with baseline interviews conducted between 1996 – 1997. Specifically, HCSUS researchers showed that unmet dental needs were more than twice as prevalent as unmet medical needs and were associated with low income and lack of insurance (Heslin et al., 2001; Marcus et al., 2000). HCSUS researchers also found that among HIV-positive individuals, dental care use was greater for those with a usual source of care and greatest for those whose dental care was obtained at an HIV/AIDS clinic (Coulter et al., 2000; Dobalian et al., 2003). In addition to HCSUS data, several other studies conducted in the 1990s demonstrated unmet need for dental care among HIV-positive persons from diverse populations (Capilouto et al., 1991; Marx, Katz, Park, & Gurley, 1997; Patton, Strauss, McKaig, Porter, & Eron, 2003; Shiboski, Palacio, Neuhaus, & Greenblatt, 1999; Weissman et al., 1994).

Since these studies in the 1990s that demonstrated the unmet dental care needs of low income persons living with HIV, several national reports and initiatives have been developed that emphasized the importance of enhancing access and utilization of oral health care services for persons living with HIV (USDHHS, 2008, 2009a, 2009b). None of the earlier utilization studies were conducted during the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) for HIV. HAART has dramatically improved the average life expectancy for persons living with HIV (Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort Collaboration, 2008) and has also reduced some of the oral manifestations of HIV infection such as oral thrush (Ferreira et al., 2007; Greenspan, Canchola, MacPhail, Cheikh, & Greenspan, 2001; Skolasky et al., 2001). At the same time, however, other conditions such as oral warts and HIV-associated salivary gland disease are increasing in prevalence with HAART (Ferreira et al., 2007; Hodgson, Greenspan, & Greenspan, 2006; King et al., 2002). HAART has also likely changed the implications of HIV infection for oral health such as oral health related quality of life and the need for and utilization of dental services. However, there have been few studies of the utilization patterns of oral health care among low income persons living with HIV after the widespread use of HAART (Patton et al., 2003; Shiboski et al., 2005). The present study focuses on utilization of dental care services by HIV-positive patients who were currently receiving primary HIV care in South Florida, and patient characteristics associated with utilization of dental care. We use Andersen's Behavioral Model of Health Services Use as an analytic framework (Andersen, 1968). This model was originally developed to explain health services use in terms of individual determinants; it has undergone several revisions since to include health system and societal factors (Aday & Andersen, 1974; Andersen, 1995; Gelberg, Andersen, & Leake, 2000). Its utility as a framework to study utilization of care has been demonstrated empirically by variety of studies (Coulter et al., 2000; Shiboski et al., 2005; Stein, Andersen, & Gelberg, 2007). As our study sample was recruited from primary care clinics and is homogenous in terms of access to primary care, the present study seeks to describe individual level characteristics

associated with recent utilization of oral health services (within the past 24 months) among a cohort of HIV-positive patients who have accessed HIV primary care.

Methods

The data were collected as part of a two-arm randomized experimental trial (Project SMILE) to evaluate the efficacy of a brief, client-centered, case management linkage intervention designed to increase the use of oral health services by low income HIV-positive persons. Respondents were recruited from five HIV primary care clinics in South Florida, two with on-site dental care and three without on-site dental care. The clinics were diverse with regard to location, race/ethnicity, gender, and HIV risk group, and are among the major providers of HIV care in the area. The inclusion criteria for the randomized trial were 1) HIV-positive individuals who had not received oral/dental health services in the preceding 12 months (HIV infection was documented through self-report and validated with printed report of most recent test results from recruitment clinic); 2) aged 18 years and older; 3) currently receiving HIV primary care as a new or continuing patient; 4) eligible for Ryan White Title I funding (a national program or "payor of last resort" that funds HIV-related services for those without access to care); 5) had plans to remain in South Florida for at least 24 months; and 6) could provide names of two verifiable contact persons. A total of 600 participants were recruited for the trial through flyers posted on bulletin boards designated for study advertisements within the clinics and through clinic staff members who were involved in patient care and who knew the patients' HIV-positive status soliciting participation and actively or passively referring interested patients to the study. Interested patients met with a research staff member in a private room to describe the study and obtain informed consent. The results reported here are based on 593 respondents who provided self-reported data on utilization of dental care at the baseline interview for the randomized trial. Face-to-face baseline interviews were conducted between April, 2005, and December, 2007, and were conducted in English or Spanish; the majority of questions were closedended yet specific responses were allowed where appropriate. A small monetary incentive was offered (\$25) for completion of the baseline interview. The institutional review board from the University of Miami approved the study protocol prior to study commencement.

The dependent variable in the current analysis is self-reported utilization of dental care based on the response to the question, "When did you last visit a dentist?" Recent utilization of dental care was defined as having visited a dentist within the preceding 24 months.

Andersen's model of health services utilization was used to guide the selection of independent variables (Andersen, 1968; Andersen, 1995). The model suggests that individuals' health services utilization is a function of three components that result in use of services: predisposing, enabling, and need factors. In this model, need characteristics are hypothesized to be more important in the use of non-discretionary health services such as hospital use. In contrast, predisposing and enabling characteristics are hypothesized to be more important for the use of discretionary health services such as dental services. The characteristics considered in this analysis are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

Predisposing characteristics included sociodemographic variables, oral health knowledge and attitudes, and dental self-efficacy. Race/ethnicity was categorized into mutually exclusive groups (Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, and other) that reflect the racial and ethnic composition of South Florida. Four separate items described oral health knowledge and attitudes (Table 1). Dental self-efficacy was measured using 14 items describing the respondents' confidence in being able to obtain dental care and managing their dental health (alpha=0.98). The measure was adapted from Janicke et al. (Janicke & Finney, 2003) who described parents' self efficacy to obtain children's primary health care

services. The items were adapted to reflect use of dental services by the respondent. An example of the items included in this scale is "I am confident I can schedule an appointment with a dentist"; the four level response set consisted of "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree".

Enabling characteristics included dental and health care related variables, and social support. We asked participants if they had a usual source of dental care at the time of their HIV diagnosis, whether they had help in getting dental care since their diagnosis, whether or not they currently had a case manager, number of HIV primary care visits in the past year, and whether they had received a referral to a dentist in the preceding 12 months from any health care provider. We used the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (Zimet, Dahlem, Zimet, & Farley, 1988) to measure social support. The distribution of the final score (ranging from 1 to 7) was highly skewed and subsequently dichotomized to denote a score of 6 or greater (corresponding to strongly or very strongly agreeing with the availability of social support) versus a score lower than six. Enabling characteristics also included variables to describe participants' socioeconomic status: whether or not they were currently employed, annual household income, and whether they had stable housing. The latter was defined as living mostly in their own home or apartment for the preceding three months. Unstable housing locations included someone else's home; temporary housing such as a hotel, boarding house, or shelter; on the street; jail or prison; or a health care facility.

Need characteristics included the Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP-49) (Slade & Spencer, 1994). The OHIP-49 consists of 49 items designed to measure self-reported dysfunction, discomfort, and disability related to oral health. We asked how often in the preceding four weeks the respondent experienced the oral health impact (a symptom or problem) described in each of the items; the response set consisted of never, hardly ever, occasionally, fairly often, and very often. Two binary summary measures from the 49 items were created: 1) to denote the report of at least one impact at the "fairly" or "very often" threshold, and 2) to denote the report of at least one impact at the "very often" threshold. We also asked respondents if they felt that they were currently in need of dental care, whether they had ever lost any permanent teeth due to decay or gum disease, and whether they currently wore full or partial dentures. Participants were also asked to rate their overall general and oral health on a 5-point scale ranging from poor to excellent.

Statistical analysis consisted of examining bivariate associations between each independent variable and utilization of dental care in the preceding 24 months by using chi-square tests for categorical variables (Table 1) and unadjusted odds ratio estimates for continuous variables using logistic regression. Variables with p-values less than 0.20 in the bivariate analysis were included in a multiple logistic regression model (Hosmer & Lemeshow, 2000). The model was further reduced in a stepwise fashion to include only those variables with p- values less than 0.05, while retaining a set of demographic variables regardless of p-value (age, gender, and education). At each step, the model was examined for goodness-of-fit and covariates were examined for any changes that might indicate confounding by the removed variable. There were no multicollinearity problems detected. All possible interactions involving the significant covariates were examined and none were statistically significant.

Results

The sample (n=593) was approximately two-thirds male, 57% non-Hispanic Black, and 28.7% Hispanic (Table 1). The mean age was 43.8 years (median 45 years). One-third of respondents did not complete high school or a general educational development (GED)

program, half of the respondents had an annual income of less than \$5,000, and only 23.1% were currently employed (Table 1).

The vast majority of respondents had a high dental self-efficacy score and agreed that oral health is important, oral health problems can cause other health problems for HIV patients, people with HIV are more likely to have oral health problems, and reported they were about as well or better informed about HIV and oral health than other HIV-positive persons (Table 1). Only about one-third of respondents reported having a usual source of dental care at the time of their HIV diagnosis and half reported having received help getting dental care from anyone since their diagnosis. Less than one-third of respondents had received a referral to a dentist in the preceding 12 months from any health care provider.

In terms of oral health symptoms or problems, 64.4% of respondents reported at least one dental health impact in the preceding four weeks at the "fairly" or "very often" threshold on the OHIP-49 (43% reported at least one impact at the "very often" thresh-hold). Overall, self-reported general health status was good while self-reported oral health status was slightly lower; 83.1% reported being in current need of dental care. Three-quarters of respondents had lost permanent teeth and 22.1% wore full or partial dentures.

Overall, 34.4% of respondents reported seeing a dentist less than two years before the interview. Table 2 presents a multiple logistic regression model of having seen a dentist in the preceding 24 months. After controlling for age, sex, education, and study recruitment site, two enabling characteristics were associated with utilization of dental care. The odds of having seen a dentist in the preceding two years were 1.7 times greater for respondents with stable housing than for those living in temporary situations or institutions (95% CI: 1.109, 2.567). The odds of having seen a dentist in the preceding two years were 1.8 times greater for respondents who reported having received help in getting dental care since their HIV diagnosis than for those who did not report receiving help (95% CI: 1.262, 2.553). In addition, the odds of Blacks reporting utilization of dental services were about two-thirds that for Hispanics and non-Hispanics Whites (OR=0.638; 95% CI: 0.435, 0.935); there was no significant difference between the latter two groups in reported utilization. Respondents with more than a high school education were also more likely to report utilization of dental services (OR=1.565; 95% CI: 1.045, 2.345).

Discussion

Our study findings suggest that among HIV-positive patients recruited from five clinics in South Florida who had not seen a dentist in the past year, approximately two-thirds reported no utilization of dental care services as far back as the preceding two years. This finding raises concern because of the documented oral health problems associated with HIV and the high likelihood that persons living with HIV will experience increased oral health problems compared with their non-HIV infected counterparts (McCarthy, 1992; Weinert et al., 1996). While there are no evidence based guidelines for frequency of utilization of dental care, it is generally regarded that oral health care should be an integral part of HIV primary care and it has been suggested that persons living with HIV should receive oral health care every four to six months (Steinhart et al., 2002; New York State Department of Health AIDS Institute, 2001). The lack of attention by HIV providers to issues of oral health should be viewed in conjunction with previous reports that have shown that low-income persons living with HIV have reported unmet dental care needs (Heslin et al., 2001; Marcus et al., 2000). Despite the availability of dental services in many communities that are specifically designated for lowincome HIV-positive persons and are funded through the Ryan White Care Act (USDHHS, 2008), utilization of dental care remains low.

Two enabling characteristics were associated with utilization of dental care in this sample. Participants who reported stable housing were more likely to report utilization of dental care in the preceding 24 months. Stable housing may be serving as a proxy for general socioeconomic status in this very low income population and to some degree may be a measure of general well-being. Also, respondents who reported receiving help in getting dental care since testing positive were more likely to report utilization of dental care in the past two years. This highlights the need to have HIV case managers and other HIV medical personnel trained on the importance of addressing oral health care needs among their patient population in order to prevent the negative impact of oral health problems in this population.

Blacks were less likely than Hispanics or non-Hispanic Whites to have reported utilization of dental care in the past two years. Consistent with this, other researchers have found that among HIV-positive individuals, dental care use was lower among Black Americans (Coulter et al., 2000; Dobalian et al., 2003; Patton et al., 2003). An education beyond high school was also associated with more utilization, consistent with other studies (Coulter et al., 2000; Dobalian et al., 2003; Mascarenhas & Smith, 2000). Other predisposing characteristics related to oral health, were not associated with having seen a dentist in the preceding two years. This finding is in contrast to the hypothesized relationships in the model in which predisposing, along with enabling, characteristics are associated with utilization of discretionary services. However, the predisposing measures related to oral health (knowledge, attitudes, and self efficacy) were highly skewed in a positive direction, not allowing for observable variation in utilization rates. In contrast to other findings (Meng, Heft, Bradley, & Lang, 2007; Sohn & Ismail, 2005), it should also be noted that fear of dentists or dental procedures was not related to utilization of dental services among a subsample of participants who did not have a usual source of dental care or reported not getting needed dental care in the past 12 months.

Need characteristics, which are hypothesized to be associated with the use of nondiscretionary services, were, as expected, not associated with utilization of dental care. Although predisposing characteristics were generally favorable towards oral health and dental care in the bivariate analysis, those characteristics were not associated with utilization of dental care in the final multivariable model. Despite those favorable measures and a reported perceived need for care, utilization of dental care was still low. Further research should more fully explore barriers to care, reasons for delaying care, and reasons for not seeking care in the context of highly valued oral health and available resources for care.

Several study limitations should be recognized. First, these data are from a convenience sample of HIV-positive patients recruited from HIV primary care clinics in one large urban area. Thus, generalizability of our findings to HIV-positive individuals in rural areas, other urban areas, or other countries may be limited. Nevertheless, while the sample is comprised of voluntary participants, there are similarities in setting and patients to other areas in the United States with high HIV prevalence. This analysis provides insights into the individual level correlates of oral health care utilization among a group of patients that are stressed by a chronic disease. Second, these data are based on self-reports. Social desirability may especially have played a role in the responses to the highly skewed predisposing items related to oral health knowledge, attitudes, and self efficacy. In addition, recall bias regarding whether a participant saw the dentist in the past 24 months may contribute to under or over reporting of dental visits. Finally, the sample was comprised of persons who had not seen a dentist in the preceding year; while the results reported here may not be generalizable to persons who receive dental care more frequently, they are relevant to those who have delayed or never accessed care.

In this era of HAART, a very large proportion of persons living with HIV continue to experience oral health problems and unmet needs for dental care. This study identifies individual level characteristics that facilitate and hinder the utilization of dental care among a sample of HIV-positive persons currently accessing primary care and eligible for Ryan White funding for dental care. The results suggest that providing assistance in obtaining dental care can facilitate utilization of care while at the same time suggesting that Black Americans and persons with low income and unstable housing situations still face barriers independent of receiving such assistance. Further research should include a focus on cultural and psychosocial issues, among others, that are not exclusively related to access to care.

References

- Aday LA, Andersen R. A framework for the study of access to medical care. Health Services Research. 1974; 9(3):208–220. [PubMed: 4436074]
- Andersen, RM. Research Series No. 25. Chicago, IL: Center for Health Administration Studies, University of Chicago; 1968. Behavioral model of families' use of health services.
- Andersen RM. Revisiting the behavioral model and access to medical care: Does it matter? Journal of Health and Social Behavior. 1995; 36(1):1–10. [PubMed: 7738325]
- Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort Collaboration. Life expectancy of individuals on combination antiretroviral therapy in high-income countries: A collaborative analysis of 14 cohort studies. Lancet. 2008; 372(9635):293–299. [PubMed: 18657708]
- Bonuck KA, Arno PS, Green J, Fleishman J, Bennett CL, Fahs MC, et al. Self-perceived unmet health care needs of persons enrolled in HIV care. Journal of Community Health. 1996; 21(3):183–198. [PubMed: 8726209]
- Capilouto EI, Piette J, White BA, Fleishman J. Perceived need for dental care among persons living with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. Medical Care. 1991; 29(8):745–754. [PubMed: 1875741]
- Coulter ID, Marcus M, Freed JR, Der-Martirosian C, Cunningham WE, Andersen RM, et al. Use of dental care by HIV-infected medical patients. Journal of Dental Research. 2000; 79(6):1356–1361. [PubMed: 10890713]
- Dobalian A, Andersen RM, Stein JA, Hays RD, Cunningham WE, Marcus M. The impact of HIV on oral health and subsequent use of dental services. Journal of Public Health Dentistry. 2003; 63(2): 78–85. [PubMed: 12816137]
- Ferreira S, Noce C, Junior AS, Goncalves L, Torres S, Meeks V, et al. Prevalence of oral manifestations of HIV infection in rio de janeiro, brazil from 1988 to 2004. AIDS Patient Care and STDs. 2007; 21(10):724–731. [PubMed: 17949271]
- Gelberg L, Andersen RM, Leake BD. The behavioral model for vulnerable populations: Application to medical care use and outcomes for homeless people. Health Services Research. 2000; 34(6):1273– 1302. [PubMed: 10654830]
- Greenspan D, Canchola AJ, MacPhail LA, Cheikh B, Greenspan JS. Effect of highly active antiretroviral therapy on frequency of oral warts. Lancet. 2001; 357(9266):1411–1412. [PubMed: 11356441]
- Heslin KC, Cunningham WE, Marcus M, Coulter I, Freed J, Der-Martirosian C, et al. A comparison of unmet needs for dental and medical care among persons with HIV infection receiving care in the United States. Journal of Public Health Dentistry. 2001; 61(1):14–21. [PubMed: 11317599]
- Hodgson TA, Greenspan D, Greenspan JS. Oral lesions of HIV disease and HAART in industrialized countries. Advances in Dental Research. 2006; 19(1):57–62. [PubMed: 16672551]
- Hosmer, DW.; Lemeshow, S. Applied logistic regression. 2. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons; 2000.
- Janicke DM, Finney JW. Children's primary health care services: Social-cognitive factors related to utilization. Journal of Pediatric Psychology. 2003; 28(8):547–557. [PubMed: 14602845]
- King MD, Reznik DA, O'Daniels CM, Larsen NM, Osterholt D, Blumberg HM. Human papillomavirus-associated oral warts among human immunodeficiency virus-seropositive patients in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy: An emerging infection. Clinical Infectious

Diseases : An Official Publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2002; 34(5): 641–648. [PubMed: 11803508]

- Marcus M, Freed JR, Coulter ID, Der-Martirosian C, Cunningham W, Andersen R, et al. Perceived unmet need for oral treatment among a national population of HIV-positive medical patients: Social and clinical correlates. American Journal of Public Health. 2000; 90(7):1059–1063. [PubMed: 10897183]
- Marcus M, Maida CA, Coulter ID, Freed JR, Der-Martirosian C, Liu H, et al. A longitudinal analysis of unmet need for oral treatment in a national sample of medical HIV patients. American Journal of Public Health. 2005; 95(1):73–75. [PubMed: 15623862]
- Marx R, Katz MH, Park MS, Gurley RJ. Meeting the service needs of HIV-infected persons: Is the Ryan White CARE act succeeding? Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes and Human Retrovirology : Official Publication of the International Retrovirology Association. 1997; 14(1):44–55. [PubMed: 8989210]
- Mascarenhas AK, Smith SR. Access and use of specific dental services in HIV disease. Journal of Public Health Dentistry. 2000; 60(3):172–181. [PubMed: 11109215]
- McCarthy GM. Host factors associated with HIV-related oral candidiasis. A review. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, and Oral Pathology. 1992; 73(2):181–186.
- Meng X, Heft MW, Bradley MM, Lang PJ. Effect of fear on dental utilization behaviors and oral health outcome. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology. 2007; 35(4):292–301. [PubMed: 17615016]
- New York State Department of Health AIDS Institute. Oral health care for people with HIV infection: Clinical guidelines for the primary care practitioner. Albany, NY: New York State Department of Health; 2001.
- Patton LL, Strauss RP, McKaig RG, Porter DR, Eron JJ Jr. Perceived oral health status, unmet needs, and barriers to dental care among HIV/AIDS patients in a North Carolina cohort: Impacts of race. Journal of Public Health Dentistry. 2003; 63(2):86–91. [PubMed: 12816138]
- Shiboski CH, Cohen M, Weber K, Shansky A, Malvin K, Greenblatt RM. Factors associated with use of dental services among HIV-infected and high-risk uninfected women. Journal of the American Dental Association. 2005; 136(9):1242–1255. [PubMed: 16196229]
- Shiboski CH, Palacio H, Neuhaus JM, Greenblatt RM. Dental care access and use among HIV-infected women. American Journal of Public Health. 1999; 89(6):834–839. [PubMed: 10358671]
- Skolasky RL, Phair J, Detels R, Riddler S, Margolick J, Jacobson LP. Thrush and fever as markers of immune competence in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses. 2001; 17(14):1311–1316. [PubMed: 11602040]
- Slade GD, Spencer AJ. Development and evaluation of the oral health impact profile. Community Dental Health. 1994; 11:3–11. [PubMed: 8193981]
- Sohn W, Ismail AI. Regular dental visits and dental anxiety in an adult dentate population. Journal of the American Dental Association (1939). 2005; 136(1):58–66. [PubMed: 15693497]
- Stein JA, Andersen R, Gelberg L. Applying the Gelberg-Andersen behavioral model for vulnerable populations to health services utilization in homeless women. Journal of Health Psychology. 2007; 12(5):791–804. [PubMed: 17855463]
- Steinhart, C.; Orrick, J.; Simpson, K., editors. HIV/AIDS Primary Care Guide. Florida AIDS Education and Training Center, University of Florida; 2002.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. The HIV/AIDS program: Ryan White part D dental programs. Retrieved 12/31, 2008, from http://hab.hrsa.gov/treatmentmodernization/dental.htm
- US Department of Health and Human Services. (a). The HIV/AIDS program: Ryan White part F community based dental partnership program. Retrieved 06/29, 2009, from http://hab.hrsa.gov/publications/cbdpp08/
- US Department of Health and Human Services. (b). The HIV/AIDS program: Ryan White part F special projects of national significance (SPNS), innovations in oral health care initiative. Retrieved 06/29, 2009, from http://hab.hrsa.gov/special/oralhealth_index.htm
- US Department of Health and Human Services. HRSA care action: Providing HIV/AIDS care in a changing environment. 2002. Retrieved 07/15, 2008, from http://hab.hrsa.gov/publications/april2002.htm

- Weinert M, Grimes RM, Lynch DP. Oral manifestations of HIV infection. Annals of Internal Medicine. 1996; 125(6):485–496. [PubMed: 8779462]
- Weissman JS, Makadon HJ, Seage GR 3rd, Massagli MP, Gatsonis CA, Craven DE, et al. Changes in insurance status and access to care for persons with AIDS in the Boston health study. American Journal of Public Health. 1994; 84(12):1997–2000. [PubMed: 7998646]
- Zimet GD, Dahlem NW, Zimet SG, Farley GK. The multidimensional scale of perceived social support. Journal of Personality Assessment. 1988; 52(1):30–41.

Table 1

Selected characteristics of study participants and proportion of respondents reporting dental care receipt within the preceding 24 months.

Pereyra et al.

| Predisposing characteristics:Age $3 \text{ free disposing characteristics:10 \circ (-35)9 \circ (-35)35 to (-45)35 to (-45)35 to (-45)23038 8\%5545 to (-55)21736 6\%-=555694\%5 \text{ constraints}20038 to (-10)20038 \text{ constraints}17028 \text{ constraints}18 \text{ constraints}19 \text{ constraints}10 \text{ constraints}12 \text{ constraints}<$ | Characteristic | Z | group % | % saw dentist < 24 months prior | p-value* |
|---|---|------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Age 3 3 3 3 19 to <35 | Predisposing characteristics: | | | | |
| 10 < 35 90 $15.2%$ $35 to < 45$ 230 $38.8%$ $45 to < 55$ 217 $36.6%$ $45 to < 55$ 56 $9.4%$ 55 $9.4%$ $36.6%$ 55 $56.%$ $9.4%$ Sex 173 $29.2%$ Male $70.8%$ $70.8%$ Whie ^d $70.8%$ $70.8%$ Hispanic 170 $28.7%$ Whie ^d $70.8%$ $57.0%$ Black ^d $70.8%$ $57.0%$ Other ^d 70 $38.3%$ Chigh school 203 $34.2%$ Black ^d $33.3%$ $57.0%$ Other ^d 70 $38.3%$ Chigh school 203 $34.2%$ Black ^d $57.0%$ $57.0%$ Black ^d $57.0%$ $57.0%$ Patoeul level 203 $57.0%$ Chigh school 203 $57.0%$ Patoeul level 203 | Age | | | | 0.376 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | 19 to <35 | 90 | 15.2% | 27.8% | |
| 45 to <55 217 $36.6%$ >=55 5 $9.4%$ >=55 5 $9.4%$ Sex 173 $29.2%$ Male 420 $70.8%$ Mate 170 $29.2%$ Mate 170 $29.7%$ Mate 170 $28.7%$ Mate 79 $13.3%$ Mate 79 $13.3%$ Mate 70 $27%$ Mate 70 $28.7%$ Mate 70 $27%$ Mate $27%$ $33.3%$ Mate $27%$ $3.4.2%$ Mate $20%$ $34.2%$ Mate $20%$ $34.2%$ Mate $27%$ $37.5%$ Mate $27%$ $37.5%$ Mate $27.5%$ $27.5%$ Mate | 35 to <45 | 230 | 38.8% | 37.4% | |
| >=55 $\rightarrow 55$ $\rightarrow 6$ 9.4% Sex Female 173 29.2% Male 70.8% 70.8% Race/ethnicity 170 28.7% Hispanic 170 28.7% 28.7% $123%$ $13.3%28.7%$ 120 $13.3%120$ $13.3%$ $120%120$ $120%$ $120%120$ $120%$ $120%120$ $120%$ $120%120$ $120%$ $120%120$ $120%$ $120%120$ $120%$ $120%120$ $120%$ $120%120$ $120%$ $120%120$ $120%$ $120%120$ $120%$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120$ $120%120%$ $120%120%$ $120%120%$ $120%120%$ $120%120%$ $120%120%$ $120%120%$ $120%120%$ $120%$ | 45 to <55 | 217 | 36.6% | 35.0% | |
| Sex Female 173 29.2% Male 20.8% Mate 420 70.8% Race/ethnicity 170 28.7% White'a 79 13.3% Sr.0% 338 57.0% Other'a 79 13.3% Sr.0% Other'a 79 1.0% Cherd 200 200 Cherd 200 200 Cherd 200 200 High school 203 34.2% Sr.0% Cond lead level 203 34.2% High school 203 34.2% Sr.0% Cond level 16, 203 No 473 79.8% Cares for children under 18 years of age No 473 79.8% Cares for children under 18 years of age No 79.8% Cares for children under 18 years of age No 79.8% Cares for children under 18 years of age No 79.8% Care for children under 18 years of age No 79.8% Care for children under 18 years of age No 79.8% Care for children under 18 years of age No 73.6% Oral Health Knowledge: Less informed 156 26.4% Areas for other health problems for HIV patients Disagree 20 3.4% Areas Core 120 3.4% No 800 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | >=55 | 56 | 9.4% | 30.4% | |
| Female173 29.2% Male 420 70.8% Male 420 70.8% Race/ethnicity 170 28.7% Hispanic 170 28.7% White ^{aa} 79 13.3% White ^{aa} 79 13.3% Black ^{aa} 338 57.0% Black ^{aa} 338 57.0% Other ^{aa} 6 1.0% Other ^{aa} 6 1.0% Other ^{aa} 6 1.0% Other ^{aa} 233 34.2% High school 203 34.2% High school 203 37.5% High school 203 37.5% Vo 79.8% 79.8% Via 79.8% 79.8% Vo 73.6% 70.2% Ves 120 20.2% Vas 120 20.2% Vas 126 26.4% Vas 156 26.4% Aveel or better informed 436 73.6% Disagree 20 $34.\%$ Avee 20 $34.\%$ Oral health problems cause other health problems for HIV patientsDisagree 26.6% Avee 2 | Sex | | | | 0.633 |
| Male42070.8%Race/ethnicity17028.7%Hispanic17028.7%White7913.3%White33857.0%Black33857.0%Other33.2%Other51.0%Chigh school20334.2%High school20334.2%High school20337.5%Shigh school20337.5%Other16327.5%Shigh school16327.5%No47379.8%No47379.8%Ves12020.2%Oral Health Knowledge:12020.2%No15626.4%Arma263.4%Disagree203.4%Arma56.63.4%Arma56.656.4% | Female | 173 | 29.2% | 32.9% | |
| Race/ethnicity17028.7%Hispanic7928.7%White7913.3%Black33857.0%Other61.0%Cherational level61.0%Chigh school20334.2%High school graduate20334.2%Figh school20337.5%Chigh school1.6%27.5%No47379.8%No47379.8%Ves12020.2%No47379.8%Ves12020.2%No47379.8%Ves12020.2%No47379.8%Ves12020.2%No15626.4%As well or better informed15626.4%As well or better informed203.4%Disagree203.4%Arma56.6%56.6% | Male | 420 | 70.8% | 35.0% | |
| Hispanic17028.7%White7913.3%White33857.0%Black33857.0%Other61.0%Iducational level61.0%Educational level20334.2%High school20334.2%High school20334.2%Vish school20337.5%Shigh school16327.5%Shigh school16327.5%Other16379.8%Vas12020.2%No47379.8%Ves12020.2%Oral Health Knowledge:12020.2%Oral Health Knowledge:15626.4%A swell or better informed15626.4%A swell or better informed15626.4%Oral health problems cause other health problems for HIV patients21.5%Disagree203.4%Arma56.656.6%Arma56.656.6% | Race/ethnicity | | | | 0.005 |
| White7913.3%Black 338 57.0% Black 338 57.0% Other 6 1.0% Other 6 1.0% Educational level 57.0% Atigh school 203 34.2% High school 203 34.2% High school 203 34.2% High school 203 34.2% No 473 79.8% No 473 79.8% Ves 120 20.2% No 473 79.8% Ves 120 20.2% No 120 20.4% No 156 26.4% No 156 26.4% No 156 3.4% No 156 3.4% No 120 12.4% No 120 3.4% No 120 3.4% | Hispanic | 170 | 28.7% | 41.8% | |
| Blacka 338 57.0% Othera6 1.0% Educational level5 $3.4.2\%$ Educational level 203 34.2% $<$ High school graduate 203 34.2% $<$ High school graduate 203 34.2% $>$ High school graduate 163 27.5% $>$ High school graduate 163 27.5% $>$ Cares for children under 18 years of age 473 79.8% $No47379.8\%No47379.8\%No79.8\%79.8\%No12020.2\%No12020.2\%No15626.4\%No15626.4\%No1563.4\%No20.5\%3.4\%No1563.4\%No16.6\%16.6\%No16.6\%16.6\%No16.6\%16.6\%$ | White ^a | 79 | 13.3% | 40.5% | |
| Other 6 1.0% Educational level 2 1.0% Educational level 2.03 34.2% High school graduate 2.03 34.2% High school graduate 2.07 38.3% > High school 163 27.5% String school 163 27.5% Cares for children under 18 years of age 473 79.8% No 473 79.8% No 473 79.8% Yes 120 20.2% Oral Health Knowledge: 120 20.2% How informed are you about how HIV affects your oral health compared to other HIV+ Less informed 156 26.4% A well or better informed 156 26.4% Oral health problems cause other health problems for HIV patients 21.6% Disagree 20 3.4% Arma 56.6% 96.6% | $Black^{a}$ | 338 | 57.0% | 28.7% | |
| Educational level 203 34.2% <high graduate<="" school="" td=""> 203 34.2% >High school graduate 227 38.3% >High school 163 27.5% >Cares for children under 18 years of age 163 27.5% No 473 79.8% Yes 120 20.2% Oral Health Knowledge: 120 20.2% How informed are you about how HIV affects your oral health compared to other HIV+ Less informed As well or better informed 156 26.4% As well or better informed 33.6% Oral health problems cause other health problems for HIV patients Disagree Disagree 20 3.4%</high> | Other ^a | 9 | 1.0% | 66.7% | |
| <th< td=""><td>Educational level</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.005</td></th<> | Educational level | | | | 0.005 |
| High school graduate22738.3%>High school16327.5%Cares for children under 18 years of age27.5%No47379.8%Yes12020.2%Oral Health Knowledge:12020.2%How informed are you about how HIV affects your oral health compared to other HIV+ Less informed15626.4%As well or better informed13673.6%Oral health problems cause other health problems for HIV patients21.4%Disagree203.4%Arma56.696.6% | <high school<="" td=""><td>203</td><td>34.2%</td><td>31.0%</td><td></td></high> | 203 | 34.2% | 31.0% | |
| >High school Idia 27.5% Cares for children under 18 years of age No 473 79.8% Yes 120 20.2% Oral Health Knowledge: 120 20.2% Oral Health Knowledge: 120 20.2% 20.2% Oral Health Knowledge: 120 20.2% 20.4% As well or better informed 436 73.6% Oral health problems couse other health problems for HIV patients Disagree 20 3.4% | High school graduate | 227 | 38.3% | 30.0% | |
| Cares for children under 18 years of age No 473 79.8% Yes 120 20.2% Oral Health Knowledge: 120 20.2% How informed are you about how HIV affects your oral health compared to other HIV+ 156 26.4% As well or better informed 436 73.6% Oral health problems couse other health problems for HIV patients 20 3.4% | >High school | 163 | 27.5% | 44.8% | |
| No 473 79.8% Yes 120 20.2% Oral Health Knowledge: 20.2% How informed are you about how HIV affects your oral health compared to other HIV+ Less informed 156 26.4% As well or better informed 436 73.6% Oral health problems cause other health problems for HIV patients Disagree 20 Arma 20 3.4% | Cares for children under 18 years of ag | e | | | 0.357 |
| Yes12020.2%Oral Health Knowledge: | No | 473 | 79.8% | 35.3% | |
| Oral Health Knowledge: How informed are you about how HIV affects your oral health compared to other HIV+ Less informed 156 26.4% As well or better informed 436 73.6% Oral health problems cause other health problems for HIV patients 3.4% Disagree 20 3.4% | Yes | 120 | 20.2% | 30.8% | |
| How informed are you about how HIV affects your oral health compared to other HIV+ Less informed 156 26.4% As well or better informed 436 73.6% Oral health problems cause other health problems for HIV patients 20 3.4% Disagree 20 3.4% | Oral Health Knowledge: | | | | |
| Less informed 156 26.4% As well or better informed 436 73.6% Oral health problems cause other health problems for HIV patients Disagree 20 3.4% | How informed are you about how HIV | affects ye | our oral healt | h compared to other HIV+ people? | 0.185 |
| As well or better informed 436 73.6% Oral health problems cause other health problems for HIV patients Disagree 20 3.4% | Less informed | 156 | 26.4% | 30.1% | |
| Oral health problems cause other health problems for HIV patients Disagree 20 3.4% Arrea 566 06.6% | As well or better informed | 436 | 73.6% | 36.0% | |
| Disagree 20 3.4% A mea 566 96.6% | Oral health problems cause other health | n problem | s for HIV pa | tients | 0.597 |
| Δ mmaa 566 06.6% | Disagree | 20 | 3.4% | 40.0% | |
| | Agree | 566 | 96.6% | 34.3% | |

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Pereyra et al.

| Characteristic | Z | group % | % saw dentist < 24 months prior | p-value* |
|--|------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| David of the first one and MIII thin a large d | and long | ميتية لتأمست تلقل | | 0.071 |
| People with HIV are more likely to have | e oral hea | uth problems | | 0.8/1 |
| Disagree | 57 | 9.7% | 33.3% | |
| Agree | 529 | 90.3% | 34.4% | |
| Oral health is important | | | | 0.469 |
| Disagree | - | 0.2% | 0% | |
| Agree | 590 | 99.8% | 34.4% | |
| Dental self-efficacy score (4-point scale | | | | 0.824 |
| low score (1–2) | 36 | 6.1% | 36.1% | |
| high score (3-4) | 557 | 93.9% | 34.3% | |
| Reported fear of dentists or dental proce | squres b | | | 0.755 |
| No | 159 | 62.4 | 31.4 | |
| Yes | 96 | 37.6 | 33.3 | |
| Enabling characteristics: | | | | |
| Reported usual source of dental care wh | ien diagn | osed HIV+ | | 0.005 |
| No | 382 | 64.4% | 30.4% | |
| Yes | 211 | 35.6% | 41.7% | |
| Has had help getting dental care since d | iagnosed | +1IV+ | | 0.001 |
| No | 289 | 48.7% | 28.0% | |
| Yes | 304 | 51.3% | 40.5% | |
| Currently has a case manager | | | | 0.38 |
| No | 13 | 2.2% | 23.1% | |
| Yes | 572 | 97.8% | 34.8% | |
| Has had 5 or more HIV primary care vis | sits in pa | st 12 months | | 0.067 |
| No | 272 | 45.9% | 30.5% | |
| Yes | 321 | 54.1% | 37.7% | |
| Received a referral to a dentist in past 17 | 2 months | | | 0.226 |
| No | 369 | 72.8% | 32.0% | |
| Yes | 138 | 27.2% | 37.7% | |
| Currently employed | | | | 0.015 |
| No | 456 | 76.9% | 31.8% | |
| Yes | 137 | 23.1% | 43.1% | |

| _ |
|----------|
| |
| _ |
| |
| _ |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| U |
| |
| D |
| ~ |
| |
| - |
| |
| - |
| |
| C |
| _ |
| _ |
| _ |
| |
| <u> </u> |
| () |
| <u> </u> |
| _ |
| |
| _ |
| ~ |
| ~ |
| _ |
| 0) |
| 9 |
| _ |
| |
| |
| - |
| _ |
| 10 |
| 0, |
| õ |
| 0 |
| - |
| |
| |
| 0 |
| |
| – |
| |

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

| muth household income <=5,000 297 50.5% 37.7% 0.005 Yes 291 49.5% 37.7% 0.005 Able housing 291 49.5% 30.9% 0.005 No 164 27.7% 30.9% 0.0181 No 164 27.7% 37.8% 0.181 No 164 27.7% 37.8% 0.181 No 164 27.7% 37.8% 0.181 No 247 46.5% 31.3% 0.181 Ies than strongly very strongly agree 284 53.5% 31.3% 0.181 Ies than strongly very strongly agree 284 53.5% 31.3% 0.181 If -49: Reported one or more impacts at "fairly" or "very often" threshold 36.0% 0.177 No 222 37.4% 36.0% 0.176 Ves 37.0% 36.0% 36.0% 0.176 No 223 43.0% 36.0% 0.177 No 119-49: Reported one or more impacts at "very often" threshold 36.0% 0.177 No 222 37.0% | Annual household income <=\$5,000 No 297 50.5% Yes 291 49.5% Stable housing | | |
|---|--|--------------------|-------|
| No 207 50.5% 37.7% Yes 291 49.5% 30.9% Wei 291 49.5% 30.9% Mole housing 164 27.7% 30.9% No 164 27.7% 30.9% No 164 27.7% 30.9% Ves 429 72.3% 31.3% Ves 241 45.5% 31.3% Stronglyvery strongly agree 284 53.5% 31.3% Stronglyvery strongly agree 284 53.5% 31.3% Stronglyvery strongly agree 284 31.3% 31.3% Stronglyvery strongly agree 284 31.3% 31.3% Stronglyvery strongly agree 37.0% 33.4% 0.177 No 222 37.4% 36.0% 36.3% Ves 31.4% 36.0% 36.3% Ves 31.4% 36.0% 36.3% No 17.4% 37.0% 36.3% Ves 37.0% 36.3% | No 297 50.5% Yes 291 49.5% Stable housing | | 0.083 |
| Yee 291 49.5% 30.9% bib housing 164 27.7% 25.6% 0.005 No 164 27.7% 25.6% 0.181 Kes 284 53.5% 31.3% 0.181 Kes 284 53.5% 35.5% 0.181 Kes 281 66.5% 35.5% 0.181 Kes 37.4% 35.5% 35.0% 35.7% No 222 37.4% 35.7% 36.7% No 233 35.7% 35.7% 36.7% No 17.4% 35.7% 35.7% 35.7% No 16.9% 35.7% 35.7% 35.7% No 11.4 24.3% 35.7% 35.7% No 57.9% | Yes 291 49.5% table housing | 37.7% | |
| ble housing 164 27.7% 25.6% No 164 27.7% 25.6% Ves 429 72.3% 37.8% cial support scale 24 53.5% 31.3% less than strongly/very strongly agree 24 53.5% 31.3% less than strongly/very strongly agree 24 53.5% 31.3% ed characteristics: 247 46.5% 31.3% strongly/very strongly agree 247 46.5% 31.4% of characteristics: 37.1 62.6% 36.0% 0.177 No 222 37.4% 36.0% 35.0% No 338 57.0% 36.0% 36.0% No 338 57.0% 31.4% 0.17% No 338 57.0% 31.4% 0.17% No 36.0% 36.0% 36.0% 0.80% No 55.0% 31.4% 0.17% 0.17% No 56.0% 31.4% 0.17% 0.12% No <t< td=""><td>Stable housing</td><td>30.9%</td><td></td></t<> | Stable housing | 30.9% | |
| No 164 27.7% 25.6% Yes 429 72.3% 37.8% cial support scale 24 53.5% 31.3% eise than strongly/very strongly agree 284 53.5% 31.3% strongly/very strongly agree 284 53.5% 31.3% el characteristics: 247 46.5% 31.3% el characteristics: 37.4% 36.0% 35.6% No 222 37.4% 33.4% 0.177 No 223 37.4% 33.4% 0.177 No 233 57.0% 33.4% 36.0% Yes 37.1% 25.6% 33.4% 36.0% No 33.8 57.0% 33.4% 36.0% No 33.4% 35.0% 36.0% 36.0% No 33.4% 35.0% 36.0% 36.0% No 114-9 25.0% 31.4% 0.31.4% No 16.0% 16.0% 36.0% 36.0% | | | 0.005 |
| Yes 429 72.3% 37.8% cial support scale 3 31.3% 0.181 less than strongly/very strongly agree 284 53.5% 31.3% 0.181 strongly/very strongly agree 284 53.5% 36.8% 0.181 ed characteristics: 247 46.5% 36.8% 0.517 No 222 37.4% 33.4% 0.517 No 223 37.4% 35.0% 0.517 Ves 37.0% 35.0% 36.0% 0.517 No 223 37.4% 36.0% 36.0% Ves 37.0% 35.0% 36.7% 0.177 No 233 57.0% 31.4% 0.177 No 33.1% 43.6% 36.7% 0.33.4% Ves 255 43.0% 36.7% 36.7% 0.34.6% No 169 16.9% 36.7% 36.7% 0.34.6% No 17.4% 36.0% 36.7% 34.3% 0.35.6% No 169 16.9% 37.9% 34.3% | No 164 27.7% | 25.6% | |
| cial support scale 284 53.5% 0.181 less than strongly very strongly agree 247 46.5% 36.8% 31.3% strongly/very strongly agree 247 46.5% 36.8% 36.8% ed characteristics: 117 46.5% 36.8% 36.9% 0.517 the 49: Reported one or more impacts at "fairly" or "very often" threshold 36.0% 35.0% 0.177 No 222 37.4% 37.0% 35.7% 0.177 No 338 57.0% 35.7% 36.7% 0.177 No 338 57.0% 35.7% 36.7% 0.177 No 338 57.0% 31.4% 0.177 No 338 57.0% 35.7% 36.7% No 338 57.0% 35.7% 36.7% No 338 57.0% 36.7% 36.7% Yes 498 87.0% 36.7% 36.7% No 119 16.9% 37.0% 36.7% No 109 16.9% 37.0% 34.0% No 144 24.3% 34.0% 34.0% No 109 75.7% 34.0% 34.0% No 144 75.7% 34.0% 35.0% No 118 22.1% 35.0% 35.0% No 118 <t< td=""><td>Yes 429 72.3%</td><td>37.8%</td><td></td></t<> | Yes 429 72.3% | 37.8% | |
| less than strongly very strongly agree 247 5.5% 31.3% strongly very strongly agree 247 46.5% 36.8% ed characteristics: 36.8% 36.8% ed characteristics: 222 37.4% 36.3% No 222 37.4% 35.0% 36.0% Ves 37.4% 35.0% 33.4% Ves 371 62.6% 33.4% 0.177 No 333 57.0% 33.4% 0.176 No 160 16.9% 35.0% 36.7% No 16.9% 31.4% 9.6% 9.6% No 16.9% 31.4% 9.6% 9.6% No 100 16.9% 31.4% 9.6% No 9 | Social support scale | | 0.181 |
| strongly/very strongly agree 247 46.5% 36.8% 36.8% ed characteristics:: IIP-49: Reported one or more impacts at "fairly" or "very often" threshold 36.0% 36.0% 0.517 No 222 37.4% 36.0% 36.0% 0.517 No 222 37.4% 36.0% 36.0% IIP-49: Reported one or more impacts at "very often" threshold 36.7% 0.177 No 338 57.0% 36.7% 0.177 No 338 57.0% 36.7% 0.177 No 338 57.0% 36.7% 0.177 No 35.0% 31.4% 0.179 0.177 No 16.9% 35.1% 35.0% 31.4% No 16.9% 35.1% 31.4% 0.80 No 16.9% 35.1% 34.3% 0.80 No 16.9% 36.1% 34.0% 0.93 No 16.9% 35.1% 34.0% 0.93 No 144 24.3% 34.0% | less than strongly/very strongly agree 284 53.5% | 31.3% | |
| ed characteristics: 0.517 IP 49: Reported one or more impacts at "fairly" or "very often" threshold 36.0% No 222 37.4% 36.0% Yes 371 62.6% 31.4% 0.177 IP 49: Reported one or more impacts at "very often" threshold 36.0% 36.0% 31.4% No 338 57.0% 31.4% 0.177 No 338 57.0% 31.4% 0.177 No 338 57.0% 31.4% 0.176 No 338 57.0% 31.4% 0.176 Yes 255 43.0% 31.4% 0.176 No 16.9% 35.1% 31.4% 0.990 Yes 493 83.1% 34.3% 9.6% No 100 16.9% 34.3% 9.6% Yes 448 75.7% 34.0% 9.6% No 9.0 9.14% 9.6% $9.1.0\%$ Yes 418 75.7% 34.0% 9.4% No | strongly/very strongly agree 247 46.5% | 36.8% | |
| IIP 49: Reported one or more impacts at "fairly" or "very often" threshold 0.517 No 222 37.4% 36.0% 36.0% Yes 37.1 62.6% 33.4% 0.177 No 333 57.0% 36.7% 0.177 No 338 57.0% 36.7% 0.177 No 333 57.0% 36.7% 0.177 No 333 57.0% 36.7% 0.177 No 333 57.0% 31.4% 0.176 No 100 16.9% 31.4% 0.800 No 100 16.9% 31.4% 0.800 No 100 16.9% 31.4% 0.800 No 100 16.9% 34.3% 0.930 Soft permanent teeth due to decay and/or gun disease 34.1% 34.0% 0.931 No 144 24.3% 34.0% 0.70% Soft permanent teeth due to decay and/or gun disease 34.0% 0.70% Soft permanent teeth due to decay and/or gun disease <td< td=""><td>Veed characteristics:</td><td></td><td></td></td<> | Veed characteristics: | | |
| No 222 37.4% 36.0% Yes 37.1 62.6% 33.4% 36.0% HP 49: Reported one or more impacts at "very often" threshold 33.4% 0.177 No 338 57.0% 33.4% 0.177 No 338 57.0% 33.4% 0.177 No 338 57.0% 36.7% 0.176 Ves 255 43.0% 31.4% 0.14% Ves 243% 31.4% 36.7% 0.800 No 100 16.9% 31.4% 0.800 No 144 24.3% 34.0% 34.0% Software 144 24.3% 34.0% 34.0% No 144 75.7% 34.0% 34.0% 0.707 No 148 75.7% 34.0% <t< td=""><td>OHIP-49: Reported one or more impacts at "fairly" or "very</td><td>y often" threshold</td><td>0.517</td></t<> | OHIP-49: Reported one or more impacts at "fairly" or "very | y often" threshold | 0.517 |
| Yes 371 62.6% 33.4% HP 49: Reported one or more impacts at "very often" threshold 0.177 No 338 57.0% 36.7% Yes 338 57.0% 36.7% Ves 255 43.0% 36.7% rrently in need of dental care 100 16.9% 31.4% No 100 16.9% 31.4% Ves 493 83.1% 34.3% No 164 24.3% 34.3% No 144 24.3% 34.3% No 144 24.3% 34.0% No 144 75.7% 34.0% Soft permanent teeth due to decay and/or gum disease 34.0% 34.0% No 144 75.7% 34.0% Yes 418 75.7% 34.0% Ves 418 75.7% 34.0% Ves 418 75.7% 34.0% Ves 418 22.1% 35.6% | No 222 37.4% | 36.0% | |
| IIP 49: Reported one or more impacts at "very often" threshold 0.177 No 338 57.0% 36.7% Ves 255 43.0% 36.7% 31.4% Vres 255 43.0% 31.4% 0.890 rrently in need of dental care 100 16.9% 31.4% 0.890 No 100 16.9% 31.4% 0.890 Ves 493 83.1% 34.3% 0.939 S lost permanent teeth due to decay and/or gun disease 34.3% 0.939 No 144 24.3% 34.0% 0.939 S lost permanent teeth due to decay and/or gun disease 34.0% 34.0% 0.939 No 144 75.7% 34.0% 0.934 Yes 418 75.7% 34.0% 0.70% Ves 418 75.7% 34.0% 0.70% Ves 418 75.9% 34.0% 0.70% Ves 418 22.1% 35.6% 0.70% | Yes 371 62.6% | 33.4% | |
| No 338 57.0% 36.7% Yes 255 43.0% 31.4% Trently in need of dental care 100 16.9% 31.4% No 100 16.9% 35.0% Yes 493 83.1% 34.3% No 144 24.3% 34.0% No 144 24.3% 34.0% No 144 24.3% 34.0% Yes 418 75.7% 34.0% Yes 148 75.7% 34.0% No 415 77.9% 34.0% Ves 118 22.1% 9.70% Yes 118 22.1% 37.6% | OHIP-49: Reported one or more impacts at "very often" thr | eshold | 0.177 |
| Yes 255 43.0% 31.4% rrently in need of dental care 100 16.9% 35.0% No 100 16.9% 35.0% 34.3% Yes 493 83.1% 34.3% 0.939 No 144 24.3% 34.0% 0.939 No 144 24.3% 34.0% 0.939 No 144 27.7% 34.0% 0.939 No 144 27.3% 34.0% 0.939 Yes 418 75.7% 34.0% 0.936 No 148 75.7% 34.0% 0.707 Ves 148 75.7% 34.0% 0.707 Ves 148 75.7% 34.0% 0.707 Ves 118 22.1% 33.7% Ves 118 22.1% 35.6% | No 338 57.0% | 36.7% | |
| rrently in need of dental care 100 16.9% 35.0% No 100 16.9% 35.0% Yes 493 83.1% 34.3% S lost permanent teeth due to decay and/or gun $disease$ 34.3% 0.939 No 144 24.3% 34.0% 0.939 Yes 448 75.7% 34.0% Yes 418 75.7% 34.0% Solar full or partial dentures 34.0% 34.0% No 415 77.9% 37.4% Ves 118 22.1% 37.6% | Yes 255 43.0% | 31.4% | |
| No 100 16.9% 35.0% Yes 493 83.1% 34.3% s lost permanent teeth due to decay and/or gum disease 34.3% 0.939 No 144 24.3% 34.0% Yes 448 75.7% 34.0% Yes 448 75.7% 34.4% No 144 24.3% 37.0% Yes 448 75.7% 34.4% Yes 415 77.9% 33.7% No 415 77.9% 33.7% Yes 118 22.1% 35.6% | Currently in need of dental care | | 0.890 |
| Yes 493 83.1% 34.3% s lost permanent teeth due to decay and/or gum disease 34.0% 0.939 No 144 24.3% 34.0% Yes 448 75.7% 34.4% No 448 75.7% 34.4% Sease full or partial dentures 415 77.9% 0.707 No 415 22.1% 33.7% Yes 118 22.1% 35.6% | No 16.9% | 35.0% | |
| | Yes 493 83.1% | 34.3% | |
| No 144 24.3% 34.0% Yes 448 75.7% 34.4% ans full or partial dentures 415 77.9% 33.7% Yes 118 22.1% 35.6% | Aas lost permanent teeth due to decay and/or gum disease | | 0.939 |
| Yes 448 75.7% 34.4% ears full or partial dentures 0.707 No 415 77.9% 33.7% Yes 118 22.1% 35.6% | No 144 24.3% | 34.0% | |
| ans full or partial dentures 0.707 No 415 77.9% 33.7% Yes 118 22.1% 35.6% | Yes 448 75.7% | 34.4% | |
| No 415 77.9% 33.7% Yes 118 22.1% 35.6% | Vears full or partial dentures | | 0.707 |
| Yes 118 22.1% 35.6% | No 415 77.9% | 33.7% | |
| | Yes 118 22.1% | 35.6% | |

Table 2

Multiple logistic regression model of having seen a dentist within the preceding 24 months (n=593)

| | Adjusted Odds Ratio | 95% C.I. |
|---|---------------------|----------------|
| Age (years) | 1.007 | (0.988, 1.027) |
| Male | 0.975 | (0.654, 1.454) |
| More than a high school education | 1.565 | (1.045, 2.345) |
| Recruited from clinic with on-site dental services | 1.077 | (0.754, 1.539) |
| Race/ethnicity: | | |
| Hispanic/non-Hispanic White* | 1.000 | Reference |
| Black | 0.638 | (0.435, 0.935) |
| Stable Housing | 1.687 | (1.109, 2.567) |
| Had help getting dental care since testing positive | 1.795 | (1.262, 2.553) |

*6 participants self-identified as "other" race/ethnicity.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript