

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Br J Haematol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 April 27.

#### Published in final edited form as:

Br J Haematol. 2009 February ; 144(3): 388-394. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2141.2008.07461.x.

# Cytidine Deaminase Genotype and Toxicity of Cytosine Arabinoside Therapy in Children with Acute Myeloid Leukemia

Deepika Bhatla<sup>1</sup>, Robert B Gerbing<sup>2</sup>, Todd A. Alonzo<sup>3</sup>, Heather Conner<sup>1</sup>, Julie A Ross<sup>4</sup>, Soheil Meshinchi<sup>5</sup>, Xiaowen Zhai<sup>1</sup>, Tiffany Zamzow<sup>1</sup>, Parinda A Mehta<sup>1</sup>, Hartmut Geiger<sup>1</sup>, John Perentesis<sup>1</sup>, and Stella M Davies<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Hematology/Oncology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio

<sup>2</sup>Children's Oncology Group, Arcadia, California

<sup>3</sup>University of Southern California Keck School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Minnesota Cancer Center, Seattle, Washington

<sup>5</sup>Clinical Research Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington

## Abstract

Cytosine arabinoside (ara-C) is irreversibly deaminated by cytidine deaminase (CDD) to a nontoxic metabolite. A common polymorphism, A79C, in CDD changes a lysine residue to glutamine resulting in decreased enzyme activity. We determined CDD A79C genotypes for 457 children with AML treated on CCG 2941 and 2961 and analyzed the impact of CDD genotype on therapy outcomes. Post-Induction treatment related mortality (TRM) was significantly elevated in children with the CC genotype (5 year TRM 17  $\pm$  13% CC vs 7  $\pm$  4% AA, 5  $\pm$  4% AC, p= 0.05). This was more notable in children who received IDA-FLAG (ara-C= 7590 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) (5 year TRM 24  $\pm$  21% CC vs 6  $\pm$  6% AA, 6  $\pm$  7% AC, p=0.07) as consolidation therapy compared to IDA-DCTER (ara-C= 800 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) (5 year TRM 15  $\pm$  20% CC vs 8  $\pm$  6% AA, 4  $\pm$  6% AC; p=0.29). Relapse-free survival was non-significantly increased in children with the CC genotype treated with IDA-FLAG (76  $\pm$  20% CC vs 59  $\pm$  12% AA and 55  $\pm$  14% AC; p= 0.40). These data indicate that children with a low activity CDD genotype are at increased risk of treatment-related mortality with Ara-C based therapy for AML.

# Introduction

Ara-C (1-B-D-arabinofuranosylcytosine), an arabinose containing analogue of the pyrimidine nucleoside deoxycytidine, is an active chemotherapeutic agent for hematological malignancies, particularly acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (1 –3). Ara-C forms the backbone of both induction and consolidation therapy for AML, given at standard or high doses (4). The cytotoxic effect of ara-C requires transport into the cells followed by metabolic activation. Intracellular transport of ara-C, when administered in standard doses, is mediated by the human equilibrative transporter hENT1 (5,6). At high doses, ara-C diffuses into the cell at a rate that exceeds that of pump-mediated transport (5,6). Inside the cell, ara-C is phosphorlylated to its active triphosphate form (ara-CTP) through the sequential action of deoxycytidine kinase (dCK), deoxycytidylate kinase and nucleoside diphosphate kinase (7–9). Phosphorylation by dCK is the rate-limiting step in this process. Ara-CTP is incorporated

Correspondence: Deepika Bhatla, MD Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Bob Costas Cancer Center, Cardinal Glennon Children's Medical Center, 1465 S Grand Blvd, St Louis, MO 63104 Phone 314 577 5368 Fax 314 268 4081 dbhatla@slu.edu.

into DNA and inhibits DNA synthesis in a competitive fashion (10,11). Cytidine deaminase (CDD) is a pyrimidine salvage pathway enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolytic deamination of cytidine and deoxycytidine to their corresponding uracil nucleosides. CDD deaminates ara-C, resulting in the formation of its inactive metabolite 1-B-D-arabinofuranosyluracil (12–14). Several studies have suggested that increased levels of CDD may play an important role in the development of resistance to ara-C.

There is a non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the CDD gene, which results in an amino acid change from lysine to glutamine at position 27. In-vitro studies have shown that the variant glutamine allele (C genotype) has reduced activity compared to the wild-type lysine allele (A genotype) (15, 16). We hypothesized that the children treated with ara-C for AML with the variant CC genotype would have inferior outcomes with increased toxicity compared to other genotypes. In this study we demonstrate increased treatment-related mortality in children with a homozygous CC genotype.

# **Patients and Methods**

#### Patients

The study population consisted of 457 children from birth to 21 years with de novo AML treated on Children's Cancer Group (CCG) protocols 2941 and 2961 between 1995 and 2002. Children with therapy related AML were excluded from this analysis. Clinical data, including age, sex, white blood cell (wbc) count at diagnosis, race, presence of chloroma, presence of CNS disease, immunophenotype and cytogenetic abnormalities were collected prospectively. Patients with Down Syndrome were not eligible for these trials. Cases were classified on the basis of criteria established and revised by the French-American-British (FAB) Cooperative Study Group by central pathology review. All FAB categories except acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL-AML M3) were eligible for enrollment and were treated on the same chemotherapy regimens. All patients or legal guardians consented to enrollment on the therapeutic studies after approval of the study by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of each participating institution, and to the submission of samples for biological studies. Informed consent was provided in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The genotyping study and analysis were approved by the IRB of Cincinnati Children's Hospital and Medical Center.

Blood samples obtained from 205 healthy blood donors served as controls to estimate the genotype frequencies in the normal population. One hundred and four were Caucasian and 101 were African-American.

#### **Chemotherapy Treatment Regimen**

CCG-2961 was a phase III randomized trial for patients age <21 years with previously untreated acute myeloid leukemia (AML) or myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) conducted between August 1996 and December 2002. CCG-2941 was a feasibility pilot study for the successor CCG-2961 trial and had a similar study design. All patients received intensively timed induction therapy with IDA-DCTER (idarubicin, dexamethasone, cytarabine, thioguanine, etoposide and daunomycin) given on days 0 to 3 followed by DCTER (dexamethasone, ara-C, thioguanine, etoposide and daunomycin) given on days 10 to 13. The total dose of ara-C during induction (course 1) was 1600 mg/m<sup>2</sup>. On recovery of white blood cell and platelet counts, patients were randomly assigned to receive consolidation (course 2) therapy with Regimen A, which was a second course of DCTER (total dose of ara-C 1600 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) or Regimen B which was IDA-FLAG (idarubicin, fludarabine, ara-C (total dose of ara-C 7590 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) and G-CSF). Intrathecal ara-C was used for central nervous system (CNS) prophylaxis. Following consolidation, patients with a matched related donor proceeded to allogeneic marrow transplant with ablative conditioning (busulfan and cytoxan). Those without a related donor received intensification with highdose ara-C (total dose of ara-C 24,000 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) and L-asparaginase and additional intrathecal ara-C. Patients who did not receive an allogeneic transplant were further randomized to receive immune modulation with Interleukin-2 or standard follow-up care (17, 18). Treatment related mortality was unacceptably high in children enrolled in the study between 1996 and 1999 and the study was suspended at this time and amended to recommend a uniform approach to infection prevention, including requirements for mandatory hospitalization during periods of expected neutropenia, use of broad spectrum antibiotics, including vancomycin for fever, anti-fungal therapy for fever beyond 72 hours, immunoglobulin replacement and avoidance of corticosteroids as anti-emetics (17,18).

#### Genotyping

DNA was extracted from diagnostic marrow samples using standard methods and normalized to 10 ng/ml. Genotyping for the CDD A79C (NCBI rs 2072671) polymorphism was performed using a fluorescence based allelic discrimination assay (Taqman, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Gene-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers and fluorogenic probes for allelic discrimination were supplied by Applied Biosystems (Taqman Drug Metabolism Genotyping Assays). PCR cycling reactions were performed in 96-well micro titer plates in a GeneAmp PCR System 9700 (Perkin-Elmer) according to the conditions specified by the manufacturer. Results were analyzed by the automated TaqMan allelic discrimination assay using sequence detection system 1.2.3 software (ABI TaqMan 7300, Applied Biosystems). Genotyping results were duplicated in 10% of samples; concordance between repeats was 100%.

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood samples from our control population using QIAamp blood DNA isolation kits (Qiagen Sciences, Maryland, USA) as per the manufacturer's protocol. Genotyping was performed as described for the patient population.

#### Statistical Analysis

Data obtained from CCG-2941 through April 14, 2005 and from CCG-2961 through April 26, 2006 were used for analyses. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate estimates of overall survival (OS), event-free survival (EFS) and disease-free survival (DFS) (19). Estimates are reported with their Greenwood standard errors (20). Differences in these estimates were tested for significance using the log-rank statistic (21). Cumulative incidence estimates were used to determine treatment-related mortality (TRM) and relapse-free survival (RFS). Differences between TRM and RFS estimates were tested for significance using Gray's test (22). Patients lost to follow-up were censored at their date of last known contact or at a cutoff 6 months prior to April 2005 or April 2006 for CCG-2941 and CCG-2961, respectively, in order to prevent deaths and relapses being reported sooner than ongoing follow-up. The significance of observed differences in proportions was tested using the Chi-squared test and Fisher's exact test when data were sparse.

#### Definitions

Overall survival (OS) is defined as time from study entry to death from any cause. Eventfree survival (EFS) is defined as time from study entry to failure at the end of two courses, relapse or death from any cause. Disease-free survival (DFS) is defined as time from remission to failure at the end of two courses, relapse or death from any cause. Treatment related mortality (TRM) is assessed at three time points i.e. study entry, end of course one or end of course two and defined as the time from either study entry, from the end of one course of therapy or from the end of two courses of therapy to death from causes other than progressive disease where failures at the end of two courses, relapses and deaths from

progressive disease were competing events. Relapse-free survival (RFS) is defined as the time from the end of one or two courses of therapy to death from progressive disease, failure at the end of two courses, or relapse where deaths from causes other than progressive disease were competing events.

# Results

Genotype frequencies and demographics for cases are summarized in Table I. CDD genotypes varied significantly by ethnicity with the CDD variant C allele being more frequent in white children (AC+CC 80%) compared with black (AC+CC 3%), Hispanic (AC +CC 13%), Asian children (AC+CC 1%), and other races (AC+CC 3%), p<0.001. Genotype frequencies did not differ significantly by gender. No significant difference was observed with respect to other known AML prognostic factors, eg wbc at presentation, age or cytogenetic abnormality among the CDD genotype groups. Genotype frequencies were in Hardy Weinberg equilibrium and did not differ from those seen in healthy race-matched controls (data not shown).

#### From study entry

Overall survival (OS) from study entry did not differ significantly among the CDD genotype groups (5 yr OS  $52 \pm 7\%$  for AA,  $46 \pm 8\%$  for AC,  $55 \pm 15\%$  for CC; p=0.56). Similarly, we observed no significant difference in EFS (5 year EFS  $40 \pm 7\%$  AA,  $37 \pm 7\%$  AC,  $41 \pm 15\%$  CC; p=0.90) nor treatment related mortality (TRM) from study entry (5 year TRM  $15 \pm 5\%$  AA vs  $18 \pm 6\%$  AC vs  $20 \pm 12\%$  CC; p=0.59.)

#### From end of induction

Overall survival (OS) from the end of one course of therapy for patients in remission did not differ significantly among the CDD genotype groups (5 yr OS 58 ± 8% for AA, 55 ± 9% for AC, 59 ± 16% for CC; p=0.91) as well as DFS (5 yr OS 48 ± 8% for AA, 45 ± 9% for AC, 45 ± 16% for CC; p=0.86) and RFS (5 yr RFS 60 ± 8% for AA, 58 ± 9% for AC, 65 ± 15% for CC; p=0.90). Treatment related mortality from end of course one, when only low doses of ara-C were used, was not statistically significant by CDD genotype (5 year TRM 12 ± 5% AA vs 13 ± 6% AC vs 20 ± 12% CC; p=0.55), and remission induction rate was similar in all groups (87% AA vs 87% AC vs 92% CC; p= 0.63).

#### From end of course 2 (post randomization)

After the second course of treatment, however, when larger doses of ara-C were used, there was a significant increase in the cumulative incidence of treatment related mortality in children with the CC genotype compared with AA and AC genotypes (5 year TRM  $7 \pm 4\%$  AA vs  $5 \pm 4\%$  AC vs  $17 \pm 13\%$  CC; p= 0.049; p=0.05) (Figure 1). The impact of CDD genotype on TRM was more notable in children randomized to IDA-FLAG consolidation receiving a higher cumulative dose of ara-C (9190 mg/m<sup>2</sup>)(5 year TRM  $6 \pm 6\%$  AA vs  $6 \pm 7\%$  AC vs  $24 \pm 21\%$  CC; p=0.06) than those randomized to DCTER, receiving a lower cumulative dose of ara-C (3200mg/m<sup>2</sup>) (5 year TRM  $8 \pm 6\%$  AA vs  $4 \pm 6\%$  AC vs  $15 \pm 20\%$  CC; p=0.39). These outcomes are summarized in Table II. RFS was increased in children with the CC genotype treated with IDA-FLAG but this was not statistically significant, perhaps because numbers of cases were small (59 ± 12% AA vs  $55 \pm 14\%$  AC vs  $76 \pm 20\%$  CC; p=0.40) (Figure 2). However OS (5 yr OS  $62 \pm 8\%$  for AA,  $62 \pm 8\%$  for AC,  $62 \pm 16\%$  for CC; p=0.97) and DFS (5 yr DFS  $51 \pm 8\%$  for AA,  $52 \pm 10\%$  for AC,  $51 \pm 17\%$  for CC; p=0.93) from the end of two courses was not statistically significant by genotype.

When non-hematological toxicities were examined, overall toxicity was not significantly different in the three genotype groups (AA 64%, AC 66%, CC 59%, AA vs AC vs CC, p =

0.675) though patients with the variant CC genotype were more likely to report mucositis post-randomization (AA 21%, AC 32%, CC 34%, p = 0.04). In attempt to examine specific Ara-C toxicities we looked at cerebellar and clinical pulmonary toxicity. The number of cases of cerebellar toxicity was small (5 in course 1 and 6 in course 2) and no differences were seen by genotype. Similarly, the overall incidence of pulmonary toxicity was low and not different by genotype.

# Discussion

In this study, we found that children with a low activity CC genotype were at an increased risk of treatment related mortality when treated with ara-C based therapy for AML. Analysis of post-induction outcomes showed that this effect was more notable in the group of patients randomized to receive IDA-FLAG therapy where the total dose of ara-C was considerably higher (9190 mg/m2) as compared to the IDA-DCTER arm (3200 mg/m2). We expected that increased in vivo exposure to ara-C would result in a reduced relapse rate. In our dataset, RFS was improved by 17% in children with the homozygous low activity CC genotype compared with other genotypes, although this did not achieve statistical significance, possibly due to limited sample size. The balance of increased TRM but reduced relapse resulted in equivalent overall survival in children with the CC genotype.

Several in vitro studies have indicated a role for increased CDD enzyme activity in the development of resistance to ara-C (23, 24). Yusa et al demonstrated that chronically HIV-1 infected H-9 cells showed 21 fold resistance to ara-C owing to the induction of cytidine deaminase activity (23). This conclusion was supported by the observation that ara-C resistance could be reversed by using 3,4,5,6-tetrahydrouridine, an inhibitor of cytidine deaminase (25, 26). Similar observations were reported in erythroleukemia cell lines, AML cell lines and mouse tumors (25, 27–30). More definitive evidence of the crucial role CDD plays in ara-C metabolism comes from the demonstration of ara-C resistance in murine fibroblasts that constitutively overexpress the human CDD gene (31,32). In fact, retroviral transduction of CDD in human hematopoeitic progenitor cells is being explored as a cytoprotective strategy in conjunction with dose-intensive cytarabine therapy in the animal model with promising preliminary results (33, 34).

Attempts to study the relationship between CDD activity in primary human AML blasts maintained in short term culture and clinical drug response have yielded inconsistent results. Smyth et al found no correlation between the levels of ara-C deamination and remission induction in their series of 29 adult AML patients(35). Mejer and Nygaard demonstrated that while CDD activity was lower in AML cells compared to normal leukocytes, CDD activity did not predict response to ara-C based therapy in their patient population of 17 adults (28). Other authors have reported that increased CDD activity correlates both with clinical drug resistance and duration of remission (24,36–38). Some have reported a non-significant trend for treatment failure with increased CDD activity (29). Jahns-Streubel and colleagues found pre-therapeutic CDD levels to be the most sensitive predictor of blast clearance in their patient subset of 36 adults with de novo AML treated with TAD-9 induction therapy (39). In their patient population, CDD activity was also predictive of remission duration. Schroder et al corroborated these findings by demonstrating that median CDD activity was higher in patients with refractory and recurrent disease (40). They assessed both quantitative CDD mRNA expression and enzyme activity.

CDD is not the only gene that may modify Ara-C metabolism and response to therapy. Pharmacogenetic variants in genes such as hENT1 that transports Ara-C across the cell membrane, deoxycytidine kinase (CdR kinase) that is the rate limiting step in Ara-CTP formation, pyrimidine nucleotidase 1 that opposes the action of deoxycytidine kinase, and

UMP/CMP kinase that catalyses the conversion of Ara-CMP to Ara-DMP could also modify outcomes and future studies are needed to examine this possibility (6, 41–43).

The strength of our study is the large sample size, representing children treated on a uniform clinical treatment protocol with long and complete follow-up. A weakness of our study is the lack of direct measurement of CDD activity in the children, in whom we implied CDD activity from genotype. While Kirch et al have demonstrated reduced enzyme activity with the A79C polymorphism using in vitro deamination of ara-C (15), it is possible that additional polymorphisms within the CDD gene also influence enzyme activity, or that variants in other enzymes important in ara-C metabolism play a major role. In the patients treated on CCG 2961, increased mortality due to infections was noted in the patients on the IDA-FLAG arm raising the question whether Fludarabine contributed to additional immunosuppression in this subset (41). This may be a confounding factor when assessing post-randomization TRM in the patients who received IDA-FLAG i.e. higher dose of ara-C.

This emphasizes the importance of replicating these data in independent datasets, and in clinical protocols using different doses of ara-C to determine the significance of this polymorphism.

#### References

- (1). Beard ME, Fairley GH. Acute leukemia in adults. Semin Hematol. Jan; 1974 11(1):5–24. [PubMed: 4129362]
- (2). Ellison RR, Holland JF, Weil M, Jacquillat C, Boiron M, Bernard J, Sawitsky A, Rosner F, Gussoff B, Silver RT, Karanas A, Cuttner J, Spurr CL, Hayes DM, Blom J, Leone LA, Haurani F, Kyle R, Hutchison JL, Forcier RJ, Moon JH. Arabinosyl cytosine: a useful agent in the treatment of acute leukemia in adults. Blood. Oct; 1968 32(4):507–23. [PubMed: 4879053]
- (3). Keating MJ, McCredie KB, Bodey GP, Smith TL, Gehan E, Freireich EJ. Improved prospects for long-term survival in adults with acute myelogenous leukemia. JAMA. Nov 19; 1982 248(19): 2481–6. [PubMed: 6957624]
- (4). Bodey GP, Freireich EJ, Monto RW, Hewlett JS. Cytosine arabinoside (NSC-63878) therapy for acute leukemia in adults. Cancer Chemother Rep. Feb; 1969 53(1):59–66. [PubMed: 5772656]
- (5). Wiley JS, Jones SP, Sawyer WH. Cytosine arabinoside transport by human leukaemic cells. Eur J Cabcer Clin Oncol. 1983; 19:1067–1074.
- (6). Galmarini CM, Thomas X, Calvo F, Rousselot P, Rabilloud M, El Jaffari A, et al. In vivo mechanisms of resistence to cytarabine in acute myeloid leukemia. Br J Haematol. 2002; 117:860–868. [PubMed: 12060121]
- (7). Kessel D, Hall TC, Rosenthal D. Uptake and phosphorylation of cytosine arabinoside by normal and leukemic human blood cells in vitro. Cancer Res. Feb; 1969 29(2):459–63. [PubMed: 5250069]
- (8). Chou TC, Arlin Z, Clarkson BD, Phillips FS. Metabolism of 1-beta-D-arabinofuranosylcytosine in human leukemic cells. Cancer Res. Oct; 1977 37(10):3561–70. [PubMed: 332337]
- (9). Schrecker AW, Goldin A. Antitumor effect and mode of action of 1-beta-Darabinofuranosylcytosine 5'-phosphate in leukemia L1210. Cancer Res. Apr; 1968 28(4):802–3. [PubMed: 5649067]
- (10). Furth JJ, Cohen SS. Inhibition of mammalian DNA polymerase by the 5'-triphosphate of 1-betad-arabinofuranosylcytosine and the 5'-triphosphate of 9-beta-d-arabinofuranosyladenine. Cancer Res. Oct; 1968 28(10):2061–7. [PubMed: 5754840]
- (11). Graham FL, Whitmore GF. Studies in mouse L-cells on the incorporation of 1-beta-Darabinofuranosylcytosine into DNA and on inhibition of DNA polymerase by 1-beta-Darabinofuranosylcytosine 5'-triphosphate. Cancer Res. Nov; 1970 30(11):2636–44. [PubMed: 5530558]

- (12). Chabner BA, Johns DG, Coleman CN, Drake JC, Evans WH. Purification and properties of cytidine deaminase from normal and leukemic granulocytes. J Clin Invest. Mar; 1974 53(3):922– 31. [PubMed: 4521417]
- (13). Cacciamani T, Vita A, Cristalli G, Vincenzetti S, Natalini P, Ruggieri S, Amici A, Magni G. Purification of human cytidine deaminase: molecular and enzymatic characterization and inhibition by synthetic pyrimidine analogs. Arch Biochem Biophys. Nov 1; 1991 290(2):285–92. [PubMed: 1929398]
- (14). Betts L, Xiang S, Short SA, Wolfenden R, Carter CW Jr. Cytidine deaminase. The 2.3 A crystal structure of an enzyme: transition-state analog complex. J Mol Biol. Jan 14; 1994 235(2):635–56. [PubMed: 8289286]
- (15). Kirch HC, Schröder J, Hoppe H, Esche H, Seeber S, Schütte J. Recombinant gene products of two natural variants of the human cytidine deaminase gene confer different deamination rates of cytarabine in vitro. Exp Hematol. May; 1998 26(5):421–5. [PubMed: 9590659]
- (16). Gilbert JA, Salavaggione OE, Ji Y, Pelleymounter LL, Eckloff BW, Wieben ED, Ames MM, Weinshilboum RM. Gemcitabine pharmacogenomics: cytidine deaminase and deoxycytidylate deaminase gene resequencing and functional genomics. Clin Cancer Res. Mar 15; 2006 12(6): 1794–803. [PubMed: 16551864]
- (17). Lange BJ, Dinndorf P, Smith FO, Arndt C, Barnard D, Feig S, et al. Pilot study of idarubicinbased intensive-timing induction therapy for children with previously untreated acute myeloid leukemia: Children's Cancer Group Study 2941. J Clin Oncol. Jan 1; 2004 22(1):150–6. [PubMed: 14701777]
- (18). Lange BJ, Smith FO, Feusner J, Barnard DR, Dinndorf P, Feig S, Heerema NA, Arndt C, Arceci RJ, Seibel N, Weiman M, Dusenbery K, Shannon K, Luna-Fineman S, Gerbing RB, Alonzo TA. Outcomes in CCG-2961, a Children's Oncology Group phase 3 trial for untreated pediatric acute myeloid leukemia: a report from the children's oncology group. Blood. Feb 1; 2008 111(3):1044–53. [PubMed: 18000167]
- (19). Kaplan E, Meier P, Kaplan E, Meier P. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J Am Stat Assoc. 1958; 53(282):457–481.
- (20). Greenwood, M. Report on Public Health and Medical Subjects. His Majesty's Stationery Office.33; London England: 1926. The natural duration of cancer.
- (21). Kalbfleisch, J.; Prentice, R. The Statistical Analysis of Failure Time Data. John Wiley; New York: 1980.
- (22). Gray R. A Class of K-sample tests for comparing the cumulative incidence of a competing risk. Annals of Statistics. 1988; 16:1141–1154.
- (23). Yusa K, Oh-hara T, Tsukahara S, Tsuruo T. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 induces 1beta-D-arabinofuranosylcytosine resistance in human H9 cell line. J Biol Chem. Aug 25; 1992 267(24):16848–50. [PubMed: 1512227]
- (24). Steuart CD, Burke PJ. Cytidine deaminase and the development of resistance to arabinosyl cytosine. Nat New Biol. Sep 22; 1971 233(38):109–10. [PubMed: 5285962]
- (25). Kreis W, Hession C, Soricelli A, Scully K. Combinations of tetrahydrouridine and cytosine arabinoside in mouse tumors. Cancer Treat Rep. Oct; 1977 61(7):1355–64. [PubMed: 589601]
- (26). Riva C, Barra Y, Carcassonne Y, Cano JP, Rustum Y. Effect of tetrahydrouridine on metabolism and transport of 1-beta-D-arabinofuranosylcytosine in human cells. Chemotherapy. 1992; 38(5): 358–66. [PubMed: 1286578]
- (27). Honma Y, Onozuka Y, Okabe-Kado J, Kasukabe T, Hozumi M. Hemin enhances the sensitivity of erythroleukemia cells to 1-beta-D-arabinofuranosylcytosine by both activation of deoxycytidine kinase and reduction of cytidine deaminase activity. Cancer Res. Sep 1; 1991 51(17):4535–8. [PubMed: 1873797]
- (28). Mejer J, Nygaard P. Cytosine arabinoside phosphorylation and deamination in acute myeloblastic leukemia cells. Leuk Res. 1978; 2(2):127–31.
- (29). Tattersall MH, Ganeshaguru K, Hoffbrand AV. Mechanisms of resistance of human acute leukaemia cells to cytosine arabinoside. Br J Haematol. May; 1974 27(1):39–46. [PubMed: 4368900]

- (30). Furner RL, Mellett LB, Herren TC. Influence of tetrahydrouridine on the phosphorylation of 1beta-D-arabinofuranosyl-cytosine (ara-C) by enzymes from solid tumors in vitro. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. Jul; 1975 194(1):103–10. [PubMed: 1057009]
- (31). Momparler RL, Laliberte J, Eliopoulos N, Beausejour C, Cournoyer D. Transfection of murine fibroblast cells with human cytidine deaminase cDNA confers resistance to cytosine arabinoside. Anticancer Drugs. May; 1996 7(3):266–74. [PubMed: 8791999]
- (32). Momparler RL, Eliopoulos N, Bovenzi V, Letourneau S, Greenbaum M, Cournoyer D. Resistance to cytosine arabinoside by retrovirally mediated gene transfer of human cytidine deaminase into murine fibroblast and hematopoietic cells. Cancer Gene Ther. Sep-Oct; 1996 3(5):331–8. [PubMed: 8894252]
- (33). Bardenheuer W, Lehmberg K, Rattmann I, Brueckner A, Schneider A, Sorg UR, Seeber S, Moritz T, Flasshove M. Resistance to cytarabine and gemcitabine and in vitro selection of transduced cells after retroviral expression of cytidine deaminase in human hematopoietic progenitor cells. Leukemia. Dec; 2005 19(12):2281–8. [PubMed: 16304576]
- (34). Rattmann I, Kleff V, Sorg UR, Bardenheuer W, Brueckner A, Hilger RA, Opalka B, Seeber S, Flasshove M, Moritz T. Gene transfer of cytidine deaminase protects myelopoiesis from cytidine analogs in an in vivo murine transplant model. Blood. Nov 1; 2006 108(9):2965–71. Epub 2006 Jul 11. [PubMed: 16835384]
- (35). Smyth JF, Robins AB, Leese CL. The metabolism of Cytosine Arabinoside as a predictive test for clinical response to the drug in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Europ J Cancer. 1976; 12:567–573.
- (36). Preisler HD, Rustum Y, Priore RL. Relationship between leukemic cell retention of cytosine arabinoside triphosphate and the duration of remission in patients with acute non-lymphocytic leukemia. Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol. Jan; 1985 21(1):23–30. [PubMed: 4038655]
- (37). Rustum YM, Preisler HD. Correlation between leukemic cell retention of 1-beta-Darabinofuranosylcytosine 5'-triphosphate and response to therapy. Cancer Res. Jan; 1979 39(1): 42–9. [PubMed: 282940]
- (38). Colly LP, Peters WG, Richel D, Arentsen-Honders MW, Starrenburg CW, Willemze R. Deoxycytidine kinase and deoxycytidine deaminase values correspond closely to clinical response to cytosine arabinoside remission induction therapy in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia. Semin Oncol. Jun; 1987 14(2 Suppl 1):257–61. [PubMed: 3035720]
- (39). Jahns-Streubel G, Reuter C, Auf der Landwehr U, Unterhalt M, Schleyer E, Wörmann B, Büchner T, Hiddemann W. Activity of thymidine kinase and of polymerase alpha as well as activity and gene expression of deoxycytidine deaminase in leukemic blasts are correlated with clinical response in the setting of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor-based priming before and during TAD-9 induction therapy in acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. Sep 1; 1997 90(5):1968–76. [PubMed: 9292531]
- (40). Schröder JK, Kirch C, Seeber S, Schütte J. Structural and functional analysis of the cytidine deaminase gene in patients with acute myeloid leukaemia. Br J Haematol. Dec; 1998 103(4): 1096–103. [PubMed: 9886326]
- (41). Hubeek I, Stam RW, Peters GJ, Broekhuizen R, Meijerink JP, van Wering ER, Gibson BE, Creutzig U, Zwaan CM, Cloos J, Kuik DJ, Pieters R, Kaspers GJ. The human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 mediates in vitro cytarabine sensitivity in childhood acute myeloid leukaemia. Br J Cancer. Dec 12; 2005 93(12):1388–94. [PubMed: 16333246]
- (42). Stam RW, den Boer ML, Meijerink JP, Ebus ME, Peters GJ, Noordhuis P, Janka-Schaub GE, Armstrong SA, Korsmeyer SJ, Pieters R. Differential mRNA expression of Ara-C-metabolizing enzymes explains Ara-C sensitivity in MLL gene-rearranged infant acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood. Feb 15; 2003 101(4):1270–6. [PubMed: 12406912]
- (43). Galmarini CM, Mackey JR, Dumontet C. Nucleoside analogues: mechanisms of drug resistance and reversal strategies. Leukemia. Jun; 2001 15(6):875–90. [PubMed: 11417472]



#### Figure 1.

Post induction treament related mortality by CDD genotype





Post randomization RFS by CDD genotype for IDA-FLAG patients



#### Figure 3.

Post randomization RFS by CDD genotype for patients receiving IDA-DCTER

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

Table I

Demographic characteristics by CDD A79C genotype

		<b>AA</b>		AC		СС		AC+CC		AA vs AC+CC
Characte	eristic	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Р
Total		229		179		49		228		
Age: median & range (yı	rs)	10.5	(0.15 - 20.9)	10.0	(0.01 - 19.8)	9.6	(0.13 - 18.4)	10.0	(0.01 - 19.8)	0.61
WBC: median & range S	Study	18,900	(300 - 860,000)	19,600	(1,000-600,000)	25,500	(1,500 - 324,000)	20,800	(1,000-600,000)	0.61
CCG	3-2941	13	9	18	10	7	14	25	11	0.06
CCG	3-2961	216	94	161	06	42	86	203	89	
Gender										
	Male	130	57	96	54	25	51	121	53	0.48
ц	female	66	43	83	46	24	49	107	47	
Race										
	White	129	57	142	62	41	84	183	80	<0.001
	Black	33	14	5	ю	1	2	9	3	<0.001
His	spanic	42	18	23	13	7	14	30	13	0.16
	Asian	11	S	3	2	0	0	ю	1	0.06
	Other	13	9	9	3	0	0	9	3	0.16
Unk	known	-		0		0		0		
FAB subtype										
	M0	8	4	13	7	4	8	17	8	0.10
	MI	32	14	35	20	4	8	39	17	0.43
	M2	67	29	48	27	16	33	64	28	0.86
	M4	63	28	45	25	11	22			0.54
								56	25	
	M5	40	17	29	16	8	16	37	16	0.82
	M6	٢	ŝ	2	1	1	2	ю	1	0.34
	M7	Ζ	ŝ	4	2	5	10	6	4	0.79
De novo (	(SON)	5	2	ю	2	0	0	ю	1	0.72
Cytogenetics										
Z	Vormal	32	23	24	22	10	34	34	25	0.92
t	t(8;21)	27	20	16	15	ю	10	19	14	0.25

_
_
_
_
U
~
-
<u> </u>
<b>_</b>
_
$\sim$
_
_
$\geq$
0
<u>u</u>
_
ć
_
(0)
0,
0
_
0
<u> </u>

_
_
_
_
-
-
-
-
-
C
_
_
· · ·
_
_
0
0
0
9
q
or
or N
or N
or N
or M
or Ma
or Ma
or Ma
or Mar
or Man
or Man
or Manu
or Manu
or Manu
or Manus
or Manus
or Manus
or Manusc
or Manusc
or Manuscr
or Manuscri
or Manuscri
or Manuscrip
or Manuscrip
or Manuscrip
or Manuscript

		AA		AC		СС		AC+CC		AA vs AC+CC
Abn 16   14   10   9   8   4   14   13   9   038     Abn 11   27   20   25   23   5   17   30   22   037     -7/7-   4   1   1   4   0   0   4   3   037     -7/7-   4   3   4   4   0   0   4   3   037     -5/5   2   2   1   1   1   1   3   2   037     -5/5   2   2   1   1   1   1   3   037     -5/5   2   2   1   1   1   1   1   3   037     -5/5   2   2   1   1   1   1   1   1   0     Hyperdiploid   16   12   2   1   1   0   0     Hyperdiploid   2   4   3   2   1   0	Characteristic	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	ď
	Abn 16	14	10	6	8	4	14	13	6	0.98
	Abn 11	27	20	25	23	5	17	30	22	0.79
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	t(6;9)	1	1	4	4	0	0	4	3	0.37
	-1/7-	4	3	4	4	0	0	4	3	1.000
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-2/2-	2	2	1	1	1	3	2	1	1.000
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+	7	5	6	8	2	7	11	8	0.47
	+21	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	1	0.50
	Pseudodiploid	16	12	6	8	4	14	13	6	0.68
Hypodiploid   2   2   3   3   0   0   3   2   1.000     Unknown   92   40   70   39   20   41   90   39     Response at end of first course   1   2   40   70   39   20   41   90   39     Response at end of first course   1   1   87   45   92   192   88   0.87     Failure   19   8   10   6   2   4   12   6   0.31     Death   10   4   12   7   2   4   14   6   0.48     Invaluable   4   12   7   2   4   14   6   0.48	Hyperdiploid	S	4	3	3	0	0	б	2	0.50
	Hypodiploid	2	2	3	3	0	0	3	2	1.000
Response at ed of first course 196 87 147 87 45 92 192 88 0.87   Failure 19 8 10 6 2 4 12 6 0.31   Death 10 4 12 7 2 4 14 6 0.48   Inevaluable 4 10 0 0 0 0 10	Unknown	92	40	70	39	20	41	06	39	
Remission   196   87   147   87   45   92   192   88   0.87     Failure   19   8   10   6   2   4   12   6   0.31     Death   10   4   12   7   2   4   14   6   0.48     Inevaluable   4   10   4   12   7   0   14   6   0.48	Response at end of first course									
Failure   19   8   10   6   2   4   12   6   0.31     Death   10   4   12   7   2   4   14   6   0.48     Inevaluable   4   10   0   0   0   10	Remission	196	87	147	87	45	92	192	88	0.87
Death   10   4   12   7   2   4   14   6   0.48     Inevaluable   4   10   0   0   10	Failure	19	8	10	9	2	4	12	9	0.31
Inevaluable 4 10 0 10	Death	10	4	12	7	2	4	14	9	0.48
	Inevaluable	4		10		0		10		

<b>NIH-PA Author M</b>	
anuscript	
<b>NIH-PA</b> Author	
Manuscript	

Table II

Bhatla et al.

Treatment-related mortality by therapy received

IDA-FLAG Total Cytarabine 9190 mg/m <sup>2</sup> N=135	$6.3\%\pm 6.1\%$	$5.9\% \pm 6.7\%$	$23.5\% \pm 20.6\%$	0.06	
IDA-DCTER Total Cytarabine 3200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> N=137	$8.2\%\pm6.4\%$	$4.3\%\pm 6.0\%$	$15.4\% \pm 20\%$	0.39	
Post randomization TRM N=293	$6.9\%\pm4.2\%$	$4.8\% \pm 4.2\%$	$17.1\% \pm 12.7\%$	0.05	
Post induction TRM N=	$12 \pm 5\%$	$13 \pm 6\%$	$20 \pm 12\%$	0.55	
TRM from study entry N=	$15 \pm 5\%$	$18 \pm 6\%$	$20 \pm 12\%$	0.59	
CDD Genotype	AA	AC	cc	P-value	