

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

J Magn Reson. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 April 29.

# Published in final edited form as:

J Magn Reson. 2005 February ; 172(2): 238–241. doi:10.1016/j.jmr.2004.10.013.

# (Mathematical) Necessary conditions for the selection of gradient vectors in DTI

# Alpay Özcan<sup>\*</sup>

Biomedical MR Laboratory, Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, Washington University in Saint Louis, School of Medicine, Box 8227, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

# Abstract

A set of necessary conditions for the choice of diffusion gradient vectors to make the linear equations nonsingular for the estimation of the diffusion matrix are given in a coordinate free manner. The conditions assert that the initial step in the design of a DTI experiment with six or more acquisitions must be to select six valid diffusion gradients first and then add new ones.

### Keywords

Diffusion tensor imaging; Linear algebra

# 1. Introduction

One way of estimating the diffusion matrix from DTI experiments is to solve a set of linear equations. The number of the measurements and thus the number of the equations is generally chosen to be over determined to alleviate the effects of noise. First pointed out by Papadakis et al. [1] and later used by different researchers [2-4] the linear algebraic technique can be described as follows. To estimate  $d = [d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6]^T$ , six entries of the diffusion matrix corresponding to

 $D = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & d_4 & d_6 \\ d_4 & d_2 & d_5 \\ d_6 & d_5 & d_3 \end{bmatrix}$ 

one has to solve one of the following equations depending on the number of acquisitions denoted by  $\widehat{m}$ :

$$bV_g d = \widehat{p}$$
 or (1)

$$bV_g^{\rm T}V_g d = V_g^{\rm T}\widehat{p}.$$

<sup>© 2004</sup> Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup>Fax: +1 314 362 0526. ozcan@zach.wustl.edu. .

The scalar factor *b* is  $\delta^2 \left( \Delta - \frac{1}{3} \delta \right)$ , where  $\gamma$  is the gyromagnetic ratio,  $\delta$  is the length of and  $\Delta$  is the time between the rectangular diffusion gradient pulses in the pulsed gradient spin echo (PGSE) experiment. The result of the experiment is kept in a vector

 $\widehat{p} = -\gamma^{-2} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\widehat{S}_1}{S_0} \right) \cdots \ln \left( \frac{\widehat{S}_{\widehat{m}}}{S_0} \right) \right]^1$ , where  $\widehat{S}_i$  is the measurement corresponding to the *i*th diffusion gradient and  $S_0$  is the reference image. Define a nonlinear function *w* that maps the diffusion gradient vector  $g_i = [g_{ix} g_{iy} g_{iz}]$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , to a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ 

$$w(g_i) = \begin{bmatrix} g_{ix}^2 & g_{iy}^2 & g_{iz}^2 & 2g_{ix}g_{iy} & 2g_{iy}g_{iz} & 2g_{ix}g_{iz} \end{bmatrix},$$

then  $V_g$  is the  $\widehat{m} \times 6$  matrix

$$V_{g} = \begin{bmatrix} w(g_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ w(g_{m}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_{1x}^{2} & g_{1y}^{2} & g_{1z}^{2} & 2g_{1x}g_{1y} & 2g_{1y}g_{1z} & 2g_{1x}g_{1z} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ g_{\widehat{m}x}^{2} & g_{\widehat{m}y}^{2} & g_{\widehat{m}z}^{2} & 2g_{\widehat{m}x}g_{\widehat{m}y} & 2g_{\widehat{m}y}g_{\widehat{m}z} & 2g_{\widehat{m}x}g_{\widehat{m}z} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(3)

If the number of acquisitions is equal to six, d (thus D) is estimated by using Eq. (1), otherwise if the number of acquisitions is larger than six,  $\widehat{m} > 6$ , the expression of Eq. (2) is used. It is a standard fact from linear algebra [5] that in either case  $V_g$  must be full rank for the equations to have a unique solution. When  $\widehat{m}=6$ ,  $V_g$  is a (6 × 6) square matrix and the rank condition is equivalent to the invertibility of  $V_g$ . In the case  $\widehat{m} > 6$  the rank condition

guarantees the invertibility of the Gram matrix  $V_g^T V_g$ .

Note that in Eq. (3) there is no restriction on the norm of gradient vectors, they might or might not be unit vectors.

# 2. Necessary conditions for gradient vectors

By definition (Eq. (3))  $V_g$  is a  $\widehat{m} \times 6$  matrix. The previous section shows that in the case  $\widehat{m} > 6$  the Gram matrix of Eq. (2) will be invertible if and only if  $V_g$  has a  $6 \times 6$  submatrix which is invertible. This problem is equivalent to first choosing six gradient vectors  $g = \{g_1, \ldots, g_6\}$  such that the corresponding matrix  $V_g$  will be full rank. There has to be six gradient vectors guaranteeing the rank condition on  $V_g$  regardless of the number of aquisitions,  $\widehat{m} \ge 6$ .

It is stated without proof in [1,6-11] that for the equations to have a unique solution "the only requirement is that the six gradient vectors not all lie in the same plane and no two gradient vectors are collinear."<sup>1</sup> The statement is in fact only a necessary condition and is not complete. To see this note that neither any pair of the six gradient vectors given below are pointing to the same direction nor all six of them belong to the same two dimensional subspace and yet when  $V_g$  is calculated by Eq. (3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The term collinear is ambiguous. In a point space two points or the tips of two vectors are always collinear. It is clear to say no two vectors point to the same direction or belong to the same one dimensional subspace. Similarly, instead of the term coplanar, it is precise to say the vectors belong to a two dimensional subspace.

J Magn Reson. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 April 29.

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ g_3 \\ g_4 \\ g_5 \\ g_6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad V_g = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

its determinant  $|V_g| = 0$ , i.e.,  $V_g$  is not full rank.

.

As a preliminary to the problem in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  take a look at the problem in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ . The gradients and the corresponding matrix  $V_g$  are

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ g_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_{1x} & g_{1y} \\ g_{2x} & g_{2y} \\ g_{3x} & g_{3y} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad V_g = \begin{bmatrix} g_{1x}^2 & g_{1y}^2 & 2g_{1x}g_{1y} \\ g_{2x}^2 & g_{2y}^2 & 2g_{2x}g_{2y} \\ g_{3x}^2 & g_{3y}^2 & 2g_{3x}g_{3y} \end{bmatrix}.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that the determinant of  $V_g$  is given by the product of the determinants of the pairs of gradient direction vectors:

$$\operatorname{Det}(V_g) = 2 \begin{vmatrix} g_{1x} & g_{1y} \\ g_{2x} & g_{2y} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} g_{1x} & g_{1y} \\ g_{3x} & g_{3y} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} g_{2x} & g_{2y} \\ g_{3x} & g_{3y} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} g_{2x} & g_{2y} \\ g_{3x} & g_{3y} \end{vmatrix}.$$
(5)

.

The necessary and sufficient condition for nonsingularity in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is clear:

 $V_g$  is nonsingular if and only if no pair of gradient vectors are pointing to the same direction.

In  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , there is unfortunately no clear formula like Eq. (5) to describe the determinant of  $V_q$ that relates it to the diffusion gradients. The formula for the determinant can be obtained by direct calculation. For this remember that the number of three element subsets of

$$\{1,\ldots,6\}$$
 is  $\begin{pmatrix} 6\\3 \end{pmatrix} = 20$ . Let  $\Gamma_r = \{i, j, k\} \subset \{1,\ldots,6\}$ 

denote *r*th of these subsets with ordered elements i.e., i < j < k. Denote the complement of  $\Gamma_r$ by  $\{l,m,n\} = \{1, \dots, 6\}/\{i,j,k\}$ . Define

$$\alpha(i, j, k) = \begin{vmatrix} g_{ix} & g_{iy} & g_{iz} \\ g_{jx} & g_{jy} & g_{jz} \\ g_{kx} & g_{ky} & g_{kz} \end{vmatrix}$$

then the determinant is

$$\operatorname{Det}\left(V_{g}\right) = \sum_{r=1}^{20} (-1)^{(i+j+k+1)} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{r}\right) \times \left|\begin{array}{c}g_{lx} & g_{ly}\\g_{mx} & g_{my}\end{array}\right| \left|\begin{array}{c}g_{lx} & g_{ly}\\g_{nx} & g_{ny}\end{array}\right| \left|\begin{array}{c}g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{nx} & g_{ny}\end{array}\right| \left|\begin{array}{c}g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{mx} & g_{my}\end{array}\right| \left|\begin{array}{c}g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{mx} & g_{my}\end{array}\right| \left|\begin{array}{c}g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{mx} & g_{my}\end{array}\right| \left|\begin{array}{c}g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{mx} & g_{my}\end{array}\right| \left|\begin{array}{c}g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{mx} & g_{mx}\\g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{mx} & g_{mx}\\g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{mx} & g_{mx}\\g_{mx} & g_{my}\\g_{mx} & g_{mx}\\g_{mx} & g_{mx}\\g_{mx}\\g_{mx}$$

J Magn Reson. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 April 29.

Özcan

It is clear from the definition of  $V_g$  that if there exists  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for  $i \neq j$ ,  $g_i = ag_j$  then  $w(g_i) = a^2 w(g_j)$  and  $V_g$  will be singular. The first necessary condition for the nonsingularity of  $V_g$  is therefore:

(NC1) No two gradient vectors should point to the same direction.

Without loss of generality let  $g_{4}$ , $g_{5}$ , $g_{6}$  be linearly dependent without violating (NC1). This implies that there exists  $a_{1}$ ,  $a_{2} \neq 0$  such that  $g_{6} = a_{1}g_{4} + a_{2}g_{5}$ .

A direct calculation shows

$$\operatorname{Det}\left(V_{g}\right) = -8a_{1}a_{2}\alpha\left(1,2,3\right)\alpha\left(1,4,5\right)\alpha\left(2,4,5\right)\alpha\left(3,4,5\right).$$
(6)

One of the conditions this equation brings up is that  $g_1, g_2, g_3$  should be linearly independent: (see Fig. 1)

(NC2) If there is a triplet of vectors belonging to a two dimensional subspace that conform to (NC1), the remaining triplet should be linearly independent.

In addition Eq. (6) indicates that none of the vectors  $g_{1},g_{2},g_{3}$  should belong to the twodimensional subspace spanned by  $g_{4},g_{5}$ . To investigate this assume that four of the gradient vectors belong to the same two-dimensional subspace and are (**NC1**), say  $g_{3},g_{4},g_{5},g_{6}$ . This means that there exists  $a_{11},a_{12},a_{21},a_{22} \neq 0$  such that  $\text{Det}[a_{ij}] \neq 0$  with  $g_{5} = a_{11}g_{3} + a_{12}g_{4}$  and  $g_{6} = a_{21}g_{3} + a_{22}g_{4}$ . A straightforward calculation shows that

 $a_{21}a_{22}\left(w\left(g_{5}\right)-a_{11}w\left(g_{3}\right)-a_{12}w\left(g_{4}\right)\right)=a_{11}a_{12}\left(w\left(g_{6}\right)-q_{21}w\left(g_{3}\right)-a_{22}w\left(g_{4}\right)\right).$ 

The rows of  $V_g$  corresponding to those directions are linearly dependent. Therefore:

(NC3) No four gradient vectors should belong to the same two dimensional subspace.

Notice that all of the conditions above are described in a coordinate free manner, since being an element of a subspace and linear independence are in fact properties that are independent of coordinate frame. This important fact provides the possibility of constructing different valid gradient sets starting from one set by means of nonsingular linear transformations.

It is crucial to note that the conditions are only necessary and are *not* necessary and sufficient.

# 3. Conclusion

In any DTI experiment where the diffusion matrix is to be estimated completely (i.e., all six elements), the initial step must be to choose six diffusion gradients that will make the corresponding  $V_g$  invertible. This skeleton gradients guarantee that the addition of more diffusion gradients or more acquisitions to the experiment will be safe. The earlier condition given in [6] that requires not all of the gradients to be in the same subspace, is not complete.

J Magn Reson. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 April 29.

Interested reader is encouraged to do the calculations for the following set of gradients with unit norm to see that although the number of acquisitions is larger than six and that not all of the gradients are in the same two-dimensional subspace, still the system of linear equations Eq. (2) does not posses a unique solution:

$\begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ g_3 \\ g_4 \\ g_5 \\ g_6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} g_7 \\ g_8 \\ g_9 \\ g_{10} \\ g_{11} \\ g_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{-1}{2} \\ \frac{-1}{2} \\ \frac{-1}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$	(7)
--	---	---	-----

Moreover, there is no six element subset of these gradients which makes the corresponding square  $V_g$  invertible.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was supported, in part, by the Washington University Small Animal Imaging Resource, a National Cancer Institute funded Small Animal Imaging Resource Program facility (R24-CA83060) and NIH grant number N01NS92319. Special thanks to JMR reviewers for their valuable comments, Tom Conturo, Heinz Schättler for reviewing the manuscript and Nikolaos "Çekiç" Tsekos for his support.

#### References

- Papadakis NA, Xing D, Huang CL-H, Hall LD, Carpenter TA. A comparative study of acquisition schemes for diffusion tensor imaging using MRI. J. Magn. Reson. 1999; 137:67–82. [PubMed: 10053134]
- [2]. Hassan K, Parker DL, Alexander AL. Comparison of gradient encoding schemes for diffusiontensor MRI. J. Magn. Reson. Imag. 2001; 13:769–780.
- [3]. Skare S, Hedehus M, Moseley ME, Li T-Q. Condition number as a measure of noise performance of diffusion tensor data acquisition schemes with MRI. J. Magn. Reson. 2000; 147:340–352.
   [PubMed: 11097823]
- [4]. Batchelor P, Atkinson D, Hill D, Calamante F, Connelly A. Anisotropic noise propagation in diffusion tensor MRI sampling schemes. Magn. Reson. Med. 2003; 49:1143–1151. [PubMed: 12768593]
- [5]. Luenberger, DG. Optimization by Vector Space Methods. Wiley; New York: 1969.
- [6]. Basser PJ, Matiello J, LeBihan D. Estimation of the effective self-diffusion tensor from the NMR spin echo. J. Magn. Reson. Ser. A. 1994; 103:247–254.
- [7]. Basser PJ, Pierpaoli C. Microstructural and physiological features of tissues elucidated by quantitative-diffusion-tensor MRI. J. Magn. Reson. Ser. B. 1996; 111:209–219. [PubMed: 8661285]
- [8]. Papadakis NA, Murrils CD, Hall LD, Huang CL-H, Carpenter TA. Minimal gradient encoding for robust estimation of diffusion anisotropy. Magn. Resonan. Imag. 2000; 18:671–679.
- [9]. Westin C-F, Maier SE, Mamata H, Nabavi A, Jolesz FA, Kikinis R. Processing and visualization for diffusion tensor MRI. Med. Image Anal. 2002; 6:93–108. [PubMed: 12044998]
- [10]. Ito R, Mori S, Melhem ER. Diffusion tensor brain imaging and tractography. Neuroimag. Clin. North Am. 2002; 12(1):1–19.
- [11]. Basser PJ, Pierpaoli C. A simplified method to measure the diffusion tensor from seven MR images. Magn. Reson. Med. 1998; 39:928–934. [PubMed: 9621916]

J Magn Reson. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 April 29.



