

The epidemic of nonmelanoma skin cancer and the widespread use of statins

Is there a connection?

Luca Mascitelli,^{1,*} Francesca Pezzetta² and Mark R. Goldstein³

¹Comando Brigata Alpina "Julia"; Medical Service; Udine, Italy; ²Cardiology Service; Ospedale di Tolmezzo; Tolmezzo, Italy; ³Fountain Medical Court; Bonita Springs, FL USA

We hypothesize that some of the mechanisms involved in the "epidemic" of nonmelanoma skin cancer might also be due to widespread use of cholesterol lowering statin drugs.

It has recently been reported that the incidence of nonmelanoma skin cancer (NMSC) in the United States has substantially increased from 1992 through 2006 with a 4.2% yearly average increase cases.¹ Although exposure to ultraviolet radiation is an important initiating factor in skin cancer, the exact relationship between NMSC risk and nature, extent and timing of exposure remains poorly understood. An emerging important risk factor for NMSC is immunosuppression, which can justify the increase prevalence of these tumors in the elderly who are relatively immunosuppressed.² In fact, immunosuppressive treatments seem to act as a catalyst for skin carcinogenesis as they increase the frequency, number and aggressiveness of such tumors.³ In a population of kidney graft recipients it has been reported an approximately 90-fold increased risk of NMSC 3 years after transplantation than the general population,⁴ and an approximately 52-fold risk 10 years after transplantation than in an age and sex-adjusted general population.⁵ Furthermore, in a population of heart transplant patients, whose age at transplant is older than in individuals undergoing renal transplant,⁶ it has been found a very high burden of NMSC compared to general population.^{6,7}

In this setting, we hypothesize that some of the mechanisms involved in this

"epidemic" of NMSC might also be due to the widespread use of cholesterol lowering statin drugs. A recent analysis using National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data found that only in the period 1999–2006 the use of statins significantly increased from 8.0% to 13.4% of the screened population.⁸

It is widely acknowledged that statins have immunomodulatory properties,⁹ and their use has been just proposed for the prevention of pediatric graft coronary artery disease after cardiac transplantation.¹⁰ In fact, statin drugs have been shown to modulate the immune system through coordinated activity in various arms of the immune reaction. In particular, one of the mechanisms involved in this immunosuppressive action is related to the statin-induced increase in the peripheral blood concentration of regulatory T cells (Tregs) by increasing the expression of the transcription factor, forkhead box P3.^{11,12} This unique pleiotropic action of statins may be a mixed blessing among certain adult populations taking statin therapy for dyslipidemia.¹³ A statin-induced increase in Tregs may be beneficial by decreasing the function of effector T cells in the atherosclerotic plaque, resulting in a more stable plaque.¹⁴ On the contrary, an increase in Tregs may impair host anti-tumor immune response by suppressing the tumor specific effector T cell response, leading to an increased risk of cancer.¹⁵ Indeed, *in situ* analysis of Tregs in cutaneous premalignant and malignant squamous lesions has demonstrated that the population of Tregs and dendritic cells

Key words: cholesterol, epidemiology, immunomodulation, nonmelanoma skin cancer, risk factors, statins, transplantation

Abbreviations: NMSC, nonmelanoma skin cancer; NHANES, national health and nutrition examination survey; Tregs, regulatory T cells; 4S, scandinavian simvastatin survival study; HPS, heart protection study

Submitted: 04/06/10

Accepted: 04/20/10

Previously published online:
www.landesbioscience.com/journals/dermatoendocrinology/article/12128

*Correspondence to: Luca Mascitelli;
 Email: lumasci@libero.it

were increased in Bowen's disease and cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma compared to actinic keratosis.¹⁶ Furthermore, Tregs infiltration was closely related with the number of infiltrating dendritic cells, and Tregs were also located in direct proximity to dendritic cells, thus suggesting that Tregs are related to cutaneous squamous tumor progression.

Data from statin trials are revealing. In the two first simvastatin trials, the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S),¹⁷ and the Heart Protection Study (HPS),¹⁸ NMSC was observed more often in the treatment groups. In the 4S, there were 13 NMSC in the statin group (0.6%), and 6 (0.3%) in the placebo group.¹⁷ In the HPS, in simvastatin-allocated participants were diagnosed 243 NMSC (2.4%), vs. 202 (2.0%) in placebo-allocated individuals.¹⁸ The difference is statistically significant if the results from both studies are combined (in simvastatin groups, 256 of the 12,490 participants; and in control groups, 208 of the 12,490 participants; $p = 0.028$). For unknown reasons, NMSC have been excluded in all reports from subsequent statin trials.

Therefore, it is plausible that the epidemic of NMSC might be related to the

widespread use of statin drugs. We feel this information is important since there is a push to treat wider segments of the population with higher doses of statins.¹⁹

References

1. Rogers HW, Weinstock MA, Harris AR, Hinckley MR, Feldman SR, Fleischer AB, et al. Incidence estimate of nonmelanoma skin cancer in the United States, 2006. *Arch Dermatol* 2010; 146:6-7.
2. Gruver AL, Hudson LL, Sempowski GD. Immunosenescence of ageing. *J Pathol* 2007; 211:144-56.
3. Euvrard S, Kanitakis J, Claudy A. Skin cancers after organ transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 348:1681-91.
4. Kasiske B, Snyder J, Gilbertson D, Wang C. Cancer after kidney transplantation in the United States. *Am J Transplant* 2004; 4:905-13.
5. Wimmer CD, Rentsch M, Crispin A, Illner WD, Arbogast H, Graeb C, et al. The janus face of immunosuppression—de novo malignancy after renal transplantation: the experience of the Transplantation Center Munich. *Kidney Int* 2007; 71:1271-8.
6. Wu JJ, Orengo IF. Squamous cell carcinoma in solid-organ transplantation. *Dermatol Online J* 2002; 8:4.
7. Brewer JD, Colegio OR, Phillips PK, Roenigk RK, Jacobs MA, Van de Beek D, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for skin cancer after heart transplant. *Arch Dermatol* 2009; 145:1391-6.
8. Kuklina EV, Yoon PW, Keenan NL. Trends in high levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in the United States, 1999–2006. *JAMA* 2009; 302:2104-10.
9. Goldstein MR, Mascitelli L, Pezzetta F. The double-edged sword of statin immunomodulation. *Int J Cardiol* 2009; 135:128-30.
10. Chin C, Lukito SS, Shek J, Bernstein D, Perry SB. Prevention of pediatric graft coronary artery disease: atorvastatin. *Pediatr Transplant* 2008; 12:442-6.
11. Mausner-Fainberg K, Luboshits G, Mor A, et al. The effect of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors on naturally occurring CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells. *Atherosclerosis* 2008; 197:829-39.
12. Goldstein MR, Mascitelli L, Pezzetta F. Statins, regulatory T cells, and pediatric graft coronary artery disease. *Pediatr Transplant* 2009; 13:139-40.
13. Goldstein MR, Mascitelli L, Pezzetta F. Statins, Tregs and cancer. *Atherosclerosis* 2008; 196:483-4.
14. Mallat Z, Ait-Oufella H, Tedgui A. Regulatory T cell responses: Potential role in the control of atherosclerosis. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 2005; 16:518-24.
15. Curiel TJ. Tregs and rethinking cancer immunotherapy. *J Clin Invest* 2007; 117:1167-74.
16. Jang TJ. Prevalence of Foxp3 positive T regulatory cells is increased during progression of cutaneous squamous tumors. *Yonsei Med J* 2008; 49:942-8.
17. Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4,444 patients with coronary heart disease the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). *Lancet* 1994; 344:1383-9.
18. Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. MRC/BHF heart protection study of cholesterol lowering in 20536 high-risk individuals: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2002; 360:7-22.
19. Ridker PM, Friedewald VE, Davidson MH, Willerson JT, Roberts WC. The Editor's roundtable: The JUPITER Trial—initial results and clinical implications. *Am J Cardiol* 2009; 103:1417-25.