



Published in final edited form as:
Nurs Econ. 2010 ; 28(5): 323–329.

Complex Home Care: Part II-Family Annual Income, Insurance Premium and Out-of- Pocket Expenses

Ubolrat Piamjariyakul, RN, PhD[Research Associate Professor],
University of Kansas School of Nursing, School of Nursing Building, 3901 Rainbow Boulevard
Mail Stop 4043, Kansas City, KS 66160-7502

Donna Macan Yadrich, BS, MPA, CCRP[Project Coordinator],
University of Kansas School of Nursing

Vicki M. Ross, RN, PhD[Research Assistant Professor],
University of Kansas School of Nursing

Carol E. Smith, RN, PhD, FAAN, Professor,
Professor of Nursing, Preventive Medicine and Public Health, University of Kansas School of
Nursing

Faye Clements, RNC, BS[Research Nursing], and
University of Kansas School of Nursing

Arthur R. Williams, PhD, MA (Econ), MPA[Director]
Center for Health Outcomes and Health Services Research, Children's Mercy Hospitals and
Clinics, Professor, College of Medicine University of Missouri Kansas City, Kansas City, MO

Abstract

The goals of this study were to provide data on the annual family income and payment for health insurance coverage and out-of-pocket expenses that are not reimbursed by third-party payers for managing complex home care. Costs reported for annual insurance premiums varied widely as did costs of deductibles, co-payments, non-reimbursed supplies, travel, or child care. The mean total out-of-pocket non-reimbursed expenses averaged \$17, 923 per year per family. This series of articles presents these financial costs in relation to complex home care outcomes.

Keywords

Home Parenteral Nutrition; out-of-pocket expenses; home care; health services; complex chronic care

The out-of-pocket healthcare costs paid by families managing complex technology-based home health care are often absent or under-reported (Clabaugh & Ward, 2008; Stanton & Rutherford, 2005) and have yet to be systematically tabulated or estimated (National Association for Home Care and Hospice, 2008). Annual costs paid by families intravenous infusion of home parenteral nutrition (HPN) health insurance premiums, deductibles, copayments for health services, and the wide range of out-of-pocket home health care expenses are presented. Subsequently, the economic impact of these non-reimbursed expenses on family quality of life and patient's clinical outcomes are analyzed.

Background

The definition of out-of-pocket costs varies and may include any one or a combination of expenditures paid by families for insurance premiums, deductibles, copayments for health services, or items not covered by insurance such as home health personnel needed to assist with patient care and home care supplies, transportation, and costs to obtain services (Hwang, Weller, Ireys, & Anderson, 2001; Naessens et al, 2008).

For this study, out-of-pocket costs were defined as medically related expenses for HPN care and services not reimbursed by insurance. Insurance premiums were defined as the premiums families paid annually for the HPN patient's health insurance. This average does not include any premium portion paid by employers or government payers. The deductible costs were defined as the amount that is paid each year for various patient services before insurance coverage of these expenses begins (Mosby, 2008). Copayments were defined as the fixed amount paid at the time of receiving health services, often \$10 to \$50 for each doctor's appointment or \$500 for emergency room visits.

Most plans require copayments for annual physicals and other specifically identified health services (Lightbulb Press, 2008). Deductible payments for emergency room and other out-of-pocket costs were tabulated on an annual basis. Premiums, deductibles, and copayments for physicians and prescriptions were reported by patients on a monthly basis. The use of these clear and comprehensive definitions for what constitutes out-of-pocket costs enhances the clarity of data collected in this study (Health Insurance Information, 2009).

Study Design and Methods

Data were collected for this Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved study from patients who provided signed consent forms to participate. The 80 families were recruited through home care infusion agencies and Oley Foundation a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization for families managing HPN. Data collection methods included the completion of a health services/out-of-pocket expense questionnaire and semi-structured interview questions about health insurance coverage. This use of prospective expense questionnaires for collection of the wide range of out-of-pocket expenses has been validated in several studies (Goossens, Rutten-van Mülken, Vlaeyen, & van der Linden, 2000; Hoogendoorn, van Wetering, Schols, & Rutten-van Mülken, 2009; Ritter et al, 2001; Saba & Arnold, 2004; Stark, König & Leidl, 2006), including the national survey by the U.S. Medical Expenditure Panel Survey collected across decades by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ, 2009a; Cohen, Howard, & Smith, 2003).

Family income adequacy was also measured using the Family Economic Stability Survey (Fillenbaum & Smyer, 1981). Using this income adequacy survey, patients rated their ability to pay monthly bills as "can't make ends meet," "have just enough no more," "have a little extra sometimes," or "always have money left over." As in other studies this survey results were found reliable with rating of patients and caregivers living in the same household highly correlated (Smith, 1999; Smith, et al., 2003; Smith, Fernengel, Werkowitch, & Holcroft, 1992). In prior studies, health care economists have judged the Family Economic Stability Survey to be valid and reliable for obtaining information on health services use and family income adequacy (Lee, 2002; Smith, Kleinbeck, Fernengel, & Mayer, 1997; Spaniol, 2002; Williams, 2008).

Sample

The sample for this study included patients requiring lifelong HPN for nonmalignant bowel diseases and their family caregivers, mean age 50 years and 49 years respectively. One-third

(33%) of the patients and 42% of the caregivers were male. All patients selected white as their race except 1 African-American. Caucasians are disproportionately affected by Crohn's the disease resulting in 97% of HPN (Crohn's/Colitis Foundation, 2010). The caregivers were 89.7% (n=70) white, 5.1% (n=4) Hispanic, 1 caregiver was American Indian/Alaskan Native and 1 Asian while 2 reported their race as "other". Patients in this sample had required lifesaving HPN care for an average of 8 years (SD= 8 years). The majority of subjects (88%) had some college education.

Results

Family Income Adequacy

Fifty-five percent of these survey respondents were on medical disability, while 27% of patients and 68% caregivers were employed. Also, 12% of patients and 16% of caregivers were retired. Patients reported annual family income ranging from \$10,000 to \$100,000 per year with the median between \$20,000 and \$30,000 per year. One patient reported their family income for the year as \$3000. There was consensus on family income data reported by patients and their caregiver. There were 12 families with more than 1 caregiver and each of these secondary caregivers was either not employed or did not contribute to family income. And there was no significant difference in these 12 caregiver pairs on their income adequacy ratings. When patients were asked to rate the adequacy of family income compared to paying monthly expenses, 29.6% reported having "just enough" money to pay monthly bills and "no more," while 43% reported "having enough, with a little extra sometimes." On the extremes of this rating scale 11.3% reported they "can't make ends meet," while only 16.2% "always have money left over."

Table 1 shows a comparison of family income adequacy ratings from this current sample of patients with income adequacy ratings obtained from a sample of HPN patients in an earlier study (Smith et al., 2002). As shown in the right hand column, since 2002 there has been a 4.9% increase in the proportion of patients stating they "can't make ends meet," a 7% increase in those who have "just enough money to pay bills, and no more," and 21.5% fewer who "have a little extra sometimes." In this current sample, however, the percentage reporting that they "always have money left over" after paying monthly bills was 9.7% greater than in the 2002 HPN sample. Patients and caregivers in this study reported the need to keep a job in order to maintain health insurance coverage.

Health Insurance Coverage Expenses Paid by Families

Eighty families reported on the types of insurance they had for HPN coverage. One patient was uninsured. HPN families reported a wide range of medical insurers and an assortment of plans offered by the same insurer. Overall, 55% of these 80 families had private insurance with 67% having more than one health insurance policy. Over a third (37%) had Medicare insurance.

Only 12 families were aware of the individual lifetime maximum benefit limit of their insurance policy. Three families reported no restriction on the lifetime maximum amount that would be covered by their insurance plan. Those few subjects who knew their lifetime maximum coverage allowed reported a range of \$1 million to \$5 million.

Subsequently, thirty families completed the expanded health insurance premium costs questionnaire that detailed information about the amounts spent for patients' insurance, premiums, copayments, deductibles, and out-of-pocket HPN healthcare expenses. Though all reported that their current health insurance plans covered some HPN-related expenses, 20% rated their health insurance coverage as inadequate for HPN costs, and 23% rated their coverage for other family members as inadequate.

Health Insurance Premiums Data

The monthly range, midpoint, and estimated annual out-of-pocket costs including premiums, deductibles, co-payments and other out-of-pocket expenses are shown in Table 2. Twenty-five families reported health insurance premium costs ranged from \$100 to \$1,700 per month (midpoint \$350 per month or \$4,200 annually). Six families paid no medical insurance premiums as the patients were covered by a state high-risk plan. One patient met low income criteria for Medicaid, and one family's employer paid their premium. Medical equipment (i.e., HPN intravenous pumps and syringes) was generally included within the medical insurance plan.

Additional premiums for prescription coverage, ranging from \$10 to \$87 each month (median \$46), were paid by six families. One family had a medical assistance supplemental plan that paid for their annual prescription drug premium. Thus, the overall average out-of-pocket annual cost for health insurance premiums was \$4,200. With additional enrollment in a separate prescription plan, the annual average insurance premiums could rise to \$4,752.

Deductibles Cost Data

Two types of deductibles were reported by families: (1) an annual amount for all services covered under the insurance plan and (2) additional deductible amounts to be paid for specific health services such as emergency room visits or outpatient surgery admissions. Deductible amounts for the same service often differed among families. If families used providers in the insurance company's network, the amount of the deductible was reported to be less than if they used the services of out-of-network providers.

Fourteen of the 30 families reported they had annual out-of-pocket health insurance plan deductibles (in-network). Five families did not have any out-of-pocket deductible costs that year, and three reported their deductibles were paid by their state high-risk insurance pool. Ten patients listed the amount of the medical plan deductibles as ranging from \$1,000 to \$3,000 (mode \$3,000).

Among these families the annual deductible for in-network health care services was \$1,500, whereas non-network services required a \$3,000 deductible to be met before insurance benefits would be applied. Deductible rates for emergency room visits were reported by three families. Two were expected to pay a \$50 deductible, and the third family would expect to pay a \$500 deductible for each emergency room visit.

Copayments Cost Data

Most health plans also required the insured to share the costs of health services in the form of copayments. These copayments were often due at the time of service. Twenty of the families provided information regarding the HPN consumers' copayments, and 10 of those reported that the amount charged varied based on the specific health service utilized. These charges might be fixed amounts or a percentage of the allowed charge (with 20% the most frequently reported). Whether based upon a fixed amount or a percentage, the most common copayment for a physician appointment ranged from \$10 to \$40. Twenty seven percent of these patients had public insurance only; they reported MD office co-payments ranging from \$25-\$40 while patients with private insurance only had office visit co-payments between \$10 to \$50.

Deductibles for emergency room and other out-of-pocket costs were estimated on an annual basis and incurred at time of utilization. Deductibles and copayments for physicians and prescriptions were collected on a monthly basis. Four families did not pay any copayments. For three families, copayments were paid by the state high-risk insurance pools, and the

fourth family's insurer paid 100% of medical costs after the \$3,000 deductible was paid by the family.

Nineteen families also reported rates for prescription drug copayments. Eleven of the 19 families reported charges based on a tiered prescription payment system with smaller copayments for generic and formulary drugs and larger copayments for non-formulary or brand name prescriptions. The most common copayment for generic drug prescriptions was 20% of the cost, reported as ranging from \$2.25 to \$20 (mode \$20). Also reported were high copayments of up to 50% (a four-fold increase) for non-formulary drugs with those costs ranging from \$5.60 to \$100 (median \$39). Mail order prescriptions had a different set of copayments. Two families paid fixed rates for all drugs, one family paid \$5.00 per prescription, and the other paid \$1.00 for each drug. For these families the annual average copayments for physician visits were \$300 and for prescriptions were \$77.

Other Out-of-Pocket Health Expenses Related to HPN

All families reported out-of-pocket HPN-related expenses that were not covered by insurance. These costs were for over-the-counter (OTC) medications, supplies, furniture/transport devices, travel, long distance telephone costs for health services, child care, housekeeping, and home care assistance. Families reported spending \$0 to \$200 a month (median=\$240 per year) on OTC medications, and \$0 to \$125 per month (median = \$60 per year) on OTC supplies. The midpoint out-of-pocket expense for items such as furniture to organize HPN supplies and/or wheelchairs was \$490 per year.

Five of these families had children under 18 in the household indicating legal and financial responsibilities. However, there was no significant difference between the ratings of family income adequacy for families with or without children ($\chi^2=2.54, p=.469$). Other out-of-pocket expenses reported by these families included a median of \$279 for equipment (e.g., bags, pump, etc.) and \$360 per year for long distance telephone calls to their health care providers. Eleven families required housekeeping assistance, and the median costs were \$1,616 per year. The median travel cost for gas and highway tolls for HPN clinic visits was \$570, while \$900 per year was spent for home care assistance. Ten families reported that they attended the Oley Foundation or a similar HPN education conference. The reported conference costs ranged from \$479 to \$3,500 (median = \$1,581 per year). The median for child care expenses was \$4,000 per year (n=5).

Twenty-three percent of these families reported that some or all of their OTC medication and supply costs were tax deductible or met criteria for reimbursement from their Flexible Spending or Health Saving Accounts. Only 17 of these families had Flexible Spending Accounts, and 11% had Health Saving Accounts. The remainder of the families either did not know or were not eligible for such tax savings plans that could cover some of their out-of-pocket health care expenses.

Summary of All Out-of-Pocket Expenses

These families reported a variety of insurance types and premium costs and a wide range of out-of-pocket amounts paid for HPN-related care. The median in these out-of-pocket expenditure categories was used to estimate the average spent per year. Overall, the out-of-pocket expenses for health insurance premiums could be as high as \$4,752 if the family required a supplemental prescription plan. Based on data from this sample, HPN patients pay an average of \$3,627 per year out-of-pocket for copayments and deductibles and up to \$10,096 per year for expenses such as long distance phone calls, home care assistance, child care, housekeeping services, and travel costs for medical services or for attending a medical education conference. Copayments for emergency room visits and other out-of-pocket costs

were estimated on an annual basis. Premiums, deductibles, and copayments for physician's visits and prescriptions were reported on a monthly basis (See Table 2).

Discussion

Data from this study suggests that costs to families for annual health insurance premiums and other HPN-related out-of-pocket expenses are substantial and widely variable. All totaled, the HPN families pay an average of \$17,923 per year out-of-pocket for HPN. In addition, as found in (Piamjariyakul et al., 2010), these families' average non-reimbursed billing costs (typically 20% of charges) for health service use and one yearly hospitalization was \$12,943. Thus, HPN families' total annual average out-of-pocket plus non-reimbursed costs of \$30,866, is startling when compared to the average annual U.S. household income in 2007 of \$50,233 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2008). Potentially half of the annual family income may be required for out-of-pocket costs related to HPN.

Further, a German study of costs related to inflammatory bowel disease (the most common reason for HPN) found that over half of the non-reimbursed health care costs were attributed by families to loss of work time and necessary early retirement (Stark, König, & Leidl, 2006). A study limitation is that it did not address work time loss or career delays because of HPN. For HPN patients and caregivers who are in the prime of their working careers, with children and mortgages, the financial impact of missing work or opportunities for promotion could be dramatic with a lifelong effect.

In the United States, out-of-pocket costs for OTC supplies, travel for health services and long distance phone calls to professionals are not typically included in third party payers cost of care. In France, where specialty centers manage HPN, these out-of-pocket costs to families are reimbursed for travel expenses and home care services (Tu Duy Khiem-El Aatmani et al, 2006).

As in other chronic home care studies, annual out-of-pocket expenditures of families in this sample varied from none to thousands of dollars per year (Bernard, 2007). Deductibles and copayment amounts varied even within the same insurance company depending on the type of plan the patient qualified for and costs were reported to continue to increase annually. In 2008, the average employee paid \$3,394 to cover their family's medical insurance premium while our data revealed HPN families pay \$4,200 out-of-pocket (AHRQ 2009b). In some instances, HPN patients who met criteria for publicly funded insurance state high-risk insurance pools, reported paying little or no out-of-pocket costs, also they worried that coverage was being depleted statewide (Zerzan, Edlund, Krois, & Smith, 2007). The National Coalition on Health Care (2008) reported that workers paid 12% more for employer sponsored health insurance premiums in 2008 than they did in 2007. It is notable that in 77.9% of those filing for medically related bankruptcy already had health insurance (Himmelstein, Thorne, Warren, & Woolhandler, 2009). This high rate of medically related bankruptcies aligns with our data revealing each family has large annual out-of-pocket expenses, costly health insurance premiums, deductibles, co-payments and supply or equipment bills to pay (Zerzan et al., 2007).

Compared to data from a previous national HPN population, the proportion of patients in this current sample who qualified for medical disability benefits increased from 40% to 55%. Comparisons in Table 1 between this sample and another HPN population collected in 2002 (Smith, 2002) revealed 5 to 7% more patients in the current sample rated their family income as "can't make ends meet" and reported they "have just enough but no more" after paying bills. Also, these income adequacy ratings found a significant 21% decrease in families having only "a little extra money left over" after paying their monthly bills. In

contrast, in this current study there was an increase compared to the 2002 HPN sample of almost 10% of families reporting “always have money left over.” The increased percentages of those reporting always having money left over and those having less than enough money in this sample is not explained by the known association between higher incomes and increasing age (Swartz, 2006). However, these data may align with the past decade in the U.S. economy where “the poor become poorer” and those at higher income levels gained more disposable income (OECD, 2008). Yet the unreimbursed and out-of-pocket expenses families paid for HPN care were reported to rapidly deplete family savings.

Implications and Conclusions

At the time of this study, families in the U.S. with chronic illnesses were reported to pay more than 10% of their income for out-of-pocket expenses for their health services (Bernard, 2007). Yet, our interview data confirmed that even with insurance coverage (often with multiple plans and/or disability coverage) HPN families report expensive insurance premiums, co-payments, deductibles, and numerous other out-of-pocket costs which can easily exceed 10% of their income. While these families were thankful for having insurance, several also noted the financial burden that results from increasing premiums and deductibles and the copayments for health care professional services.

The costs of managing complex chronic care at home cannot be completely understood until all out-of-pocket costs have been defined, described and tabulated. Non-reimbursed and out-of-pocket costs paid by families over years for complex chronic care negatively impact the financial stability of families which flexible spending account could help (Vaughan, 2009). We strongly recommend that all future economic impact studies include data collection on out-of-pocket costs.

These data indicated that national health care reform must take into account the long term financial burdens of families caring for those with complex home care. Any changes that may increase the out-of-pocket costs or health insurance costs to these families can also have negative long term impact on society when greater numbers of patients declares bankruptcy or qualify for medical disability. Advocacy for these families by Oley Foundation, health professional groups and third party payers include designing approaches that help reduce non-reimbursed expenses.

Acknowledgments

This project described was part of a larger study supported by National Institute of Nursing Research (NINR) Grant # R01 NR009078. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Nursing Research or the National Institutes of Health.

The authors extend their appreciation to all families who shared their detailed information and to the research staff involved in this study. We also want to thank Theresa Davis for her skilled preparation of these manuscripts.

References

- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Medical Expenditure Panel Survey. 2009a. Retrieved from http://www.meps.ahrq.gov/mepsweb/survey_comp/survey.jsp#Questionnaires
- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) Statistical Brief #251. 2009b. Employer-Sponsored Single, Employee-Plus-One, and Family Health Insurance: Selection and Cost, 2008.
- Bernard, D. Statistical Brief #159. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; Rockville, Md: 2007. Out-of-Pocket Expenditures on Health Care among the Nonelderly Population. Retrieved from http://www.meps.ahrq.gov/mepsweb/data_files/publications/st159/stat159.pdf

- Clabaugh G, Ward MM. A systematic review of methodologies used for direct cost. *Value in Health*. 2008; 11(1):13–21. [PubMed: 18237356]
- Cohen, S.; Howard, L.; Smith, C. Living with HPN: A Caregivers Perspective, Caring for the Caregiver Support Implications Panel. ASPEN Scientific Symposium; San Antonio, TX. 2003. p. 313
- Crohn's & Colitis Foundation of America. Living with Crohn's disease. 2010. Retrieved from <http://www.cdfa.org/frameviewer/?url=/media/pdf/livingwithcd52010.pgf>
- Fillenbaum GG, Smyer MA. The development, validity and reliability of the OARS multidimensional functional assessment questionnaire. *The Journal of Gerontology*. 1981; 36:428–434.
- Goossens ME, Rutten-van Mólken MO, Vlaeyen JW, van der Linden SM. The cost diary: a method to measure direct and indirect costs in cost-effectiveness research. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 2000; 53(7):688–95. [PubMed: 10941945]
- Health Insurance Information. How much are out of pocket costs?. 2009. Retrieved from <http://www.healthinsurance.info/HIOUT.HTM>
- Himmelstein DU, Thorne D, Warren E, Woolhandler S. Medical Bankruptcy in the United States, 2007: Results of a National Study. *The American Journal of Medicine*. 2009; 122(8):741–46. [PubMed: 19501347]
- Hoogendoorn M, van Wetering CR, Schols AM, Rutten-van Mólken MP. Self-report versus care provider registration of healthcare utilization: impact on cost and cost-utility. *International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care*. 2009; 25(4):588–95. [PubMed: 19845991]
- Hwang W, Weller W, Ireys H, Anderson G. Out-Of-Pocket Medical Spending for Care of Chronic Conditions. *Health Affairs*. 2001; 20(6):267–276. [PubMed: 11816667]
- Lee, RE. Personal Communication. Robert Lee, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Health Policy and Management, University of Kansas, Personal communication. 2002.
- Lightbulb Press Inc. Dictionary of Financial terms. Lightbulb Press Inc; 2008. Retrieved from http://www.lightbulbpress.com/financial_content/dictionary.html
- Mollica, RL.; Kassner, E.; Walker, L.; Houser, AN. Taking the Long View: Investing in Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services Is Cost-Effective; Research Report. 2009. p. 1-8. Retrieved from http://www.aarp.org/research/assistance/medicaid/i26_hcbs.html
- Mosby. Mosby's Medical Dictionary. 8. New York: Elsevier; 2008.
- Naessens JM, Khan M, Shah ND, Wagie A, Pautz RA, Campbell CR. Effect of premium, copayments, and health status on the choice of health plans. *Medical Care*. 2008; 46(10):1033–40. [PubMed: 18815524]
- National Association for Home Care and Hospice. Basic Statistics about Home Care. 2008. Retrieved from http://www.nahc.org/facts/08HC_Stats.pdf
- National Coalition on Health Care. Health Insurance Costs. 2008. Retrieved from <http://www.nchc.org/facts/cost.shtml>
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). Income distribution and poverty in OECD countries. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2008. Growing unequal?.
- Piamjariyakul U, Ross VM, Yadrich DM, Williams AR, Howard L, Smith CE. Complex home care: Part I-Utilization and costs to families for health care services each year. *Nursing Economic*. 2010; 28(4):255–263.
- Ritter PL, Stewart AL, Kaymaz H, Sobel DS, Block DA, Lorig KR. Self-reports of health care utilization compared to provider records. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 2001; 5(2):136–41. [PubMed: 11166528]
- Saba VK, Arnold JM. A clinical care costing method. *Study in Health Technology and Informatics*. 2004; 107(Pt 2):1371–1373.
- Smith CE. Caregiving effectiveness in families managing complex technology at home: Replication of a model. *Nursing Research*. 1999; 48(3):120–128. [PubMed: 10337843]
- Smith, CE. Technological Home Care: Costs and Quality of Life. 2002. National Institute of Nursing Research Grant # R01 NR04094.
- Smith CE, Curtas S, Kleinbeck SVM, Werkowitch M, Mosier M, Seidner DL, Steiger E. Clinical trial of interactive and videotaped educational interventions to reduce catheter-related infection,

- reactive depression, and rehospitalizations for sepsis in patients receiving home parenteral nutrition. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*. 2003; 27(2):137–145. [PubMed: 12665170]
- Smith CE, Fernengel K, Werkowitch M, Holcroft C. Financial and psychological costs of high technology home care. *Nursing Economic\$*. 1992; 10(5):369–372. [PubMed: 1465162]
- Smith CE, Kleinbeck SVM, Fernengel K, Mayer LS. Efficiency of families managing home health care of an adult dependent on a mechanical ventilator. *Annals of Operations Research*. 1997; 73(30):157–75.
- Smith CE, Pace K, Kochinda C, Kleinbeck SV, Koehler J, Popkess-Vawter S. Caregiving Effectiveness Model evolution to a midrange theory of home care: A process for critique and replication. *Advances in Nursing Science*. 2002; 25(1):50–64. [PubMed: 12889577]
- Spaniol, R. Dissertation. University of Kansas; 2002. Resource Use Among Families Managing Technologically Complex Care at Home.
- Stanton, MW.; Rutherford, MK. The high concentration of U.S. health care expenditures. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Research in Action; 2005. AHRQ Pub. No. 06-0060
- Stark R, König HH, Leidl R. Costs of inflammatory bowel disease in Germany. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2006; 24(8):797–814. [PubMed: 16898849]
- Swartz, K. *Reinsuring Health: Why More Middle-class People Are Uninsured and What Government Can Do*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation; 2006. p. 61ff
- Tu Duy Khiem-El Aatmani A, Senesse P, Reimund JM, Beretz L, Baumann R, Pinguet F. Home parenteral nutrition: A direct costs study in the approved centres of Montpellier and Strasbourg. *Gastroentérologie Clinique et Biologique*. 2006; 30(4):574–9.
- U.S. Census Bureau. Household income rises, poverty rate unchanged, number of uninsured down. U.S. Census Bureau News; 2008. Retrieved from http://www.census.gov/Press-release/www/releases/archives/income_wealth/012528.html
- Vaughan, M. US Senate Eyes Curb on Tax-Free Spending Accounts. *NASDAQ Dow Jones NewsWires*; 2009. Retrieved from <http://www.nasdaq.com/aspx/stock-market-news-story.aspx?storyid=200909151644dowjonesdjonline000571>
- Williams, A. Arthur R. Williams. PhD, MA (Econ), MPA Director, Center for Health Outcomes and Health Services Research, Children's Mercy Hospitals and Clinics; Professor, College of Medicine. University of Missouri Kansas City; Kansas City, MO: 2008. Personal communication.
- Zerzan J, Edlund T, Kroise L, Smith J. The demise of Oregon's medically needy program: effects of losing prescription drug coverage. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2007; 22(6):847–51. [PubMed: 17380369]

Table 1

Family Monthly Income Adequacy Ratings by HPN Patients in a 2002 Sample and the Current Sample

Monthly Income-to-Expense Ratings by Patients of the Family Income ¹	2002 HPN sample N=85	Current HPN sample N=80	Percentage and Direction of Changes between 2002 and Current Sample Ratings
Can't make ends meet	6.4%	11.35%	Increase of 4.9%
Just enough, no more	22.6%	29.6%	Increase of 7.0%
Have a little extra sometimes	64.5%	43.0%	Decrease of 21.5% **
Always have money left over	6.5%	16.2%	Increase of 9.7% *

* p ≤ .05

** p ≤ .01

¹ Using this survey, patients rated their ability to pay monthly bills as “can’t make ends meet,” “have just enough to pay bills, no more,” “have a little extra sometimes,” or “always have money left over.”

Table 2

Monthly Midpoint and Annual Estimated Out-of-Pocket Expenses Related to HPN

Reported Family Expenditure for the HPN Patient	Monthly Range of Expenses Reported	Monthly Midpoint of Expenses	Annual Estimated Out-of-Pocket Expenses
Insurance Premiums:			
Medical ± Prescription	\$100 – \$1700	\$350	\$4200
Supplemental Prescription	\$10 – \$87	\$46	\$552
Insurance Deductible for various health services	\$0 – \$3000	\$250	\$3000
Co-payments/Coinsurance:			
Physician Visits ¹	\$10 – \$40	\$25	\$300
Prescriptions related to HPN ²	\$0 – \$100	\$6.4	\$77
Emergency Room (ER) Visits	not applicable	not applicable	\$250
Other out-of-pocket costs:			
OTC Medications			\$240
OTC Supplies			\$60
Equipment			\$279
Furniture to store HPN supplies			\$490
Long distance telephone			\$360
Housekeeping			\$1616
Travel for medical care			\$570
Home care assistance			\$900
Family members' HPN medical education conference			\$1581
Child care during HPN services ³			\$4000
Total Annually			\$17,923⁴

¹Provider visits for HPN only, not the underlying or concomitant medical conditions; also excludes laboratory/radiology charges. **These co-payments for physician visits were similar for private (\$10–\$50) or public insurance (\$25–\$40).**

²Prescription costs other than infusion pharmacy costs. Because these bowel disorder patients cannot absorb oral medications, they have few pharmacy medication bills.

³Not all families reported child care costs.

⁴Total does not include cost of a supplemental prescription or health insurance plan premium, or if any hospital admission out-of-pocket costs which can be up to \$12,000 for an intravenous line infection hospitalization total charge of \$60,000.