

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Breast Cancer Res Treat. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 December 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2011 December; 130(3): 879-889. doi:10.1007/s10549-010-1096-4.

Soy intake in association with menopausal symptoms during the first 6 and 36 months after breast cancer diagnosis

Tsogzolmaa Dorjgochoo,

Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, 2525 West End Avenue, Suite 600, Nashville, TN 37203-1738, USA

Kai Gu,

Shanghai Institute of Preventive Medicine, 1380 Zhong Shan Road (W), Shanghai 200336, China

Ying Zheng,

Shanghai Institute of Preventive Medicine, 1380 Zhong Shan Road (W), Shanghai 200336, China

Asha Kallianpur,

Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, 2525 West End Avenue, Suite 600, Nashville, TN 37203-1738, USA

Zhi Chen,

Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, 2525 West End Avenue, Suite 600, Nashville, TN 37203-1738, USA

Wei Zheng,

Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, 2525 West End Avenue, Suite 600, Nashville, TN 37203-1738, USA

Wei Lu, and

Shanghai Institute of Preventive Medicine, 1380 Zhong Shan Road (W), Shanghai 200336, China

Xiao Ou Shu

Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, 2525 West End Avenue, Suite 600, Nashville, TN 37203-1738, USA

Xiao Ou Shu: Xiao-ou.shu@vanderbilt.edu

Abstract

It has been suggested that soy food and its components may relieve menopausal symptoms (MPS) including hot flashes, night sweats, and vaginal dryness in healthy women. However, little is known about the effect of soy food intake on MPS in women with breast cancer. We examined associations of occurrence of MPS with soy food intake in 4,842 Chinese women aged 20–75 years who had non-metastatic breast cancer and had not used hormone replacement therapy. MPS were assessed at 6 and 36 months after cancer diagnosis using a standardized questionnaire, and associations with soy food intake were evaluated in multivariate regression analyses. Daily soy food intake was assessed at 6 months postdiagnosis and over the first 36 months postdiagnosis using a validated food frequency questionnaire. The prevalence of MPS was 56% at 6 months and 63% at 36 months postdiagnosis with the hotflash being the most common MPS (~44–55%). Hot flashes occurred mainly in premenopausal breast cancer patients who were in the highest quartile of isoflavone intake at 6 months post-diagnosis (OR = 1.20, 95% CI: 0.98–1.59) compared with the lowest quartile. This association was stronger at 36 months postdiagnosis (OR = 1.59, 95% CI:

Correspondence to: Xiao Ou Shu, Xiao-ou.shu@vanderbilt.edu. Conflict of interest None.

1.02–2.48). We found no significant associations for any MPS, night sweats, or vaginal dryness. Neither tamoxifen use nor BMI modified the association between MPS and isoflavone intake. There was no evidence that soy food consumption reduced MPS among breast cancer patients. High soy intake may increase the prevalence of hotflashes among pre-menopausal patients. Our study suggests that soy acts as an estrogen antagonist in breast cancer patients.

Keywords

Breast cancer; Menopausal symptoms; Soy isoflavones; Tamoxifen; BMI

Introduction

Compared with healthy women, women treated for breast cancer experience menopausal symptoms (MPS), including vasomotor symptoms (hot flashes and night sweats) and gynecologic symptoms (vaginal dryness), more often and more severely as a consequence of the sudden decrease in estrogen levels associated with systemic chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and treatment with anti-estrogens [1-3]. Most healthy women who suffer from MPS during the menopausal transition seek medical treatment [4] and benefit from the use of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) or steroids [5]. The use of HRT among breast cancer patients, however, is undesirable, because estrogen is a major risk factor for breast cancer development and progression [5,6]. Soy foods and their bioactive components, mainly isoflavones, have been considered as an alternative to HRT, because soy phytoestrogens have estrogenic activity [7]. Studies have shown that soy foods and soy products may, in fact, alleviate MPS in healthy women [8-10]. However, other studies, including a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials of non-hormonal therapies for menopausal hot flashes [11] and a systematic review of phytoestrogens and MPS [12], did not confirm these beneficial effects. Both observational and intervention studies, mainly conducted in Western populations, have also investigated the therapeutic effects of soy foods and soy supplementation on MPS in breast cancer patients; most of these have failed to demonstrate significant benefit [13,14]. Furthermore, a possible increase in the severity of vasomotor symptoms was reported with 12 months of phytoestrogen supplementation in women with breast cancer who participated in the Women's Healthy Eating and Living (WHEL) study [15].

Tamoxifen, an antiestrogen drug, is a selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) commonly used to treat breast cancer [16] and has been shown to be associated with the occurrence of MPS, particularly in younger women [1,3]. It has also been suggested that soy isoflavones act as a SERM [17], however, the combined effect of tamoxifen and soyfood on the occurrence of MPS among breast cancer patients is unclear.

Using data from a population-based cohort study of breast cancer patients, we evaluated the association of soy food intake with the prevalence of MPS (any or specific, including hot flashes, night sweats, and vaginal dryness) and over the course of the post breast cancer diagnosis period (6 and 36 months). We also investigated whether this association was modified by estrogen-related factors (menopausal status, body mass index [BMI], and tamoxifen use).

Methods

Study participants

This study includes participants of the Shanghai Breast Cancer Survival Study (SBCSS), a large, population-based, longitudinal study of 5,042 women with primary breast cancer,

aged 20–75 years in Shanghai, China. Detailed descriptions of study methods have been published elsewhere [3,18]. Briefly, incident breast cancer cases diagnosed between April 2002 and April 2006 were identified from the population-based Shanghai Cancer Registry and recruited into the study approximately 6 months after cancer diagnosis. Of the 6,299 eligible cases indentified, 5,042 participated in the study. Of the remaining cases, 757 (12%) refused, 421 (6.7%) could not be contacted, and 159 (2.5%) did not participate for other miscellaneous reasons such as ill health or communication problems. After the exclusion of

miscellaneous reasons, such as ill health or communication problems. After the exclusion of 28 patients with stage IV breast cancer and 172 patients who used HRT, 4,842 cases remained for the present study. All women provided written, informed consent, and the institutional review boards of both participating institutions approved the study protocol.

Data collection

Study participants completed interviewer-administered surveys 6, 18, and 36 months after diagnosis and provided detailed information on demographic characteristics, disease history, reproductive history, diet, physical activity, lifestyle factors, use of complementary, and alternative medicine, and quality of life (QOL). Menopausal status was defined as the cessation of menstruation for 12 months or longer at 6 and 36 months postdiagnosis. History of chronic disease before breast cancer diagnosis was collected and quantified using the Charlson co-morbidity score [19] and the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) [20]. Anthropometric measurements, including height, weight, waist circumference, and hip circumference, were taken for calculation of BMI (kg/m²) and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR). Clinical and pathology data, including information on initial breast cancer treatments (mastectomy, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and/or tamoxifen), TNM stage, and tumor hormone receptor (ER and PR) status were obtained by reviewing medical charts and pathology slides. Commonly used chemotherapeutic drugs included cyclophosphamide, adriamycin and 5fluorouracil, taxotere, epirubicin-adriamycin, navelbine, and immunotherapy (interferon, interleukin, and thymosin). Menopausal status, BMI, and tamoxifen use were evaluated at 6 months postdiagnosis and over the first 36 months postdiagnosis.

All 4,842 patients provided information on MPS at 6 months postdiagnosis, and 3,472 patients did so at 36 months postdiagnosis. Detailed information was collected on MPS by asking participants: "Have you ever experienced any of the following menopausal symptoms since having breast cancer: hot flashes, night sweats, or vaginal dryness since breast cancer diagnosis?" Those who answered "Yes" to one of these symptoms were considered patients having "any MPS". Participants were also asked for dates of onset, and, if applicable, date of resolution of each symptom. Almost all women who reported having MPS since their breast cancer diagnosis continued to experience MPS at 6 months postdiagnosis.

Habitual dietary intake was assessed for specific time windows: at 6 months postdiagnosis and over the first 36 months postdiagnosis. We used a validated food frequency questionnaire [21] that was designed to measure consumption of soy foods commonly consumed in Shanghai, including tofu, soy milk and fresh soy beans, and other soy products, as well as meat, fish, and cruciferous vegetables. Daily nutrient consumption, including soy protein and isoflavone intake, was estimated by multiplying the amount and frequency of each food consumed by the nutrient content of the food item based on the Chinese Food Composition Tables 2002 [22] and summing across all relevant foods. In addition, the weighted average (WA) of daily intake of soy isoflavones over the first 36 months postdiagnosis was calculated by summing the intakes reported at each survey using the formula: WA = sum (iso1 × 6, iso2 × 12, iso3 × 18)/sum (6, 12, 18), where iso1, iso2, and iso3 are the daily intakes of isoflavones (mg/day) at 6, 18, and 36 months postdiagnosis and where 6, 12, and 18 are the number of months of isoflavone intake.

Statistical analysis

The primary outcomes of this analysis were the prevalence of any MPS and each of the three most commonly reported MPS (hot flashes, night sweats, and vaginal dryness) among the study participants. Soy isoflavone intake was treated as an independent variable. The estimated intake of soy isoflavones was normally distributed. Statistical analyses were carried out for MPS in relation to isoflavone intake at 6 months post cancer diagnosis and in relation to the weighted isoflavone intake over the first 36 months post cancer diagnosis to examine whether the associations differed over time. Differences in sociodemographic, lifestyle, and clinical factors were compared among breast cancer patients at 6 and 36 months postdiagnosis using Pearson's chi-square (χ^2) test for categorical variables or a twosided *t*-test for continuous variables. We also compared the distributions of these factors between breast cancer patients with and without any MPS and adjusted for age at diagnosis (categories) using the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel Statistics (CMH) for categorical variables or Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables. Unconditional logistic regression was used to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the association between any MPS or each specific MPS and quartiles of soy isoflavone intake. All OR estimates were adjusted for age at breast cancer diagnosis (continuous), educational level (categories), parity $(0, 1, 2 \text{ or } \geq 3)$, BMI (continuous), menopausal status, Charlson co-morbidity score $(0/\geq 1)$, self-perceived QOL (poor, average, or good), vitamin supplement use (yes/no), total meat intake (continuous), TNM stage (0-I, II, and III), and use of tamoxifen, immunotherapy, and chemotherapy (yes/no). Tests for trend were performed by entering categorical variables of the exposures of interest as continuous parameters in the models. All statistical tests were based on two-sided probability and a significance (alpha) level of 0.05. To evaluate any modifying effect of estrogen-related conditions on soy isoflavone intake, the analyses were further stratified by menopausal status (pre- vs. postmenopausal), obesity status (BMI < 27.5 vs. \ge 27.5 kg/m², cut-offs for obesity in Asians) [23], and tamoxifen use (yes vs. no). Tests for interaction were performed using the log-likelihood test. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results

As expected, the bivariate analyses showed that smoking, alcohol consumption, and total meat intake at 36 months after cancer diagnosis decreased slightly from 6 months after cancer diagnosis, while exercise participation, vitamin supplement use, postmenopausal status, and tamoxifen use increased during the same period (Table 1). Menopausal symptoms (MPS) were common among breast cancer patients at 6 months postdiagnosis. The overall prevalence of any MPS was 56%, and prevalence of hot flashes was 44%, night sweats was 35%, and vaginal dryness was 9%. These rates increased at 36 months postdiagnosis to 63% for any MPS, 55% for hot flashes, 28% for night sweats, and 14% for vaginal dryness (Table 3). Patients with MPS were younger than patients without MPS (mean age 51.4 vs. 56.4 years, P < 0.01) and were more likely to be pre-menopausal, to have had fewer children, to have a history of chronic disease (Charlson co-morbidity score ≥ 1), and to have higher total meat intake (Table 2). More patients with MPS had hormone receptor positive (ER+/PR+) breast cancer and received chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and/or tamoxifen than patients who reported no MPS (P < 0.05 for all, except for chemotherapy). In addition, patients with MPS were less likely to report "good" overall quality of life (QOL) than patients without MPS (17% vs. 20%, respectively; P < 0.01). The differences between patients with and without any MPS at 36 months postdiagnosis were significant, except for meat intake and immunotherapy. Overall, the average (mean) daily intake of sov isoflavones was 45.3 mg at 6 months postdiagnosis and 47.6 mg over the first 36 months postdiagnosis (data not shown). The mean intake of soy isoflavones was slightly

higher among patients with MPS compared with patients without MPS at 6 and 36 months postdiagnosis, however, the difference was not significant (Table 2).

The results of the multivariate regression analyses showed no statistically significant association between daily soy isoflavone intake and the occurrence of any MPS or of specific MPS (Table 3). However, the odds of having hot flashes increased and reached borderline significance for the highest quartile of isoflavone intake among premenopausal women at 6 months postdiagnosis (OR = 1.20, 95% CI: 0.98–1.59). At the 36 months postdiagnosis assessment, the ORs for any MPS and hot flashes increased among patients who were in the highest quartile of the intake ($\leq 27.28 \text{ mg/day}$), OR = 1.48, 95% CI: 0.94–2.34 for any MPS and OR = 1.59, 95% CI: 1.02–2.48 for hot flashes. Tests for multiplicative interaction between isoflavone intake and menopausal status were marginally significant at 36 months postdiagnosis for any MPS (*P* interaction = 0.07), but not for specific MPS.

The associations between daily intake of isoflavones and MPS by tamoxifen use are presented in Table 4. Overall, there were no significant associations between soy isoflavone intake and any MPS or specific MPS for either tamoxifen users or non-users, although the ORs for any MPS, hot flashes, and vaginal dryness were slightly elevated among tamoxifen users in the highest quartile of isoflavone intake (OR = 1.12, 95% CI: 0.88-1.43 for any MPS, OR = 1.14, 95% CI: 0.90-1.45 for hot flashes, and OR = 1.16, 95% CI: 0.77-1.75 for vaginal dryness). At 36 months postdiagnosis, more women had become tamoxifen users than at 6 months postdiagnosis, and a positive association between the highest quartile of isoflavone intake and MPS occurrence was seen among both tamoxifen users (OR = 1.18, 95% CI: 0.98-1.52 for any MPS and OR = 1.19, 95% CI: 0.92-1.54 for hot flashes) and non-tamoxifen users (OR = 1.21, 95% CI: 0.78-1.89 for any MPS and OR = 1.35, 95% CI: 0.86-2.12 for hot flashes, *P* interaction >0.05).

The association between isoflavone intake and MPS varied slightly by women's obesity status (BMI < 27.5.0 vs. \ge 27.5 kg/m²) at both 6 and 36 months postdiagnosis, *P* interaction > 0.05 for all (Table 5).

Discussion

In this large prospective cohort study of breast cancer survivors in China, we found that MPS were highly prevalent among women with recently diagnosed breast cancer, which is similar to rates reported for women recently treated for breast cancer in Western countries [24–26]. We did not observe an overall significant association between any MPS and soy isoflavone intake or in the analyses stratified by obesity and tamoxifen use. However, there was some indication that the highest quartile of soy isoflavone intake may be associated with an increased prevalence of MPS, primarily hot flashes among premenopausal women.

There are several biological properties associated with soy isoflavones that may provide possible explanations for their association with MPS. Soy isoflavones bind to estrogen receptors and activate estrogen response genes [27], although the hormone-like effect of soy isoflavones is much weaker than endogenous estrogens such as 17-estradiol and estrone [17]. It has been postulated that isoflavones work as estrogen antagonists in an environment with high levels of estrogen, such as in premenopausal women, but act as estrogen agonists in an environment with low levels of estrogen, such as in postmenopausal women [28]. In laboratory studies, the administration of phytoestrogens, particularly soy isoflavones, weakened the estrogen inhibitory effects of tamoxifen in human estrogen-dependent breast tumor cell lines in vitro and in vivo [29,30] and flaxseed enhanced the effect of tamoxifen in

an ovariecto-mised mouse model with breast tumors [31,32], supporting the hypothesis that phytoestrogens exhibit dual action on hormone-dependent cancers [29].

Studies have revealed the estrogen-agonist effect of soy products and have reported beneficial effects of soy food and soy compounds on lowering MPS, particularly vasomotor symptoms (hot flashes and night sweats) among healthy perimenopausal and postmenopausal women [8,33–35]. However, an earlier systematic review of 25 randomized trials concluded that soy foods do not relieve hot flashes among perimenopausal and postmenopausal women [12]. The results of this review were based on various soy products including food, beverages, extracts in capsules or tablets, and powders, as well as red clover extracts [12]. On the other hand, in a recent systematic review of 23 randomized controlled trials evaluating the efficacy of soy food, particularly soy isoflavones in association with vasomotor symptoms, there was evidence for reduced prevalence or severity of hot flashes with use of isoflavone supplements for at least 12 weeks among perimenopausal and postmenopausal women [8]. Few intervention studies have evaluated the effect of soy food and its components on MPS among breast cancer patients, and the results have been inconclusive or even contradictory [13–15,36]. In the Women's Healthy Eating and Living (WHEL) study, daily intake of soybeans and soy-containing foods was not associated with severity of vasomotor symptoms at baseline, while phyto-estrogen supplementation was significantly associated with an increased risk for moderate/severe vasomotor symptoms among women with breast cancer (excluding stage IV cases) after a 12-month dietary intervention [15]. However, in the WHEL Study, soybeans and selected soy-containing foods were examined based on use versus non-use, and the amounts of soy and its components were not quantified. Two trials designed for evaluation of short term soy or isoflavone supplements (from 50 to 114 mg/day isoflavones for 12 weeks) for treatment of MPS in premenopausal or post-menopausal breast cancer survivors found no alleviation of vasomotor symptoms among premenopausal or postmenopausal women with breast cancer [14,36].

There are several limitations of the present study that should be noted. Occurrence of MPS was based on self-reports and may have been affected by recall bias or influenced by comorbidity and overall QOL. However, the misclassification in the assessment of MPS is likely to be independent of soy food intake. We cannot exclude the possibility of nondifferential misclassification that results in an underestimation of the true association. Although we carefully adjusted for a wide range of covariates in the analyses, potential residual confounding effects cannot be completely ruled out. We only collected information on MPS based on occurrence or non-occurrence (yes vs. no), thus, we were unable to investigate the effect of soy intake on the severity of MPS.

The present study also has several noteworthy strengths. This study is the largest and only population-based study that has investigated the dose-response effect of soy food consumption on the occurrence of MPS among breast cancer patients. A well-validated dietary questionnaire was used to assess daily soy food intake in this study. The high response rate and availability of information on a wide range of potential confounders reduced bias in assessing the association of soy food intake with MPS. The high level of intake, as well as the wide range of soy foods consumed in our study population, increased the statistical power of the study.

In summary, we found no evidence that soy food consumption alleviates MPS among breast cancer patients. Instead, we found that high soy intake was related to possible higher prevalence of hot flashes in premenopausal breast cancer patients. Our study suggests that soy isoflavones may act as estrogen antagonists in breast cancer patients. We have previously reported that moderate soy food intake was associated with reduced mortality and

recurrence among breast cancer patients [21]. The benefit of soy on mortality and recurrence appears to reach its peak at moderate levels of soy intake (36.6–62.7 mg/day). Further increases in intake did not confer greater benefit. Given that MPS have a significant negative impact on the QOL of breast cancer survivors [26,37], our study results suggest that women with breast cancer consume a moderate amount soy food to achieve its survival benefits, while avoiding its negative influences on MPS.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the research staff of the Shanghai Breast Cancer Survival Study in Shanghai, China for implementing the study and to all of the women who participated in the SBCSS. We also thank Dr. Fan Jin for her contributions to the field operations and Bethanie Hull and Rod Jones for their technical assistance in the preparation of this manuscript. Funding for this study was provided by the U.S. Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program (DAMD 17-02-1-0607) and the National Cancer Institute (R01 CA118229).

References

- Carpenter JS, Andrykowski MA, Cordova M, et al. Hot flashes in postmenopausal women treated for breast carcinoma: prevalence, severity, correlates, management, and relation to quality of life. Cancer. 1998; 82:1682–1691. [PubMed: 9576289]
- Crandall C, Petersen L, Ganz PA, Greendale GA. Association of breast cancer and its therapy with menopause-related symptoms. Menopause. 2004; 11:519–530. [PubMed: 15356404]
- Dorjgochoo T, Gu K, Kallianpur A, et al. Menopausal symptoms among breast cancer patients 6 months after diagnosis: a report from the Shanghai Breast Cancer Survival Study. Menopause. 2009; 16:1205–1212. [PubMed: 19590459]
- 4. Freeman EW, Sherif K. Prevalence of hot flushes and night sweats around the world: a systematic review. Climacteric. 2007; 10:197–214. [PubMed: 17487647]
- NIH Consens State Sci Statements; NIH State-of-the-Science Conference Statement on management of menopause-related symptoms; 2005. p. 1-38.
- 6. Dietel M. Hormone replacement therapy (HRT), breast cancer and tumor pathology. Maturitas. 2010; 65:183–189. [PubMed: 20005648]
- Cederroth CR, Nef S. Soy, phytoestrogens and metabolism: a review. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2009; 304:30–42. [PubMed: 19433245]
- Jacobs A, Wegewitz U, Sommerfeld C, Grossklaus R, Lampen A. Efficacy of isoflavones in relieving vasomotor menopausal symptoms—a systematic review. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2009; 53:1084–1097. [PubMed: 19653225]
- Lethaby AE, Brown J, Marjoribanks J, Kronenberg F, Roberts H, Eden J. Phytoestrogens for vasomotor menopausal symptoms. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007:CD001395. [PubMed: 17943751]
- Nagata C, Takatsuka N, Kawakami N, Shimizu H. Soy product intake and hot flashes in Japanese women: results from a community-based prospective study. Am J Epidemiol. 2001; 153:790–793. [PubMed: 11296152]
- 11. Nelson HD, Vesco KK, Haney E, et al. Nonhormonal therapies for menopausal hot flashes: systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2006; 295:2057–2071. [PubMed: 16670414]
- Krebs EE, Ensrud KE, MacDonald R, Wilt TJ. Phytoes-trogens for treatment of menopausal symptoms: a systematic review. Obstet Gynecol. 2004; 104:824–836. [PubMed: 15458907]
- MacGregor CA, Canney PA, Patterson G, McDonald R, Paul J. A randomised double-blind controlled trial of oral soy supplements versus placebo for treatment of menopausal symptoms in patients with early breast cancer. Eur J Cancer. 2005; 41:708–714. [PubMed: 15763646]
- Nikander E, Kilkkinen A, Metsa-Heikkila M, et al. A randomized placebo-controlled crossover trial with phytoestro-gens in treatment of menopause in breast cancer patients. Obstet Gynecol. 2003; 101:1213–1220. [PubMed: 12798527]
- 15. Gold EB, Flatt SW, Pierce JP, et al. Dietary factors and vasomotor symptoms in breast cancer survivors: the WHEL Study. Menopause. 2006; 13:423–433. [PubMed: 16735939]

- Howell SJ, Johnston SR, Howell A. The use of selective estrogen receptor modulators and selective estrogen receptor down-regulators in breast cancer. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2004; 18:47–66. [PubMed: 14687597]
- 17. Barnes S. The biochemistry, chemistry and physiology of the isoflavones in soybeans and their food products. Lymphatic Res Biol. 2010; 8:89–98.
- Shu XO, Zheng Y, Cai H, et al. Soy food intake and breast cancer survival. JAMA. 2009; 302:2437–2443. [PubMed: 19996398]
- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis. 1987; 40:373– 383. [PubMed: 3558716]
- 20. Department of Health and Human Services. The international classification of diseases. US Government Printing Office; Washington, DC: 1998. 9th Rev. edn, ICD-9-CM
- 21. Shu XO, Yang G, Jin F, et al. Validity and reproducibility of the food frequency questionnaire used in the Shanghai Women's Health Study. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2004; 58:17–23. [PubMed: 14679362]
- 22. Yang, Y.; Wang, G.; Pan, X. Chinese Food Composition Tables 2002. Chinese Centre for Disease Control and Prevention; Beijing: 2002.
- WHO Expert Consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. Lancet. 2004; 363:157–163. [PubMed: 14726171]
- 24. Canney PA, Hatton MQ. The prevalence of menopausal symptoms in patients treated for breast cancer. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol). 1994; 6:297–299. [PubMed: 7826921]
- Ganz PA. Menopause and breast cancer: symptoms, late effects, and their management. Semin Oncol. 2001; 28:274–283. [PubMed: 11402437]
- 26. Gupta P, Sturdee DW, Palin SL, et al. Menopausal symptoms in women treated for breast cancer: the prevalence and severity of symptoms and their perceived effects on quality of life. Climacteric. 2006; 9:49–58. [PubMed: 16428125]
- Penttinen-Damdimopoulou PE, Power KA, Hurmerinta TT, Nu-rmi T, van der Saag PT, Makela SI. Dietary sources of lignans and isoflavones modulate responses to estradiol in estrogen reporter mice. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2009; 53:996–1006. [PubMed: 19603405]
- Hwang CS, Kwak HS, Lim HJ, et al. Isoflavone metabolites and their in vitro dual functions: they can act as an estrogenic agonist or antagonist depending on the estrogen concentration. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2006; 101:246–253. [PubMed: 16965913]
- 29. Helferich WG, Andrade JE, Hoagland MS. Phytoestrogens and breast cancer: a complex story. Inflammopharmacol. 2008; 16:219–226.
- Tonetti DA, Zhang Y, Zhao H, Lim SB, Constantinou AI. The effect of the phytoestrogens genistein, daidzein, and equol on the growth of tamoxifen-resistant T47D/PKC alpha. Nutr Cancer. 2007; 58:222–229. [PubMed: 17640169]
- Chen J, Hui E, Ip T, Thompson LU. Dietary flaxseed enhances the inhibitory effect of tamoxifen on the growth of estrogen-dependent human breast cancer (mcf-7) in nude mice. Clin Cancer Res. 2004; 10:7703–7711. [PubMed: 15570004]
- Chen J, Power KA, Mann J, Cheng A, Thompson LU. Flaxseed alone or in combination with tamoxifen inhibits MCF-7 breast tumor growth in ovariectomized athymic mice with high circulating levels of estrogen. Exp Biol Med (Maywood). 2007; 232:1071–1080. [PubMed: 17720953]
- Messina M, Hughes C. Efficacy of soyfoods and soybean isoflavone supplements for alleviating menopausal symptoms is positively related to initial hot flush frequency. J Med Food. 2003; 6:1– 11. [PubMed: 12804015]
- Umland EM. Treatment strategies for reducing the burden of menopause-associated vasomotor symptoms. J Manag Care Pharm. 2008; 14:14–19. [PubMed: 18439062]
- Kronenberg F, Fugh-Berman A. Complementary and alternative medicine for menopausal symptoms: a review of randomized, controlled trials. Ann Intern Med. 2002; 137:805–813. [PubMed: 12435217]

- Van Patten CL, Olivotto IA, Chambers GK, et al. Effect of soy phytoestrogens on hot flashes in postmenopausal women with breast cancer: a randomized, controlled clinical trial. J Clin Oncol. 2002; 20:1449–1455. [PubMed: 11896091]
- 37. Dorjgochoo T, Kallianpur A, Zheng Y, et al. Impact of menopausal symptoms on quality of life 6 months after systemic breast cancer treatment: results from the Shanghai Breast Cancer Survival Study. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2010; 119:725–735. [PubMed: 19543973]

Prevalence of demographic, lifestyle, and clinical characteristics of breast cancer patients at 6 and 36 months postdiagnosis, the Shanghai Breast Cancer Survival Study (SBCSS)

Dorjgochoo et al.

	At 6 months	At 6 months postdiagnosis		At 36 montl	At 36 months postdiagnosis	is
	n = 4,842	%	P^*	n = 3,472	%	P^*
Age at cancer diagnosis, years				I		I
< 40	241	5.0		166	4.8	
40-49	1,980	20.9		1,430	41.2	
50-59	1,385	28.6		963	27.7	
≥60	1,236	25.5	< 0.01	913	26.3	< 0.01
Education						
≤Primary	187	3.9		129	3.7	
Middle school	390	8.1		298	8.6	
High school or technical training	3,518	72.7		2,542	73.2	
≥College	744	15.4	< 0.01	503	15.5	< 0.01
Monthly family income, RMB						
< 700	1,379	28.5		1,031	29.7	
200-999	1,436	29.7		1,061	30.5	
1,000-1,999	1,459	30.2		1,006	29.0	
≥2,000	563	11.6	< 0.01	503	10.8	< 0.01
Parity						
Nulliparous	239	4.9		165	4.7	
1	3,279	67.7		2,320	66.8	
2	775	16.0		561	16.2	
≥3	549	11.4	< 0.01	426	12.3	< 0.01
Menopausal status						
Premenopausal	2,455	50.7		742	21.4	
Postmenopausal	2,387	49.3	0.33	2,730	78.6	< 0.01
BMI, kg/m^2 (mean \pm SD)	4,842	24.1 ± 3.4	I	24.5	24.5 ± 10.4	I
Regular physical activity	3,131	64.7	< 0.01	2,332	67.2	< 0.01
Regular smoker	130	2.7	< 0.01	83	2.4	< 0.01

_
_
0
~
- C
~
_
_
-
5
utho
_
· ·
<
5
01
2
=
2
_
c
ö
õ
ğ
ıscri
crip
cript
cript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Characteristics	At 6 month	At 6 months postdiagnosis		At 36 mon	At 36 months postdiagnosis	s
	n = 4,842	%	P^*	<i>n</i> = 3,472	%	P^*
Regular alcohol drinker	148	3.1	< 0.01	67	2.8	< 0.01
Charlson co-morbidity index ≥1	968	20.0	< 0.01	697	20.1	< 0.01
Total meat intake, g/d (mean \pm SD)	4,842	361.4 ± 183.5	I	I	216.4 ± 115.7	ï
Soy isoflavone intake, mg/d (mean \pm SD)	4,842	45.8 ± 38.2	I	I	47.6 ± 28.4	ī
Self-reported quality of life						
Poor	395	8.2		270	7.8	
Average	3,543	73.2		2,563	73.8	
Good	006	18.6	< 0.01	639	18.4	< 0.01
Vitamin supplement user	1,399	28.9	< 0.01	1,056	30.4	< 0.01
Received surgery	4,832	8.66	< 0.01	3,608	6.66	< 0.01
Received radiotherapy	1,556	32.2	< 0.01	1,059	30.5	< 0.01
Received chemotherapy	4,410	91.1	< 0.01	3,160	91.0	< 0.01
Received immunotherapy	60 <i>L</i>	14.7	< 0.01	507	14.6	< 0.01
Tamoxifen user	2,525	52.2	0.003	2,419	69.7	< 0.01
Stage, TNM						
I0	1,766	36.5		1,312	37.8	
П	2,402	49.6		1,739	50.1	
III	454	9.4		270	7.8	
Missing	220	4.5	< 0.01	151	4.3	< 0.01
Hormone receptor status						
ER-/PR-	1,330	27.5		906	26.1	
ER+/PR+	2,440	50.4		1,805	52.0	
ER±/PR± mixed	679	20.2		705	20.3	
Missing	93	1.9	< 0.01	56	1.6	< 0.01

Breast Cancer Res Treat. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 December 1.

Note: Menopausal status, BMI, tamoxifen use (cumulative), and isoflavone intake (weighted average) were calculated at both 6 and 36 months postdiagnosis

Missing data (<0.2%) was excluded from the calculations. Family history of cancer included breast and ovarian cancers

Age-adjusted prevalence of demographic, lifestyle, and clinical characteristics of breast cancer patients by presence of any menopausal symptom (MPS^a) at 6 and 36 months postdiagnosis, the SBCSS

Dorjgochoo et al.

Characteristics	Any MPS at 6 months $n = 4,842$	onths $n = 4,842$		Any MPS at 361	Any MPS at 36 months $n = 3,472$	
	Yes $(n = 2,710)$	No $(n = 2, 132)$	P^*	Yes $(n = 2, 187)$	No (<i>n</i> = 1,285)	P^*
	56.0%	44.0%		63.0%	37.0%	
Age at cancer diagnosis (mean \pm SD)	51.4 ± 8.2	55.6±10.4	< 0.01	51.7±8.5	56.4±11.8	< 0.01
Education						
≤Primary	3.9	3.8		3.5	3.9	
Middle school	7.8	8.4		8.5	9.4	
High school or technical training	73.2	71.7		73.6	71.6	
≥College	15.1	16.0	0.62	14.4	15.1	0.66
Education						
≤Primary	27.7	29.1		30.1	29.4	
Middle school	30.9	28.9		31.2	29.8	
High school or technical training	29.8	30.6		28.8	28.6	
≥College	11.6	11.4	0.48	9.6	12.2	0.21
Parity (%)						
Nulliparous	0.9	0.8		5.2	3.8	
1	72.7	67.8		67.9	64.8	
2	15.3	19.2		15.3	18.5	
≥3	11.1	12.2	< 0.01	11.6	12.9	< 0.01
Menopausal status						
Premenopausal	52.9	46.9		18.8	28.5	
Postmenopausal	47.1	53.1	< 0.01	81.2	71.5	< 0.01
BMI, kg/m ² (mean)	23.8	23.9	0.35	24.5	24.0	0.34
Regular physical activity	64.0	64.6	0.76	66.6	67.8	0.47
Regular smoker	2.7	2.3	0.45	2.2	2.4	0.60
Regular alcohol drinker	3.3	1.6	0.12	2.7	2.6	0.94
Charlson co-morbidity index ≥1	21.0	18.8	0.05	21.5	18.8	0.04
Total meat intake, g/day (mean)	366.1	349.4	0.02	219.6	213.8	0.29
Sov isoflavone intake, mg/day (mean)	47.1	45.7	0.39	47.6	46.6	0.46

_
U
-
~
_
utho
<u> </u>
_
_
_
\cap
_
_
2
Ξ
\leq
S
Ma
Mar
Man
Manu
Manu
Manu
Ē
Ē
Ē
IUSC
IUSC
Ē
IUSC
IUSC
IUSC
IUSC

7

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Dorjgochoo et al.

Characteristics	Any MPS at 6 months $n = 4,842$	onths $n = 4,842$		Any MPS at 30 months $n = 3,472$	000000 n = 3,4/2	
	Yes $(n = 2,710)$	No $(n = 2, 132)$	P^*	Yes $(n = 2, 187)$	No $(n = 1,285)$	P^*
	56.0%	44.0%		63.0%	37.0%	
Self-reported quality of life						
Poor	9.3	7.2		8.6	6.5	
Average	73.7	72.5		75.1	71.9	
Good	17.0	20.3	< 0.01	16.3	21.6	0.002
Vitamin supplement user	29.9	27.7	0.19	31.8	28.6	0.05
Received chemotherapy	91.6	90.5	0.19	91.2	90.8	0.75
Received immunotherapy	16.2	12.6	< 0.01	15.2	13.4	0.21
Tamoxifen user	56.9	45.1	< 0.01	77.2	72.5	0.03
Stage, TNM						
I-0	37.7	35.5		37.5	37.5	
П	48.7	50.1		50.5	49.9	
Ш	8.8	9.7		7.5	8.3	
Missing	4.8	4.7	0.41	4.5	4.3	0.88
Hormone receptor status						
ER-/PR-	25.9	30.5		24.6	29.6	
ER+/PR+	52.3	46.6		53.2	48.7	
ER±/PR± mixed	20.3	20.4		20.7	19.9	
Missing	1.5	2.5	< 0.01	1.5	1.8	0.03

Note: Menopausal status, BMI, tamoxifen use (cumulative), and isoflavone intake (weighted average) were calculated at both 6 and 36 months postdiagnosis

Association of soy isoflavone intake and MPS among breast cancer patients at 6 and 36 months postdiagnosis stratified by menopausal status, the SBCSS

Dorjgochoo et al.

Auy MPS Intake at 6 months postdiagnosis All women 4.842 2.710 (56.0%) ≤ 20.0 ≤ 20.0 1.203 1.00 ≤ 20.01 ≤ 20.01 1.212 1.00 20.01 20.01 1.212 1.00 20.01 $2.0.121$ 1.00 0.33 20.01 2.647 1.202 1.00 20.01 1.212 1.00 0.33 $P trend 1.212 1.08 0.91 2.35 P trend 2.457 1.02 0.33 P trend 2.457 1.02 0.33 2.0.01 56.263 1.02 0.31 2.0.01 56.47 1.00 0.33 2.0.01 56.263 0.260 0.70 2.0.01 56.263 0.36 0.70 P trend 2.385 1.081 0.70 P trend 2.382 0.98 0.70 2.0.01 2.0.01 2.6263 0.70 0.70 $	Ho 2,13 [*] 2,13 [*] 1.06 (1.05 (1.05 (1.05 (1.06 (1.14 (1.12 (Night sweats 1,718 (35.5%) 1.00 0.96 (0.81–1.14) 1.06 (0.89–1.25) 1.05 (0.88–1.24) 0.77 1.068 1.068 1.00 1.00 1.01 (0.80–1.27) 1.12 (0.88–1.41)	Vaginal dryness 429 (8.9%) 1.00 1.28 (0.95-1.71) 1.13 (0.84-1.51) 1.16 (0.87-1.56) 0.52 243 1.00 1.45 (0.96-2.12) 0.93 (0.62-1.39)
4,842 1,213 1,217 1,210 1,210 584 608 636 636 629 535 629 539 581 581 581 3,472		1,718 (35.5%) 1.00 0.96 (0.81–1.14) 1.06 (0.89–1.25) 1.05 (0.88–1.24) 0.77 1.068 1.068 1.00 1.00 1.01 (0.80–1.27) 1.12 (0.88–1.41)	429 (8.9%) 1.00 1.28 (0.95-1.71) 1.13 (0.84-1.51) 1.16 (0.87-1.56) 0.52 243 1.00 1.45 (0.96-2.12) 0.93 (0.62-1.39)
omen 4,842 0.0 1,213 0.1–36.46 1,207 47–62.63 1,210 22.63 1,210 22.63 1,210 23.64 5,457 0.0 584 0.1–36.46 608 47–62.63 608 61–36.46 608 7–62.63 629 62 536 2.63 629 61–36.46 536 7–62.63 629 63 538 61–36.46 538 62 538 63 538 61–36.46 538 62 538 61–36.46 538 61–36.46 538 62 538 63 538 61–36.46 538 61–36.46 538 61–36.46 538 61–36.46 538 61–36.46 538 61–36.46 538 61–36.46 538 61–36.46 538 62 538 61–31.46 547 62 547 62 547 63 547 64		1,718 (35.5%) 1.00 0.96 (0.81–1.14) 1.06 (0.89–1.25) 1.05 (0.88–1.24) 0.77 1.068 1.068 1.068 1.00 1.01 (0.80–1.27) 1.12 (0.88–1.41)	429 (8.9%) 1.00 1.28 (0.95-1.71) 1.13 (0.84-1.51) 1.16 (0.87-1.56) 0.52 243 1.00 1.45 (0.96-2.12) 0.93 (0.62-1.39)
0.0 1,213 01-36.46 1,207 1,47-62.63 1,210 32.63 1,210 2.645 1,210 2.653 1,210 enopausal 2,457 0.0 584 0.1-36.46 608 47-62.63 629 62 636 2.63 629 0.0 599 0.0 599 0.0 599 0.0 599 0.0 599 0.0 599 0.0 536 0.0 533 0.0 533 0.0 536 2.63 516 52.63 518 52.63 518 52.63 513 52.63 516 52.63 516 52.63 517 52.63 518 52.63 518 52.63 518 52.63 518 52.63 518 52.63		1.00 0.96 (0.81–1.14) 1.06 (0.89–1.25) 1.05 (0.88–1.24) 0.77 1.068 1.068 1.00 1.01 (0.80–1.27) 1.12 (0.88–1.41)	1.00 1.28 (0.95-1.71) 1.13 (0.84-1.51) 1.16 (0.87-1.56) 0.52 243 1.00 1.45 (0.96-2.12) 0.93 (0.62-1.39)
01-36.46 1,207 47-62.63 1,210 52.63 1,210 52.63 1,210 enopausal 2,457 enopausal 2,457 0.0 584 0.1-36.46 608 .47-62.63 636 .5.63 629 0.0 629 0.0 629 0.0 629 0.0 576 52.63 581 2.63 581 2.63 581 0.0 629 0.1-36.46 576 .623 518 .623 518 .623 518 .633 581 .633 547 .633 547 .633 548 .633 541 .633 541 .633 541 .647 556 .653 541 .653 541 .653 541 .654 541		0.96 (0.81–1.14) 1.06 (0.89–1.25) 1.05 (0.88–1.24) 0.77 1.068 1.068 1.00 1.01 (0.80–1.27) 1.12 (0.88–1.41)	1.28 (0.95-1.71) 1.13 (0.84-1.51) 1.16 (0.87-1.56) 0.52 243 1.00 1.45 (0.96-2.12) 0.93 (0.62-1.39)
47-62.63 1,212 22.63 1,210 82.63 1,210 enopausal 2,457 0.0 584 0.1–36.46 608 47-62.63 629 52.65 629 0.0 536 0.1–36.46 629 0.0 629 0.1–36.46 536 0.0 629 0.1–36.46 538 0.1–36.46 538 0.1–36.46 538 2.63 538 61–36.46 538 62 538 62 538 63 538 63 538 64 516 65 538 63 538 64 538 64 547 65 548 65 541 65 541 65 541 65 541 64 547 64 3472		1.06 (0.89–1.25) 1.05 (0.88–1.24) 0.77 1.068 1.068 1.00 1.01 (0.80–1.27) 1.12 (0.88–1.41)	1.13 (0.84-1.51) 1.16 (0.87-1.56) 0.52 243 1.00 1.45 (0.96-2.12) 0.93 (0.62-1.39)
2.63 1,210 enopausal 2,457 0.0 584 0.1 - 36.46 608 47-62.63 629 52.63 629 52.63 629 0.0 629 0.1 - 36.46 599 0.1 - 36.46 598 0.1 - 36.46 598 0.		1.05 (0.88–1.24) 0.77 1.068 1.00 1.01 (0.80–1.27) 1.12 (0.88–1.41)	1.16 (0.87–1.56) 0.52 243 1.00 1.45 (0.96–2.12) 0.93 (0.62–1.39)
enopausal 2,457 0.0 584 0.1–36.46 608 .47–62.63 629 .2.63 629 .0.0 629 .0.0 629 .0.0 629 .2.63 576 .2.63 576 .0.0 599 .0.1–36.46 576 .0.2.63 576 .2.63 581 .2.63 541 .2.63 541 .2.63 576 .5.63 581 .5.63 581 .61 atke over the first 36 months postdiagnosi .01 atke over the first 36 months postdiagnosi		0.77 1,068 1.00 1.01 (0.80–1.27) 1.12 (0.88–1.41)	0.52 243 1.00 1.45 (0.96-2.12) 0.93 (0.62-1.39)
enopausal 2,457 0.0 584 0.1–36.46 608 47–62.63 629 52.63 629 0.0 629 0.0 629 0.0 629 0.0 536 22.63 629 0.0 629 0.0 536 2.63 536 2.63 538 0.0 629 61–36.46 576 2.63 581 2.63 581 2.63 581 32.63 581 32.63 581 32.63 581 32.63 581 32.63 581 32.63 581 32.63 581 32.63 581 32.63 581 32.63 581 50.63 581 50.63 581 50.64 598 50.65 598 50.66 598 51.76		1,068 1.00 1.01 (0.80–1.27) 1.12 (0.88–1.41)	243 1.00 1.45 (0.96–2.12) 0.93 (0.62–1.39)
0.0 584 01-36.46 608 74-62.63 636 52.63 629 62.63 629 62.63 629 60.0 629 61.36.46 535 62.63 5385 63.6 5385 63.6 538 63.6 539 63.6 576 63.6 576 63.6 576 63.6 576 63.6 576 63.6 576 63.6 576 63.6 576 63.6 576 63.6 576 63.6 576 63.6 576 63.6 576 63.6 576 63.6 576 63.6 576 64.7 576 65.6 576 65.6 576 65.6 576 65.6 576 66.7 576 67.6 576 67.6 576 67.6 576 67.6 576 67.6 576 67.7 576 67.7		1.00 1.01 (0.80–1.27) 1.12 (0.88–1.41)	1.00 1.45 (0.96–2.12) 0.93 (0.62–1.39)
01-36.46 608 47-62.63 636 52.63 629 52.63 629 0.0 629 0.1-36.46 599 01-36.46 599 74-62.63 576 52.63 581 92.63 581 etaction 3,472 omen 3,472		1.01 (0.80–1.27) 1.12 (0.88–1.41)	1.45 (0.96–2.12) 0.93 (0.62–1.39)
47-62.63 636 52.63 629 62.63 629 nenopausal 2,385 0.0 629 0.1-36.46 539 47-62.63 576 52.63 581 52.63 581 62.63 576 62.63 576 62.63 581 63.63 581 64 600 63 581 52.63 581 64 600 63 560 51.63 581 52.63 581 52.63 581 52.63 581 52.63 581 52.63 581 53.64 581 541 581 553 581 541 532 541 547 541 547 547 547		1.12 (0.88–1.41)	0.93 (0.62–1.39)
2.63 629 nenopausal 2,385 0.0 629 01–36.46 599 47–62.63 576 52.63 581 s2.63 581 eraction 3,472 omen 3,472			
nenopausal 2,385 0.0 629 01–36.46 599 .47–62.63 576 52.63 581 52.63 581 teraction 581 et intake over the first 36 months postdiagnosi omen 3,472	20.0	1.02(0.80 - 1.29)	1.13 (0.76–1.67)
Postmenopausal $2,385$ 1.083 ≤ 20.0 ≤ 20.0 629 1.00 $\leq 20.01-36.46$ 599 0.98 ($0.77-1.24$) $20.01-36.45$ 576 1.03 ($0.81-1.31$) $26.47-62.63$ 576 1.05 ($0.82-1.34$) P trend 0.62 P trend 0.62 P for interaction 0.62 Ml women 3.472 2.187 (63.0%)	0.23	0.69	0.83
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	786	650	186
20.01-36.46 599 0.98 (0.77-1.24) 36.47-62.63 576 1.03 (0.81-1.31) > 62.63 581 1.05 (0.82-1.34) P trend 0.62 P for interaction 0.62 Weighted intake over the first 36 months postdiagnosis 0.85 All women 3,472 2,187 (63.0%)	1.00	1.00	1.00
36.47-62.63 576 1.03 (0.81-1.31) > 62.63 581 1.05 (0.82-1.34) P trend 0.62 P for interaction 0.62 Weighted intake over the first 36 months postdiagnosis 0.85 All women 3.472 2.187 (63.0%)	.24) 0.93 (0.72–1.20)	0.86 (0.66–1.12)	1.05 (0.67–1.66)
> 62.63 581 1.05 (0.82-1.34) P trend 0.62 R for interaction 0.62 Weighted intake over the first 36 months postdiagnosis 0.85 All women 3,472 2,187 (63.0%)	.31) 1.03 (0.80–1.32)	0.94 (0.72–1.22)	1.42 (0.92–2.19)
<i>P</i> trend 0.62 <i>P</i> for interaction 0.85 Weighted intake over the first 36 months postdiagnosis All women 3,472 2,187 (63.0%)	.34) 0.85 (0.65–1.10)	$1.04\ (0.80{-}1.35)$	1.19 (0.76–1.86)
<i>P</i> for interaction 0.85 Weighted intake over the first 36 months postdiagnosis All women 3,472 2,187 (63.0%)	0.36	0.64	0.25
Weighted intake over the first 36 months postdiagnosis All women 3,472 2,187 (63.0%)	0.26	0.45	0.08
3,472			
	%) 1,904 (54.8%)	966 (27.8%)	471 (13.6%)
≤27.28 868 1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
27.28–42.22 825 1.14 (0.93–1.41)	.41) 1.11 (0.93–1.40)	$1.09\ (0.87{-}1.35)$	1.05 (0.79–1.39)
42.23–62.86 912 1.06 (0.87–1.31)	.31) 1.06 (0.92–1.37)	1.03 (0.82–1.28)	1.02 (0.77–1.35)
> 62.86 867 1.14 (0.93–1.41)	.41) 1.18 (1.00–1.50)	$1.10\ (0.88{-}1.37)$	0.89 (0.67–1.19)
<i>P</i> trend 0.32	0.18	0.52	0.43

Quartiles of isoflavone intake (mg/day)	N0.	Multivariate OR (95% CI) ^a	(95% CI) ^a		
		Any MPS	Hot flashes	Night sweats	Vaginal dryness
Premenopausal	742	446	407	185	65
≤27.28	195	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
27.28-42.22	176	1.17 (0.75–1.83)	1.17 (0.75 - 1.83) 1.40 (0.90 - 2.17) 1.54 (0.94 - 2.53)	1.54 (0.94–2.53)	0.87 (0.42–1.81)
42.23–62.86	193	0.92 (0.60–1.43)	0.92 (0.60–1.43) 1.16 (0.75–1.78) 1.15 (0.69–1.90) 0.82 (0.39–1.70)	1.15 (0.69–1.90)	0.82 (0.39–1.70)
> 62.86	178	1.48 (0.94–2.34)	1.59 (1.02–2.48)	1.60 (0.97–2.62)	0.87 (0.42–1.79)
P trend		0.21	0.09	0.16	0.67
Postmenopausal	2,730	1,741	1,497	781	406
≤27.28	673	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
27.28-42.22	649	1.45 (0.90–1.47)	1.05 (0.82–1.34)	1.00 (0.78–1.27)	$1.10(0.81{-}1.50)$
42.23–62.86	719		1.15 (0.91–1.47) 1.09 (0.85–1.38) 1.01 (0.79–1.28)	1.01 (0.79–1.28)	1.07 (0.79–1.46)
> 62.86	689	1.06 (0.83–1.36)	1.06 (0.83–1.36) 1.08 (0.84–1.38)	0.99 (0.78–1.27)	0.90 (0.65–1.24)
P trend		0.64	0.50	66.0	0.50
P for interaction		0.07	0.19	0.52	0.80

^a Adjusted for age at diagnosis, education level (categories), parity (0, 1, 2, and \geq 3), vitamin supplement use (yes/no), total meat intake (continuous), Charlson co-morbidity index ($0/\geq$ 1), BMI (continuous), regular physical activity (yes/no), menopausal status, perceived quality of life (poor, average, and good), TNM stage, chemotherapy, tamoxifen use, and immunotherapy

Association between isoflavone intake and MPS among breast cancer patients at 6 and 36 months postdiagnosis stratified by current tamoxifen use, the SBCSS

Quartiles of isoflavone intake (mg/day)	No.	Multivariate OR (95% CI) ^a	(95% CI) ^a		
		Any symptom	Hot flashes	Night sweats	Vaginal dryness
Intake at 6 months postdiagnosis $(n = 4,842)$	0				
Tamoxifen use	2,525	1,549	1,263	973	221
≤20.0	644	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
20.01 - 36.46	634	1.01 (0.80–1.28)	1.11(0.88 - 1.40)	0.93 (0.74–1.18)	1.26 (0.84–1.88)
36.47-62.63	640	$1.02\ (0.81{-}1.30)$	1.07 (0.84–1.34)	0.98 (0.77–1.23)	1.05 (0.69–1.58)
> 62.63	607	1.12 (0.88–1.43)	$1.14\ (0.90-1.45)$	1.05 (0.83–1.34)	1.16 (0.77–1.75)
P trend		0.38	0.34	0.61	0.71
No tamoxifen use	2,317	1,161	874	745	208
≤20.0	569	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
20.01-36.46	573	1.04 (0.82–1.33)	1.01 (0.78–1.30)	1.01 (0.78–1.30)	1.28 (0.84–1.94)
36.47-62.63	572	1.09 (0.85–1.39)	1.05 (0.82–1.36)	1.17 (0.90–1.51)	1.19 (0.78–1.82)
> 62.63	603	1.08 (0.84–1.37)	0.98 (0.76–1.26)	1.06 (0.82–1.37)	1.14 (0.74–1.75)
P trend		0.51	0.94	0.43	0.67
P for interaction		0.95	0.79	0.78	0.89
Weighted intake over the first 36 months postdiagnosis $(n = 3, 196)^b$	ostdiagnc	sis $(n = 3, 196)^b$			
Tamoxifen use	2,419	1,564	1,388	679	311
≤27.28	615	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
27.28-42.22	564	1.13 (0.88–1.46)	1.10 (0.86–1.42)	1.11 (0.85–1.44)	1.24 (0.87–1.75)
42.23–62.86	623	1.02 (0.80–1.31)	1.07 (0.84–1.37)	0.99 (0.77–1.29)	1.16 (0.82–1.62)
> 62.86	617	1.18 (0.92–1.52)	1.19 (0.92–1.54)	1.17 (0.91–1.52)	0.96 (0.67–1.38)
P trend		0.33	0.25	0.37	0.76
No tamoxifen use	LLL	460	385	198	124
≤27.28	184	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
27.28-42.22	192	1.28 (0.82–2.00)	1.24 (0.79–1.94)	1.15 (0.70–1.88)	0.84 (0.47–1.51)
42.23–62.86	204	1.16 (0.75–1.81)	1.01 (0.65–1.58)	1.25 (0.77–2.01)	0.97 (0.55–1.73)
> 62.86	197	1.21 (0.78–1.89)	1.35 (0.86–2.12)	1.09 (0.67–1.79)	0.85 (0.47–1.51)

Quartiles of isoflavone intake (mg/day) No. $\underline{\Lambda}$	No. Multivariate OR (95% CI) ^d	(95% CI) ^a		
	Any symptom	Hot flashes	Night sweats	Night sweats Vaginal dryness
P trend	0.50	0.33	0.68	0.72
P for interaction	0.98	0.78	0.65	0.80

^a Adjusted for age at diagnosis, education level (categories), parity (0, 1, 2, and \geq 3), vitamin supplement use (yes/no), total meat intake (continuous), Charlson co-morbidity index ($0/\geq$ 1), BMI (continuous), regular physical activity (yes/no), menopausal status, perceived quality of life (poor, average, and good), TNM stage, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy

Dorjgochoo et al.

b Participants with unknown tamoxifen use (n = 276) were excluded

Association between isoflavone intake and MPS among breast cancer patients at 6 and 36 months postdiagnosis stratified by BMI, the SBCSS

Dorjgochoo et al.

Quartiles of isoflavone intake (mg/day)	No.	Multivariate OR (95% CI) ^d	(95% CI) ^d		
		Any symptom	Hot flashes	Night sweats	Vaginal dryness
Intake at 6 months postdiagnosis $(n = 4, 842)$	0				
BMI < 27.5	4,115	2,349	1,869	1,478	393
≤20.0	1,043	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
20.01–36.46	1,045	1.02 (0.85–1.22)	1.07 (0.89–1.28)	0.98 (0.82–1.18)	1.32 (0.98–1.78)
36.47-62.63	1,027	1.04 (0.87–1.23)	1.08 (0.88–1.27)	1.10 (0.91–1.31)	1.13 (0.83–1.54)
> 62.63	1,000	1.14 (0.94–1.37)	1.12 (0.93–1.35)	1.13 (0.94–1.37)	1.14 (0.84–1.55)
P trend		0.21	0.27	0.12	0.6
BMI ≥27.5	727	361	268	240	36
≤20.0	170	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
20.01 - 36.46	162	1.08 (0.68–1.73)	1.04 (0.63–1.71)	0.88 (0.54–1.42)	0.87 (0.27–2.78)
36.47–62.63	185	1.26 (0.79–1.99)	1.00 (0.62–1.63)	0.93 (0.58–1.48)	1.11 (0.39–3.24)
> 62.63	210	0.92 (0.59–1.44)	0.76 (0.47–1.22)	0.75 (0.47–1.19)	1.48 (0.54-4.00)
P trend		0.99	0.45	0.28	0.44
P for interaction		0.35	0.55	0.51	0.68
Weighted intake over the first 36 months postdiagnosis $(n = 3, 472)$	ostdiagno	sis $(n = 3, 472)$			
BMI < 27.5	2,934	1,867	1,638	800	418
≤27.28	744	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
27.28-42.22	712	1.17 (0.94–1.46)	1.13 (0.91–1.41)	1.05 (0.83–1.33)	1.07 (0.79–1.44)
42.23–62.86	768	1.10 (0.88–1.37)	1.10 (0.89–1.37)	1.03 (0.81–1.30)	1.09(0.81 - 1.46)
> 62.86	710	1.18(0.94 - 1.48)	1.21 (0.97–1.51)	1.07 (0.84–1.36)	0.97 (0.71–1.32)
P trend		0.20	0.11	0.62	0.85
BMI ≥27.5	538	320	266	166	53
≤27.28	124	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
27.28-42.22	113	$1.09\ (0.61 - 1.93)$	1.14 (0.63–2.07)	1.51 (0.83–2.72)	0.95 (0.40–2.27)
42.23–62.86	144	1.20 (0.69–2.08)	1.28 (0.72–2.26)	1.20 (0.67–2.14)	0.77 (0.32–1.84)
> 62.86	157	1.26 (0.74–2.16)	1.28 (0.74–2.22)	1.46 (0.84–2.54)	0.71 (0.30–1.67)
P trend		0.25	0.66	0.16	0.40

Quartiles of isoflavone intake (mg/day) No	• Multivariate OR (95% CI) ^a	.95% CI) ^a		
	Any symptom	Hot flashes	Night sweats	Night sweats Vaginal dryness
P for interaction	0 07	00 0	070	0 07

^a Adjusted for age at diagnosis, education level (categories), parity (0, 1, 2, and ≥ 3), vitamin supplement use (yes/no), total meat intake (continuous), Charlson co-morbidity index (0/ ≥ 1), regular physical activity (yes/no), menopausal status, perceived quality of life (poor, average, and good), TNM stage, chemotherapy, tamoxifen use, and immunotherapy

Dorjgochoo et al.

Note: BMI was categorized by using the WHO's cut-offs for Asians (<27.5 vs. >27.5 kg/m², non-obese vs. obese)