



Published in final edited form as:

*Transplant Sci.* 1992 April ; 2(1): 34–38.

## Induction of Pancreatic Islet Graft Acceptance: The Role of Antigen Presenting Cells

Camillo Ricordi, Suzanne T. Ildstad, and Thomas E. Starzl

Diabetes mellitus is the most common endocrine disease. It is the fourth leading cause of death by disease in western countries and it is a worldwide public health problem.<sup>1–3</sup>

Prolongation of life is achieved by insulin therapy, but an increasing number of diabetic patients are treated for the complications associated with the disease, including blindness and end-stage renal failure. Fifty percent of all patients with diabetes develop renal failure in their lifetime.<sup>1–5</sup>

In patients with Type I diabetes mellitus, insulin production progressively declines and finally disappears as the beta cells within the islets are destroyed by an autoimmune process which results from a complex interplay between genetic and unknown environmental factors.<sup>6–7</sup> Replacement therapy with exogenous insulin has prevented acute death but is imperfect and has been ineffective in preventing the chronic complications of the disease. Thus, alternative methods for total endocrine replacement have been explored, including transplantation of isolated islets as a free graft.<sup>8</sup>

The idea of transplanting pancreatic tissue to reverse diabetes is a century old<sup>9–10</sup> and recent reviews on the subject are available.<sup>11–15</sup> Procedures for islet isolation<sup>16–17</sup> have improved significantly during the last decade<sup>18–30</sup> and the use of more powerful immunosuppressive agents such as cyclosporine<sup>26,27,31–33</sup> or FK 506<sup>34–36</sup> have resulted in prolonged human islet allograft survival. Insulin independence<sup>31,33–37</sup> was obtained in some patients indicating that it is possible to replace the endocrine function of the pancreas by an islet transplant in humans.

Despite these encouraging results, rejection remains the major factor limiting clinical trials of islet transplantation in Type I diabetes mellitus.<sup>31,32,34–37</sup> The solution to islet rejection cannot be provided by an increase in immunosuppressive protocols, since islet transplantation does not constitute a life-saving procedure. In contrast to other organ transplants such as heart or liver allografts, islet administration to Type I diabetic patients should be considered as prophylaxis to prevent the development of the chronic complications of the disease. Therefore, the risks associated with powerful immunosuppressive treatments cannot be justified at the present time. In the absence of any major breakthrough in the development of new and more benign immunosuppressive agents, it will be necessary to develop alternative procedures to prevent islet rejection.

Several experimental approaches have been developed to reduce the immunogenicity of islet preparations by elimination or metabolic inactivation of the donor antigen presenting cells (APCs) within the islet grafts. Other approaches to prevent islet rejection that are currently under investigation include microencapsulation/macroencapsulation, bioartificial pancreas and treatment with antibodies to major histocompatibility complex (MHC) determinants.

These approaches are not the subject of the present review. Instead this review will address the question of the role of APCs in islet rejection and the method to develop islet graft acceptance that could require a participating or determining effect of APCs.

The idea of treating tissues before transplantation to reduce immunogenicity is not new.<sup>38,39</sup> In 1934, Stone suggested a clinical benefit of in vitro culture of parathyroid tissue before transplantation in patients with hypoparathyroidism.<sup>38</sup> The hypothesis was that culture of tissue in the presence of recipient serum could result in graft “adaptation” to the new host. Lafferry<sup>40</sup> postulated that the facilitating effect of organ culture could be explained by the destruction or metabolic inactivation of bone marrow-derived donor antigen presenting cells (APCs). After two weeks of culture in an atmosphere of 95% O<sub>2</sub>, significant prolongation of thyroid allograft survival was obtained (> 200 days).<sup>40,41</sup> Inactivation or destruction of the so-called “passenger leukocytes”<sup>42,43</sup> became the focus of many investigators who significantly contributed to developing procedures to prolong survival of endocrine tissues<sup>44,45</sup> and pancreatic islet<sup>46–65</sup> grafts.

Faustman et al<sup>50</sup> achieved prolongation of islet allograft survival following anti-Ia serum and complement treatment of the donor islets prior to transplantation, demonstrating a correlation between islet immunogenicity and the presence of Class II-positive cells in the transplanted islets. However, it has been shown that islet allograft rejection occurs despite Class II identity between the donor and the recipient,<sup>66</sup> indicating that rejection can occur for Class I disparities alone.<sup>67–69</sup>

Steinman and his associates described a specific type of interdigitating APC which he called the *dendritic cell*. He demonstrated that this Class II+ cell was a potent simulator of immune reaction in vitro.<sup>70</sup> He prepared a monoclonal antibody (mAb) to mouse dendritic cells and demonstrated that treatment with this antibody could eliminate these cells in vitro. In collaborative studies with Steinman, Faustman et al, demonstrated dendritic cells in mouse islets by immunochemical techniques and found that these cells could be eliminated by in vitro treatment of the islets with the mAb and complement. Pretreatment of BIO-BR (H-2k) donor mouse islets with the anti-dendritic cell mAb plus complement prevented rejection of the treated islets when transplanted into MHC-disparate diabetic C57 BL/6J (H-2b) recipients.<sup>52</sup> These findings indicated that in the mouse the dendritic cell plays a major role in the initiation of rejection of islet allografts, since other Ia+ cells which remained in the graft after elimination of the dendritic cell did not initiate rejection.

Subsequent attempts to prevent rejection of rat islet allografts using antibodies that were reactive with Ia antigens in these species were not successful. In fact, either treatment of donor islets with a single antibody or with a mixture of anti-Ia antibodies and complement did not prevent rejection of rat islet allografts. Lacy and his associates demonstrated that in the rat, anti-Ia antibody and complement treatment decreased the number and/ or quality of APCs in the islets but did not completely eliminate them. The inability to prevent rejection of rat islet allografts by treatment of the donor islets with anti-Ia antibodies was probably due to the larger size and more compact arrangement of rat islets as compared to mouse islets, thus making it more difficult for the antibody and complement to diffuse into the islets. Ia + lymphoid cells can be demonstrated in freshly isolated rat islets using immunohistochemical techniques; however, relatively few Ia+ cells can be found after overnight culture. If the cultured islets are partially disrupted by a mechanical means or by a low calcium content in the medium, then Ia + cells can be demonstrated in such islet preparations. These findings indicated that Ia + cells were still present in the islets after overnight culture; however, the antibody was unable to penetrate the tightly compact islets to reveal their presence. The size and compactness of human islets is similar to the rat, and it may be difficult to obtain complete penetration of human islets with specific anti-Ia

antibodies and complement. Thus, the rat model could be of assistance to test approaches that may be applicable to human islet transplants.<sup>71</sup>

Evidence is growing that APCs in different tissues are part of a bone marrow-derived system connected by movement and homing.<sup>72</sup> Coupled with this migratory ability is the capacity to capture antigens in an immunogenic form in situ. There is evidence to suggest that donor APCs from solid organ grafts, i.e., heart, migrate to splenic and other lymphoid tissues of the host and that allograft rejection is in fact initiated at a site distinct from the graft itself.<sup>119</sup> The progenitor for the putative dendritic cell lineage has not been isolated. Dendritic cells in spleen and lymph originate from a proliferating pool of precursors and undergo rapid turnover,<sup>73–75</sup> but the site for proliferation (3H-thymidine uptake) is not known. A bone marrow precursor exists but conditions have not been identified that direct its growth in culture.<sup>73,74,76,77</sup>

A recent study by Setum et al compared the potency of an enriched rat donor-strain dendritic cell population with fractionated spleen in relative ability to initiate an immune response in vivo.<sup>78</sup> While 103–104 dendritic cells were capable of stimulating graft rejection, or at least a severe immunologic response, 105 spleen cells were required to produce a similar effect, indicating that dendritic cells are powerful APCs. These findings extended the in vitro evidence that dendritic cells are potent stimulator cells and supported the hypothesis that APCs may be one of the most important inducers of allograft rejection.

Increasing interest has been focused on the thymus as a unique site for the induction of tolerance to both the endogenous (self) and transplantation antigens.<sup>79–95</sup> In radiation bone marrow chimeras it is now accepted that bone marrow-derived thymic stromal APCs played an essential role in the deletion of potentially autoreactive T-lymphocytes during T cell maturation. A renewed interest in the thymus as a “privileged” site for tolerance induction has therefore occurred. The induction of systemic donor-specific transplantation tolerance for islets but *not* skin was reported when Antilymphocyte Serum (ALS) was administered intraperitoneally (IP) and a simultaneous MHC-disparate islet allograft was placed intrathymically (IT).<sup>96</sup> A subsequent donor-specific islet graft was accepted at a distant site (renal subcapsular), but third-party islet grafts were rejected. Recipients were systematically hyporeactive to donor alloantigens in mixed lymphocyte culture proliferative assays (MLR). The thymus was critical for tolerance induction since placement of the first graft at the renal subcapsular (RSC) location did *not* induce tolerance. One might speculate that presence of bone marrow-derived APCs accompanying the islet graft could have resulted in the induction of tolerance. This is especially important since quiescent mature T-cells cannot reenter the thymus, while activated T-lymphocytes *can*.<sup>97</sup>

Therefore, rejection has remained a limitation to survival of pancreatic islet allografts. The induction of donor-specific transplantation tolerance using bone marrow stem cells to produce chimerism, has been suggested as a potential approach to prevent rejection of transplanted pancreatic islets. The association between bone marrow chimerism and donor-specific transplantation has been recognized for 40 years.<sup>79–94, 98–115</sup> The first association between bone marrow chimeras and tolerance was reported by Billingham. Brent and Medawar in 1953 when they demonstrated the induction of permanent donor-specific transplantation tolerance for skin grafts by transplantation of bone marrow cells into newborn mouse recipients.<sup>98</sup> Subsequently, numerous methods to induce similar tolerance in adult recipients using bone marrow transplantation have been reported.<sup>101–111</sup> Monaco et al demonstrated prolongation of skin allograft survival in mice treated with ALS followed by a critically timed transfusion of donor bone marrow stem cells.<sup>103,104</sup> Similar tolerance for alloantigens has now been achieved in a number of other species, including the dog<sup>110</sup> and monkey.<sup>111</sup>

Recently, Ildstad et al developed and characterized a model to induce donor-specific transplantation tolerance across a species barrier through preparation of fully xenogeneic chimeras (rat => mouse).<sup>116,117</sup> Engraftment of rat bone marrow stem cells in mouse recipients was stable, as evidenced by the presence of rat-derived lymphocytes, myeloid cells, platelets and red blood cells up to 12 months after reconstitution with untreated rat bone marrow cells. Survival was excellent (>80% at 180 days), and there was no evidence of graft-versus-host (GVH) disease. Fully xenogeneic chimeras specifically accepted donor-strain rat skin grafts but were competent to reject MHC-disparate third party mouse and rat skin grafts.<sup>116,117</sup> We have recently demonstrated that long-term acceptance and function of donor-specific pancreatic islet xenografts could be achieved in fully xenogeneic chimeras without requirement of chronic nonspecific immunosuppressive therapy.<sup>99,100</sup> Euglycemia resulted within 48 hours following the placement of the cellular xenografts under the renal capsule. The pancreatic islet grafts were permanently accepted and remained functional for over eight months following transplantation.

To determine that the euglycemic state present in the chimeras was supported by the islet grafts and not due to return of function of the native pancreas, we performed serial nephrectomies of the kidneys bearing the grafts in selected chimeras. Following nephrectomy the animals returned to the diabetic state within 24 hours, further demonstrating that the islet xenografts were responsible for maintenance of the euglycemic state. Histologically, the grafts appeared healthy, and there was evidence for insulin positive cells (immunoperoxidase stains). Most importantly, there was no evidence for chronic rejection. These islets were not hand picked and therefore closely approximate the cellular grafts currently utilized in human trials. We have recently observed that bone marrow-derived APCs are completely replaced in fully xenogeneic chimeras with those of the bone marrow donor, suggesting a potential role of donor APCs in the tolerance state that is associated with chimerism following bone marrow transplantation (manuscript submitted).

In conclusion, it is apparent that antigen-presenting cells exert a central role in islet allograft and xenograft rejection and/or tolerance induction. Methods to induce tolerance to islets as well as to other organ and tissue grafts using APCs as the target of immunoalteration procedures are currently the object of intense research. In the past APCs have been the target of procedures to eliminate and/or metabolically inactivate these cells to prolong islet graft survival. Today research evidence supports that APCs may play an active role in graft acceptance as well. Further studies will unmask the multifaceted role of this critical cellular component of tissue and organ grafts.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by a grant from the Juvenile Diabetes Foundation (International Research Grant #1911421 and #1911433).

## References

1. Harris, MI.; Hanaman, RF., editors. Diabetes in America. NIH Publication; 1985. p. 85
2. La Porte RE, Fishburn HA, Drash AL, et al. The Pittsburgh insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (IDMM) registry: The incidence of insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania (1965–1976). *Diabetes*. 1981; 30:279–284. [PubMed: 7202862]
3. Bennet, PH. Diabetes mellitus: Theory and practice. New York: Elsevier Science Publishers; 1990. p. 357
4. Krolewski AS, Warram JH, Rand U, Kahn CR. Epidemiologic approach to the etiology of Type I diabetes mellitus and its complications. *N Engl J Med*. 1987; 317:1390–1398. [PubMed: 3317040]
5. Goetz FC, Elick B, Fryd D, et al. Renal transplantation in diabetes. *Clin Endo Metab*. 1986; 15:807.

6. Eisenbarth GS. A chronic autoimmune disease. *N Engl J Med.* 1986; 314:1360–1364. [PubMed: 3517648]
7. Castano L, Eisenbarth GS. Type I diabetes: A chronic autoimmune disease of human, mouse, and rat. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 1990; 8:647–679. [PubMed: 2188676]
8. Dubernard, JM.; Sutherland, DER. *Int Hdbk of Pancreas Transplant.* Kluwer Academic Publ; 1989.
9. Minkowski O. Weitere Mittheilungen über den Diabetes mellitus nach Exstirpation des Pankreas. *Berl klin Wchnschr.* 1892; 29:90.
10. Williams PW. Notes on diabetes treated with extract and by grafts of sheep's pancreas. *Br Med J.* 1894; 2:1303.
11. Mullen Y, Clare-Saizler M, Stein E, Clark W. Islet transplantation for the cure of diabetes. *Pancreas.* 1989; 4:123–135. [PubMed: 2497459]
12. Hering BJ, Bretzel RG, Federlin K. Current Status of clinical islet transplantation. *Horm Metabol Res.* 1988; 20:537–545.
13. Lacy, PE. Islet transplantation. In: Alberti, KGMM.; Krau, LP., editors. *The Diabetes Annual.* Elsevier Science Publishers; 1990. p. 245
14. Gray DWR, Morris PJ. Developments in isolated pancreatic islet transplantation. *Transplant.* 1987; 43:321–331.
15. Ricordi C, Starzl TE. Cellular transplants. *Transplant Proc.* 1991; 23:73–76. [PubMed: 1990667]
16. Moskalewski S. Isolation and culture of the islets of Langerhans of the guinea pig. *Gen and Comp Endocrin.* 1965; 5:342–353.
17. Lacy PE, Kostianovsky M. Method for the isolation of intact islets of Langerhans from the rat pancreas. *Diabetes.* 1967; 16:35–39. [PubMed: 5333500]
18. Gray DWR, Warnock G, Sutton, et al. Successful autotransplantation of isolated islets of Langerhans in the cynomolgus monkey. *Br J Surg.* 1986; 73:850. [PubMed: 3094618]
19. Warnock GL, Rajotte RV. Critical mass of purified islets that induce normoglycemia after implantation into dogs. *Diabetes.* 1988; 37:467–470. [PubMed: 3132412]
20. Ricordi C, Socci C, Davau AM, et al. Isolation of elusive pig islet. *Surgery.* 1990; 107:688–694. [PubMed: 2112787]
21. Alejandro R, Curfield RG, Scheinvoild FL, et al. Natural history of intrahepatic canine islet cell autografts. *J Clin Invest.* 1986; 78:1339–1348. [PubMed: 3095376]
22. Gray DWR, McShane P, Grant A, Morris PJ. A method for isolation of islets of Langerhans from the human pancreas. *Diabetes.* 1984; 33:1055–1061. [PubMed: 6437895]
23. Ricordi C, Lacy PE, Finke EH, Olack BJ, Scharp. Automated method for isolation of human pancreatic islets. *Diabetes.* 1988; 37:413–420. [PubMed: 3288530]
24. Scharp DW, Lacy PE, Finke E, Olack BJ. Low-temperature culture of human islets isolated by the distension method and purified with Ficou or Percou gradients. *Surgery.* 1987; 102:869–879. [PubMed: 3313779]
25. Rajotte RV, Warnock GL, Evans M, Dawidson I. Isolation of viable islets of Langerhans from collagenase-perfused canine and human pancreata. *Transplant Proc.* 1987; 19:916. [PubMed: 3152636]
26. Alejandro R, Noel J, Latif Z, et al. Islet cell transplantation in Type I diabetes mellitus. *Transplant Proc.* 1987; 19:2359–2361. [PubMed: 3152660]
27. Sutherland DER. Pancreas and islet transplantation; clinical trials. *Diabetologia.* 1981; 20:435–450. [PubMed: 6786945]
28. Lake SP, Basset PD, Larkins A, et al. Large-scale purification of human islets utilizing discontinuous albumin gradient on IBM 2991 cell separator. *Diabetes.* 1989:143–145. [PubMed: 2642839]
29. Alejandro R, Strasser S, Zucker PF, et al. Isolation of pancreatic islets from dogs. Semiautomated purification on albumine gradients. *Transplant.* 1990; 50:207–210.
30. Ricordi C, Gray DWR, Hering BJ, et al. Islet isolation assessment in man and large animals. *Acta Diabetol Lat.* 1990; 27:185–195. [PubMed: 2075782]
31. Scharp DW, Lacy PE, Santiago JV, et al. Insulin independence after islet transplantation into Type I diabetic patients. *Diabetes.* 1990; 39:515–518. [PubMed: 2108071]

32. Scharp DW, Lacy PE, Ricordi C, et al. Human islet transplantation in patients with Type I diabetes. *Transplant Proc.* 1989; 21:2744–2745. [PubMed: 2495688]
33. Warnock GL, Kneteman NM, Ryan E, et al. Normoglycemia after transplantation of freshly isolated and cryopreserved pancreatic islets in Type I (insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia.* 1991; 34:55–58. [PubMed: 2055341]
34. Tzakis A, Ricordi C, Alejandro R, et al. Pancreatic islet transplantation after upper abdominal exenteration and liver replacement. *Lancet.* 1990; 336:402–405. [PubMed: 1974944]
35. Ricordi C, Tzakis A, Carroll P, et al. Human islet isolation and autotransplantation in 22 consecutive cases. *Transplant.* in press.
36. Ricordi C, Tzakis A, Carroll P, et al. Human islet autotransplantation in 18 diabetic patients. *Transplant Proc.* in press.
37. Altman JJ, Cugnenc PH, Tessier C, et al. Epiploic flap: A new site for islet implantation in man. *Horm Metab Res (Suppl).* 1990; 25:136–137. [PubMed: 2088954]
38. Stone HB, Qwings JC, Gey GO. Transplantation of living grafts of thyroid and parathyroid glands. *Ann Surg.* 1934; 100:613–628. [PubMed: 17856382]
39. Summerlin WT, Broutbar C, Foanes RB, et al. Acceptance of phenotypically differing cultured skin in man and mice. *Transplant Proc.* 1973; 5:707–710. [PubMed: 4633094]
40. Lafferty KJ, Cooley MA, Woolnough J, Walker KZ. Thyroid allograft immunogenicity is reduced after a period in organ culture. *Science.* 1975; 188:259–261. [PubMed: 1118726]
41. Lafferty KJ, Bootes A, Dart G, Talmage DW. Effect of organ culture in the survival of thyroid allografts in mice. *Transplant.* 1976; 22:138–149.
42. Billingham RE. The passenger cell concept in transplantation immunology. *Cell Immunol.* 1971; 2:1–12. [PubMed: 4399153]
43. Lafferty KJ, Prowse SJ, Simeonovic CJ. Immunobiology of tissue transplantation: A return to the passenger leukocyte concept. *Ann Rev Immunol.* 1983; 1:143–173. [PubMed: 6443557]
44. Jacobs BB. Ovarian allografts survival. Prolongation after passage in vitro. *Transplant.* 1974; 18:454–457.
45. Ricordi C, Santiago JV, Lacy PE. Use of culture and temporary immunosuppression to prolong adrenal cortical allograft survival. *Endocrinology.* 1987; 121:745–748. [PubMed: 3595541]
46. Lacy PE, Davie JM, Finke EH. Prolongation of islet allograft survival following in vitro culture (24°C) and a single injection of ALS. *Science.* 1979; 204:312–313. [PubMed: 107588]
47. Lacy PE, Davie JM, Finke EH, Scharp DW. Prolongation of islet allograft survival. *Transplant.* 1979; 27:171–174.
48. Lacy PE, Davie JM, Finke EH. Prolongation of islet xenograft survival without continuous immunosuppression. *Science.* 1980; 209:283–285. [PubMed: 6770465]
49. Lacy PE, Finke EH, Janney G, Davie JM. Prolongation of islet xenograft survival in vitro culture of rat megaislets in 95% O<sub>2</sub>. *Transplant.* 1982; 33:588–592.
50. Faustman D, Hauptfeld V, Lacy P, Davie J. Prolongation of murine islet allograft survival by pretreatment of islets with antibody directed to Ia determinants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1981; 78:5156–5159. [PubMed: 6795629]
51. Faustman D, Lacy PE, Davie JM, Hauptfeld V. Prevention of allograft rejection by immunization with donor blood depleted of Ia-bearing cells. *Science.* 1982; 217:157–158. [PubMed: 6806903]
52. Faustman D, Steinman RM, Gebel HM, et al. Prevention of rejection of murine islet allografts by pretreatment with anti-dendritic cell antibody. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1984; 81:3864–3868. [PubMed: 6427778]
53. Faustman D, Steinman RM, Gebel HM, et al. Prevention of mouse islet allograft rejection by elimination of intra islet dendritic cells. *Transplant Proc.* 1985; 17:420–422.
54. Hardy MA, Lau H, Weber C, Reemtsma K. Pancreatic islet transplantation: induction of graft acceptance by ultraviolet irradiation of donor tissue. *Ann Surg.* 1984; 200:441–450. [PubMed: 6237621]
55. Lau H, Reemtsma K, Hardy MA. Prolongation of rat islet autograft survival by direct ultraviolet irradiation of the graft. *Science.* 1984; 223:607–609. [PubMed: 6420888]

56. Lau H, Reemtsma K, Hardy MA. The use of direct ultraviolet irradiation and cyclosporine in facilitating indefinite pancreatic islet allograft acceptance. *Transplant*. 1984; 38:566–569.
57. Markmann JF, Markmann DP, Balshi JD, Naji A. Retransplantation of rat islet allografts following residence in interim host. *Transplant Proc*. 1987; 19:940–941. [PubMed: 3152644]
58. Naji A, Silver WK, Plotkin SA, Dafoe D, Barker CF. Successful islet transplantation in spontaneous diabetes. *Surgery*. 1979; 86:218–226. [PubMed: 223249]
59. Woehrl M, Markmann JF, Silvers WK, et al. Effect of temperature of pretransplant culture on islet allografts in BB rats. *Transplant Proc*. 1986; 18:1845–1847.
60. Woehrl M, Markman JF, Silvers WK, Barker CF, Naji A. Transplantation of cultured pancreatic islets to BB rats. *Surgery*. 1986; 100:334–340. [PubMed: 3090724]
61. Bowen KM, Andros L, Lafferty KJ. Successful allotransplantation of mouse pancreatic islets to nonimmunosuppressed recipients. *Diabetes*. 1980; 29:98–104. [PubMed: 6766418]
62. Ricordi C, Lacy PE, Sterbenz K, Davie JM. Low temperature culture of human islets or in vivo treatment with L3T4 antibody produces a marked prolongation of islet human-to-mouse xenograft survival. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1987; 84:8080–8084. [PubMed: 3120184]
63. Sullivan FP, Ricordi C, Hauptfeld, Lacy PE. Effect of low temperature culture and site of transplantation on hamster islet xenograft survival (hamster to mouse). *Transplant*. 1987; 44:465–468.
64. Ricordi C, Kraus C, Lacy PE. Effect of low temperature culture on the survival of intratesticular rat islet allografts. *Transplant*. 1988; 45:234–236.
65. Ricordi C, Scharp DW, Lacy PE. Reversal of diabetes in nude mice after transplantation of fresh and 7 days cultured (240C) human pancreatic islets. *Transplant*. 1988; 45:994–996.
66. Morrow CE, Sutherland DER, Steffes MW, et al. Rejection of established mouse pancreatic islet allografts by active immunization requires Class 1 (H-2K, D) antigen disparities. *J Surg Res*. 1984; 36:332–340. [PubMed: 6423894]
67. Koide L, Inaba K, Steinman RM. Interleukin 1 enhances T-dependent immune responses by amplifying the function of dendritic cells. *J Exp Med*. 1987; 165:515–530. [PubMed: 2950198]
68. Silberberg-Sinakin I, Gigili I, Baer RL, Thorbecke G. Langerhans cells: Role in contact hypersensitivity and relationship to Lymphoid dendritic cells and to macrophages. *Immunol Rev*. 1980; 53:203–232. [PubMed: 7009405]
69. Yamashita U, Shevach E. The expression of Ia antigens of immunocompetent cells in the guinea pig. *J Immunol*. 1977; 119:1584, 1977. [PubMed: 144161]
70. Steinman RM, Witmer MD. Lymphoid dendritic cells are potent stimulators of the primary mixed leukocyte reaction in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1978; 75:51325136.
71. Teraska R, Lacy PE, Hauptfeld V, et al. The effect of cyclosporine-A, low-temperature culture, and anti-Ia antibodies on prevention of rejection of rat islet allografts. *Diabetes*. 1986; 35:83–88. [PubMed: 3079714]
72. Steinman RM. The dendritic cell system and its role in immunogenicity. *Ann Rev Immunol*. 1991; 9:271–296. [PubMed: 1910679]
73. Pugh CW, MacPherson GG, Steer HW. Characterization of nonlymphoid cells derived from rat peripheral lymph. *J Exp Med*. 1983; 157:1758–1779. [PubMed: 6854208]
74. Steinman RM, Lustig DS, Cohn ZA. Identification of a novel cell Type In peripheral lymphoid organs of mice. *J Exp Med*. 1974; 139:1431–1445. [PubMed: 4598015]
75. Fossum, S. The life and history of dendritic leukocytes (DL). In: Ivessen, OH., editor. *Current Topics in Pathology*. Vol. 79. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1989. p. 101-124.
76. Barclay AN, Mayrhofer G. Bone marrow origin of Ia-positive cells in the medulla of rat thymus. *J Exp Med*. 1981; 153:1666–1671. [PubMed: 6942092]
77. Katz SI, Tamaki K, Sach DH. Epidermal Langerhans cells are derived from cells originating in bone marrow. *Nature*. 1979; 282:324–326. [PubMed: 503208]
78. Setum CM, Hegre OD, Serie JR, Moore WV. The potency of splenic dendritic cells as alloantigen presenters in vivo. Quantitation of the number of cells required to achieve graft rejection. *Transplant*. 1990:1175–1177.

79. Acha-Orbea H, Shakhov AN, Scarpellino L, et al. Clonal deletion of VB14-bearing T-cells in mice transgenic for mammary tumor virus. *Nature*. 1991; 350:207–214. [PubMed: 1848685]
80. Choi Y, Kappler JW, Marrack P. A superantigen encoded in the open reading frame of the 3' long terminal repeat of mouse mammary tumour virus. *Nature*. 1991; 350:203–207. [PubMed: 1706480]
81. Huber B, Demant P, Festenstein H. Influence of M-locus (non-H-2) and K-end and D-end (H-2-region) incompatibility on heart muscle allograft survival time. *Transplant Proc*. 1973; 5:1377–1383. [PubMed: 4590620]
82. Sachs DH, Stone K, Am JS. Reassessment of the role of Class II antigens in skin graft rejection. *Transplant Proc*. 1989; 21:595–597. [PubMed: 2565057]
83. Komgold R, Sprent J. Lethal graft-versus-host disease after bone marrow transplantation across minor histocompatibility barriers in mice. *J Exp Med*. 1978; 148:1687–1698. [PubMed: 363972]
84. Groves ES, Singer A. Role of the H-2 complex in the induction of T-cell tolerance to self minor histocompatibility antigens. *J Exp Med*. 1983; 158:1483–1497. [PubMed: 6605407]
85. Matzinger P, Zamoyska R, Waldmann H. Self tolerance is H-2 restricted. *Nature*. 1984; 308:738–741.
86. Eto M, Mayumi H, Tomita Y, et al. Intrathymic clonal deletion of V beta 6 + T cells in cyclophosphamide-induced tolerance to H-2-compatible. Mls-disparate antigens. *J Exp Med*. 1990; 171:97–113. [PubMed: 2136907]
87. Qin S, Cobbold S, Benjamin R, Waldmann H. Induction of classical transplantation tolerance in the adult. *J Exp Med*. 1989; 169:779–794. [PubMed: 2647894]
88. Speiser D, Schneider R, Hengartner H, et al. Clonal deletion of self-reactive T-cells in irradiation bone marrow chimeras and neonatally tolerant mice. Evidence for intercellular transfer of Mls. *J Exp Med*. 1989; 170:595–600. [PubMed: 2526850]
89. Ramsdell F, Lantz T, Fowlkes BJ. A nondeletional mechanism of thymic self tolerance. *Science*. 1989; 246:1038–1041. [PubMed: 2511629]
90. Fry AM, Jones LA, Kruisbeck AM, Matis LA. Thymic requirement for clonal deletion during T cell development. *Science*. 1989; 246:1044–1046. [PubMed: 2511630]
91. Mayumi H, Good RA. Long-lasting skin allograft tolerance in adult mice induced across fully allogeneic (multimajor H-2 plus multimajor histocompatibility) antigen barriers by a tolerance inducing method using cyclophosphamide. *J Exp Med*. 1989; 169:213–238. [PubMed: 2642528]
92. Rammensee HG, Kroschewski R, Frangoulis B. Clonal anergy induced in mature V beta 6+ T lymphocytes on immunizing Mls-Ib mice with Mls-Ia expressing cells. *Nature*. 1989; 339:541–544. [PubMed: 2525232]
93. Roberts JL, Sharrow JO, Singer A. Clonal deletion and clonal anergy in the thymus induced by cellular elements with different radiation sensitivities. *J Exp Med*. 1990; 171:935–940. [PubMed: 2307937]
94. Ramsdell F, Fowlkes BJ. Clonal deletion virus clonal anergy: The role of the thymus in inducing self tolerance. *Science*. 1990; 248:1342–1348. [PubMed: 1972593]
95. Speiser D, Chvatchko Y, Zinkemagel RM, MacDonald HR. Distinct fates of self-specific T cells developing in irradiation bone marrow chimeras: Clonal deletion, clonal anergy, or in vitro responsiveness to self-Mls-Ia controlled by hemopoietic cells in the thymus. *J Exp Med*. 1990; 172:1305–1314. [PubMed: 2230645]
96. Posselt AM, Barker CF, Tomaszewsky JE, et al. Induction of donor-specific unresponsiveness by intrathymic islet transplantation. *Science*. 1990; 249:1293–1295. [PubMed: 2119056]
97. Agus DB, Surh CD, Sprent J. Re-entry of T cells to the adult thymus is restricted to activated T cells. *J Exp Med*. 1991; 173:1039–1046. [PubMed: 2022918]
98. Billingham RE, Brent L, Medawar PB. “Actively acquired tolerance” of foreign cells. *Nature*. 1953; 172:603–606. [PubMed: 13099277]
99. Zeng Y, Ricordi C, Tzakis A, et al. *Transplant*. in press.
100. Zeng Y, Ildstad ST, Rilo HLR, et al. *Transplant*. in press.
101. Ildstad ST, Sachs DH. Reconstitution with syngeneic plus allogeneic or xenogeneic bone marrow leads to specific acceptance of allografts or xenografts. *Nature*. 1984; 307:168–170.



102. Thomas WF, Sadeghi AM, Kurlansky P, et al. Postoperative bone marrow injections with cyclosporine or antithymocyte globulin in rat cardiac allografts. *Transplant*. 1986; 42:441–142.
103. Wood ML, Monaco AP. Suppressor cells in specific unresponsiveness to skin allografts in ALS-treated, marrow-injected mice. *Transplant*. 1980; 29:196–200.
104. Wood ML, Gottschalk R, Monaco AP. The effect of cyclosporine on the induction of unresponsiveness in antilymphocyte serum-treated, marrow-injected mice. *Transplant*. 1988; 46:449–451.
105. Thomas JM, Garber FM, Foil MB. Renal allograft tolerance induced with ATG and donor bone marrow in outbred rhesus monkeys. *Transplant*. 1983; 36:104–106.
106. Maki T, Gottschalk R, Wood ML, Monaco AP. Specific unresponsiveness to skin allografts in Antilymphocyte serum-treated, marrow-injected mice: Participation of donor marrow-derived suppressor T-cells. *J Immun*. 1981; 127:1433–1438. [PubMed: 6168692]
107. Auchincloss H, Ozato K, Sachs D. A monoclonal antibody detecting unusual Thy-1 determinants. *J Immun*. 1982; 128:1584–1589. [PubMed: 6174608]
108. Barber WH, Dierhelm AG, Laskow DA, et al. Use of cryopreserved donor bone marrow in cadaver kidney allograft recipients. *Transplant*. 1989; 47:66–71.
109. Monaco AP, Wood ML. Studies on heterologous antilymphocyte serum in mice VII optimal cellular antigen for induction of immunologic tolerance with antilymphocyte serum. *Transplant Proc*. 1970; 2:489–496. [PubMed: 4939696]
110. Caridis DT, Liegeois A, Barret I, Monaco AP. Enhanced survival of canine renal allografts of ALS-treated dogs given bone marrow. *Transplant Proc*. 1973; 5:671–674. [PubMed: 4572126]
111. Thomas FT, Carve FM, Foil MB, et al. Long-term incompatible kidney survival in outbred higher primates without chronic immunosuppression. *Ann Surg*. 1983; 198:370–375. [PubMed: 6351775]
112. Monaco AP, Clark AW, Wood ML, et al. Possible active enhancement of a human cadaver renal allograft with antilymphocyte serum (ALS) and donor bone marrow: Case report of an initial attempt. *Surgery*. 1976; 79:384–392. [PubMed: 769219]
113. Monaco AP, Wood ML, Maki T, et al. Attempt to induce unresponsiveness to human renal allografts with antilymphocyte globulin and donor-specific bone marrow. *Transplant Proc*. 1985; 17:1312–1314.
114. Ildstad ST, Wren SM, Barbieri SA, Sachs DH. Characterization of mixed autogeneic chimeras: immunocompetence, in vitro reactivity, and genetic specificity of tolerance. *J Exp Med*. 1985; 162:231–244. [PubMed: 3159825]
115. Ildstad ST, Wren SM, Sachs DH. In vivo and in vitro characterization of specific hyporeactivity to skin xenografts in mixed xenogeneically reconstituted mice(B10 + F344rat-B10). *J Exp Med*. 1984; 160:1820–1835. [PubMed: 6239902]
116. Ildstad ST, Wren SM, Boggs SS, et al. Cross species bone marrow transplantation: Evidence for tolerance induction, stem cell engraftment, and maturation of T-lymphocytes in a xenogeneic stromal. *J Exp Med*. 1991; 174:467–478. [PubMed: 1856629]
117. Ildstad ST, Vacchio MS, Markus PM, et al. Cross species transplantation tolerance: rat bone marrow-derived cells can contribute to the ligand for negative selection of mouse TCR-VB in chimeras tolerant to xenogeneic antigens (Mouse + Rat - Mouse). *J Exp Med*. in press.
118. Larsen CP, Morris PJ, Austyn JM. Migration of dendritic leukocytes from cardiac allografts into host spleens: A novel pathway for initiation of rejection. *J Exp Med*. 1990; 171:307–314. [PubMed: 2404081]