



Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2011 May 17; 50(19): 3913–3918. doi:10.1021/bi2002554.

Strand Invasion of Mixed-Sequence, Double Helical B-DNA by γ PNAs Containing G-Clamp Nucleobases under Physiological Conditions

Srinivas Rapireddy, Raman Bahal, and Danith H. Ly*

Department of Chemistry and Center for Nucleic Acids Science and Technology (CNASt), Carnegie Mellon University, 4400 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA

Abstract

Peptide nucleic acids (PNAs) are the only class of nucleic acid mimics developed to date that has been shown to be capable of invading double helical B-form DNA. Recently, we showed that sequence limitation associated with PNA recognition can be relaxed by utilizing conformationally-preorganized γ -peptide nucleic acids (γ PNAs). However, like all the previous studies, with the exception of triplex-binding, DNA strand invasion was performed in relatively low salt concentrations. When subjected to physiological ionic strengths, little to no binding was observed. On the basis of this finding it was not clear whether the lack of binding is due to the lack of base-pair opening, or to the lack of binding free energy—either of which would result in no productive binding. In this *Article*, we show that it is the latter. Under simulated physiological conditions DNA double helix is sufficiently dynamic to permit strand invasion by the designer oligonucleotide molecules provided that the required binding free energy can be met. This finding has important implication for the design oligonucleotides for recognition of B-DNA via direct Watson-Crick base-pairing.

Double helical DNA has traditionally been thought to be a relatively static molecular entity, specifically designed for the storage and safeguard of genetic information (1). Early theoretical estimations (2) and NMR imino-proton exchange studies (3, 4) placed the probability of internal base-pair opening right around 10^{-5} , open base-pair lifetime $\sim 10^{-7}$ s, and base-pair activation energy in the range of 43–65 kJ/mol at ambient temperature. These initial estimates cast a grim outlook on the prospect of being able to access the Watson-Crick (W-C) hydrogen-bond donors and acceptors of nucleobases for recognition. As a consequence, efforts to establish sequence-specific interactions with double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) in the past have generally been focused on chemical groups that reside in the minor (5) and major (6, 7) grooves, in large part, because of the ease of accessibility and the precedence for their recognition in nature. However, over the past two decades, peptide nucleic acid (PNAs) (8), a particular class of nucleic acid mimics comprising a pseudopeptide backbone (Chart 1a, top), have been shown to be capable of invading dsDNA (8–10). This finding was significant because, contrary to the traditional belief, it demonstrates that W-C base-pairing interactions can be established with intact dsDNA at physiological temperature. Though they are promising as antigene reagents, because of the generality and specificity of recognition, the originally designed achiral PNAs can only recognize homopurine (11) and homopyrimidine targets (12). Mixed-sequence PNAs can invade topologically constrained supercoiled plasmid DNA (13, 14) and structurally perturbed regions of genomic DNA (15); however, they are unable to invade linear, double helical B-form DNA (B-DNA).

*To whom corresponding should be addressed: D.H.L., dly@andrew.cmu.edu, telephone: 412-268-4010, fax: 412-268-5579.

Recently, two approaches, tail-clamp (16, 17) and double-duplex invasion (18), have been developed, enabling mixed-sequence PNAs to invade B-DNA. However, they are not without limitations. The first approach still requires a stretch of homopyrimidine tract for anchoring the triplex binding, while the second approach, although more relaxed in sequence selection, is complicated by the need to use two separate strands of pseudocomplementary PNA to invade dsDNA. More recently, we showed that sequence restriction can be relaxed by utilizing conformationally preorganized γ PNAs as molecular reagents (Chart 1a, bottom) (19). However, like all the other studies, with the exception of triplex-binding by homopyrimidine PNAs (20), strand invasion of linear double helical B-DNA was performed in buffers containing relatively low salt concentrations (11, 18, 19, 21, 22). When subjected to physiological ionic strengths, little to no binding was observed (23). On the basis of this result, it was not clear whether the lack of binding is due to the lack of base-pair opening (accessibility) or to the lack of binding free energy, since the kinetic of base-pair breathing and thermodynamic stability of DNA duplex are tightly coupled. Knowing the answer to this question is important because it will tell us whether W-C recognition is a viable option for targeting mixed-sequence B-DNA under physiological conditions. If it the former, there is little that can be done from a design standpoint. However, if it is the latter, this issue could be resolved through molecular design. Herein we show that it is the latter. Under simulated physiological conditions, DNA double helix is sufficiently dynamic to permit strand invasion by the designer oligonucleotide molecules provided that the required binding free energy can be met.

Materials and Methods

Monomer and oligomer synthesis

Boc-protected PNA monomers were obtained from ASM Research Chemicals. Methyl-containing γ PNA monomers were prepared according to published procedures (24). G-clamp nucleobase and corresponding γ PNA monomer were prepared according to the protocol reported by Chenna and coworkers (23). The oligomers were synthesized on MBHA (4-methylbenzhydrylamine) resin according to standard procedures (25). The oligomers were cleaved from the resin using m-cresol:thioanisole:TFMSA:TFA (1:1:2:6) cocktail, and the resulting mixtures were precipitated with ethyl ether, purified by RP-HPLC and characterized by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer.

Cloning

Two DNA inserts, containing a perfectly-matched (**PM**) and single-base mismatched (**MM**) binding site, were cloned into the *Bam*HI-*Eco*RI restriction site of pSuper plasmid vector (26). The recombinant vectors were transformed into *E. coli* (X-Gold 10). Following selection, clones were expanded and the plasmids were extracted using Qiagen plasmid extraction kit. The identities of the inserts were verified by sequencing. *Insert 1* (**PM**): p-gatcgatatctatgagaccacagatctaagctagc-3'/p-aattgctagcttagatctgtggtctcatagatc-3', *Insert 2* (**MM**): p-gatcgatatctatgagaccacagatctaagctagc-3'/p-aattgctagcttagatctgaggtctcatagatc-3'.

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

The 171bp-DNA fragments containing **PM** and **MM** binding sites were PCR-amplified from the corresponding plasmid vectors using the following primer set: 5'-gctactcaagctttcactatagggcgaattgga-3'/5'-gtgtcccgcctagtgaca-3'. The PCR reaction was performed as followed: (i) 95 °C for 2 min, (ii) 95 °C for 30 sec, (iii) 55 °C for 30 sec, (iv) 72 °C for 1 min, (v) repeated steps *ii-iv* for 32 cycles. The reaction mixtures were pooled and quenched with 0.2× vol of 10 mM EDTA. The mixtures were extracted twice with 1× vol phenol:chloroform:IAA (24:5:1), and the aqueous portions were combined and precipitated by adding 1 μ L of 5 mg/mL glycogen, 0.1× vol of 3 M sodium acetate and 3×

vol of cold ethanol, and placed in dried ice for 30 min. Following centrifugation and removal of the liquid layers, the remaining DNA pellets were washed 3× with 70% ethanol, air-dried, and then reconstituted with Nanopure water. The concentration of the PCR products (171bp-linear DNA fragments) were estimated based on the following parameters: 1 OD_{260nm} = 50 µg/mL and MW = 649 Dalton/bp.

Gel-shift assay

Following incubation of the DNA targets with the appropriate oligomers at the indicated concentrations, time-points and temperatures, the samples were separated on precasted, 10% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gels using 0.5× TBE buffer. The gels were run at 10 V/cm for 3 hr in a water-bath at 37 °C. After electrophoresis, the gels were stained with 1× SYBR-Gold for 5 min, washed 2× with 0.5× TBE buffer, and then imaged using a gel-documentation system (BioDoc-It System). The images were then inverted using Adobe Photoshop 6.0.

DNase-I footprinting assay

The primer set: 5'-gctactcaagctttcactatagggcggaattgga-3'/5'-gtgttcccgcctagtgcaca-3' was used to PCR-amplify the DNA fragments containing **PM** and **MM** binding sites from the corresponding vectors. The PCR products were digested with *Hind*III, heat-inactivated and then 3'-labeled with P-32 using the Klenow fragment of *E. coli* DNA polymerase. Notice that in this case the 3-end of the target DNA strand was labeled with P-32. The DNA targets were gel-purified and ethanol-precipitated. The radioactively-labeled targets were then redissolved in water and divided into aliquots containing approximately 10,000 cpm each. The invasion complex was initiated by incubating 10,000 cpm of the labeled and 0.2 µM of the cold (unlabeled) DNA target with the indicated oligomers and concentrations in a simulated physiological buffer (10 mM sodium phosphate, 2 mM MgCl₂, 150 mM KCl; pH 7.4) at 37 °C. Footprinting of the invasion complex was performed by incubating the mixtures with 1 unit of DNase-I for 30 sec. The mixtures were then immediately quenched by adding 20 µL of the Stop Buffer (1.5 M sodium acetate, 10 mM EDTA, 1 M β-mercaptoethanol, 250 µg/mL calf thymus) and 400 µL of chilled ethanol. The samples were briefly vortexed and placed in dried-ice for 30 min, followed by centrifugation and washing 3× with 70% ethanol. The samples were air-dried and then separated on 10% urea-denaturing polyacrylamide gels. The cleavage patterns were visualized by autoradiography.

DEPC chemical probing assay

The PCR primer set: 5'-tcactatagggcggaattgga-3'/5'-gctactcaagcttgtgttcccgcctagtgcaca-3' was used to PCR-amplify the DNA targets containing **PM** and **MM** binding sites from the corresponding plasmid vectors. The PCR products were digested with *Hind*III and 3'-labeled with P-32 under identical conditions as described above. The invasion complex was prepared the same way as that for DNase-I footprinting. DEPC treatment was initiated by adding 10% vol of DEPC into the invasion samples. The mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 5 min, followed by precipitation and piperidine treatment. The samples were then separated on 10% urea-denaturing polyacrylamide gels and the cleavage patterns were visualized by autoradiography.

Results and Discussion

To address the question of accessibility versus thermodynamic stability, we synthesized a series of decameric γPNA oligomers containing the same nucleobase sequence content but with a different number of cytosine (C) to G-clamp (X) nucleobase substitutions (Chart 1b and c). We then assessed their DNA strand invasion capability using a combination of gel-shift, enzymatic footprinting, and chemical probing assays. The C→X nucleobase

substitutions were made in an attempt to systematically improve the binding free energy of γ PNAs without resorting to changing the nucleobase sequence or chain length. This particular cytosine analogue was chosen because prior studies showed that C \rightarrow X substitution can significantly enhance the thermodynamic stability of the PNA-DNA duplex (27). Given the already strong binding affinity of γ PNAs (22), making such nucleobase substitutions should significantly further enhance their thermodynamic stability. Implementing such a design would allow us to survey the invasion capability of γ PNAs over a range of binding free energy. Our working hypothesis is that if DNA strand invasion under simulated physiological conditions is predominated by thermodynamics, we would expect a gradual increase in the invasion efficiency with binding free energy, or in this case the number of C \rightarrow X nucleobase substitutions. On the other hand, if base-pair accessibility is the determining factor in DNA strand invasion, we do not expect the above correlation but instead expect a steep dependence on temperature, which has a direct effect on the rate of base-pair opening.

A gel-shift assay was performed by incubating a 171bp, linear doubled-stranded B-DNA containing an internal, perfectly-matched (**PM**) binding site (Chart 1d) with various γ PNA oligomers in a buffer containing physiological salt concentrations (28) (10 mM sodium phosphate, 2 mM MgCl₂, 150 mM KCl; pH 7.4) at 37 °C. The mixtures were separated on non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels and stained with SYBR-Gold for visualization. Inspection of Figure 1a reveals no binding for **PNA1** or **PNA1-1X**, but a small amount for **PNA1-2X**, as evidenced from formation of a shifted band following 16 hr incubation. This result is consistent with an earlier finding (23). Upon further increase in the number of C \rightarrow X nucleobase substitutions from 2 to 3, we noticed a dramatic increase in the intensity of the shifted band, from ~ 3 % with **PNA1-2X** (lane 4) to ~ 80% with **PNA1-3X** (lane 5), indicating that more of the bound complex was formed. Formation of this complex was sequence-specific, since no trace of the shifted band was observed with DNA containing an inverted, single-base mismatched (**MM**) binding site (compare lane 7 to lane 6). Nucleobase inversion is expected to have minimal impact on the thermodynamic stability (or base-pair breathing rate) of the DNA double helix. Thus, the difference in binding efficiency is predominantly due to sequence mismatch discrimination. We attribute the high level of sequence specificity to the competitive binding of the native complementary DNA strand. Our attempt to drive the reaction to completion by increasing the concentration of **PNA1-3X** was unsuccessful because beyond a 5:1 (**PNA1-3X**:DNA) ratio, the DNA bands gradually disappeared from the gel (Figure 1b, compare lanes 6 & 7 to lane 5). This is probably due to aggregation and nonspecific binding of **PNA1-3X** to DNA as the result of the charge-neutral polyamide backbone and hydrophobic character of the methyl group at the γ -backbone. In addition to the simulated physiological buffer, we have examined a number of other biologically relevant buffers including those employed in restriction digestion, transcription, and replication to assess the generality of **PNA1-3X** binding. In all of these buffers, some of which contained concentrations of MgCl₂ as high as 10 mM, binding efficiencies greater than 60% were achieved within a 16 hr incubation period (Figure 2). Longer incubation times did not produce additional binding, indicating that equilibrium had been reached. Taken together, these results show that **PNA1-3X** can invade dsDNA under physiologically relevant conditions. Strand invasion is unique to γ PNA, since no evidence of binding was observed for the unmodified PNA containing the same nucleobase sequence as **PNA1-3X**.

To confirm that binding of **PNA1-3X** to DNA occurred at the expected site and through a strand invasion mechanism, we performed DNase footprinting and DEPC (diethyl pyrocarbonate) chemical probing assays. DNase is an endonuclease enzyme that indiscriminately cleaves dsDNA. Selective binding of **PNA1-3X** to dsDNA is expected to block DNase digestion, resulting in a footprinting pattern following electrophoretic separation and detection of the DNA targets. DEPC, on the other hand, is a chemical reagent

known to react selectively with adenine and to a smaller extent with the guanine nucleobase of single-stranded or perturbed regions of double-stranded DNA (29). Strand invasion of DNA by **PNA1-3X** is expected to result in local displacement of the homologous DNA strand. The looped-out strand can be revealed in the form of strand cleavage following DEPC and subsequent piperidine treatments. Consistent with these predictions, we observed a distinct footprinting pattern on the target strand, localized at the expected binding site following incubation of DNA with **PNA1-3X** (Figure 3a). The extent of the footprint became more pronounced as **PNA1-3X** concentrations increased, and it was only observed with DNA containing a **PM** binding site (lanes 1–4). Likewise, we observed cleavage of the complementary DNA strand directly across from the binding site (Figure 3b). Strand cleavage occurred only with DNA containing a **PM** binding site (lanes 1–4). These results are consistent with **PNA1-3X** binding selectively to its target site through a strand invasion mechanism.

Next, we assessed the effects of temperature and incubation-time on the efficiency of DNA strand invasion. Our result shows that the efficiency of strand invasion is strongly dependent on temperature in the 22–37 °C regimes (Figure 4). This is not surprising since temperature has a direct effect on the rate of base-pair opening. Strand invasion was extremely inefficient at ambient temperature, in which case less than 10% invasion took place after 24 hr incubation. The rate of strand invasion dramatically increased at 37 °C, reaching equilibrium within ~ 6 hr incubation. Further increase in temperature resulted in further increase in the rate of strand invasion, but the difference in the rate at 37 and 50 °C is not as dramatic as compared to that at 22 and 37 °C ($t_{1/2, 22^{\circ}\text{C}} \sim 170$ hr; $t_{1/2, 37^{\circ}\text{C}} \sim 2$ hr; $t_{1/2, 45^{\circ}\text{C}} \sim 30$ min). There is only a 4-fold difference in the $t_{1/2}$ in the higher temperature regimes as compared to 135-fold in the lower temperature regimes. Regardless of whether the samples were incubated at 37 or 50 °C, the invasion profiles reached a plateau corresponding to ~ 90% binding efficiency. This result indicates that at 37 °C, DNA double helix is sufficiently dynamic to permit strand invasion by γ PNAs. However, whether successful strand invasion takes place depends on whether γ PNA has the required binding free energy to displace the native complementary DNA strand.

Conclusion

In summary, we have shown that **PNA1-3X** can invade double helical B-DNA under physiologically relevant conditions. Improvements in thermodynamic stability significantly enhance the efficiency of DNA strand invasion. We have already demonstrated how additional binding free energy may be achieved—through backbone preorganization and nucleobase substitution in this study, covalent attachment of a DNA-intercalating agent, such as acridine, as demonstrated in prior studies (20, 22), and extending the length of oligonucleotides (19). Besides cytosine, other nucleobases, including thymine, could also be modified to improve their hydrogen-bonding and base-stacking capabilities. Important work in this area has already begun in earnest by Nielsen and coworkers (30, 31). Replacement of natural nucleobases with synthetic analogues capable of forming additional hydrogen-bonding interactions and larger and geometrically aligned hydrophobic cores would further expand the recognition repertoire of PNA and γ PNA. Issues with aggregation and nonspecific binding, as observed at high PNA and γ PNA concentrations, could be alleviated by installing a hydrophilic group at the γ -backbone (24). We have already embarked on this front, the result of which will be reported in due course.

γ PNAs are attractive, as compared to other classes of antigene reagents developed to date (5, 32–36), because they are relatively easy to synthesize and they hybridize to their targets (*via* strand invasion) in a highly sequence-specific and predictable manner in accordance with the Watson-Crick base-pairing rules. Molecules that can recognize and bind double-stranded

DNA in a sequence-specific manner are of considerable interest in biology, biotechnology and medicine. They could be used as molecular tools to probe sequence information, manipulate genome's structure and function, and regulate gene expression at the transcriptional level, which may be more effective than the antisense approach because it shuts down gene expression at the initial step—as well as potential therapeutic and diagnostic reagents for the treatment and detection of genetic diseases.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. B. A. Armitage, M. Ly, and J. Deems for reading the manuscript and for providing insightful comments and suggestions.

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (GM076251) and the National Science Foundation (CHE-1012467).

Abbreviations and Textual Footnotes

PNA	peptide nucleic acid
γPNA	γ -backbone modified peptide nucleic acid
A	adenine
C	cytosine
G	guanine
T	thymine
X	G-clamp
TFA	trifluoroacetic acid
TFMSA	trifluoromethanesulfonic acid
DEPC	diethyl pyrocarbonate

References

1. Frank-Kamenetskii MD. How the double helix breathes. *Nature*. 1987; 328:17–18. [PubMed: 3037378]
2. Frank-Kamenetskii, MD. Structure & Motion: Membranes, Nucleic Acids & Proteins. In: Clementi, E.; Corongiu, G.; Sarma, MH.; Sarma, RH., editors. *Proc. Int. Symp. Struct. Dyn. Membranes, Nucleic Acids & Proteins*. Adenine Press; Rome, Italy: 1985. p. 417-432.
3. Gueron M, Kochoyan M, Leroy J-L. A single mode of DNA base-pair opening drives imino proton exchange. *Nature*. 1987; 328:89–92. [PubMed: 3037381]
4. Leroy JL, Kochoyan M, Huynh-Dinh T, Gueron M. Characterization of base-pair opening in deoxynucleotide duplexes using catalyzed exchange of the imino proton. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1988; 200:223–238. [PubMed: 2836594]
5. Dervan PB. Molecular recognition of DNA by small molecules. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2001; 9:2215–2235. [PubMed: 11553460]
6. Thuong NT, Helene C. Sequence-specific recognition and modification of double-helical DNA by oligonucleotides. *Angew. Chem.-Int. Edit. Engl.* 1993; 32:666–690.
7. Greisman HA, Pabo CO. A general strategy for selecting high-affinity zinc finger proteins for diverse DNA target sites. *Science*. 1997; 275:657–661. [PubMed: 9005850]
8. Nielsen PE, Egholm M, Berg RH, Buchardt O. Sequence-selective recognition of DNA by strand displacement with a thymine-substituted polyamide. *Science*. 1991; 254:1497–1500. [PubMed: 1962210]

9. Nielsen PE. Peptide nucleic acid. A molecule with two identities. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1999; 32:624–630.
10. Ishihara T, Corey DR. Rules for strand invasion by chemically modified oligonucleotides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999; 121:2012–2020.
11. Nielsen PE, Christensen L. Strand displacement binding of a duplex-forming homopurine PNA to a homopyrimidine duplex DNA target. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996; 118:2287–2288.
12. Egholm M, Nielsen PE, Buchardt O, Berg RH. Recognition of guanine and adenine in DNA by cytosine and thymine containing peptide nucleic acids (PNA). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1992; 114:9677–9678.
13. Bentin T, Nielsen PE. Enhanced peptide nucleic acid binding to supercoiled DNA: Possible implications for DNA “breathing” dynamics. *Biochemistry.* 1996; 35:8863–8869. [PubMed: 8688422]
14. Zhang X, Ishihara T, Corey DR. Strand invasion by mixed base PNAs and a PNA-peptide chimera. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2000; 28:3332–3338. [PubMed: 10954602]
15. Janowski BA, Kaihatsu K, Huffman KE, Schwartz JC, Ram R, Hardy D, Mendelson CR, Corey DR. Inhibiting transcription of chromosomal DNA with antigene peptide nucleic acids. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 2005; 1:210–215. [PubMed: 16408037]
16. Bentin T, Larsen HJ, Nielsen PE. Combined triplex/duplex invasion of double-stranded DNA by “tail-clamp” peptide nucleic acid. *Biochemistry.* 2003; 42:13987–13995. [PubMed: 14636067]
17. Kaihatsu K, Shah RH, Zhao X, Corey DR. Extending recognition by peptide nucleic acids (PNAs): binding to duplex DNA and inhibition of transcription by tail-clamp PNA-peptide conjugate. *Biochemistry.* 2003; 42:13996–14003. [PubMed: 14636068]
18. Lohse J, Dahl O, Nielsen PE. Double duplex invasion by peptide nucleic acid: A general principle for sequence-specific targeting of double-stranded DNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1999; 96:11804–11808. [PubMed: 10518531]
19. He G, Rapireddy S, Bahal R, Sahu B, Ly DH. Strand invasion of extended, mixed sequence B-DNA by gammaPNAs. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:12088–12090. [PubMed: 19663424]
20. Bentin T, Nielsen PE. Superior duplex DNA strand invasion by acridine conjugated peptide nucleic acids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003; 125:6378–6379. [PubMed: 12785772]
21. Smolina IV, Demidov VV, Soldatenkov VA, Chasovskikh SG, Frank-Kamenetskii MD. End invasion of peptide nucleic acids (PNAs) with mixed-sequence composition into linear DNA duplexes. *Nucleic Acid Res.* 2005; 33:e146. [PubMed: 16204449]
22. Rapireddy S, He G, Roy S, Armitage BA, Ly DH. Strand invasion of mixed-sequence B-DNA by acridine-linked, gamma-peptide nucleic acid (gamma-PNA). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:15596–15600. [PubMed: 18027941]
23. Chenna V, Rapireddy S, Sahu B, Ausin C, Pedroso E, Ly DH. A simple cytosine-to-G-clamp nucleobase substitution enables chiral gamma-PNAs to invade mixed-sequence double helical B-form DNA. *ChemBioChem.* 2008; 9:2388–2391. [PubMed: 18816545]
24. Dragulescu-Andrasi A, Rapireddy S, Frezza BM, Gayathri C, Gil RR, Ly DH. A simple gamma-backbone modification preorganizes peptide nucleic acid into a helical structure. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:10258–10267. [PubMed: 16881656]
25. Christensen L, Fitzpatrick R, Gildea B, Petersen KH, Hansen HF, Koch T, Egholm M, Buchardt O, Nielsen PE, Coull J, Berg RH. Solid-phase synthesis of peptide nucleic acids. *J. Pept. Sci.* 1995; 1:175–183. [PubMed: 9222994]
26. Brummelkamp TR, Bernards R, Agami R. A system for stable expression of short interfering RNAs in mammalian cells. *Science.* 2002; 296:550–553. [PubMed: 11910072]
27. Ortega J-A, Blas JR, Orozco M, Grandas A, Pedroso E, Robles J. Binding affinities of oligonucleotides and PNAs containing phenoxazine and G-clamp cytosine analogues are unusually sequence dependent. *Org. Lett.* 2007; 9:4503–4506. [PubMed: 17915883]
28. Santoro SW, Joyce GF. A general purpose RNA-cleaving DNA enzyme. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1997; 94:4262–4266.
29. Furlong JC, Lilley DMJ. Highly selective chemical modification of cruciform loops by diethyl pyrocarbonate. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1986; 14:3995–4007. [PubMed: 3012460]

30. Eldrup AB, Nielsen BB, Haaima G, Rasmussen H, Kastrup JS, Christensen C, Nielsen PE. 1,8-Naphtharidin-2(1H)-ones--novel bicyclic and tricyclic analogues of thymine in peptide nucleic acids (PNAs). *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2001:1781–1790.
31. Eldrup AB, Christensen C, Haaima G, Nielsen PE. Substituted 1,8-naphthyridin-2(1H)-ones are superior to thymine in the recognition of adenine in duplex as well as triplex structure. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002; 124:3254–3262. [PubMed: 11916408]
32. Nielsen PE. Sequence-selective DNA recognition by synthetic ligands. *Bioconj. Chem.* 1991; 2:1–12.
33. Sun JS, Helene C. Oligonucleotide-directed triple-helix formation. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 1993; 3:345–356.
34. Beerli RR, Barbas CFI. Engineering polydactyl zinc-finger transcription factors. *Nat. Biotech.* 2002; 20:135–141.
35. Jantz D, Amann BT, Gatto GJ, Berg JM. The design of functional DNA-binding proteins based on zinc finger domains. *Chem. Rev.* 2004; 104:789–800. [PubMed: 14871141]
36. Jamieson AC, Miller JC, Pabo CO. Drug discovery with engineered zinc-finger proteins. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery.* 2003; 2:361–368.




Figure 1. Result of a gel-shift assay following incubation of $0.2 \mu\text{M}$ of a 171bp-DNA fragment containing (a) a perfectly-matched (**PM**, lanes 1–5) and single-base mismatched (**MM**, lanes 6 and 7) binding site with $1.0 \mu\text{M}$ of the indicated γPNA oligomers, and (b) a **PM** binding site with the indicated concentrations of **PNA1-3X** oligomer in a simulated physiological buffer at 37°C for 16 hr, followed by separation on non-denaturing PAGE and staining with SYBR-Gold.




Figure 2.

Effects of biological buffers on DNA strand invasion by **PNA1-3X**. Gel-shift assay was performed by incubating 0.2 μ M of DNA containing a **PM** binding site with 1.0 μ M of **PNA1-3X** in the indicated buffers at 37 °C for 16 hr. **Simulated**: 10 mM NaPi, 2 mM MgCl₂, 150 mM KCl; **NEB1** (New England Biolabs buffer 1, 1 \times): 10 mM Bis tris propane-HCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM dithiothreitol; **NEB2**: 10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM dithiothreitol; **NEB3**: 10 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM dithiothreitol; **NEB4**: 20 mM Tris-OAc, 50 mM KOAc, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM dithiothreitol; **Replication**: 10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% Triton X-100; and **Transcription**: 40 mM Tris-HCl, 6 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM dithiothreitol, 2 mM spermidine.




Figure 3.

(**a**) DNase-I footprinting, and (**b**) DEPC-chemical probing assay following incubation 0.2 μ M of the cold and 10,000 cpm of the 3'-labeled DNA target containing a **PM** (lanes 1–4) and **MM** (lanes 5 and 6) binding site with the indicated concentrations of **PNA1-3X** in a simulated physiological buffer at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for 16 hr. In panel (**a**) the 3'-end of the target strand was labeled with P-32, and in panel (**b**) the homologous strand was labeled with P-32.




Figure 4. Effects of temperature and incubation-time on DNA strand invasion by **PNA1-3X**. The fraction bound was determined by gel-shift assays following incubation of 0.2 μM of DNA containing a **PM** binding site with 1.0 μM of **PNA1-3X** in a simulated physiological buffer at the indicated temperatures and time-points. $t_{1/2}$ is defined as the time it took to reach 50% binding. *Inset:* A plot of $-\ln(1-C)$ vs. incubation time; the data shows that strand of invasion of B-DNA by **PNA1-3X** at 37 °C obeys pseudofirst-order kinetics: $C = 1 - \exp(-k_{ps}t)$, where C is the fraction bound at time t and k_{ps} is the pseudofirst-order rate constant ($k_{ps, 37\text{ }^\circ\text{C}} = 0.0075\text{ min}^{-1}$).




Chart 1. Chemical structure of (a) PNA and γ PNA building blocks and (b) C-G and X-G base-pairs, (c) sequence of γ PNA oligomers, and (d) illustration of the DNA targets.