The Dbp5 cycle at the nuclear pore complex during mRNA export II: nucleotide cycling and mRNP remodeling by Dbp5 are controlled by Nup159 and Gle1

Kristen N. Noble,^{1,2} Elizabeth J. Tran,^{1,4} Abel R. Alcázar-Román,^{1,5} Christine A. Hodge,³ Charles N. Cole,³ and Susan R. Wente^{1,6}

¹Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee 37232, USA; ²Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Meharry Medical College, Nashville, Tennessee 37208, USA; ³Department of Biochemistry, Dartmouth Medical School, Hanover, New Hampshire 03755, USA

Essential messenger RNA (mRNA) export factors execute critical steps to mediate directional transport through nuclear pore complexes (NPCs). At cytoplasmic NPC filaments, the ATPase activity of DEAD-box protein Dbp5 is activated by inositol hexakisphosphate (IP₆)-bound Gle1 to mediate remodeling of mRNA-protein (mRNP) complexes. Whether a single Dbp5 executes multiple remodeling events and how Dbp5 is recycled are unknown. Evidence suggests that Dbp5 binding to Nup159 is required for controlling interactions with Gle1 and the mRNP. Using in vitro reconstitution assays, we found here that Nup159 is specifically required for ADP release from Dbp5. Moreover, Gle1-IP₆ stimulates ATP binding, thus priming Dbp5 for RNA loading. In vivo, a *dbp5-R256D/R259D* mutant with reduced ADP binding bypasses the need for Nup159 interaction. However, NPC spatial control is important, as a *dbp5-R256D/R259D* nup42 Δ double mutant is temperature-sensitive for mRNA export. Further analysis reveals that remodeling requires a conformational shift to the Dbp5–ADP form. ADP release factors for DEAD-box proteins have not been reported previously and reflect a new paradigm for regulation. We propose a model wherein Nup159 and Gle1-IP₆ regulate Dbp5 cycles by controlling its nucleotide-bound state, allowing multiple cycles of mRNP remodeling by a single Dbp5 at the NPC.

[Keywords: nucleocytoplasmic transport; DEAD-box proteins; nucleotide exchange factors; ADP]

Supplemental material is available for this article.

Received February 11, 2011; revised version accepted April 1, 2011.

Dynamic changes in the composition and assembly of messenger RNA (mRNA)–protein interactions underlie many of the central events in eukaryotic gene expression and regulation (Moore 2005). These events include mRNA synthesis, processing, nuclear export, trafficking, translation, and turnover. Members of a family of conserved enzymes, the DEAD-box proteins (Dbps) numbering >20 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and human cells, are critical for multiple steps in the mRNA life cycle (Rocak and Linder 2004; Cordin et al. 2006). The Dbps are RNA-dependent ATPases that act via helicase activity for unwinding RNA

Present addresses: ⁴Department of Biochemistry, Purdue University, 175 S. University Street, West Lafayette, IN 47097, USA; ⁵Department of Cell Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA. ⁶Corresponding author. duplexes and/or remodeling activity for altering the protein composition of mRNA–protein (mRNP) complexes (Fairman et al. 2004; Jankowsky and Bowers 2006). The function of these specific Dbp activities must be controlled both spatially and temporally to ensure proper gene expression. However, the mechanisms for regulating Dbps are not fully defined, leaving a gap in our understanding of gene expression.

One of the key steps in the gene expression pathway is the exit of mRNPs from the nucleus (Kelly and Corbett 2009; Stewart 2010; Rodriguez-Navarro and Hurt 2011). This occurs via nuclear pore complexes (NPCs), >60-MDa structures that form channels spanning the nuclear envelope (Brohawn et al. 2009). Each export-competent mRNP has many associated proteins, including those that directly bind mRNA and a heterodimeric transport receptor (Mex67–Mtr2 in *S. cerevisiae*; TAP/NXF1–p15/NXT1 in metazoan) that mediates docking of mRNPs to NPCs and

E-MAIL susan.wente@vanderbilt.edu; FAX (615) 936-3439.

Article is online at http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.2040611.

their translocation (Stewart 2007b; Kelly and Corbett 2009). During mRNA export, protein-protein and proteinmRNA interactions in the mRNP are altered through a remodeling mechanism (Daneholt 2001). For example, in S. cerevisiae, the export receptor Mex67-Mtr2 and the nuclear poly(A)-binding protein Nab2 are removed from the mRNP (Lund and Guthrie 2005; Tran et al. 2007) by Dbp5, an essential Dbp (hDbp5/DDX19B in human cells) (Snay-Hodge et al. 1998; Tseng et al. 1998; Schmitt et al. 1999). At steady state in S. cerevisiae cells, Dbp5 is localized at the NPC through a direct interaction with Nup159/Rat7 (Nup214 in human cells) (Hodge et al. 1999; Schmitt et al. 1999). Nup159 resides exclusively in filaments extending from the NPC cytoplasmic face, and thus it allows localization of Dbp5 for action during terminal steps in mRNP export (Kraemer et al. 1995; Hurwitz et al. 1998; Hodge et al. 1999; Schmitt et al. 1999). However, Dbp5 alone is a weak ATPase and requires inositol hexakisphosphate (IP₆)-bound Gle1 for activation (Alcazar-Roman et al. 2006; Weirich et al. 2006). Spatial control of Dbp5 activation is thought to be achieved by Gle1 docking at Nup42 (hCG1 in human), which is adjacent to Nup159 in the NPC cytoplasmic filaments (Strahm et al. 1999; Miller et al. 2004; Kendirgi et al. 2005; Alber et al. 2007). It is speculated that multiple cycles of Gle1-IP₆/Dbp5 action contribute to the translocation of a single mRNP through the NPC (Stewart 2007). However, the order of events in mRNP export at the NPC cytoplasmic filaments has not been resolved.

Several recent structural studies give insight into the mechanism by which Dbp5 cycles between nucleotide, RNA, and Nup159 binding. First, our previous studies suggest that Dbp5_{ATP} and Dbp5_{ADP} have distinct conformations, as detected by limited trypsin digestion (Tran et al. 2007). In addition, structural studies of hDbp5 and the N-terminal domain (NTD) of yeast Dbp5 support this conclusion and indicate that Dbp5 undergoes dramatic nucleotide-dependent conformational changes between the AMP-PNP (a nonhydrolyzable ATP analog) and ADPbound states (Collins et al. 2009; Fan et al. 2009). Intriguingly, in a reconstituted in vitro system, Dbp5 remodels a Nab2-RNP in the presence of ADP alone. This suggests that ADP binding or the Dbp5-ADP-bound (Dbp5_{ADP}) state is functional (Tran et al. 2007). However, both ATP hydrolysis and nucleotide binding are required for in vivo function, as dbp5 mutants lacking either function are recessive lethal (Hodge et al. 2011). It is unknown how the in vitro ADP-dependent remodeling activity is linked to the Dbp5 mechanism for ATP hydrolysis in a cell.

Second, crystallographic analysis of hDbp5 has also revealed that the Nup214- and RNA-binding sites overlap and are mutually exclusive (von Moeller et al. 2009). Moreover, ATP-bound Dbp5 (Dbp5_{ATP}), ADP-bound Dbp5 (Dbp5_{ADP}), and nucleotide-free Dbp5 (apo-Dbp5) states are predicted to have differential Nup159- and RNA-binding affinities. This is based on the Nup214 affinity for apohDbp5 being greater than that for hDbp5_{ATP} (von Moeller et al. 2009), and Dbp5_{ATP} affinity for RNA being greater than Dbp5_{ADP} (Weirich et al. 2006; Tran et al. 2007). These results have raised the question of whether Nup159 removes RNA from Dbp5, or whether RNA dissociates Dbp5 from Nup159. Some evidence suggests that Nup159 binding is a first step for positioning Dbp5 to interact with Gle1 and the mRNP at the NPC. The NTD (residues 1-387) of Nup159 is necessary and sufficient for Dbp5 binding, and nup159 mutants lacking Dbp5 binding are defective for mRNA export (Hodge et al. 1999; Schmitt et al. 1999). However, there is also evidence that Dbp5 can potentially associate with mRNA in the nucleus, and also that it acts in the cytoplasm during translation. Immunoelectron microscopy studies of the large Balbiani ring mRNP in the salivary glands of Chironomus tentans found Dbp5 present in this mRNP both cotranscriptionally and throughout the export process (Zhao et al. 2002). Genetic and physical interaction studies have also linked Dbp5 to transcription factor IIH function (Estruch and Cole 2003). During translation in S. cerevisiae, Dbp5 and Gle1-IP₆ are required for efficient translation termination (Bolger et al. 2008). Thus, control of Dbp5 activity is likely compartment/site-specific. As Dbp5 binding to Nup159 is unique to the cytoplasmic NPC filaments, understanding its role is critical for determining the specificity and order of steps during mRNP export.

To determine how Dbp5 cycles between remodeling events at the NPC, we directly analyzed Dbp5 in an in vitro reconstituted system and investigated the functional properties of specific dbp5 mutants. We found the Nup159-NTD is specifically required to stimulate the release of ADP from Dbp5. As such, we speculate that Nup159 executes a step following ATP hydrolysis and directly facilitates continued remodeling cycles per Dbp5 at the NPC This model is supported by the phenotype of a *dbp5*^{*RR*} mutant that bypasses the requirement for Nup159 docking and results in a dbp5^{RR} protein with altered ADP binding. However, Gle1 binding to Nup42 in *dbp5^{RR}* mutant cells is required, as a $dbp5^{RR}$ nup42 Δ double mutant is temperature-sensitive and defective for mRNA export. Moreover, in vitro, Gle1-IP₆ promotes ATP-binding to Dbp5. Analysis of the dbp5^{RR} protein and a catalytically dead dbp5 (dbp5^{EQ}) in the in vitro mRNP remodeling assay further suggests that the in vitro activity is linked to an apo-Dbp5-to-Dbp5_{ADP} transition that presumably structurally mimics the in vivo Dbp5_{ATP}-to-Dbp5_{ADP} conversion. Taken together, we propose a novel mechanism whereby the Dbp5 nucleotide cycle is regulated and the system favors recycling of Dbp5 at the NPC.

Results

Nup159 promotes Dbp5 release of ADP but not ATP

We showed previously that ADP is sufficient for Dbp5dependent mRNP remodeling in vitro (Tran et al. 2007). To investigate this further, we initiated studies to analyze Dbp5 nucleotide-binding states. First, we characterized ADP binding using equilibrium binding assays. Purified, recombinant Dbp5 was incubated with increasing concentrations of radiolabeled ¹⁴C-ADP over a time course of 24 h and binding was measured in a filter-binding assay (Fig. 1A). Initial ADP-binding rates increased with increasing molar ratios of ADP to Dbp5 (Fig. 1A, bottom). However, binding did not plateau for several hours at any ratio tested. This long equilibration time for Dbp5-ADP binding had not been reported previously, and we initially tested for specificity by competition assays with unlabeled nucleotides. Using ¹⁴C-ADP–Dbp5 samples (molar ratio of 5:1) that were equilibrated for 24 h, filterbinding assays were performed after addition of excess unlabeled ADP or ATP (Fig. 1B). Surprisingly, neither nucleotide diminished the level of bound ¹⁴C-ADP over the course of an additional 24 h. We showed previously that excess unlabeled ADP and ATP can equally compete for binding when added coincidentally with radiolabeled ADP (Tran et al. 2007). Taken together, this indicates that, once bound to ADP in vitro, nucleotide release from Dbp5 is slow.

To test whether known Dbp5-interacting factors stimulate ADP release, we added either bacterially expressed, purified recombinant Nup159-NTD (amino acids 2–387), full-length Gle1 with IP₆, or RNA to the ¹⁴C-ADP-binding assay after the initial 24-h equilibration period (Fig. 1D). Nup159-NTD promoted significant release of ADP



within minutes, whereas Gle1-IP₆ and RNA did not have any effect at any time point tested. We further characterized this Nup159 release activity by testing increasing molar ratios of Nup159 to Dbp5 in the ¹⁴C-ADP-binding assay again, after the initial 24-h equilibration period. We found that the initial rates of release are dependent on the concentration of Nup159 relative to Dbp5 (Fig. 1E). Interestingly, full release was achieved at a 1:1 Dbp5:Nup159 molar ratio, indicating that Nup159 promotes release by direct binding. To test for the effect of direct Dbp5-Nup159 binding, we generated two different altered versions of Nup159 that lack Dbp5 binding: nup159-D331R/ D333R (nup159^{DD}) and nup159-V323E/I326E (nup159^{VI}) (Supplemental Fig. 1A-C). Neither nup159^{DD}-NTD nor nup159^{VI}-NTD promoted ADP release from Dbp5 (Fig. 1D). We also tested the effect of Nup159-NTD, Gle1-IP6, and RNA on the ability to promote the release of ¹⁴C-ADP-BeFx, which mimics an ATP in the active site prior to hydrolysis. After a 24-h binding incubation, no significant release of ¹⁴C-ADP-BeFx was observed (Fig. 1C). We conclude that the Nup159-NTD function is specific to the release of ADP from Dbp5.

Gle1-IP₆ promotes Dbp5 ATP binding

The ADP equilibrium binding data for Dbp5 was surprising because we and others have shown that Dbp5 has robust ATPase activity in vitro without the addition of Nup159-NTD to promote ADP release (Alcazar-Roman

Figure 1. Nup159 promotes Dbp5 release of ADP. (A) Equilibrium binding assays show an increase in initial rate of Dbp5-¹⁴C-ADP binding: Dbp5 (1 μM) was incubated with increasing concentrations of 14 C-ADP (0.5–150 μ M) over the course of 24 h. Samples were removed at several time points and used in filterbinding assays. Background integrated density signals of ¹⁴C-ADP at each concentration were subtracted from the Dbp5-bound ADP signal. As listed in the table, initial velocities of binding (fraction ¹⁴C-ADP bound per minute) were calculated during the linear phase of the binding curve at each Dbp5:¹⁴C-ADP ratio tested. (B) Dbp5 does not release bound ADP after equilibration: After 24-h equilibration of 1 µM Dbp5 and 5 µM ¹⁴C-ADP:MgCl₂, excess unlabeled ATP:MgCl2 or ADP:MgCl2 (10 mM of each) was added, and filter-binding assays were conducted after an additional 24 h incubation. (C) Nup159-NTD does not promote release of the nonhydrolyzable ATP analog ADP-BeFx: After 26-h equilibration of 1 µM Dbp5 with 5 µM ¹⁴C-ADP-BeFx, either 1 µM Nup159NTD, 500 nM Gle1 with 200 nM IP₆, or 2 µM poly(A)₂₅ RNA was added, and filter-binding assays were performed at 24 h after addition. (D) Nup159-NTD specifically promotes Dbp5 release of bound ADP: After 24-h equilibration of 1 µM Dbp5 with 5 µM ¹⁴C-ADP:MgCl₂, either 1 µM Nup159-NTD, 500 nM Gle1 with 200 nM IP6, or 2 µM RNA was added, and filterbinding assays were performed at 10 min and 24 h after addition. Two altered nup159 proteins (nup159^{DD} and nup159^{VI}) that do not bind Dbp5 in vitro were used as negative controls. (E) Increasing concentration of Nup159 promotes faster Dbp5 release of bound ¹⁴C-ADP. Dbp5 (1 μ M) was incubated with 5 μ M ¹⁴C-ADP for 24 h. Increasing concentrations of Nup159 were added and filterbinding assays were performed over the course of 2 h. All data points and bars represent at least three independent experiments with standard error bars.

et al. 2006; Weirich et al. 2006). However, the action of Nup159 during the ATPase cycle is not fully resolved. Others have also reported that Nup159-NTD has no effect on the RNA-dependent ATPase activity of Dbp5 (Weirich et al. 2006), whereas, under different assay conditions, the Nup214-NTD is inhibitory for hDbp5 activity (von Moeller et al. 2009). As noted above, the predicted overlapping RNA- and Nup159-binding sites complicate the interpretation of how Nup159 might impact in vitro ATPase activity. In an in vivo ATPase cycle, access to Nup159, Gle1, and RNA is likely spatially distinct compared with the reconstituted in vitro reactions. We hypothesized that there could be a factor that promotes ATP loading in vitro to facilitate Dbp5 nucleotide cycling and allow continued hydrolysis.

To identify this factor, we generated an altered dbp5 protein with a glutamate-to-glutamine change (E240Q) in the eponymous DEAD sequence of motif II (designated dbp5^{EQ}) (Fig. 3A, below). Motif II is one of several highly conserved motifs present in all Dbps and is required for ATP hydrolysis (Linder 2006). Binding assays showed that the dbp5^{EQ} protein bound ATP and ADP (Fig. 2A,B). However, using in vitro colorimetric ATPase assays, purified recombinant dbp5^{EQ} had only a low basal level of activity that was not stimulated by Gle1-IP₆ (Fig. 2C), indicating a predominant defect in the ability to hydrolvze ATP. Thus, using the dbp5^{EQ} protein eliminated effects of ATP hydrolysis and allowed measurement of ATP binding in the presence or absence of known Dbp5 interaction partners or cofactors (Fig. 2D). We found that, in the presence of limiting ATP, Gle1-IP₆ enhanced the relative level of dbp5^{EQ}-ATP binding in vitro more than twofold. The effect of Nup159-NTD or RNA was not as robust. This correlates with data showing that Gle1-IP₆ lowers the K_m of Dbp5 for ATP (Weirich et al. 2006), and indicates that full access to such factors might overcome the requirement for ADP release by Nup159 in vitro. In addition, these results support a potential mechanism with Gle1-IP₆ stimulating both ATP loading on Dbp5 and ATP hydrolysis.

A dbp5^{RR} mutant bypasses the need for Nup159 interaction in vivo by affecting ADP binding

Although *nup159* mutants with defects in Dbp5 binding have strong defects in mRNA export (Hodge et al. 1999; Weirich et al. 2004), to date, yeast *dbp5* mutants with diminished Nup159 binding have not been characterized. The recent crystal structure of the NTD of hDbp5 in complex with the Nup214-NTD identified several surface-accessible amino acids of Dbp5 and Nup159 that form critical salt bridges as part of their interaction (Napetschnig et al. 2009; von Moeller et al. 2009). Each of these amino acids is conserved in the yeast Dbp5 protein, including Arg 256 and Arg 259 (R256 and R259) in Dbp5. Based on the hDbp5–Nup214 structure, the yeast Dbp5 R256 and R259 residues are predicted to interact with the D331 and D333 residues in Nup159-NTD. As described above, when these aspartic acids in Nup159 were changed to arginine, the resulting nup159^{DD} protein lacked Dbp5



Figure 2. Gle1-IP₆ enhances Dbp5 binding to ATP. (*A*,*B*) Adenine nucleotide binding of Dbp5 versus dbp5^{EQ}: Filter-binding assays were conducted to assay for relative binding to ATP and ADP. Purified recombinant Dbp5 or dbp5^{EQ} (1 μ M) was incubated with 1 μM ³²P-ATP:MgCl₂ or ¹⁴C-ADP:MgCl₂ and increasing concentrations of unlabeled nucleotide ranging from 0 nM to 5000 nM. Bars represent the averages of three independent experiments with standard error shown. (C) $dbp5^{EQ}$ is inhibited for ATPase activity: Colorimetric ATPase-coupled enzyme assays were performed with purified recombinant 500 nM Dbp5 or dbp5^{EQ}, 1 mM ATP:MgCl₂, and 1 µM poly(A)₂₅ RNA. Gle1 (250 nM) was added with or without 100 nM IP₆. (D) Gle1-IP₆ promotes ATP loading of dbp5^{E240Q}: dbp5^{E240Q} (2 µM) was incubated with 10 nM $\alpha^{32}\text{P-ATP}$ in the presence of 2 μM Nup159-NTD, 1 μM Gle1 with 400 nM IP6, or 4 µM poly(A)25 RNA for 4 h. Filter-binding assays were performed. In all panels, bars represent the averages of three independent experiments with standard error bars.

binding (Supplemental Fig. 1B). We also found that a $nup159^{DD}$ mutant strain was temperature-sensitive for growth (Supplemental Fig. 1C). This is completely analogous to the phenotypes for the previously published $rat7\Delta N$ and $nup159^{VI}$ mutants that lack Dbp5 binding (Hodge et al. 1999; Weirich et al. 2004) (Supplemental Fig. 1B,C).

Based on the salt bridge interaction between hDbp5 and Nup214 (von Moeller et al. 2009), we predicted that the $dbp5^{RR}$ mutant should have a phenotype identical to the nup159^{DD} mutant. Thus, we generated a dbp5-R256D/ R259D mutant (designated $dbp5^{RR}$) by changing the sequence to encode aspartic acid residues rather than arginines (R256D and R259D) (Fig. 3A). To test for localization at the nuclear rim (e.g., at Nup159), we performed live-cell fluorescence microscopy with strains expressing either GFP-Dbp5 or GFP-dbp5^{RR} (Fig. 3B). GFP-Dbp5 displayed characteristic nuclear rim/NPC localization, whereas GFPdbp5^{RR} was mislocalized to the cytoplasm. Western blotting of whole yeast cell lysates confirmed that GFP-Dbp5 and GFP-dbp5^{RR} had similar protein expression levels (Fig. 3B, right panel). We also performed immunoprecipitation experiments from whole yeast cell lysates with anti-Dbp5 antibodies. Immunoblotting for Nup159 showed coisolation with wild-type Dbp5; however, Nup159 was not isolated with dbp5^{RR} protein (Supplemental Fig. 1D). To directly confirm this lack of interaction, soluble binding assays were conducted with bacterially expressed, purified recombinant His₆-Nup159-NTD, Dbp5, and dbp5^{RR}. When His₆-Nup159-NTD was immobilized on Ni-NTA agarose, wild-type Dbp5 was isolated (Fig. 3C, lane 4). However, no binding was detected for dbp5^{RR} (Fig. 3C, lane 5). As a control, another altered protein (dbp5^{EQ}) was also tested and showed binding to Nup159-NTD (Fig. 3C, lane 6). To test whether the salt bridge interaction was swapped by the corresponding changes in the dbp5^{RR} and nup159^{DD} proteins, direct binding experiments were conducted. The dbp5^{RR} and nup159^{DD} proteins showed restored in vitro interaction (Fig. 3D). Thus, the dbp5^{RR} protein behaved as predicted with regard to Nup159 and nup159^{DD} binding. However, surprisingly, serial dilution growth analysis of



Figure 3. $dbp5^{RR}$ mutant does not bind Nup159 and displays no in vivo growth defects. (*A*) Amino acid residue alignment for a portion of *S. cerevisiae* Dbp5 and *Homo sapiens* hDBP5. Black stars designate conserved arginine residues that were changed to aspartic acid residues (R256D and R259D) in Dbp5 to yield dbp5^{RR}. The open white star represents a conserved glutamate residue that was changed to glutamine (E240Q) to yield dbp5^{EQ}. Conserved DEAD-box family sequence motifs are boxed and identified *below* alignment. (*B*) Cytoplasmic mislocalization of GFP-dbp5^{RR} by live-cell microscopy: *S. cerevisiae* strains with *DBP5* chromosomally deleted, expressing either *GFP-DBP5* or *GFP-dbp5^{RR}* on plasmids, were used in live-cell fluorescent microscopy experiments to visualize subcellular localization of each protein. (*Left*) DIC image. (*Right*) GFP. Western blot analysis of yeast whole-cell extracts was conducted to test for relatively equal levels of expression of GFP-Dbp5 and GFP-dbp5^{RR}. Nup116 protein level was used as a loading control. (*) Degradation products of Nup116. (*C*) Nup159-NTD interaction in vitro with dbp5^{RR} is not detected: Soluble binding assays were conducted with 200 pmol of bacterially expressed recombinant His₆-Nup159-NTD and immobilized on Ni-NTA agarose resin and either recombinant Dbp5, dbp5^{RR}, or dbp5^{EQ}. Input and bound fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining. (*) Nup159-NTD degradation product. (*D*) Swapping the salt bridge interaction allows nup159^{DD} and dbp5^{RR} interaction: Soluble binding assays were conducted as in *C* with His₆-Nup159-NTD, His₆-nup159^{VI}-NTD, His₆-nup159^{DD}-NTD, Dbp5, or dbp5^{RR}, (*E*) dbp5^{RR}, were used to perform serial dilution growth assays at 23°C, 30°C, and 37°C.

the $dbp5^{RR}$ mutant strain showed no growth defects as compared with wild-type (Fig. 3E). This was striking given the temperature-sensitive growth of the $rat7\Delta N$, $nup159^{DD}$, and $nup159^{VI}$ mutants (Supplemental Fig. 1C; Hodge et al. 1999; Weirich et al. 2004).

If the lack of a growth defect in the $dbp5^{RR}$ mutant reflected no requirement for Nup159 binding, this could mean that the growth defect of the $rat7\Delta N$, $nup159^{DD}$, and $nup159^{VI}$ mutants reflects pleiotropic perturbations and defects in more than just Dbp5 binding. Alternatively, the dbp5^{RR} protein might be altered such that it specifically does not require Nup159 interaction. To examine these possibilities, we measured the relative ADP binding to dbp5^{RR} as compared with wild-type Dbp5 (Fig. 4A). Using a filter-binding nucleotide competition assay (Tran et al. 2007), we found that dbp5^{RR} protein had significantly lower binding to ADP. This suggests that dbp5^{RR} does not require Nup159 function in vivo because its decreased ADP binding allows efficient Nup159-independent ADP release after hydrolysis. To directly test for this possibility in vitro, we compared the ability of Dbp5 and dbp5^{RR} to release ADP after hydrolysis. To do this, we performed an ATPase assay using α^{32} P-ATP and allowed both Dbp5 and dbp5^{RR} to incubate until hydrolysis of α^{32} P-ATP to α^{32} P-ADP



Figure 4. $dbp5^{RR}$ does not require Nup159 for ADP release. (*A*) $dbp5^{RR}$ has reduced binding affinity to ADP as compared with wild-type Dbp5: Dbp5 (1 μ M) or $dbp5^{RR}$ (1 μ M) was incubated with 5 μ M ¹⁴C-ADP and increasing concentrations of unlabeled ADP:MgCl₂. Filter-binding assays were performed to measure the relative fraction of ADP bound to each protein. Bars represent the averages of three independent experiments with standard error bars. (B) dbp5^{RR} does not require Nup159 for ADP release: α^{32} P-ATP was incubated with either Dbp5 or $dbp5^{RR}$ and 400 μ M poly(A)₂₅ RNA for 24 h to allow complete hydrolysis to α^{32} P-ADP to occur. After 24 h, samples for filter-binding assays were removed and the remaining reaction was stopped with proteinase K digestion. (Left) The digested samples were spotted onto thin-layer chromatography plates to monitor ATP hydrolysis. (Right) The portion of the samples removed for filterbinding assays were used to monitor the relative amount of ADP still bound to either Dbp5 or dbp5^{RR}. Bars represent the averages of three independent experiments with standard error shown. (C) dbp5^{RR} is active for ATP hydrolysis and Gle1-IP₆ stimulation: Colorimetric ATPase-coupled enzyme assays were performed as described in Figure 1B with 500 nM Dbp5 or 500 nM dbp5^{RR}. Gle1 (250 nM with 100 nM IP₆ was added to measure relative levels of stimulation. The ATPase activity of each sample was normalized to the maximally stimulated Dbp5 with Gle1-IP6 sample. Bars represent the averages of three independent experiments with standard error shown. (D) The $dbp5^{RR}$ mutant complements the $rat7\Delta N$ temperature sensitivity. Yeast strains were grown at the permissive temperature and serially diluted on plates for growth at 23°C and 37°C. (E) The $dbp5^{RR}$ $nup42\Delta$ double mutant has a synthetic fitness growth defect. Yeast strains were grown at the permissive temperature and serially diluted on plate for growth at 23°C and 37°C. (F) Nuclear poly(A) RNA accumulates in arrested $dbp5^{RR}$ $nup42\Delta$ cells. In situ hybridization with an oligo(dT) probe for poly(A) RNA localization was conducted on dbp5^{RR} nup42A cells grown at 23°C and shifted to growth for 1 h at 37°C. DAPI staining marks the nucleus (left) and fluorescent signal for the poly(A) RNA (right).

was fully complete (as assessed by thin layer chromatography) (Fig. 4B, left panel). Samples were then removed from each hydrolysis reaction and assayed by filter binding to measure the relative ADP levels still bound to each protein (Fig. 4B, right panel). Compared with wild type, dbp5^{RR} protein retained significantly less bound ADP after hydrolysis, reflecting increased release independent of Nup159-NTD binding. We speculated that the *dbp5^{RR}* mutant is viable because the ADP release phenotype bypasses the requirement for Nup159 binding.

To directly test for the ability of the $dbp5^{RR}$ mutant to bypass the Nup159 requirement, we constructed $rat7\Delta N$ $dbp5^{RR}$ double mutants. The $rat7\Delta N$ mutant is temperature-sensitive at 37°C (Fig. 4D; Hodge et al. 1999) and Dbp5 localization at the NPC is diminished, as in the $nup159^{VI}$ mutant (Hodge et al. 1999; Weirich et al. 2004). Remarkably, the $dbp5^{RR}$ mutant complemented the $rat7\Delta N$ temperature sensitivity, as evidenced by the growth of the $rat7\Delta N$ $dbp5^{RR}$ double mutant at 37°C (Fig. 4D). We conclude that the altered ADP binding by the $dbp5^{RR}$ protein eliminates the requirement for Nup159 interaction. Moreover, a primary role for Nup159 in the Dbp5 cycle is to facilitate ADP release.

The dbp5^{RR} mutant requires activation by Gle1 at the NPC

Gle1-IP₆ enhances the ATP binding of wild-type Dbp5 (Fig. 2D) and stimulates its ATPase activity (Alcazar-Roman et al. 2006; Weirich et al. 2006). Compared with wild type, the dbp5^{RR} protein had a similar basal level of ATPase activity and was fully stimulated by Gle1-IP₆ (Fig. 4C). This indicates that the Nup159- and ADP-binding perturbations in dbp5^{RR} are specific and do not alter its catalytic activity or regulation by Gle1-IP₆.

Extensive genetic studies have linked Dbp5, Gle1, and IP₆ functions to Nup42 at the NPC (Miller et al. 2004; Alcazar-Roman et al. 2006), with Nup42 directly binding Gle1 (Murphy and Wente 1996; Strahm et al. 1999). To test whether the $dbp5^{RR}$ mutant is dependent on efficient Gle1 function at the NPC, we generated a $dbp5^{RR}$ nup42 Δ double mutant. Both single mutants were not temperature-sensitive; however, the $dbp5^{RR}$ $nup42\Delta$ double mutant was lethal at 37°C (Fig. 4E). To assay for mRNA export, in situ hybridization for poly(A) RNA localization was conducted. Cells were grown at the permissive temperature (23°C) and shifted for 1 h to 37°C. The $dbp5^{RR}$ nup42 Δ double-mutant cells showed nuclear accumulation of poly(A) RNA (Fig. 4F), whereas the single mutants did not (Saavedra et al. 1997; Stutz et al. 1997). Thus, in the absence of Nup159 binding, Nup42 function is required for dbp5^{RR} activity in mRNA export at elevated temperatures. We conclude that, even if Nup159-catalyzed ADP release is not essential, spatial control of Dbp5 at the NPC cytoplasmic filaments is still necessary for proper mRNA export.

Nucleotide-dependent conformational changes are important for the remodeling mechanism

To more directly test for the requirements for ADP binding and ATP hydrolysis during in vitro remodeling,

we conducted a series of in vitro remodeling assays with the dbp5^{EQ} and dbp5^{RR} proteins. We speculated that dbp5^{EQ} could be competent for in vitro mRNP remodeling if the mechanism is based on harnessing a conformational change in Dbp5 structure upon ADP binding to the APO form (apo-dbp5^{EQ} \rightarrow dbp5^{EQ}_{ADP}). In the presence of ATP alone, neither wild-type Dbp5 nor dbp5^{EQ} showed significant remodeling of the Nab2-RNP (Fig. 5A, lanes 3,4). This supports our prior conclusion that the ATPbound form is not functional for remodeling (Tran et al. 2007), and suggests that an apo-dbp5^{EQ} \rightarrow dbp5^{EQ}_{ATP} conversion is also not sufficient. However, by adding ADP rather than ATP, dbp5^{EQ} remodeled Nab2-RNPs equally as well as wild-type Dbp5, as shown by a gel shift assay (Fig. 5A, lanes 6,7). A titration of Dbp5 protein levels was used to more carefully determine the extent of ADP-dependent remodeling for wild-type Dbp5 and dbp5^{EQ}. As shown in Figure 5B, dbp5^{EQ} was as effective as wild type. This further confirms that ADP binding alone is sufficient to mediate in vitro mRNP remodeling.

If efficient ADP binding is required, we predicted that the dbp5^{RR} protein with altered ADP binding would be less effective in mediating in vitro mRNP remodeling with ADP alone. Indeed, dbp5^{RR} remodeling with ADP was markedly reduced as compared with both wild-type Dbp5 and dbp5^{EQ} (Fig. 5C). Thus, altered ADP binding correlated with altered mRNP remodeling in vitro. However, in vivo with ATP and Gle1-IP₆, the dbp5^{RR} mutant has no growth or mRNA export defects (Fig. 3E; data not shown). Together, this suggests that the APO-to-ADP transition (with dbp5^{EQ}) and the ATP-to-ADP transition (with dbp5^{RR}) are equivalent mechanistically for mRNP remodeling.

Recent structural studies indicate that Dbp5 exhibits nucleotide-dependent conformational changes (Fan et al. 2009; Napetschnig et al. 2009; von Moeller et al. 2009). Crystallography of hDbp5 with RNA and AMP-PNP showed the two RecA domains in a closed conformation forming a nucleotide-binding cleft between the two domains (von Moeller et al. 2009). In the presence of ADP, however, the two RecA domains are displaced due to the insertion of the N terminus, including an induced α helix (amino acids 1–91), between the domains (Collins et al. 2009). This correlates directly with our limited trypsin digestion studies of full-length Dbp5, wherein the proteolytic products in the presence of ATP are distinct from those observed in the presence of ADP (Tran et al. 2007). In addition, the proteolytic products in the absence of nucleotide (APO) appear the same as with ATP, suggesting similar APO and ATP conformations (Tran et al. 2007). To further investigate the nucleotide-dependent conformations in solution, we performed a series of circular dichroism spectroscopy experiments with fulllength recombinant Dbp5 (Fig. 5D). Dbp5 was incubated with ATP or ADP or without nucleotide. Results were plotted as a function of molar ellipticity versus wavelength. Both the Dbp5_{ATP} and apo-Dbp5 samples showed minimal ellipticity across the scan. However, $Dbp5_{ADP}$ displayed a large peak at ~260-270 nm, likely resulting from changes in aromatic residue accessibility. This

indicates that the conformation of Dbp5 in vitro in the presence of ADP (Dbp5_{ADP}) is distinct as compared with either the absence of nucleotide (apo-Dbp5) or the presence of ATP (Dbp5_{ATP}).

Interestingly, from the results shown in Figure 1A, we calculated the approximate on rate of Dbp5-ADP binding for the 1:666 molar ratio used in the in vitro remodeling assay. From this, the resulting initial ADP-binding rate would be \sim 7.3% of the total ADP bound per minute. Thus, over a 30-min in vitro remodeling assay, a significant proportion of the apo-Dbp5 would shift to Dbp5_{ADP} by the loading of ADP. It is important to note that, in the in vitro remodeling assays, Dbp5 is present in at least 50-fold to 1500-fold molar excess over the RNP. Therefore, based on the dbp5^{RR} reduced remodeling with ADP, the circular dichroism, and the ADP equilibrium binding results, we suggest that Dbp5 remodeling in vitro is mediated by a conformational change from apo-Dbp5 to $Dbp5_{ADP}$ over the course of the assay. This in vitro mechanism is then effectively equivalent to the confor-



mational change in Dbp5 when ATP has been hydrolyzed to ADP. Taken together, this suggests that the mechanism of Dbp5 remodeling in vitro requires an ATP- or APO-to-ADP conformational change.

Discussion

Here we present evidence defining critical steps in the mRNA export mechanism via facilitated Dbp5 nucleotide cycling and mRNP remodeling at the NPC. Other studies indicate that Dbp5 docking at Nup159 is required for mRNA export (Hodge et al. 1999; Schmitt et al. 1999; Weirich et al. 2004). We show that Nup159 interaction is specifically required for triggering ADP release from Dbp5. Moreover, because the $dbp5^{RR}$ mutant with independent defects in ADP and Nup159 binding is viable and the $dbp5^{RR}$ mutant complements the $rat7\Delta N$ temperature sensitivity, the Nup159 interaction is not strictly required for directional mRNA export. However, some level of spatial control at the NPC cytoplasmic face is needed for efficient mRNP transport. This is evidenced by the growth and mRNA export defects in a $dbp5^{RR}$ nup42 Δ double mutant wherein Nup42 at the NPC impacts Gle1-IP₆ function. We find that Gle1-IP₆ acts to mediate both ATP binding to Dbp5 and hydrolysis. Overall, the combined actions of Nup159 and Gle1-IP₆ on Dbp5 nucleotide binding provide a mechanism for permitting multiple cycles of mRNP remodeling by a single Dbp5 molecule at the NPC.

We also further investigated the nucleotide-dependent basis for mRNP remodeling. Both circular dichroism

Figure 5. Dbp5 remodeling mechanism is mediated by a conformational change. (A) In vitro remodeling assay demonstrates that dbp5^{EQ} remodels similarly to wild-type Dbp5: In vitro constituted Nab2-RNPs were assembled as described in Tran et al. (2007) with 5'-end labeled ³²P-poly(A)₂₅. Nab2 (250 nM) was incubated with 10 nM RNA and 500 nM either wild-type Dbp5 or dbp5^{EQ} in the presence of 1 mM ATP:MgCl₂ or 1 mM ADP:MgCl₂. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were performed and products were visualized by autoradiography. (B) Quantification of Nab2-RNPs remodeling: Increasing concentrations of Dbp5 and dbp5^{EQ} were added to in vitro constituted Nab2-RNPs in the presence of ADP:MgCl₂ and filter-binding assays were performed. Densitometry was used to quantify the fraction of Nab2-RNPs that were remodeled at each concentration of protein. Bars represent the averages of three independent experiments with standard error bars. (C) dbp5^{RR} remodels in vitro constituted Nab2-RNPs less efficiently than wild-type Dbp5: EMSAs were performed as described above using 750 nM wildtype Dbp5, dbp5^{E240Q}, or dbp5^{RR} in the presence of 1 mM ADP:MgCl₂. The gel was exposed to autoradiography to detect the relative Nab2-RNP and free RNA. (D) Circular dichroism studies demonstrate nucleotide-dependent conformational changes: Near-UV spectral scans of Dbp5 with 1.5 mM ATP:MgCl₂, ADP:MgCl₂, or MgCl₂ alone were collected from 250-nm to 350-nm wavelengths. Spectra of buffer with 1.5 mM ATP:MgCl₂, ADP:MgCl₂, or MgCl₂ were subtracted from the Dbp5 spectra to remove interfering signals from the adenosine moiety. Θ represents molar elipticity, which is calculated as (100 imessignal)/(pathlength \times amino acid residues \times mM concentration).

(Fig. 5D) and limited trypsin digestion studies (Tran et al. 2007) reveal that the in vitro solution states of $Dbp5_{ATP}$ and apo-Dbp5 are similar. However, Dbp5_{ADP} is distinct. This is supported by published structure studies of different yeast and human Dbp5 complexes. Moreover, from in vitro studies with Dbp5 proteins that have altered ADP binding (dbp5^{RR}) or ATP hydrolysis (dbp5^{EQ}), we found that ADP binding is both necessary and sufficient for mRNA remodeling. As such, transitions in the Dbp5 nucleotide-bound state couple conformational changes to mRNP remodeling. We conclude that in vitro remodeling is facilitated by either (1) a Dbp5_{ATP}-to-Dbp5_{ADP} conformational change induced by in situ ATP hydrolysis and release of Pi, or (2) an apo-Dbp5-to-Dbp5_{ADP} conformational change induced by ADP binding. In vivo, the Dbp5_{ATP}-to-Dbp5_{ADP} mechanism is likely obligatory because of the relatively low level of ADP within cells (van den Brink et al. 2008).

Based on these results, we propose a working model for the in vivo Dbp5 cycle of activity at the NPC (Fig. 6A). Four distinct Dbp5 phases are predicted: Dbp5_{ATP}/mRNP, Dbp5_{ADP}, apo-Dbp5/Nup159, and Dbp5_{ATP}/Gle1-IP₆. As shown in Figure 6A, step 1, Dbp5_{ATP} has the highest affinity for RNA, with very weak RNA binding by Dbp5_{ADP} (Weirich et al. 2006; Tran et al. 2007). The conformational change from Dbp5_{ATP} to Dbp5_{ADP} in vivo during ATP hydrolysis triggers mRNA remodeling. In vitro, this can be mimicked by a transition from apo-Dbp5 to $Dbp5_{ADP}$ during nucleotide binding (Fig. 6B). The conformational switch involves the insertion of an N-terminal α helix between the two RecA-like domains in Dbp5 and potentially triggers a corresponding change in the structure of the bound mRNA/mRNP, resulting in the release of RNA-binding proteins (Collins et al. 2009). Next in the cycle (Fig. 6A, step 2), Nup159 directly binds Dbp5_{ADP} and facilitates the ADP release. Of note, apo-hDbp5 has the highest affinity for Nup214 in vitro (von Moeller et al. 2009). This event effectively regenerates Dbp5 for another round of mRNA remodeling. At the NPC (Fig. 6A, step 3), Gle1-IP₆ is localized near Nup159-apo-Dbp5 in the NPC cytoplasmic filaments,



and Gle1-IP₆ enhances ATP binding in vitro and primes Dbp5 for RNA binding. In terms of the in vivo mechanism, the high cellular ATP concentration will likely also facilitate ATP loading. This step does not strictly require Nup159 binding, as evidenced by the $dbp5^{RR}$ mutant phenotype. Considering the full cycle (Fig. 6A, step 4), a previous study reported a potential reduction of the Dbp5/Gle1-IP6 interaction in the presence of RNA (Alcazar-Roman et al. 2010). Thus, when Gle1-IP₆-Dbp5_{ATP} bind the mRNA/mRNP, ATP hydrolysis is triggered coincident with Gle1-IP₆ release from Dbp5. The steps shown in Figure 6A (steps 4 and 1) together effectively comprise the RNA-dependent and Gle1-IP₆-dependent stimulation of Dbp5 ATPase activity. Overall, the model fully accounts for the mutually exclusive binding of RNA and Nup159, and positions Nup159 and Gle1 to regulate nucleotide binding and have direct control over Dbp5 cycling at the NPC.

If this model is correct, there are several specific predictions for the in vivo behavior of dbp5 mutants with defects in ATP hydrolysis and RNA binding. In the accompanying study (Hodge et al. 2011), we found that such mutants behave as predicted. Notably, the ATP hydrolysis-defective $dbp5^{EQ}$ mutant, which binds to either ATP or ADP (Fig. 2A,B), is a loss-of-function mutant in vivo (Hodge et al. 2011). Further, at steady state in the presence of wild-type Dbp5, dbp5^{EQ} protein is not detected at the nuclear rim/NPC (Hodge et al. 2011). This suggests that the ability of Dbp5 to access Nup159 requires the Dbp5_{ADP} form generated by ATP hydrolysis (Fig. 6A, step 2). As the $dbp5^{EQ}$ protein cannot efficiently enter the mRNP remodeling cycle, this also provides a rationale for why the $dbp5^{EQ}$ mutant is not dominant. In addition, the phenotype and biochemical properties of a dominant dbp5-R369G mutant that lacks RNA binding also directly support the model in Figure 6A, step 4. The dbp5-R369G protein competes with wild-type Dbp5 for Gle1 (Hodge et al. 2011). Since binding sites for Dbp5 at the NPC are limiting, this would reduce the remodeling capacity of wild-type Dbp5. This further indicates that the RNA-binding step follows the interaction between

> Figure 6. Proposed mechanism of Dbp5 nucleotide cycle. The left panel illustrates in vivo steps, and nucleotide binding/hydrolysis are controlled by multiple binding partners. (Step 1) Bound to ATP and an mRNP, Dbp5 is primed for remodeling. Hydrolysis is stimulated by Gle1-IP6, resulting in release of Pi and the remodeled mRNP, and generation of a conformationally changed ADPbound Dbp5. (Step 2) Bound to ADP, Nup159 promotes ADP release, which confers another conformational change and places Dbp5 in an APO state. (Step 3) Gle1-IP₆ promotes the loading of ATP to allow another round of nucleotide cycling and remodeling. (Step 4) Bound to ATP, Dbp5 has the highest affinity for RNA. RNA binding and ATP hydrolysis

release Gle1-IP₆. The *right* panel proposes a model for in vitro mimicking via ADP binding to the APO form of Dbp5, allowing mRNP remodeling in the absence of Gle1-IP₆. Once bound to ADP in vitro, Nup159 promotes nucleotide release.

Dbp5 and Gle1-IP₆ and is potentially needed to release Gle1 from Dbp5 through ATP hydrolysis.

Although Nup159 and Gle1 impact Dbp5 activity at the NPC, only Gle1 is linked to Dbp5 function during translation termination (Bolger et al. 2008). Prior studies indicate that Dbp5 is executing a RNP remodeling function during translation termination, allowing the recruitment of Sup35 (eRF3) to the termination complex (Gross et al. 2007; Bolger et al. 2008). We predict that this mechanism could also require a Dbp5_{ATP}-to-Dbp5_{ADP} transition and presumably a factor that facilitates ADP release from Dbp5. Possibly, such an ADP release factor is a component of the ribosome or the termination complex. In addition, this factor might play a general role and result in enough basal total cellular regeneration of Dbp5_{ATP} to allow mRNA export and viability at the permissive temperature in strains where Dbp5 cannot bind Nup159; for example, in $rat7\Delta N$, $nup159^{VI}$, and $nup159^{EE}$ mutants (Supplemental Fig. 1C; Hodge et al. 1999; Weirich et al. 2004). The hypothesized translation termination ADP release factor might also account for the ability of overexpressed DBP5 to suppress completely the temperature sensitivity and RNA export defects of the $rat7\Delta N$ mutant (Hodge et al. 1999). As such, mutation of the gene encoding the translation ADP release factor might be lethal in combination with either the $rat7\Delta N$, $nup159^{VI}$, or $nup159^{EE}$ mutant.

The roles for both an ATPase-activating factor (Gle1- IP_6) and an ADP release factor (Nup159) in spatially regulating Dbp5 further solidify the proposed similarity between directional mRNA export and how the GTPase Ran is controlled for directional karyopherin-mediated transport through the NPC (Tran and Wente 2006). In particular, the control of RanGTP and RanGDP states allows precise regulation of karyopherin-cargo interactions and Ran cycling (for review, see Moore 1998; Gorlich and Kutay 1999), much like we propose for how the Dbp5_{ATP} and Dbp5_{ADP} states are controlled. However, in the case of Ran, the GTPase-activating protein (designated RAN-GAP1/Rna1) and the guanine nucleotide exchange factor (designated RCC1/Prp20) are spatially confined to opposing NPC faces/compartments (the cytoplasm/NPC cytoplasmic filaments and nucleus, respectively) (for review, see Macara 2001). For Dbp5, Nup159 and Gle1 impact mRNP export directionality and Dbp5 remodeling cycles via juxtaposed locations on the NPC cytoplasmic filaments. While there is a variation in localization of the nucleotide release/exchange and activating factors for Dbp5 and Ran, the precise regulation of where each NTPase is stimulated to undergo a conformational change provides directionality for the export of either RNA or proteins.

To our knowledge, this is the first Dbp reported to require a defined ADP release factor. The Dbp5 mechanism does parallel that reported for other ATPases that have nucleotide exchange factors. While nucleotide exchange factors for ATPases are far less studied than guanine nucleotide exchange factors, proteins such as BAP and Hsp110 promote release of ADP by their ATPase-binding partners (Chung et al. 2002; Andreasson et al. 2008). It is possible that other Dbps also use ADP release factors. If so, we speculate that their equivalent DEAD-box motif mutants to that in $dbp5^{EQ}$ will also not be dominant in vivo. However, recent structural studies highlight that the residues involved in ADP binding to Dbp5 and hDbp5 are different from that known for two other Dbps, VASA and eIF4AIII (Collins et al. 2009). Thus, this might also be a novel mechanism for directly controlling Dbp5 and other select Dbps through the need for facilitated ADP release. Future studies will be required to uncover the full range of mechanisms used to differentially regulate Dbps throughout the gene expression pathway.

Materials and methods

Protein expression and purification and yeast growth

All yeast strains were grown in YPD (2% peptone, 2% glucose, 1% yeast extract) at indicated temperatures. All recombinant proteins used (GST-Dbp5, GST-dbp5^{RR}, GST-dbp5^{EQ}, His₆-Nup159NTD, His6-nup159NTD^{DD}, His6-nup159NTD^{VI}, and MBP-TEV-Gle1) were bacterially expressed in Escherichia coli Rosetta (DE3) cells (EMD Biosciences) using 0.2 mM IPTG to induce expression for 16-18 h at 16°C. Bacteria were lysed by sonication in Buffer B (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM HEPES at pH 7.5, 20% w/v glycerol) supplemented with $1 \times$ protease inhibitor cocktail and 1 mM PMSF. After centrifugation at 10,500 rpm for 30 min at 4°C, soluble fractions were used for affinity chromatography with either glutathione-coupled sepharose (GST-tagged proteins; GE Healthcare), amylose resin (MBP-tagged proteins; New England Biolabs), or Ni+-NTA-coupled agarose (His6-tagged proteins; Qiagen) using the manufacturer's instructions. The GST tag was cleaved during dialysis with Factor Xa (New England Biolabs). The MBP-TEV tag was cleaved during dialysis with $\sim \! 15$ kU of recombinant, purified His6-TEV protease as described in Kapust et al. (2001). The His₆ tag was not cleaved from proteins. All proteins were dialyzed overnight into 1 L of Buffer B at 4°C. All proteins were subsequently aliquoted, snap-frozen in an ethanol and dry ice bath, and then stored at -70° C.

RNP assembly and RNP remodeling assays

Nab2-RNPs were assembled as described in Tran et al. (2007). Briefly, 500 nM His₆-Nab2 was incubated with 10 nM ^{32}P -poly(A)⁺₂₅ for 5 min at 30°C in remodeling buffer (20 mM HEPES at pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 10% w/v glycerol). Where appropriate, 1.5 μ M Dbp5/dbp5^{RR}/dbp5^{EQ} was added with 1 mM ADP:MgCl₂ (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated for 30 min at 30°C in 20 μ L of total reactions. Twenty-microliter samples were resolved in a 4% acrylamide–NaH₂PO4 native gel. Each gel was run at 80 V for 1 h at 4°C. The gels were analyzed by autoradiography.

Radiolabeled nucleotide-binding assays

All filter-binding assays were performed with a nylon membrane underneath a Whatman 0.45- μ m nitrocellulose membrane (as described in Wong et al. 1992) in a 96-well vacuum dot blotter (Aurogene). Both membranes were soaked for at least 10 min in Binding buffer (20 mM HEPES at pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 10% w/v glycerol) prior to dot blotting. All samples in each experiment were done in triplicate, and each experiment was performed three times. For ADP kinetic loading assays, 1 μ M Dbp5 was incubated with increasing concentrations from 0.5 μ M to 150 μ M ¹⁴C-ADP:MgCl₂ in Binding buffer. Thirty-microliter samples were removed at 30 sec, 10 min, 2 h, 4 h, 8 h,

16 h, and 24 h and spotted onto the nitrocellulose-nylon membrane sandwich using the vacuum dot blotter. Membranes were allowed to dry at room temperature and exposed to Kodak BioMax MS film with a Kodak BioMax TranScreen LE (Eastman Kodak) for 2 h at -70°C. Densitometry was used to quantify the fraction of nucleotide bound as follows: ¹⁴C-ADP_{BOUND}/ ¹⁴C-ADP_{BOUND} + ¹⁴C-ADP_{FREE}. Initial binding rates were calculated for each Dbp5: ¹⁴C-ADP ratio assayed during the linear phase of binding as fraction ¹⁴C-ADP bound per minute. These rates were used to extrapolate the initial rate at a Dbp5: $^{14}C-ADP = 1:666$, which is the ratio used in the in vitro remodeling assays. For nucleotide competition assays, 1 μM Dbp5, dbp5^{RR}, or dbp5^{EQ} was incubated with 250 nM ¹⁴C-ADP:MgCl₂ along with increasing amounts of unlabeled ADP:MgCl₂ (50 µM, 500 µM, and 5000 µM) for 30 min at room temperature in Binding buffer. Samples were used for filter binding and analyzed by autoradiography as described above. Similarly, assays were performed with 250 nM α -³²P-ATP and increasing concentrations of unlabeled ATP:MgCl₂ (50 µM, 500 µM, and 5000 µM). Samples were quantified as described above. For nucleotide release assays, 1 µM Dbp5 was incubated with 5 µM ¹⁴C-ADP:MgCl₂ or ¹⁴C-ADP-BeFx in Binding buffer for 24 h at room temperature. His₆-Nup159NTD/ $His_6\text{-}nup159\text{NTD}^{\text{DD}}/His_6\text{-}nup159\text{NTD}^{\text{VI}}$ (1 $\mu\text{M}), 500$ nM Gle1 with 200 nM IP6, or 10 µM RNA was added and 30-µL samples were used for filter binding after 10 min, and then again after 24 h. Nucleotide off-rate assays were performed with 1 µM Dbp5, 5 µM ¹⁴C-ADP, and increasing concentrations of His₆-Nup159NTD (83 nM, 500 nM, and 1 µM). Thirty-microliter samples were removed 30 sec, 2 min, 10 min, 30 min, and 120 min after addition of His₆-Nup159-NTD and used for filter binding as described. ¹⁴C-ADP bound was quantified as described above.

Circular dichroism spectroscopy

Experiments were conducted with and without Dbp5 (10 μ M) with 1.5 mM ATP:MgCl₂, 1.5 mM ADP:MgCl₂, or 1.5 mM MgCl₂ alone in 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.5) and 100 mM NaF with a Jasco J-810 spectrometer using a 1-mm path length at room temperature following a 10-min incubation. Spectral scans were collected in triplicate for each condition in the near-UV wavelength (250–350 nm). Control spectra from buffer alone + MgCl₂, 1.5 mM ATP:MgCl₂, or 1.5 mM ADP:MgCl₂ were subtracted from spectra with Dbp5. Because the ATP and ADP concentrations are in vast excess of Dbp5, the resulting spectra reflect changes in Dbp5 structure only and not differences in the concentration of free adenosine molecules. Molar elipticity (Θ) was calculated as (100 × signal)/(pathlength × amino acid residues × mM concentration).

ATPase assays

Endpoint ATPase assays using thin-layer chromatography (Alcazar-Roman et al. 2006) and colorimetric enzyme-coupled ATPase rate assays (Kornberg and Pricer 1951) were performed as originally described in respective references. For both assays, 500 nM Dbp5/dbp5^{RR}/dbp5^{EQ} was incubated with 1 μ M poly(A)⁺25, 1 mM DTT, and 1 U of SUPERasin (Ambion) in ATPase buffer (10 mM HEPES at pH 7.5, 45 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂). Where indicated, 250 nM Gle1 and 100 nM IP₆ were added. All reactions were started with 1 mM ATP:MgCl₂. Each sample in an experiment was done in triplicate, and each experiment was repeated at least three times.

Soluble binding assays

immobilized on 25 μ L of packed Ni⁺-NTA agarose in MHL buffer (50 mM Na₂HPO₄ at pH 7.4, 10% w/v glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole). Two-hundred picomoles of Dbp5, dbp5^{RR}, or dbp5^{EQ} was added where indicated. Samples were incubated for 45 min at room temperature with gentle rocking. Samples were washed five times with 700 μ L of MHL buffer and one final time with 300 μ L of MHL buffer. Bound protein was eluted with 1× SDS sample buffer for 30 min at room temperature. Samples were then loaded into 7.5% acrylamide–SDS gels that were run at 30 mA for 2 h at room temperature. Proteins were detected by Coomassie Blue staining.

Live-cell microscopy and in situ hybridization

Yeast strains used for live-cell microscopy to monitor localization of GFP-Dbp5 and GFP-dbp5^{RR} were grown in YPD at 23°C to mid-log phase (OD_{600nm} 0.3–0.6). One-hundred microliters of cell suspension was collected and resuspended in 20 µL of fresh YPD and imaged using a fluorescent microscope (model BX50, Olympus) using an Uplan 100×/1.3 objective and a digital camera (Photometrics Cool Snap HQ, Roper Scientific). Images were processed using the MetaVue software (Universal Imaging), ImageJ (NIH), and Adobe Photoshop 7.0. In situ hybridization was performed on cells that were grown to mid-log phase at 23°C and then shifted for 1 h to a 37°C shaking water bath, following the protocol described in Alcazar-Roman et al. (2010).

Acknowledgments

We thank Elena Conti for sharing data before publication, and members of the Wente laboratory for discussions and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by grants from the NIH: R37 GM51219 (to S.R.W.), 1F31 HD061181 (to K.N.N.), 1F32 GM075459 (to E.J.T.), and R01 GM33998 (to C.N.N.).

References

- Alber F, Dokudovskaya S, Veenhoff LM, Zhang W, Kipper J, Devos D, Suprapto A, Karni-Schmidt O, Williams R, Chait BT, et al. 2007. The molecular architecture of the nuclear pore complex. *Nature* **450**: 695–701.
- Alcazar-Roman AR, Tran EJ, Guo S, Wente SR. 2006. Inositol hexakisphosphate and Gle1 activate the DEAD-box protein Dbp5 for nuclear mRNA export. *Nat Cell Biol* 8: 711–716.
- Alcazar-Roman AR, Bolger TA, Wente SR. 2010. Control of mRNA export and translation termination by inositol hexakisphosphate requires specific interaction with Gle1. *J Biol Chem* 285: 16683–16692.
- Andreasson C, Fiaux J, Rampelt H, Mayer MP, Bukau B. 2008. Hsp110 is a nucleotide-activated exchange factor for Hsp70. *J Biol Chem* 283: 8877–8884.
- Bolger TA, Folkmann AW, Tran EJ, Wente SR. 2008. The mRNA export factor Gle1 and inositol hexakisphosphate regulate distinct stages of translation. *Cell* **134:** 624–633.
- Brohawn SG, Partridge JR, Whittle JR, Schwartz TU. 2009. The nuclear pore complex has entered the atomic age. *Structure* 17: 1156–1168.
- Chung KT, Shen Y, Hendershot LM. 2002. BAP, a mammalian BiPassociated protein, is a nucleotide exchange factor that regulates the ATPase activity of BiP. *J Biol Chem* **277**: 47557–47563.
- Collins R, Karlberg T, Lehtio L, Schutz P, van den Berg S, Dahlgren LG, Hammarstrom M, Weigelt J, Schuler H. 2009. The DEXD/H-box RNA helicase DDX19 is regulated by an α -helical switch. *J Biol Chem* **284:** 10296–10300.
- Cordin O, Banroques J, Tanner NK, Linder P. 2006. The DEADbox protein family of RNA helicases. *Gene* 367: 17–37.

Noble et al.

- Daneholt B. 2001. Assembly and transport of a premessenger RNP particle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **98**: 7012–7017.
- Estruch F, Cole CN. 2003. An early function during transcription for the yeast mRNA export factor Dbp5p/Rat8p suggested by its genetic and physical interactions with transcription factor IIH components. *Mol Biol Cell* **14**: 1664–1676.
- Fairman ME, Maroney PA, Wang W, Bowers HA, Gollnick P, Nilsen TW, Jankowsky E. 2004. Protein displacement by DExH/D 'RNA helicases' without duplex unwinding. *Science* 304: 730–734.
- Fan JS, Cheng Z, Zhang J, Noble C, Zhou Z, Song H, Yang D. 2009. Solution and crystal structures of mRNA exporter Dbp5p and its interaction with nucleotides. *J Mol Biol* **388:** 1–10.
- Gorlich D, Kutay U. 1999. Transport between the cell nucleus and the cytoplasm. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **15**: 607–660.
- Gross T, Siepmann A, Sturm D, Windgassen M, Scarcelli JJ, Seedorf M, Cole CN, Krebber H. 2007. The DEAD-box RNA helicase Dbp5 functions in translation termination. *Science* **315:** 646–649.
- Hodge CA, Colot HV, Stafford P, Cole CN. 1999. Rat8p/Dbp5p is a shuttling transport factor that interacts with Rat7p/ Nup159p and Gle1p and suppresses the mRNA export defect of xpo1-1 cells. *EMBO J* **18**: 5778–5788.
- Hodge CA, Tran EJ, Noble KN, Alcazar-Roman AR, Ben-Yishay R, Scarcelli JJ, Folkmann AW, Shav-Tal Y, Wente SR, Cole CN. 2011. The Dbp5 cycle at the nuclear pore complex during mRNA export I: *dbp5* mutants with defects in RNA binding and ATP hydrolysis define key steps for Nup159 and Gle1. *Genes Dev* (this issue). doi: 10.1101/gad.2041611.
- Hurwitz ME, Strambio-de-Castillia C, Blobel G. 1998. Two yeast nuclear pore complex proteins involved in mRNA export form a cytoplasmically oriented subcomplex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **95**: 11241–11245.
- Jankowsky E, Bowers H. 2006. Remodeling of ribonucleoprotein complexes with DExH/D RNA helicases. *Nucleic Acids Res* 34: 4181–4188.
- Kapust RB, Tozser J, Fox JD, Anderson DE, Cherry S, Copeland TD, Waugh DS. 2001. Tobacco etch virus protease: mechanism of autolysis and rational design of stable mutants with wild-type catalytic proficiency. *Protein Eng* 14: 993–1000.
- Kelly SM, Corbett AH. 2009. Messenger RNA export from the nucleus: a series of molecular wardrobe changes. *Traffic* 10: 1199–1208.
- Kendirgi F, Rexer DJ, Alcazar-Roman AR, Onishko HM, Wente SR. 2005. Interaction between the shuttling mRNA export factor Gle1 and the nucleoporin hCG1: a conserved mechanism in the export of Hsp70 mRNA. *Mol Biol Cell* 16: 4304– 4315.
- Kornberg A, Pricer WE Jr. 1951. Enzymatic phosphorylation of adenosine and 2,6-diaminopurine riboside. J Biol Chem 193: 481–495.
- Kraemer DM, Strambio-de-Castillia C, Blobel G, Rout MP. 1995. The essential yeast nucleoporin NUP159 is located on the cytoplasmic side of the nuclear pore complex and serves in karyopherin-mediated binding of transport substrate. *J Biol Chem* **270**: 19017–19021.
- Linder P. 2006. Dead-box proteins: a family affair—active and passive players in RNP-remodeling. *Nucleic Acids Res* 34: 4168–4180.
- Lund MK, Guthrie C. 2005. The DEAD-box protein Dbp5p is required to dissociate Mex67p from exported mRNPs at the nuclear rim. *Mol Cell* **20**: 645–651.
- Macara IG. 2001. Transport into and out of the nucleus. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 65: 570–594.
- Miller AL, Suntharalingam M, Johnson SL, Audhya A, Emr SD, Wente SR. 2004. Cytoplasmic inositol hexakisphosphate

production is sufficient for mediating the Gle1-mRNA export pathway. *J Biol Chem* **279:** 51022–51032.

- Moore MS. 1998. Ran and nuclear transport. J Biol Chem 273: 22857–22860.
- Moore MJ. 2005. From birth to death: the complex lives of eukaryotic mRNAs. *Science* **309**: 1514–1518.
- Murphy R, Wente SR. 1996. An RNA-export mediator with an essential nuclear export signal. *Nature* **383**: 357–360.
- Napetschnig J, Kassube SA, Debler EW, Wong RW, Blobel G, Hoelz A. 2009. Structural and functional analysis of the interaction between the nucleoporin Nup214 and the DEADbox helicase Ddx19. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106**: 3089–3094.
- Rocak S, Linder P. 2004. DEAD-box proteins: the driving forces behind RNA metabolism. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 5: 232– 241.
- Rodriguez-Navarro S, Hurt E. 2011. Linking gene regulation to mRNA production and export. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 23: 1–8.
- Saavedra CA, Hammell CM, Heath CV, Cole CN. 1997. Yeast heat shock mRNAs are exported through a distinct pathway defined by Rip1p. *Genes Dev* **11**: 2845–2856.
- Schmitt C, von Kobbe C, Bachi A, Pante N, Rodrigues JP, Boscheron C, Rigaut G, Wilm M, Seraphin B, Carmo-Fonseca M, et al. 1999. Dbp5, a DEAD-box protein required for mRNA export, is recruited to the cytoplasmic fibrils of nuclear pore complex via a conserved interaction with CAN/Nup159p. *EMBO J* 18: 4332–4347.
- Snay-Hodge CA, Colot HV, Goldstein AL, Cole CN. 1998. Dbp5p/Rat8p is a yeast nuclear pore-associated DEAD-box protein essential for RNA export. *EMBO J* 17: 2663–2676.
- Stewart M. 2007. Ratcheting mRNA out of the nucleus. *Mol Cell* **25:** 327–330.
- Stewart M. 2010. Nuclear export of mRNA. *Trends Biochem Sci* 35: 609–617.
- Strahm Y, Fahrenkrog B, Zenklusen D, Rychner E, Kantor J, Rosbach M, Stutz F. 1999. The RNA export factor Gle1p is located on the cytoplasmic fibrils of the NPC and physically interacts with the FG-nucleoporin Rip1p, the DEAD-box protein Rat8p/Dbp5p and a new protein Ymr 255p. *EMBO J* 18: 5761–5777.
- Stutz F, Kantor J, Zhang D, McCarthy T, Neville M, Rosbash M. 1997. The yeast nucleoporin rip1p contributes to multiple export pathways with no essential role for its FG-repeat region. *Genes Dev* 11: 2857–2868.
- Tran EJ, Wente SR. 2006. Dynamic nuclear pore complexes: life on the edge. *Cell* **125:** 1041–1053.
- Tran EJ, Zhou Y, Corbett AH, Wente SR. 2007. The DEAD-box protein Dbp5 controls mRNA export by triggering specific RNA:protein remodeling events. *Mol Cell* **28**: 850–859.
- Tseng SS, Weaver PL, Liu Y, Hitomi M, Tartakoff AM, Chang TH. 1998. Dbp5p, a cytosolic RNA helicase, is required for poly(A)⁺ RNA export. *EMBO J* **17:** 2651–2662.
- van den Brink J, Canelas AB, van Gulik WM, Pronk JT, Heijnen JJ, de Winde JH, Daran-Lapujade P. 2008. Dynamics of glycolytic regulation during adaptation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to fermentative metabolism. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74: 5710–5723.
- von Moeller H, Basquin C, Conti E. 2009. The mRNA export protein DBP5 binds RNA and the cytoplasmic nucleoporin NUP214 in a mutually exclusive manner. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 16: 247–254.
- Weirich CS, Erzberger JP, Berger JM, Weis K. 2004. The N-terminal domain of Nup159 forms a β -propeller that functions in mRNA export by tethering the helicase Dbp5 to the nuclear pore. *Mol Cell* **16**: 749–760.
- Weirich CS, Erzberger JP, Flick JS, Berger JM, Thorner J, Weis K. 2006. Activation of the DExD/H-box protein Dbp5 by the

nuclear-pore protein Gle1 and its coactivator InsP6 is required for mRNA export. *Nat Cell Biol* **8:** 668–676.

- Wong I, Chao KL, Bujalowski W, Lohman TM. 1992. DNAinduced dimerization of the *Escherichia coli* rep helicase. Allosteric effects of single-stranded and duplex DNA. *J Biol Chem* 267: 7596–7610.
- Zhao J, Jin SB, Bjorkroth B, Wieslander L, Daneholt B. 2002. The mRNA export factor Dbp5 is associated with Balbiani ring mRNP from gene to cytoplasm. *EMBO J* **21**: 1177–1187.