Identification of a new, abundant superfamily of mammalian LTR-transposons

Arian F.A.Smit*

Department of Biology, Beckman Research Institute of the City of Hope, Duarte, CA 91010-0269 and Molecular Biology Section, University of Southern California, Los Angeles 90089-1340, USA

Received December 31, 1992; Revised and Accepted March 19, 1993

ABSTRACT

A new superfamily of mammalian transposable genetic elements is described with an estimated 40,000 to 100,000 members in both primate and rodent genomes. Sequences known before as MT, ORR-1, Mstil, MER15 and MER18 are shown to represent (part of) the long terminal repeats of retrotransposon-like elements related to THEl in humans. These transposons have structural similarities to retroviruses. However, the putative product of a 1350 base pair open reading frame detected in the consensus internal sequence of THEl does not resemble retroviral proteins. The elements are named 'Mammalian apparent LTR-retrotransposons' (MaLRs). The internal sequence is usually found to be excised. Their presence in rodents, artiodactyls, lagomorphs, and primates, the divergence of the individual elements from their consensus, and the existence of a probably orthologous element in mouse and man suggest that the first MaLRs were distributed before the radiation of eutherian mammals 80-100 million years ago. MaLRs may prove to be very helpful in determining the evolutionary branching pattern of mammalian orders and suborders.

INTRODUCTION

The most numerous transposable genetic elements in mammals are the short and long interspersed nucleotide elements (SINEs and LINEs) represented in the human genome by Alu with an estimated 500-900,000 copies and Li with 100,000 copies, respectively (1, 2). New copies of both types of elements find their way into the genome via reverse transcription of an RNA intermediate, a process called retrotransposition. SINEs are less than 500 base pairs (bp) long, are transcribed from an internal RNA polymerase III promoter, have an A rich 3' end, and are derived from structural RNA (1, 3). Full length LI sequences are $6-7$ kilobases (kb) long and may contain two open reading frames (ORFs) that code for products related to retroviral proteins such as reverse transcriptase (4, 5). Neither SINEs nor LINEs have long terminal repeats (LTRs).

The mammalian genome also harbors a variety of relatively low copy number endogenous proretroviruses, which may have entered the germlines of their animal hosts through retroviral

infection of germ cells, and are now stably integrated, vertically transmitted, and more or less incapable of infection (6, 7). Retroviruses may have evolved from an $(LTR-)$ retrotransposon similar to gypsy in Drosophila or Ty3 in budding yeast, which acquired an envelope protein gene around the time of the emergence of mammals $(8-11)$. Characteristic of $(LTR-)$ retrotransposons and proretroviruses are two directly repeated sequences of several 100 bp (the LTRs) flanking a central region with more or less preserved ORFs related to the retroviral gag, pol-int, and sometimes env genes (Figure 1). Another hallmark of these transposons is a 4 to 6 bp target site duplication upon integration (of specific length for each type of element). The LTRs are essential and sufficient for normal integration into the host genome; their terminal sequences are recognized by a typespecific integrase, resulting in the exclusive utilization of viral DNA termini for integration (12). Furthermore, the LTRs control all aspects of transcription. LTRs of even closely-related retrovirus families show no overall sequence homology, but all retrotransposon LTRs share short elements functional in integration and transcription: (i) ^a terminal ⁵' TG and ³' CA dinucleotide, often extended to ^a short inverted repeat, (ii) RNA polymerase II promoter elements and transcription start site, and (iii) a polyadenylation signal and site. The transcription start- and polyadenylation sites define the borders between the so-called U3, R and U5 regions in the LTR. Solitary LTRs of endogenous retroviruses in the genome are thought to be excision products of homologous recombination between both LTRs. There are an estimated total of $1100-1600$ and $3000-4000$ copies of endogenous proviruses and their solitary LTRs in the human and mouse genome, respectively (6,7).

The estimated 40,000 copies of THEls and their solitary LTRs formed the most widespread interspersed elements known in the primate genome apart from Alu and LI. A considerable number of other repeat families exists in mammals, exemplified by the 21 recently described medium reiterated sequences (MERs) in the human genome (17, 18). The most abundant of these MERs, as determined with a plaque hybridization assay of a genomic human library, is MER18 with 5000 - 10,000 copies, closely followed by MER10 with $4000-8000$ copies (18). The MER10 sequence had already been known to be repetitive (19, 20) and had been named MstII repeat by Mermer et al. (21). These authors also had recognized the similarity of these elements to

* To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Department of Biology, Beckman Research Institute of the City of Hope, Duarte, CA 91010-0269, USA

THEI-LTRs. An alignment of some members of these two (sub)families has been published recently (22). Members of the THE1/MstII family have also been called 'low-repeat sequence' (LRS) (23, 24). It will be shown here that the MER18 sequence. previously described as a human sex-chromosome-specific repeat (25), forms part of the LTR of ^a retrotransposon related to THE^I and MstII.

The most common interspersed repetitive element described in the mouse genome is LI followed by the Alu-equivalent B1 SINE, the B2 SINE, and the 'Mouse Transposon' (MT, 26, 27). The latter three have been estimated to occur in similar numbers (1,26). It is shown in this paper that MT is related to the recently partly-described 'Origin-Region Repeat' (ORR-1) in rodents (28) and that both are more distantly related to the primate elements mentioned above. Indeed, several ORR-1 and MT repeats flank sequences that resemble the internal sequence of THE1.

The above mentioned elements, which comprise all of the most common unclassified interspersed repeats in primates and rodents, are identified here as members of a superfamily of Mammalian apparent LTR-retrotransposons (MaLRs). They form a class of mobile genetic elements distinct from SINEs, LINEs, and retroviruses. It is estimated that there are 40,000 to 100,000 copies, including solitary LTRs, in both primate and rodent genomes. ^I have derived novel consensus sequences for the LTRs of 20 MaLR subfamilies, based on the alignment of over 300 sequences found in GenBank® release 71. These sequences and their putative evolutionary relationship are presented in this paper.

METHODS

Databank searches were performed on a Sun computer using the IFind (29) program in the IntelliGeneticsTM Suite. Multiple alignments were initially made with the Genalign program (30) and significantly adjusted manually. Improved versions of consensus sequences were successively used for new databank searches. Subfamilies were detected when members of a family showed more similarity to each other than to their preliminary consensus sequence or after grouping sequences that share an insertion or deletion. Subfamily status was accepted when a subdivision of a family was accompanied by grouping of consensus sequences with multiple 'diagnostic' deletions, insertions or mutations. Some new subfamilies were detected by searching the databases with sequences that showed an overall (full length) but faint similarity to a previously determined consensus sequence.

For calculation of nucleotide substitution rates, each insertion or gap has been counted as a single substitution. Hypermutable CpG sites were excluded. All sequence divergence or similarity

values mentioned in this article are corrected for superimposed substitutions using the algorithm of Jukes and Cantor (31).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Identification of a superfamily of LTR-transposons

Initial computer searches were performed to determine the extent of the ORR-1 sequence in the origin of replication region near the Chinese hamster dihydrofolate reductase gene (28). Similarities were detected with MT repeats, and comparison with these elements allowed determination of the exact ends of ORR-1, deleting 30 bp of the ⁵' end and adding 200 bp to the ³' end of the published consensus (28). Surprisingly, searches with the new full-length ORR- ¹ consensus showed similarities to several primate sequences, most of which turned out to be THE1-LTRs or MstHl repeats. Similarities were subsequently discovered between MstlI and both the MER15 and MER18 sequences (18). Through comparison with the MstII consensus, I found that MER15 and MER18 actually represent part of the 5' and 3' arm, respectively, of one element. Consensus sequences of all the elements mentioned could be extended to include 5'-TG and 3'-CA terminal dinucleotides typical for retrotransposon LTRs.

The databanks were also screened with a consensus of the internal sequence of THE1 (adjusted from ref. 32) excluding any LTR sequence. Sequences similar to it were found to be flanked by Mstll and MER15/18 elements, and, more surprising, by ORR-1 elements in the Syrian hamster μ class glutathione Stransferase gene (HAMMGLUTRA, 33) (Figure 2). For all locations of MaLRs, indicated by their GenBank® locus name in parentheses, refer to Table 1. This is strong evidence for a relationship between the rodent and primate repeat families such as was predicted by the LTR-sequence similarities. Searches with the ⁵⁰⁰ bp available of the HAMMGLUTRA internal sequence revealed six more internal sequences flanked by an ORR-1 and one by an MT (RATCYPOXG, 34). An element with two ORR1-LTRs present in the rat cytochrome P450 4A1 gene intron 4 (RATCYP4A1, 35) has a total length of 1912 bp (excluding an integrated B1 repeat) comparable to that of THE1 (2.3 kb).

A third line of evidence for their kinship is that solitary LTRs and complete members of each family are almost always flanked by a 5 bp direct (often imperfect) insertion repeat (see Table 1, column c), as has been observed for THEl (15). Moreover, the published target site sequence specificity of THEI (GYNAC) (15) is also obvious for all the other elements (unpublished data).

A picture has emerged of ^a large superfamily of THE1-like transposons that unites at least six very abundant mammalian repetitive elements: ORR-1 and MT elements in rodents, and THE1, MstHI, and MER15 and MER18 in primates. For clarity

Figure 1. Comparison of the structure of a typical retrotransposon and MaLR. Noteworthy differences are the short internal sequence and the integration specificity of MaLRs, and the absence of homology to a conventional transcription initiation site, reverse transcriptase, or primer binding site (PBS). See text for details.

of reference, these names will still be used in this article to specify (members of) each family, except that the family of repeats comprising MER15 and MER18 is named MLTl (Mammalian LTR-Transposon 1). In the future, it may be better to rename the other families MLT2, MLT3, and so on. Alignment of over 300 LTR sequences allowed subdivision of each family, based on the presence or absence of gaps or inserts and multiple diagnostic point mutations (alignment data to obtain subgroup consensus sequences are not shown). 17 of the derived subfamily consensus sequences are presented and compared in Figure 3. The subfamilies are indicated by a small case letter after the family name (e.g. THEla), with subfamily 'a' being the most recently amplified (see below). Consensus sequences of three ancient MLT1 subfamilies (MLT1e-g), most similar to MLT1d, were too indefinite to be integrated in the Figure 3 alignments.

A total of 311 THE1-related sequences were discovered in the GenBank DNA sequence database (release 71) and are listed in Table 1. Only 30 of these show similarities to an internal sequence, out of which 4 had been isolated by screening with an internal sequence-containing probe. Hence, most MaLRs seem to remain in the genome as solitary LTRs, probably as a result of internal recombination. The LTRs range in length from 327 (ORRla) to 568 bp (MLTle). Their terminal 100 nucleotides are relatively well-conserved between families, while the central region is highly divergent in sequence and length. No obvious and conserved potential transcription start site could be located, although ^a possible TATA-box is indicated in the THEI and MLT1 sequences in Figure 3. A transcription start site is tentatively positioned 23 bp downstream, supported by sequence information of a processed pseudogene (HUMIGLAB, 36) that apparently had been transcribed from this position (unpublished data). Deka et al. (15) suggested a transcription start 40 bp more downstream based on the truncation of a THE1 element at this

a	
ORR-1	Intron 7 of the Syrian hamster ORR-1 µ class glutathione S-transferase gene AAACC
3' LTR	internal sequence 5' LTR
h	THE 1
HAMMATITER 3657	CAGAGTTTGGAGTCTGCCCAGCTGGCTTTCAGGTTTG-----ATCCCGTATTTCCTG-ACTTATGTCCCTT
1267 TIE1-int.	1111 111111 11111 1111 1 \blacksquare 1 H 111 $\mathbf{1}$ п -11 $\mathbf{1}$ TAAGATTTGA---CTGCCCCGCTGGATTTCGGACTTGCATGGRCCCTGTARCCCCTTTGTTTTTGGCCAAT
HAMMAZIZTERA 3593	CCCGGTGTTTTGGAATGGTAATATATATCCTGTGGTTT -ACTTTCT-G ,,,,,,,,,, 1111111 п
$7021 - 102$. 1336	ш TTCTCCCATTTGGAATGGCTGTATTTACCCAATACCTGTACCCKCATTGTATCTAGGAAGTAACTAGCTTG
ENGELUTRA 3547	ATTTTGATTTTTACAGGTGATTACAGTTAAGAGATTGTATGAATCTCAGAAGAGACTTTGAAATTTAAACC
THE 1-1-1. 1408	 \mathbf{H} ,,, ,, , \mathbf{I} $^{\prime}$ CTTTTGA-TTTTACAGGCTCATAGGCGGAAGGGACTTGCCTTGTCTCAGATGAGACTTTGGACTGTGGACT
HAMMAZIJTEVA 3476	TTTAAGT-AAGTTTGAGACTGTGAT-AGACTATGGAG-ACT-TTGAAGTTGGACTGAATGCATTTGTGCAT
$2221 - 144$. 1477	,,, 11 II 111 1 11 . ,,, 111.11 ,,, $^{\prime\prime\prime}$ '' . TTTGGGTTAATGCTGAAA-TGAGTTAAGACTTTGGGGRACTGTTGG-GAAGGCATRATTG-GTTT-TGAAA
00011-120 1	TGTGGTGGTTTGAA --- TRAAAATGGCC
HAMMERIZERA 3407	,,,,,,,,,,,,, ,,,,,,,,,, TATGTATGACTACTAGCCTTTGGA --- GGTCCAGGGAGTGAAA-GTGGTGGTTTGAAAAAAAAAAATGGCC
TELL-1nt. 1545	. . ,,,,,, 11111 ., '' ,,,,,, TGTG-AGGAC-ATGAGA-TTT GGARGGGGGCCAGGG--TRGAA and

Figure 2. Evidence for a relationship between the rodent ORRI and primate THEl repeat families. A region in intron 7 of the Syrian hamster μ class glutathione S-transferase gene (HAMMGLUTRA, 33) shows homology to the consensus THEI-internal sequence bordered by two ORR-ls. a) Schematic comparison of the intron ⁷ sequence with the primate THEI. An internal deletion apparently has taken place in the hamster element. The remainder is flanked by identical ⁵ bp repeats, as is observed for all THEI elements. b) Sequence alignment of the intron 7 sequence, in inverse orientation, with the THEla internal and the ORRlb-LTR consensus sequences. Note that the ORRIb similarity is at exactly the same position as the THE1-3' LTR would be.

point. Notably conserved between all families is the ³' terminal region that contains the polyadenylation signal [AA(T)TAAAJ and site. This site is usually at a C/TA dinucleotide followed by GT clusters (37), which are both present in each MaLR consensus sequence.

The orientation of the sequences is opposite to the previously published, partial consensus sequences of Mstll (21, 22), MER15 and MER18 (18), ORR-1 (28) and MT (26, 27), but conforms to that of the published THEl sequence (13). It is supported by the presence of ^a ¹³⁵³ bp ORF in this orientation in the ¹⁵⁷⁶ bp consensus internal sequence of THEI elements (unpublished results). Preliminary analysis has not yet revealed significant similarities of the putative product of this ORF to any protein present in the databanks. The present orientation is also supported by 12 cases of transcriptional ³' processing at the proposed site in LTRs of each family (Table ¹ and ref. 14). A survey of the orientation of MaLRs within transcription units reveals ^a very marked (7:1) bias against fixation of positively oriented elements in introns, while no bias in orientation is observed in flanking regions of genes (Table 2). This can be explained by the potential for ³' processing by the LTRs of integrating MaLRs. Integration in the positive orientation inside a gene must have usually led to a premature transcription termination. Selection against alleles with such a mutation is obviously strong.

Reverse transcription of the minus strand of most (LTR -)retrotransposons is primed from a tRNA annealed to a primer-binding site, a short region of complementarity immediately downstream of the ⁵'-LTR-internal domain junction. There is no complementarity to any tRNA in the consensus internal sequence of the THEI/MstII family, but conventional primer-binding sites are, for example, also absent in the yeast retrotransposon Tfl (38) and the hepatitis B virus genome (39). The retrotransposon plus-strand is primed at ^a short polypurine tract just upstream of the internal-domain-3'LTR junction. Consistent with this, 17 of the 20 ³' terminal nucleotides in the consensus primate as well as rodent internal sequences are purines (italicized in Figure 2).

The structure of the LTRs, the presence of the functional polyadenylation site, the long ORF, the purine-rich stretch, and the 5 bp target site duplication suggest a classification of these elements among (LTR-)retrotransposons and proretroviruses (Figure 1). However, the term retrotransposon has been reserved for elements with a reverse transcriptase-encoding region, which is seemingly absent in these elements. The name Mammalian apparent LTR-Retrotransposon or otherwise Mammalian LTR-Retrosequence (both MaLR) is therefore proposed for this superfamily. Its evidently successful strategy of distribution, apparently without a self-encoded reverse transcriptase, forms an intriguing unknown.

Evolutionary relationship of the MaLR families

The consensus sequences of MstII and THE1-LTRs show a gradual transformation from THEla to MLT1a (see Figure 3) that coincides with a gradual increase in average sequence divergence of the copies from their subfamily consensus sequence. A similar correlation can be observed for the MLT1, ORR-1 and MT families. The older (more diverged) subgroups' consensus sequences actually form intermediates between the 'younger' subgroups of different families; for the rodent families MT and ORR-1 highest similarity is seen between MTd and ORRId, and among the rodent-specific subfamilies the oldest (ORRld) shows the highest similarity to the MLT1 and

1866 Nucleic Acids Research, 1993, Vol. 21, No. 8

Table 1. Location of all MaLR sequences detected in GenBank release 71, ordered by their locus name

THE/Mstll families (highest to MLTla). These observations and the distribution of the (sub)families over mammalian species suggested an evolutionary relationship of MaLRs as depicted in Figure 4.

The significant difference between subfamilies in average sequence divergence of copies to their consensus (Figure 4) is consistent with a punctuated nature of subfamily formation. Similar observations have been made for Alu and LI (reviewed in 40). The consensus sequence of each subgroup may represent the approximate sequence of one or a few transpositionally competent 'source elements' or 'master genes' at the various periods during evolution when they gave rise to a much larger number of defective elements than in intermittent periods. There is no indication of a contemporary distribution of elements in human, mouse, or rat, although the existence of small groups of recently distributed MaLRs, with too few representatives in the databanks to be recognized, cannot be ruled out. It is interesting to note that the length of the LTRs generally seems to have declined in evolution; the youngest member of each family always has the shortest consensus sequence (see Figure 3).

ORR-1 and MT MaLRs form two families confined to rodents. They are more similar to each other than to the other families and may share a common ancestor in an early rodent. Their occurrence in presumably human sequences can actually be an omen for a cloning artifact. Indeed, the ³' end of ^a human CCG1 cDNA (HUMCCG1), which contains an ORRI-LTR, was found to be of hamster origin (41). This may also be the case for the sequence including the MT in intron ¹ of the human Sadenosylmethionine decarboxylase gene (HUMAMDO1, 42), further evidenced by a drop from 12% to 1% in CpG content of the DNA before and after the MT homology. MTa, the most recently amplified MaLR subfamily, has, so far, only been found in mouse sequence entries. This is consistent with its average sequence divergence from the consensus of 6.5 %, which is less than half the synonymous divergence between rat and mouse $(18-23%)$ (43,44), indicating that it amplified after the mouserat split.

MstII and THEl-MaLRs form a primate branch of the superfamily. The only sequences hybridizing to a human THEla clone in genomic DNA of the prosimian galago, GAL6 and G-AL7 (45), are members of the MSTb subgroup. Comparison of this subgroup's sequence divergence in the human genome (21%) to the estimated divergence of noncoding human DNA since the diversion from prosimians $50-60$ million years (Myr) ago $(13-19%)$ (46, 47) supports an amplification prior to this event. Accordingly, the MSTa and THEl subfamilies have substitution levels supporting a later distribution in simians only.

In contrast, members of the MLT1 family, predominantly found in primate databank entries, are also present in rodent, rabbit, and artiodactyl (cow and sheep) genomes (see Table 1). This family is presumably the oldest group in the MaLR superfamily. The divergence percentage of most MLT1 subfamilies agree with a distribution before primate evolution.

Indeed, Kaplan *et al.* (18) found that their MER18 probe $(=$ $MLT1b$, but not their MER10 probe $(=$ MSTb, HUMHLASBA) hybridized to bovine chromosomal DNA. However, hybridization to mouse or hamster DNA was not observed. The apparently much higher neutral nucleotide substitution rate in rodents than in higher primates and other mammals (48) may obscure detection of $80-100$ Myr old MLT1 elements in rodent genomes both by hybridization or databank searches. This could be an explanation for the relatively low number of MLT1-MaLRs found in the rodent databases and the failure of the MER18 probe to hybridize with rodent DNA, although it is also possible that the major amplification of MLTI elements occurred after the rodent-primate split.

An MLT1a element is present in the gamma globin region of all studied simians and prosimians (HUMHBB, CEBGLOBIN, GIBHBGGL, MACGLINE, MNKGLINE, GCRGEBEB, TARBGPS) (47) implying that this transposon has integrated over ⁵⁵ Myr ago in the DNA of ^a common ancestor of at least all primates. In fact, an orthologous MLT1c-MaLR seems present in the immunoglobulin heavy chain C_u-C_δ intergenic region of both human and mouse (HUMIGMUD, HUMIGCMUDE, MUSIGMUD3) $(49-51)$ (Figure 5a). It is present in the human genome with a full-length internal sequence and two LTRs (the 366 bp repeats in ref. 50). Akahori et al. (52) noted that one of two 63 bp repeats (the 'sigma-gamma core sequences'), which are part of the R-U5 region of the MaLR's LTRs, is conserved in the mouse $C_u - C_\delta$ intron, leading them to suggest a function for this sequence in immunoglobulin expression or construction. Actually, a 150 bp region in mouse that is inverse duplicated (comprising the 'unique sequence inverted repeats' in ref. 51) is 69% similar to both the MLTlc consensus and the ⁵' LTR of the human MLT1c (Figure Sb). Several lines of evidence (see legend to Figure 5) suggest that this MaLR has integrated before the diversion of rodents and primates.

The above observations imply that the MaLR class of transposons has originated before the radiation of eutherian mammals $80-100$ Myr ago. A much more recent origin had previously been suggested based on ϕ -tests indicating that THE1 like repeats are present as single or oligo loci copies in prosimians and in high copy number in higher primates (53) and on sequence data from the prosimian galago, suggesting that the internal sequence had become flanked by LTRs during simian evolution (45).

It has been suggested that the study of the taxonomic distribution of Alu elements (from 55 Myr ago on) can be used to solve the branching order in the higher primate evolution (3, 54) and the distribution of a rodent LI-subfamily (Lx) has been used to delineate the murine subfamily relationships (55). Since many (abundant) MaLR subfamilies seem to have amplified during the radiation of the eutherian (sub)orders, the detection of the presence of orthologous elements or the general distribution patterns of these elements may be used to untangle this higher order branching pattern. For example, the ORRIb-MaLR in the

Position numbers refer to those in the database entry. | denotes an abrupt end to the homology with the consensus. If this is caused by recombination with or integration of a known element, this is indicated (ψ = pseudogene, Mys = Mys endogenous proretroviral LTR, ID = rat identifier element, IAP = rodent intracisternal A particie DNA, AS = artiodactyl SINE, C = rabbit C-repeat). < and > indicate possible extension of the element. (int.) = internal sequence a) Orientation of the element in the sequence entry. b) Type of LTR as presented in Figure 3. Elements with internal sequences are underlined. c) Target site duplication sequence in the orientation of the element. The same symbols for degenerate bases are used as in figure 3. α Description of site. UTR = untranslated terminal region of mRNA. FR = flanking region. [†] No databank entries exist for GAL6 and GAL7 (45). * The left and right arm of the THE1b-LTR in HUMDYSIN7 (60) are separated and face opposite directions.

Figure 3. Alignment of MaLR-LTR consensus sequences. Each sequence shown is a consensus sequence and defines a subfamily. It is derived by alignment of at least 6 members found in the databases. Grouped consensus sequences represent families. Families could only be aligned in the regions denoted with a gray bar between the grouped consensus sequences. Conserved sites are shown at the top line, with capitals indicating (virtually) invariable sites. The MSTc consensus is partial, since homology extended only between two members beyond the sequence presented. The consensus sequences of three more, highly diverged MLT1 subfamilies are still too indefinite to be integrated in this figure. The underlined region in the U3 part of the ORR1a and ORR1b consensus sequences is often found to be tandemly duplicated. The conserved (and functional) polyadenylation signal and site (the R/U5 boundary) are indicated. Similarly, a tentative TATA-box and transcription initiation site (the U3/R boundary) are marked. The length of the consensus sequences is given at the end of each sequence. $R = A/G$, $Y = T/C$, $W = A/T$, $M = A/C$, $K = G/T$, $S = G/C$, $N = A/G/C/T$. Underlined numbers in the consensus sequences represent inserts of that length.

Syrian hamster μ -class glutathione S-transferase gene (HAMMGLUTRA) that is absent in the same gene in rat. This is due to a MaLR insertion in the hamster lineage rather than to a deletion in the murid lineage, since the apparent deletion in rat DNA (33) comprises exactly the above MaLR sequence plus one of the 5 bp insertion repeats. Since members of the ORR1b subfamily are present in both murids and hamsters, they must have been distributed around the time of the hamster-murid split. Their average sequence divergence is consistent with this. Most rodents more closely related to hamsters than murids could therefore be expected to be 'labeled' with this MaLR insert.

Estimate of the number of MaLRs in the genome

Over time, most MaLRs have diverged considerably from their consensus sequence. This, and the existence of multiple subfamilies, complicates estimates of their frequency in the genome by hybridization experiments. For instance, Kaplan et al. (18) estimated the number of MER15 and MER18 elements in humans to be 700 to $1,500$ and 5000 to 10,000, respectively, although they represent the 5' and 3' arm of the same MaLR-LTR subgroup (MLT1b). The 3' arm is better conserved between MLT1 subgroups, possibly accounting for this discrepancy. Related difficulties are also evident in the original estimates of

Figure 4. Schematic representation of the putative relationship of the MaLR families and subfamilies, in part based on their distribution among mammalian species and the sequence alignments in Figure 3. The tip of each branch corresponds to the approximate period of amplification for each subfamily as calculated from the average (corrected) sequence divergence of the copies from their consensus sequence. These divergence values, presented with standard deviation underneath the subfamily names, are for copies found in human DNA, or, for ORR1 and MT, in murine DNA. The time scale functions only as a general guideline, since the correlation of sequence divergence and age depends on disputed assumptions regarding neutral nucleotide substitution rates (52, 58, 59). Values used are $6.5.10^{-9}$ substitutions/site/Myr for rodents (48), and the over evolution gradually diminishing rates for the human branch as calculated by Bailey et al. (46).

the THE1-LTR reiteration frequency (16). Based on S1 nuclease protection of their THE1a-LTR (o-repeat) clone by genomic DNA fragments, a frequency of 2,000 and 37,000 elements per human haploid genome was estimated when using stringent or less

Table 2. Orientation of MaLR sequences in comparison with the transcriptional unit in or near which they are located

orientation	flanking	introns	3' UTR and 3' flanking	intergenic	total
similar inverse	- - - 10 10	◡	10 20		◡ 101

Orthologous elements and elements multiplied through gene duplications have been counted only once. The bias within introns against fixation of elements in the same orientation as the gene is probably due to the presence of the potent polyadenylation site in MaLR-LTRs.

Table 3. The number of copies of each family found in GenBank release 71, and estimates of the reiteration frequencies of each family in the human and mouse genomes.

	human databases	genome	mouse databases	genome	rat databases	cow/sheep databases	rabbit databases	
THE ₁ MstII MLT1 ORR ₁ MT	31(26) 40 (36) 101(101) $1*$ 1*	$9 - 16,000$ $12 - 21,000$ $34 - 60,000$	4 (4) 25(25) 39(33)	$22 - 6,000$ ⁺ $10 - 38,000$ $13 - 50,000$	4(4) 18 (18) 16(16)	4 (4)	2(2)	
total	172 (163)	$55 - 97,000$	68 (62)	$25 - 94,000$	39 (39)			

The numbers between parentheses indicate the number of elements sequenced by chance, i.e. not by searching with ^a MaLR-probe. These numbers have been used to estimate the relative frequency of each family in the genomes. For the estimations of the absolute numbers ^I have used a conservative estimate of 500,000 Alus in the human and 80,000 B1 and B2 elements in the mouse genome (1). * Probably of artificial origin. [†] Possibly an underestimate since most copies may have diverged too much to be detected.

stringent digestion conditions. The lower number may reflect the frequency of the small THEla subgroup, of which only three copies not isolated with an o-repeat clone are found in the databanks. The higher number, which has generally been adopted as the number of THEls in the human genome, may include most or all of the closely related MstII elements. Frequency of the latter group has been estimated to be only $4-8,000$ (18, 21) using probes that lack the (best conserved) terminal bases.

The only frequency information available for the rodent elements comes from the observation that hybridization of nicktranslated total mouse genomic DNA was as strong to ^a ²⁰⁰ bp MT-fragment as to clones carrying the $130-150$ bp B1 and B2 SINEs (26). B1 and B2 each have an estimated frequency of 80,000 elements in the mouse genome (1). Correcting for the difference in length, this result predicted about 55,000 MT elements in the mouse genome.

Table 3 lists the recurrence of each family in sequences present in GenBank 71 and an estimate of their frequency in the genomes. The estimates are based on the recurrence of the families relative to each other and to the roughly 1,500 Alu (Jerzy Jurka, pers. commun.), 270 BI and 160 B2 elements present in the human and mouse entries in this release. The numbers obtained in this way may form an underestimation, since MaLRs are - probably unlike SINEs-underrepresented in introns (Table 2), which form a major part of the available non-coding sequence information. The higher limit of reiteration frequencies in human shown in Table 3 is based on the assumption that 37,000 is the total number of THEI- and MstII-LTRs in the human genome and that maximally 10% of the elements are complete retrotransposons with two LTRs. An even higher estimate $(>200,000)$ would follow from the presence of 25 MaLR-LTR sequences that can be detected in 271 randomly obtained chromosome 4 sequence tags with an average length of 440 bp (data not shown, 56). The random nature with which these sequences were acquired could make this last method of estimation actually the most accurate (especially when more sequence tags become available), unless

b

Figure 5. A putatively orthologous MaLR sequence in mouse and human. a) Comparison of the mouse and human immunoglobulin heavy chain C_u , C_δ intergenic region aligned relative to the putatively orthologous MLT1. In the mouse this MaLR has largely been deleted and the remainder has been inverse duplicated. MaLR-LTRs are indicated with open arrows, C_{μ} and C_{δ} exons are indicated with boxes, μ_m = membrane carboxyl-terminal exons for C_{μ}. b) Alignment of the human (HUMIGMUD, 49) and mouse (MUSIGMUD3, 51) orthologous sequences and the MLTlc-LTR consensus sequence. Bars indicate identical nucleotides between the MLTlc-consensus or the human element and either one of the mouse copies. Flanking the LTR, the ⁵' end of the consensus MST internal sequence (a consensus MLTl internal sequence can not yet be derived) is shown in lowercase. Evidence for a common origin of the human and mouse elements is threefold. (i) They have the same location. (ii) The mouse element is more similar to the human ⁵' LTR than to any other (MLTlc-) sequence in the databases or even the MLTlc-consensus. Several bases shared between human and mouse are different from the consensus sequence, possibly reflecting mutations that took place after integration but before the rodent-primate split. (iii) Sequence similarity extends into the internal sequence, while 90-95% of the MaLRs occur as solitary LTRs with the internal sequence cleanly deleted.

chromosome 4 has an unrepresentative number of MaLRs. The higher limit of the rodent elements' reiteration frequencies is based on the aforementioned hybridization experiments.

Heinlein et al. (26) published hybridization results indicating that MTs are much more commonly cotranscribed in mouse brain than B1, B2 or LINEs. However, MaLRs seem not significantly overrepresented in human brain transcripts compared to other repetitive elements; among the 2723 published 'expressed sequence tags' (57) from human brain cDNA libraries are 313 with Alu, 58 with Ll, and 48 with MaLR sequences (HUMXTO... in Table 1). These numbers are comparable to the estimated relative numbers of these repeats in the genome. Data on the MaLR sequences present in these expressed sequence tags will be added to the EST database (57).

It should be noted that the newly discovered MLT1-family has almost twice as many representatives in the human genome as the combined THE1/MstlI-family. It could very well be that more distantly related MaLR families exist in both the human and mouse genome, and that the total number of MaLRs is significantly higher than is calculated here. The present estimate of 40,000 to 100,000 MaLRs implies that they occur on average each 30 to 100 kb and comprise 0.5% to 2% of both the human and mouse genome. Furthermore, the presence of transcription termination sites and probably other transcriptional regulatory elements in the MaLR-LTRs would suggest that the distribution of MaLRs has had a considerable influence on the evolution of the mammalian genome.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

^I thank Arthur D.Riggs, Gerald P.Holmquist and Jerzy Jurka for helpful comments in preparation of this manuscript. This work was supported by an NIH grant (AGO8196) and ^a DOE grant (DE-FG03-91ER61137) to A.D.Riggs. The consensus sequences will be deposited at and available through the National Center for Biotechnology Information.

REFERENCES

- 1. Deininger,P.L. (1989) In Berg,D.E. and Howe,M.M. (eds), Mobile DNA. American Society for Microbiology,Washington,D.C., pp. 619-636.
- 2. Hwu,H.R., Roberts,J.W., Davidson,E.H. and Britten,R.J. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 3875-3879.
- Okada, N. (1991) Curr. Opin. Genet. Devel. 1, 498-504.
- 4. Hutchison,C.A.H., Hardies,S.C., Loeb,D.D., Shehee,W.R., and Edgell, M.H. (1989) In Berg,D.E. and Howe,M.M. (eds.), Mobile DNA. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C., pp. 593–617.
- 5. Martin,S.L. (1991) Curr. Opin. Genet. Devel. 1, 505-508.
- 6. Leib-Mosch,C., Brack-Werner,R., Werner,T., Bachmann,M., Faff,O., Erfle, V., and Hehlmann, R. (1990) Canc. Res. 50, 5636s-5642s.
- 7. Keshet,E., Schiff,R., and Itin,A. (1991) Adv. Cancer Res. 56, 215-251.
8. Doolittle,R.F., Feng D.F., Johnson M.S., and McClure M.A. (1989) Overt 8. Doolittle,R.F., Feng,D.F., Johnson,M.S., and McClure,M.A. (1989) Quart. $Rev. Biol. 64, 1-30.$
- Temin, H.M. (1989) Nature 339, 254-255.
- 10. Xiong,Y., and Eickbush,T.H. (1990) EMBO J. 9, 3353-3362.
- 11. McClure,M.A. (1991) Mol. Biol. Evol. 8, 835-856.
-
- 12. Brown,P.O. (1990) Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 157, 19-48.
13. Paulson,K.E., Deka.N., Schmid.C.W., Misra R., Schindler Paulson,K.E., Deka,N., Schmid,C.W., Misra,R., Schindler,C.W., Rush,M.G., Kadyk,L., and Leinwand,L. (1985) Nature 316, 359-361.
- 14. Paulson,K.E., Matera,A.G., Deka,N., and Schmid,C.W. (1987) Nucleic Acids Res. 15, 5199-5215.
- 15. Deka,N., Willard,C.R., Wong,E. and Schmid,C.W. (1988) Nucleic Acids Res. 16, 1143-1151.
- 16. Sun,L., Paulson,K.E., Schmid,C.W., Kadijk,L., and Leinwand,L. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 2669-2690.
- 17. Jurka,J. (1990) Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 137- 141.
- 18. Kaplan,D.J., Jurka,J., Solus,J.F., and Duncan,C.H. (1991) Nucleic Acids Res. 19, 4731-4738.
- 19. Jaiswal,A.K., Gonzalez,F.J., and Nebert,D.W. (1985) Nucleic Acids Res. 13, 4503-4520.
- 20. Lawrance, S.K., Das, H.K., Pan, J., and Weissman, S.M. (1985) Nucleic Acids Res. 13, 7515-7528.
- 21. Mermer,B., Colb,M., and Krontiris,T.G. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 3320-3324.
- 22. Fields, C.A., Grady, D.L., and Moyzis, R.K. (1992) Genomics 13, $431-436$.
23. Law M.J., Xu Y.X. Berger R. and Tuñg L. (1987) Som Cell Molec Genet
- Law, M.L., Xu, Y.X., Berger, R. and Tuñg, L. (1987) Som. Cell Molec. Genet. 13, 381-389.
- 24. Law,M.L., Gao, J., and Puck,T.T. (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86, $8472 - 8476$.
- 25. Fisher, E.M., Alitalo, T., Luoh, S.-W. and De la Chapelle, A. (1990) Genomics $7.625 - 628.$
- 26. Heinlein,U.A.O., Lange-Sablitzky,R., Schaal,H., and Wille,W. (1986) Nucleic Acids Res. 14, 6403-6416.
- 27. Bastien, L., and Bourgaux, P. (1987) Gene 57, 81-88.
28. Caddle, M.S., Lussier, R.H., and Heintz, N.H. (1990).
- Caddle, M.S., Lussier, R.H., and Heintz, N.H. (1990) J. Mol. Biol. 211, $19 - 33$.
- 29. Wilbur,W.J., and Lipman,D.J. (1983) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80, $726 - 730$.
- 30. Sobel,E., and Martinez,H.M. (1985) Nucleic Acids Res. 14, 363-374.
- 31. Jukes,T.H., and Cantor,C.R. (1969) In: Munro H.N. (ed.) Mammalian protein metabolism. Academic Press, New York, pp. 21-123
- 32. Willard, C. (1987) Ph.D. dissertation, University of California, Davis.
- 33. Fan, W., Trifiletti, R., Cooper, T., and Norris, J.S. (1992) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89, 6104-6108.
- 34. Gonzalez, F.J., and Kasper, C.B. (1983) *J. Biol. Chem.* **258**, 1363 1368. **358.** Kimura, S., Hanioka, N., Matsunaga, E. and Gonzalez, F.J. (1989) *DNA* 8.
- 35. Kimura,S., Hanioka,N., Matsunaga,E. and Gonzalez,F.J. (1989) DNA 8, $503 - 516$.
- 36. Hollis,G.F., Hietr,P.A., McBride,O.W., Swan,D., and Leder,P. (1982) Nature 296, 321-325.
- 37. Birnstiel,M.L., Busslinger,M., and Stub,K. (1985) Cell 41, 349-359.
- 38. Levin, H.L., and Boeke, J.D. (1992) *EMBO J.* 11, 1145–1153.
39. Wang. G.H., and Seeger. C. (1992) *Cell* 71, 663–670
- 39. Wang, G.H., and Seeger, C. (1992) Cell 71, 663-670.
40. Deininger, P.L., Batzer, M.A., Hutchinson C.A., and E.
- Deininger,P.L., Batzer,M.A., Hutchinson,C.A., and Edgell,M.H. (1992) Trends Genet. 8, 307-311.
- 41. Sekiguchi,T., Nohiro,Y., Nakamura,Y., and Nishimoto, T. (1991) Mol. Cell. Biol. 11, 3317-3325.
- 42. Maric,S.C., Crozat,A., and Janne,O.A. (1992). J. Biol.Chem. 267, 18915- 18923.
- 43. Bulmer,M., Wolfe,K.H., and Sharp,P.M. (1991) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88, 5974-5978.
- 44. O'hUigin,C., and Li,W.H. (1992) J.Mol.Evol. 35, 377-384.
- 45. Schmid,C.W., Wong,E.F.K., and Deka,N. (1990) J. Mol. Evol. 31, 92- 100.
- 46. Bailey,W.J., Fitch,D.H.A., Tagle,D.A., Slightom,J.L., and Goodman,M. (1991). Mol. Biol. Evol. 8, 155-184.
- 47. Tagle,D.A., Stanhope,M.J., Siemieniak,D.R., Benson,P., Slightom,J.L., and Goodman, M. (1992) Genomics 13, 741-760.
- 48. Li,W.H., Tanimura,M., and Sharp,P.M. (1987) J.Mol.Evol. 25, 330-342.
- 49. Milstein,C.P., Deverson,E.V., and Rabbits,T.H. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 6523-6535.
- 50. Word,C.J., Blattner,F.R., and Kuziel, W.A. (1989) Int. Immunol 1,296 309.
51. Richards, J.E., Gilliam, A.C., Shen, A., Tucker, P.W., and Blattner, F.R. 51. Richards,J.E., Gilliam,A.C., Shen,A., Tucker,P.W., and Blattner,F.R. (1983) Nature 306, 483-487.
- 52. Akahori,Y., Handa,H., Imai,K., Abe,M., Kameyama,K., Hibiya,M., Yasui,H., Okamura,K., Naito,M., Matsuoka,H., and Kurosawa,Y. (1988) Nucleic Acids Res. 16, 9497-9511.
- 53. Lloyd, J.A., Lamb, A.N., and Potter, S. (1987) Mol. Biol. Evol. 4, 85-98.
- 54. Ryan, S.C., and Dugaiczyk, A. (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 9360-9364.
- 55. Pascale, E., Valle, E., and Furano, A.V. (1990) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87, 9481 -9485.
- 56. Goold,R.D., diSibio,G., Dugaiczyk,A., Smith, K.A., Xu,H., Magrane,G., Lang, D.B., Cox,D.R., Masters,S.B., and Myers,R.M. (unpublished) HUM4STS...
- 57. Adarns,M.D., Dubnick,M., Kerlavage,A.R., Moreno,R., Kelley,J.M., Utterback, T.R., Nagle, J.W., Fields, C., and Venter, J.C. (1992) Nature 355, 632-634.
- 58. Li,W.H., Gouy,M., Sharp,P.M., O'hUigin,C., and Yang,Y.W. (1990) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87, 6703-6707.
- 59. Easteal, S. (1992) *Bioessays* **14**, 415-419
60. Bodrug, S.E., Ray, P.N., Gonzalez I.L., Sch
- 60. Bodrug,S.E., Ray,P.N., Gonzalez,I.L., Schmickel,R.D., Sylvester,J.E., and Worton, R.G. (1987) Science 237, 1620 - 1623.