

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Expert Opin Investig Drugs. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 February 1

Published in final edited form as:

Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 2011 February ; 20(2): 153-208. doi:10.1517/13543784.2011.546344.

Mechanisms of Drug-Resistance in Kinases

Rina Barouch-Bentov

Rina Barouch-Bentov, Ph.D., Life Science Research Associate. Current address: Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Disease and Geographic Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94305, USA. rbarouch@stanford.edu

Karsten Sauer^{*}

Abstract

Introduction—Because of their important roles in disease and excellent "druggability", kinases have become the second-largest drug target family. The great success of the BCR-ABL inhibitor imatinib in treating CML illustrates the high potential of kinase inhibitor (KI) therapeutics, but also unveiled a major limitation: the development of drug-resistance. This is a significant concern as KIs reach large patient populations for an expanding array of indications.

Areas covered—We provide an up-to-date understanding of the mechanisms through which KIs function, and through which cells can become KI-resistant. We review current and future approaches to overcome KI-resistance, focussing on currently approved KIs and KIs in clinical trials. We then discuss approaches to improve KI efficacy and overcome drug-resistance and novel approaches to develop less drug-resistance prone KI-therapeutics.

Expert opinion—Although drug-resistance is a concern for current KI-therapeutics, recent progress in our understanding of the underlying mechanisms and promising technological advances may overcome this limitation and provide powerful new therapeutics.

Keywords

kinase; imatinib; CML; Bcr; ABL; drug resistance

1. Introduction

By transferring the γ -phosphate from the ATP-cofactor onto diverse substrates, kinases regulate almost every aspect of cellular function, including cell growth, metabolism, proliferation, differentiation, migration, effector functions and death. Perturbed expression, subcellular localization or function of many kinases can cause diseases. Often, this results from inherited or acquired mutations in kinase genes. 164 of 518 human kinase genes are located in genome regions implicated in cancer, another ~80 in regions implicated in other diseases. Cancer "driver" mutations may occur in approximately 120 genes. Among various protein kinase structural domains, "kinase domains" (KD), which harbor both ATP-binding site and catalytic center, are most frequently encoded by cancer genes^{1, 2}. A recent study of 915 human disease-associated kinase mutations implicated 50 kinases in 67 germline-inherited diseases, mainly developmental and metabolic disorders and cancers³. A

^{*}*Corresponding author:* Karsten Sauer, Ph.D., Associate Professor, Department of Immunology and Microbial Science, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037. Tel.: (858) 784-7397, Fax: (858), 784-7422, ksauer@scripps.edu.

Declaration of interest

K Sauer has received an NIH grant AI070845 and GM088647 and a Scholar Award 1440-11 from the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society, the Scripp Research Institute.

prominent example for a "kinasopathy" caused by a somatic kinase-gene mutation is the causative role of hyperactive Breakpoint Cluster Region-Abelson kinase (BCR-ABL) fusion proteins in chronic-myelogenous-leukemia (CML, accounts for $\leq 20\%$ of western adult leukemias) and some acute-lymphoblastic-leukemias (AML)⁴. Other cancers that are driven by hyperactive or deregulated kinases include non small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST), renal cell carcinoma (RCC), breast cancer (BC), melanoma and thyroid carcinoma (Table 5)^{1, 5-7}.

In cancer, mutant kinases frequently act as oncogenes that promote tumor cell survival, proliferation or genomic instability, angiogenesis or cell migration during metastasis^{3, 8, 9}. More recent studies unveiled important disease-promoting kinase roles in immune disorders, organ transplant rejection, glaucoma, cardiovascular, metabolic and neurodegenerative diseases^{3, 10-12}. Many kinases act as key nodes in cellular signaling. Thus, pharmacological modulation of kinase function can alter many physiological and pathological processes in a therapeutically desirable manner. Moreover, kinases are very "druggable": They are often specifically expressed in targeted tissues, and have specific, often well characterized ATP, substrate, regulatory subunit or ligand binding sites that can be targeted by small-molecules ^{8, 13}. Consequently, kinases have become the second-largest drug target family, with 13 approved kinase inhibitor (KI) drugs (Table 1), ~100 compounds in clinical trials (Tables 2, 3) and many more in preclinical development^{1, 8, 10-18}.

Oncogenic or cancer supporting roles of many deregulated kinases, including ABL-fusion proteins, EGFR, KIT, PDGFR, FMS, VEGFR, FLT3, SRC-family (SFK) or cyclindependent kinases (CDKs), spurred the initial development of most KIs as cytostatics (Table 1). The success of the ATP-competitive BCR-ABL KI imatinib¹⁹ as a breakthrough CMLtherapeutic demonstrates the benefit of this approach^{4, 12}. Surprisingly low toxicity of many KIs and improved target-selectivity have broadened KI-therapeutic indications to include less life-threatening autoimmune diseases, transplant rejection, allergic rhinitis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), osteoarthritis, cardiovascular diseases, neuropathic pain, age-related macular degeneration, glaucoma, erectile dysfunction and other diseases (Tables 1-3)^{8, 10-12, 14, 15, 20}. Many of these disorders are chronic, necessitating live-long drug administration. For these patients, limited KI efficacy and limited target selectivity causing toxic side effects over time remain challenges.

Another important challenge for KI-therapeutics is the development of drug-resistance. This "Achilles heel" may affect kinases more than most other drug targets. One reason is that because of the key roles of many kinases in cell metabolism, survival and function, cells underlie significant selection pressure to compensate for the loss of function of an important kinase. Moreover, kinases show complex intra- and intermolecular interactions with regulatory subunits or ligands that govern extensive structural changes required for activation. Their many interaction interfaces and conformational dynamic provide multiple interference points for mutational or other mechanisms that reduce KI drug-binding or effect while maintaining sufficient ATP-binding and catalysis for restoring kinase function. In a patient treated with a KI-therapeutic, this can result in the development of drugresistance through various cell extrinsic and -intrinsic mechanisms (Fig. 4) 9, 16, 17, 21-25. In particular tumor cells, which are genetically unstable, can harbor pre-existing primary, or acquire secondary drug-resistance mechanisms upon KI treatment. The clinically most important mechanism is the accumulation of drug-resistant mutant alleles of the targeted kinase. For example, the outgrowth of tumor cell clones harboring drug-resistant BCR-ABL alleles is the main cause of imatinib resistance in CML patients²⁶. 15-25% of imatinibtreated CML patients have primary resistance, failing to show sustained drug responses altogether. 7-15% develop secondary imatinib-resistance, losing initial responses. Altogether, ~33% may eventually need alternative treatment options. This necessitated the

costly and, for some relapsed patients, too late development of "second" and "third generation" BCR-ABL-inhibitors ^{9, 12, 16, 17, 27}. In the past decade, KI drug-resistance has become a common clinical complication affecting multiple cancers, targeted kinases and drugs (Tab. 4,5). Preclinical studies have unveiled drug-resistance mechanisms for many additional kinases²⁸⁻³³. As KIs reach large patient populations for expanding indications, drug-resistance could thus become a major liability that limits the therapeutic use of this otherwise excellent drug class. Here, we critically review this problem and discuss recent advances in overcoming it.

2. Small Molecules Can Inhibit Kinases Through Diverse Mechanisms

2.1 Kinase structural features and conformational plasticity

All canonical kinases share a typical kinase domain (KD) fold (Fig. 1) ^{1, 8, 34}. An N-terminal N-lobe and a C-terminal C-lobe flank an ATP and substrate binding active site at the interlobe cleft. The N-lobe is mainly composed of β -sheets. It anchors and orients the ATP. The two most N-terminal β -strands flank a glycine-rich loop (G-loop, also termed phosphate-binding loop or P-loop) that binds and positions the ATP properly for γ -phosphate transfer to the substrate ³⁴. The predominantly α -helical C-lobe primarily binds the substrate and initiates phosphotransfer. N- and C-lobe are connected by a "hinge" whose backbone forms critical hydrogen-bonds with the ATP-adenosine (Fig. 3). Binding of ATP and substrate closes the interlobe cleft through hinge-mediated N- and C-lobe juxtaposition, facilitating γ -phosphate transfer.

Recent data suggest that kinases exist in a dynamic equilibrium of multiple different conformations (Fig. 1,2). The transition from inactive to catalytically active conformations involves characteristic conformational changes in several conserved structural elements that harbor amino acid (AA) residues directly involved in catalysis, or in stabilizing inactive vs. active conformations. Studies of SFKs (Fig. 1), ABL (Fig. 2) and other kinases have provided mechanistic insight into how these conformational changes control kinase function ^{8, 35-44}. Briefly, the <u>activation loop</u> (commonly termed A-loop) in the C-lobe often occludes the catalytic domain in inactive kinases. A-loop auto- or heterologous phosphorylation induces or stabilizes conformational changes, permitting ATP/substrate-access to the catalytic site, and allowing the acidic D-side-chain in a conserved N-terminal DFG (in some cases DLG) AA consensus motif within the A-loop to contact an ATP-coordinating metal ion ⁸.

In inactive SFKs, the A-loop forms a partial helix that interacts with N-lobe helix αC (short for α helix C⁴⁵). This interaction includes an electrostatic salt-bridge between a conserved basic A-loop K_A and a conserved $D/E_{\alpha C}$ that is enabled by $D/E_{\alpha C}\text{-orientation}$ out of the catalytic center (D/E_{α C}-out conformation). In active SFKs, D/E_{α C} is flipped into the catalytic site (D/E_{α C}-in conformation) and salt-bridges with K_{β} of the N-lobe. The DFG Dside-chain is oriented into the catalytic site in active SFKs (DFG-D-in conformation) and intermediately oriented in inactive SFKs whose non-helical A-loop does not bind aC (Fig. 1). The active A-loop conformation is stabilized by phosphorylation of conserved Y_A . SFKactivation involves complex interactions of their non-catalytic domains (Fig. 1)^{36, 37}. SH2 (Src homology 2) domain-binding to a Csk/Chk-phosphorylated C-terminal Y_{C} , and SH3 domain-binding to the SH2/KD-linker cause α C-flipping into the out-position and stabilize the inactive conformation ⁴⁶. Y_C-dephosphorylation, SH2- and SH3-domain binding to other ligands usually in the substrate, or mutagenesis of interacting domain/linker-residues disassemble these inhibitory intramolecular interactions, causing conformational changes and SFK activation that is further stabilized by A-loop phosphorylation. Recent data suggest that not all inhibitory interactions may need to be dissolved for SFK-activation ^{36, 37}.

Similar interactions control ABL, whose two splice-variants ABL-1a and -1b harbor differing N-termini (Fig. 2)³⁷. The N-terminus of ABL-1b is myristoylated. In contrast to the SFKs, the ABL inactive conformation is stabilized through phospho-Y independent SH2 domain/C-lobe binding. Besides SH3 domain-SH2/KD-linker interactions, the N-terminal cap region may fold over the SH2 domain and allow the ABL-1b N-terminal myristoylmoiety to bind to a specific site in the C-lobe. Mutagenesis data suggest that these interactions are auto-inhibitory (Tab. 4)^{39, 41, 47, 48}. ABL-activation likely involves their disruption, SH2 domain-translocation to an N-lobe interaction, and A-loop phosphorylation (Fig. 2). The ABL KD can adopt two different inactive conformations: A SFK-like inactive $E_{\alpha C}$ -out, DFG-D-in configuration may possibly represent a transitional intermediate between active ABL ($E_{\alpha C}$ -in, DFG-D-in) and an ABL-type inactive structure where $E_{\alpha C}$ is flipped into the catalytic site, but DFG-D is flipped out and the A-loop is in an inactive conformation. Examples for both inactive conformations exist in other kinases (Fig. 2). Energetic constraints may cause differential representation of the various structures in a dynamic equilibrium. They provide distinct physicochemical environments that can be targeted by KIs. Indeed, the clinical success of several KIs relies on their abilities to bind and stabilize distinct kinase conformations ¹⁹.

2.2 Compounds can employ different mechanisms to perturb kinase function

KIs can competitively target protein, small-molecule ligand, substrate or ATP-binding sites. Compound-binding to allosteric sites can inhibit kinases through conformational effects^{1, 8, 13, 49, 50}. Large interfaces mediating very strong interactions make smallmolecule interference with protein-protein interactions difficult despite some recent progress⁴⁹⁻⁵¹. Traditional screens for small-molecule KIs yielded primarily ATPcompetitive compounds that bind to the ATP-binding site. Achieving high target kinase affinities and inhibitory potencies proved relatively easy. Consequently, most approved and clinically explored current compounds are ATP-competitors (Tab. 1-3). However, kinase domains need to bind ATP and orchestrate the stereo-selective ATP y-phosphoryl transfer to nucleophilic residues in the substrate. This places major constraints on shape and physicochemical environment of the ATP-binding site. Consequently, its shape and key molecular AA interactions with ATP-atoms, in particular the ribose and triphosphate moieties, are strongly conserved among many kinases. Paucity of un-conserved physicochemical features makes it difficult to develop highly selective ATP-competitors that only inhibit a targeted kinase. Although a moderate lack of selectivity can sometimes be exploited to "poly-target" several kinases that contribute to a pathology (Tab. $(1,2)^{15, 22, 52, 53}$, it can also cause side effects or toxicity. Fortunately, the resolution of over 755 kinase/inhibitor complex crystal structures⁵⁴, extensive biochemical and genetic analyses, and refined rational, structure and quantitative structure-activity-relationship (QSAR)-based design approaches have recently allowed the development of more selective KIs^{1, 8, 9, 13, 35, 55}

Most current KIs employ one of five KD binding-modes (Fig. 3)^{1, 8, 13, 35, 50}. *Type 1 KIs* (*T1KIs*) including the ABL-inhibitors Dasatinib, PD166326 or MK-0457/VX-680 (Fig. 2,3; Tab. 1,2)^{4, 13, 16, 56, 57} compete with ATP for binding to the ATP-binding site. They employ similar KD interactions as the ATP adenine, including 1-3 hinge hydrogen-bonds. Their selectivity and potency can be increased through additional interactions with two hydrophobic pockets (HP1/2) flanking the adenine-site (Fig. 3C,F)^{13, 35}. T1KIs bind and inhibit active and inactive kinase conformations, including the SFK-like inactive conformation (Fig. 1,2)^{35, 40}.

Type 2 KIs (T2KIs) including imatinib, gefitinib, nilotinib and sorafenib (Tab. 1)^{8, 13} are indirectly ATP-competitive. They harbor a distinct moiety that interacts with HP2 and an adjacent hydrophobic type 2/3 allosteric site that is generated by A-loops in the DFG-D-out

position and specific for ABL/KIT-like inactive kinase conformations (Fig. 3A,D)^{13, 35}. T2KI binding may involve DFG-D-out specific DFG and $Y_{\alpha C}$ interactions. T2KIs have improved potency and selectivity, because HP2 and type 2/3 allosteric site are not involved in ATP-binding and hence less conserved. This and their ability to induce and/or stabilize the ABL-type inactive KD conformation (Fig. 2,3)⁴⁴ make T2KIs powerful therapeutics. T2KIs may extend into the ATP-adenine region and form hinge hydrogen-bonds. This property can be rationally added to improve potency³⁵. The ABL/KIT-like inactive conformation was observed in ABL, insulin-receptor, p38, BRAF, EGFR, HDR, KIT, CSK, FLT3 and AURORA-A, but is energetically unfavorable in certain other kinases including SFKs and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs)³⁵. This allows augmented T2KI selectivity, exemplified by the ~2000-fold higher Imatinib affinity for ABL over SFKs^{35, 38, 56}. Access to HP2 and type 2/3 allosteric site is controlled by a "gatekeeper" residue between adeninesite and HP2 (Fig. 3). In many kinases, a small gatekeeper side-chain allows KI binding. Gatekeeper mutations such as ABL-1a T315I (Tab. 4) that introduce bulky side-chains are a main cause of KI-resistance through several mechanisms, including steric hindrance of drug access to both sites^{13, 58, 59}.

Recently developed *type 3* cSRC KIs (*T3KIs*) exclusively bind the type 2/3 allosteric site ⁶⁰. Like T2KIs, they stabilize the inactive kinase conformation. Their fusion to T1KI-scaffolds can generate T2KIs through "hybrid design"^{35, 61}.

Attempts to overcome type 1/2 KI drug-resistance in ABL and other kinases have recently provided ATP-noncompetitive "*type 4*" *allosteric KIs* (*T4KIs*) . These bind kinase regions outside the ATP-pocket, often in remote locations (Fig. 3C)^{13, 49, 50, 60}. Because these sites are less conserved, T4KIs can have high target-selectivity. Examples are GNF-2/5 inhibitors (Fig. 3)^{13, 55, 62, 63}. GNF-2/5 or, likely, myristate-binding to the ABL myristate binding-site inhibit catalysis by stabilizing the inactive conformation and causing conformational changes at the ATP-site through mechanisms that involve SH2/SH3 domain interactions (Fig. 2,3). Interestingly, SRC also possesses a C-lobe myristate-site but is not inhibited by myristate or GNF-2/5⁶². Additional allosteric inhibitors have been developed for mTOR, AKT, MEK, IKK, CHK and CAMKII⁵⁵. Intriguingly, allosteric kinase activators also exist¹³.

Another KI-type are *covalent inhibitors (cKI)*, including five EGFR-inhibitors in clinical trials. These bind covalently to nucleophilic cysteines in the active site and irreversibly inhibit ATP-binding or activity^{13, 64}. Cysteines near the ATP-pockets of ~200 human kinases provide opportunities to broadly explore the potential benefit of high cKI potency, and the potential liability of covalently modifying unanticipated targets¹³.

3. Mechanisms of Kinase Inhibitor Drug-resistance

Many factors can contribute to pre-existing/primary or acquired/secondary KI-resistance (Fig. 4A,B). Target-cell extrinsic mechanisms include well-established pharmacokinetic (PK) factors that primarily affect drug efficacy^{9, 16, 22, 65}. Another factor is the tumor microenvironment. EGFR inhibitors likely inhibit angiogenesis both by inhibiting tumor cell VEGF production, and by inhibiting EGFR signaling in surrounding endothelial cells⁹. Stromal cell paracrine HGF secretion may promote gefitinib-resistance in EGFR-mutant NSCLC⁶⁶.

Pharmacogenomic factors including gene polymorphisms can cause considerable variation in drug efficacy and toxicity. They can contribute to primary drug-resistance and may necessitate individually optimized dosing regimen^{9, 65}. For example, EGFR polymorphisms affect EGFR expression, gefitinib sensitivity and toxicity, possibly contributing to variations

in EGFR-inhibitor clinical efficacy between Asian versus Caucasian lung cancer patient populations⁹.

3.1 Acquired drug-resistance involves primarily target cell intrinsic mechanisms

Cell-intrinsic drug-resistance mechanisms include target gene amplification, overexpression or epigenetic activation, upregulation/activation of redundant or downstream signaling effectors, or secondary missense mutations in the targeted kinase which reduce drug-affinity or -effect (Fig. 4A,B) ^{9, 16, 21-24, 66, 67}. BCR-ABL overexpression due to gene amplification occurred in some imatinib-resistant CML patients ^{16, 26}. Elevated histone-deacetylase (HDAC) and reduced histone-acetyltransferase activities in imatinib-resistant CML cells and synergistic pro-apoptotic effects of KIs and HDAC-inhibitors suggest that altered epigenetic modifications can contribute to imatinib-resistance. It will be interesting to explore the clinical benefit of this approach¹⁶.

Augmented redundant/downstream signaling can result from upregulation of positive or downregulation of negative effectors. Upregulation of BCR-ABL downstream effectors including SFKs (Lyn), PI3K, JAK/STAT or Ras/Erk-pathways was found in imatinibresistant CML cell subsets ^{16, 22, 56}. Although ABL-independent SFK signaling may contribute to imatinib-resistance, SFK-binding may also stabilize the BCR-ABL active conformation. ABL-phosphorylation by SFKs may reduce imatinib-sensitivity, possibly through allosteric effects¹⁶. Therefore, the ability of dasatinib to overcome imatinibresistance in CML may sometimes include SFK-inhibition in addition to the inhibition of many ABL mutants. In GIST cells, AXL-upregulation and subsequent AKT activation may contribute to imatinib-resistance. PI3K-activation via oncogenic PIK3CA mutation, PTENloss or MET-amplification and ERBB3-signaling can confer EGFR-KI-resistance^{9, 21}. PTEN-downregulation in ~70% of NSCLC may contribute to gefitinib/erlotinibhyposensitivity^{9, 68}. This provides a rationale for evaluating co-inhibition of targeted kinase and upregulated effectors clinically⁹. However, if target-effectors participate in signaling loops, their (co-)inhibition can cause complications: mTORC1-inhibitors promoted AKT activation and potentially tumor growth by downregulating PI3K feedback-inhibition⁹.

Leukemic stem cells (LSCs) may play an important role in KI-resistance in CML, and in the importance of disease-stage for prognosis^{24, 67}. Their quiescence, or environmental survival signals in the stem-cell niche, may render LSC-viability BCR-ABL-independent, causing ABL-inhibitor resistance ²⁴. LSC-subsets harboring drug-resistant mutations can thus provide a reservoir of drug-resistant CML cell precursors despite a complete cytogenetic response to KI-treatment²⁴.

Finally, tumor cell genetic instability might facilitate the emergence of different drugresistance mechanisms in different metastases in a patient, seriously complicating attempts to overcome drug-resistance. One NSCLC patient had one metastasis with METamplifications and another one with an EGFR-T790M mutation⁶⁹. Both mechanisms can cause gefitinib/erlotinib-resistance.

Most relapsing CML patients show acquisition of one or more of >50 different missense mutations in the BCR-ABL KD, or BCR-ABL oncogene-amplification (Table 4, Fig. 4,5)^{13, 21, 25, 26, 56}. Clinical studies have implicated FLT3, KIT, PDGFRA, EGFR or ERBB2 mutations in KI-resistance in various cancers (Table 5). Intriguingly, many of these mutations are located in similar positions in the different kinases, and may engage similar mechanisms (Tables 4,5; Fig. 5). Below, we discuss how studying the most important mutations advanced our mechanistic understanding of KI-resistance and enabled the development of less resistance-prone therapeutics.

3.2 Oncogenic and drug-resistance mutations occur in hotspots

For ABL, oncogenic mutation usually relies on translocations like the Philadelphia Chromosome. These cause expression of fusion proteins like BCR-ABL, which are hyperactive due to loss of the auto-inhibitory ABL1b myristate-moiety, and to dimerization through the fused domain¹. In contrast, oncogenic mutation of EGFR, which contrary to ABL does not require A-loop phosphorylation for activity¹, can result from deletions ^{64, 68}. Moreover, EGFR overexpression (observed in 62% of NSCLC cases) and hyperstimulation by the secreted ligands EGF and TGF α in auto-/paracrine feedback-loops can confer growth advantages to tumors and promote metastasis. Biological EGFR-antagonists act primarily by disrupting these loops⁶⁸. 50-80% of EGFR-mutant NSLCs respond to the small-molecule KIs gefitinib or erlotinib⁶⁸. KI-treated NSCLC patients showed an accumulation of small deletions, insertions or point mutations in the EGFR-KD that often positively associate with tumor-sensitivity to KIs (Table 5)^{64, 68, 70}. Their use as clinical markers for patient selection strongly increased TKI response rates, although ≤20% of mutation-carrying patients are gefitinib-resistant^{64, 70}. The topological locations of several primary EGFR-mutations overlap with those of KI-resistant ABL-mutations. Yet, many do not alter EGFR-KI binding-affinities⁶⁴. Instead, they may hyperactivate EGFR and augment/prolong downstream signaling. However, some data are conflicting, and primary mutations can reduce ATP-affinity^{68, 71}. The precise mechanism through which they increase drug sensitivity is still unclear but may vary with cellular context and genetic background. It likely involves destabilization of inactive, or stabilization of active kinase conformations, oncogene addiction, where tumor growth/survival become dependent on the presence of a specific EGFR-mutant, and stronger KI-inhibition of pro-survival than pro-apoptotic EGFRmutant signaling^{64, 68, 71-73}. The resulting "oncogenic shock" may explain why KIs often have maximal efficacy against cancers that harbor deregulated target-kinase alleles⁹.

Other EGFR-mutations variably reduce drug binding or -efficacy (Table 4). Again, several have analogous drug-resistant ABL-mutations. Further complicating the issue, some EGFR-mutations including E884K confer gefitinib-sensitivity but erlotinib-resistance in NSCLC⁷⁴. Similarly to EGFR, clinical ERBB2, KIT or PDGFR KI-resistance mutations often occur secondary to oncogenic mutations and involve topologically analogous residues to drug-resistance mutations in ABL or other kinases (Table 5).

Comparing imatinib-resistant ABL point-mutations identified in a cell-based mutagenesis screen⁴⁸ with clinically resistance-associated mutations in BCR-ABL (Table 4), FLT3, EGFR, ERBB2, PDGFRA, KIT and FMS (Table 5, Fig. 5) unveils several conserved mutational "hot spots" within the KD. They usually harbor missense mutations, although small deletions and insertions can also occur ^{3, 13, 16, 22, 26, 55, 68}. Most drug-resistance mutations arise in protein regions involved in drug interactions (HP1/2, adenine-region, type 2/3 allosteric site, G-loop), or in the transitions between active and inactive kinase conformations (G-loop, A-loop, αC-helix, Fig. 1-3). To cause drug-resistance, a mutation must impair drug binding or the involved conformational changes more than ATP-binding and catalysis. Consequently, directly ATP-interacting residues of hinge or ATP-phosphate binding region are infrequently involved (Fig. 3). Mutation of directly drug- but not ATPbinding residues loosens drug binding selectively. Examples are ABL1b-L267(248 in ABL1a, the common clinical nomenclature), Y272(253), V308(289), M309(290), T334(315), F336(317), G340(321), F401(382), FLT3-N676 or KIT-V654 (Table 4,5). Additional clinical mutations occur throughout the N-lobe and in several C-lobe locations including the substrate-binding site (Fig. 5, Tables 4,5). Structural and often sequence conservation among analogous drug-resistant mutations in different kinases suggest conserved mechanisms. Hence, the lessons learned from studying drug-resistance in CML and other cancers can likely be applied to other kinasopathies. We therefore next discuss the key principles identified and their relevance for overcoming KI-resistance.

3.2.1 The gatekeeper mutation—Besides drug-binding residues, small side-chains that sterically accommodate drugs can be mutated into bulky side-chains that hinder drug access. A prominent example is the aforementioned ABL1a-T315I mutation of the small T315 "gatekeeper" residue between adenine-site and HP2 (Fig. 3). This "gatekeeper mutation" remains resistant to most currently approved ABL-inhibitors, including imatinib, dasatinib and nilotinib (Tables 1,4). Replacement of the small T315 side-chain by a bulky isoleucine side-chain sterically blocks T1/2KI-access to HP2 and type 2/3 allosteric site without impairing ATP-binding. This was initially considered the main mechanism through which T315I causes imatinib-resistance, besides removal of a T315-imatinib hydrogen-bond. However, recent results showed that gatekeeper-mutation stabilizes a "hydrophobic spine" linking gatekeeper-residue and A-loop Y_A in active kinases (Fig. 1,2) ^{58, 59}. This destabilizes the T2KI-binding inactive, but stabilizes the ATP-binding active conformation, causing re-gained catalysis, drug-resistance and increased transforming potential⁵⁸. Hydrogen-deuterium-exchange mass-spectrometric (HDX-MS) analyses confirmed that gatekeeper-mutation enhanced imatinib-binding site conformational flexibility. They also suggest enhanced SH3 domain RT-loop flexibility, possibly indicating distant allosteric effects that might reduce inhibitory SH3-KD interactions, or Abl-binding to other proteins ⁷⁵. Consistent with a perturbed inactive conformation, T315I-mutation activated myristoylated ABL, thought to be auto-inhibited⁷⁵.

A small gatekeeper residue is conserved in many kinases. Its mutation in KIT, PDGFRA, EGFR and ERBB2 causes KI-resistance (Tables 4,5)^{13, 16, 22, 25, 26, 48, 55, 56, 58, 75-79. Importantly, gatekeeper mutants are the most frequent clinical BCR-ABL, KIT, PDGFRa and EGFR drug-resistance mutants¹³. This demonstrates the clinical importance of the general mechanism to cause drug-resistance through distributed allosteric effects that can affect remote regions within the KD or even in other domains. Overcoming gatekeeper-mutation induced drug-resistance clinically is extremely difficult. This may reflect the "dominant" effect of stabilizing the active kinase conformation and obstructing drug access along with the enhanced transforming potential of the mutation⁵⁸. Moreover, ABL-T315I might promote drug-resistance in neighboring cells through KI-induced paracrine IL-3 release, although the clinical relevance is unclear⁸⁰. Erkhyperactivation in KI-treated ABL-T315I mutant cells might suggest additional contributions of upregulated downstream-signaling (Fig. 4)²⁴.}

Stabilization of the active conformation, the different mode of EGFR-deregulation and the usually secondary occurrence of KI-resistance mutations following primary mutations in the same cell might explain why EGFR-T790M gatekeeper-mutation only mildly affects gefitinib-binding, but restores the often reduced ATP-affinity of primary EGFR-mutants like L858R to wildtype-EGFR levels⁸¹. T790M increases EGFR activity and oncogenicity, occurs in ~50% of KI-resistant NSCLC patients, can occur as a primary resistance-mutation and might contribute to inherited lung cancer susceptibility ^{25, 64, 68, 70, 82}.

3.2.2 G-loop mutations—The G-loop binds ATP and, sometimes, substrate or other parts of the kinase (Fig. 1-3). This flexible clamp anchors and orients the ATP α/β -phosphates to properly position the γ -phosphate for transfer onto the substrate, may stabilize the catalytic transition-state, controls nucleotide affinity/specificity and γ -phosphoryl transferrate ^{34, 54, 83, 84}. All canonical protein kinases harbor the conserved G-loop consensus motif G₋₂x₋₁G₀x₁x₂G₃. G₀ is most conserved³⁴. X_{1/2} comprise the turn. The glycines provide conformational flexibility, allow tight ATP "contouring", precluding water-access and non-productive ATP-hydrolysis, and enable backbone hydrogen-bonds with ATP-phosphates. G₋₂ and G₀ mutation impairs ATP binding and/or catalysis³⁴. Analysis of 532 nonredundant protein kinase/inhibitor-complex crystal-structures unveiled additional conservation of a hydrophobic X₋₃, aromatic X₂ and hydrophobic X₅⁵⁴. X_{-3/5} interact nonpolarly with ATP-

Page 9

adenine and many ATP-competitive inhibitors ^{54, 85}. Many KIs also interact with G₋₂, G₀ and X₋₁. Typically, inhibitors contact 2-4, maximally 8 G-loop residues through mostly hydrophobic interactions ⁵⁴. 11 structures showed KI hydrogen-bonds with X₂⁵⁴. Moreover, 58 kinases including SFKs and ABL share a X₋₄/X₊₄ salt-bridge across their G-loops ³⁴. Its mutational disruption in SFKs impairs ATP-binding and catalysis by augmenting the flexibility of G-loop and other catalytically important KD-regions, including hinge and α C-helix ³⁴. This suggests important G-loop conformational interactions with other KD-regions.

Usually, the G-loop-conformation is extended (Fig. 1, 2H, 3B). However, several structures of inactive and active ABL (Fig. 2G/I; 3A/C), p38 α , FGFR1, CK2 α 1, JNK3, AURORA-A, ROCK1 and CDK5 show a distorted G-loop with altered X_{-3/-2} dihedral angles ⁵⁴. This "kinked" G-loop was suggested to represent inactive kinase conformations, and to increase surface-complementary with drugs. It may be induced or stabilized by T2KIs ^{44, 54, 77, 86}. However, kink-preservation in T1KI-bound ABL in the active conformation (Fig. 2I, 3C)⁸⁷ may argue against a specific kink-role in inactive conformations.

The G-loop is a hotspot for primary oncogenic or drug sensitizing mutations in EGFR, ERBB2 (Tab. 5), and BRAF (R461I, I462S, G463V/E, G465A/E/V, G468A/ E) ^{1, 5, 9, 64, 68, 71, 88-91}. Many disrupt interactions that stabilize the inactive conformation, causing hyperactivity and likely oncogene addiction ^{1, 71, 73}. For example, oncogenic BRAF G-loop or A-loop mutations may disrupt inhibitory G-loop/A-loop interactions, besides variably affecting ATP-binding ⁹⁰.

Some of the clinically most important kinase drug-resistance mutations also occur in the G-loop (Tab. 4,5, Fig. 5)^{34, 54, 56, 83}. In particular, G-loop mutations that destabilize inactive or stabilize active conformations, or remove direct drug interactions cause clinical resistance to T2KIs. In CML-patients, G-loop mutations represent \leq 48% of all imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL mutations. They associate with a particularly poor prognosis ^{16, 22, 76-78}. Different G-loop mutations occur with different frequencies and confer different levels of imatinib-resistance. Consistent with the very similar ABL and BRAF inactive KD structures⁹⁰, the imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL G-loop Y253F and E255K mutations (clinical ABL1a nomenclature) may be oncogenic^{48, 92, 93}.

E255K/V and Y257C disrupt an electrostatic triad involving the K247₋₄, E255₊₄ and Y257₊₆ side-chains. It incorporates the conserved X₋₄/X₊₄ salt-bridge ^{34, 77, 78} and was suggested to be specific for the kinked G-loop of inactive ABL^{77, 78}. E255K/V and Y257C imatinib-resistance suggests that the triad is required for imatinib-binding. Its disruption might reduce imatinib-binding by destabilizing the kinked conformation, decreasing G-loop surface-complementarity with imatinib, and contributing to distributed allosteric effects that destabilize the inactive BCR-ABL conformation ^{44, 56, 76-78, 94-96}. However, preservation of the K₋₄/E₊₄ salt-bridge in active ABL^{87, 97}, inactive and active SFKs (Hck, protein data bank (PDB) accession number 1AD5; Src 2SRC, 2OIQ, 3EL8, 1FMK, 1Y57; Lck 2OF2, 3LCK; Lyn 2ZV8, 2ZVA, 2ZV9), and the strongly reduced activity of salt-bridge disrupted SFKs ³⁴ suggest broader salt-bridge/triad roles beyond inactive conformations. Indeed, E₂₅₅K/V mildly reduced BCR-ABL inhibition by dasatinib, which binds active and inactive conformations ^{57, 98}.

Molecular dynamics simulations suggest that E255K/V might augment the flexibility of Gloop and other N-lobe regions^{34, 99}. E255K/V and M244V/I may moreover affect the relative-binding-free-energy contributions of other G-loop and non G-loop residues, or the electrostatic charge-distribution within the G-loop ⁹⁹. However, small E255-mutation effects on ABL-inhibition by some T2KIs ⁹⁴, small conformational effects in HDX-MS analyses ⁷⁵ and variable E255K/V effects on ABL kinase-activity depending on expressed construct and

assay conditions probably indicate important contributions by the complex intra-molecular domain interactions and covalent modifications regulating ABL in cells to the physiological consequences of E255K/V-mutation (Fig. 3) ^{34, 44, 92, 93, 95}.

Besides disrupting the G-loop triad, Y257C also prevents Y257-phosphorylation. Reduced Y257F activity and oncogenicity suggest that triad and/or Y257-phosphorylation are catalytically important ^{48, 78, 92}. However, G-loop phosphorylation inhibits catalysis in several kinases including ABL, disfavoring ATP- or substrate-binding. Y253F oncogenicity supports a potential inhibitory role for Y253-phosphorylation ^{85, 92, 100}. Clearly, more studies are required to discern the specific Y253 versus Y257 roles in ABL-catalysis versus KI-binding.

Surprisingly few drug-resistant G-loop mutations were clinically observed in other kinases (Tab. 5). The Y253F/E255K analogous positions in PDGFR and KIT already harbor F or K residues, respectively^{22, 78}. Thus, destabilization of the inactive conformation by ABL-Y253F/E255K need not translate to other kinases. Indeed, disruption of the K₋₄/E₊₄ saltbridge in SFKs reduced catalysis and might increase N-lobe conformational dynamics more than in ABL³⁴. Thus, G-loop salt-bridge disruption can reduce catalysis, or cause drug-resistance or even oncogenicity. It will be interesting to determine how it affects catalysis and KI-interactions in other kinases sharing the G-loop salt-bridge ³⁴. Interestingly, ERBB2 lacks the G-loop salt-bridge but harbors lapatinib-resistant T733I at the ABL-E255K/V-analogous position (Tab. 4,5) ⁵. The otherwise drug-sensitizing EGFR-E709A/G associated with lapatinib-resistance in the presence of ERBB2 *in vitro* ^{64, 68, 88}. Altogether, G-loop mutation can have complex and diverse effects on catalysis and drug-interactions. This reflects the G-loop importance in controlling kinase conformational dynamics, ATP and inhibitor interactions.

3.2.3 αC helix mutations—The αC helix of the N-lobe undergoes major conformational changes between inactive and active kinase conformations (Fig. 2,3)^{8, 35, 36, 38-44}. In active SFKs, active or ABL-type inactive ABL, the conserved $E_{\alpha C}$ is oriented into the ATP-site and salt-bridges with K_{α} in β -sheet 3 of the N-lobe. This is required for catalysis ¹. In inactive SFKs and SFK-like inactive ABL, $E_{\alpha C}$ is flipped out and may salt-bridge with Aloop K_A, stabilizing the inactive conformation. Several KIs interact with αC residues. αC interactions cause higher ABL affinity for nilotinib over imatinib ¹. Not surprisingly, αC is another hotspot for inhibitor-resistant mutations (Tab. 4,5, Fig. 5). ABL-V289S/I (ABL1a nomenclature) alters an imatinib contact-site. It is topologically analogous to drugsensitizing EGFRA-V765A^{16, 48}. EGFR-deletions N-terminal of aC likely destabilize the inactive conformation. Most act drug-sensitizing/oncogenic ^{68, 71, 72}. Interestingly, some may reduce ATP-affinity and confer gefitinib-resistance (Tab. 5). Various deletions or insertions starting at EGFR-L747 clinically associate with increased gefitinib/erlotinib sensitivity 68, 88, 101. In contrast, several aC point mutations including S768I/V769L associate with drug-resistance in EGFR and have ABL/ERBB2 analogs (Tab. 5) 5, 64, 68, 88. Similar to the G-loop, aC-mutations may cause drug-sensitization, oncogenic activation and/ or drug-resistance primarily by destabilizing inactive kinase conformations, besides abrogating drug interactions.

3.2.4 A-loop mutations—The main mutational hotspot in the C-lobe is the A-loop. Like G-loop and α C helix, it undergoes major conformational changes between inactive and active conformations (Fig. 2,3,5; Tab 4,5) ^{8, 35, 36, 38-44}. Several A-loop mutations have analogs in multiple kinases (Tab. 4,5, Fig. 5). A recurring motif among 6 clinical imatinibresistant ABL A-loop mutations are indirect effects that disfavor drug-binding by increasing entropy or destabilizing the inactive conformation. F382L (ABL1a numbering) mutates the DFG-F and might affect the hydrophobic spine that stabilizes the active conformation

besides reducing imatinib-interactions ^{16, 44, 48, 76, 78}. L387M/F may alter A-loop packing to G-loop Y253/G254 ⁷⁸.

KIT-R815 corresponds to ABL1b-R405 (R_A), which salt-bridges with E305 (E_{α C}) in the SFK-like inactive conformation and in inactive SFKs. KIT-R815-deletion might thus destabilize inactive conformations. KIT-D816 and analogous PDGFRA-D842 mutation may cause drug-resistance by abrogating hydrogen-bonds that stabilize inactive conformations. The positionally analogous EGFR-L861Q is drug-sensitizing ¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁷. KIT-Y823 corresponds to ABL/SFK-Y_A. By mimicking Y_A-phosphorylation, KIT-Y823D might stabilize the active or destabilize the inactive conformation, reducing T2KI binding ^{102-105, 107}.

L858R represents ~41% of oncogenic EGFR mutations. L858R disrupts A-loop partial-helix hydrophobic interactions with α C and G-loop F723 that stabilize the inactive conformation and may reduce ATP-affinity ^{1, 64, 68, 71, 73}. The analogous ABL-L403M is imatinibresistant. EGFR-E884K confers gefitinib-sensitivity, but erlotinib-resistance. It disrupts an A-loop salt-bridge with C-lobe R958, may alter substrate-interactions and/or A-loop flexibility ^{64, 74, 108}.

Finally, BRAF A-loop mutants within and C-terminal of the DFG motif (D593V, F594L, G595R, L596V/R, T598I, V599E/D/K/R, K600E) destabilize the inactive conformation by disrupting hydrophobic G-loop interactions ^{89, 90}. Thus, most A-loop mutations disrupt interactions that stabilize inactive kinase conformations.

3.3 In vitro mutagenesis unveiled additional drug-resistance mutations

An important lesson taught by the mutation hotspots is that most drug-resistance-mutations destabilize conformations of high, or stabilize conformations of low inhibitor affinity. The frequent contribution of distributed and remote allosteric/conformational effects explains their common resistance against several different KIs. Following these considerations, other kinase-regions involved in functionally important structural/allosteric interactions might be additional mutational hotspots. Candidates that stabilize inactive kinase conformations are the SH3 domain/SH2-KD linker/N-lobe interactions in SFKs and ABL, SFK SH2 domain/ phospho-Y_C interactions, phospho-Y independent ABL SH2 domain/C-lobe interactions and myristate-binding to the ABL C-lobe (Fig. 1,2) ^{39, 41-43, 48}. Indeed, cell-based mutagenesis-screens have unveiled KI-resistance mutations in all these interfaces and in the ABL-cap whose SH3/SH2-domain interactions have been implicated in ABL-autoinhibition (Fig. 5, Tab. 4) ^{39, 41, 47, 48, 62}. Several of the mutations activate ABL *in vitro*. Drug-resistance mutations outside of the ABL KD have not yet been reported clinically, possibly due to a KD-bias in genotyping. An interesting question is whether such mutations account for some of the ~50% of imatinib-resistant CML-patients lacking known ABL-mutations ²⁴.

Mutations in the SFK SH3-SH2 domain linker or SH2 domain counteracted inhibitory interactions ^{43, 48}. Drug-resistant KIT-V559A^{6, 103, 107}, and drug-sensitizing EGFR-V689M/ N700D⁶⁸ map to interaction sites with non-KD regions (Tab. 5). It will be interesting to explore KD-extrinsic mutations in cancers involving these kinases.

Finally, abrogation of inhibitory SH2 domain/C-lobe interactions, allosteric effects and direct drug binding-site alterations may underlie drug-resistance caused by C-lobe mutations including ABL1b-V357(ABL1a 338)G, M362(343)T, F378(359)A/C/V and M370(351)T/I, which represents $\leq 20\%$ of clinical imatinib-resistance (Tab. 4)^{16, 22, 40, 42, 48, 56, 76, 78}. ABL-I521(502)M and E528(509)D in myristate binding-site helix α I' might reduce myristate-inhibition. However, clinical data are unavailable ^{41, 42, 48}. Drug-sensitizing (N826S, A839T, K846R) and resistant (G796D/R/C, T854A) EGFR C-lobe mutations often have positional ABL-analogs (Tab. 4,5, Fig. 5).

Altogether, drug-sensitizing and drug resistance-mutations frequently employ allosteric/ conformational effects on remote catalytic or drug-binding regions. They can occur within the KD or in other kinase regions mediating functionally important structural interactions.

4. Overcoming Kinase Inhibitor Drug-Resistance

Overcoming the different drug-resistance mechanisms is a major challenge for developing KIs into safe, effective drugs. Because of their particular clinical importance, we here focus on strategies to tackle drug-resistant point mutations. Additional approaches are discussed briefly and summarized in Fig. 4C. Several excellent recent reviews discuss details ^{9, 21-23, 109}.

The optimization of drug pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic properties is well established ^{65, 110}. Importantly, maximized short-term kinase inhibition by high-affinity KIs with 3-5 hr half-lifes (dasatinib, nilotinib) may be preferable to continuous inhibition by long half-life TKIs (imatinib), yielding irreversible target-cell death while reducing toxicity and, possibly, drug-resistance ^{17, 24, 111, 112}.

Pharmacogenomic profiling using improved biomarkers can be combined with quantitative structure-pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic relationship analyses to optimize drugs or treatment regimen for different patient populations or individuals ^{110, 113}. It can also unveil which drug-resistance mechanisms exist in a patient, and allow one to select the most efficacious treatment. Systemic drug-resistance mechanisms (Fig. 4A) may require polypharmacologic drug combinations that co-target different mechanisms in a tumor, its microenvironment or in different metastases⁵³. For example, antibodies that block secreted HGF in gefitinib-resistant EGFR-mutant NSCLC ⁶⁶ could be co-administered with EGFR-KIs.

Cell-autonomous target-kinase gene amplification or overexpression might be addressed through increased KI-doses, or by co-targeting kinase and enzymes mediating its overexpression, exemplified by the synergistic pro-apoptotic KI and HDAC-inhibitor effects in CML cells ¹⁶. Co-targeting might also overcome drug-resistance due to upregulation of target downstream-effectors ⁹. Beyond co-administering several drugs, appropriately poly-targeted drugs could simplify treatment regimen and reduce toxicity due to combined off-target effects of several drugs. The broad target spectrum of most approved or clinically evaluated KI cancer-therapeutics may in some cases underlie their high efficacy (Tab. 1,2)^{15, 114}. For example, dasatinib's ability to overcome certain cases of imatinib-resistance in CML may involve SFK co-inhibition (Tab. 1). Broad target spectra can moreover allow to use one drug for multiple indications, exemplified by imatinib efficacy in CML, GIST, HES and other diseases through inhibition of BCR-ABL, KIT, PDGFR or other targets ¹⁵. The toxicity of various pleiotropic KIs is surprisingly low and acceptable at least for life-threatening cancer-indications¹⁵.

Finally, mobilizing leukemic stem cells (LSCs) could render this dormant reservoir of drugresistance mutations sensitive to cytostatics. Interestingly, triptolide might promote apoptosis of drug-resistant CML cell quiescent precursors ¹¹⁵. However, preventing the coablation of normal hematopoietic precursors remains a challenge ^{24, 67}.

4.1 Improving kinase-inhibitors

Various approaches can yield compounds that inhibit drug-resistant mutant kinases (Fig. 4C). Key is the identification of drug-resistant kinase-mutations in patient samples or through mutagenesis screens (Tab. 4,5) ^{48, 56, 98, 116-118}. Their expression in cell-based or *in vitro* assay systems, including differential cytotoxicity-screens, allowed the identification of

Barouch-Bentov and Sauer

compounds which inhibit first-generation-KI resistant mutant kinases ^{27, 33, 55, 62, 63, 119}. Rational approaches have combined quantitative structure-activity-relationships and improved mechanistic understanding of drug-resistance mutations to design such compounds. This resulted in multiple second/third generation ABL, KIT, EGFR and PDGFR KIs in clinical studies (Tab. 1,2). Even more are in pre-clinical development. They include improved T1/2KIs where additional kinase-interactions, often employing the type 2/3 allosteric site or non-conserved ATP-site residues, improve target-affinity, allosteric T4KIs ⁵⁰, and covalent KIs. Other compounds inhibit kinase-interactions with regulatory proteins. The efficacy of several compounds may rely on the poly-targeted inhibition of multiple kinases.

Among several particularly instructive examples, the approved T1KI *dasatinib* inhibits ABL and other kinases including SFKs ⁴, ¹³, ¹⁵, ¹⁶, ⁵⁶, ⁵⁷, ¹¹⁴, ¹²⁰. Some of these contribute to imatinib-resistance (Tab. 1). Dasatinib binds adenine-site and HP2, and has 325-fold higher BCR-ABL affinity than imatinib. It binds both active and inactive ABL, and 21 imatinib-resistant ABL-mutants except G-loop, gatekeeper and a few others. Clinical trials showed advantages over imatinib particularly in low imatinib-responders. However, second-line dasatinib treated patients can accumulate secondary dasatinib-resistant ABL-mutations. Other contributing factors and adverse effects possibly related to PDGFR-inhibition are discussed elsewhere ¹⁶, ¹⁷, ²⁰, ⁵⁶, ¹⁰⁹, ¹²¹. The efficacy of T1KIs against imatinib-resistant ABL at least partially relies on the destabilization of the type-2-inactive ABL-conformation by the mutations, which increases the representation of T1KI-susceptible active ABL-conformation by the mutations. Thus, targeting conformations enriched in drug-resistant mutants may overcome drug-resistance. Co-inhibition of SFKs and other kinases contributing to imatinib-resistance may contribute variably.

Another approach improves target-affinity over first-generation drugs. The rationally designed *nilotinib* targets the type-2-inactive conformation of ABL and other kinases excluding SFKs with higher affinity than imatinib (Tab. 1). It inhibited 32 imatinib-resistant ABL-mutants but not G-loop, gatekeeper and others. Clinical trials showed efficacy in imatinib-resistant patients. However, nilotinib-resistance can develop through incompletely understood mechanisms, including novel ABL-mutants, MDR-1/Pgp drug exporter overexpression or LYN-hyperactivation (Fig. 4). LYN-involvement can confer dasatinib-sensitivity, providing a rationale for nilotinib/dasatinib co-administration ¹⁶, 17, 19, 20, 56, 109, 116, 121.

Resistance of G-loop and gatekeeper-mutants limits the use of many first/second generation drugs ¹⁷. The recent development of compounds that inhibit these recalcitrant alleles thus represents an important milestone. Understanding their inhibition-mechanisms teaches important lessons for designing improved KI-therapeutics. Among compounds in clinical trials (Tab. 2), the rationally designed pleiotropic type-2 SFK/ABL-KI AP24534 inhibits ABL-T315I and even compound imatinib-resistant mutants. AP24534 accommodates a bulky gatekeeper-residue through a juxtaposed carbon-carbon triple-bond whose "flat" structure prevents steric interference with the gatekeeper side-chain¹²². AURORA-kinases control mitosis and can act oncogenic. AURORA-inhibition causes apoptosis. By inhibiting proliferation and promoting death of cancer cells, and through co-inhibition of ABL, SFKs and other kinases, AURORA-KIs may overcome imatinib-resistance. Examples which inhibit gatekeeper-mutant ABL are XL-228, PHA-739358 and MK-0457(VX-680). Compared to imatinib, MK-0457 forms stronger hinge-interactions and avoids clashes with the enlarged T315I-side-chain 4, 16, 27, 56, 120. The non-ATP-competitive DCC2036 allosterically inhibits gatekeeper- and other ABL-mutants by binding to "switch-pockets" mediating active-inactive conformation transitions ^{4, 16}.

Preclinical compounds include the type-4 allosteric *GNF-2/5* class, which binds the BCR-ABL myristate-pocket and stabilizes the inactive conformation ^{13, 55, 62, 63}. GNF-5/imatinib combination had some efficacy against BCR-ABL-T315. GNF-2/5 alone had little effect but inhibited most clinical BCR-ABL mutants ^{55, 62}. The substrate-competitive *ON012380* potently inhibited BCR-ABL-T315I and LYN ^{27, 49, 56}.

The T2KIs *GNF*-7¹²³ and *HG*-7-85-01¹²² inhibit BCR-ABL, KIT or PDGFR gatekeepermutants by bridging ATP- and type-2-hydrophobic site through a linker that accommodates large gatekeeper-residues. *Compound 14* binds the inactive D_{DFG}-out conformation, disrupts the hydrophobic spine and inhibits BCR-ABL-T315I⁵⁸. *DSA-compounds* bind inactive ABL and SFK conformations and potently inhibit both kinase-families, including G-loop and gatekeeper-mutant ABL, in part through altered adenine-site interactions ⁹⁴. Thus, gatekeeper-mutation overcoming KIs need not bind the active kinase conformation stabilized by the mutation, but can act by stabilizing the energetically disfavored gatekeepermutant inactive conformation.

Another KI-class that can overcome drug-resistance through very high potency are irreversible inhibitors that covalently bind their targets ^{13, 64, 68}. Examples are the EGFR/ ERBB2-inhibitors *HKI-292/CL-387785* ^{64, 68, 119}. Several irreversible KIs are in clinical trials⁶⁸. A challenge is to minimize toxicity due to co-inhibition of the wildtype allele of the targeted mutant kinase. Encouragingly, differential screens have yielded compounds that inhibit drug-resistant EGFR-mutants 100-fold more potently than wildtype-EGFR ¹¹⁹.

These examples illustrate that introducing affinity-enhancing interactions with kinases, increasing sterical compatibility with mutant binding pockets, or targeting allosteric binding sites or mechanisms can yield improved KIs that may overcome drug-resistance ^{1, 50, 123}. Moreover, indirect mechanisms can be exploited: *Omacetaxine* causes apoptosis through various mechanisms, including Mcl-1 downregulation and caspase-activation. Clinical trials may suggest efficacy in imatinib-resistant CMLs including gatekeeper-mutants^{4, 16}. Targeting chaperones including HSP-90 with the clinically-explored *IPI504* or *17-AAG* promotes degradation of oncogenic and KI-resistant kinases including EGFR-L858R/T790M ^{21, 68}.

5. Summary

Due to their major roles in disease and excellent druggability, kinases have become the second-largest drug-target class. As larger patient populations were exposed to KIs, drugresistance emerged as an important clinical problem particularly in cancer where tumor cell genetic instability facilitates mutagenesis to cause kinase oncogenic activation, drug sensitization or resistance. Both drug sensitizing and resistance-conferring mutations are frequently located in kinase-domain regions that undergo profound conformational changes as kinases transition between active and inactive conformations. Drug-sensitizing mutations often destabilize inactive kinase conformations, causing oncogenic hyperactivation and oncogene addiction that enhances drug sensitivity. Drug-resistance mutations often alter drug-kinase interactions, or contribute to distributed allosteric effects that stabilize inhibitornonbinding, or destabilize inhibitor-binding conformations. Thus, drug-sensitization and drug-resistance can involve similar mechanistic principles. They often affect conserved structural features or residues in different kinases. Maximizing sensitization while avoiding resistance is a major therapeutic challenge. Drug-resistance caused by structural/allosteric alterations is often more difficult to overcome than resistance caused by disruption of direct kinase-drug interactions which may be restored by adding functional groups to the compound. The most promising, broadly applicable strategy to overcome allosteric drug-

resistance mechanisms is to allosterically induce and stabilize inactive kinase conformations. Indeed, promising results have recently been achieved with allosteric kinase-inhibitors.

6. Expert Opinion

Mutational disruption of a conserved G-loop salt-bridge in BCR-ABL causes imatinibresistance in CML-patients. The analogous SFK-mutation impairs catalysis and causes autoimmune-glomerulonephritis in mice ³⁴. Hence, the molecular and genetic mechanisms causing KI-resistance or kinasopathies intersect. It will be interesting to examine whether other drug-resistance mutations can cause kinasopathies, or whether kinase-mutations underlying non-cancer diseases ³ can cause KI-drug resistance.

Multiple approaches have been devised to overcome drug-resistance (Fig. 4C). However, clinical data demonstrating their efficacy in patients are largely missing. Optimized dosingregimen can sometimes improve imatinib-efficacy ^{17, 24}. Second-generation drugs like dasatinib or nilotinib can overcome certain cases of imatinib-resistance¹⁷. However, many imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL mutants remain resistant to these drugs (Tab. 1). Moreover, the sequential treatment of CML-patients with different ABL-inhibitors can cause the emergence of additional resistance mutations, or of compound mutations with increased transforming potential in the same cell²¹. Despite the recently discovered abilities of allosteric and covalent KIs to inhibit even recalcitrant gatekeeper-mutant kinases and very promising results in preclinical models, it remains to be seen whether poly-targeted compounds or drug-cocktails that co-inhibit multiple drug-resistant target mutants and/or other resistance-mechanisms (Fig. 4) will fully eradicate a tumor including LSCs^{22, 52, 53}. Similar approaches have proven very powerful in treating AIDS. An obvious potential liability is toxicity. Moreover, it remains challenging to develop compounds that selectively inhibit mutant oncogenic or drug-resistant kinases but leave the wildtype kinases unaffected to avoid toxicity. Encouragingly, differential cytotoxicity screens and rational approaches have yielded compounds that inhibit drug-resistant EGFR mutants 100-fold more potently than wildtype-EGFR¹¹⁹.

Recent advances in genome sequencing technologies have enabled the pharmacogenomic profiling of patients as a powerful approach to optimize treatments^{2, 9, 113, 124}. By profiling patients for oncogenic and drug-resistance mutations as genomic biomarkers, it becomes possible to select the most efficacious drugs or drug-combinations for a given patient, to monitor the potential emergence of additional drug-resistance mechanisms and to quickly modify the therapy accordingly. Clinical evaluation of this approach is ongoing^{9, 113}. A clinically observed complication is the independent occurrence of different resistancemechanisms in different metastases. For example, a gefitinib/erlotinib-resistant patient showed EGFR gatekeeper-mutation in one, and MET gene-amplification in another metastasis⁶⁹. This demonstrates the need to analyze multiple different tumor cells or metastases in each patient. Due to the low frequencies of some genetic alterations, broad application of this approach will require cost-effective high-throughput techniques that can efficiently be implemented in the clinic. While whole-genome sequencing costs are dropping rapidly, substantial technological development will be required to make profiling of deregulated signaling pathways or epigenetic alterations affordable for personalized treatments. Novel techniques including mass spectrometry-based flow cytometry provide promising approaches¹²⁵.

Recently developed high-throughput platforms for the simultaneous, multiplexed profiling of large compound libraries against multiple kinases^{22, 53, 126} not only facilitate selectivity-profiling but can also be used to test drug-efficacy against multiple different kinase-mutants. This will accelerate the development of multi-targeted drugs and polypharmacologic drug

cocktails that inhibit multiple drug-resistant kinase mutants or co-pathogenic pathways. However, this approach is limited to known drug-resistance mechanisms, which are often only identified once a drug is on the market.

The development of compounds that potently and safely inhibit existing and previously unknown drug-resistance mechanisms that may emerge in a treated patient remains a challenge. Drawing on lessons learned from ABL and other clinically targeted kinases (Tab. 4,5), we consider two approaches particularly powerful to overcome it. First, cell based and in vitro mutagenesis-screens can broadly identify kinase mutations and possibly additional KI-resistance mechanisms (Tab. 3, Fig. 5) and have been conducted for BCR-ABL, EGFR, FLT3, BRAF, MEK1, PI3K-p110α and AURORA-kinases^{29-31, 33, 48, 56, 116-118, 127-129}. Microfluidic single-cell arrays might allow such screens in a highly cost-effective, largescale format¹³⁰. Encouraging this approach, the need to preserve catalytic activity, cofactor, substrate and other interactions limits the mutation spectrum a kinase can "employ" to become drug-resistant. However, low recovery frequencies of drug-resistant mutants indicate a need for more efficient mutagenesis methods. Moreover, even allosteric type 4 inhibitors are susceptible to drug-resistance^{55, 62}. One reason is that the large conformational plasticity of kinases opens many opportunities to alter inhibitor-interactions through mutations in many different locations even remote from catalytic sites (Fig. 5). Thus, KIresistance will likely remain a clinical problem even for advanced compounds. Although mutagenesis-screens are powerful tools to identify drug-resistance mechanisms, limited efficiency, cost, logistics and time considerations make complementing approaches desirable.

Second, computational advances such as heterogeneous computing, improved supercomputer clusters, internet-based cloud, distributed and grid computing such as the Open Science or Worldwide LHC Computing Grids that leverage enormous computing powers at low cost, and large-capacity storage systems now reaching Exabyte capacities¹³¹ may eventually enable the combination of *in silico* mutagenesis-screens with large-throughput structural modeling and molecular dynamics simulations to predict most drug-resistance causing mutations for any given kinase and inhibitor. Structural genomics approaches including virtual screening could then be used to rationally design compounds which inhibit most or all of the predicted mutants¹³². As our understanding of intra- and intercellular signaling networks advances and techniques to model them improve, similar "systems" approaches could possibly be envisioned to *in silico* model compound effects on other drug-resistance mechanisms (Fig. 4) identified via pharmacogenomic profiling.

In an integrated approach, mutagenesis-screen and simulation results could be used to prioritize compounds for experimental evaluation in *in vitro*, cell-based and ultimately whole animal models. Compared to traditional approaches, where drug-resistance mechanisms are first identified in patients treated with first-generation drugs, then studied and the results used to develop second and third generation drugs, the integrated approach could identify the most relevant drug-resistance mechanisms at the beginning of the drug development process, and yield drugs with minimized potential to cause drug-resistance "up-front". The potential benefit for patients and health-care system could be major.

Article Highlights Box

• Because of their important roles in many diseases and great "druggability", kinases have become the second largest drug target family, with 13 approved kinase-inhibitor (KI) drugs, about 100 compounds in clinical trials and many more in preclinical development.

- Because many kinases have key and essential roles in cell metabolism, survival and function, cells underlie significant selection pressure to compensate for the loss of function of an important kinase. In a patient treated with a KI-therapeutic, this can cause drug-resistance through various cell extrinsic and intrinsic mechanisms. In particular, genetically unstable tumor cells can harbor pre-existing, or accumulate drug-resistant mutant alleles of targeted kinases.
- As KI drugs reach large patient populations for an expanding number of less life-threatening indications, the development of drug-resistance could become a major liability that limits the therapeutic use of KIs. Here, we critically discuss this problem and highlight important recent advances in overcoming KI drug-resistance.
- Analyses of over 800 kinase crystal structures and advances in the analysis of solution structure dynamics have unveiled that kinases exist in a dynamic equilibrium of many different active and inactive conformations. This demonstrated the pivotal role of allosteric mechanisms in controlling kinase activation. Physiologically, these are governed by interactions of kinase regulatory and adaptor protein subunits, and by covalent modifications at key regulatory sites.
- Among multiple different mechanisms, the mutational introduction of missense mutations in the coding sequence accounts for most clinically observed cases of KI drug-resistance. This is prominently illustrated by an ~33% relapse rate in imatinib-treated chronic myelogenous leukemia patients. Most of these mutations occur in "hotspots" that participate directly in the conformational mechanisms which regulate kinase activation.
- Structural and biochemical studies showed that kinase missense mutations primarily cause drug-resistance by abrogating specific molecular interactions with the inhibitor, or by introducing distributed allosteric effects that destabilize conformations with high, or stabilize conformations with low inhibitor affinity.
- KIs can target protein interaction sites involved in kinase regulation, sites mediating allosteric regulation of a kinase, substrate or ATP-cofactor binding sites. Traditional screens for KIs have primarily yielded ATP-competitive compounds. More recently, attempts to improve selectivity and potency and to overcome drug-resistance have unveiled allosteric KIs as very promising compounds. They can target allosteric sites adjacent to, or remote from the ATP-binding site. In addition, covalently binding KIs can provide high potency and inhibit drug-resistant mutant kinases.
- Current strategies for overcoming KI drug-resistance focus on the development
 of compounds that inhibit known drug-resistant mutant kinases, and on polytargeted compounds or compound-cocktails that simultaneously inhibit several
 parallel or downstream pathways and mutant kinases mediating drug-resistance.
 Combined with pharmacogenomic profiling to determine the precise
 mechanisms and mutations present in a patient, the efficacy of these
 polypharmacologic approaches can be optimized in personalized therapies,
 albeit at a possibly high cost.
- The development of compounds that potently and safely inhibit existing and previously unknown drug-resistance mechanisms that may emerge in a treated patient and cause relapse remains a challenge. Recent technological advances may eventually allow one to combine saturating mutagenesis screens with the computational modeling of compound interactions with all possible mutant

variants of a targeted kinase. Compared to traditional approaches, where drugresistance mechanisms are first identified in patients treated with first-generation drugs, then studied and the results used to develop improved drugs, this integrated approach could identify the most relevant drug-resistance mechanisms at the beginning of the drug development process, and yield improved drugs with minimized potential to cause drug-resistance "up-front".

Acknowledgments

This is manuscript #20919 from The Scripps Research Institute. We apologize to our colleagues for citing reviews in lieu of many important original references due to limitations to the number of references allowed.

Declaration of Interest

K.S. is supported by NIH grants AI070845 and GM088647, and by Scholar Award 1440-11 from The Leukemia & Lymphoma Society. The authors declare no financial conflicts of interest.

Non-standard abbreviations

AA	amino acid
A-loop	<u>a</u> ctivation loop
ADPKD	autosomal-dominant polycystic kidney disease
ALL	acute lymphoblastic leukemia
AML	acute myelogenous leukemia
cKI	covalent kinase inhibitor
CML	chronic myelogenous leukemia
G-loop	glycine-rich loop, identical with P-loop
GIST	gastrointestinal stromal tumors
НСС	hepatocellular carcinoma
HDAC	histone deacetylase
HDX-MS	hydrogen-deuterium-exchange mass-spectrometry
HES	hypereosinophilic syndrome
HP1/2	hydrophobic pocket 1/2
HTS	high-throughput screening
KD	kinase domain
KI	kinase inhibitor
LSC	leukemic stem cell
MDS	myelodysplastic syndrome
MM	multiple myeloma
NBP	nucleotide-binding protein
NSCLC	non small-cell lung cancer
P-loop	phosphate-binding loop, identical with G-loop
PCKD	polycystic kidney disease

Philadelphia-chromosome positive
pharmacokinetics
protein tyrosine kinase
renal cell carcinoma
SRC-family protein-tyrosine kinase
tyrosine kinase inhibitor, T1/2/3/4KI, type 1/2/3/4KI

Bibliography

- 1. Johnson LN. Protein kinase inhibitors: contributions from structure to clinical compounds. Q Rev Biophys. Feb; 2009 42(1):1–40. [PubMed: 19296866]
- 2**. Greenman C, Stephens P, Smith R, Dalgliesh GL, Hunter C, Bignell G, et al. Patterns of somatic mutation in human cancer genomes. Nature. Mar 8; 2007 446(7132):153–8. [PubMed: 17344846] [References ² and ³ identified multiple mutations in kinase genes that are associated with diseases, providing a strong rationale for pursuing kinases as therapeutic targets for many indications.]
- Lahiry P, Torkamani A, Schork NJ, Hegele RA. Kinase mutations in human disease: interpreting genotype-phenotype relationships. Nat Rev Genet. Jan; 2010 11(1):60–74. [PubMed: 20019687]
- 4. Quintas-Cardama A, Kantarjian H, Cortes J. Imatinib and beyond--exploring the full potential of targeted therapy for CML. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. Sep; 2009 6(9):535–43. [PubMed: 19652654]
- Trowe T, Boukouvala S, Calkins K, Cutler RE Jr. Fong R, Funke R, et al. EXEL-7647 inhibits mutant forms of ErbB2 associated with lapatinib resistance and neoplastic transformation. Clin Cancer Res. Apr 15; 2008 14(8):2465–75. [PubMed: 18413839]
- Lim KH, Huang MJ, Chen LT, Wang TE, Liu CL, Chang CS, et al. Molecular analysis of secondary kinase mutations in imatinib-resistant gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Med Oncol. 2008; 25(2):207– 13. [PubMed: 18488160]
- Puxeddu, E.; Romagnoli, S.; Dottorini, ME. Targeted therapies for advanced thyroid cancer.. Current Opinion in Oncology. 9000;Publish Ahead of Print:10.1097/CCO.0b013e328340cf94
- 8. Cuny GD. Kinase inhibitors as potential therapeutics for acute and chronic neurodegenerative conditions. Curr Pharm Des. 2009; 15(34):3919–39. [PubMed: 19751204]
- 9. Janne PA, Gray N, Settleman J. Factors underlying sensitivity of cancers to small-molecule kinase inhibitors. Nat Rev Drug Discov. Sep; 2009 8(9):709–23. [PubMed: 19629074]
- Rokosz LL, Beasley JR, Carroll CD, Lin T, Zhao J, Appell KC, et al. Kinase inhibitors as drugs for chronic inflammatory and immunological diseases: progress and challenges. Expert Opin Ther Targets. Jul; 2008 12(7):883–903. [PubMed: 18554156]
- Won J, Lee GH. T-cell-targeted signaling inhibitors. Int Rev Immunol. Jan-Apr; 2008 27(1-2):19– 41. [PubMed: 18300054]
- 12. Gaestel M, Kotlyarov A, Kracht M. Targeting innate immunity protein kinase signalling in inflammation. Nat Rev Drug Discov. Jun; 2009 8(6):480–99. [PubMed: 19483709]
- 13. Zhang J, Yang PL, Gray NS. Targeting cancer with small molecule kinase inhibitors. Nature reviews. Jan; 2009 9(1):28–39.
- Opar A. Kinase inhibitors attract attention as oral rheumatoid arthritis drugs. Nat Rev Drug Discov. Apr; 2010 9(4):257–8. [PubMed: 20357793]
- 15. Ghoreschi K, Laurence A, O'Shea JJ. Selectivity and therapeutic inhibition of kinases: to be or not to be? Nat Immunol. Apr; 2009 10(4):356–60. [PubMed: 19295632]
- Bixby D, Talpaz M. Mechanisms of resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors in chronic myeloid leukemia and recent therapeutic strategies to overcome resistance. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2009:461–76. [PubMed: 20008232]
- 17. Valent P. Standard treatment of Ph+ CML in 2010: how, when and where not to use what BCR/ ABL1 kinase inhibitor? Eur J Clin Invest. Jul 2.2010

- Ihle NT, Powis G. Inhibitors of phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase in cancer therapy. Mol Aspects Med. Apr; 2010 31(2):135–44. [PubMed: 20176047]
- 19*. Druker BJ, Tamura S, Buchdunger E, Ohno S, Segal GM, Fanning S, et al. Effects of a selective inhibitor of the Abl tyrosine kinase on the growth of Bcr-Abl positive cells. Nat Med. May; 1996 2(5):561–6. [PubMed: 8616716] [This was the first publication of imatinib (STI-571, Gleevec), the first breakthrough CML therapeutic.]
- 20**. NIH. 2010. http://clinicaltrials.gov [An excellent, interactive webpage that allows one to search for ongoing and completed clinical trials in many countries.]
- 21. Engelman JA, Settleman J. Acquired resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors during cancer therapy. Curr Opin Genet Dev. Feb; 2008 18(1):73–9. [PubMed: 18325754]
- 22. Daub H, Specht K, Ullrich A. Strategies to overcome resistance to targeted protein kinase inhibitors. Nat Rev Drug Discov. Dec; 2004 3(12):1001–10. [PubMed: 15573099]
- 23. Buschbeck M. Strategies to overcome resistance to targeted protein kinase inhibitors in the treatment of cancer. Drugs R D. 2006; 7(2):73–86. [PubMed: 16542054]
- 24. La Rosee P, Hochhaus A. Molecular pathogenesis of tyrosine kinase resistance in chronic myeloid leukemia. Curr Opin Hematol. Mar; 2010 17(2):91–6. [PubMed: 20071983]
- 25. Krishnamurty R, Maly DJ. Biochemical Mechanisms of Resistance to Small-Molecule Protein Kinase Inhibitors. ACS Chemical Biology. 2010; 5(1):121–38. [PubMed: 20044834]
- 26*. Gorre ME, Mohammed M, Ellwood K, Hsu N, Paquette R, Rao PN, et al. Clinical resistance to STI-571 cancer therapy caused by BCR-ABL gene mutation or amplification. Science. Aug 3; 2001 293(5531):876–80. [PubMed: 11423618] [This was the first identification of molecular and genetic mechanisms causing imatinib-resistance in patients. It laid the basis for further investigation of kinase-inhibitor drug resistance mechanisms, and for the development of improved therpaeutics.]
- 27. Quintas-Cardama A, Kantarjian H, Cortes J. Flying under the radar: the new wave of BCR-ABL inhibitors. Nat Rev Drug Discov. Oct; 2007 6(10):834–48. [PubMed: 17853901]
- Marmorstein R. Anticipating drug resistance in the MAP kinase pathway. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. Feb; 2010 23(1):7–9. [PubMed: 19968818]
- 29. Girdler F, Sessa F, Patercoli S, Villa F, Musacchio A, Taylor S. Molecular basis of drug resistance in aurora kinases. Chem Biol. Jun; 2008 15(6):552–62. [PubMed: 18559266]
- Emery CM, Vijayendran KG, Zipser MC, Sawyer AM, Niu L, Kim JJ, et al. MEK1 mutations confer resistance to MEK and B-RAF inhibition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. Dec 1; 2009 106(48): 20411–6. [PubMed: 19915144]
- 31*. Zunder ER, Knight ZA, Houseman BT, Apsel B, Shokat KM. Discovery of drug-resistant and drug-sensitizing mutations in the oncogenic PI3K isoform p110 alpha. Cancer Cell. Aug 12; 2008 14(2):180–92. [PubMed: 18691552] [This interesting study describes a screen in yeast which for the first time revealed drug-sensitizing and drug-resistance mutations in PIK3CA encoding the p110α subunit of PI3-kinase, the most frequently mutated kinase in human cancer. The results suggest that PI3K-inhibitors, an intensively pursued drug class, may be prone to drug-resistance. The study also unveiled a surprising paucity of gatekeeper mutations in PIK3CA.]
- Kitzen JJ, de Jonge MJ, Verweij J. Aurora kinase inhibitors. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. Feb; 2010 73(2):99–110. [PubMed: 19369091]
- 33. Warmuth M, Kim S, Gu XJ, Xia G, Adrian F. Ba/F3 cells and their use in kinase drug discovery. Curr Opin Oncol. Jan; 2007 19(1):55–60. [PubMed: 17133113]
- 34*. Barouch-Bentov R, Che J, Lee CC, Yang Y, Herman A, Jia Y, et al. A Conserved Salt Bridge in the G Loop of Multiple Protein Kinases Is Important for Catalysis and for In Vivo Lyn Function. Molecular Cell. 2009; 33(1):43–52. [PubMed: 19150426] [This interesting study describes the effects of mutationally disrupting a salt-bridge that is conserved among the G-loops of 58 eukaryotic kinases. In BCR-ABL, its abrogation is a major cause of clinical imatinib-resistance. The analogous mutation in SFKs severely reduces catalytic activity. Strikingly, this causes autoimmune-glomerulonephritis in mice. Hence, the molecular mechanisms causing drugresistance and disease intersect.]
- 35*. Liu Y, Gray NS. Rational design of inhibitors that bind to inactive kinase conformations. Nat Chem Biol. Jul; 2006 2(7):358–64. [PubMed: 16783341] [This is an in-depth review of rational

approaches to design type-2-allosteric kinase inhibitors. It provides many compound structures to illustrate the power of fragment-based hybrid-design strategies.]

- 36. Engen JR, Wales TE, Hochrein JM, Meyn MA 3rd, Banu Ozkan S, Bahar I, et al. Structure and dynamic regulation of Src-family kinases. Cell Mol Life Sci. Oct; 2008 65(19):3058–73. [PubMed: 18563293]
- Pawson T, Kofler M. Kinome signaling through regulated protein-protein interactions in normal and cancer cells. Curr Opin Cell Biol. Apr; 2009 21(2):147–53. [PubMed: 19299117]
- Shan Y, Seeliger MA, Eastwood MP, Frank F, Xu H, Jensen MO, et al. A conserved protonationdependent switch controls drug binding in the Abl kinase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. Jan 6; 2009 106(1):139–44. [PubMed: 19109437]
- Nagar B, Hantschel O, Seeliger M, Davies JM, Weis WI, Superti-Furga G, et al. Organization of the SH3-SH2 unit in active and inactive forms of the c-Abl tyrosine kinase. Mol Cell. Mar 17; 2006 21(6):787–98. [PubMed: 16543148]
- 40*. Levinson NM, Kuchment O, Shen K, Young MA, Koldobskiy M, Karplus M, et al. A Src-like inactive conformation in the abl tyrosine kinase domain. PLoS Biol. May.2006 4(5):e144.
 [PubMed: 16640460] [Reference ⁴⁰ for the first time described a SFK-like inactive conformation in ABL.]
- Nagar B, Hantschel O, Young MA, Scheffzek K, Veach D, Bornmann W, et al. Structural basis for the autoinhibition of c-Abl tyrosine kinase. Cell. Mar 21; 2003 112(6):859–71. [PubMed: 12654251]
- 42. Hantschel O, Nagar B, Guettler S, Kretzschmar J, Dorey K, Kuriyan J, et al. A myristoyl/ phosphotyrosine switch regulates c-Abl. Cell. Mar 21; 2003 112(6):845–57. [PubMed: 12654250]
- 43. Young MA, Gonfloni S, Superti-Furga G, Roux B, Kuriyan J. Dynamic coupling between the SH2 and SH3 domains of c-Src and Hck underlies their inactivation by C-terminal tyrosine phosphorylation. Cell. Apr 6; 2001 105(1):115–26. [PubMed: 11301007]
- 44**. Schindler T, Bornmann W, Pellicena P, Miller WT, Clarkson B, Kuriyan J. Structural mechanism for STI-571 inhibition of abelson tyrosine kinase. Science. Sep 15; 2000 289(5486): 1938–42. [PubMed: 10988075] [References ^{39, 41, 42, 44} and ⁴⁶ unveiled the intricate structural and conformational mechanisms regulating ABL activation through domain-interactions and covalent modifications. They provided a basis to mechanistically understand how many drug-resistance mutations act.]
- 45. Hanks SK, Hunter T. Protein kinases 6. The eukaryotic protein kinase superfamily: kinase (catalytic) domain structure and classification. Faseb J. May; 1995 9(8):576–96. [PubMed: 7768349]
- 46. Huse M, Kuriyan J. The conformational plasticity of protein kinases. Cell. May 3; 2002 109(3): 275–82. [PubMed: 12015977]
- 47. Pluk H, Dorey K, Superti-Furga G. Autoinhibition of c-Abl. Cell. Jan 25; 2002 108(2):247–59. [PubMed: 11832214]
- 48**. Azam M, Latek RR, Daley GQ. Mechanisms of autoinhibition and STI-571/imatinib resistance revealed by mutagenesis of BCR-ABL. Cell. Mar 21; 2003 112(6):831–43. [PubMed: 12654249] [This paper reports the first broad mutagenesis screen to identify drug-resistant kinase mutants. It unveiled multiple mutations in ABL, many of which are identical to clinically observed mutations.]
- 49. Kirkland LO, McInnes C. Non-ATP competitive protein kinase inhibitors as anti-tumor therapeutics. Biochem Pharmacol. May 15; 2009 77(10):1561–71. [PubMed: 19167366]
- 50. Bogoyevitch MA, Fairlie DP. A new paradigm for protein kinase inhibition: blocking phosphorylation without directly targeting ATP binding. Drug Discov Today. Aug; 2007 12(15-16):622–33. [PubMed: 17706543]
- Arkin MR, Whitty A. The road less traveled: modulating signal transduction enzymes by inhibiting their protein-protein interactions. Curr Opin Chem Biol. Jun; 2009 13(3):284–90. [PubMed: 19553156]
- 52. Fojo T. Commentary: Novel therapies for cancer: why dirty might be better. Oncologist. Mar; 2008 13(3):277–83. [PubMed: 18378537]

- Knight ZA, Lin H, Shokat KM. Targeting the cancer kinome through polypharmacology. Nature reviews. Feb; 2010 10(2):130–7.
- 54. Patel RY, Doerksen RJ. Protein Kinase-Inhibitor Database: Structural Variability of and Inhibitor Interactions with the Protein Kinase P-Loop. J Proteome Res. Aug 3.2010
- 55. Zhang J, Adrian FJ, Jahnke W, Cowan-Jacob SW, Li AG, Iacob RE, et al. Targeting Bcr-Abl by combining allosteric with ATP-binding-site inhibitors. Nature. Jan 28; 2010 463(7280):501–6. [PubMed: 20072125]
- Weisberg E, Manley PW, Cowan-Jacob SW, Hochhaus A, Griffin JD. Second generation inhibitors of BCR-ABL for the treatment of imatinib-resistant chronic myeloid leukaemia. Nature reviews. May; 2007 7(5):345–56.
- 57**. Shah NP, Tran C, Lee FY, Chen P, Norris D, Sawyers CL. Overriding imatinib resistance with a novel ABL kinase inhibitor. Science. Jul 16; 2004 305(5682):399–401. [PubMed: 15256671]
 [This study first reported the ability of dasatinib to inhibit multiple imatinib-resistant BCRABL mutants.]
- 58**. Azam M, Seeliger MA, Gray NS, Kuriyan J, Daley GQ. Activation of tyrosine kinases by mutation of the gatekeeper threonine. Nat Struct Mol Biol. Oct; 2008 15(10):1109–18. [PubMed: 18794843] [This interesting study showed that gatekeeper-mutations activate kinases by stabilizing a hydrophobic spine that stabilizes the active conformation, changing our mechanistic understanding of these important drug-resistance mutations. The study also showed that compounds which maximize complementarity with the dismantled spine can inhibit gatekeepermutant BCR-ABL.]
- Kornev AP, Haste NM, Taylor SS, Eyck LF. Surface comparison of active and inactive protein kinases identifies a conserved activation mechanism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. Nov 21; 2006 103(47):17783–8. [PubMed: 17095602]
- 60*. Simard JR, Kluter S, Grutter C, Getlik M, Rabiller M, Rode HB, et al. A new screening assay for allosteric inhibitors of cSrc. Nat Chem Biol. Jun; 2009 5(6):394–6. [PubMed: 19396179] [Complementing rational design and differential screening approaches, this paper describes a novel assay to identify allosteric kinase inhibitors that selectively stabilize inactive kinase conformations. It relies on the covalent attachment of fluorophors to the A-loop, which enables monitoring of the conformational changes as kinases transition between active and inactive conformations.]
- Getlik M, Grutter C, Simard JR, Kluter S, Rabiller M, Rode HB, et al. Hybrid compound design to overcome the gatekeeper T338M mutation in cSrc. J Med Chem. Jul 9; 2009 52(13):3915–26. [PubMed: 19462975]
- 62. Fabbro D, Manley PW, Jahnke W, Liebetanz J, Szyttenholm A, Fendrich G, et al. Inhibitors of the Abl kinase directed at either the ATP- or myristate-binding site. Biochim Biophys Acta. Mar; 2010 1804(3):454–62. [PubMed: 20152788]
- 63*. Adrian FJ, Ding Q, Sim T, Velentza A, Sloan C, Liu Y, et al. Allosteric inhibitors of Bcrabldependent cell proliferation. Nat Chem Biol. Feb; 2006 2(2):95–102. [PubMed: 16415863] [This study shows how a differential cytotoxicity screen yielded allosteric inhibitors with higher potency for BCR-ABL than wildtype ABL.]
- 64. Irmer D, Funk JO, Blaukat A. EGFR kinase domain mutations functional impact and relevance for lung cancer therapy. Oncogene. Aug 23; 2007 26(39):5693–701. [PubMed: 17353898]
- 65. van Erp NP, Gelderblom H, Guchelaar HJ. Clinical pharmacokinetics of tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Cancer Treat Rev. Dec; 2009 35(8):692–706. [PubMed: 19733976]
- 66. Yano S, Wang W, Li Q, Matsumoto K, Sakurama H, Nakamura T, et al. Hepatocyte growth factor induces gefitinib resistance of lung adenocarcinoma with epidermal growth factor receptoractivating mutations. Cancer Res. Nov 15; 2008 68(22):9479–87. [PubMed: 19010923]
- 67. Burchert A. Roots of imatinib resistance: a question of self-renewal? Drug Resist Updat. Aug-Oct; 2007 10(4-5):152–61. [PubMed: 17683977]
- Sharma SV, Bell DW, Settleman J, Haber DA. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in lung cancer. Nature reviews. Mar; 2007 7(3):169–81.

- Engelman JA, Zejnullahu K, Mitsudomi T, Song Y, Hyland C, Park JO, et al. MET amplification leads to gefitinib resistance in lung cancer by activating ERBB3 signaling. Science. May 18; 2007 316(5827):1039–43. [PubMed: 17463250]
- Rosell R, Viteri S, Molina MA, Benlloch S, Taron M. Epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors as first-line treatment in advanced nonsmall-cell lung cancer. Curr Opin Oncol. Mar; 2010 22(2):112–20. [PubMed: 19949333]
- 71. Ferguson KM. Structure-based view of epidermal growth factor receptor regulation. Annu Rev Biophys. 2008; 37:353–73. [PubMed: 18573086]
- 72*. Zhang X, Gureasko J, Shen K, Cole PA, Kuriyan J. An allosteric mechanism for activation of the kinase domain of epidermal growth factor receptor. Cell. Jun 16; 2006 125(6):1137–49.
 [PubMed: 16777603] [This study showed that similar to CDKs and SFKs, the EGFR kinase domain can exist in an auto-inhibited conformation. EGFR activation results from its disruption by A-loop mutation or the formation of an asymmetric dimer in which the C-lobe of one EGFR-subunit plays a cyclin-like activating role for the other EGFR-subunit. This provides a mechanistic explanation for the importance of dimerization in EGFR-activation.]
- Yun CH, Boggon TJ, Li Y, Woo MS, Greulich H, Meyerson M, et al. Structures of lung cancerderived EGFR mutants and inhibitor complexes: mechanism of activation and insights into differential inhibitor sensitivity. Cancer Cell. Mar; 2007 11(3):217–27. [PubMed: 17349580]
- 74. Choong NW, Dietrich S, Seiwert TY, Tretiakova MS, Nallasura V, Davies GC, et al. Gefitinib response of erlotinib-refractory lung cancer involving meninges--role of EGFR mutation. Nat Clin Pract Oncol. Jan; 2006 3(1):50–7. quiz 1 p following 7. [PubMed: 16407879]
- 75*. Iacob RE, Pene-Dumitrescu T, Zhang J, Gray NS, Smithgall TE, Engen JR. Conformational disturbance in Abl kinase upon mutation and deregulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. Feb 3; 2009 106(5):1386–91. [PubMed: 19164531] [This study used hydrogen exchange mass spectrometry to experimentally analyze the conformational effects of drug-resistant ABL-mutations. This technique is a valuable complement to static crystal structures and molecular dynamics simulations for proteins whose size precludes NMR analyses of solution structures.]
- 76. Shah NP, Nicoll JM, Nagar B, Gorre ME, Paquette RL, Kuriyan J, et al. Multiple BCR-ABL kinase domain mutations confer polyclonal resistance to the tyrosine kinase inhibitor imatinib (STI571) in chronic phase and blast crisis chronic myeloid leukemia. Cancer Cell. Aug; 2002 2(2): 117–25. [PubMed: 12204532]
- 77. Cowan-Jacob SW, Fendrich G, Floersheimer A, Furet P, Liebetanz J, Rummel G, et al. Structural biology contributions to the discovery of drugs to treat chronic myelogenous leukaemia. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. Jan; 2007 63(Pt 1):80–93. [PubMed: 17164530]
- 78. Cowan-Jacob SW, Guez V, Fendrich G, Griffin JD, Fabbro D, Furet P, et al. Imatinib (STI571) resistance in chronic myelogenous leukemia: molecular basis of the underlying mechanisms and potential strategies for treatment. Mini Rev Med Chem. Mar; 2004 4(3):285–99. [PubMed: 15032675]
- 79. Carlomagno F, Guida T, Anaganti S, Vecchio G, Fusco A, Ryan AJ, et al. Disease associated mutations at valine 804 in the RET receptor tyrosine kinase confer resistance to selective kinase inhibitors. Oncogene. Aug 12; 2004 23(36):6056–63. [PubMed: 15184865]
- Liu J, Joha S, Idziorek T, Corm S, Hetuin D, Philippe N, et al. BCR-ABL mutants spread resistance to non-mutated cells through a paracrine mechanism. Leukemia. Apr; 2008 22(4):791– 9. [PubMed: 18216868]
- 81. Yun CH, Mengwasser KE, Toms AV, Woo MS, Greulich H, Wong KK, et al. The T790M mutation in EGFR kinase causes drug resistance by increasing the affinity for ATP. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. Feb 12; 2008 105(6):2070–5. [PubMed: 18227510]
- Bell DW, Gore I, Okimoto RA, Godin-Heymann N, Sordella R, Mulloy R, et al. Inherited susceptibility to lung cancer may be associated with the T790M drug resistance mutation in EGFR. Nat Genet. Dec; 2005 37(12):1315–6. [PubMed: 16258541]
- Bossemeyer D. The glycine-rich sequence of protein kinases: a multifunctional element. Trends Biochem Sci. May; 1994 19(5):201–5. [PubMed: 8048162]
- Madhusudan, Akamine P, Xuong NH, Taylor SS. Crystal structure of a transition state mimic of the catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase. Nat Struct Biol. Apr; 2002 9(4):273–7. [PubMed: 11896404]

- 85. Liao JJ. Molecular recognition of protein kinase binding pockets for design of potent and selective kinase inhibitors. J Med Chem. Feb 8; 2007 50(3):409–24. [PubMed: 17266192]
- 86. Zhou T, Parillon L, Li F, Wang Y, Keats J, Lamore S, et al. Crystal structure of the T315I mutant of AbI kinase. Chem Biol Drug Des. Sep; 2007 70(3):171–81. [PubMed: 17718712]
- Young MA, Shah NP, Chao LH, Seeliger M, Milanov ZV, Biggs WH 3rd, et al. Structure of the kinase domain of an imatinib-resistant Abl mutant in complex with the Aurora kinase inhibitor VX-680. Cancer Res. Jan 15; 2006 66(2):1007–14. [PubMed: 16424036]
- Avizienyte E, Ward RA, Garner AP. Comparison of the EGFR resistance mutation profiles generated by EGFR-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors and the impact of drug combinations. Biochem J. Oct 15; 2008 415(2):197–206. [PubMed: 18588508]
- Halilovic E, Solit DB. Therapeutic strategies for inhibiting oncogenic BRAF signaling. Curr Opin Pharmacol. Aug; 2008 8(4):419–26. [PubMed: 18644254]
- Wan PT, Garnett MJ, Roe SM, Lee S, Niculescu-Duvaz D, Good VM, et al. Mechanism of activation of the RAF-ERK signaling pathway by oncogenic mutations of B-RAF. Cell. Mar 19; 2004 116(6):855–67. [PubMed: 15035987]
- Linardou H, Dahabreh IJ, Bafaloukos D, Kosmidis P, Murray S. Somatic EGFR mutations and efficacy of tyrosine kinase inhibitors in NSCLC. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. Jun; 2009 6(6):352–66. [PubMed: 19483740]
- 92. Skaggs BJ, Gorre ME, Ryvkin A, Burgess MR, Xie Y, Han Y, et al. Phosphorylation of the ATPbinding loop directs oncogenicity of drug-resistant BCR-ABL mutants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. Dec 19; 2006 103(51):19466–71. [PubMed: 17164333]
- 93. Griswold IJ, MacPartlin M, Bumm T, Goss VL, O'Hare T, Lee KA, et al. Kinase domain mutants of Bcr-Abl exhibit altered transformation potency, kinase activity, and substrate utilization, irrespective of sensitivity to imatinib. Mol Cell Biol. Aug; 2006 26(16):6082–93. [PubMed: 16880519]
- 94. Seeliger MA, Ranjitkar P, Kasap C, Shan Y, Shaw DE, Shah NP, et al. Equally potent inhibition of c-Src and Abl by compounds that recognize inactive kinase conformations. Cancer Res. Mar 15; 2009 69(6):2384–92. [PubMed: 19276351]
- 95. Roumiantsev S, Shah NP, Gorre ME, Nicoll J, Brasher BB, Sawyers CL, et al. Clinical resistance to the kinase inhibitor STI-571 in chronic myeloid leukemia by mutation of Tyr-253 in the Abl kinase domain P-loop. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. Aug 6; 2002 99(16):10700–5. [PubMed: 12149456]
- 96. Vajpai N, Strauss A, Fendrich G, Cowan-Jacob SW, Manley PW, Grzesiek S, et al. Solution conformations and dynamics of ABL kinase-inhibitor complexes determined by NMR substantiate the different binding modes of imatinib/nilotinib and dasatinib. J Biol Chem. Jun 27; 2008 283(26):18292–302. [PubMed: 18434310]
- 97. Nagar B, Bornmann WG, Pellicena P, Schindler T, Veach DR, Miller WT, et al. Crystal structures of the kinase domain of c-Abl in complex with the small molecule inhibitors PD173955 and imatinib (STI-571). Cancer Res. Aug 1; 2002 62(15):4236–43. [PubMed: 12154025]
- Burgess MR, Skaggs BJ, Shah NP, Lee FY, Sawyers CL. Comparative analysis of two clinically active BCR-ABL kinase inhibitors reveals the role of conformation-specific binding in resistance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. Mar 1; 2005 102(9):3395–400. [PubMed: 15705718]
- Lee TS, Potts SJ, Kantarjian H, Cortes J, Giles F, Albitar M. Molecular basis explanation for imatinib resistance of BCR-ABL due to T315I and P-loop mutations from molecular dynamics simulations. Cancer. Apr 15; 2008 112(8):1744–53. [PubMed: 18338744]
- 100. Squire CJ, Dickson JM, Ivanovic I, Baker EN. Structure and inhibition of the human cell cycle checkpoint kinase, Wee1A kinase: an atypical tyrosine kinase with a key role in CDK1 regulation. Structure. Apr; 2005 13(4):541–50. [PubMed: 15837193]
- 101. Nguyen KS, Kobayashi S, Costa DB. Acquired resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in non-small-cell lung cancers dependent on the epidermal growth factor receptor pathway. Clin Lung Cancer. Jul; 2009 10(4):281–9. [PubMed: 19632948]
- 102. Gajiwala KS, Wu JC, Christensen J, Deshmukh GD, Diehl W, DiNitto JP, et al. KIT kinase mutants show unique mechanisms of drug resistance to imatinib and sunitinib in gastrointestinal

stromal tumor patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. Feb 3; 2009 106(5):1542–7. [PubMed: 19164557]

- 103. Lasota J, Miettinen M. Clinical significance of oncogenic KIT and PDGFRA mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumours. Histopathology. Sep; 2008 53(3):245–66. [PubMed: 18312355]
- 104. Heinrich MC, Maki RG, Corless CL, Antonescu CR, Harlow A, Griffith D, et al. Primary and secondary kinase genotypes correlate with the biological and clinical activity of sunitinib in imatinib-resistant gastrointestinal stromal tumor. J Clin Oncol. Nov 20; 2008 26(33):5352–9. [PubMed: 18955458]
- 105. Nishida T, Kanda T, Nishitani A, Takahashi T, Nakajima K, Ishikawa T, et al. Secondary mutations in the kinase domain of the KIT gene are predominant in imatinib-resistant gastrointestinal stromal tumor. Cancer Sci. Apr; 2008 99(4):799–804. [PubMed: 18294292]
- 106. Roberts KG, Odell AF, Byrnes EM, Baleato RM, Griffith R, Lyons AB, et al. Resistance to c-KIT kinase inhibitors conferred by V654A mutation. Mol Cancer Ther. Mar; 2007 6(3):1159–66. [PubMed: 17363509]
- 107. Fletcher JA, Rubin BP. KIT mutations in GIST. Curr Opin Genet Dev. Feb; 2007 17(1):3–7. [PubMed: 17208434]
- 108. Tang Z, Jiang S, Du R, Petri ET, El-Telbany A, Chan PS, et al. Disruption of the EGFR E884-R958 ion pair conserved in the human kinome differentially alters signaling and inhibitor sensitivity. Oncogene. Jan 29; 2009 28(4):518–33. [PubMed: 19015641]
- 109. Lee F, Fandi A, Voi M. Overcoming kinase resistance in chronic myeloid leukemia. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2008; 40(3):334–43. [PubMed: 18401881]
- Mager DE. Quantitative structure-pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic relationships. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. Nov 30; 2006 58(12-13):1326–56. [PubMed: 17092600]
- 111. Shah NP, Kim DW, Kantarjian H, Rousselot P, Llacer PE, Enrico A, et al. Potent, transient inhibition of BCR-ABL with dasatinib 100 mg daily achieves rapid and durable cytogenetic responses and high transformation-free survival rates in chronic phase chronic myeloid leukemia patients with resistance, suboptimal response or intolerance to imatinib. Haematologica. Feb; 2010 95(2):232–40. [PubMed: 20139391]
- 112. Snead JL, O'Hare T, Adrian LT, Eide CA, Lange T, Druker BJ, et al. Acute dasatinib exposure commits Bcr-Abl-dependent cells to apoptosis. Blood. Oct 15; 2009 114(16):3459–63. [PubMed: 19706883]
- 113. Petak I, Schwab R, Orfi L, Kopper L, Keri G. Integrating molecular diagnostics into anticancer drug discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discov. Jul; 2010 9(7):523–35. [PubMed: 20531274]
- 114*. Karaman MW, Herrgard S, Treiber DK, Gallant P, Atteridge CE, Campbell BT, et al. A quantitative analysis of kinase inhibitor selectivity. Nat Biotechnol. Jan; 2008 26(1):127–32.
 [PubMed: 18183025] [This intriguing study provides interaction maps for 38 kinase inhibitors across a panel of 317 kinases representing >50% of the predicted human protein kinome.]
- 115. Mak DH, Schober WD, Chen W, Konopleva M, Cortes J, Kantarjian HM, et al. Triptolide induces cell death independent of cellular responses to imatinib in blast crisis chronic myelogenous leukemia cells including quiescent CD34+ primitive progenitor cells. Mol Cancer Ther. Sep; 2009 8(9):2509–16. [PubMed: 19723894]
- 116. von Bubnoff N, Manley PW, Mestan J, Sanger J, Peschel C, Duyster J. Bcr-Abl resistance screening predicts a limited spectrum of point mutations to be associated with clinical resistance to the Abl kinase inhibitor nilotinib (AMN107). Blood. Aug 15; 2006 108(4):1328–33. [PubMed: 16614241]
- 117. Bradeen HA, Eide CA, O'Hare T, Johnson KJ, Willis SG, Lee FY, et al. Comparison of imatinib mesylate, dasatinib (BMS-354825), and nilotinib (AMN107) in an N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea (ENU)based mutagenesis screen: high efficacy of drug combinations. Blood. Oct 1; 2006 108(7):2332– 8. [PubMed: 16772610]
- 118. Ray A, Cowan-Jacob SW, Manley PW, Mestan J, Griffin JD. Identification of BCR-ABL point mutations conferring resistance to the Abl kinase inhibitor AMN107 (nilotinib) by a random mutagenesis study. Blood. Jun 1; 2007 109(11):5011–5. [PubMed: 17303698]

- 119. Zhou W, Ercan D, Chen L, Yun CH, Li D, Capelletti M, et al. Novel mutant-selective EGFR kinase inhibitors against EGFR T790M. Nature. Dec 24; 2009 462(7276):1070–4. [PubMed: 20033049]
- 120. Weisberg E, Sattler M, Ray A, Griffin JD. Drug resistance in mutant FLT3-positive AML. Oncogene. Jul 12.2010
- 121. Redaelli S, Piazza R, Rostagno R, Magistroni V, Perini P, Marega M, et al. Activity of bosutinib, dasatinib, and nilotinib against 18 imatinib-resistant BCR/ABL mutants. J Clin Oncol. Jan 20; 2009 27(3):469–71. [PubMed: 19075254]
- 122. Weisberg E, Choi HG, Ray A, Barrett R, Zhang J, Sim T, et al. Discovery of a small-molecule type II inhibitor of wild-type and gatekeeper mutants of BCR-ABL, PDGFRalpha, Kit, and Src kinases: novel type II inhibitor of gatekeeper mutants. Blood. May 27; 2010 115(21):4206–16. [PubMed: 20299508]
- 123. Choi HG, Ren P, Adrian F, Sun F, Lee HS, Wang X, et al. A type-II kinase inhibitor capable of inhibiting the T315I "gatekeeper" mutant of Bcr-Abl. J Med Chem. Aug 12; 2010 53(15):5439–48. [PubMed: 20604564]
- 124. Thomas RK, Baker AC, Debiasi RM, Winckler W, Laframboise T, Lin WM, et al. Highthroughput oncogene mutation profiling in human cancer. Nat Genet. Mar; 2007 39(3):347–51. [PubMed: 17293865]
- 125. Maecker HT, Nolan GP, Fathman CG. New technologies for autoimmune disease monitoring. Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes. Aug; 2010 17(4):322–8. [PubMed: 20531181]
- 126. Goldstein DM, Gray NS, Zarrinkar PP. High-throughput kinase profiling as a platform for drug discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discov. May; 2008 7(5):391–7. [PubMed: 18404149]
- 127. von Bubnoff N, Barwisch S, Speicher MR, Peschel C, Duyster J. A cell-based screening strategy that predicts mutations in oncogenic tyrosine kinases: implications for clinical resistance in targeted cancer treatment. Cell Cycle. Mar; 2005 4(3):400–6. [PubMed: 15738656]
- 128. von Bubnoff N, Engh RA, Aberg E, Sanger J, Peschel C, Duyster J. FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3internal tandem duplication tyrosine kinase inhibitors display a nonoverlapping profile of resistance mutations in vitro. Cancer Res. Apr 1; 2009 69(7):3032–41. [PubMed: 19318574]
- 129. Yu Z, Boggon TJ, Kobayashi S, Jin C, Ma PC, Dowlati A, et al. Resistance to an irreversible epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitor in EGFR-mutant lung cancer reveals novel treatment strategies. Cancer Res. Nov 1; 2007 67(21):10417–27. [PubMed: 17974985]
- 130*. Faley SL, Copland M, Wlodkowic D, Kolch W, Seale KT, Wikswo JP, et al. Microfluidic single cell arrays to interrogate signalling dynamics of individual, patient-derived hematopoietic stem cells. Lab Chip. Sep 21; 2009 9(18):2659–64. [PubMed: 19704981] [This methodological paper describes chip-based microfluidic three-color cell viability assays that detected differential responses of normal and CML stem/progenitor cells to dasatinib. This technique could allow analyses of compound-effects on rare leukemic stem cells. It might also open the way to large-scale profiling of multiple compounds against large panels of cells harboring known or predicted drug-resistant kinase mutants.]
- 131**. Schadt EE, Linderman MD, Sorenson J, Lee L, Nolan GP. Computational solutions to large-scale data management and analysis. Nat Rev Genet. Sep; 2010 11(9):647–57. [PubMed: 20717155] [This interesting review discusses novel techniques for the large-scale, multi-dimensional analysis of different cell types and signaling events therein. They could transform biomedical research and in particular allow one to broadly and simultaneously determine the impact of specific genes, mutations or compounds on many different signaling pathways in many different cell types.]
- 132. Marsden BD, Knapp S. Doing more than just the structure-structural genomics in kinase drug discovery. Curr Opin Chem Biol. Feb; 2008 12(1):40–5. [PubMed: 18267130]
- 133. Foster DA, Toschi A. Targeting mTOR with rapamycin: one dose does not fit all. Cell Cycle. Apr 1; 2009 8(7):1026–9. [PubMed: 19270529]
- Chu SH, Small D. Mechanisms of resistance to FLT3 inhibitors. Drug Resist Updat. Feb-Apr; 2009 12(1-2):8–16. [PubMed: 19162530]
- Klebl BM, Muller G. Second-generation kinase inhibitors. Expert Opin Ther Targets. Oct; 2005 9(5):975–93. [PubMed: 16185153]

- 136. Danis RP, Sheetz MJ. Ruboxistaurin: PKC-beta inhibition for complications of diabetes. Expert Opin Pharmacother. Dec; 2009 10(17):2913–25. [PubMed: 19929710]
- 137. Lapenna S, Giordano A. Cell cycle kinases as therapeutic targets for cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discov. Jul; 2009 8(7):547–66. [PubMed: 19568282]
- 138. Strebhardt K. Multifaceted polo-like kinases: drug targets and antitargets for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Drug Discov. Aug; 2010 9(8):643–60. [PubMed: 20671765]
- 139. Xue Q, Hopkins B, Perruzzi C, Udayakumar D, Sherris D, Benjamin LE. Palomid 529, a novel small-molecule drug, is a TORC1/TORC2 inhibitor that reduces tumor growth, tumor angiogenesis, and vascular permeability. Cancer Res. Nov 15; 2008 68(22):9551–7. [PubMed: 19010932]
- 140**. (NCI) NCI. NCI Clinical Trial Search Webpage. 2010. [Webpage][cited; Available from: http://www.cancer.gov/clinicaltrials [An excellent, interactive webpage that allows one to search for cancer clinical trials.]
- 141**. Gonfloni S, Weijland A, Kretzschmar J, Superti-Furga G. Crosstalk between the catalytic and regulatory domains allows bidirectional regulation of Src. Nat Struct Biol. Apr; 2000 7(4):281–6. [PubMed: 10742171] [References ¹⁴¹ and ⁴³ used structural data to show how intramolecular domain-interactions orchestrate SFK function.]
- 142. Lierman E, Michaux L, Beullens E, Pierre P, Marynen P, Cools J, et al. FIP1L1-PDGFRalpha D842V, a novel panresistant mutant, emerging after treatment of FIP1L1-PDGFRalpha T674I eosinophilic leukemia with single agent sorafenib. Leukemia. May; 2009 23(5):845–51. [PubMed: 19212337]
- 143. Bean J, Riely GJ, Balak M, Marks JL, Ladanyi M, Miller VA, et al. Acquired resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor kinase inhibitors associated with a novel T854A mutation in a patient with EGFR-mutant lung adenocarcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. Nov 15; 2008 14(22):7519– 25. [PubMed: 19010870]
- 144. Schindler T, Sicheri F, Pico A, Gazit A, Levitzki A, Kuriyan J. Crystal structure of Hck in complex with a Src family-selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor. Mol Cell. May; 1999 3(5):639–48. [PubMed: 10360180]
- 145. Cowan-Jacob SW, Fendrich G, Manley PW, Jahnke W, Fabbro D, Liebetanz J, et al. The crystal structure of a c-Src complex in an active conformation suggests possible steps in c-Src activation. Structure. Jun; 2005 13(6):861–71. [PubMed: 15939018]
- 146. Martin MW, Newcomb J, Nunes JJ, Bemis JE, McGowan DC, White RD, et al. Discovery of novel 2,3-diarylfuro[2,3-b]pyridin-4-amines as potent and selective inhibitors of Lck: synthesis, SAR, and pharmacokinetic properties. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. Apr 15; 2007 17(8):2299–304. [PubMed: 17276681]
- 147. Green H, Skoglund K, Rommel F, Mirghani RA, Lotfi K. CYP3A activity influences imatinib response in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia: a pilot study on in vivo CYP3A activity. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. Apr; 2010 66(4):383–6. [PubMed: 20054526]



Fig. 1. Conformational changes mediating Src-family protein tyrosine kinase (SFK) activation (A) SFK primary structure depicting conserved domains. Green, myristoyl- or farnesylconjugated N-terminus. SH3, SH2, KD, Src-homology 3, 2 or KD, respectively. (B-G) Schematic depictions (B,C) and crystal structures (D-G) of the inactive (B,D,F) or active (C,E,G) SFK tertiary structures. The crystal structures shown are hHck-SH2-SH3-PP1 (Pdb accession number 1QCF, D,F)¹⁴⁴, hc-Src-des-methyl-Imatinib (1Y57, E)¹⁴⁵ and hLck-Furanopyrimidine (2OF2, G)¹⁴⁶. (F,G) Kinase domains only. Highlighted in (B-G) and annotated in (B,C) are typical characteristics of the inactive and active SFK conformations, respectively. All structures were rendered and colored in Swiss-PdbViewer (www.expasy.org/spdbv). Domains and interdomain linker regions are indicated and colorcoded. Bordeaux, SH3 domain; black, SH3-SH2 interdomain linker; orange, SH2 domain; gray, SH2-KD linker, light blue, KD N-lobe with α C helix (yellow) and G-loop (pink); dark blue, C-lobe with activation (A)-loop (brown); salmon, C-terminal tail (C-Tail). Also indicated are key amino acid (AA) side-chains involved in catalysis, or whose orientation differs markedly among the different conformations in Src or ABL family kinases. Red, D and F of the A-loop DFG motif, $D/E_{\alpha C}$ within the αC helix which forms a salt-bridge with conserved K_{β} (green) in N-lobe β -sheet 3 in active SFKs, Y_A in the A-loop which is autophosphorylated into YP (red sphere in C) in active kinases, YC in the C-terminus which is phosphorylated into YP (red sphere in B) by Csk and binds to the SH2-domain in inactive SFKs. Also shown is A-loop K_A (green) which may form a salt-bridge with $D/E_{\alpha C}$ in the " α C-out" conformation of inactive SFKs (**B,D,F**) and of ABL in the SFK-like inactive structure (Fig. 2H). Cyan, bound ATP-competitive inhibitor.



Fig. 2. Conformational changes mediating ABL/Arg-family protein tyrosine kinase activation (A) ABL and oncogenic BCR-ABL primary structures depicting conserved domains. Green, myristoyl-conjugated N-terminus only present in ABL1b (ABL-1b) due to alternative splicing. SH3, SH2, KD, Src-homology 3, 2 or KD, respectively⁴¹. (**B**,**C**) Schemes (**B**) and crystal structures (C) of myristate-bound, autoinhibited full length hAbl-PD166326 (Pdb accession number 2FO0, left)³⁹, or hAbl-P16 (1OPL chain B, right)⁴¹, which illustrates potential N-lobe/SH2 domain interactions in active ABL. Domains and features are indicated and color-coded as in fig. 1. Cayenne, N-terminal cap region (Cap) folding back over and interacting with the SH2 domain through a phosphorylated serine³⁹. Green, myristate (Myr) binding site including myristate moiety and involving a unique αI helix. The region between Cap and Myristate is disordered and harbors a deletion³⁹. (D-I) Schematic depictions (D-F) and crystal structures (G-I) of the following complexes: (D,G) hABL-Imatinib (1IEP)⁹⁷ in the type 2 inhibitor-binding ABL-type inactive conformation. (E,H) hABL-ATPyS-substrate peptide (2G1T)⁴⁰ in the type 1 inhibitor-binding competent SFKlike inactive conformation. (F,I) hABLVX-680 (2F4J)⁸⁷ in the type 1 inhibitor-bound active conformation. The active conformation most likely results from synergy between a H396P mutation, which destabilizes the ABL-type inactive conformation, and binding of VX-680, which favors the active conformation through hydrogen-bonding and steric effects. VX-680 binds Abl in a mode that accommodates the T315I "gatekeeper" mutation⁸⁷. Cyan, bound ATP-analog. Bordeaux stick model, substrate peptide in (H). Key characteristics of each conformation and other kinases for which the respective conformation has been reported are summarized underneath the respective structures 35 .



Fig. 3. Types and structural features of small-molecule inhibitor binding sites in ABL/Arg-family protein tyrosine kinases

Shown are (**A-C**) the crystal structures and (**D-F**) schemes of the compound-bound ATPbinding sites of (**A,D**) the type 2 inhibitor imatinib-bound human (h) ABL kinase domain (KD, 1IEP)⁹⁷, (**B,E**) the ATP γ S/substrate peptide bound hABL KD in the SFK-like inactive conformation (2F1T)⁴⁰ and (**C,F**) the type 1 inhibitor PD166326-bound hABL KD in the active conformation (1OPL)⁴¹. Domains and structural features are color-coded as in fig. 1,2. Colored spheres highlight the positions of the following key sites involved in inhibitorinteractions: Hydrophobic pocket 1 (pink) or 2 (green), ATP-adenine binding region (yellow), ATP-ribose binding region (violet), ATP-triphosphate binding region (blue), type 2/3 allosteric site (gray) and the myristate-binding region as an example for a type 4 allosteric site remote from the ATP-binding region (orange). Also indicated are the hinge region, which forms conserved hydrogen-bonds (dashed lines) with the ATP-adenine or adenine-analogous moieties of ATP-competitive inhibitors, the T315 "gatekeeper" residue which can control access to hydrophobic pocket 2 and type 2/3 allosteric site, and characteristic hydrogen-bonds between imatinib and residues in α C-helix and in the DFGmotif at the beginning of the A-loop^{1, 8, 13, 35, 50}.



Fig. 4. Mechanisms of kinase inhibitor (KI) drug-resistance and approaches to overcome it

(A) Generalized mechanisms that can reduce KI drug efficacy or cause drug-resistance. Pharmacokinetic (PK) factors such as drug sequestration in extracellular space or plasma, suboptimal delivery, absorption, tissue penetration, enhanced metabolic turnover, clearance and excretion primarily affect drug cellular availability and efficacy (Fig. 4A,B)⁶⁵. In preclinical models, drug-binding to plasma α 1-acid glycoprotein (AGP) reduced drug delivery into cancer cells. However, the clinical relevance is unclear. Imatinib-release by the AGP competitive binder erythromycin could provide an avenue to overcome this potential problem^{9, 16, 22}. Pharmacogenomic factors can profoundly affect almost every PK/ pharmacodynamic (PD) property of a drug⁹. For example, polymorphisms in the drugimporter OCT-1 or the drug exporters MDR-1/P-glycoprotein (Pgp) and ABCG2 may affect imatinib, gefitinib or erlotinib pharmacokinetics and toxicity, although conflicting data render the clinical relevance unclear^{9, 16, 24}. Drug-resistance can be caused by target-cell extrinsic "systemic" mechanisms, or by a broad array of target cell autonomous/intrinsic mechanisms. In addition to those discussed in the text, the upregulation of cellular target protein activators, or the downregulation of target-protein inhibitors might contribute to drug-resistance or reduced drug efficacy^{9, 16, 21-24, 66, 67}. (**B**) Schematic depiction of factors influencing KI efficacy or drug-resistance, exemplified by ABL-inhibitors^{9, 16}. To maximize ease of use and patient compliance, drugs (blue stars) are preferably applied orally as tablets/ capsules (1). In the gut (2), they are released and enter the blood via the hepatic portal vein (3). Unabsorbed drugs are excreted in the faeces. KIs enter their target cells (cells of the immune system in the case of ABL-inhibitors) via import-proteins, including the organic cation importer hOCT1 in case of imatinib (4). Cellular sequestration or metabolism may affect cellular drug efficacy. KIs are exported by ATP binding-cassette transporter families B and G2, including AGP in case of imatinib. Illustrated by BCR-ABL, several cellintrinsic, auto- and paracrine effects can cause drug-resistance, discussed in detail in the text. Many of them are facilitated by the genomic instability of tumor cells. A major role of target gene missense mutations complements contributions by target-gene amplification, overexpression or epigenetic activation, or by the deregulation of redundant or downstream

Barouch-Bentov and Sauer

pathways. A recent review of KI PK properties⁶⁵ suggests that overall, KIs reach their maximum plasma levels relatively fast, have an unknown absolute bioavailability, are extensively distributed and highly bound to plasma-proteins such as AGP in the blood (5,6). KIs are primarily metabolized (red stars) in the liver by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 (5) and excreted primarily via the biliary-fecal route (3). Only a minor fraction is eliminated with the urine (6,7). In a small study, elevated CYP3A activity and production of the therapeutically active metabolite CGP74588 associated with higher imatinib molecular responses¹⁴⁷. CYP1A1 induction by cigarette smoke may decrease erlotinib exposure. No significant contribution of CYP3A4/5 polymorphisms to KI efficacy/toxicity has been reported ⁹. Finally, the interesting KI ability to inhibit some of their own metabolizing enzymes and transporters renders steady-state metabolism and drug-drug interactions complex and unpredictable⁶⁵. (C) General approaches to improve drug efficacy and overcome drug-resistance. For details, see text and^{9, 15, 21-24, 65, 67, 109-112}.



Shown is the crystal structure of myristate-bound, autoinhibited full length hAbl-PD166326 (Pdb accession number 2FO0)³⁹. (**A**) shows the entire structure including regulatory subunit interactions. (**B**) shows the KD only, oriented as those in fig. 2,3. Domains, structural features and kinase-inhibitor interaction sites are labeled and color-coded as in fig. 1-3. The colored spheres indicate the positions of drug-resistant point mutations clinically observed in ABL only (red), clinically observed in ABL and other kinases (light green), observed preclinically in ABL and clinically in other kinases (light blue), or only observed preclinically in ABL to date (brown, tab. 4,5).

Compound	Company	Mechanism of inhibition	Known targets	Indications	Status	Clinical Resistance observed?	Recent references
Imatinib (STI-571, Gleevec)	Novartis	ATP competitive Type 2 inhibitor, binds inactive conformation	ABL, ARG, KIT, FMS, PDGFR, EGFR, DDR1	CML, GIST, HES, other cancers	Approved/Phase I-IV. Also in ~100 clinical trials for multiple indication	Yes, Tables 4,5	4, 13, 15, 20, 23, 56, 114
Dasatinib (BMS-354825)	Bristol-Myers Squibb	ATP competitive Type 1 inhibitor, binds active and possibly also inactive conformation	ABL, ARG, EGFR, BTK, PDGFR, KTT, SFKs, Ephrins. Inhibits 21 of 22 Inatinib- resistant BCR-ABL mutants.	Imatinib-resistant CML, Ph ⁺ ALL; other cancers	Approved/Phase I-III	Yes, Tables 4,5. Preclinical: BCR-ABL L248V, 0253H, 6250E, E255K, V299L, T3151 (E1556K, V299L, T3151 (elinical resistance), F317I/C/L/V, possibly others.	4, 10, 13, 15, 16, 20, 56, 57, 114, 120
Rapamycin (Sirolinus, rapamune)	Wyeth	Allosteric mTOR inhibitor. Rapamycin binds to the cellular FKBP12 protein. The complex or rapamycin alone then competes with phosphatidic acid (PA) binding to the FRB domain of mTOR, preventing PA from facilitating the assembly of mTORC1/2 complexes. which mediate cellular mTOR functions.	mTOR	Approved for transplant rejection, restenosis. In trials for RCC, other cancers, immunosuppression in autoimmune diseases, cardiovascular disease, ADPKD	Approved/Phase I-IV	Yes: (a) Low-dose Rapamycin may primarily inhibit mTORCI and induce feedback- activation of MAPK signaling and mTORC2 via IGF1R-dependent mechanisms. (b) Many concers upregulate PLD activity, resulting in activity, resulting in activity control of magnetic activity and activity for a to activity of a to activ	1, 20, 133
Temsirolimus (CCI-779)	Wyeth	Rapamycin analog allosteric mTOR inhibitor	mTOR	Advanced RCC, Hamartoma syndromes, other cancers	Approved/Phase I-III		1, 20
Sunitinib (SU11248)	Pfizer	ATP-competitive inhibitor.	PDGFR, VEGFR, KIT, RET, CSF-1R, FLT3	RCC, imatinib-refractory GIST, breast, lung, colorectal cancers, AML	Approved/Phase I-IV		1, 20, 120, 134
Gefitinib (ZD-1839)	AstraZeneca	ATP-competitive, binds active EGFR.	EGFR (Her1, ErbB-1)	NSCLC; other cancers	Approved/Phase I-IV	Yes, table 5.	1, 8, 20, 23
Erlotinib (OSI-774)	Genentech/Roche	ATP-competitive inhibitor, binds active EGFR.	EGFR, JAK2 $V_{617}F$ mutant	NSCLC, pancreatic carcinomas; other cancers	Approved/Phase I-IV	Yes, table 5.	1, 20, 23
Lapatinib (GW572016)	GSK	ATP-competitive inhibitor, binds SKF- like inactive conformation	EGFR (HER2/neu/ERBB2)	Breast cancer, other cancers	Approved/Phase I-IV		1, 13
Nilounib (AMN 107)	Novartis	ATP competitive type 2 inhibitor, targets inactive conformation. May not be imported via hOCT1.	ABL, ARG, PDGFR, KIT, Ephrins	Imatinib-resistant CML; other cancers	Approved/Phase I-IV	Yes, table 4,5. BCR-ABL L248V, G250E, Y253H, E255KVV, E292V, T315I , F359CV, L384M, L387F F359CV, L384M, L387F ABL gene amplification	4. 13. 16. 20. 56. 121

Table 1

Approved kinase inhibitor drugs

Compound	Company	Mechanism of inhibition	Known targets	Indications	Status	Clinical Resistance observed? and Lyn activation may contribute.	Recent references
Fasudil (HA-1077, AT877)	Asahi Kasei Pharma/ Sche ring	ATP-competitive inhibitor.	ROCK, MLCK, PKC	Cerebral vasospasm, chronic stable or vasospastic angina; Raynaud's phenomenon, carotid artherosclerosis	Approved/Phase II/III		10, 20, 135
Sorafenib (BA 43-9006, Nexavar)	Bayer	ATP-competitive type 2 inhibitor.	BRAF, VEGFR, PDGFRβ, FLT3, KIT	RCC, HCC, AML; thyroid carcinoma, other cancers, vascular diseases and diabetic retinopathies	Approved/Phase I-IV		1, 7, 8, 13, 20, 35, 89, 120, 134
Ruboxistaurin (LY333531)	Eli Lily	ATP-competitive inhibitor.	PKC\$, other PKCs	Diabetic retinopathy; explored for diabetic macular edema, diabetic peripheral neuropathy and diabetic nephropathy.	Phase III; FDA issued approvable letter in 2006 with request for additional trial.		136
Everolimus (RAD-001, RAD001)	Novartis	Rapamycin analog allosteric mTOR inhibitor	mTOR	Organ transplant rejection; cancers, Hamartoma syndromes, cardiovascular/coronary diseases, PCKD	Approved/Phase I-IV		20, 133
<u>Legend</u> : ADPKD, autosomal-dominan syndrome, MM, multiple myeloma, P	nt polycystic kidney disease. CKD, polycystic kidney dise	, ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, AML, ease, RCC, renal cell carcinoma, HCC, hepat	acute myelogenous leukemia, CML, c tocellular carcinoma, NSCLC, non sm	:hronic myelogenous leukemia, GIST, ₁ all-cell lung cancer, Ph ⁺ , Philadelphia-	gastrointestinal stromal tumors chromosome positive, harborii	HES, hypereosinophilic synd g a reciprocal translocation b	drome, MDS, myelodysplastic etween chromosomes 9 and
22 that generates a breakpoint cluster3 list a selection of compounds in clin	region (BCR)-Abelson kina ical trials. <i>Italic</i> , KIs whose	se (c-ABL) fusion gene resulting in expressi indications include other diseases than canco	on of constitutively active BCR-ABL I ar. For more detailed discussions and s	protein which causes cell transformatio itructures of KIs in clinical trials, for m	in in CML ¹⁵ . The table lists cuark may more examples than those	urrently approved kinase-inhib listed here, and for discussior	oitor (KI) drugs. Tables 2 and is of additional potential

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

interactions of the most relevant KIs with their targeted kinases, see 1 .

indications, see⁴, 8, 10-12, 15-17, 27, 32, 50, 68, 109, 120, 134, 135, 137, 138. For updated clinical trial information of cancer or other therapeutics, visit http://www.cancer.gov/clinicaltrials.gov²⁰. For an excellent recent discussion of the structural

_
_
T
_
0
~
-
~
<u> </u>
t
_
~
0
≚
_
<
_
01
<u> </u>
_
=
~~
0,
0
~
<u> </u>
7
0
+

Barouch-Bentov and Sauer

Table 2

Selected kinase inhibitor drugs in clinical studies exclusively for cancer indications

Compound	Company	Mechanism of inhibition	Known townote	Indications	Status	Resistance	Recent
PCI-3276	Pharmacyclics		uar geus BTK	B cell leukemias and lymphomas, NHL	Phase I	. max magn	20
SF1126	Semafore Pharmaceuticals		PI3K	Cancer	Phase I		20
GDC-0941	Genentech		PI3K	Breast cancer, solid cancers, NHL, NSCLC	Phase I		20
BKM120	Novartis		PI3K	Breast and various other cancers	Phase I		20
PF-04691502	Pfizer		PI3K/mTOR	Cancer	Phase I		20
PX-866	Oncothyreon		PI3K	Cancer	Phase I		20
BGT226	Novartis		PI3K	Cancer, Cowden Syndrome	Phase I-II		20
BEX235	Novartis		PI3K	Cancer	Phase I		139
XL147	Exelixis		PI3K	Cancer, lymphoma	Phase I-II		20
XL765	Exelixis		PI3K/mTOR	Cancer	Phase I-II		20
Perifosine	Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center	Targets the AKT PH domain and prevents AKT translocation to the plasma membrane.	AKT	Various cancers, Waldenstrom's Macroglobulinemia, chondrosarcomas, GIST, MM, RCC, CLL, colon, pancreatic, ovarian cancer, leukemia, lymphoma	Phase I-III		20
Ridaforolimus (AP23573, MK-8669; formerly Deforolimus)	Merck	Rapamycin analog, allosteric mTOR inhibitor	mTOR	Various cancers	Phase I-III		20
AZD2014	AstraZeneca		mTOR	Cancer	Phase I		20
Enzastaurin (LY317615)	Eli Lilly		PKCß	Various cancers, NSCLC, lymphoma, leukemia, MM, Waldenstrom's Macroglobulinemia	Phase I-III		20, 135
XL-228	Exelixis	Inhibits BCR-ABL T3151	ABL, AURORA-A, FGFR, IGF1R, SRC	CML, Ph ⁺ ALL, lymphoma, myeloma, solid tumors	Phase I		16, 20
AP24534	Ariad	ATP-competitive type 2 inhibitor. Inhibits BCR-ABL T3151 in vitro.	ABL, FGFR, FLT3, VEGFR, KIT	CML, advanced hematologic malignancies	Phase I		16, 120
Bosutinib (SK1-606)	Wyeth	ATP competitive inhibitor. Binds intermediate and inactive BCR-ABL conformations. Has efficacy in imatinib-resistant CML at least in short-term studies. Inhibits multiple imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL mutants, but G-loop mutants are partially, and gatekeeper-mutants fully resistant.	ABL, SFKs, CAMK2G, STE20, TEC, KIT, PDGFR	CML, Ph ⁺ ALL, breast cancer	Phase I-III	BCR-ABL T3151, V288L, moderately resistant: E255K/ V, G250E.	16, 20, 27, 56, 121 _.
INNO-406 (NS-187)	Innovive/Cyt Rx	ATP competitive type 2 inhibitor. Higher ABL-affinity than Imatinib. Inhibits several Imatinib-resistant	ABL, LYN, PDGFR, KIT. Not a broad SFK inhibitor.	CML, Ph ⁺ ALL	Phase I/II	BCR-ABL T315I.	16, 20, 27, 56

Compound	Company	Mechanism of inhibition BCR-ABL mutants except T315I. LYN-coinhibition may contribute to its ability to overcome imatinib- resistance.	Known targets	Indications	Status	Resistance observed?	Recent references
AZD0530	AstraZeneca	ATP competitive inhibitor.	ABL (weak inhibition), SFKs	CML, advanced ovarian cancer, other cancers	Phase I-II		20, 56
MK-0457 (VX-680)	Merck	Inhibits BCR-ABL T315I (IC ₅₀ ~5 μM).	AURORA-A/B, FLT3, JAK2, ABL (including T3151)	CML, Ph ⁺ ALL, other cancers	Terminated due to cardiac toxicity.		16, 20, 56
PHA-739358	Nerviano Medical Sciences	Inhibits BCR-ABL T3151	AURORA-A/B/C, ABL, FGFR1, RET, TRK	Imatinib-resistant CML, MM, prostate cancer	Phase II		16, 20, 56
Axitinib (AG013736)	Pfizer		VEGFR, PDGFR, KIT	Breast cancer, RCC, pancreatic and other cancers	Phase I-III		20
Cediranib (AZD2171)	AstraZeneca		VEGFR	NSCLC, kidney cancer, glioblastoma, colorectal cancer, CNS tumors in children, lung cancer	Phase I-III		20
Vandetanib (ZD6474)	AstraZeneca		VEGFR, EGFR, RET	NSCLC, breast and prostate cancer, thyroid, head and neck carcinoma, glioma	Phase I-III		7, 20
BIBW-2992	Bochringer Ingelheim		EGFR (HER-2/neu)	NSCLC, breast and prostate cancer, head and neck carcinoma, glioma	Phase I-III		20
Vatalanib (CPG-79787)	Bayer, Schering, Novartis		VEGFR, PDGFR, KIT, FLT-4, FMS	Advanced colorectal cancer, other cancers	Phase I-III		20, 135
Midostaurin (PKC412)	Novartis	ATP-competitive type 1 inhibitor.	PKCs, VEGFR2, PDGFR, FLT3, KIT, MDR	Gleevec-resistant GIST, AML, aggressive systemic mastocytosis (ASM) and mast cell leukemia, relapsed or refractory pediatric leukemia, myelodysplastic syndrome	Phase I-III	Yes	20, 120, 134, 140
AT9283	Astex Therapeutics		ABL, AURORA-A/B, FLT3, JAK2/3	CML, AML, ALL, MDS, NHL, myelofibrosis, solid cancers	Phase I/II		16, 20
KW-2449	Kyowa Hakko Kirin Pharma	Inhibits BCR-ABL T315I	ABL, AURORA-A, FGFR1, FL13, VEGFR	CML, AML	Phase I/II terminated (Failure to demonstrate a tolerable dose that had potential for efficacy)		16, 20, 134
DCC2036	Deciphera Pharmaceuticals	Non-ATP competitive allosteric inhibitor. Inhibits BCR-ABL T3151 and many other mutants.	ABL, FLT3, KDR, SFKs, TIE2	CML, Ph ⁺ ALL	Phase I/II		16, 20
Tandutinib (MLN518)	Millenium Pharmaceuticals	ATP-competitive	FLT3, PDGFR, KIT	Glioblastoma	Phase I/II		20, 134
AC220			FLT3, KIT, CSF1R/FMS, RET, PDGFR	AML, advanced solid tumors	Phase I/II		20, 120, 134
CHIR-258 (TKI258)	Chiron		FLT3, KIT, FMS, VEGFR, FGFR	MM, AML, refractory MM, renal and prostate cancer, urothelial cancer	Phase I/II		20, 120
LS104	LymphoSign/Aegera	Non-ATP competitive	JAK2, FLT3	Refractory/relapsed hematological malignancies	Phase I		20, 120

Barouch-Bentov and Sauer

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Compound	Company	Mechanism of inhibition	Known targets	Indications	Status	Resistance observed?	Recent references
ARRY-142886 (AZD6244)	Array Biopharma/AstraZeneca		MEK1	Various cancers, leukemia	Phase I-II		20, 135
<u>Legend:</u> See legend to table 1.							

_
_
~
_
_
T
<u> </u>
~
-
_
+
_
<u> </u>
\mathbf{O}
0
_
~
<
റാ
<u> </u>
=
C
10
U)
Ö
0
_
$\overline{0}$
<u> </u>
—

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Barouch-Bentov and Sauer

Table 3

Selected kinase inhibitor drugs in clinical studies for non-cancer and sometimes also cancer indications

ences																	
Recent refer	14, 20	14, 20	14, 20	10, 14, 20, 135	10, 14, 20	12, 14, 20	20	12, 20	20	10, 20, 135	20, 135	20	20	20	20	20	20, 120, 134
Status	Phase II	Phase II/III	Phase II	Phase II	Phase I-II	Phase II	Phase I-II	Phase I-II	Phase II	Phase I-II	Phase II	Phase I	Phase I	Phase I	Phase I	Phase I-II	Phase I-III
Indications	Rheumatoid arthritis	Rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, inflammatory bowel disease, transplant rejection	Rheumatoid arthritis	Rheumatoid arthritis, cardiovascular disease	Rheumatoid arthritis, artherosclerosis, psoriasis	Rheumatoid arthritis, B-cell lymphoma, immune thrombocytopaenic purpura, peripheral T-cell lymphoma, solid tumours	Solid malignancies, primary myelofibrosis, post-polycythaemia vera, essential thrombocythaemia, myelofibrosis	MM. myelofibrosis: polycythemia vera: thrombocytosis, rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis	Neuropathic pain, acute coronary syndrome	Acute lung injury, acute respiratory distress syndrome, COPD, theumatoid arthritis	MM, rheumatoid arthritis	Osteoarthritis	Age-Related Macular Degeneration	Allergic Rhinitis	Allergic Rhinitis, NHL, CLL, AML, MM	Myocardial Infarction	AML, advanced MM, prostate cancer, neuroblastoma, myelofibrosis, essential
Known targets	JAK1/2	JAK3	JAK3	p38	p38	SYK	JAK2	JAK1/2	p38	p38	p38	ΙΚΚβ	mTORC1/2	PI3Kô	PI3Kô	PKCð	FLT3, JAK2, TrkA- C, VEGFR2, PKC
Company	Eli Lily, Incyte	Pfizer	Vertex	Vertex	Bristol-Meyers-Squibb	AstraZeneca, Rigel	AstraZeneca	AstraZeneca/Incyte	GlaxoSmith Kline	GlaxoSmith Kline	Scios/J&J	Sanofi-Aventis	Paloma Pharmaceuticals	Calistoga Pharmaceuticals	Calistoga Pharmaceuticals	KAI Pharmaceuticals	Cephalon
Compound	INCB-28050	Tasocitinib (CP-690550)	VX-509	VX-702	BMS-582949	Fostamatinib disodium (R935788)	AZD1480	INCB018424	GS856553	SB-681323	SCIO-469	SAR113945	Palomid 529	CAL-263	CAL-101	KAI-9803	Lestaurtinib (CEP-701)

Compound	Company	Known targets	Indications	Status	Recent references
			thrombocythemia, polycythemia vera, psoriasis		
Sotrastaurin (AEB071)	Novartis	pan-PKC	Immunosuppression for transplant rejection, psoriasis, ulcerative colitis	Phase II	10, 20
Tasocitinib (CP-690550)	Pfizer	JAK3	Immunosuppression for rheumatoid arthritis, transplant rejection, psoriasis, dry eye disease	Phase II/III	12, 20
AT9283	Astex Therapeutics	ABL, AURORA-A/ B, FLT3, JAK2/3	CML, AML, ALL, MDS, NHL, myelofibrosis, solid cancers	Phase I/II	16, 20
AMG-548	Amgen	p38	Rheumatoid arthritis	Suspended after phase I	10, 135
Doramapimod (BIRB-796)	Boehringer Ingelheim	p38(Type 2 inhibitor)	Psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis, Crohn's	Development halted after phase II due to liver toxicity	10, 12, 135
SCI0-323	JNJ/Scios	p38	Rheumatoid arthritis	Phase I	10, 135
Ro 320-1195	Roche	p38	Rheumatoid arthritis	Phase I	10, 135
PH-797804	Pfizer	p38	Rheumatoid arthritis, Osteoarthritis	Phase II	10, 20
Y-39983	Mitsubishi-Senju	ROCK	Glaucoma, ocular hypertension	Phase II	¹⁰ http://www.senju.co.jp/english/rd/pipeline.html
K-115	Kowa	ROCK	Glaucoma, ocular hypertension	Phase II	¹⁰ http://www.kowa.co.jp/eng/g/rd/pipeline.htm
DE-104	Santen Pharma & Ube Industries	ROCK	Glaucoma, ocular hypertension	Phase I	10
SAR407899	Sanofi-Aventis	ROCK	Erectile dysfunction	Phase II completed	20
VX-745	Vertex	p38	Rheumatoid arthritis	Phase II, discontinued due to liver toxicity and brain effects	12
R-112	Rigel	Syk	Allergic rhinitis	Phase II stopped since no difference to placebo	135
SC-80036	Pfizer	p38	Rheumatoid arthritis	Phase II - no recent updates	135
CC-401	Celgene	JNK1/2	Immune disorders, transplant rejection, psoriasis, osteoarthritis, diabetes mellitus, myeloid leukemia	Phase I-II - terminated/no recent updates	20, 135

Phase II completed 20, 135

Rheumatoid arthritis

p38

Takeda

TAK-715

Legend: See legend to table 2.

_
_
_
- <u></u>
~
=
<u> </u>
0
\simeq
_
_
~
\sim
01
<u> </u>
_
=
<u> </u>
()
0
0
- - - -
<u> </u>
_

Table 4

Drug-resistance causing point mutations in ABL

utation	•	Clinically	Strong	Resistant	Topological	Mechanism Ar	nalogous	References	
ased of				these inhibitors		intra bit	other nases		
	ABL 1a (clinical numbering)								
	E38K			Imatinib	Cap	Cap structure in this region unknown. Cap implication in c-ABL autoinhibition ³⁹ , 41, 47 may suggest disturption of autoinhibitory Cap interactions.		48	
<u> </u>	A45G/V			Imatinib	Cap	Cap structure in this region unknown. Cap implication in c-ABL autoinhibition ³⁹ , 41, 47 may suggest distription of autoinhibitory Cap interactions.		48	l – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –
	K5IQ			Inatinib	Cap	Forms water-mediated Hydrogen-bond network with S222. L232 and H233 on the SH2 domain surface in autoinhibited .2FO0 whose mutational perturbation might perturb autoi-nhibitory Capa SH212 domain interaction.39. K70 also participated in hydrophobic packing interactions with W67 that may stabilize the approximation.39. A70 antition activates c-ABL similarly as SH3-domain release or prevention of myristoylation.30. 42.		48	
	E52K			Imatinib	Cap	Unclear per 2F00 analysis. Cap implication in c-ABL autoinhibition ⁴⁷ may suggest disruption of autoinhibitory Cap interactions. E71A mutation only very mildly activated ABL^{42} .		48	
	N53D/T			Imatinib	Cap	Unclear per 2F00 analysis. Cap implication in c-ABL autoinhibition 39, 41, 47 may suggest disruption of autoinhibitory Cap interactions. N72A mutation only very mildly activated ABL^{42} .		48	
	A56V			Imatinib	Cap	Unclear per 2FOO analysis. Cup implication in c-ABL autoinhibition ^{39, 41, 47} may suggest disruption of autoinhibitory Cap interactions.		48	
-	G57E			Imatinib	Cap	Unclear per 2FO0 analysis. Could possibly facilitate formation of bend that allows cap to interact with SH2- SH2-KD-linker region. Cap implication in c-ABL autoinhibition ³⁹ , 41, 47 may suggest disruption of autoinhibitory Cap interactions.		48	
	P58R			Imatinib	Cap	Unclear per 2FO0 analysis. Could possibly facilitate formation of bend that allows cap to interact with SH2- SH2-KD-linker region. Cap implication in e-ABL autoinhibition ³⁹ , 41, 47 may suggest disruption of autoinhibitory Cap interactions.		48	
	E60K			Imatinib	Cap	Unclear per 2FO0 analysis. Cup implication in c-ABL autoinhibition ^{39, 41, 47} may suggest disruption of autoinhibitory Cap interactions.		48	
	N64Y			Imatinib	SH3	Unclear per 10PL analysis.		48	
<u> </u>	V67G			Imatinib	SH3	In conserved FVALYD motif. Unclear per 10PL analysis.		48	
-	A68E/V			Imatinib	SH3	In conserved FVALYD motif. Unclear per 10PL analysis.		48	
	N/H/D0LA			Imatinib	SH3	In conserved FVALYD motif. Unclear, but faces SH2-KD linker in 10PL (Fig. 2B,C) and may possibly contact SH2-KD linker K238 ⁴⁸ , mutations might perturb autoinhibitory SH3/SH2-KD linker/N-lobe interactions.		48	
	D71N			Imatinib	SH3	In conserved FVALYD motif. Unclear, but close to SH2-KD linker in 10PL (Fig. 2B,C), Hyperactivates autophosphorylation.		48	
	W110C			Imatinib	SH3	W129 is critical for ABL-type poly-proline peptide binding. Hyperactivates autophosphorylation. W129 faces the SH2-KD linker in IOPL (Fig. 2B, C), mutations might thus perturb autoinhibitory SH3/SH2-KD linker/N- lobe interactions but could also perturb inhibitory interactions with PAG or other proteins.		48	
	VIIIL			Imatinib	SH3	Unclear per 10PL analysis.		48	
	P112Q			Imatinib	SH3	Unclear, but faces SH2-KD linker in IOPL (Fig. 2B,C), mutations might perturb autoinhibitory SH3SH2-KD linker/sH0bs interactions. P131 mutation increases ABL transforming activity, indexts is relocation from moleus to cytopisma, disrupts inhibitory PAG/MSP123-interactions and increased drug resistance in cells.		48, 95	

ABL mutation		Clinically	Strong	Resistant	Tanaladical	Machaniem	Analomote	Roferences
Numbering based	1 on 48	observed?	resistance	to these inhibitors	location		in other kinases	
ABL1b (10PL numbering, Fig. 5)	ABL1a (clinical numbering)							
						However, unphosphorylated ABL P131L is rendered inatinib-hypersensitive. Thus, effects of P131 mutation depend on the ABL activation state and cellular context.		
S132N	SII3N			Imatinib	SH3	Unctear per 10PL analysis.		48
V138G	V119G			Imatinib	SH3-SH2 linker	Unclear per 10PL analysis.		48
S140R	S121R			Imatinib	SH3-SH2 linker	Unclear per IOPL analysis. Oncogenic. S1401 mutation increased ABL activity, suggesting that the mutants disrupt SH3-SH2-linker rigidity which is important for ABL autoinhibition ⁴² .	SRC linker mutation augmented activity ⁴³ .	48
S167C	S148C			Imatinib	SH2	Unclear per 10PL/2F00 analysis. Possibly false positive ⁴⁸ .		48
S176T	S157T			Imatinib	SH2	Unclear per 10PL/2F00 analysis. Possibly false positive ⁴⁸ .		48
S206F/P	S187F/P			Imatinib	SH2	Unclear per 10PL/2F00 analysis.	c-SRC T218-mutation in specificity determining distrupts inhibitory. distrupts inhibitory. terminal phospho-Y527 binding ⁴⁸	48
V224E	V205E			Imatinib	SH2	Unclear per 10PL/2FO0 analysis. Possibly false positive ⁴⁸ .		48
A236G	A217G			Imatinib	SH2-KD linker	Unclear per IOPL/2F00 analysis.		48
K238E	K219E			Imatinib	SH2-KD linker	Unclear per IOPL analysis. Might contact SH3 domain Y89 ⁴⁸ , abrogation of this interaction could destabilize inactive conformation.		48
T243A	T224A			Imatinib	SH2-KD linker	Hydrogen-bonds backbone carbonyl of P315 in ABL-1b N-lobe in loop between aC-helix and β-sheet 4 at the N-lobe/SH2-KD linker/SH3 interface in IOPL (Fig. 2B, C). Might also pack against Q319 in KD-NL. Thus, T243 mutation might destabilize these auto-inhibitory interactions.		48
P249L	P230L			Imatinib	SH2-KD linker	Per IOPL/JFO0 structures, packs with aromatic side-chains of F91, W118 and W129 in the SH3 domain. The P249 backbone carbonyl is part of a network of electrostatic interactions involving N113, H114 and N115 in the SH3 domain. All these interactions surrounding the SH2-XD indice takink "innotable by P249 are likely to mediate SH3 domain binding to the SH2-XD linker elses to the N-loke of the KD (Fig. 2B, C). The P249L mutation might perturb these interactions by removing the kink, destabilizing the autoinhibited ABL conformation. Indeed, P242E/P249E double mutation activates ABL ⁴² .		48
M256I	M237I	yes, low frequency			KD-NL	Found in PTK-inhibitor treated CML or Ph ⁺ ALL patients. Unclear whether resistance-conferring.		16
M263V/I	M244V/I	yes, low-medium frequency		Imatinib	KD-NL: G-loop	Indirect: May increase ABL entropy or destabilize distorted G-loop conformation of inactive ABL, disfuvoing Intainib binding. In Ni-Dioe, M244 is important for packing against G-loop. The mutations may also reduce drug binding by affecting the relative binding free energy (RBFE) conributions of non G-loop residues including the DFG F382.99.		16, 48, 56, 76, 78
L267R/V	L248R/V	yes, high frequency	+	Imatinib, Dasatinib, Nilotinib	KD-NL: G-loop	Imatinib contact site. Reduced topological fit for Imatinib; destabilizes inactive conformation		4, 10, 13, 15, 16, 20, 48, 56, 57, 78, 98, 114, 118, 120, 121
G269A	G250A	yes, high frequency		Imatinib	KD-NL: G-loop	unclear	EGFRA S720P	16, 56
G269E/R *	G250E/R *	yes, low-medium frequency	+	Imatinib, Dasatinib, Nilotinib	KD-NL: G-loop	Indirect: Stabilize active or other conformation that disfavors Imatinib binding, or destabilize the distorted G- loop conformation typical of inactive ALB. Here, the G-pop forms a cage around the Imatinib byrdine and pyrimidute ring that bind the admossilies of the ATP pocket. G336BR mutation might possibly stabilize the active conformation by introducing novel electrostatic side-chain interactions ⁷⁷ .		4, 10, 13, 15, 16, 20, 48, 56, 57, 76-78, 114, 120, 121
Q271H/R/E	Q252H/R/E	yes, low-medium frequency	+	Imatinib, Dasatinib	KD-NL: G-loop	Indirect: Destabilize inactive conformation, possibly by destabilizing the distorted G-loop conformation typical of inactive ABL.		4, 10, 13, 15, 16, 20, 48, 56, 57, 76, 78, 114, 120
Y272H/C/F *	Y253H/C/F *	yes, high frequency	+	Imatinib, Nilotinib	KD-NL: G-loop	Imatinb contact site. Mutations destabilize inactive conformation, in part by removing water-mediated Hdrogene-boad with N222 side-chain that folds G-toop down into idstroned conformation that increases surface complementarity with the drug and is typical of inactive ABL. Y253H/C also remove an aromatic z -interaction with Imatinb. Flankly, the nutations may disrupt Y253 Hydrogen-boads with D363 and R325 in the SFK like		4, 13, 16, 20, 40, 44, 48, 56, 75-77, 92, 95, 118, 121

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Barouch-Bentov and Sauer

ABL mutation Numbering based	1 on 48	Clinically observed?	Strong resistance	Resistant to these inhibitors	Topological location	Mechanism	Analogous mutations in other kinases	References
ABL1b (1OPL numbering, Fig. 5)	ABL1a (clinical numbering)							
						inactive conformation, destabilizing if ⁴⁰ . Interestingly, HDX-MS data suggest that the conformational mutation effects on myristoylated ABL may be small although Y253H activates myristoylated ABL 75. The mutations may also prevent Y253 phosphorylation, which may inhibit T315A mutant ABL oncogenicity with unclear effects on catalysis92.		
E274K/V *	E2SSKW *	yes, low-medium frequency	+	Imatinib, Dasatinib, Nilotinib	KD-NL: G-loop	Indirect: Destabilize inactive conformation, possibly by destabilizing the distorted G-loop conformation typical of inactive ABL. Distupt alp 1K 221-12255 SB that is conserved in Sk Rinsae and sublicise refe-Gloop, and an imm-FD 255-3757 Floring and its conserved in Sk Rinsae and sublicise and the conformation of inactive ABL but can also be found in crystal structures representing active RDMD and conformation of inactive ABL but can also be found in crystal structures representing active RDMD, analyses suggest that abrogation due there interactions increases C1 coop and overall Mobe Renhing ^{3,4} AUD analyses suggest that abrogation of these interactions increases C1 coop and overall. The more almough RDMS data suggest that the conformation increases C1 coop and overall ABL may be small ^{3,5} . The mutations may also reduce dug binding through electrosatic contributions to the relative inding free energy (RBFF) of G-loop and overall depending through electrosatic contributions to the relative inding free energy (RBFF) of G-loop and overall depending on of G-loop residues including the DFG F38299. Variable effects on ABL free energy (RBFF) of G-loop and overall depending on costinue to set of expression. Abrogation of the analogous SB also reduces SFK can ally carring through tamility at the analogous SB also reduces SFK can ally carring through tamility at the materian structures free store. Abrogation of the analogous SB also reduces SFK can ally carring through structures the store increased on the analogous of the analogous SB also reduces SFK can ally carring through structures three to expression. Abrogation of the analogous SB also reduces SFK can ally carring through structures and the analogous SB also reduces and the analytic activity through structures and the analogous SB also reduces and the analytic activity through structure and the analogous SB also reduces and the analytic activity through structure and the analogous SB also reduces and the analytic activity through structures and the analogous SB	ERBB2 77331	4, 10, 13, 15, 16, 20, 34, 44, 56, 57, 75-77, 92, 93, 95, 99, 114, 118, 120, 121
Y276C	Y257C			Inatinib	KD-NL, G-loop	May disupt intra- β 2 E255-Y257 Hydrogen-bond that has been suggested to be specific for the distorted G-loop docrnation of inactive ABL but can also be found in crystal structures representing active KDS ⁴⁴ . May also prevent Y257 phosphorylation, which may otherwise promote catalytic activity in particular of T3151 mutant ABL. Reduced Y2577 activity and foncegenity suggest that the intra- β 2 Hydrogen-bond and or Y257 phosphorylation with suggest that the intra- β 2 Hydrogen-bond and or Y257 phosphorylation are important for catalysis ⁹² .		48, 78
E277D	E258D			Imatinib	KD-NL			48
S284T/I	S265T/I			Imatinib	KD-NL			48
L285M/V	L266M/V			Imatinib	KD-NL			48
V287/289 A	V268/270 A			Imatinib	KD-NL			48
A288V	A269V			Imatinib	KD-NL	Imatinib contact site.		48
E294K	E275K			Inatinib	KD-NL		Overlaps with EGFR A deteions <i>SAT6</i> .4750/ 1751.0750.008.761/ 2752.018.471 or VAV 2752.018.01 or VAV 2752.01751.01 or VAT7 2752.0752.0108.01 2827.0752.0108.01 2827.0752.0108.01 2753.01752.0108.01 2753.01752.0108.01 2753.01752.0108.01 2753.0108.0108.01 2753.0108.0108.0108.0108.0108.0108.0108.010	48
D295V/G	D276V/G	yes, low frequency		Imatinib	KD-NL: aC-helix	Stde-chain Hydrogen-bonds A-loop backbone amides in SFK-like inactive ABL structure. Hence, these mutations may destabilize the SFK-like inactive structure ⁴⁰ .	Mutation of aC-helix residues alters A-loop interactions, destabilizing the SFK inactive conformation ¹⁴¹ .	16, 40, 48
M297L	M278L			Imatinib	KD-NL: αC-helix	May disrupt SFK-like inactive ABL conformation ⁴⁰ .	Overlaps with EGFRA deletion <i>ΔS752-1759</i>	40, 48
E298K	E279K		+	Imatinib	KD-NL: αC-helix		Overlaps with EGFRA deletion <i>ΔS752-1759</i>	48
E300K	E281K		(+)	Imatinib	KD-NL: αC-helix		Overlaps with EGFRA deletion <i>ΔS752-1759</i>	48
E301D	E282D			Imatinib	KD-NL: aC-helix	Hyperactivates autophosphorylation.	Overlaps with EGFRA deletion AS752-1759	48

ABL mutation Numbering base	id on ⁴⁸	Clinically observed?	Strong resistance	Resistant to these inhibitors	Topological location	Mechanism	Analogous mutations in other kinases	References
ABLJb (10PL numbering, Fig. 5)	ABL1a (clinical numbering)							
F302L	F283L			Imatinib	KD-NL: aC-helix		Overlaps with EGFRA deletion <i>AS752-1759</i>	48
L303F	L284F			Imatinib	KD-NL: αC-helix			48
K304N	K285N			Imatinib, Nilotinib	KD-NL: αC-helix			811
V308S/I	V289S/I	yes, low frequency		Imatinib	KD-NL: αC-helix	Imatinib contact site.	EGFRA V765A	4816
M309L/T	M290L/T			Imatinib	KD-NL: aC-helix	Imatinib contact site, undergoes van der Waals interactions with drug that may be altered by mutation. Component of the hytophobic spine that stabilizes active kinase conformations (see T334/T315 gutekeeper mutant)38. Mutation could affect this.		44,48
K310E/R	K291E/R			Imatinib	KD-NL			48
E311Q/V	E292Q/S/V	yes, low-medium frequency		Imatinib, Nilotinib	KD-NL	unclear	EGFRA S7681; overlaps ERBB2 G776 (InsVG/C)	4, 13, 16, 20, 48, 56, 121
K313R	K294R			Inatinib	KD-NL: SH3 and SH3/SH 2-KD linker contact in N- lobe	Disrupts N-lobe SB with E117 in SH3 domain ⁴¹ , possibly disrupting inhibitory SH3/SH2-KD-linker/KD interactions and destabilizing inactive ABL conformation based on the 10PL structure (Fig. 2B, C). K313 also contributes to a hydrophobic service holding the SH2-KD linker Y3/3 in the auto-inhibited conformation. Disruption of this interaction by the bulker K133 mglt contribute to destabilizing the H313 mglt contribute to destabilizing the structure of Y3/45 phosphorylation ⁴¹ .	EGFRA D770Y; overlaps EGFRA insertions D770- N771 (ins NPG/SV Q/G)	48
Нб16Д	Q300H			Imatinib	KD-NL: SH3/SH 2-KD linker contact in N-lobe	May disrupt SB with Y245 in SH2-KD linker and thereby inhibitory KD/SH3 interactions based on 10PL structure (Fig. 28.C). (319 might also pack against T243 in SH2-KD linker. Hyperactivates autophosphoryltation. Oncogenic.	c-SRC Q327-mutants; HLT3 N676K/D	48
L320F	L301F			Imatinib	KD-NL: SH3/SH 2-KD linker contact in N-lobe	Component of the hydrophobic spine that stabilizes active kinase conformations (see T334/T315 guekeeper mutan) ³⁸ . Mutation could affect this.		48
V323G	V304G	yes, low frequency			KD-NL	Found in PTK-inhibitor treated CML or Ph ⁺ ALL patients. Unclear whether resistance-conferring.		16
F330I/L/V	F311I/L/V	yes, low-medium frequency		Imatinib	KD-NL	Indirect: Destabilizes inactive conformation. Hyperactivates autophosphorylation.		16, 48, 56, 78
T334I/S/G/N *	T3151.S/G/N*	yes, high frequency (primarily T3151)	+	Imatinib, Dasatinib, Nilotinib	KD-NL: AS23 "gatekee per"	Accounts for 15-20% of fmatinth-resistant CML cases. Imatinth contact site. Large side-chain sterically hinders without significantly impairing ATP binding. Also removes a hydrogen-bound on binatinth without significantly impairing ATP binding. Also removes a hydrogen-budding site flexibility consistent with reduced structural organization. Recent structural analyses suggest enhanced Imatinh-binding site flexibility consistent with reduced structural organization. Recent structural analyses suggest that guekkeeper mutations stabilize a "hydropoholic spine" linking guekkeeper residue and the $A/100$ Y _A , characteristic of active kinase conformations ⁸⁸ . 39: HDX-rold stat and so suggest enhanced flexibility within the SH3 domain RT-loop of the numant (hances, residening station effecting station effecting station effecting state effects of the guekkeeper mutation mutations are suggest enhanced flexibility within the SH3 domain RT-loop of the intrative states spessibly indicating gatesteeper flexibility within the SH3 domain RT-loop of the intrative states are suggest effected of the guekkeeper mutation and the reduce structure or Abbihading to other proteins ⁷⁵ . Consistent with perturbations of the interview ABL conformations. T3151 mutation activates mytrisoylated ABL ⁷⁵ .	ekII: 1670: PDGFRa: 16741; PDGFRA: 16811; EGR: 17904; ERB2; 17341; FGFR1: V61M; REF1: V94LM; FLT3: G697k, eSRC: T341M; ARC: 134M; ess. AUROR. T341 in ess. AUROR. T341 in ess. AUROR. T341 in ess. AUROR.	4, 13, 16, 20, 22, 26, 48, 56, 58, 75-77, 79, 118, 121
E335D	E316D			Imatinib	KD-NL			48
F336L/V	F317L/V	yes, low-high frequency		Imatinib, Dasatinib	KD-hinge region	Imatinb contact site. Undergoes ππ and van der Waals interactions with drug. Mutations may alter these and reduce topological fit with imatinib without significantly impairing ATP binding.		4, 10, 13, 15, 16, 20, 48, 56, 57, 76, 78, 114, 120
G340W/E	G321W/E	yes, low frequency		Imatinib	KD-CL	Imatinib contact site. Hyperactivates autophosphorylation.	EGFRA G796D/R/C	16, 48
N350S	N331S			Imatinib	KD-CL, SH2 contact			48
V357G	V338G			Imatinib	KD-CL, SH2 contact	V357Q mutation mildly increased ABL activity ⁴² . Suggests that V357G might destabilize autoinhibitory SH2- KD interactions.		48
V358A/G	V339A/G			Imatinib	KD-CL, SH2 contact	Faces SH2 domain, possibly involved in inhibitory interactions.		48

Γ

ABL mutation Numbering based	l on ⁴⁸	Clinically observed?	Strong resistance	Resistant to these inhibitors	Topological location	Mechanism	Analogous mutations in other kinases	References
ABL1b (10PL numbering, Fig. 5)	ABL 1a (clinical numbering)							
M362T	M343T	yes, low-medium frequency		Imatinib	KD-CL, SH2 contact	Indirect. May increase ABL entropy, disfavoring Imatinib binding, possibly by interfering with inhibitory KD- SH2-domain interactions through introduction of a polar side-chain in a hydrophobic interface involving the SH2-domain A-loop based on the 1OPL structure (Fig. 2B.C.).		4816, 56, 76, 78
A363V	A344V			Imatinib	KD-CL, SH2 contact			48
дз65Н	Q346H			Imatinib	KD-CL, SH2 contact	Faces SH2 domain, possibly involved in inhibitory interactions.		48
М370Т/I *	M351T/I *	yes, high frequency		Imatinib	KD-CL, SH2 contact region	Indirect: May increase ABL entropy, disfavoring Imatinib binding, possibly by affecting kinase hydrophobic core packing. In 10PL, not directly involved in SH2 contacts.		16, 48, 56, 76-78
E371K/G	E352K/G	yes, low frequency		Imatinib	KD-CL, SH2 contact	Faces SH2 domain, possibly involved in inhibitory interactions.	EGFRA N826S	16, 48
Y372H	Ү353Н	yes, low frequency			KD-CL	Found in PTK-inhibitor treated CML or Ph ⁺ ALL patients. Unclear whether resistance-conferring.		16
E374G/D	E355G/D	yes, low (D) - high (G) frequency		Imatinib	KD-CL	Unclear, Possibly second-site mutant associated with F317L. Potential indirect effect desublizing inactive conformation. E355G removes Hydrogen-bonds that help hold helix E against C-terminal domain and may contribute to Imatinib N-methyl-piperazine binding site ⁷⁸ .		16, 56, 76, 78
F378A/C/V *	F359A/C/V *	yes, high frequency (V)		Imatinib, Nilotinib	KD-CL	Reduces topological fit with Imatinib without significantly impairing ATP binding. May also destabilize SFK- like inactive conformation.40		4, 13, 16, 20, 40, 48, 56, 76, 78, 121
I379F	I360F			Imatinib	KD-CL	Imatinb contact site.		48
A385D	A366D			Imatinib	KD-CL			48
V390A	V371A	yes, low frequency			KD-CL	Found in PTK-inhibitor treated CML or $\mathrm{Ph^+ALL}$ patients. Unclear whether resistance-conferring.		16
G391R	G372R			Imatinib	KD-CL		EGFRA K846R	48
E392K/Q	E373K/Q	yes, low frequency		Imatinib	KD-CL			16, 48
V398E/A/I	V379E/A/I	yes, low-medium frequency		Imatinib	KD-CL, A-loop	Indirect: May increase ABL entropy, disfavoring Imatinib binding		16, 48, 76, 78
F401L	F382L	yes, low frequency		Imatinib	KD-CL, A-loop	F of DFG motif, undergoes van der Waals interactions with Imatinih that may be alered by its mutation ^{44, 78} . Second site mutation associated with M3437, relevance unclear. F401A catalytically inactive, may disrupt a Mg ²⁻¹ -binding triad. Component of the hydrophobic spine that sublitzes active kinase conformations (see T3347715 gatekeeper mutath) ⁵⁸ . Mutation could affect this.		16, 44, 48, 76, 78
L403M	L384M			Imatinib, Nilotinib	KD-CL, A-loop		EGFRA L858R	4, 13, 16, 20, 48, 56, 121
L406M/F	L387M/F	yes, low frequency		Imatinib, Nilotinib	KD-CL, A-loop	Second site mutation, relevance unclear. Potential indirect effect destabilizing inactive conformation, possibly via altered packing to G-loop Y253 and G25478. May also disrupt SFK-like inactive ABL conformation ⁴⁰ .		4, 13, 16, 20, 40, 56, 76, 78, 121
M407I/L	M388I/L	yes, low-medium frequency		Imatinib	KD-CL, A-loop	Indirect: May increase ABL entropy, disfavoring Imatinib binding	KIT K818R; EGFR G863D	48, 56
T408A	T389A	yes, low frequency			KD-CL, A-loop	Found in PTK-inhibitor treated CML or Ph ⁺ ALL patients. Unclear whether resistance-conferring.		16
H415R/P	H396R/P	yes, low-high frequency	(+)	Imatinib	KD-CL, A-loop	Indirect: Destabilizes inactive conformation of A-bop.		16, 48, 56, 76-78
G417R	G398R			Imatinib	KD-CL, A-loop			48
S436Y	S417Y	yes, low frequency			KD-CL	Found in PTK-inhibitor treated CML or Ph ⁴ ALL patients. Unclear whether resistance-conferring. Could affect kinase hydrophobic core packing and remove Hydrogen-bond to K419 side-chain.		16,78

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Barouch-Bentov and Sauer

Page 45

48

KD-CL

Imatinib

Y440C

Y459C

ABL mutation Numbering base	ed on 48	Clinically observed?	Strong resistance	Resistant to these inhibitors	Topological location	Mechanism A	Analogous mutations in other kinases	References
ABL1b (10PL numbering, Fig. 5)	ABL1a (clinical numbering)							
E469K	E450K			Imatinib	KD-CL			48
L470M	L451M			Imatinib	KD-CL			48
E478K	E459K	yes, low frequency			KD-CL	Found in PTK-inhibitor treated CML or Ph ⁺ ALL patients. Unclear whether resistance-conferring.		16, 78
G482D	G463D			Imatinib	KD-CL			48
M491I	M472I			Imatinib	KD-CL			48
R492L	R473L			Imatinib	KD-CL			48
F505S	F486S	yes, low-medium frequency	(+)	Imatinib	KD-CL	Indirect: May increase ABL entropy, disfavoring Imatinib binding, possibly by affecting kinase hydrophobic core packing.		16, 48, 56, 78
E513A	E494A			Imatinib	KD-CL: SH2 contact	The E513 side-chain carboxyl group Hydrogen-bonds with S152 in the SH2 domain ⁴¹ . The mutation disrupts this interaction and might thereby destabilize the autointhiled conformation.		48
E518K/I	E499K/I			Imatinib	KD-CL: SH2 contact			48
IS2IM	I502M			Imatinib	KD-CL: MBS α-helix αI ⁴ 1	. Mutation might reduce myristate-binding mediated inactivation, but 1521D mutants only very mildly activated ABL^{42} .		48
E528D	E509D			Imatinib	KD-CL: MBS α -helix $\alpha I^{4}I$			48
I econd: SR o	alt hridge IC to	i anibiid rotidida i C em	mooting on	formation CIC CEV like	notionario oritori	A DO norther and a LD oble CI Clabs CI A loss more than the second s	MC anatost IIV MC	1.100 million more encomposition 75 JD

domain, MBS, myristate binding site, es, electrostatic, hy, hydrophobic. Bold

human ABL for obvious, direct involvement of the wildtype residue in autoinhibitory ABL domain or linker interactions whose mutational disruption could possibly explain drug-resistance, but found no convincing evidence for such direct involvement. This does not preclude * In terms of frequency, mutations at these six positions account for 60-70% of all Imatinib-resistant ABL mutations found⁵⁶. For mutations where the underlying mechanism is indicated as "Unclear per 2FO0 analysis", we analyzed the 2FO0 crystal structure of auto-inhibited the possibility of more indirect effects of these mutations on ABL-inhibitor interactions. Analogous mutations in other kinases were identified based on sequence homology and similar locations in crystal structures of the kinases indicated.

~
~
_
-
1.1
U
~
- T
-
-
-
-
0
_
-
<
-
01
L
_
_
<u> </u>
c n
~
0
- -
0

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

				i							
	References	120, 128, 134	9	6, 102-105, 107	79, 102, 103, 105, 107	103	103	103	103, 105, 107	103, 107	102-107
	Analogous drog-resistant mutations in other kinases	ABL Q319H			ABL T3151; PDGFRa: T6741; PDGFRB: T6811; EGFR: T9704); ERBIS: T7331; FGFR1: V56104; RET: V8041AA; FTT3: G697R; eSRC: T341A; w-SRC: 1338 exchange for T341 in c-Snc. AURORA-A: T217D. Bold: Most frequently reported.				EGFRa T854A		PDGFRA D842V (drug-resistant): <i>EGFR L801Q (drug sensitizing</i>): ABL has a 1 AA dektion at this position.
R mutations	Mechanism	Destabilizes conformation of hinge region, disrupting inhibitor hydrogen-bonds	Secondary resistance mutation.	Secondary resistance mutation. Intrinsically Imatinib resistant. Reduces surface complementarity with drug, removes hydrophobic contacts with diaminophenyl ring of drug.	Secondary resistance mutation. Precludes access to ATP-site adjacent Type 2/3 allosteric site, sublickes hydrophobic spine which stabilizes active kinase conformation ^{28,} 59. Potential additional allosteric effects on inhibitory SH3 domain interactions ⁷⁵ . See T3151 discussion in table 4 for details.	Secondary resistance mutation.	Secondary resistance mutation.	Secondary resistance mutation.	Secondary resistance mutation immediately preceding DFG motif.	Secondary resistance mutation. R815 corresponds to ABL-1b R405 (RA) which forms a sult-bridge with E305 (EaC) in the SFK-like inactive conformation, and in inactive SFKs.	Secondary resistance mutation. Can occur as primary mutation. D816 stabilizes A-loop in inactive conformation via Hydrogen-bonds to K818 and D819 backbones. Mutation shifts conformational equilibrium to active by distripting one of these and in part by destabilizing inhibitory JM domain conformation.
Table 5 vity associated EGF	Topological Location	N-terminal of hinge region	SH2-KD linker	ATP-pocket	Gatekeeper residue	KI domain	KI domain	Catalytic loop	A-loop	A-loop	A-loop
es, and drug sensiti	Sensitive these inhibitors			Sunitinib	Sunitinib, PKC412, Sorafenib						
ng point mutations in non-ABL kir	Resistant (or sensitized for italic) italic) italicor italicor italicore	PKC412	Imatinib	Imatinib	Imatinib	Imatinib	Imatinib	Imatinib	Imatinib	Imatinib	Imatinib, Sunitinib, Sorafenib, PKC412, Dasatinib
	Climically observed in disease	AML	GIST	GIST	GIST	GIST	GIST	GIST	GIST	GIST	GIST
rry drug-resistance caus	Mutation	N676K/D	V559A	V654A/E	T670/E	S709F	D716N	L783V	C809G	R815 deletion	D816H/V/G/E or deletion
Exempla	Kinase	HLT3	КП	KIT	КІТ	КП	KIT	КП	КП	KIT	KIT

6, 103, 104, 107 6, 102-105, 107

102-105, 107

102, 104 103, 107

ABL T3151; c-KIT; T6701; PDGFR§; T6811; EGFR; T790M; ERBB2: T7331; FGFR1: V561M; RET: V804LM; FLT3: G697R; c-SRC: T341M; v-SRC: 1338,

Secondary resistance mutation. Precludes access to ATP-site adjacent Type 2/3 allosteric site, stabilizes hydrophobic spine which stabilizes active kinase conformation²⁸, 59. Potential additional allosteric effects

Gatekeeper residue A-loop

Sorafenib

Imatinib, Sunitinib

Imatinib

HES, CEL

GIST

A829P T674I

KIT

PDGFRA

Secondary resistance mutation.

103, 104, 107

ABL M407I/L; EGFR G863D PDGFRA D846V

Secondary resistance mutation. Secondary resistance mutation.

A-loop A-loop A-loop

> Imatinib, Sunitinib Imatinib, Sunitinib

Imatinib

GIST

GIST GIST

D820A/G/Y/H/E

KIT КIТ

K818R

КГГ

N822K/H/Y

Imatinib, Sunitinib

GIST

Y823D

KIT

Expert Opin Investig Drugs. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 February 1.

Secondary resistance mutation. Imatinib resistance requires coupling to activating/on cogenic KIT juxtamembrane domain mutant. Also found as primary mutation.

mutation

Secondary resistance mutation. Also found as primary

A-loop, corresponds to YA in A-loop, corresponds to YA in autophosphorylated upon autophosphorylated upon active conformation. KIT Y823D mutation might thus conformation.

_
_
_
T T
\rightarrow
~
-
<u> </u>
+
1
0
-
~
\leq
<u>u</u>
<u> </u>
10
0
0
4

References		9	103, 104, 107, 142	103	9, 64, 68, 71, 91	68	68	64, 68, 88	64, 68	64, 68	68	68	64, 68	68, 88, 101	68	9, 64, 68, 71, 88, 91, 101	68	64, 68	68, 88	88	9, 64, 68, 71, 91	68	64, 68
Analogous drug-resistant mutations in other kinases	exchange for T341 in c-Src. AURORA-A: T217D. Bold: Most frequently reported.		KIT D816H/V/G/E or deletion (drug-resistant); EGFR L861Q (drug sensitizing) ABL has a 1 AA deletion at this position.	KIT D820A/G/Y/H/E							ABL G269A/E/R (drug-resistant)	Overlap with ABL E294K or D295V/G mutations (drug- resistant)	Overlap with ABL E294K or D295V/G mutations (drug- resistant)	ERBB2 L755S	Overlaps with ABL M297L, E298K, E300K, E301D, F302L (drug-resistant)		ABL V308S/I (drug-resistant)	ABL E311Q/S (drug-resistant) ERBB2 G776 (Ins VG/C)		ABL K313R (drug-resistant)	Overlaps ABL K313R (drug-resistant)	Overlaps ABL K313R (drug-resistant)	Overlaps ABL K313R (drug-resistant)
Mechanism	on inhibitory SH3 domain interactions ⁷⁵ . See T315I discussion in table 4 for details.	Secondary resistance mutation.	Major PDGFRA mutation. Secondary resistance mutation. Can also occur as primary mutation.	Secondary resistance mutation.	Oncogenic. Not in KD.	DRUG SENSITIZING.	DRUG SENSITIZING.	Clinically associated with increased Geffunib or Erlotinib sensitivity. E709G associated with Lapatinb resistance in the presence of ERBB2 in a mutagenesis screen.	DRUG SENSITIZING.	DRUG SENSITIZING. G719S is ONCOGENIC. HYPERACTIVE.	DRUG SENSITIZING.	DRUG SENSITIZING. ONCOGENIC. HYPERACTIVE. Reduced ATP affinity. Effect may depend on cellular context. JE746-A750 may also confer gefätnib resistance.	DRUG SENSITIZING. ONCOGENIC. HYPERACTIVE	Various deletions or insertions starting at L747 clinically associated with increased Geffinith or Erlouith's sensitivity. Associated with Lapatinth resistance in a mutagenesis screen.	DRUG SENSITIZING.		DRUG SENSITIZING.		Associated with Lapatinib and Erloünib resistance in a mutagenesis screen.	Various insertions starting at D770 are clinically associated with Geffuinb and Erfouinb resistance (see below). D770Y associated with Lapatinib resistance in a mutagenesis screen.	ONCOGENIC. HYPERACTIVE.		
Topological Location		C-lobe, N-terminal of KI region	A-loop	A-loop	Deletes 801 bp from extracellular domain	SH2-KD-linker	SH2-KD-linker	G-loop, β-sheet 1	G-loop, ß-sheet 1	G-loop	G-loop	β-sheet 3/αC Helix	β-sheet 3/aC Helix	β-sheet 3/αC Helix	αC Helix	aC Helix	aC Helix	aC Helix	aC Helix	aC Helix	aC Helix	aC Helix	aC Helix
Sensitive Sensitive these inhibitors					Irreversible EGFR inhibitors	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib		Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitimib, Erlotinib		Gefitinib, Erlotinib		Gefitinib, Erlotinib						
Resistant to or sensitized for italic indicior indibitors		Imatinib	Imatinib, Sorafenib, PKC412	Imatinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Geftünib, Erlotinib	Geftünib, Erlotinib	Lapatinib?	Geftünib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Geftünib, Erlotinib	Geftiinib, Erlotinib	Geftiinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib; Lapatinib?	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib. Lapatinib?	Lapatinib?	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib
C limically observed in disease		GIST	GIST	GIST	Glioblastoma, SCC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC		NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC
Mutation		H687Y	D842V	D846V	EGFRvIII	V689M	N700D	E709A/G	E709K/Q	G719C/S/A	S720P	AE746-A750/T751/A750(InsRP) /T751(InsA/I or VA)/S752(InsA/V)	4L747/E749(A750P)/A750/InsP) /T751/T751(InsP/S/S752/752/E746V or P753S/S752(InsQ)/P753/P753(InsS)	L747S	AS752-1759	D761Y	V765A	S768I	V769L	D770Y	D770-N771 (ins NPG)	D770-N771 (ins SVQ)	D770-N771 (ins G), N771T
Kinase		PDGFRA	PDGFRA	PDGFRA	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR

					<u> </u>												
References	68	9, 64, 68, 71, 79, 81, 82, 88, 91, 101, 119	9, 64, 68, 71, 91	68	68	68	88, 101, 143	1, 64, 68, 71, 73	64, 68	68	68, 88	64, 74, 108	5	5	9, 64, 68, 71, 91	v	
Analogous drug-resistant mutations kinases		AH drug-resistant: ABL T3151; c-KTT; T6701; PDGFRa: 1674;PDGFRa: T6811; EBR2: T7331; FCRR: V561M; RE1: V804J.M; FLT3: G697R; c- SRC: T341M; v-SRC: E38, exchange for T341 in c-Src. AURORA-A: T217D, Bold: Most frequently reported.	ABL G340W/E (drug-resistant)	ABL E371K/G (drug-resistant)		ABL G391R (drug-resistant)	cKIT C809G (drug-resistant)	ABL L403M (drug-resistant)	KIT D816H/V/G/E or deletion (drug-resistant); PDGFRA D842V (drug-resistant) ABL has a 1 AA deletion at this position.	ABL M407I/L (drug-resistant); KIT K818R (drug- resistant)	ABL M4071/L (drug-resistant); KIT K818R (drug- resistant)	MET E1271K KIT E839K RET E921K	ABL E274(255) K/V	EGFRAL747S	ABL E311Q/S (drug-resistant) EGFR S7681	e-KIT: T6701; PDGFRa: T6741;PDGFRβ: T6811; EGFR: T9904; FGFR: T59541; EPDGFRβ: T6811; FLT3: G6978; e-580c: T341M: v-580c; J353; for T341 in e-58c; AURORA-A: T217D, Bold: Most frequently reported.	
Mechanism	DRUG SENSITIZING.	Gatekeeper mutation, detected as acquired resistance mutation in ~50% of clinically Geffinib or Erformib resistance mutation patients. May rarely also corcur as primary resistance mutation to the mark pressure and the second section of mutation. Unlike the ABL 731.51 mutation, EGFR 1790M only mildly affects geftinib binding but restores ATP affinity, which is often reduced by the primary mutations, to widtype EGFR levels ⁸¹ . The mutation increases activity and oncogenicity and may play a role in inherited lung cancer susceptibility.	Associated with Erlotinib or CI-1033 resistance in a mutagenesis screen	DRUG SENSITIZING.	DRUG SENSITIZING.	DRUG SENSITIZING.	Drug contact site. Also associated with Erlotinib resistance in a mutagenesis screen.	DRUG SENSITIZING. ONCOGENIC. HYPERACTIVE. Reduced ATP affinity.	DRUG SENSITIZING.	DRUG SENSITIZING.	G863D clinically associated with increased Geftinib or Erlouinib sensitivity. G863S associated with Lapatinib resistance in a mutagenesis screen.	Confers sensitivity to Gefinith, but resistance to Edotinih Disrupts A- loop E884- sult bridge with R958 in C-lobe, may alter substrate interactions and/or A-loop flexibility.	Oncogenic, found in clinical tumors.	Oncogenic, found in clinical tumors	Constitutively active.	Gatekeeper residue: found in cell based screen	
Topological Location	Hinge region	Type 2 allosteric pocket adjacent to ATP binding site. Gatekeeper residue.	C-lobe, solvent channel	C-lobe	C-lobe	C-lobe	C-lobe	KD-CL, A-loop	A-loop	A-loop	A-loop	A-loop	G-loop	β-sheet 3/αC Helix	αC Helix	N-Jobe	
sensitive to these inhibitors	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Irreversible EGFR inhibitors such as WZ4002 (preclinical)		Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Irreversible inhibitors.	Geftünib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Geftünib, Erlotinib		Gefitinib			Irreversible EGFR inhibitors		
Resistant (or (or) (or, italic) these inhibitors	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Geftitnib, Erlotinib. Lapatinb?	Gefitinib; Erlotinib or CI-1033?	Geftitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Geftiinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Geftánib, Erlotinib	Gefitinib, Erlotinib	Lapatinib?	Geftánib, Erlotinib	Lapatinib (in vitro)	Lapatinib (in vitro)	Erlotinib (in vitro)	Lapatintb (<i>in vitro</i>)	
Clinically observed in disease	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	NSCLC	Gastric cancer	Gastric and breast cancer	NSCLC		
Mutation	T783A	M007T	G796D/R/C	N826S	A839T	K846R	T854A	L858R	<i>T</i> 861 <i>Q</i>	G863D	G863S	E884K	T733I	L755S	G776 (Ins VG/C)	1864.L	
Kinase	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	ERBB2	ERBB2	ERBB2	ERBB2	

imatinib resistance has been established. More comprehensive lists of drug-resistance associated mutations in non-ABL kinases can be found in the references listed, and in references therein. Analogous mutations in other kinases were identified based on sequence homology conformation which binds with higher affinity to gefitinib and erlotinib⁷¹. Due to the large number of potential drug-resistant mutations found in *in vitro* mutagenesis screens, this table only lists the best characterized, clinically observed examples where a causative role in In KIT and EGFR, drug-resistance mutations are usually secondary to primary mutations that hyperactivate the kinase and are oncogenic. Many of these sensitize the kinase to drug inhibition, possibly by shifting the conformational equilibrium towards the active KD

and similar locations in crystal structures of the kinases indicated.

Barouch-Bentov and Sauer