Identification of Three Immunologic Correlates for HIV Type 1 Pathogenesis in Youth

Wei Song,¹ Yufeng Li,² Craig M. Wilson,¹ and Jianming Tang²

Abstract

To evaluate the stability and heterogeneity of cytokine and chemokine profiles in 80 youth with and without HIV-1 infection, we tested plasma samples at repeated visits without antiretroviral therapy. Among nine analytes that were quantified using multiplexing assays, interleukin 10 (IL-10), IL-18, and soluble CD30 persistently showed a positive correlation with HIV-1 viral load (Spearman $\rho = 0.40-0.59$, p < 0.01 for all). A negative correlation with CD4⁺ T cell counts ($\rho = -0.40$ to -0.60, p < 0.01 for all) was also persistent for the three analytes. Analyses restricted to 48 AIDS-free youth (96 visits) yielded similar findings, as did multivariable models in which race, sex, age, body mass index, and time interval between visits were treated as covariates. These relationships reflected two novel features observed for all three analytes. First, their presence in plasma was relatively stable between visits ($\rho = 0.50-0.90$, p < 0.03), regardless of HIV-1 infection status. Second, pairwise correlation was strong and persistent in HIV-1-seropositive youth ($\rho = 0.40-0.59$, p < 0.01), but not in HIV-1, seronegatives (p > 0.13). Additional analytes, especially eotaxin/CCL11 and SDF-1 β /CXCL12, had no correlation with HIV-1-related outcomes despite their stability between visits. Overall, circulating IL-10, IL-18, and soluble CD30 could partially track unfavorable responses to HIV-1 infection in youth. These markers of persistent immune activation are individually and collectively indicative of HIV-1 pathogenesis.

Introduction

PATTERN-SPECIFIC RECOGNITION of pathogen invasion (innate immunity) and antigen-specific T cell or B cell responses (acquired immunity) are closely regulated by cytokines and chemokines, which mediate the differentiation, migration, and function of immune cells. In the majority of individuals infected with HIV type 1 (HIV-1), persistent immune activation of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells¹⁻⁴ is often accompanied by defective cytokine responses.^{5,6} A better understanding of variability (heterogeneity) in cytokine and chemokine responses to HIV-1 infection should benefit their use as therapeutic supplements⁷ or topical microbicides.^{8,9}

Earlier studies have demonstrated that various cytokines can have a persistent and reproducible correlation with both virologic and immunologic outcomes following HIV-1 infection. In particular, an elevated interleukin (IL)-4:interferon (IFN)- γ ratio has been correlated with high plasma viral load and rapid loss of CD4⁺ T cells,⁶ while increases in IL-4 and IL-4-induced IgE production appear to coincide with the emergence of syncytium-inducing viruses.^{10,11} Emerging consensus findings point to circulating IL-7, IL-10, IL-15, IL-18, and tumor growth factor (TGF)- β 1 as probable predictors or biomarkers of HIV-1-related outcomes that range from infection to disease progression and response to therapy.^{12–19} Analyses of other immune activation markers such as soluble CD30 (tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 8) can be equally informative.^{20–22} In addition, detection of intracellular cytokines, especially IFN- γ , IL-2, and TNF- α , is increasingly used to gauge the functionality of HIV-specific T cells.^{23,24} However, with few exceptions,²⁵ past research has rarely yielded longitudinal data that can elucidate the timing and trajectory of cytokine or chemokine profile after HIV-1 infection.

The work described here follows our earlier observations that serum interleukin 18 (IL-18) is likely a universal and reliable predictor of unfavorable outcomes in HIV-1-infected adolescents and adults²⁶ and that plasma is probably more suitable than serum when chemokines are studied in parallel.²⁷ By focusing on cytokines and chemokines with a relatively stable presence in plasma, our study has produced clear evidence that at least three immunologic markers strongly correlate with variability in HIV-1 pathogenesis.

¹Department of Epidemiology and ²Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama.

Materials and Methods

Study subjects

Subjects selected for this study came from the Reaching for Excellence in Adolescent Care and Health (REACH) project (1994-2001).^{28,29} Briefly, youth receiving active health care were recruited and enrolled from 13 U.S. cities for longitudinal evaluation and testing, at a 2:1 ratio between HIV-1 seropositive (seroprevalent) and seronegative subjects. The final cohort had close to 370 youth who were HIV-1 seropositive and they acquired infection primarily through high-risk sexual activities and occasionally through injection drug use, as reflected by the low rate of coinfection with hepatitis C virus (1.7% for HIV-1 seropositives and 0% for high-risk seronegatives).³⁰ The 60 HIV-1-seropositive (HIV-1⁺) youth chosen for this retrospective study all had (1) two treatment-free visits within the first 2 years of follow-up (duration of infection unknown but should be less than 5 years and more than 6 months), (2) adequate plasma samples stored at -80° C and never thawed before use, (3) HIV-1 viral load (VL) and CD4⁺ T cell (CD4) counts measured at both visits, (4) no more than 12 months between visits, and (5) unlikely complication by hepatitis C virus infection. Representative of the entire REACH cohort in terms of age, sex ratio, ethnic backgrounds, and other characteristics (Table 1), the HIV-1⁺ youth consisted of 25 controllers (VL <1000 copies/ml and CD4 count >450 cells/ μ l) and 35 noncontrollers (VL >16,000 copies/ml and CD4 count <450 cells/ μ l) defined earlier in immunogenetic studies.^{31,32} For this study, the emphasis was on VL because of its dual impact on disease progression (pathogenesis) and transmission to exposed individuals. CD4 decline (expressed as visit 2 to visit 1 ratio) within the sampling period was also tested as a proxy for CD4 slope. Selection of 20 HIV-1seronegative (HIV-1⁻) youth emphasized a relatively equal number of African-Americans and non-African-Americans. These research activities conformed to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services guidelines for protection of human subjects. The protocols for obtaining written informed consent, blood sample, clinical information, data management, and data analysis were approved by institutional review boards (IRBs) at each clinical site, with further approval by the IRB at the University of Alabama at Birmingham (under Protocol X070405012).

Multiplexing enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (SearchLight)

Highly sensitive SearchLight proteome array (Aushon BioSystems, Inc., Billerica, MA; formerly Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL) was used to quantify nine analytes in plasma samples collected with EDTA-coated tubes. Capture antibodies (in array format) spotted on the bottom of 96-well polystyrene microtiter plates were specific for nine analytes, including two cytokines (IL-10 and IL-18), five chemokines (eotaxin/CCL11, MIP-1 α , MIP-1 β , RANTES/CCL5, and SDF-1 β /CXCL12) and two inflammatory markers (soluble CD30 and C-reactive protein). EDTA-plasma samples were tested in duplicate at three dilutions (1:2, 1:50, and 1:1000). The bound protein analytes were incubated with biotinylated detection antibodies. After addition of streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and SuperSignal ELISA Femto Chemiluminescent substrate, the light signal produced from the HRP-

catalyzed oxidation of substrate was captured by digital scanning (SearchLight Imaging System). The scanned image was processed using ArrayVision customized software. Product concentrations at individual spots were extrapolated off a standard curve. The lower limits of detection ranged from 0.2 pg/ml for IL-10 to 6.2 pg/ml for MIP-1 α . The results were highly reproducible (coefficients of variation <20% in >80% of test samples). Testing of the first eight analytes was based on (1) initial screening of 42 analytes (representing $T_{H}1$ and T_H2 cytokines, C-X-C and C-C motif chemokines, growth factors, as well as markers of inflammation and angiogenesis) using the Human Cytokine Array III (RayBiotech Inc., Norcross GA),³³ (2) dismissal of IFN-y, IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) as informative markers of HIV-1 pathogenesis in the REACH cohort,³⁴ (3) analysis of serum samples from the REACH cohort, 26 and (4) successful quantification in over 90% of samples from HIV-1⁺ youth. Inclusion of C-reactive protein (CRP) was based on its frequent recognition as a reliable marker of chronic inflammation related to various immune disorders.^{35,36}

Statistical analyses

Several routine statistical procedures in the Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) package, version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) were used to determine (1) intervisit correlation for

TABLE 1. CHARACTERISTICS OF HIV-1 SEROPOSITIVE AND SERONEGATIVE YOUTH SELECTED FROM THE REACH COHORT

Characteristics	HIV^+ youth	HIV ⁻ youth
No. of subjects	60 ^a	20 ^b
Sex ratio (M/F)	0.33(15/45)	0.67 (8/12)
Ethnicity		
African-American (AA)	44	11
Other ^c	16	9
Age at visit 1 ^d	17.6 ± 1.3	17.6 ± 0.8
Age at visit 2 ^d	18.4 ± 1.4	17.9 ± 1.0
Interval (in days)	237 ± 107	170 ± 25
between visits		
BMI at visit 1	27.6 ± 7.3	28.3 ± 9.5
BMI at visit 2	27.5 ± 7.5	28.4 ± 9.7
Earliest visit date	March 1996	March 1996
Latest visit date	October 2000	November 1999
Plasma HIV-1 viral load (lo	g_{10} copies/ml)	
Visit 1	3.68 ± 1.57	NA
Visit 2	3.69 ± 1.68	NA
CD4 ⁺ T cell counts (cells pe	er μl)	
Visit 1	502 ± 342	1016 ± 345
Visit 2	480 ± 378	870 ± 368
Change (visit 2 to visit 1 ratio)	0.93 ± 0.30	0.86 ± 0.24

^aRepresentative of HIV-1-seropositive youth in the REACH cohort (duration of infection unknown).

^bWith an emphasis on relatively equal representation of African-Americans and others. NA, not applicable.

^cMostly Hispanic Americans in this group.

^dThese selected visits correspond to the first two eligible for analyses of HIV-1-related outcomes in the absence of antiretroviral therapy. Summary data as shown correspond to mean \pm standard deviation.

HIV⁺, HIV-1 seropositive; HIV⁻, HIV-1 seronegative.

TABLE 2. PAIRWISE CORRELATION AMONG THREE PLASMA A	NALYTES AND TWO HIV-1-RELATED	ong Three Plasma Analytes and Two HIV-1-Related
Outcomes in 60 Youth from the REA	ACH Cohort ^a	Youth from the REACH Cohort ^a

Parameter	IL-10	IL-18	Soluble CD30	HIV-1 viral load	$CD4^+ T$ cell
IL-10 IL-18 Soluble CD30 HIV-1 viral load CD4 ⁺ T cell	$\begin{array}{c} 0.749 \; (<\!0.0001) \\ 0.428 \; (<\!0.001) \\ 0.499 \; (<\!0.0001) \\ \textbf{0.594} \; (<\!0.0001) \\ -0.594 \; (<\!0.0001) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.353 \ (<\!0.01) \\ 0.771 \ (<\!0.0001) \\ 0.334 \ (0.009) \\ 0.404 \ (0.001) \\ -0.430 \ (0.001) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.397 \ (<\!0.01) \\ 0.259 \ (<\!0.05) \\ 0.852 \ (<\!0.0001) \\ 0.568 \ (<\!0.0001) \\ -0.510 \ (<\!0.0001) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.434 \; (<\!0.001) \\ 0.402 \; (<\!0.01) \\ \textbf{0.523} \; (<\!0.0001) \\ 0.869 \; (<\!0.0001) \\ -0.823 \; (<\!0.0001) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.407 \ (0.001) \\ -0.449 \ (<0.001) \\ -0.395 \ (<0.01) \\ -0.797 \ (<0.0001) \\ 0.913 \ (<0.0001) \end{array}$

^aEach individual is analyzed for two treatment-free visits within the first 3 years of longitudinal follow-up study. Results (Spearman ρ and p values in parentheses) from visit 1 are over the diagonal; results from visit 2 are below the diagonal; comparison between visit 1 and visit 2 are in italics. The best predictor of HIV-1 viral load within each visit is shown in bold.

all analytes and two major HIV-1-related outcomes (i.e., VL and CD4 count), (2) visit-specific pairwise correlation among all analytes measured on the same day, and (3) visit-specific correlation between individual analytes and HIV-1-related outcomes, including CD4 T cell change or decline (visit 2 to visit 1 ratio). To emphasize the strength and persistence of correlations, the Spearman method was used throughout this work; estimates of correlation coefficients ($rho = \rho$) were highlighted if the *p* values reached the minimal statistical significance level (0.05) at both visits (results from visit 2 tested the hypothesis derived from visit 1). Whenever possible, statistical adjustments were made for race, sex, age, BMI, and the time interval between visits.

Results

Analyses based on 60 HIV-1-seropositive youth

Among HIV-1⁺ youth (Table 1), 25 controllers and 35 noncontrollers differed starkly in VL and CD4 count (p < 0.0001 for all comparisons). In controllers, VL was $2.04 \pm 1.03 \log_{10}$ (mean \pm standard deviation) and 1.99 ± 0.91 at visit 1 and visit 2, respectively, with corresponding CD4 counts of 834 ± 257 and 849 ± 290 cells/ μ l. In noncontrollers, VL was $4.80 \pm 0.54 \log_{10}$ at visit 1 and $4.91 \pm 0.79 \log_{10}$ at visit 2, with corresponding CD4 counts of 274 ± 150 and 217 ± 127 . Declines in CD4 within the sampling intervals also differed between these two subgroups (the visit 2 to visit 1 ratio was 1.07 ± 0.28 for controllers and 0.82 ± 0.27 for noncontrollers, p < 0.0001 by Student's *t*-test).

For the nine analytes (eotaxin/CCL11, MIP-1 α , MIP-1 β , RANTES/CCL5, SDF-1 β /CXCL12, IL-10, IL-18, soluble CD30, and CRP) tested in EDTA-plasma samples, IL-10, IL-18, and soluble CD30 were positively correlated with HIV-1 VL and inversely correlated with CD4 counts at both visits (Table 2 and Fig. 1), with ρ values ranging from 0.26 (p < 0.05) to 0.59 (p < 0.0001) in tests of VL. Statistical adjustments for race, sex, age, BMI, and visit interval did not substantially alter these relationships (Supplementary Table S1; Supplementary Data are available online at www.liebertonline.com/aid). The other six analytes (CRP, eotaxin, MIP-1 α , MIP-1 β , RANTES/CCL5, and SDF-1 β /CXCL12) had no clear correlation with HIV-1 VL or CD4 counts at any visit (results not shown).

The three analytes (IL-10, IL-18, and soluble CD30) associated with HIV-1-related outcomes all had relatively stable concentrations between visits (Table 2), with soluble CD30 being most stable (intervisit $\rho = 0.85$ and p < 0.0001) and IL-10 the least ($\rho = 0.75$ and p < 0.0001). On the basis of assay quality (coefficient of variation < 5% for duplicate samples), intervisit stability, and magnitude of correlation at both visits, soluble CD30 was the best predictor of HIV-1 VL, which was in turn a strong predictor of CD4 counts ($\rho = 0.87$ and 0.91 for visit 1 and visit 2, respectively; p < 0.0001 for both).

When 12 patients with severe immunodeficiency (i.e., CD4 count <200 cells/µl) were excluded, the key findings remained similar (Supplementary Table S2). Again, soluble CD30 was the best predictor of HIV-1 viral load (ρ = 0.76 for visit 1 and 0.74 for visits 2, p < 0.0001 for both visits). Further (exploratory) analyses using the 12 youth with severe immunodeficiency (<200 CD4 count per µl of blood) revealed that eotaxin/CCL11 at any visit strongly correlated with visit 2 viral load (ρ = 0.73 and 0.86, p < 0.01 and <0.001 for visit 1 and visit 2 eotaxin, respectively). However, plasma eotaxin/CCL11 did not correlate with CD4 counts at any visit (p > 0.13 in all tests involving the 12 patients). Similar tests for other analytes were not informative (results not shown).

In alternative analyses, both IL-18 and soluble CD30 had a persistent inverse correlation with CD4 change/decline ($\rho \leq -0.25$ and $p \leq 0.05$) (Table 3), while IL-10 showed a correlation at visit 2 ($\rho = -0.28$ and p = 0.02) and not at visit 1 ($\rho = -0.13$ and p = 0.32). Relative changes in these three analytes (visit 2 to visit 1 ratios) were not informative in any of the statistical models ($p \geq 0.19$).

Comparisons between HIV-1- seropositive and -seronegative youth

For visit 1, the three plasma analytes (IL-10, IL-18, and soluble CD30) associated with HIV-1-related outcomes were all present at higher concentrations in HIV-1⁺ than HIV-1⁻ youth (p < 0.001 for all) (Table 4). The analytes with the clearest (>10-fold) difference between HIV-1⁺ and HIV-1⁻ youth were MIP-1 α and CRP (p < 0.0001), with the former being undetectable (<6.2 pg/ml) in 18 out of 20 HIV-1⁻ youth. Two other analytes, eotaxin and SDF-1 β /CXCL12, had \sim 4-fold higher concentrations in HIV-1⁺ than HIV-1⁻ youth (p < 0.0001 for both), while MIP-1 β and RANTES/CCL5 had a slight trend for higher concentrations in HIV-1⁻ than HIV-1⁺ youth, although the difference did not reach statistical significance (p > 0.20 for both). Results for visit 2 mostly mirrored those for visit 1 (Table 4), except that IL-10 concentrations were no longer different between HIV-1⁺ and HIV-1⁻ youth (p = 0.48 by Wilcoxon *U*-test).

As in HIV-1⁺ youth (Table 2), IL-10, IL-18, and soluble CD30 also had a stable presence in the plasma of HIV-1⁻



FIG. 1. Persistent correlation of plasma IL-10 with HIV-1 viral load (**a**, **c**) and CD4⁺ T cell counts (**b**, **d**) at two separate visits without antiretroviral therapy. Each panel represents univariate correlation analysis of data from 60 individuals using the Spearman method. Projected slope and its 95% confidence intervals at each visit are shown in solid and broken lines, respectively. Similar findings are seen with IL-18 and soluble CD30 (Table 2).

youth, although the ranking differed slightly among the three analytes: IL-18 was most stable in the absence of HIV-1 infection ($\rho = 0.90$ and p < 0.001) and IL-10 was least stable ($\rho = 0.50$ and p < 0.03). However, there was no pairwise correlation among these analytes at any visit in the HIV-1⁻ youth

Table 3. Alternative Analysis of CD4 $^+$ T Cell Change in 60 Youth from the REACH Cohort $^{\rm a}$

	Visit 1		Visit 2		Visit 2 to v	visit 1 ratio
Plasma analyte	ρ	р	ρ	р	ρ	р
IL-10	-0.13	0.32	-0.28	0.03	-0.17	0.19
IL-18 Soluble CD30	$-0.27 \\ -0.40$	0.04 <0.01	$-0.25 \\ -0.35$	0.05 <0.01	0.04 0.09	0.75 0.51

^aCD4⁺ T cell counts at two separate visits are converted to a ratio (visit 2 to visit 1) before being treated as an alternative outcome measure. Spearman correlation (ρ) and associated p value are shown for each of the three analytes at both visits and for the ratio of these analytes (also visit 2 to visit 1).

(p > 0.13 for all), which contrasted with findings based on HIV-1⁺ youth (Table 2).

Stability ranking for the other analytes in the absence of HIV-1 infection was as follows: eotaxin/CCL11 ($\rho = 0.94$ and p < 0.0001) > SDF-1 β /CXCL12 ($\rho = 0.72$ and p < 0.001) > CRP ($\rho = 0.71$ and p < 0.001) > MIP-1 β ($\rho = 0.63$ and p < 0.01) > RANTES/CCL5 ($\rho = 0.49$ and p = 0.03). For HIV-1⁺ youth, the ranking was slightly different: eotaxin/CCL11 ($\rho = 0.86$) > SDF-1 β ($\rho = 0.73$) > RANTES/CCL5 ($\rho = 0.67$) > MIP-1 β ($\rho = 0.60$) > CRP ($\rho = 0.57$) (p < 0.0001 for all intervisit relationships). Overall, eotaxin/CCL11 and SDF-1 β /CXCL12 were quite stable regardless of HIV-1 infection status. The relative ranking for MIP-1 α could not be compared because most plasma samples from HIV-1⁻ youth had undetectable levels.

Discussion

With attention to adequate and repeated sampling of HIV-1⁺ and HIV-1⁻ youth, our analysis produced clear evidence that multiple cytokines, chemokines, and related products have a relatively stable presence in EDTA-plasma samples

	V	isit 1 results ^a		Visit 2 results ^a		
Analytes	HIV ⁺ youth	HIV^{-} youth	p value	HIV ⁺ youth	HIV^{-} youth	p value
IL-10	2.4 (1.6–2.8)	1.3 (0.8–1.9)	< 0.001	2.1 (1.4–3.3)	2.0 (1.3-2.7)	0.48
IL-18	332 (261-403)	135 (101–167)	< 0.0001	329 (251-428)	145 (110–192)	< 0.0001
Soluble CD30	2.7 (1.8–3.8)	1.0 (0.8–1.3)	< 0.0001	2.1 (1.6-4.0)	1.1 (0.9–1.6)	< 0.0001
Eotaxin/CCL11	88 (61–132)	20 (15-48)	< 0.0001	86 (61–133)	23 (12-40)	< 0.0001
MIP-1a	61.5 (52.3-68.9)	<6.2	NA	66.2 (54.5-72.4)	<6.2	NA
MIP-1 β	48 (38–62)	38 (29-68)	0.21	47 (40–56)	39 (31-78)	0.27
RANTES/CCL5	14.3 (5.2–39.9)	26.5 (4.8–57.9)	0.29	14.8 (5.6-37.4)	14.9 (7.0–50.9)	0.61
SDF-1 β /CXCL12	1220 (916-1847)	310 (142-360)	< 0.0001	1277 (924–1808)	253 (151-402)	< 0.0001
C-reactive protein (CRP)	1421 (540–3368)	117 (23–190)	< 0.0001	943 (482–3440)	127 (27–1340)	< 0.001

 Table 4. Overall Comparison of Nine Plasma Products (Analytes) Between 60 HIV-1-Seropositive and 20 Seronegative Youth

^aConcentrations are shown in pg/ml for all analytes except soluble CD30, RANTES/CCL5, and CRP (in ng/ml). The median and interquartile range (IQR) are shown for each analyte and p values are based on Wilcoxon *U*-tests (NA, not applicable when most values are below the lower limit of detection).

and that three analytes are highly informative in terms of their persistent correlation with two HIV-1-related outcomes, especially VL. These findings support the notion that circulating immunologic markers may closely track viral pathogenesis. Of note, the three products (IL-10, IL-18, and soluble CD30) positively correlated with HIV-1 VL and inversely so with CD4 counts are all markers of immune activation, which is consistent with evidence from previous studies featuring different demographic characteristics.^{13,17,20–22,37,38} Among the three analytes, IL-10 has the best defined function properties in viral infection or clearance.³⁹⁻⁴¹ Compared with IL-18 and soluble CD30, use of plasma IL-10 as a biomarker for HIV-1 pathogenesis per se is somewhat compromised by its lack of persistent correlation with CD4 decline during HIV-1 infection (Table 3) and by its apparent fluctuation even in healthy subjects (Table 4).

Immune activation in HIV-1-infected individuals is driven by viral antigens as well as endotoxins (lipopolysaccharides, LPS) derived from translocated bacteria, 42-44 even in patients with minimal viral replication.^{45,46} Circulating LPS is a prominent marker of HIV-1 pathogenesis,⁴⁷ as is the soluble form of the endotoxin receptor CD14.^{48,49} The three immunologic markers identified in our study population may also imply the importance of LPS and soluble CD14 through various immune pathways associated with HIV-1 infection in youth. While activated CD4⁺ (mostly $T_H 2$) and CD8⁺ (cytotoxic) T cells are the major source of IL-10 production in humans,⁵⁰ IL-18 and CD30 can be released by a variety of cells.^{50,51} Persistent alteration in these products is expected to have a broad impact on innate and adaptive immune responses. In study populations in which frequent coinfections with other pathogens (e.g., hepatitis C virus and mycobacteria) may further complicate systemic immune responses, it remains to be seen if IL-10, IL-18, and soluble CD30 can maintain their respective correlation with established markers of HIV-1 pathogenesis. Data from seroconverter cohorts (rare for adolescents and youth) should be particularly informative.

As in most studies to date, correlates of protective (favorable) immune responses to HIV-1 infection continue to be elusive. Lack of close relationships between circulating beta chemokines (i.e., MIP-1 α and MIP-1 β and RANTES/CCL5) and HIV-1-related outcomes was especially disappointing, because these small molecules have well-recognized anti-HIV-1 activities, mostly through binding to CCR5, the major HIV-1 coreceptor. High concentrations of β -chemokines in plasma are expected to interfere with HIV-1 dissemination, as demonstrated by in vitro assays.^{52,53} Yet even high concentrations of RANTES/CCL5 had no differential impact on VL or CD4 counts. As we pointed out earlier,²⁷ quantitative assays that can distinguish various β -chemokine isoforms and cleavage products^{33,54} may provide new insights: it is possible that certain isoforms, including nonallelic products encoded by closely related genes, are more potent than others in their antiviral properties and these can be differentially distributed among tissue compartments. Judging from preliminary findings on eotaxin/CCL11 in patients with severe immunodeficiency, timing of the chemokine response can be another critical issue. The specific role of eotaxin/CXCL11 in late stage of HIV-1 infection may deserve some further investigation.

Unfavorable outcomes following HIV-1 infection are also associated with cellular factors, including CD38 and PD-1 on CD8⁺ T cells.^{1,3,4,55–58} Work based on the REACH cohort and other youth populations has produced confirmatory findings about the prognostic value of CD8⁺CD38⁺ T cell percentage,⁵⁹⁻⁶² suggesting that hyperimmune activation is also a common feature in youth and children. Use of soluble instead of cellular factor as correlates or predictors of HIV-1 pathogenesis is usually advantageous because quantification of cellular factors requires an ample amount of viable cells. Nonetheless, assessment of normal and abnormal ranges of specific cytokines, chemokines, and related products in extracellular compartments will require close attention to standardized procedures for sample processing, followed by vigorous testing in different study populations. Our data from HIV-1⁺ and HIV-1⁻ youth should serve this purpose well.

The search for clinically and epidemiologically useful biomarkers in human proteomes has yielded some success before.⁶³ High concentrations of three soluble products of cellular receptors (CD27, CD40L, and CD120a/TNFR1) and plasma IL-6 in HIV-1 patients before combination therapy have been shown to predict AIDS-defining illnesses after treatment.⁶⁴ In patients with viral hepatitis, two commercially available serum marker panels (FibroSURE and FIBROSpect II) appear to be equally reliable in gauging the success of interferon-based therapy in patients with hepatic C virus infection.⁶⁵ A combination of several CXCR3-related chemokines (CXCL9, CXCL10, and CXCL11) may provide noninvasive markers of hepatic fibrosis.^{66,67} Soluble immunologic markers highlighted in studies of hepatitis B and C bear no close similarity to markers derived from studies of HIV-1 infection. Such disease- or pathway-specific findings clearly imply distinct underlying mechanisms and/or sites of viral pathogenesis. Efforts to identify and confirm immunologic markers specific for HIV-1 infection should eventually benefit the design of targeted intervention.

HIV-1-specific questions aside, our work has also indicated that cytokine and chemokine concentrations in plasma can remain relatively stable in youth regardless of HIV-1 infection status. Differences in stability ranking, as seen occasionally between HIV-1⁺ and HIV-1⁻ youth, may hint at the disruption of certain interactive pathways following HIV-1 infection. Stability ranking as a novel measure of plasma analytes may become useful in distinguishing HIV-1 controllers from noncontrollers. Thus, an analysis of stability alone may lead to new findings important to HIV-1 pathogenesis.

Acknowledgments

We thank REACH investigators, staff [listed in J Adolesc Health 2001;29(Suppl):5–6] and participants for their valuable contributions. We are also indebted to Dr. Richard A. Kaslow for programmatic support and to Dr. HanSoo Kim for technical advice. Funding for this work came from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) through an R01 grant (AI051173) and an independent scientist award (AI076123). The REACH study (1994–2001) was sponsored by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, with supplemental funding from NIAID, the National Institute on Drug Abuse, and the National Institute of Mental Health (U01-HD32830).

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- 1. Giorgi JV and Detels R: T-cell subset alterations in HIVinfected homosexual men: NIAID Multicenter AIDS cohort study. Clin Immunol Immunopathol 1989;52:10–18.
- Ramzaoui S, Jouen-Beades F, Gilbert D, Borsa-Lebas F, Michel Y, Humbert G, and Tron F: During HIV infection, CD4+ CD38+ T-cells are the predominant circulating CD4+ subset whose HLA-DR positivity increases with disease progression and whose V beta repertoire is similar to that of CD4+ CD38- T-cells. Clin Immunol Immunopathol 1995; 77:33–41.
- Savarino A, Bottarel F, Malavasi F, and Dianzani U: Role of CD38 in HIV-1 infection: An epiphenomenon of T-cell activation or an active player in virus/host interactions? AIDS 2000;14:1079–1089.
- 4. Chun TW, Justement JS, Sanford C, Hallahan CW, Planta MA, Loutfy M, Kottilil S, Moir S, Kovacs C, and Fauci AS: Relationship between the frequency of HIV-specific CD8+ T cells and the level of CD38+ CD8+ T cells in untreated HIV-infected individuals. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004;101:2464–2469.

- 5. Clerici M and Shearer GM: A Th1 \rightarrow Th2 switch is a critical step in the etiology of HIV infection. Immunol Today 1993;14:107–111.
- Altfeld M, Addo MM, Kreuzer KA, Rockstroh JK, Dumoulin FL, Schliefer K, Leifeld L, Sauerbruch T, and Spengler U: T_H1 to T_H2 shift of cytokines in peripheral blood of HIV-infected patients is detectable by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction but not by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay under nonstimulated conditions. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2000;23:287–294.
- Abrams D, Levy Y, Losso MH, Babiker A, Collins G, Cooper DA, Darbyshire J, Emery S, Fox L, Gordin F, Lane HC, Lundgren JD, Mitsuyasu R, Neaton JD, Phillips A, Routy JP, Tambussi G, and Wentworth D: Interleukin-2 therapy in patients with HIV infection. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1548– 1559.
- Lederman MM, Veazey RS, Offord R, Mosier DE, Dufour J, Mefford M, Piatak M, Jr, Lifson JD, Salkowitz JR, Rodriguez B, Blauvelt A, and Hartley O: Prevention of vaginal SHIV transmission in rhesus macaques through inhibition of CCR5. Science 2004;306:485–487.
- Vangelista L, Secchi M, and Lusso P: Rational design of novel HIV-1 entry inhibitors by RANTES engineering. Vaccine 2008;26:3008–3015.
- Nakayama EE, Hoshino Y, Xin X, Liu H, Goto M, Watanabe N, Taguchi H, Hitani A, Kawana-Tachikawa A, Fukushima M, Yamada K, Sugiura W, Oka SI, Ajisawa A, Sato H, Takebe Y, Nakamura T, Nagai Y, Iwamoto A, and Shioda T: Polymorphism in the interleukin-4 promoter affects acquisition of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 syncytiuminducing phenotype. J Virol 2000;74:5452–5459.
- Li YG, Iwabu Y, Warachit J, Kinomoto M, Ibrahim MS, Tsuji S, Mukai T, Kameoka M, Tokunaga K, Sata T, and Ikuta K: Interleukin-4 up-regulates T-tropic human immunodeficiency virus type 1 transcription in primary CD4+ CD38+ T-lymphocyte subset. Microbiol Immunol 2005;49:155–165.
- Napolitano LA, Grant RM, Deeks SG, Schmidt D, De Rosa SC, Herzenberg LA, Herndier BG, Andersson J, and McCune JM: Increased production of IL-7 accompanies HIV-1mediated T-cell depletion: Implications for T-cell homeostasis. Nat Med 2001;7:73–79.
- 13. Boulassel MR, Young M, Routy JP, Sekaly RP, Tremblay C, and Rouleau D: Circulating levels of IL-7 but not IL-15, IGF-1, and TGF- β are elevated during primary HIV-1 infection. HIV Clin Trials 2004;5:357–359.
- Stylianou E, Aukrust P, Kvale D, Muller F, and Froland SS: IL-10 in HIV infection: Increasing serum IL-10 levels with disease progression–down-regulatory effect of potent antiretroviral therapy. Clin Exp Immunol 1999;116:115–120.
- Amicosante M, Poccia F, Gioia C, Montesano C, Topino S, Martini F, Narciso P, Pucillo LP, and D'Offizi G: Levels of interleukin-15 in plasma may predict a favorable outcome of structured treatment interruption in patients with chronic human immunodeficiency virus infection. J Infect Dis 2003; 188:661–665.
- Ahmad R, Sindhu ST, Toma E, Morisset R, and Ahmad A: Studies on the production of IL-15 in HIV-infected/AIDS patients. J Clin Immunol 2003;23:81–90.
- 17. Torre D, Speranza F, Martegani R, Pugliese A, Castelli F, Basilico C, and Biondi G: Circulating levels of IL-18 in adult and paediatric patients with HIV-1 infection. AIDS 2000;14:2211–2212.
- 18. Iannello A, Samarani S, Debbeche O, Ahmad R, Boulassel MR, Tremblay C, Toma E, Routy JP, and Ahmad A: Poten-

tial role of interleukin-18 in the immunopathogenesis of AIDS: Involvement in fratricidal killing of NK cells. J Virol 2009;83:5999–6010.

- Wiercinska-Drapalo A, Flisiak R, Jaroszewicz J, and Prokopowicz D: Increased plasma transforming growth factor-β1 is associated with disease progression in HIV-1-infected patients. Viral Immunol 2004;17:109–113.
- 20. Pizzolo G, Vinante F, Morosato L, Nadali G, Chilosi M, Gandini G, Sinicco A, Raiteri R, Semenzato G, Stein H, et al.: High serum level of the soluble form of CD30 molecule in the early phase of HIV-1 infection as an independent predictor of progression to AIDS. AIDS 1994;8:741–745.
- Rizzardi GP, Barcellini W, Tambussi G, Lillo F, Malnati M, Perrin L, and Lazzarin A: Plasma levels of soluble CD30, tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha and TNF receptors during primary HIV-1 infection: Correlation with HIV-1 RNA and the clinical outcome. AIDS 1996;10:F45–50.
- Pizzolo G, Vinante F, Nadali G, Krampera M, Morosato L, Chilosi M, Raiteri R, and Sinicco A: High serum level of soluble CD30 in acute primary HIV-1 infection. Clin Exp Immunol 1997;108:251–253.
- Bailey JR, Williams TM, Siliciano RF, and Blankson JN: Maintenance of viral suppression in HIV-1-infected HLA-B*57+ elite suppressors despite CTL escape mutations. J Exp Med 2006;203:1357–1369.
- Betts MR, Nason MC, West SM, De Rosa SC, Migueles SA, Abraham J, Lederman MM, Benito JM, Goepfert PA, Connors M, Roederer M, and Koup RA: HIV nonprogressors preferentially maintain highly functional HIV-specific CD8+ T cells. Blood 2006;107:4781–4789.
- 25. Stacey AR, Norris PJ, Qin L, Haygreen EA, Taylor E, Heitman J, Lebedeva M, DeCamp A, Li D, Grove D, Self SG, and Borrow P: Induction of a striking systemic cytokine cascade prior to peak viremia in acute human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection, in contrast to more modest and delayed responses in acute hepatitis B and C virus infections. J Virol 2009;83:3719–3733.
- Song W, Wilson CM, Allen S, Wang C, Li Y, Kaslow RA, and Tang J: Interleukin 18 and human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection in adolescents and adults. Clin Exp Immunol 2006;144:117–124.
- Shao W, Tang J, Song W, Wang C, Li Y, Wilson CM, and Kaslow RA: CCL3L1 and CCL4L1: Variable gene copy number in adolescents with and without human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) infection. Genes Immun 2007;8:224–231.
- Rogers AS, Futterman DK, Mosciki AB, Wilson CM, Ellenberg J, and Vermund SH: The REACH project of the adolescent medicine HIV/AIDS research network: Design, methods, and selected characteristics of participants. J Adolesc Health 1998;22:300–311.
- Wilson CM, Houser J, Partlow C, Rudy BJ, Futterman DC, and Friedman LB: The REACH (Reaching for Excellence in Adolescent Care and Health) project: Study design, methods, and population profile. J Adolesc Health 2001; 29:8–18.
- 30. Holland CA, Ma Y, Moscicki B, Durako SJ, Levin L, and Wilson CM: Seroprevalence and risk factors of hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and human cytomegalovirus among HIV-infected and high-risk uninfected adolescents: Findings of the REACH Study. Adolescent Medicine HIV/AIDS Research Network. Sex Transm Dis 2000;27:296–303.
- Tang J, Wilson CM, Meleth S, Myracle A, Lobashevsky E, Mulligan MJ, Douglas SD, Korber B, Vermund SH, and

Kaslow RA: Host genetic profiles predict virological and immunological control of HIV-1 infection in adolescents. AIDS 2002;16:2275–2284.

- 32. Shrestha S, Aissani B, Song W, Wilson CM, Kaslow RA, and Tang J: Host genetics and HIV-1 viral load set-point in African-Americans. AIDS 2009;23:673–677.
- 33. Tang J: Chemokine receptor and ligand genes. In: *Genetic Susceptibility to Infectious Diseases* (Kaslow RA, McNicholl JM, and Hill AVS, Eds.). Oxford University Press, New York, 2008, pp. 247–262.
- 34. Douglas SD, Durako S, Sullivan KE, Camarca M, Moscicki AB, and Wilson CM: TH1 and TH2 cytokine mRNA and protein levels in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-seropositive and HIV-seronegative youths. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 2003;10:399–404.
- 35. Lowe GD: The relationship between infection, inflammation, and cardiovascular disease: An overview. Ann Periodontol 2001;6:1–8.
- 36. Signorelli SS, Mazzarino MC, Spandidos DA, and Malaponte G: Proinflammatory circulating molecules in peripheral arterial disease. Int J Mol Med 2007;20:279–286.
- 37. Manetti R, Annunziato F, Biagiotti R, Giudizi MG, Piccinni MP, Giannarini L, Sampognaro S, Parronchi P, Vinante F, Pizzolo G, Maggi E, and Romagnani S: CD30 expression by CD8+ T cells producing type 2 helper cytokines. Evidence for large numbers of CD8+ CD30+ T cell clones in human immunodeficiency virus infection. J Exp Med 1994;180:2407–2411.
- 38. Sadeghi M, Susal C, Daniel V, Naujokat C, Zimmermann R, Huth-Kuhne A, and Opelz G: Decreasing soluble CD30 and increasing IFN-γ plasma levels are indicators of effective highly active antiretroviral therapy. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2007;23:886–890.
- Ji J, Sahu GK, Braciale VL, and Cloyd MW: HIV-1 induces IL-10 production in human monocytes via a CD4-independent pathway. Int Immunol 2005;17:729–736.
- Brooks DG, Trifilo MJ, Edelmann KH, Teyton L, McGavern DB, and Oldstone MB: Interleukin-10 determines viral clearance or persistence in vivo. Nat Med 2006;12:1301– 1309.
- Alter G, Kavanagh D, Rihn S, Luteijn R, Brooks D, Oldstone M, van Lunzen J, and Altfeld M: IL-10 induces aberrant deletion of dendritic cells by natural killer cells in the context of HIV infection. J Clin Invest 2010;120:1905–1913.
- 42. Jirillo E, Covelli V, Brandonisio O, Munno I, De Simone C, Mastroianni CM, Antonaci S, and Riccio P: HIV-infection and in vivo lipopolysaccharide-induced release of cytokines. An amplified mechanism of damage to the host. Acta Neurol (Napoli) 1991;13:188–196.
- 43. Brenchley JM, Price DA, Schacker TW, Asher TE, Silvestri G, Rao S, Kazzaz Z, Bornstein E, Lambotte O, Altmann D, Blazar BR, Rodriguez B, Teixeira-Johnson L, Landay A, Martin JN, Hecht FM, Picker LJ, Lederman MM, Deeks SG, and Douek DC: Microbial translocation is a cause of systemic immune activation in chronic HIV infection. Nat Med 2006;12:1365–1371.
- 44. Douek D: HIV disease progression: Immune activation, microbes, and a leaky gut. Top HIV Med 2007;15:114–117.
- 45. Hunt PW, Brenchley J, Sinclair E, McCune JM, Roland M, Page-Shafer K, Hsue P, Emu B, Krone M, Lampiris H, Douek D, Martin JN, and Deeks SG: Relationship between T cell activation and CD4+ T cell count in HIV-seropositive individuals with undetectable plasma HIV RNA levels in the absence of therapy. J Infect Dis 2008;197:126–133.

- 46. Baroncelli S, Galluzzo CM, Pirillo MF, Mancini MG, Weimer LE, Andreotti M, Amici R, Vella S, Giuliano M, and Palmisano L: Microbial translocation is associated with residual viral replication in HAART-treated HIV+ subjects with <50 copies/ml HIV-1 RNA. J Clin Virol 2009;46:367–370.
- 47. Papasavvas E, Pistilli M, Reynolds G, Bucki R, Azzoni L, Chehimi J, Janmey PA, DiNubile MJ, Ondercin J, Kostman JR, Mounzer KC, and Montaner LJ: Delayed loss of control of plasma lipopolysaccharide levels after therapy interruption in chronically HIV-1-infected patients. AIDS 2009;23: 369–375.
- Lien E, Aukrust P, Sundan A, Muller F, Froland SS, and Espevik T: Elevated levels of serum-soluble CD14 in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) infection: Correlation to disease progression and clinical events. Blood 1998;92:2084–2092.
- 49. Ryan LA, Zheng J, Brester M, Bohac D, Hahn F, Anderson J, Ratanasuwan W, Gendelman HE, and Swindells S: Plasma levels of soluble CD14 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha type II receptor correlate with cognitive dysfunction during human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. J Infect Dis 2001;184:699–706.
- eBioscience: Cytokines—Master Regulators of the Immune System. http://www.ebioscience.com/ebioscience/whatsnew/pdf/Cytokines.pdf. Accessed August 18, 2010.
- eBioscience: Human CD & Other Cellular Antigens. http:// www.ebioscience.com/ebioscience/contact.asp. Accessed August 18, 2010.
- 52. Rubbert A, Weissman D, Combadiere C, Pettrone KA, Daucher JA, Murphy PM, and Fauci AS: Multifactorial nature of noncytolytic CD8+ T cell-mediated suppression of HIV replication: Beta-chemokine-dependent and -independent effects. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 1997;13:63–69.
- 53. Bernstein HB, Kinter AL, Jackson R, and Fauci AS: Neonatal natural killer cells produce chemokines and suppress HIV replication in vitro. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2004;20:1189–1195.
- 54. Chiravuri M, Agarraberes F, Mathieu SL, Lee H, and Huber BT: Vesicular localization and characterization of a novel post-proline-cleaving aminodipeptidase, quiescent cell proline dipeptidase. J Immunol 2000;165:5695–5702.
- 55. Trautmann L, Janbazian L, Chomont N, Said EA, Wang G, Gimmig S, Bessette B, Boulassel MR, Delwart E, Sepulveda H, Balderas RS, Routy JP, Haddad EK, and Sekaly RP: Upregulation of PD-1 expression on HIV-specific CD8+ T cells leads to reversible immune dysfunction. Nat Med 2006;12: 1198–1202.
- 56. Freeman GJ, Wherry EJ, Ahmed R, and Sharpe AH: Reinvigorating exhausted HIV-specific T cells via PD-1-PD-1 ligand blockade. J Exp Med 2006;203:2223–2227.
- 57. Petrovas C, Casazza JP, Brenchley JM, Price DA, Gostick E, Adams WC, Precopio ML, Schacker T, Roederer M, Douek DC, and Koup RA: PD-1 is a regulator of virus-specific CD8+ T cell survival in HIV infection. J Exp Med 2006; 203:2281–2292.
- Day CL, Kaufmann DE, Kiepiela P, Brown JA, Moodley ES, Reddy S, Mackey EW, Miller JD, Leslie AJ, DePierres C, Mncube Z, Duraiswamy J, Zhu B, Eichbaum Q, Altfeld M,

Wherry EJ, Coovadia HM, Goulder PJ, Klenerman P, Ahmed R, Freeman GJ, and Walker BD: PD-1 expression on HIV-specific T cells is associated with T-cell exhaustion and disease progression. Nature 2006;443:350–354.

- Paul ME, Shearer WT, Kozinetz CA, and Lewis DE: Comparison of CD8(+) T-cell subsets in HIV-infected rapid progressor children versus non-rapid progressor children. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2001;108:258–264.
- 60. Resino S, Bellon JM, Gurbindo MD, and Munoz-Fernandez MA: CD38 expression in CD8+ T cells predicts virological failure in HIV type 1-infected children receiving antiretroviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis 2004;38:412–417.
- 61. Wilson CM, Ellenberg JH, Douglas SD, Moscicki AB, and Holland CA: CD8+ CD38+ T cells but not HIV type 1 RNA viral load predict CD4+ T cell loss in a predominantly minority female HIV+ adolescent population. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2004;20:263–269.
- 62. Rudy BJ, Lindsey JC, Flynn PM, Bosch RJ, Wilson CM, Hughes ME, and Douglas SD: Immune reconstitution and predictors of virologic failure in adolescents infected through risk behaviors and initiating HAART: Week 60 results from the PACTG 381 cohort. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2006;22:213–221.
- List EO, Berryman DE, Bower B, Sackmann-Sala L, Gosney E, Ding J, Okada S, and Kopchick JJ: The use of proteomics to study infectious diseases. Infect Disord Drug Targets 2008;8:31–45.
- 64. Kalayjian RC, Machekano RN, Rizk N, Robbins GK, Gandhi RT, Rodriguez BA, Pollard RB, Lederman MM, and Landay A: Pretreatment levels of soluble cellular receptors and interleukin-6 are associated with HIV disease progression in subjects treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy. J Infect Dis 2010;201:1796–1805.
- 65. Patel K, Benhamou Y, Yoshida EM, Kaita KD, Zeuzem S, Torbenson M, Pulkstenis E, Subramanian GM, and McHutchison JG: An independent and prospective comparison of two commercial fibrosis marker panels (HCV FibroSURE and FIBROSpect II) during albinterferon alfa-2b combination therapy for chronic hepatitis C. J Viral Hepat 2009;16:178–186.
- 66. Helbig KJ, Ruszkiewicz A, Lanford RE, Berzsenyi MD, Harley HA, McColl SR, and Beard MR: Differential expression of the CXCR3 ligands in chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and their modulation by HCV in vitro. J Virol 2009;83:836–846.
- Zeremski M, Dimova R, Brown Q, Jacobson IM, Markatou M, and Talal AH: Peripheral CXCR3-associated chemokines as biomarkers of fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C virus infection. J Infect Dis 2009;200:1774–1780.

Address correspondence to: Jianming "James" Tang Division of Infectious Diseases University of Alabama at Birmingham 1665 University Blvd. Birmingham, Alabama 39294-0022

E-mail: jtang@uab.edu