Regulation of Corneal Epithelial Barrier Function by Krüppel-like Transcription Factor 4

*Sudha Swamynathan,*¹ *Doreswamy Kenchegowda,*¹ *Joram Piatigorsky,*² *and Shivalingappa Swamynathan*1,3,4

PURPOSE. Previously, the authors showed that *Klf4*-conditional null (*Klf4*CN) corneas display epithelial fragility. Here, they investigated the mechanism by which Klf4 regulates corneal epithelial barrier function.

METHODS. *Klf4*CN mice were generated by breeding Le-Cre with *Klf4*-LoxP mice. Fluorescein staining was used to test the corneal barrier function. RT-PCR, immunoblots, and immunofluorescence were used to detect the expression of cell junctional proteins. The effect of Klf4 on promoter activities was measured by transient cotransfection assays. Trans-epithelial electrical resistance (TEER) was used to measure the barrierforming ability of control or anti-*KLF4* siRNA–treated cells.

RESULTS. Increased fluorescein staining and decreased tight junction protein Tjp1 expression demonstrated that the *Klf4*CN corneal epithelial barrier function is defective. Expression of desmosomal components Dsp, Dsg-1a, and Dsg-1b was downregulated in the *Klf4*CN corneas, and their corresponding promoter activities were upregulated by Klf4 in transient cotransfection assays. Hemidesmosomal α 3- and β 4-integrin levels were not affected even though there were fewer hemidesmosomes in the *Klf4*CN corneas. The basement membrane components laminin- α 5, - α 3, - β 3, and - β 1-1 were downregulated, suggesting that the disrupted basement membrane is responsible for fewer hemidesmosomes in the *Klf4*CN cornea. Tight junction proteins OCLN1 and TJP1were downregulated in anti-*KLF4* siRNA–treated cells, which failed to develop epithelial barrier function as measured by TEER.

CONCLUSIONS. Klf4 contributes to corneal epithelial barrier function by upregulating the expression of functionally related subsets of cell junctional proteins and basement membrane components. (*Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2011;52:1762–1769) DOI:10.1167/iovs.10-6134

Supported by the NEI K22 Career Development Award EY016875 (SKS); startup funds from the Department of Ophthalmology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine (SKS); NEI/NIH Core Grant for Vision Research 5P30 EY08098-19; Research to Prevent Blindness; the Eye and Ear Foundation, Pittsburgh; and the intramural research program of the NEI, NIH.

Submitted for publication June 28, 2010; revised September 23, 2010; accepted October 2, 2010.

Disclosure: **S. Swamynathan**, None; **D. Kenchegowda**, None; **J. Piatigorsky**, None; **S. Swamynathan**, None

The transparent cornea, the anterior-most refractive ocular
tissue comprising an outer stratified squamous epithelium, an inner monolayered endothelium, and a central collagenous stroma sparsely populated by keratocytes, is critical for clear vision.¹ The corneal epithelial barrier defends the eye against chemical, biological, and physical insults from the environment.² Loss of corneal epithelial barrier function is associated with debilitating ocular surface disorders such as dry eye, ocular cicatricial pemphigoid, and Meesmann's dystrophy.³

The mouse corneal epithelium consists of approximately 8 to 10 layers of cells derived from the head surface ectoderm. Even though corneal development begins in the early embryonic stages, corneal epithelial stratification takes place after eyelid opening.^{4,5} Different cell-cell junctional complexes exist at different depths of these layers.⁶ Tight junctions at the superficial layers are necessary for proper barrier function.⁷⁻⁹ Desmosomes in the spinous cell layers, adherens junctions throughout the different layers, and hemidesmosomes in the basal cell layers provide structural stability and help resist the shearing forces in the corneal epithelium.^{6,10,11} The gap junctions in the basal cell layers allow intercellular communications, synchronizing cellular responses in facilitating quick wound healing.12 Proper expression of each of these cell junctional components is essential for the corneal epithelial structural integrity.

Development of the corneal epithelial barrier function is regulated at multiple levels, starting with gene expression. Although different transcription factors have been identified as involved in epidermal, intestinal, and alveolar epithelial barrier formation, information regarding the role of gene regulation in corneal epithelial barrier formation is scant.¹³⁻¹⁵ Even though many previous studies have explored the role of diverse transcription factors such as Pax6, AP2-alpha, HMGN1, basonuclin, Sp1, AP1, NF1, Oct1, and p300 in the cornea, relatively few of them focused extensively on the regulation of epithelial barrier formation.¹⁶⁻²¹ Thus, our knowledge of the role of gene regulation in development and maintenance of the corneal barrier function remains incomplete.

Serial analysis of gene expression identified the highly expressed transcription factors in the mouse cornea.²² Chief among them was *Klf4*, a member of the conserved family of Krüppel-like factors (KLFs) that regulates a wide array of events including cell proliferation, differentiation, maintenance of pluripotent stem cells, development, and apoptosis.23 *Klf4*-null mice develop normally until birth but die within 15 hours after birth by dehydration caused by defective epidermal barrier function.24 The *Klf4* conditional null (*Klf4*CN) mice derived by mating *Klf4-LoxP*²⁵ with *Le-Cre*²⁶ mice develop multiple ocular defects including corneal epithelial fragility, stromal edema, a smaller, vacuolated lens, and loss of conjunctival goblet cells.²⁷ We have identified the changes in gene expression underlying the *Klf4*CN corneal phenotype by comparing the gene expression patterns of the wild-type and *Klf4*CN corneas by microarray analysis.28 *Klf4*CN stromal edema was associated with an

From the Departments of ¹Ophthalmology and ³Cell Biology and Physiology, and the ⁴McGowan Institute for Regenerative Medicine, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and the ²Laboratory of Molecular and Developmental Biology, National Eye Institute (NEI), National Institutes of Health (NIH), Bethesda, Maryland.

Corresponding author: Shivalingappa K. Swamynathan, Department of Ophthalmology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Eye and Ear Institute, 203 Lothrop Street, Room 1025, Pittsburgh, PA 15213; swamynathansk@upmc.edu.

increase in the stromal collagen fibril diameter and interfibrillar spacing and with a significant reduction in the stromal proteoglycan levels, brought about by an increase in the matrix metalloproteinase levels.29 Here we show that KLF4 contributes to corneal epithelial barrier function by upregulating the expression of corneal epithelial cell junctional proteins and components of the basement membrane.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Conditional Deletion of *Klf4* **and Measurement of Corneal Epithelial Permeability Barrier Function by Fluorescein Uptake**

*Klf4*CN mice were generated on a mixed background by mating *Klf4*^{loxP/loxP}, *Le-Cre*^{\prime -} mice with *Klf4*^{loxP/loxP} mice as described earlier.²⁷ Mice studied here were maintained in accordance with the guidelines set forth by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Pittsburgh and the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. Corneal epithelial permeability was tested by instilling a drop of 1% sodium fluorescein solution on the surfaces of wild-type or *Klf4*CN eyes, rinsing with PBS after 1 minute, and photographing under UV light. All studies reported here were performed with 8- to 10-week-old adult mice.

Immunoblots and Immunofluorescence

Equal amounts of total protein extracted by homogenizing dissected corneas in urea lysis buffer (8.0 M urea, 0.08% Triton X-100, 0.2% sodium dodecyl sulfate, $3%$ β -mercaptoethanol, and proteinase inhibitors) and quantified by the bicinchoninic acid method (Pierce, Rockford, IL) were electrophoresed in sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels, transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes, and subjected to immunoblot analysis. Mouse anti-TJP1 (Zymed Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA), rabbit anti-occludin, anti-desmoglein, anti-desmoplakin (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Santa Cruz, CA), and anti-actin antibody (Sigma Chemical Company, St. Louis, MO) were used as primary antibodies at 1:500, 1:2000, 1:500, 1:500, and 1:2000 dilution, respectively, in PBST. Horseradish peroxidase-coupled anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ) was used as a secondary antibody at a 1:2500 dilution. Immunoreactive bands were visualized by chemiluminescence using Super Signal West Pico solutions (Pierce).

For immunofluorescence, 10- μ m-thick cryosections from OCT-embedded eyeballs were fixed in freshly prepared buffered 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 minutes, blocked with 10% sheep serum in PBST for 1 hour at room temperature in a humidified chamber, washed twice with PBST for 5 minutes each, incubated with a 1:100 dilution of the primary antibody for 1 hour at room temperature, washed thrice with PBST for 10 minutes each, incubated with secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 555-coupled goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody; Molecular Probes, Carlsbad, CA) at a 1:300 dilution for 1 hour at room temperature, washed thrice with PBST for 10 minutes each, mounted with antifade reagent with DAPI (Prolong Gold; Molecular Probes), and observed with a fluorescence microscope. All images presented within each composite figure in this manuscript have been processed in a similar manner using image editing software (Photoshop or Illustrator; Adobe, Mountain View, CA).

Reporter Vectors, Cell Culture, and Transient Transfection Assays

Mouse genomic DNA was used to amplify different promoter fragments cloned upstream of the luciferase reporter gene (pGL3-Basic Vector; Promega, Madison, WI) to generate the reporter vectors. The sequence of oligonucleotide primers used for PCR is given in (Supplementary Table S1, http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/ iovs.10-6134/-/DCSupplemental). Full-length *Klf4* in pCI-*Klf4* was transiently expressed using the CMV promoter. Human skin keratinocyte NCTC cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin, and streptomycin at 37° C in a humidified chamber containing 5% CO₂ in air. Simian virus SV40-transformed human corneal epithelial (HCE) cells were grown at 37°C in DMEM/F-12 (1:1) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 0.5% (vol/vol) dimethyl sulfoxide, cholera toxin (0.1 μ g/mL), epidermal growth factor (10 ng/mL), insulin (5 μ g/mL), and gentamicin (40 μ g/mL) in a humidified chamber containing 5% $CO₂$ in air. Mid-log phase cells in 12-well plates were transfected with 0.25 μ g pDsg1a-Luc or pDsg1b-Luc or pDsp-Luc, along with 10 ng pRL-SV40 (Promega; for normalization of transfection efficiency) and 0.25 μ g pCI or pCI-*Klf4*, using 1.5 μ L transfection reagent (FuGENE 6; Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN). After 2 days, cells were washed with cold PBS and lysed with 500 μL passive lysis buffer (Promega). The lysate was clarified by centrifugation, and 50 μ g protein in the lysate was analyzed using a dual-luciferase assay kit (Promega) and a microplate luminometer (Synergy-II; Biotek Instruments, Winooski, VT). The measurement was integrated over 10 seconds, with a delay of 2 seconds. Results from at least three independent experiments, normalized for transfection efficiency using the SV40 promoter-driven *Renilla* luciferase activity, were used to obtain mean promoter activities, and standard deviation (SD). Fold-activation was determined by dividing mean promoter activity by the promoter activity without added pCI/pCI-*Klf4*.

Development of HCE Cell Lines Stably Transfected with Control or Anti-*KLF4* **siRNA and Measurement of Trans-epithelial Electrical Resistance**

HCE cells transfected with linearized vectors expressing control or anti-*KLF4* siRNAs under the control of the U6 promoter were selected by G418 for 15 days. G418-resistant individual clones were further expanded and tested for the expression of *KLF4* by qRT-PCR. Anti-*KLF4* siRNA–treated clones in which *KLF4* expression was suppressed by >70% compared with wild-type or control siRNA-treated clones were selected and used in experiments reported here. For measurement of trans-epithelial electrical resistance (TEER), wild-type, control, or anti-*KLF4*-siRNA–transfected HCE cells were grown to a confluent stage on permeable supports (pore size, 0.4μ m, surface area, 1.13 cm^2 ; Transwell [Corning Costar Inc. Corning, NY]), starting at a density of 10⁵ cells/cm². TEER was measured every 24 hours for 15 days using a commercially available electrode chamber (EndOhm-12; World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) and epithelial voltohmmeter (EVOM; World Precision Instruments). Background electrical resistance, including filter and medium, was constantly low (20 Ω/cm^2) and was subtracted from the experimental values. TEER was expressed as Ω/cm^2 .

RESULTS

*Klf4***CN Corneal Epithelial Fragility and Loss of Barrier Function**

Previous histologic examination as well as transmission and scanning electron microscopic examination suggested that *Klf4CN* mice display corneal epithelial fragility.²⁷ To more directly test the effect of deletion of *Klf4* on corneal epithelial barrier function, we stained the wild-type and *Klf4*CN eyes with sodium fluorescein. The sodium fluorescein dye penetrated the *Klf4*CN corneas more than the wild-type corneas, consistent with the *Klf4*CN corneal epithelial fragility and loss of barrier function (Fig. 1A).

Tight junctions, normally present in the most superficial cell layers in stratified squamous epithelia, are responsible for the epithelial barrier function.³⁰ In view of the extensive desquamation observed, we expected the superficial cell layers to be missing and consequently the tight junctions to be significantly downregulated in the *Klf4CN* cornea.²⁷ Indeed, immunoblots demonstrated that the expression of Tjp1 (ZO1),

FIGURE 1. Loss of *Klf4*CN corneal epithelial barrier function. (**A**) *Klf4*CN corneas are fluorescein stained more intensely than the wild-type counterparts, indicating a loss of barrier function. (**B**, *left*) Immunoblot with anti-Tjp1 antibody shows that the tight junction protein Tjp1 is downregulated in the *Klf4*CN cornea. *Right*: the Tjp1 blot was stripped of the primary antibody and reprobed with anti-actin antibody to ensure that equal amounts of protein were loaded in both lanes. Reactive bands in the expected position are indicated in both.

FIGURE 2. Immunoblots showing downregulation of desmoglein and desmoplakin in the *Klf4*CN cornea. (**A**) Immunoblot of the wild-type and *Klf4*CN whole corneal extract probed with anti-Dsp antibody. (**B**) The blot in (**A**) was stripped of the primary antibody and reprobed with anti-Dsg antibody. (**C**) The blot from (**B**) was stripped of the primary antibody and reprobed with anti-actin antibody to ensure equal loading. (**D**) Fold change in expression of Dsg, Dsp-I, and Dsp-II estimated by densitometric scan of the immunoblots in (**A**–**C**) after normalizing for loading differences based on actin levels.

FIGURE 3. Immunofluorescence showing the downregulation of desmoglein and desmoplakin in the *Klf4*CN cornea. (**A**) Wild-type (WT), no primary antibody control. (**B**) Wild-type corneal section stained with anti-Dsp antibody. (**C**) *Klf4*CN corneal section probed with anti-Dsp antibody. (**D**) Wild-type (WT), no primary antibody control. (**E**) Wild-type corneal section stained with anti-Dsg antibody. (**F**) *Klf4*CN corneal section probed with anti-Dsg antibody. (**B**) Although the endothelial staining (*) is genuine, it appears to be an artifact of iridocorneal fusion (which we observed occasionally in *Klf4*CN eyes) in (**C**) (*).

a component of the tight junctions, is significantly downregulated, consistent with the loss of barrier function in *Klf4*CN corneas (Fig 1B).

*Klf4***CN corneal Epithelial Desmosomal Components Are Downregulated**

To detect the underlying cause of *Klf4*CN corneal epithelial fragility, we examined the expression levels of different junctional proteins in the microarray data for comparison of gene expression between wild-type and *Klf4*CN corneas.²⁸ Although most of the adherens junction and gap junction components remained unchanged in these corneas, almost all the desmosomal components were significantly downregulated in the *Klf4*CN compared with the wild-type corneas.²⁸ Immunoblots with equal amounts of total protein from the wild-type and *Klf4*CN corneas probed with anti-desmoplakin (Fig. 2A) or anti-desmoglein (Fig. 2B) antibody confirmed this reduction. This blot was stripped of the primary antibody and reprobed with anti–actin antibody, which confirmed equal loading of wild-type and *Klf4*CN corneal lysates (Fig. 2C). Densitometric scans allowed us to correct for the minor loading differences between samples and indicated that the levels of desmoglein, desmoplakin-I, and desmoplakin-II were reduced to 58%, 35%, and 22% of the wild-type levels, respectively, in the *Klf4*CN corneas (Fig. 2D).

To confirm the downregulation of desmosomal components, we performed immunofluorescence with wild-type and *Klf4*CN corneal sections. Strong Dsg- or Dsp-specific signal was observed in the wild-type (Figs. 3B, 3E) but not the *Klf4*CN corneal epithelia (Figs. 3C, 3F), confirming the significant downregulation of desmoglein and desmoplakins in the *Klf4*CN corneal epithelia. Sections processed in a similar manner without the primary antibody served as negative controls (Figs. 3A, 3D). Taken together, these results suggest that the reduced expression of the desmosomal components is responsible for the *Klf4*CN corneal epithelial fragility and the resultant loss of epithelial barrier function.

Klf4 Upregulates Mouse Dsg-1a, Dsg-1b, and Dsp Promoter Activities in Transient Cotransfection Assays

To test whether Klf4 stimulates the promoter activities of desmosomal components, we used transient cotransfection assays in in vitro cultured HCE and NCTC cells. Different lengths of Dsg1a, Dsg1b, and Dsp proximal promoter fragments were amplified from the mouse genomic DNA and cloned upstream of the luciferase reporter gene in pGL3 vector, as shown (Fig. 4A). On cotransfection with pCI-Klf4, Dsg1a, Dsg1b, and Dsp promoter activities were upregulated 6-, 3-, and 4-fold respectively, in HCE cells (Fig. 4B) and 6- to 11-fold, 4- to 6-fold, and 21- to 25-fold, respectively, in NCTC cells (Fig. 4C). These results establish that Klf4 stimulates the Dsg1a, Dsg1b, and Dsp promoter activities and suggest that the Klf4-responsive elements reside within the $-500/+73$ bp, $-453/+42$ bp, and $-529/+49$ bp regions of the Dsg1a, Dsg1b, and Dsp proximal promoters, respectively (Fig. 4). Consistent with this, examination of the Dsg1a, Dsg1b and Dsp proximal promoters yielded several potential Klf4-binding sites (5' C/T C/T C C G/A C G/A 3) ³¹ (Supplementary Fig. S1, http://www.iovs.org/ lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.10-6134/-/DCSupplemental). Based on these results, we conclude that Klf4 contributes to corneal epithelial barrier function by upregulating the expression of desmosomal components.

FIGURE 4. Activation of Dsg1a, Dsg1b, and Dsp promoters by Klf4. (**A**) Schematic depiction of the different reporter constructs used. (**B**, **C**) Stimulation of Dsg1a, Dsg1b, and Dsp promoter activities by cotransfection of increasing amounts of pCI-*Klf4*, as shown, in HCE (**B**) and NCTC (**C**) cells.

Dsg1A Dsg1B Dsp

AS31

-529/+49

-500 KT3

Defective Basement Membrane May Account for the Reduced Hemidesmosomes in the *Klf4***CN Cornea**

Previously, we have shown that the *Klf4*CN corneal basal epithelial cells do not form as many hemidesmosomes as their wild-type counterparts.²⁷ Examination of the microarray data comparing gene expression patterns between wild-type and *Klf4*CN corneas indicated no significant change in the expression levels of integrins, the individual membrane-bound components of the hemidesmosomes²⁸ (Supplementary Table S2, http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.10-6134/-/ DCSupplemental). Consistent with these data, our current RT-

FIGURE 5. Defective basement membrane is responsible for the presence of fewer hemidesmosomes in *Klf4*CN corneas. (A) Real time qRT-PCR analysis of expression levels of laminin- α 3 (lama3), - β 3 (lamb3), $-\gamma$ 2 (lamc2), and β 1-1 (lamb1-1) transcripts in wild-type and *Klf4*CN corneas, with GAPDH as the endogenous control. (**B**) Immunofluorescence of wild-type (*middle*) and *Klf4*CN (*right*) corneal sections with anti-laminin-332 antibody shows that the *Klf4*CN corneal epithelial basement membrane is defective. Negative control (no primary antibody) is shown in the *left* panel.

PCR analyses yielded no significant difference in the expression of α3- and β4-integrins between wild-type and *Klf4*CN corneas, whereas *lama5* was significantly downregulated in the *Klf4*CN corneas (Supplementary Fig. S2, http://www.iovs.org/lookup/ suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.10-6134/-/DCSupplemental). In contrast, real-time qRT-PCR revealed that the basement membrane components laminin-α3 (lama3), laminin-β3 (lamb3), and laminin- β 1-1 (*lamb1-1*) were significantly downregulated, whereas laminin- γ 2 (*lamc2*) remained relatively unaffected in the *Klf4*CN corneas (Fig. 5A). To further explore whether disrupted basement membrane was the underlying cause for the formation of fewer hemidesmosomes, we performed immunofluorescence with anti–laminin-332 antibody, which confirmed the downregulation of laminin-332 expression and disruption of the basement membrane in *Klf4*CN corneas (Fig. 5B).

Loss of Barrier-Forming Ability in Anti-*KLF4* **siRNA–Treated HCE Cells**

We examined the TEER of the confluent monolayer of the wild-type, control siRNA, and anti-*KLF4* siRNA–treated HCE cells grown on permeable supports (Transwell plates; Corning Costar) as a measure of epithelial barrier function. Although both the wild-type and the control siRNA–treated cells started developing measurable electrical resistance by the fourth day in culture, reaching a peak of \sim 400 Ω /cm² within 8 days, two different clones of anti-*KLF4* siRNA–treated cells failed to do so (Fig. 6). To understand the molecular basis for this inability to develop barrier function, we quantified the expression levels of tight junction proteins TJP1 and OCLN1 in the wild-type and *KLF4*-knockdown HCE cells by qRT-PCR and immunoblots.

Expression of TJP1 and OCLN1 transcripts and of the corresponding proteins was downregulated in the anti-*KLF4* siRNA– transfected cells compared with wild-type or control siRNA– transfected HCE cells (Figs. 7A, 7B). Consistent with these results, immunofluorescence with anti–Tjp1 or anti– occludin antibody demonstrated a significant downregulation of Tjp1 and occludin in the anti-*KLF4* siRNA–treated HCE cells com-

FIGURE 6. Loss of epithelial barrier–forming ability in anti-*KLF4* siRNA treated cells. TEER developed by wild-type, control siRNA, or anti-*KLF4* siRNA–treated HCE cells cultured on permeable supports (Transwell plates; Corning Costar) was estimated every day for 15 days, as a measure of epithelial barrier–forming ability.

FIGURE 7. Downregulation of tight junction components in the anti-*KLF4* siRNA–treated HCE cells compared with wild-type or control siRNA treated–HCE cells. (**A**) Real-time qRT-PCR analysis of *TJP1* and *OCLN1* transcript levels in wild-type, control-, or anti-*KLF4* siRNA–treated HCE cells, using GAPDH as an endogenous control. (**B**) Immunoblot analysis of total lysate from wild-type, control-, or anti-*KLF4* siRNA–treated HCE cells, probed with anti-OCLN1, anti-TJP1, or anti-actin antibody. Immunofluorescence with anti-Tjp1 (**C**) or anti-occludin (**D**) antibody shows that the expression of TJP1 and OCLN1 detected in the cell-cell junctions of wild-type or control siRNA-transfected HCE cells (*white arrows*) is decreased in the anti-*KLF4* siRNA transfected HCE cells (*white arrowheads*).

pared with the wild-type and control siRNA–treated cells (Figs. 7C, 7D). Taken together, these results demonstrate that the downregulation of *KLF4* results in the loss of epithelial barrier formation in vitro, accompanied by decreased expression of tight junction proteins.

DISCUSSION

Multiple types of cell junctional complexes exist in different depths of the corneal stratified squamous epithelium, where they play important and diverse roles in normal corneal ho-
meostasis and wound healing.^{6,32,33} In the present investigation, we identified the role of Klf4 in regulating the expression of three different desmosomal components and the extracellular laminin-332, a component of the basement membrane required for the formation of hemidesmosomes. Our results, summarized in Figure 8, show that Klf4 contributes to corneal epithelial barrier formation by regulating the expression of functionally related subsets of genes.

The results presented in this report show that *lama3, lamb3, lamb1–1,* and *lama5* are downregulated in the *Klf4*CN corneas. Although it is likely that Klf4 directly regulates their corresponding promoter activities, we do not have direct evidence to confirm it. Previous studies have demonstrated that

FIGURE 8. Summary of the roles of Klf4 in corneal epithelial barrier formation. Schematic shows that in the *Klf4*CN cornea, superficial epithelial cell tight junction barrier is disrupted, wing cell desmosomes are decreased, and, beneath the basal epithelial cells, the basement membrane is disrupted, resulting in fewer hemidesmosomes.

KLF4 upregulates *LAMA3A*, ³⁴ *LAMA1*35, and *LAMC1*³⁶ promoter activities and that KLF6 upregulates *LAMA1* promoter activity.35 In another study, Klf4 downregulated *lama1* promoter activity while Klf5 upregulated it in the mouse intestinal epithelial cells, corroborating the complementary expression of Klf4 and Klf5 along the intestinal crypt-villus axis and the parallel expression of Klf5 and lama1 in the crypt region.³⁷ Together, these studies suggest that the effects of different Klfs on various laminin promoter activities are promoter and context dependent.

Hemidesmosomes help attach the basal epithelial cells to the basement membrane through the interaction of cellular integrins with the basement membrane components.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ Both α 3 β 1- and α6β4-integrin heterodimers serve as receptors for various components of the basement membrane beneath the corneal epithelium such as laminin-332, collagen-1 or -IV, and fibronectin.6,38,39,41,42 The present report shows that though the hemidesmosomal integrins *Itga3* and *Itgb4* are relatively unaffected, the basement membrane components *lama3, lamb3, lama5,* and *lamb1–1,* which serve as ligands for hemidesmosomal integrins,^{38,39}are downregulated, consistent with the reduced number of hemidesmosomes and disruption of the *Klf4*CN corneal basement membrane detected by transmission electron microscopy and periodic acid-Schiff reagent.27–29

Disruption of epithelial cell-basement membrane interactions is an important initial step in the course of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), a fundamental morphogenetic process involved in many tissue remodeling events.^{43,44} Our observation of the disrupted basement membrane in the *Klf4*CN cornea, when considered along with the role of Klf4 as a tumor suppressor, 45 suggests that the *Klf4*CN corneal epithelial cells may be undergoing the initial stages of EMT. This possibility is further supported by the observation that the *Klf4*CN corneal epithelial cells display reduced desmosome-mediated cell-cell adhesion, another early event in the course of EMT,⁴⁶ and is consistent with a recent report that Klf4 inhibits EMT in mammary epithelial cells through the regulation of E-cadherin gene expression. 47

Although transient transfection assays reported here demonstrate that Klf4 directly regulates the expression of desmosomal components, our results do not rule out the possibility of an additional indirect component as well. Rapid re-epithelialization during corneal wound healing requires remodeling of cell junctional complexes.32 It is possible that the initial desquamation of the superficial epithelial cells in the *Klf4CN* cornea²⁷ is perceived by the underlying cells as a wound that must be healed, triggering additional signals to downregulate the cell junctional complexes and basement membrane components. In such a scenario, the observed reduction in the desmosomal components Dsp, Dsg1a,

and Dsg1b and the basement membrane components laminin- α 5, - α 3, and - β 1 -1 could also reflect an indirect effect as part of the wound-healing response. Upregulation of MMPs in the *Klf4*CN cornea, frequently associated with corneal wound healing, is consistent with this possibility.29,48 Disruption of the basement membrane, a direct outcome of the reduced expression of laminins, could be exacerbated by the elevated expression of matrix metalloproteinases in the *Klf4*CN corneas reported earlier.²⁹

In summary, our results show that Klf4 contributes to corneal epithelial barrier formation by regulating the expression of functionally related subsets of genes encoding desmosomal and tight junction components and laminins required for the formation of the basement membrane. Taken together with our previous reports,27–29 these results establish the role of Klf4 as an important transcription factor that regulates the maturation and maintenance of the ocular surface.

References

- 1. Hay ED. Development of the vertebrate cornea. *Int Rev Cytol.* 1979;63:263–322.
- 2. Kinoshita S, Adachi W, Sotozono C, et al. Characteristics of the human ocular surface epithelium. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* 2001;20:639–673.
- 3. Klintworth GK. The molecular genetics of the corneal dystrophies—current status. *Front Biosci.* 2003;8:687–713.
- 4. Wolosin JM, Budak MT, Akinci MA. Ocular surface epithelial and stem cell development. *Int J Dev Biol.* 2004;48:981–991.
- 5. Zieske JD. Corneal development associated with eyelid opening. *Int J Dev Biol.* 2004;48:903–911.
- 6. Suzuki K, Saito J, Yanai R, et al. Cell-matrix and cell-cell interactions during corneal epithelial wound healing. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* 2003;22:113–133.
- 7. Balda MS, Matter K. Tight junctions at a glance. *J Cell Sci.* 2008; 121:3677–3682.
- 8. Sugrue SP, Zieske JD. ZO1 in corneal epithelium: association to the zonula occludens and adherens junctions. *Exp Eye Res.* 1997;64: 11–20.
- 9. Ban Y, Dota A, Cooper LJ, et al. Tight junction-related protein expression and distribution in human corneal epithelium. *Exp Eye Res.* 2003;76:663– 669.
- 10. Kottke MD, Delva E, Kowalczyk AP. The desmosome: cell science lessons from human diseases. *J Cell Sci.* 2006;119:797– 806.
- 11. Stepp MA, Spurr-Michaud S, Gipson IK. Integrins in the wounded and unwounded stratified squamous epithelium of the cornea. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1993;34:1829 –1844.
- 12. Kumar NM, Gilula NB. The gap junction communication channel. *Cell.* 1996;84:381–388.
- 13. Koster MI, Roop DR. Mechanisms regulating epithelial stratification. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol.* 2007;23:93–113.
- 14. Lui WY, Lee WM. Mechanisms of reorganization of cell-cell junctions in the testis. *Front Biosci.* 2008;13:6775– 6786.
- 15. Galambos C, Demello DE. Regulation of alveologenesis: clinical implications of impaired growth. *Pathology.* 2008;40:124 –140.
- 16. Zaniolo K, Leclerc S, Cvekl A, et al. Expression of the alpha4 integrin subunit gene promoter is modulated by the transcription factor Pax-6 in corneal epithelial cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2004;45:1692–1704.
- 17. Sivak JM, West-Mays JA, Yee A, Williams T, Fini ME. Transcription factors Pax6 and AP-2alpha interact to coordinate corneal epithelial repair by controlling expression of matrix metalloproteinase gelatinase B. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2004;24:245–257.
- 18. Dwivedi DJ, Pontoriero GF, Ashery-Padan R, Sullivan S, Williams T, West-Mays JA. Targeted deletion of AP-2alpha leads to disruption in corneal epithelial cell integrity and defects in the corneal stroma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2005;46:3623–3630.
- 19. Birger Y, Davis J, Furusawa T, Rand E, Piatigorsky J, Bustin M. A role for chromosomal protein HMGN1 in corneal maturation. *Differentiation.* 2006;74:19 –29.
- 20. Davis J, Duncan MK, Robison WG Jr, Piatigorsky J. Requirement for Pax6 in corneal morphogenesis: a role in adhesion. *J Cell Sci.* 2003;116:2157–2167.
- 21. Zhang X, Tseng H. Basonuclin-null mutation impairs homeostasis and wound repair in mouse corneal epithelium. *PLoS One.* 2007; 2:e1087.
- 22. Norman B, Davis J, Piatigorsky J. Postnatal gene expression in the normal mouse cornea by SAGE. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2004; 45:429 – 440.
- 23. McConnell BB, Ghaleb AM, Nandan MO, Yang VW. The diverse functions of Kruppel-like factors 4 and 5 in epithelial biology and pathobiology. *Bioessays.* 2007;29:549 –557.
- 24. Segre JA, Bauer C, Fuchs E. Klf4 is a transcription factor required for establishing the barrier function of the skin. *Nat Genet.* 1999; 22:356 –360.
- 25. Katz JP, Perreault N, Goldstein BG, et al. The zinc-finger transcription factor Klf4 is required for terminal differentiation of goblet cells in the colon. *Development.* 2002;129:2619 –2628.
- 26. Ashery-Padan R, Marquardt T, Zhou X, Gruss P. Pax6 activity in the lens primordium is required for lens formation and for correct placement of a single retina in the eye. *Genes Dev.* 2000;14:2701–2711.
- 27. Swamynathan SK, Katz JP, Kaestner KH, Ashery-Padan R, Crawford MA, Piatigorsky J. Conditional deletion of the mouse Klf4 gene results in corneal epithelial fragility, stromal edema, and loss of conjunctival goblet cells. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2007;27:182–194.
- 28. Swamynathan SK, Davis J, Piatigorsky J. Identification of candidate Klf4 target genes reveals the molecular basis of the diverse regulatory roles of Klf4 in the mouse cornea. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2008;49:3360 –3370.
- 29. Young RD, Swamynathan S, Boote C, et al. Stromal edema in Klf4 conditional null mouse cornea is associated with altered collagen fibril organization and reduced proteoglycans. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2009;50:4155– 4161.
- 30. Proksch E, Brandner JM, Jensen JM. The skin: an indispensable barrier. *Exp Dermatol.* 2008;17:1063–1072.

IOVS, March 2011, Vol. 52, No. 3 **Regulation of Corneal Barrier Function by KLF4 1769**

- 31. Shields JM, Yang VW. Identification of the DNA sequence that interacts with the gut-enriched Kruppel-like factor. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1998;26:796 – 802.
- 32. Lu L, Reinach PS, Kao WW. Corneal epithelial wound healing. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood).* 2001;226:653– 664.
- 33. Suzuki K, Tanaka T, Enoki M, Nishida T. Coordinated reassembly of the basement membrane and junctional proteins during corneal epithelial wound healing. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2000;41: 2495–2500.
- 34. Miller KA, Eklund EA, Peddinghaus ML, et al. Kruppel-like factor 4 regulates laminin alpha 3A expression in mammary epithelial cells. *J Biol Chem.* 2001;276:42863– 42868.
- 35. Niimi T, Hayashi Y, Sekiguchi K, Kitagawa Y. The Sp family of transcription factors regulates the human laminin alpha1 gene in JAR choriocarcinoma cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2006;1759: 573–579.
- 36. Higaki Y, Schullery D, Kawata Y, Shnyreva M, Abrass C, Bomsztyk K. Synergistic activation of the rat laminin gamma1 chain promoter by the gut-enriched Kruppel-like factor (GKLF/KLF4) and Sp1. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2002;30:2270 –2279.
- 37. Piccinni SA, Bolcato-Bellemin AL, Klein A, et al. Kruppel-like factors regulate the *Lama1* gene encoding the laminin alpha1 chain. *J Biol Chem.* 2004;279:9103–9114.
- 38. Belkin AM, Stepp MA. Integrins as receptors for laminins. *Microsc Res Tech.* 2000;51:280 –301.
- 39. Stepp MA. Corneal integrins and their functions. *Exp Eye Res.* 2006;83:3–15.
- 40. Litjens SH, de Pereda JM, Sonnenberg A. Current insights into the formation and breakdown of hemidesmosomes. *Trends Cell Biol.* 2006;16:376 –383.
- 41. Stepp MA, Spurr-Michaud S, Tisdale A, Elwell J, Gipson IK. Alpha 6 beta 4 integrin heterodimer is a component of hemidesmosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1990;87:8970 – 8974.
- 42. Rousselle P, Lunstrum GP, Keene DR, Burgeson RE. Kalinin: an epithelium-specific basement membrane adhesion molecule that is a component of anchoring filaments. *J Cell Biol.* 1991;114:567– 576.
- 43. Levayer R, Lecuit T. Breaking down EMT. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2008;10: 757–759.
- 44. Nakaya Y, Sukowati EW, Wu Y, Sheng G. RhoA and microtubule dynamics control cell-basement membrane interaction in EMT during gastrulation. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2008;10:765–775.
- 45. Rowland BD, Peeper DS. KLF4, p21 and context-dependent opposing forces in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2006;6:11–23.
- 46. Yang J, Weinberg RA. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition: at the crossroads of development and tumor metastasis. *Dev Cell.* 2008; 14:818 – 829.
- 47. Yori JL, Johnson E, Zhou G, Jain MK, Keri RA. Kruppel-like factor 4 inhibits epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition through regulation of E-cadherin gene expression. *J Biol Chem*. 285:16854 –16863.
- 48. Fini ME, Stramer BM. How the cornea heals: cornea-specific repair mechanisms affecting surgical outcomes. *Cornea.* 2005; 24:S2–S11.