

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 May 26.

Published in final edited form as:

Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2007 July ; 293(1): R209–R222. doi:10.1152/ajpregu. 00099.2006.

Carboxyl-terminal and intracellular loop sites for CRF1 receptor phosphorylation and β-arrestin-2 recruitment: a mechanism regulating stress and anxiety responses

Robert H. Oakley1,* , **J. Alberto Olivares-Reyes**2,* , **Christine C. Hudson**1, **Fabiola Flores-Vega**2, **Frank M. Dautzenberg**3, and **Richard L. Hauger**⁴

¹Xsira Pharmaceuticals, Morrisville, North Carolina

²Departamento de Bioquimica, Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politecnico Nacional, Mexico City, Mexico

³ Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceuticals Research & Development, CNS Drug Discovery, Beerse, Belgium

⁴ Veterans Affairs Healthcare System and Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California

Abstract

The primary goal was to test the hypothesis that agonist-induced corticotropin-releasing factor type 1 (CRF₁) receptor phosphorylation is required for β-arrestins to translocate from cytosol to the cell membrane. We also sought to determine the relative importance to β-arrestin recruitment of motifs in the CRF1 receptor carboxyl terminus and third intracellular loop. β-Arrestin-2 translocated significantly more rapidly than β -arrestin-1 to agonist-activated membrane CRF₁ receptors in multiple cell lines. Although $CRF₁$ receptors internalized with agonist treatment, neither arrestin isoform trafficked with the receptor inside the cell, indicating that CRF_1 receptorarrestin complexes dissociate at or near the cell membrane. Both arrestin and clathrin-dependent mechanisms were involved in $CRF₁$ receptor internalization. To investigate molecular determinants mediating the robust β-arrestin-2-CRF₁ receptor interaction, mutagenesis was performed to remove potential G protein-coupled receptor kinase phosphorylation sites. Truncating the CRF_1 receptor carboxyl terminus at serine-386 greatly reduced agonist-dependent phosphorylation but only partially impaired β-arrestin-2 recruitment. Removal of a serine/ threonine cluster in the third intracellular loop also significantly reduced CRF1 receptor phosphorylation but did not alter β-arrestin-2 recruitment. Phosphorylation was abolished in a CRF1 receptor possessing both mutations. Surprisingly, this mutant still recruited β-arrestin-2. These mutations did not alter membrane expression or cAMP signaling of CRF₁ receptors. Our data reveal the involvement of at least the following two distinct receptor regions in β-arrestin-2 recruitment: *1*) a carboxyl-terminal motif in which serine/threonine residues must be phosphorylated and *2*) an intracellular loop motif configured by agonist-induced changes in CRF¹ receptor conformation. Deficient β-arrestin-2-CRF1 receptor interactions could contribute to the pathophysiology of affective disorders by inducing excessive $CRF₁$ receptor signaling.

Copyright © 2005 by the American Physiological Society.

Address for reprint requests and other correspondence: R. Hauger, Dept. of Psychiatry, Univ. of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Dr., La Jolla, CA 92093-0603 (rhauger@ucsd.edu)..

^{*}R. H. Oakley and A. Olivares-Reyes contributed equally to this work.

Current address for R. Oakley: Laboratory of Signal Transduction, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, 111 TW Alexander Dr., Research Triangle Park, NC.

Keywords

corticotropin-releasing factor; G protein-coupled receptor kinase; receptor phosphorylation; internalization; stress adaptation

> The magnitude and duration of cellular signals transduced by G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) depend upon stringent regulation to prevent the deleterious effects of unrestrained receptor activation (12, 26, 27, 31, 45, 50, 55). Many studies have shown that agonistinduced GPCR signaling is rapidly attenuated by a mechanism termed "homologous desensitization." According to the classic model of homologous desensitization, agonistactivated receptors are phosphorylated by a family of G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs) on specific serine/threonine residues in the receptor's third intracellular loop (IC3) and/or carboxyl terminus (26, 27, 31, 45, 50). GRK-mediated phosphorylation of receptor proteins is believed to play a major role in the recruitment of arrestin proteins to the cell surface where they bind to activated GPCRs. The affinity of certain GPCRs for arrestin has been found to increase as much as 30-fold following GRK-catalyzed phosphorylation (45, 50). Recent studies, however, have shown that phosphorylation-independent determinants exposed in the active conformation of receptors can also contribute to arrestin binding to GPCRs (33). Binding of a single arrestin sterically uncouples the receptor from its cognate G protein, resulting in the "arrest" or termination of agonist-mediated signal transduction (12, 26, 27, 31, 45, 50).

> The nonvisual arrestins, β-arrestin-1 and β-arrestin-2, target desensitized receptors to clathrin-coated pits for endocytosis by functioning as adaptor proteins that link the GPCR to components of the endocytic machinery, such as adaptor protein-2 and clathrin (12, 14, 26-29, 31, 33, 45, 50, 55). Internalized receptors are either dephosphorylated and recycled back to the plasma membrane to respond again to agonist (resensitization) or degraded inside the cell (downregulation; see Refs. 12, 26, 27, 31, 45, 50, 55). The stability of the receptor-arrestin interaction during the internalization process distinguishes two classes of GPCRs, termed class A and class B (31, 39, 40). Class A GPCRs, such as the β_2 -adrenergic receptor, form transient complexes with arrestins that dissociate at or near the plasma membrane. Class B GPCRs, such as the vasopressin V_2 receptor, form stable complexes with arrestins that internalize as a unit into endocytic vesicles. Differences in the stability of the receptor-arrestin interaction have been shown to regulate the rate of receptor resensitization. In addition to their multi-faceted role regulating G protein-dependent receptor transduction, arrestins have also been shown to initiate G protein-independent signaling events, including activation of the extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase cascade, by bringing various signaling molecules into a complex with the activated GPCR (26, 31).

> Corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) and the related peptides urocortin 1 (UCN1), urocortin 2 (UCN2), and urocortin 3 (UCN3) regulate a wide variety of central and peripheral functions by acting at one or both high-affinity CRF receptors, $CRF₁$ and $CRF₂$ (5, 15, 16, 46). CRF released from the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus binds to $CRF₁$ receptors on ACTH-secreting pituitary corticotropes to regulate the neuroendocrine response to stress. Importantly, CRF centrally modulates autonomic, immune, behavioral, emotional, and cognitive responses to stress by acting at one or both CRF receptors in cortical and limbic brain regions (5, 15, 16, 46). Although much less is known about the recently discovered urocortins, evidence suggests that they too modulate stress responses. Although UCN1 binds to both CRF receptor subtypes, UCN2 and UCN3 are specific CRF₂ receptor agonists (5, 15, 16, 46). Hypersecretion of CRF and dysregulation of CRF receptor signal

Exposure to high concentrations of CRF or UCN1, a setting favoring strong GRK action, rapidly desensitizes CRF1 receptors endogenously expressed in human retinoblastoma Y79, neuroblastoma IMR-32, mouse pituitary AtT-20, rat anterior pituitary, and human myometrial cells and recombinantly expressed in fibroblast Ltk- and HEK293 cell (6, 7, 10, 17, 18, 20, 22, 49, 51, 53). Of the five members of the GRK family expressed outside the visual system, GRK3 has been implicated by several groups as important for homologous desensitization of $CRF₁$ receptors. We originally demonstrated that uptake of a GRK3 antisense oligonucleotide or transfection with a GRK3 antisense cDNA construct decreased GRK3 expression ~55% and inhibited homologous CRF₁ receptor desensitization ~65% in Y79 cells (6). Teli et al. (53) recently found that acutely stimulating transfected HEK293 cells with CRF triggered a rapid translocation of GRK3 and GRK6 from cytosol to cell membrane. This group also demonstrated that antibodies targeting GRK3 or GRK6 suppressed CRF-stimulated $CRF₁$ receptor desensitization (53).

Following CRF₁ receptor activation, both β-arrestin-1 and β-arrestin-2 can translocate to and bind the receptor at the plasma membrane (22, 47, 48). Consistent with the importance of receptor-β-arrestin interactions, inhibition of arrestin function with a dominant-negative mutant reduced agonist-dependent $CRF₁$ receptor desensitization by ~60% in transfected HEK293 cells (53). In addition, overexpression of GRK3 or GRK6 increased the association of β-arrestin with CRF-activated membrane CRF_1 receptors (22), suggesting that phosphorylation of CRF_1 receptors may be necessary for arrestin binding. CRF_1 receptors are internalized subsequently in a clathrin-dependent fashion (18, 47, 48). Clathrin-mediated endocytosis normally requires receptors to be phosphorylated by GRKs and bound by arrestins. In the case of $CRF₁$ receptors, however, a recent report has suggested that the internalization pathway is both phosphorylation and arrestin independent (48).

Although both GRKs and arrestins appear to play important roles in $CRF₁$ receptor desensitization, no studies have assessed directly whether recruitment of β-arrestin to the agonist-activated CRF1 receptor is regulated by GRK-mediated phosphorylation or mechanisms independent of GRK action. Thus the primary goal of this study was to test the hypothesis that agonist-induced phosphorylation of $CRF₁$ receptors is required for βarrestins to translocate from the cytosol to the cell membrane. Furthermore, we sought to determine the relative importance of the CRF_1 receptor carboxyl terminus and the IC3 in the recruitment of β-arrestin to membrane CRF1 receptors activated by agonist binding. Results from our study reveal the presence of phosphorylation-dependent and phosphorylationindependent β-arrestin-2-binding motifs in the carboxyl terminus and intracellular loops of the activated CRF_1 receptor, respectively, that contribute importantly to CRF_1 receptor regulation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and peptides

Reagent purchases were as follows: *1*) BSA (fraction V), isobutyl methylxanthine, and other highly pure chemicals (Sigma, St. Louis, MO); *2*) aprotinin (Trasylol; Calbiochem, San Diego, CA); *3*) defined FBS serum (Hyclone, Logan, UT). Ovine CRF (oCRF), human/rat CRF (h/rCRF), UCN1, or sauvagine (SVG, purity >98%; Bachem, Torrance, CA or Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, Belmont, CA) were used to activate CRF₁ receptors and stimulate cAMP accumulation. All SDS-PAGE reagents were purchased from Invitrogen-NOVEX (Carlsbad, CA). The following other reagents were also used: *1*) protein A-Sepharose (Oncogene Research Products, Cambridge, MA) and *2*) mouse monoclonal anti-hemagglutinin (HA)

antibodies [HA.11 from BabCo (Berkeley, CA) or no. 12CA5 from Roche Applied Science (Indianapolis, IN)].

HA epitope tagging and mutagenesis of human CRF1 receptor

The human CRF_1 receptor was previously amplified from a human retinoblastoma Y79 cell cDNA library by PCR and subcloned into pcDNA3 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) using *Kpn*I and *Xba*I sites (8). The influenza HA epitope tag (YPYDVPDYA) was inserted between residues Cys³⁰ and Glu³¹, which is an inert region of the NH₂ terminus, using oligo-directed mutagenesis (Quick Change kit; Strata-gene, La Jolla, CA; see Ref. 19). cAMP signaling experiments confirmed that the sensitivity (EC_{50}) and maximum for agonist-stimulated cAMP accumulation were not altered by insertion of the HA epitope tag in the $CRF₁$ receptor NH₂ terminus. The EC₅₀ (0.54 \pm 0.06 nM) for stimulation of cAMP accumulation by oCRF (0–100 nM) in HEK293 transiently transfected with the HA-tagged CRF₁ receptor (see Table 1 and Fig. 7) was equivalent to the EC_{50} (1.00 \pm 0.20 nM) for oCRF-stimulated cAMP accumulation in HEK293 cells transiently transfected with the wild-type human $CRF₁$ receptor without an epitope tag. Furthermore, Scatchard binding experiments indicated that the dissociation constant (K_d) and maximal binding (B_{max}) values of the HAtagged CRF₁ receptor were 0.88 ± 0.34 nM and 2.20 ± 0.34 pmol/mg, respectively, which are similar to the K_d and B_{max} values we have measured for the nontagged wild-type human CRF1 receptor transiently expressed in HEK293 cells (8). Finally, internalization properties of the HA-tagged CRF_1 receptor resembled those of the nontagged CRF_1 receptor (data not shown). The HA-tagged wild-type $CRF₁$ receptor was truncated in the following positions: *1*) Ser⁴¹², which removed the last four amino acids (i.e., STAV) of the carboxyl terminus $(\Delta 412)$ and 2) Ser³⁸⁶, which removed the last 30 amino acids (i.e.,SIRARVARAMSIPTSPTRVSFHSIKQSTAV) of the carboxyl terminus (Δ386), a segment containing all putative GRK and protein kinase C phosphorylation sites (Fig. 1). These two truncated CRF_1 receptors were reinserted in the pcDNA3 vector at restriction

 $(Ser³⁰¹Thr³⁰²Thr³⁰³Ser³⁰⁴Glu³⁰⁵Thr³⁰⁶)$ contained within the IC3 appears to conform to a GRK phosphorylation site because of the presence of an acidic residue (13, 23). These six amino acids were mutagenized to alanines in both HA-tagged full-length wild-type and Δ 386 CRF₁ receptors. The resulting mutants were designated IC3-5ST/A and Δ 386-IC3-5ST/A, respectively. Sequences of cDNA constructs were confirmed using singlestranded DNA sequencing.

enzyme sites generated by PCR. A serine/threonine cluster

Other plasmid cDNAs

Construction of the β-arrestin-1-green fluorescent protein (GFP), β-arrestin-2-GFP, and dynamin I dominant-negative mutant K44A expression vectors has been described previously (39, 41, 42). Sequences of cDNA constructs were confirmed using singlestranded DNA sequencing.

Cell culture and transfection

All cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). For confocal microscopy and flow cytometry experiments, HEK293 and COS-7 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) heat-inactivated FCS and gentamicin (100 μg/ml). CHO-K1 cells were cultured in DMEM-F-12 supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) heat-inactivated FCS and gentamicin (100 μg/ml). Transient transfections were performed using LipofectAMINE (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD) or a modified calcium phosphate coprecipitation method as described previously (39). For CRF_1 receptor phosphorylation experiments, HEK293 cells were seeded at 6×10^5 cells/10-cm dish in DMEM containing 10% (vol/vol) FBS, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and 100 IU/ml penicillin and then transiently transfected using 5 ml of OptiMEM containing 10 μg/ml

LipofectAMINE (Life Technologies) and 5 μ g of HA-tagged CRF₁ receptor cDNA for 6 h at 37°C, as previously described (17, 19). For quantification of β-arrestin-GFP translocation, human osteosarcoma U-2 OS cells were cultured in minimal essential medium (MEM) supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) heat-inactivated FBS, 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM $_{L}$ -glutamine, and 10 μg/ml gentamicin, and transient transfections were performed using FuGene 6 (Roche Applied Science).

cAMP assay

Following extensive cell washing 48 h after transfection, intracellular cAMP levels were measured in ether-extracted lysates using a double-antibody RIA kit $(1^{125}I)cAMP$ assay system, RPA 509; Amersham Biosciences, Little Chalfont, UK), as previously described (6, 8, 18).

Confocal microscopy

HEK293 and CHO-K1 cells transiently expressing β-arrestin-1-GFP or β-arrestin-2-GFP and either the wild-type CRF₁ receptor, $Δ412$, $Δ386$, IC3-5ST/A, or $Δ386$ -IC3-5ST/A mutant were plated on 35-mm glass-bottom culture dishes (MatTek, Ashland, MA) and cultured overnight. Before the experiment (1 h), the medium was removed and replaced with serum- and phenol red-free medium supplemented with 10 mM HEPES. The β-arrestin translocation response was assessed in cells treated with vehicle or a range of CRF concentrations (100, 200, and 400 nM). Identical results were found for recruitment of βarrestins by CRF -activated $CRF₁$ receptors independent of the three different saturating concentrations (100, 200, or 400 nM) of CRF used. Confocal microscopy was performed on a Zeiss laser scanning microscope (LSM 5 Pascal). Images were acquired from live cells in real time before and after CRF treatment using single excitation (488 nm). For colocalization studies, HEK293 cells expressing β-arrestin-2-GFP and wild-type CRF_1 receptor were incubated with a mouse monoclonal anti-HA antibody (no. 12CA5) at 4°C for 1 h. Following washes at 4°C, cells were incubated in the absence or presence of 200 nM CRF at 37°C for 30 min. Cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS, and incubated with a goat anti-mouse antibody conjugated to Texas Red (Invitrogen-Molecular Probes, Carlsbad, CA) at room temperature for 30 min. Fluorescence was visualized on a Zeiss LSM 5 Pascal using dual excitation (488 nm for GFP; 543 nm for Texas Red) and emission (band pass 505–530 nm for GFP; long pass 560 for Texas Red) filter sets.

Quantification of β-arrestin-2-GFP translocation

U-2 OS cells transiently expressing CRF₁ receptor and β-arrestin-2-GFP were seeded 24 h posttransfection at 15,000 cells/well in a black 96-well ViewPlate (Packard Instruments, Meriden, CT). U-2 OS cells were employed over HEK293 cells for their superior adherence properties and image quality (43). After an overnight incubation at 37°C, the media was removed and replaced with 100 μl of phenol red-free MEM with 10 mM HEPES and 2 mM L -glutamine for a 45-min serum starvation at 37°C. Cells were stimulated for 30 min at 37°C with various concentrations of CRF or vehicle. The cells were then fixed, and nuclei were stained by addition of 120 μl of 4% (vol/vol) formaldehyde in PBS and 5 μg/ml Hoechst nuclear stain. After a 45-min incubation, the fixative and nuclear stain were removed and replaced with 200 μl PBS. The INCell Analyzer 3000 (GE Healthcare Biosciences, Little Chalfont, UK), a laser-based, confocal imaging system, was employed to quantitate the arrestin-GFP translocation response, as described previously (42, 43). In brief, images were quantitated using the granularity analysis GRN1 algorithm that identifies fluorescent spots or grains of arrestin-GFP localization based on size and fluorescence intensity. A grain size setting of 5 and an intensity gradient setting of 1.25 were employed for this analysis. Only GFP-positive cells, selected using a cell-intensity signal threshold of 100, were quantitated.

The reported parameter "Fgrains" represents the average fluorescence intensity of the spots or grains of arrestin-GFP localization.

Receptor internalization assay

HEK293 or COS-7 cells transiently expressing the HA-tagged CRF₁ receptor alone or together with K44A, β-arrestin-1, or β-arrestin-2 were plated in six-well plates and incubated overnight. Afterward, the cells were incubated with CRF (100 nM-1 μM) or media (control) for 30–90 min, and receptor sequestration was assessed by flow cytometry as described previously (1). Specificity of the receptor internalization signal detected by the FITC-labeled HA.11 monoclonal antibody (no. FITC-101L; Covance Research Products, Denver, PA) was verified by demonstrating that cells transfected with empty vector exhibited very low immunostaining. In addition, no appreciable immunostaining of HAtagged CRF1 receptor-expressing cells was measured using a FITC-labeled IgG to detect nonspecific binding.

CRF1 receptor phosphorylation assay

Phosphorylation of the CRF_1 receptor was determined, as previously described (17, 19). Briefly, transfected HEK293 cells in 10-cm dishes were metabolically labeled for 4 h at 37°C in 5 ml of P_i-free DMEM containing 0.1% (wt/vol) BSA and 100 μ Ci/ml ³²P_i. Cells were then treated with vehicle or CRF for 5 min, which is the time point for maximum agonist-induced phosphorylation of the $CRF₁$ receptor (19). After cells were ruptured in lysis buffer (LB: 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM NaF, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 5 mM EDTA, 10 mg/ml aprotinin, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, 10 μg/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor, 10 μg/ml pepstatin, 10 μg/ml benzamidine, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 1 μM okadaic acid), they were preextracted by the addition of LB containing 2 M NaCl and 8 M urea followed by overnight tumbling at 4°C. Membranes were then solubilized in LB supplemented with 1% (vol/vol) Nonidet P-40, 1% (wt/vol) sodium deoxycholate, and 0.1% (wt/vol) SDS. After clarification at 14,000 *g*, solubilized membranes were precleared by incubating them with 2% (vol/vol) protein A-Sepharose for 1 h at 4° C. Immunoprecipitation of CRF₁ receptors was performed by adding 1 µl of HA.11 monoclonal anti-HA antibody and 2% (vol/vol) protein A-Sepharose and incubating overnight at 4°C. After the Sepharose-bound immune complexes were washed in LB lacking protease inhibitors, $3^{2}P$ -labeled phospho-HA-CRF₁ receptors were eluted in Laemmli sample buffer for 1 h at 48°C and resolved by SDS-PAGE (8–16% gradient resolving gel) with equal protein loading of each lane. Phospho-HA-CRF₁ receptor bands were visualized and quantified as previously described (17, 19).

Immunoblotting of HA-tagged CRF1 receptors

Membranes prepared from HEK293 cell lysates were solubilized in LB buffer (see above). After equal amounts of membrane protein were added to each lane, proteins were resolved using SDS-PAGE with a 8–16% Trisglycine gradient resolving gel as previously described (19). After Western transfer of resolved proteins on to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes was completed, the Western blots were immunoprobed with the HA.11 monoclonal anti-HA antibody (19). Chemiluminscent detection of immune complexes was performed using ECL+ Plus (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ).

CRF1 receptor binding assay

HEK293 cells were resuspended in 50 mM Trizma base with 5 mM $MgCl₂$, 2 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, and 5 μg/ml aprotinin (pH 7.4) and disrupted by brief polytroning. Cell nuclei and debris were pelleted by centrifugation at 600 *g* for 5 min at 0°C. The resulting supernatant was then centrifuged at 20,000 *g* for 30 min at 0°C as previously described (8). Afterward,

HEK293 membranes (5 μg protein/tube) were combined with wheat germ agglutinin scintillation proximity beads $(0.1-1 \text{ mg})$; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) and 125 I-labeled SVG (no. NEX306, 100 pM; Perkin-Elmer, Boston, MA). The binding reaction was incubated for 120 min at 22° C with shaking. Afterward, 125 I radioactivity was measured in a TopCount scintillation counter (Packard Instruments). Nonspecific binding was determined as residual radioactivity in the presence of 10 μM oCRF. Under these conditions, <10% of the total radioactivity was specifically bound by wild-type and mutant $CRF₁$ receptors.

Data reduction and statistical analyses

Data reduction for the cAMP RIA was performed using a log-logit program. Sequestration was defined as the fraction of total cell surface receptors that were removed from the plasma membrane of cells following CRF agonist exposure compared with that of vehicle-treated cells. FlowJo software, version 7.1.3 (Tree Star, Ashland, OR) was used to analyze internalization experiments. Scatchard analysis of the equilibrium displacement binding data was performed using the XLfit curve-fitting software (ID Business Solutions; Guilford, Surrey, UK; see Ref. 8). ANOVAs across experimental groups were performed using PRISM, version 4.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). If the one-way ANOVA were statistically significant, planned post hoc analyses were performed using Bonferroni's multiple-comparison tests to determine individual group differences. $CRF₁$ receptor phosphorylation bands were quantitated and analyzed as previously described (17).

RESULTS

Characteristics of β-arrestin interactions with wild-type CRF1 receptors

GFP-labeled β-arrestin-1 (β-arrestin-1-GFP) and β-arrestin-2 (β-arrestin-2-GFP) were employed to investigate the interaction of the two nonvisual arrestin isoforms with $CRF₁$ receptors in real time and in living cells. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with CRF1 receptors and either β-arrestin-1-GFP or β-arrestin-2-GFP. The distribution of the GFP-labeled β-arrestins was then monitored in the same cells before and after treatment with oCRF or h/rCRF. In the absence of agonist, β-arrestin-1-GFP was diffusely distributed in the cytoplasm of transfected cells, with a small amount present in the nucleus (Fig. 1). Upon addition of oCRF, β-arrestin-1-GFP translocated to the CRF₁ receptor at the plasma membrane. The redistribution of β-arrestin-1-GFP was first detected 1–2 min after agonist addition and steadily increased in magnitude, reaching a maximum level by \sim 5 min (Fig. 1). As the cytoplasmic pool of β-arrestin-1-GFP redistributed to the plasma membrane, the pool of β-arrestin-1-GFP residing in the nucleus was revealed (Fig. 1).

In the absence of agonist, β-arrestin-2-GFP was diffusely distributed throughout the cytoplasm of the cells. Unlike β-arrestin-1, however, β-arrestin-2-GFP was excluded from the nucleus (Fig. 2*A*). We and others have previously observed this difference in subcellular distribution of the two β-arrestin isoforms (39, 52). Treatment with oCRF resulted in a considerably more rapid translocation of β-arrestin-2-GFP to CRF₁ receptors at the plasma membrane (Fig. 2*A*) compared with β-arrestin-1-GFP (Fig. 1). Translocation was first observed within 30 s and was complete by 2 min, as indicated by the depletion of the cytoplasmic pool of β-arrestin-2-GFP. For both β-arrestin–GFP isoforms, the punctate pattern of fluorescence at the plasma membrane reflected their localization with the receptor in clathrin-coated pits (28, 29). Similar results were also found in CHO-K1 cells. As shown in Fig. 3, β-arrestin-2-GFP was again recruited more rapidly than β-arrestin-1-GFP to oCRFactivated CRF_1 receptors at the plasma membrane. These data indicate that the CRF_1 receptor can bind both nonvisual arrestins, but it has a marked preference for the β-arrestin-2 isoform.

Although CRF₁ receptors internalized in response to agonist (see below), neither β-arrestin isoform trafficked with the receptor inside the cell. Instead, both β-arrestin-1-GFP and βarrestin-2-GFP remained at the plasma membrane even after 1 h of oCRF treatment (Figs. 1-3 and data not shown). Confocal images taken from the middle and bottom of the same cells reveal the exclusive plasma membrane distribution of each β-arrestin isoform (Figs. 2*B* and 3). An identical time course and pattern of β-arrestin recruitment was also observed for cells stimulated with h/rCRF (data not shown). This finding agrees with data showing h/ rCRF and oCRF bind to and activate the CRF1 receptor with equal affinities and potencies $(5, 8, 15, 46)$. Our data and studies by Dautzenberg et al. $(6, 7)$ and Teli et al. (53) have shown that GRK3 is important for homologous desensitization of CRF1 receptors. Overexpressing GRK3 did not alter, however, the pattern of β-arrestin-2-GFP recruitment or prevent dissociation of the CRF₁ receptor-β-arrestin-2 complex (data not shown).

To investigate further CRF_1 receptor-arrestin interactions, we performed colocalization experiments in the same cells using GFP-labeled β-arrestin-2 and Texas Red-labeled $CRF₁$ receptors. In the absence of agonist, $CRF₁$ receptor immunofluorescence was localized predominantly to the plasma membrane, whereas β-arrestin-2-GFP was distributed in the cytoplasm (Fig. 4, *top*). Following a 30-min treatment with CRF, CRF₁ receptor immunofluorescence was localized both at the plasma membrane and inside the cell in vesicles, indicative of receptor internalization (Fig. 4, *bottom*). The GFP-labeled β-arrestin-2 colocalized with $CRF₁$ receptors at the plasma membrane but did not colocalize with the pool of internalized CRF1 receptors (Fig. 4, *bottom*). Taken together, these data indicate that the CRF₁ receptor is a class A GPCR in terms of its interaction with β-arrestin-1 and βarrestin-2. The CRF₁ receptor recruits β-arrestins to the membrane, but the complex dissociates and β-arrestin is excluded from receptor-containing intracellular vesicles.

Agonist-induced CRF1 receptor internalization

Next, we examined internalization of wild-type $CRF₁$ receptors in transfected HEK293 cells using flow cytometry. Exposing cells to oCRF for 30 min decreased cell surface CRF_1 receptors ~30% because of sequestration of the internalized receptor into early endosomes (Fig. 5A). CRF₁ receptor internalization was inhibited $\sim 60\%$ ($P < 0.005$) by cotransfection of the dynamin dominant-negative mutant K44A (Fig. 5*A*). The dynamin dependence of CRF1 receptor internalization indicates that receptors were internalized via clathrin-coated pits. To investigate whether CRF_1 receptor sequestration is arrestin-dependent, we used COS-7 cells, which express very low levels of endogenous β-arrestins (35). Agonist-induced internalization of CRF₁ receptors was approximately threefold greater ($P < 0.05$) in COS-7 cells overexpressing β-arrestin-1 (15.55 \pm 0.65%) or β-arrestin-2 (16.30 \pm 2.40%) compared with the low levels of internalization observed in control cells $(5.95 \pm 2.85\%; Fig. 5B)$. The results of these internalization experiments are consistent with the confocal microscopy observations and suggest that both clathrin- and arrestin-dependent endocytosis mechanisms are involved in internalization of $CRF₁$ receptors.

Membrane expression and cellular signaling of HA-tagged wild-type and mutant CRF¹ receptors

The human $CRF₁$ receptor carboxyl terminus has seven serines and three threonines that are putative sites for GRK-mediated phosphorylation (Fig. 6) and a TSPT motif (residue nos. 399–402) that may function as an arrestin binding site (44). We truncated the receptor carboxyl terminus at Ser⁴¹² to create a mutant receptor (Δ 412). We also truncated the carboxyl terminus at Ser386 (Δ386) to eliminate putative GRK phosphorylation sites and the potential arrestin-binding motif. First, we characterized ligand-binding and cAMP-signaling properties of the two mutant receptors by completing membrane saturation binding and intracellular cAMP stimulation experiments. Scatchard analyses of the saturation binding

data demonstrated that agonist affinities (i.e., K_d) for the Δ 412 and Δ 386 mutants were equivalent to the K_d value for the full-length wild-type CRF_1 receptor (Table 1). Importantly, the B_{max} values for the full-length wild-type, $\Delta 412$, and $\Delta 386 \text{ CRF}_1$ receptors were similar (Table 1). Furthermore, membrane protein levels for wild-type, Δ412, and Δ386 CRF1 receptors measured by Western blot were also similar in HEK293 cell membranes (data not shown). Thus the Δ412 and Δ386 truncations did not reduce the expression of these two receptor mutants on the plasma membrane. Concentration-response curves for agonist-stimulated cAMP accumulation were also measured in HEK293 cells transiently expressing Δ 412, Δ 386, or the full-length wild-type CRF₁ receptors. The sensitivity (EC_{50}) of oCRF-, UCN1-, and SVG-stimulated cAMP accumulation generated by Δ 412, Δ 386, and full-length wild-type CRF₁ receptors did not differ significantly (Fig. 7 and Table 1). Furthermore, the maximum levels of cAMP accumulation generated by $\Delta 412$, Δ386, and full-length wild-type receptors following activation by oCRF (Fig. 7), UCN1 (data not shown), or SVG (data not shown) were relatively similar (Fig. 7). Hence the membrane expression, agonist binding, and cAMP signaling function of the $CRF₁$ receptor were not altered by truncating its carboxy terminus at Ser^{412} or Ser^{386} .

The IC3 of the $CRF₁$ receptor also contains a putative site for GRK phosphorylation, namely a cluster of serine and threonine residues in close proximity to an acidic amino acid (STTSET motif; Fig. 6). Therefore, we substituted alanines for the serine/threonine cluster to produce the IC3-5ST/A mutant receptor. We also deleted the same IC3 motif from the Δ 386 mutant to create the Δ 386-IC3-5ST/A mutant. The maximum and EC₅₀ values for CRF-stimulated cAMP accumulation did not significantly differ for these two mutants (data not shown) and were similar to CRF-stimulated cAMP signaling by wild-type $CRF₁$ receptors.

Agonist-induced phosphorylation of wild-type and mutant CRF1 receptors

Previously, we reported that CRF-induced phosphorylation of HA epitope-tagged full-length wild-type CRF₁ receptors transiently expressed in COS-7 cells increased dramatically in a time- and concentration-dependent manner (17, 19). In the present study, we also observed a rapid, large increase in wild-type CRF₁ receptor phosphorylation detected as a dense phosphoprotein band with a relative molecular mass of 60–70 kDa in membranes prepared from HEK293 cells treated with a saturating concentration of CRF (100 nM) for 5 min (Fig. 8). Phosphorylation of the Δ412 mutant was ~35% (*P* < 0.001) less than that of the wildtype $CRF₁$ receptor (Fig. 8). A much greater reduction in phosphorylation was observed, however, for the Δ386 receptor mutant (Fig. 8). Computerized analysis of phosphoprotein bands confirmed that Δ 386 phosphorylation was 80–90% less ($P < 0.001$) than that of wildtype CRF₁ receptors. CRF-stimulated phosphorylation of the Δ 386 mutant was also significantly ($P < 0.001$) lower than the magnitude of $\Delta 412$ phosphorylation (Fig. 8).

We also tested whether the IC3 serine/threonine cluster of the CRF₁ receptor contributes to the level of agonist-induced phosphorylation. When transfected HEK293 cells were exposed to CRF (100 nM) for 5 min, a large reduction in phosphorylation of the IC3-5ST/A mutant was measured compared with that of the wild-type $CRF₁$ receptor (Fig. 9). In the same experiment, phosphorylation of the carboxyl-terminal truncation mutant Δ386 was again markedly deficient, and no further reduction was found when both mutations were incorporated into the same receptor $(\Delta 386$ -IC3-5ST/A; Fig. 9). These data indicate that serines and threonines in both the carboxyl terminus and IC3 are phosphorylated upon agonist activation of the $CRF₁$ receptor.

Recruitment of β-arrestin-2 by mutant CRF1 receptors

We next investigated whether the $CRF₁$ receptor carboxyl terminus plays a role in the recruitment of arrestin. For these experiments, we employed β-arrestin-2-GFP because the $CRF₁$ receptor preferentially interacts with this arrestin isoform (see Figs. 1-4). HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with β-arrestin-2-GFP and either the full-length wild-type CRF₁ receptor, the Δ 412 truncation mutant, or the Δ 386 truncation mutant. In the absence of CRF, β-arrestin-2-GFP was evenly distributed in the cytoplasm of cells (Fig. 10, *top left*). Upon addition of CRF, pronounced translocation of cytoplasmic β-arrestin-2 to full-length wild-type CRF₁ receptors on the membrane and localization of β-arrestin-2 in clathrincoated pits were again observed (Fig. 10, *top right*). Although CRF-induced phosphorylation of Δ 412 mutants was 35% less than that of wild-type CRF₁ receptors (Fig. 8), Δ 412 receptors induced the same strong recruitment of β-arrestin-2-GFP to the cell surface as did its full-length wild-type counterpart (Fig. 10, cf. *top right* and *bottom left*). Exposing the Δ386 mutant to oCRF (Fig. 10) or h/rCRF (data not shown), however, resulted in appreciably weaker recruitment of β-arrestin-2-GFP to the cell membrane than did equivalent CRF activation of full-length wild-type $CRF₁$ receptors, as indicated by the significant amount of β-arrestin-2-GFP remaining in the cytoplasm (Fig. 10, cf. *top right* and *bottom right*). When we assessed the ability of wild-type and mutant $CRF₁$ receptors to undergo agonist-induced internalization, our experiments revealed that wild-type, Δ412, and Δ 386 CRF₁ receptors internalized to a similar extent in transfected HEK293 cells during CRF exposure (Fig. 11).

Having observed that deletion of putative GRK phosphorylation sites in the carboxyl terminus of the CRF₁ receptor decreased translocation of cytosolic β-arrestin-2 to membrane-bound receptors, we next evaluated whether mutation of the STTSET motif in the IC3 of the CRF₁ receptor would alter β-arrestin-2 recruitment. Activation of IC3-5ST/A mutant receptors produced a redistribution of β-arrestin-2-GFP to the cell membrane that was as robust as that induced by activation of full-length wild-type receptors (Fig. 12, cf. *left* and *middle*), despite the reduced CRF-induced phosphorylation of the IC3-5ST/A mutant (Fig. 9). In contrast, β-arrestin-2-GFP recruitment was noticeably weaker in the Δ386- IC3-5ST/A mutant receptor lacking both the carboxyl-terminal tail and the IC3 serine/ threonine cluster as evidenced by the pool of β-arrestin-2-GFP remaining in the cytoplasm (Fig. 12, cf. *left* and *right*).

To quantify the observed differences in β-arrestin-2-GFP recruitment, we transiently expressed the full-length wild-type and mutant CRF₁ receptors in U-2 OS cells and then analyzed the arrestin translocation response using the INCell Analyzer 3000 (42). U-2 OS cells were necessary for these quantitation experiments because of their superior adherent and morphological properties that allow for more sensitive measurements (43). CRF concentration-response curves for β-arrestin-2-GFP translocation to the wild-type and mutant CRF₁ receptors are depicted in Fig. 13. The calculated EC_{50} values (see Fig. 13) for $β$ -arrestin-2 recruitment by full-length wild-type and mutant CRF₁ receptors are similar to the K_d values reported in the literature for CRF_1 receptors transiently expressed in HEK293 cells (8). Compared with the full-length wild-type $CRF₁$ receptor, no significant reduction was observed in the maximum agonist-induced recruitment of β-arrestin-2-GFP to the Δ412 truncation mutant and the IC3-5ST/A intracellular loop mutant (Fig. 13*A*). In contrast, significantly less β-arrestin-2-GFP was recruited to the Δ386 and Δ386-IC3-5ST/A mutants (*P* < 0.001; Fig. 13*B*). No significant differences were observed between recruitment of βarrestin-2-GFP to the Δ386 and Δ386-IC3-5ST/A mutants. These results are consistent with the qualitative assessment of β-arrestin-2 translocation made in HEK293 cells (Figs. 10 and 12). These data suggest that phosphorylation of serines and/or threonines in the $CRF₁$ receptor carboxyl terminus, but not IC3, is important for β-arrestin-2 recruitment. Moreover, they demonstrate the involvement of additional phosphorylation-independent receptor

motifs that are exposed upon agonist binding and mediate the strong association of arrestin with $CRF₁$ receptors.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we investigated the phosphorylation and arrestin-binding properties of agonist-activated $CRF₁$ receptors. We found that $CRF₁$ receptors activated by either oCRF or h/rCRF preferentially recruit β-arrestin-2 over β-arrestin-1 in multiple cell lines, in agreement with a recent study (22). In addition, our arrestin overexpression experiments show that increasing the cellular level of β -arrestin can upregulate CRF₁ receptor internalization. However, because neither β-arrestin isoform traffics with the receptor inside the cell, the CRF_1 receptor is a "class A" GPCR in terms of its interaction with arrestin proteins. Employing receptor mutagenesis, we found that agonist-induced phosphorylation of the $CRF₁$ receptor occurs in two distinct receptor domains, one located in the IC3 and one in the carboxyl-terminal tail. Importantly, we discovered that recruitment and binding of βarrestin-2 by the $CRF₁$ receptor is mediated by both phosphorylation-dependent and phosphorylation-independent intracellular motifs.

A common feature of GPCRs is rapid recruitment of β-arrestin to agonist-activated receptors at the plasma membrane, although the fate of the receptor-β-arrestin complex differs (31, 39, 40). Class A receptors form transient complexes with β-arrestin that dissociate at or near the plasma membrane. Consequently, β-arrestin does not internalize with these receptors inside the cell. Class B receptors form stable complexes with β-arrestin that internalize as a unit into intracellular vesicles and persist inside the cell. Conflicting data exist over the classification of the CRF_1 receptor, since it has been reported to be class A in HEK293 and mouse fetal cortical cells (22, 48) but class B in CHO-K1 cells (47). Our results with βarrestin-1-GFP and β-arrestin-2-GFP in HEK293, CHO-K1, and U-2 OS cells indicate that $CRF₁$ receptors are class A. We have also observed that the $CRF₁$ receptor has a marked preference for β-arrestin-2 based on the kinetics of the trans-location event. Neither βarrestin isoform internalized with the $CRF₁$ receptor into endocytic vesicles, even after 1 h of CRF treatment in our study. Perry et al. (47) and Markovic et al. (34) have reported that the CRF1 receptor-β-arrestin complex internalizes together into cytosolic vesicles. The use of fixed rather than live cells may account for the discrepancy between their findings and data from our experiments (Figs. 1-4) and the study by Holmes et al. (22), indicating that the $CRF₁$ receptor exhibits a class A interaction with β-arrestins.

The transient interaction of β-arrestin with class A receptors appears to allow their more rapid recycling and resensitization by facilitating receptor dephosphorylation (41). Consistent with a class A grouping are reports showing that $CRF₁$ receptors endogenously expressed in rat anterior pituitary cells or recombinantly overexpressed in HEK293 cells resensitize within 1–2 h after being desensitized by CRF treatment (22, 49, 53). However, studies in human retinoblastoma Y79 and neuroblastoma IMR-32 cells have reported a much slower time course of 24 h for complete restoration of $CRF₁$ receptor cAMP signaling (18, 51). These divergent results may be explained by Y79 cells expressing β-arrestin-1 but not β-arrestin-2 (Dautzenberg and Hauger, unpublished observations), whereas HEK cells express both β-arrestins (35). Recently, GRK3 overexpression was reported to increase the interaction of β-arrestin-1 (but not β-arrestin-2) with the CRF_1 receptor measured by bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (22). In our study, we found that overexpressing GRK3 did not alter β -arrestin-2 recruitment or prevent dissociation of the CRF₁ receptorarrestin complex. Thus future research should elucidate the regulatory effects of selective GRK and arrestin mechanisms operating on $CRF₁$ receptor function in different cell types.

Defining intracellular binding sites for β-arrestins has become an important focus of research because of their multi-faceted roles in regulating GPCR signaling. The carboxyl terminus of many GPCRs contains critical domains for GRK-mediated phosphorylation that regulate β-arrestin translocation and binding (3, 4, 12, 21, 26, 27, 31, 32, 38, 39, 41, 44, 45, 50, 55). Importantly, several reports have shown that serine/threonine clusters in the carboxyl-terminal tail of certain GPCRs function as β-arrestin binding sites (41, 44). GRKinduced phosphorylation of these serine/threonine clusters facilitates the tight (class B) binding of β-arrestin to the activated receptor that leads to β-arrestin internalizing with the receptor inside the cell. Deletion of carboxyl-terminal serine/threonine clusters markedly diminishes agonist-induced phosphorylation of a class B receptor and the stability of the GPCR-arrestin complex, effectively changing a class B into a class A phenotype (41, 44). Furthermore, support for the idea that GRK-mediated phosphorylation plays a critical role in the strength of arrestin binding comes from kinetic studies showing that GRK recruitment to the activated neurokinin-1 receptor precedes translocation of β-arrestin-GFP (2).

We have localized the sites of agonist-induced $CRF₁$ receptor phosphorylation to serine/ threonine residues in both the IC3 and the carboxyl terminus. Receptor phosphorylation is reduced ~75% upon mutation of the putative GRK phosphorylation site in the IC3 and completely abolished upon truncation of the carboxyl-terminal tail at position 386. The nearly complete absence of CRF_1 receptor phosphorylation observed for the $\Delta 386$ truncation mutant was surprising given that this receptor construct still contains the native IC3 domain. This finding suggests that an intact carboxyl terminus is required for phosphorylation of the $CRF₁$ receptor IC3 site, similar to recent observations by Kim et al. (25) and Oakley et al. (39) for agonist-induced phosphorylation of the dopamine D_1 receptor, another class A receptor with preference for β-arrestin-2. They propose the intriguing hypothesis that the dopamine D_1 receptor is regulated by hierarchical phosphorylation that first occurs in the receptor carboxyl terminus and then, in turn, occurs in the IC3 (25). In a similar manner, phosphorylation of specific serine and/or threonine residues in the $CRF₁$ receptor carboxyl terminus may be necessary for subsequent phosphorylation of the serine/threonine cluster in the IC3 loop.

The phosphorylation-defective $Δ386$ mutant was also impaired in its ability to recruit βarrestin-2 to the plasma membrane. In contrast, truncation of the CRF_1 receptor at serine-412 produced no defect in CRF-induced β-arrestin-2 translocation. Recently, Thr³⁹⁹, which resides in a possible arrestin-binding motif (TSPT; see Refs. 40 and 44), was reported to be a critical site of GRK-mediated phosphorylation and desensitization of $CRF₁$ receptors (53). Because reducing cellular expression of GRK3 or blocking the action of GRK3 inhibits homologous desensitization of CRF₁ receptors (6, 53), Thr³⁹⁹ may be phosphorylated preferentially by GRK3, thereby transforming the TPST motif into an active site for βarrestin binding. In addition, we observed that CRF-induced internalization of wild-type, Δ 412, and Δ 386 CRF₁ receptors did not differ significantly. This finding is in agreement with a recent study in which a CRF_1 receptor mutant truncated at Lys^{384} internalized to the same extent as the wild-type CRF₁ receptor (48). The lesser amount of β -arrestin-2 translocating to the agonist-activated $\Delta 386$ mutant we detected (see Fig. 10) may have been sufficient to promote internalization of Δ386 receptors. Alternatively, other cellular mechanisms may internalize CRF_1 receptors (11). For example, the Ras-like small GTPbinding proteins Rab4 and Rab5 were recently found to be involved in CRF-induced internalization and resensitization of $CRF₁$ receptors (22).

Additional receptor domains, however, must also participate in the CRF_1 receptor- β arrestin-2 interaction based on our finding that the Δ386 truncation mutant can still recruit βarrestin-2 to the plasma membrane to some extent. Phosphorylation of the IC3 has been shown to be important for β-arrestin recruitment and binding to some GPCRs, including the

dopa-mine D_1 (25), muscarinic M₂ (30), and α_{2b} -adrenergic (9) receptors, but this does not appear to be the case for the CRF_1 receptor. Mutation of the serine/threonine cluster in the $CRF₁$ receptor IC3 (IC3-5ST/A) markedly reduced receptor phosphorylation but had no effect on β-arrestin-2 recruitment compared with the full-length wild-type CRF₁ receptor. In addition, combining the IC3 and Δ386 deletions (Δ386-IC3-5ST/A) had no significant impact on β-arrestin-2 recruitment compared with the Δ386 deletion alone. These data suggest that the $CRF₁$ receptor contains an arrestin-binding domain in one or more of the intracellular loops that is dependent on receptor activation but independent of GRK phosphorylation. The presence of phosphorylation-independent arrestin-binding motifs has been suggested for several GPCRs, including the vasopressin V_2 (41), luteinizing hormone (36), parathyroid hormone (54), neurotensin (44), and substance P (44) receptors. For these GPCRs, elimination of the carboxyl-terminal phosphorylation sites inhibited receptor phosphorylation by 90% or more, but only partially impaired the recruitment of arrestin. Moreover, Marion et al. (33) recently identified a 10-amino-acid motif in the proximal portion of the second intracellular loop of rhodopsin family GPCRs that functions as a phosphorylation-independent structural determinant for β-arrestin binding. The analogous region of the CRF₁ receptor, a secretin family GPCR, retains several of the key features of this motif, namely a basic residue at position 1 ($His²¹⁴$) and hydrophobic residues at positions $4-7$ ($A^{216}I^{217}V^{218}L^{219}$) of the second intracellular loop (see Fig. 6).

In summary, we conclude that β-arrestin-2 recruitment by agonist-activated membrane $CRF₁$ receptors is mediated by at least two distinct domains as follows: *1*) a phosphorylation-dependent motif in the carboxyl terminus and *2*) a phosphorylationindependent motif in one or more of the intracellular loops. Furthermore, we posit that $CRF₁$ receptor signaling will be sensitive to changes in the relative expression of β-arrestin isoforms. Under conditions in which expression of β-arrestin-2 predominates over βarrestin-1, we predict that desensitization of $CRF₁$ receptors will occur more rapidly to limit the extent and duration of G_s -coupled signaling. Furthermore, CRF_1 receptors may strongly signal via the ERK-MAP kinase cascade in cells or neurons with a predominance of βarrestin-2 during prolonged agonist exposure. Thus understanding arrestin-mediated processes regulating the kinetics and transduction pathway selectivity of $CRF₁$ receptor signaling will most likely lead to more effective pharmacotherapies for stress-induced anxiety and depressive disorders in which central CRF neuro-transmission is excessively active (15, 16, 24, 37).

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Marc Caron (Department of Cell Biology, Duke University) for invaluable scientific input and financial support. We likewise thank Dr. Kevin Catt (Branch Chief, Endocrinology and Reproductive Research, National Institutes of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health) for generous and helpful advice during this study. We gratefully acknowledge Judith Hernandez-Aranda (Departamento de Bioquimica, CINVESTAV) and Sandra Braun [University of California San Diego (UCSD)] for performing cell biology experiments, Alan Turken (UCSD) for completing cAMP assays, and Dr. Ana M. Barral (Research Scientist, Nereus Pharmaceuticals, San Diego, CA) for providing helpful advice about flow cytometry methods. We gratefully acknowledge Susan Shew for editorial assistance.

GRANTS

R. L. Hauger received support from Department of Veterans Affairs Merit Review Grant no. 0015; the Veterans Affairs (VA) Mental Illness Research, Education, and Clinical Center of the 22nd Veterans Integrated Service Networks; the VA Center of Excellence for Stress and Mental Health (CESAMH); National Institutes of Health RO1 Grants AG-022982 and MH-074697 RO1; and the University of California Institute for Mexico and the United States-Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnologia grant for collaborative projects. A. Olivares-Reyes was supported by the Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politecnico Nacional, a UC MEXUSCONACYT grant for collaborative projects, and CONACYT Grant 39485-Q.

REFERENCES

- 1. Barak LS, Tiberi M, Freedman NJ, Kwatra MM, Lefkowitz RJ, Caron MG. A highly conserved tyrosine residue in G protein-coupled receptors is required for agonist mediated beta2-adrenergic receptor sequestration. J Biol Chem. 1994; 269:2790–2795. [PubMed: 7507928]
- 2. Barak LS, Warabi K, Feng X, Caron MG, Kwatra MM. Real-time visualization of the cellular redistribution of G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 and beta-arrestin 2 during homologous desensitization of the substance P receptor. J Biol Chem. 1999; 274:7565–7569. [PubMed: 10066824]
- 3. Bouvier M, Hausdorff WP, De Blasi A, O'Dowd BF, Kobilka BK, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ. Removal of phosphorylation sites from the 2-adrenergic receptor delays onset of agonist-promoted desensitization. Nature (Lond). 1988; 333:370–373. [PubMed: 2836733]
- 4. Braun L, Christophe T, Boulay F. Phosphorylation of key serine residues is required for internalization of the complement 5a (C5a) anaphylatoxin receptor via a β-arrestin, dynamin, and clathrin-dependent pathway. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278:4277–4285. [PubMed: 12464600]
- 5. Dautzenberg FM, Hauger RL. The CRF peptide family and their receptors: yet more partners discovered. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2002; 23:71–77. [PubMed: 11830263]
- 6. Dautzenberg FM, Braun S, Hauger RL. GRK3 mediates desensitization of CRF1 receptors: a potential mechanism regulating stress adaptation. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2001; 280:R935–R946. [PubMed: 11247813]
- 7. Dautzenberg FM, Wille S, Braun S, Hauger RL. GRK3 regulation during CRF- and urocortininduced CRF1 receptor desensitization. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2002; 298:303–308. [PubMed: 12413940]
- 8. Dautzenberg FM, Kilpatrick GJ, Wille S, Hauger RL. The ligand-selective domains of corticotropinreleasing factor type 1 and type 2 receptors reside in different extracellular domains: generation of chimeric receptors with a novel ligand-selective profile. J Neurochem. 1999; 73:821–829. [PubMed: 10428081]
- 9. DeGraff JL, Gurevich VV, Benovic JL. The third intracellular loop of 2-adrenergic receptors determines subtype specificity of arrestin interaction. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277:43247–42352. [PubMed: 12205092]
- 10. Dieterich KD, Grigoriadis DE, DeSouza EB. Homologous desensitization of human corticotropinreleasing factor1 receptor in stable transfected mouse fibroblast cells. Brain Res. 1996; 710:287– 292. [PubMed: 8963673]
- 11. Drake MT, Shenoy SK, Lefkowitz RJ. Trafficking of G protein-coupled receptors. Circ Res. 2006; 99:570–582. [PubMed: 16973913]
- 12. Ferguson SS. Evolving concepts in G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis: the role in receptor desensitization and signaling. Pharmacol Rev. 2001; 53:1–24. [PubMed: 11171937]
- 13. Fredericks ZL, Pitcher JA, Lefkowitz RJ. Identification of the G protein-coupled receptor kinase phosphorylation sites in the human β2-adrenergic receptor. J Biol Chem. 1996; 271:13796–13803. [PubMed: 8662852]
- 14. Goodman OB Jr, Krupnick JG, Santini F, Gurevich VV, Penn RB, Gagnon AW, Keen JH, Benovic JL. Beta-arrestin acts as a clathrin adaptor in endocytosis of the beta2-adrenergic receptor. Nature. 1996; 383:447–450. [PubMed: 8837779]
- 15. Grigoriadis DE. The corticotropin-releasing factor receptor: a novel target for the treatment of depression and anxiety-related disorders. Expert Opin Ther Targets. 2005; 9:651–684. [PubMed: 16083336]
- 16. Hauger RL, Risbrough V, Brauns O, Dautzenberg FM. CRF receptor signaling in the central nervous system: new molecular targets for affective disorders. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets. 2006; 5:453–479. [PubMed: 16918397]
- 17. Hauger RL, Olivares-Reyes JA, Braun S, Catt KJ, Dautzenberg FM. Regulation of corticotropin releasing factor type 1 receptor phosphorylation and desensitization by protein kinase C: a possible role in stress adaptation. J Pharmacol Exp Therap. 2003; 306:794–803. [PubMed: 12734388]
- 18. Hauger RL, Dautzenberg FM, Flaccus A, Liepold T, Spiess J. Regulation of corticotropin-releasing factor receptor function in human Y-79 retinoblastoma cells: rapid and reversible homologous desensitization but prolonged recovery. J Neurochem. 1997; 68:2308–2316. [PubMed: 9166723]
- 19. Hauger RL, Smith RD, Braun S, Dautzenberg FM, Catt KJ. Rapid agonist-induced phosphorylation of the human CRF receptor, type 1: a potential mechanism for homologous desensitization. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2000; 268:572–576. [PubMed: 10679245]
- 20. Hoffman AR, Ceda G, Reisine TD. Corticotropin-releasing factor desensitization of adrenocorticotropic hormone release is augmented by arginine vasopressin. J Neurosci. 1985; 5:234–242. [PubMed: 2981299]
- 21. Holliday ND, Lam CW, Tough IR, Cox HM. Role of the C terminus in neuropeptide Y Y1 receptor desensitization and internalization. Mol Pharm. 2005; 67:655–664.
- 22. Holmes KD, Babwah AV, Dale LB, Poulter MO, Ferguson SS. Differential regulation of corticotropin releasing factor 1alpha receptor endocytosis and trafficking by beta-arrestins and Rab GTPases. J Neurochem. 2006; 96:934–949. [PubMed: 16412099]
- 23. Jewell-Motz E, Liggett SB. An acidic motif within the third intracellular loop of the α2C2 adrenergic receptor is required for agonist-promoted phosphor-ylation and desensitization. Biochemistry. 1995; 34:11946–11953. [PubMed: 7547931]
- 24. Keck ME, Ohl F, Holsboer F, Muller MB. Listening to mutant mice: a spotlight on the role of CRF/CRF receptor systems in affective disorders. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2005; 29:867–889. [PubMed: 15899517]
- 25. Kim OJ, Gardner BR, Williams DB, Marinec PS, Cabrera DM, Peters JD, Mak CC, Kim KM, Sibley DR. The role of phosphorylation in D1 dopamine receptor desensitization. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:7999–8010. [PubMed: 14660631]
- 26. Kohout TA, Lefkowitz RJ. Regulation of G protein-coupled receptor kinases and arrestins during receptor desensitization. Mol Pharmacol. 2003; 63:9–18. [PubMed: 12488531]
- 27. Krasel C, Vilargdaga JP, Bunemann M, Lohse MJ. Kinetics of G-protein-coupled receptor signaling and desensitization. Biochem Soc Trans. 2004; 32:1029–1031. [PubMed: 15506955]
- 28. Laporte SA, Oakley RH, Zhang J, Holt JA, Ferguson SS, Caron MG, Barak LS. The beta2 adrenergic receptor/beta-arrestin complex recruits the clathrin adaptor AP-2 during endocytosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1999; 96:3712–3717. [PubMed: 10097102]
- 29. Laporte SA, Oakley RH, Holt JA, Barak LS, Caron MG. The interaction of beta-arrestin with the AP-2 adaptor is required for the clustering of beta2-adrenergic receptor into clathrin-coated pits. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275:23120–23126. [PubMed: 10770944]
- 30. Lee KB, Ptasienski JA, Pals-Rylaarsdam R, Gurevich VV, Hosey MM. Arrestin binding to the M2 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor is precluded by an inhibitory element in the third intracellular loop. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275:9284–9289. [PubMed: 10734068]
- 31. Lefkowitz RJ, Shenoy SK. Transduction of receptor signals by β-arrestins. Science. 2005; 308:512–517. [PubMed: 15845844]
- 32. Lohse MJ, Andexinger S, Pitcher J, Trukawinski S, Codina J, Faure JP, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ. Receptor-specific desensitization with purified proteins: kinase dependence and receptor specificity of beta-arrestin and arrestin in the beta2-adrenergic receptor and rhodopsin. J Biol Chem. 1992; 267:8558–8564. [PubMed: 1349018]
- 33. Marion S, Oakley RH, Kim KM, Caron MG, Barak LS. A beta-arrestin binding determinant common to the second-intracellular loops of Rhodopsin-family G protein-coupled receptors. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281:2932–2938. [PubMed: 16319069]
- 34. Markovic D, Papadopoulou N, Teli T, Randeva H, Levine MA, Hillhouse EW, Grammatopoulos D. Differential responses of CRH receptor type 1 variants to PKC phosphorylation. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2006; 319:1032–1042. [PubMed: 16956982]
- 35. Menard L, Ferguson SSG, Zhang J, Lin FT, Lefkowitz RJ, Caron MG, Barak LS. Synergistic regulation of β2-adrenergic receptor kinase and β-arrestin determine kinetics of internalization. Mol Pharm. 1997; 51:800–808.
- 36. Min L, Ascoli M. The association of arrestin-3 with the human lutropin/ choriogonadotropin receptors depends mostly on receptor activation rather than on receptor phosphorylation. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277:702–710. [PubMed: 11696538]

- 37. Nemeroff CB, Vale WW. The neurobiology of depression: inroads to treatment and new drug discovery. J Clin Psychiatry. 2005; 66(Suppl 7):5–13. [PubMed: 16124836]
- 38. Neuschafer-Rube F, Hermosilla R, Rehwald M, Ronnstrand L, Schulein R, Wernstedt C, Puschel GP. Identification of a Ser/Thr cluster in the C-terminal domain of the human prostaglandin receptor EP4 that is essential for agonist-induced beta-arrestin1 recruitment but differs from apparent principal phosphorylation site. Biochem J. 2004; 379:573–585. [PubMed: 14709160]
- 39. Oakley RH, Laporte SA, Holt JA, Caron MG, Barak LS. Differential affinities of visual arrestin, βarrestin1, and β-arrestin2 with G protein-coupled receptors delineate two major classes of receptors. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275:17201–17210. [PubMed: 10748214]
- 40. Oakley, RH.; Barak, LS.; Caron, MG. Real time imaging of GPCR-mediated arrestin translocation as a strategy to evaluate receptor-protein interactions. In: George, SR.; O'Dowd, BF., editors. G Protein Coupled Receptor-Protein Interactions. Wiley; Hoboken, NJ: 2005. p. 53-80.
- 41. Oakley RH, Laporte SA, Holt JA, Barak LS, Caron MG. Association of β-arrestin with G proteincoupled receptors during clathrin-mediated endocytosis dictates the profile of receptor resensitization. J Biol Chem. 1999; 274:32248–32257. [PubMed: 10542263]
- 42. Oakley RH, Hudson CC, Cruickshank RD, Meyers DM, Payne RE, Rehm SM, Loomis CR. The cellular distribution of fluorescently labeled arrestins provides a robust, sensitive, and universal assay for screening G protein-coupled receptors. Assay Drug Develop Tech. 2002; 1:21–30.
- 43. Oakley, RH.; Cowan, CL.; Hudson, CC.; Loomis, CR. Transfluor provides a universal cell-based assay for screening G protein coupled receptors. In: Minor, L., editor. Handbook of Assay Development in Drug Discovery. CRC; Boca Raton, FL: 2006. p. 431-453.
- 44. Oakley RH, Laporte SA, Holt JA, Barak LS, Caron MG. Molecular determinants underlying the formation of stable intracellular G protein-coupled-β-arrestin complexes after receptor endocytosis. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276:19452–19460. [PubMed: 11279203]
- 45. Penn, RB.; Benovic, JL. Mechanisms of receptor regulation. In: Conn, PM., editor. Handbook of Physiology. Endocrinology. Cellular Endocrinology. Vol. I. Am Physiol Sci; Bethesda, MD: 1998. p. 145
- 46. Perrin, MH.; Vale, W. Corticotropin-releasing factor receptors. In: Pangalos, MN.; Davies, CH., editors. Understanding G Protein-coupled Receptors and Their Role in the CNS. Oxford Univ Press; New York, NY: 2002.
- 47. Perry SJ, Junger S, Kohout TA, Hoare SRJ, Struthers RS, Grigoriadis DE, Maki RA. Distinct conformations of the corticotropin releasing factor type 1 receptor adopted following agonist and antagonist binding are differentially regulated. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280:11560–11568. [PubMed: 15653688]
- 48. Rasmusson TN, Novak I, Nielsen SM. Internalization of the human CRF receptor 1 is independent of classical phosphorylation sites and of β-arrestin 1 recruitment. Eur J Biochem. 2004; 271:4366– 4374. [PubMed: 15560778]
- 49. Reisine T, Hoffman A. Desensitization of corticotropin releasing factor receptors. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1983; 111:919–925. [PubMed: 6301492]
- 50. Reiter E, Lefkowitz RJ. GRKs and β-arrestins: roles in receptor silencing, trafficking, and signaling. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2006; 17:159–165. [PubMed: 16595179]
- 51. Roseboom PH, Urben CM, Kalin N. Persistent corticotropin-releasing factor1 receptor desensitization and downregulation in the human neuroblastoma cell line IMR-32. Brain Res Mol Brain Res. 2001; 92:115–127. [PubMed: 11483248]
- 52. Scott MG, Le Rouzic E, Perianin A, Pierotti V, Enslen H, Benichou S, Marullo S, Benmerah A. Differential nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of beta-arrestins: characterization of a leucine-rich nuclear export signal in beta-arrestin2. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277:37693–37701. [PubMed: 12167659]
- 53. Teli T, Markovic D, Levine MA, Hillhouse EW, Grammatopoulos DK. Regulation of corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) receptor type 1α signaling: structural determinants for G protein-coupled receptor kinase-mediated phosphorylation and agonist-mediated desensitization. Mol Endocrinol. 2005; 19:474–490. [PubMed: 15498832]

- 54. Vilardaga JP, Krasel C, Chauvin S, Bambino T, Lohse MJ, Nissenson RA. Internalization determinants of the parathyroid hormone receptor differentially regulate β-arrestin/receptor association. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277:8121–8129. [PubMed: 11726668]
- 55. von Zastrow M. Mechanisms regulating membrane trafficking of G protein-coupled receptors in the endocytic pathway. Life Sci. 2003; 74:217–224. [PubMed: 14607249]

Fig. 1.

Recruitment of β-arrestin-1 by the agonist-activated full-length wild-type corticotropinreleasing factor type 1 (CRF₁) receptor in transfected HEK293 cells. Confocal microscopy was used to evaluate the interaction of β-arrestin-1-green fluorescent protein (GFP) with full-length CRF₁ receptors transiently expressed in HEK293 cells. This representative experiment shows the distribution of β-arrestin-1-GFP in HEK293 cells before (0 min) and after (2, 5, and 20 min) treatment with 200 nM CRF.

Fig. 2.

Recruitment of β -arrestin-2 by the agonist-activated full-length wild-type CRF₁ receptor in transfected HEK293 cells. Confocal microscopy was used to evaluate the interaction of βarrestin-2-GFP with the full-length CRF_1 receptors transiently expressed in HEK293 cells. *A*: distribution of β-arrestin-2-GFP is shown in cells before (0 min) and after (1, 2, and 20 min) treatment with 200 nM CRF in a representative experiment. *B*: imaging of the βarrestin-2-GFP distribution in the cells following a 30-min treatment with CRF. Confocal images were taken from both the middle (image on *left*) and bottom (image on *right*) of HEK293 cells in a representative experiment. Note the localization of β-arrestin-2-GFP at the plasma membrane in clathrin-coated pits and the absence of β-arrestin-2-GFP inside the cell.

Fig. 3.

Recruitment of β-arrestin-1 and β-arrestin-2 by the agonist-activated full-length wild-type $CRF₁$ receptor in transfected CHO-K1 cells. Confocal microscopy was used to evaluate the interaction of β-arrestin-1-GFP and β-arrestin-2-GFP with full-length CRF_1 receptors transiently expressed in CHO-K1 cells. The distribution of each β-arrestin isoform is shown in CHO-K1 cells before (0 s) and after (30 s, 2 min, and 20 min) treatment with 200 nM CRF in a representative experiment. For the 20-min time point, confocal images were taken from both the middle and bottom of the same cells. Note the localization of each β-arrestin isoform at the plasma membrane in clathrin-coated pits and the absence of each isoform inside the cell.

Fig. 4.

Colocalization of β-arrestin-2-GFP with cell surface but not internalized full-length wildtype CRF1 receptors. The distribution of β-arrestin-2-GFP and Texas Red-labeled full-length hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged CRF₁ receptors was evaluated by confocal microscopy in transiently transfected HEK293 cells. *Top*: distribution of β-arrestin-2-GFP fluorescence (green) and CRF1 receptor immunofluorescence (red) before CRF treatment. *Bottom*: distribution of β-arrestin-2-GFP fluorescence (green) and $CRF₁$ receptor immunofluorescence (red) in HEK293 cells stimulated with 200 nM CRF for 30 min. The overlay image indicates colocalization (yellow) of β -arrestin-2 with CRF₁ receptors at the plasma membrane but not inside the cell in a representative experiment. Arrows point to vesicles containing internalized CRF₁ receptors.

Fig. 5.

Dynamin and arrestin dependence of agonist-induced full-length wild-type CRF₁ receptor internalization in transfected HEK293 or COS-7 cells. Flow cytometry was used to detect internalization of full-length CRF_1 receptors. *A*: CRF_1 receptor sequestration measured in HEK293 cells with and without coexpression of the dynamin dominant-negative mutant K44A following a 30-min treatment with CRF (400 nM). a*P* < 0.005 vs. mock (empty vector). $B: \text{CRF}_1$ receptor internalization measured in COS-7 cells in the presence and absence of overexpressed full-length β-arrestin-1 or β-arrestin-2 following a 30-min treatment with CRF (200 nM). By one-way ANOVA, the following post hoc differences were found to be statistically significant: $bP < 0.05$ vs. mock.

Fig. 6.

Diagram of the intracellular sequences of the human CRF_1 receptor. The full-length wildtype $CRF₁$ receptor protein is 415 amino acids in length. The transmembrane-spanning domains are highlighted in orange while intracellular serines and threonines are highlighted in red. Locations of the Δ 412 and Δ 386 truncations of the carboxy terminus are indicated by black arrows. In the third intracellular loop, the red-highlighted serine/threonine cluster located at residue nos. 301–396 may conform to a G protein-coupled receptor kinase (GRK) phosphorylation site because of the presence of an acidic residue (Glu 305 ; see Refs. 13 and 23). GRK2 and GRK3 have been shown to be acidotropic kinases (13, 23). Within this third intracellular loop sequence, a potential protein kinase A (PKA) phosphorylation site $(R^{299}A^{300}S^{301})$ may also be present. In the carboxy terminus, the $T^{399}S^{400}P^{401}T^{402}$ motif may represent an arrestin binding site after agonist-induced phosphorylation has occurred (44) . In the second intracellular loop, the basic amino acid His^{214} and the adjacent hydrophobic sequence $(A^{216}I^{217}V^{218}L^{219})$ may also be involved in arrestin recruitment and binding (33). The red brackets indicate two potential protein kinase C (PKC) phosphorylation sites in the carboxy terminus.

Concentration-response curves for the stimulation of intracellular cAMP accumulation by CRF in HEK293 cells expressing full-length wild-type and mutant $CRF₁$ receptors. cAMP levels (pmol/well) were measured in quadruplicate in HEK293 cells transfected with cDNAs for full-length wild-type (WT), Δ 412, or Δ 386 CRF₁ receptors and then stimulated with CRF (0–1,000 nM). See Table 1 for EC50 and maximum response values for cAMP signaling in HEK293 cells expressing the three $CRF₁$ receptor constructs.

Fig. 8.

Effect on CRF-stimulated phosphorylation of truncating the carboxyl terminus of the CRF_1 receptor. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with the following HA-tagged receptor cDNAs: *1*) full-length wild-type CRF₁ receptor (2 lanes on *left*); *2*) Δ412 mutant (2 lanes in *center*); or *3*) Δ386 mutant (2 lanes on *right*). After cells were metabolically labeled with ${}^{32}P_1$, they were exposed to 100 nM CRF for 5 min (+). Phosphoreceptors were immunoprecipitated and resolved by SDS-PAGE after loading equal amounts of protein in each lane. In four separate experiments, the 60,000–70,000 relative molecular mass (*M*^r) band representing the phosphorylated CRF₁ receptor was \sim 35% less (*P* < 0.001) in cells expressing the Δ 412 mutant and ~90% less ($P < 0.001$) in cells expressing the Δ 386 mutant (lane on *right*) compared with cells expressing the full-length wild-type receptor.

Fig. 9.

Effect on CRF-stimulated phosphorylation of mutagenizing the serine/threonine cluster in the third intracellular loop (IC3) on the $CRF₁$ receptor. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with the following HA-tagged receptor cDNAs: 1) full-length, wild-type CRF₁ receptor (2 lanes on *far left*); *2*) mutant in which alanines were substituted for a serine/ threonine cluster (Ser^{301} to Thr³⁰⁶) in the IC3 loop (IC3-5ST/A; 2 lanes in *left center*); *3*) Δ386 truncation mutant (2 lanes in *right center*); or *4*) Δ386 mutant with the mutagenized IC3 serine/threonine cluster (Δ386-IC3-5ST/A; 2 lanes on *far right*). After cells were metabolically labeled with P³² and exposed to media (−) or 100 nM CRF (+) for 5 min, phosphoreceptors were immunoprecipitated and resolved by SDS-PAGE. In three separate experiments, the $60,000-70,000$ M_r band representing the phosphorylated CRF₁ receptor was decreased in the IC3-5ST/A mutant by \sim 75% ($P < 0.01$), and minimally detectable in cells expressing the Δ386 or Δ386-IC3-5ST/A mutants (*P* < 0.001), compared with phosphorylation of the full-length wild-type $CRF₁$ receptor.

Fig. 10.

Effect on β-arrestin-2 recruitment of truncating the carboxyl terminus of the CRF₁ receptor. Confocal microscopy was used to evaluate the interaction of β-arrestin-2-GFP with the fulllength wild-type CRF1 receptor (*top right*), Δ412 (*bottom left*), and Δ386 (*bottom right*) transiently expressed in HEK293 cells. Shown are representative confocal images of the distribution of β-arrestin-2-GFP following a 20-min treatment with 400 nM CRF. *Top left*: distribution of β-arrestin-2-GFP in CRF1 receptor-expressing cells not treated with CRF.

Fig. 11.

Agonist-induced internalization of wild-type and mutant CRF₁ receptors in transfected HEK293 cells. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with cDNA for HA-tagged fulllength wild-type, Δ 412, or Δ 386 mutant CRF₁ receptors in four initial experiments. Flow cytometry was then used to detect CRF-stimulated internalization of full-length and carboxy terminally truncated CRF₁ receptors during a 90-min treatment period (vehicle vs. 1 μM CRF). By one-way ANOVA, no significant group differences were detected ($F = 0.057$; $P >$ 0.05). In preliminary experiments, the time course and magnitudes of agonist-induced internalization of WT, Δ 412, or Δ 386 mutant CRF₁ receptors were similar following 30, 45, 60, and 90 min of CRF exposure.

Fig. 12.

Effect on β-arrestin-2 recruitment of mutagenizing the serine/threonine cluster in the third intracellular loop on the $CRF₁$ receptor. Confocal microscopy was used to evaluate the interaction of β-arrestin-2-GFP in HEK293 cells transiently expressing the full-length wildtype CRF1 receptor (*left*), the IC3-5ST/A mutant (*middle*), or Δ386-IC3-5ST/A mutant (*right*). Shown are representative confocal images of the distribution of β-arrestin-2-GFP following a 20-min treatment with 100 nM CRF.

Fig. 13.

Quantitation of β-arrestin-2 translocation to the agonist-activated full-length wild-type and mutant CRF₁ receptors in transfected U-2 OS cells. U-2 OS cells transiently coexpressing βarrestin-2-GFP and either full-length wild-type or mutant $CRF₁$ receptors were plated in 96well plates and cultured overnight. Cells were then stimulated with CRF (0–1,000 nM) for 30 min and analyzed on the INCell Analyzer 3000. The reported parameter "Fgrains" represents the fluorescence intensity of β-arrestin-2-GFP localized with the receptor in spots or grains. Data represent means \pm SE for 3 independent experiments performed in triplicate. *A*: CRF concentration-response curves for full-length wild-type CRF₁ receptor, Δ412 mutant, and IC3-5ST/A mutant. *B*: CRF concentration-response curves for full-length wildtype CRF₁ receptor, Δ386 mutant, and Δ386-IC3-5ST/A mutant. By one-way ANOVA, there were statistically significant differences ($P < 0.001$) across the groups for changes in CRF-stimulated recruitment of β-arrestin-2 by the activated receptor. The following post hoc differences were found to be statistically significant between groups: $*P < 0.001$ vs. the wild type.

Table 1

Summary of cAMP signaling and agonist-binding properties of full-length wild-type and mutated HA-tagged human CRF₁ receptors Summary of cAMP signaling and agonist-binding properties of full-length wild-type and mutated HA-tagged human CRF1 receptors

Values are means ± SE. HA, hemagglutinin; CRF1, corticotropin-releasing factor type 1; oCRF, ovine CRF; UCN1, urocortin 1; SVG, sauvagine; Kd, dissociation constant; B_{max}, maximal binding; WT, *K*d, dissociation constant; Bmax, maximal binding; WT, Values are means ± SE. HA, hemagglutinin; CRF1, corticotropin-releasing factor type 1; oCRF, ovine CRF; UCN1, urocortin 1; SVG, sauvagine; wild type. The only post hoc differences found to be statistically significant between agonist groups were the following: wild type. The only post hoc differences found to be statistically significant between agonist groups were the following:

** P* < 0.01 vs. UCN1

† P < 0.001 vs. UCN1 $\ddot{t}P < 0.05$ vs. UCN1. *P* < 0.05 vs. UCN1.

No significant differences were detected by ANOVA across receptor construct groups for EC50. Kd. or B_{max} values. No significant differences were detected by ANOVA across receptor construct groups for EC50, *K*d, or Bmax values.