# Genetic Variants of ABCC10, a Novel Tenofovir Transporter, Are Associated With Kidney Tubular Dysfunction

## Sudeep P. Pushpakom,<sup>1,2,a</sup> Neill J. Liptrott,<sup>1,2,a</sup> Sonia Rodríguez-Nóvoa,<sup>3</sup> Pablo Labarga,<sup>3</sup> Vincent Soriano,<sup>3</sup> Marta Albalater,<sup>3</sup> Elizabeth Hopper-Borge,<sup>4</sup> Stefano Bonora,<sup>5</sup> Giovanni Di Perri,<sup>5</sup> David J. Back,<sup>2</sup> Saye Khoo,<sup>1,2</sup> Munir Pirmohamed,<sup>1,2</sup> and Andrew Owen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre, Royal Liverpool Hospital, and <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacology, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Hospital Carlos III, Madrid, Spain; <sup>4</sup>Developmental Therapeutics Program, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Pennsylvania; and <sup>5</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, University of Turin, Amedeo di Savoia Hospital, Italy

Background. Tenofovir (TFV) causes kidney tubular dysfunction (KTD) in some patients, but the mechanism is poorly understood. Genetic variants in TFV transporters are implicated; we explored whether ABCC10 transports TFV and whether ABCC10 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are associated with KTD.

Methods. TFV accumulation was assessed in parental and ABCC10-transfected HEK293 cells (HEK293-ABCC10),  $CD4^+$  cells and monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs). Substrate specificity was confirmed by cepharanthine (ABCC10 inhibitor) and small interfering RNA (siRNA) studies. Fourteen SNPs in ABCC10 were genotyped in human immunodeficiency virus–positive patients with KTD ( $n = 19$ ) or without KTD (controls;  $n = 96$ ). SNP and haplotype analysis was performed using Haploview.

Results. TFV accumulation was significantly lower in HEK293-ABCC10 cell lines than in parental HEK293 cells (35% lower;  $P = .02$ ); this was reversed by cepharanthine. siRNA knockdown of ABCC10 resulted in increased accumulation of TFV in CD4<sup>+</sup> cells (18%;  $P = .04$ ) and MDMs (25%;  $P = .04$ ). Two ABCC10 SNPs (rs9349256: odds ratio [OR], 2.3;  $P = .02$ ; rs2125739, OR, 2.0;  $P = .05$ ) and their haplotype (OR, 2.1;  $P = .05$ ) were significantly associated with KTD. rs9349256 was associated with urine phosphorus wasting ( $P = .02$ ) and  $\beta$ 2 microglobulinuria  $(P = .04)$ .

**Conclusions.** TFV is a substrate for *ABCC10*, and genetic variability within the *ABCC10* gene may influence TFV renal tubular transport and contribute to the development of KTD. These results need to be replicated in other cohorts.

Tenofovir (TFV) has high antiviral potency, low drug interaction potential, and a good safety profile [1]. Large prospective clinical trials have shown that TFV is relatively safe for the kidney [2, 3]. However, several reports have described kidney tubular dysfunction (KTD),

#### The Journal of Infectious Diseases 2011;204:145–53

0022-1899 (print)/1537-6613 (online)/2011/2041-0020\$14.00 DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jir215

including Fanconi syndrome [4–7]. The incidence of KTD ranges from 1.4% [5] to 22% [6], triggering concern about long-term use of TFV. Underestimation of the prevalence of TFV-associated KTD has also been suggested, owing to the low sensitivity of diagnostic markers such as serum creatinine [8, 9].

Different mechanisms have been suggested for TFV-associated KTD, including interaction with drug transporters located in the renal tubule [10]. TFV is transported into proximal tubular cells by organic anion transporters (OAT1 and, to a lesser extent, OAT3), which are located on the basolateral membrane [11, 12]. Renal clearance of TFV occurs via a combination of glomerular filtration and active tubular secretion [10], but the luminal efflux systems involved in transport out of proximal tubular cells into the lumen are not well

Received 27 September 2010; accepted 17 February 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>S. P. P. and N. J. L. contributed equally to this work.

Potential conflict of interests. none reported.

Correspondence: Andrew Owen, PhD, Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Block H (First Floor), 70 Pembroke Pl, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3GF, UK (aowen@liv.ac.uk).

The Author 2011. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com

studied. Only 2 efflux transporters, ABCC4 (MRP4) [12, 13] and ABCC2 (MRP2) [14] have been reported to play a role in the elimination of TFV.

A high degree of interindividual variability in disease characteristics and severity are seen with TFV-associated KTD [10], and genetic variants of various transporters have been investigated [15, 16]. Both ABCC4 [15, 16] and ABCC2 [15] variants were shown to be associated, but polymorphisms in other transporters such as SLC22A6 and ABCB1 were not [16]. In addition, old age [3, 16, 17] and lower body weight [3, 16] are also known risk factors. It is clear that KTD is multifactorial, and the genetic associations identified so far do not explain the large interindividual variability. It is likely that other transporters are involved in TFV transport and may play a role in KTD.

ABCC10 (MRP7) exhibits functional similarity to other ABCC transporters [18]. Recent studies have shown that ABCC10 transports anticancer agents such as gemcitabine and taxanes from tumor cells and thereby confers drug resistance [19]. Antiretroviral agents, such as zalcitabine and 9-[2 phosphonylmethoxynyl]-adenine are also substrates for ABCC10 [20]. ABCC10 is ubiquitously expressed; a microarray of 50 transporters in 40 human tissues found high expression in tissues, including kidney, brain, and colon [21].

The current study was designed to investigate whether TFV was a substrate for ABCC10. In addition, high-throughput genotyping of ABCC10 variants using Sequenom MALDI-TOF technology was employed in a cohort of TFV-treated human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)–positive patients to investigate whether genetic variants of ABCC10 were associated with KTD susceptibility.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Materials**

Radiolabeled TFV was purchased from Moravek Biochemicals. Parental HEK293, ABCC10-transfected HEK293 cells (HEK293- ABCC10), and ABCC10 primary antibody were as described elsewhere [19]. Cepharanthine (ABCC10 inhibitor) was purchased from Aktin Chemicals. Healthy volunteer buffy coats were obtained from the National Blood Service.  $CD4^+$  and  $CD14<sup>+</sup>$  magnetic beads, macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF), and transforming growth factor  $\beta$  were purchased from Miltenyi Biotec. ABCC10, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH)–positive control and nontargeting negative control small interfering RNA (siRNA) were purchased from Dharmacon (Thermo Fisher). Lipofectamine RNAiMAX was purchased from Invitrogen. Taqman gene expression assay for ABCC10 messenger RNA (mRNA) expression and Taqman Gene Expression Master Mix were purchased from Applied Biosystems. Sequence-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers and extend reaction oligonucleotides were obtained from Metabion GmbH.

## Accumulation of Radiolabeled TFV in ABCC10 Expressing Cell Lines

Tritiated TFV  $(.3 \mu\text{Ci/mL}; 10 \mu\text{mol})$  was incubated with parental HEK293 and HEK293-ABCC10 (designated C17 and C18) cells for 30 min at 37°C. Lower drug concentrations are more likely to result in spurious findings, because transporters may be important only at subtherapeutic concentrations and may become saturated at higher concentrations; therefore, higher TFV concentration was chosen to ensure applicability of the data beyond the therapeutic plasma range. TFV was used instead of TFV disoproxil fumarate, because the latter is virtually undetectable in systemic circulation [22]. Samples were centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 1 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C-8 $^{\circ}$ C, and supernatant  $(100 \mu L)$  representing the extracellular count was taken and placed into a scintillation vial. Pellets were resuspended in 1 mL of ice-cold Hank's balanced salt solution, centrifuged, resuspended in 100  $\mu$ L of water to solubilize the cell pellet, and transferred into a separate scintillation vial. Scintillation fluid (4 mL) was added to each vial and placed in the scintillation counter. Data were expressed as the ratio of intracellular to extracellular drug, assuming a cell volume of 1 pL for calculating the cellular accumulation ratio. Accumulation experiments were conducted in the presence and absence of cepharanthine  $(2 \mu mol)$ , an inhibitor of ABCC10, to confirm specificity.

## Isolation of  $CD4^+$  and  $CD14^+$  Cells and Monocyte-Derived **Macrophages**

Immune cell subsets were isolated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) using magnetic beads. Cells were resuspended in Miltenyi Biotec Product (MACS) buffer (phosphate-buffered saline, 0.5% bovine serum albumin, and 2 mmol/ L ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA]) and centrifuged, and pellets were resuspended in 80 µL of MACS buffer and 20 µL of MACS beads, specific for either CD4 or CD14, vortexed, and incubated for 15 min at 4°-8°C. Cells were then washed and resuspended in 500 µL of MACS buffer. MACS LS columns were placed in a magnetic field and primed with 3 mL of MACS buffer, and cell suspensions were added and washed through with MACS buffer solution. The columns were then removed from the magnetic field, and cells were harvested with 5 mL of MACS buffer and cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium containing 15% fetal calf serum (FCS).

For monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs), PBMCs were cultured overnight in RPMI 1640 medium containing 15% FCS in order for monocytes to adhere. Media and lymphocytes were washed off with Hank's balanced salt solution, and monocytes were removed using trypsin-EDTA.  $CD14<sup>+</sup>$ cells were then separated using magnetic bead separation and cultured for 12 days in RPMI 1640 medium (20% FCS) containing M-CSF (10 ng/mL) and transforming growth factor  $\beta$  (10 ng/mL).

## siRNA-Mediated Knockdown of ABCC10 Expression in Primary CD4<sup>+</sup> Cells and MDMs

Expression of ABCC10 mRNA in various immune cell subsets was ascertained by TaqMan Assays on Demand (assay ID, Hs00375716\_m1) using real-time quantitative PCR. siRNA specific for ABCC10 mRNA, along with the relevant nontargeting (negative) and GAPDH-specific (positive) controls, was transfected into  $CD4^+$  cells and MDMs using RNAiMAX under optimum conditions. Gene expression relative to  $\beta$ -actin (assay ID, 4352935E) expression was calculated using the comparative Ct (cycle time) method, as described elsewhere [23]. Protein expression for ABCC10 was determined by flow cytometry as described elsewhere [24], using ABCC10 primary antibody and isotype control (immunoglobulin G) at 1:40 dilutions. A goat anti-mouse fluorescein isothiocyanate–conjugated secondary antibody was used at a 1:200 dilution.

#### **Patients**

The characteristics of the study population have been described elsewhere [16]. Briefly, the study population was drawn from HIV-infected patients receiving TFV-containing therapy at a single clinic in Madrid during the first trimester of 2008 [6]. Local research ethics committee approvals were obtained for the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients for collection of blood/DNA samples and subsequent genetic analysis. The criteria used for diagnosis of KTD in this cohort have been described elsewhere [16]. A total of 115 HIV-infected patients were examined; 96 of them did not show evidence of KTD (control group), and 19 had KTD (KTD group).

#### Quantification of Plasma TFV Levels

Mid-dose TFV plasma concentration was available for a subset of patients ( $n = 76$ ; 63 controls and 13 patients with KTD) who overlapped with the patient cohort reported by Rodriguez-Novoa et al [25]; these concentrations were measured using a validated high-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry method [26] by our collaborators in Turin. Time sample was between 10 and 14 h.

#### Selection of Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms in ABCC10

Fourteen SNPs in ABCC10 were selected for screening, including 7 haplotype-tagging single-nucleotide polymorphisms (htSNPs) from the CEPH/CEU (Centre d'Etude du Polymorphisme Humain/ Utah residents with Northern and Western European ancestry) HapMap data set (phase II, release 23a; March 2008) using Haploview software ([http://www.broad](http://www.broad.mit.edu/haploview/haploview) [.mit.edu/haploview/haploview\)](http://www.broad.mit.edu/haploview/haploview). The criteria used for singlenucleotide polymorphism (SNP) selection included a minor allele frequency of  $>5\%$  and pairwise linkage disequilibrium measure of  $r^2 > 0.8$ . Marker coverage for  $\mathit{ABCCl0}$  was extended by 5000 base pairs upstream and downstream flanking regions to include any potential regulatory SNPs. Apart from the htSNPs, we also selected 7 additional SNPs from the

dbSNP database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/) that were either exonic or mapped to untranslated or conserved regions within the selected region and hence potentially functionally important. A graphic representation of the ABCC10 gene and all the SNP markers genotyped is given in Figure 1.

#### Genotyping

DNA was extracted from PBMCs using a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit. SNP genotyping was performed using a Sequenom MassARRAY MALDI-TOF system. A multiplex SNP assay (14-plex) was designed using Sequenom software ([https://myseque](https://mysequenom.com/tools/genotyping/default.aspx)[nom.com/tools/genotyping/default.aspx\)](https://mysequenom.com/tools/genotyping/default.aspx) and iPLEX chemistry with 10 ng of genomic DNA. For each assay, quality control procedures included use of replicate samples and negative template controls. Each SNP genotype cluster plot was manually checked and scored. Any sample with a call rate  $<80\%$  and any assay with a call rate  $<$ 90% were omitted.

#### Statistical Analysis

Distribution of the data was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Difference in accumulation of TFV between parental HEK293 and HEK293-ABCC10 cell lines, difference in expression between siRNA-mediated knockdown samples and untreated samples, and difference in accumulation of TFV between immune cell subsets after siRNA-mediated knockdown of ABCC10 were assessed using the Mann-Whitney test. Differences were considered significant at  $P < .05$ . Haploview software was used to test Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, differences in allele and genotype frequencies between KTD and control groups for each SNP, and ABCC10 haplotype analysis. The parameters used for linkage disequilibrium analysis are  $D'$  and  $r^2$  [27]. Multivariate analysis and associations between single renal parameters and ABCC10 SNPs were analyzed by logistic regression using SPSS software (version 16.0) (method: forward stepwise: likelihood ratio). We used existing genotype data on ABCC2 and ABCC4 SNPs to carry out an extended haplotype analysis with the ABCC10 SNPs, performed with Haploview software.

## RESULTS

## Accumulation of Radiolabeled TFV in ABCC10-Expressing Cell Lines

Accumulation of radiolabeled TFV was significantly lower in HEK293-ABCC10 cell lines, C17 and C18, than in the parental



Figure 1. Graphic representation of ABCC10 gene and singlenucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers selected. Exons are represented by gray boxes. Approximate locations of SNP markers are shown. Haplotype tag SNPs are shown in bold italic type.

HEK293 cells (Figure 2) (35% lower than the parental cellular accumulation ratio in C17,  $P = .02$ ; 31% lower than that in C18,  $P = .02$ ), suggesting increased efflux of TFV in HEK293- $ABCC10$  cell lines. The addition of 2 µmol cepharanthine, an ABCC10 inhibitor, inhibited the efflux of TFV in HEK293- ABCC10 cells (Figure 2).

# siRNA-Mediated Knockdown of  $ABCC10$  Expression in CD4<sup>+</sup> Cells and MDMs

ABCC10 mRNA was detectable in all immune cell subsets isolated from healthy volunteer peripheral blood. GAPDH siRNA was included as a positive control, with expression being normalized to  $\beta$ -actin. GAPDH mRNA was significantly lower in CD4<sup>+</sup> cells (Figure 3a) (89.9% reduction;  $P = .0004$ ) and MDMs (Figure 3b) (66.9% reduction;  $P = .0006$ ) 48 h after transfection. ABCC10 mRNA was similarly reduced 48 h after transfection with  $ABCC10$ -specific siRNA in  $CD4^+$  cells (Figure 3a; 75.9% reduction,  $P = .0006$ ) and MDMs (Figure 3b) (67.9% reduction;  $P = .0006$ ) compared with untreated control cells. This reduction in expression was also observed when results were compared with those in the negative control transfected cells. ABCC10 protein levels were also significantly lower in CD4<sup>+</sup> cells (82.3% reduction;  $P = .0004$ ) and MDMs (68.5%) reduction;  $P = .007$ ) 48 h after transfection (Figures 3c and 3d, respectively). siRNA-mediated knockdown of ABCC10 expression resulted in a significant increase in the intracellular accumulation of radiolabeled TFV in MDMs (25% increase;  $P = .04$ ) and CD4<sup>+</sup> cells (18%;  $P = .04$ ) compared with untreated control cells (Figure 3e and f), confirming the substrate specificity of ABCC10 for TFV.

## Association of ABCC10 Polymorphisms With TFV-Related KTD

The clinical characteristics of the study cohort have been described elsewhere [16]. No statistically significant differences



**Figure 2.** Accumulation of radiolabeled TFV in ABCC10-expressing cell lines. ABCC10-overexpressing cells C17 and C18 showed a significant reduction in the intracellular accumulation of tenofovir (TFV), suggesting  $ABCC10$ -mediated TFV efflux. Cepharanthine (2  $\mu$ mol), a potent ABCC10 inhibitor, prevents this efflux. Data expressed as means  $\pm$  standard deviations  $(n = 6)$ .

were observed for demographic factors, use of protease inhibitors, duration of TFV therapy, or baseline biochemical parameters.

All SNP markers genotyped were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Single SNP analysis by Haploview identified one SNP marker in ABCC10 (rs9349256) to be significantly associated with KTD, and another marker (rs2125739) was marginally significantly associated (Table 1). The associated G allele of rs9349256 (located in intron 4) was significantly overrepresented in the KTD group (72.2%; odds ratio [OR] 2.3; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.1–5.3;  $P = .02$ ) compared with the non-KTD controls (52.6%). rs2125739 is a nonsynonymous SNP located in exon 12 of ABCC10 and results in isoleucine-tothreonine conversion (p.Ile920Thr). The frequency of the variant C allele of rs2125739 was marginally significantly higher in the KTD group (38.8%; OR, 2.0; 95% CI, .9–4.4;  $P = .05$ ) than in the control group (23.4%). Haplotype analysis of ABCC10 identified a 3-marker haplotype, GGC, consisting of associated alleles of both the above-described markers, to be marginally significantly more prevalent in patients with KTD than in the control group (38.9% in KTD vs 23% in non-KTD controls; OR, 2.1; 95% CI, .9–4.6;  $P = .05$ ) (Table 1).

#### Association of ABCC10-ABCC2 Extended Haplotype With KTD

We have elsewhere shown an association between the ABCC2 SNP, rs717620 and its haplotype, CGTC (consisting of the associated rs717620C allele) with KTD [16]. Haploview was used to investigate the prevalence of the ABCC2 haplotype, CGTC, in combination with the associated ABCC10 haplotype, GGC (extended haplotype GGC-CGTC). The frequency of the ABCC10-ABCC2 extended haplotype was significantly higher in the KTD group than in the controls (22.1% vs 8.4%; OR, 3.0; 95% CI, 1.2–7.9;  $P = .01$ ) (Table 2).

# Association of ABCC10 SNPs and ABCC10-ABCC2 Extended Haplotype With KTD Parameters

Logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify whether any of the ABCC10 SNPs were associated with individual parameters of KTD. rs9349256 was significantly associated with 2 parameters: urine phosphorus wasting (OR, 1.9;  $P = .02$ ; 95% CI, 1.1–3.4) and  $\beta$ 2-microglobulinuria (OR, 1.7; P = .04; 95% CI, 1.1–3.7) (Table 3). In addition, the  $ABCCD - ABCC2$ extended haplotype GGC-CGTC was independently associated with urine phosphorus wasting (OR, 2.6;  $P = .02$ ; 95% CI, 1.1–5.9) (Table 3).

## Association of KTD and ABCC10 SNPs With TFV Plasma **Concentration**

Median TFV plasma levels were significantly ( $P = .006$ ) higher in patients with KTD (182 ng/mL; interquartile range, 19–259) than in controls (103 ng/mL; interquartile range, 81–410). Neither the ABCC10 SNPs nor the carriers of ABCC10-ABCC2 extended haplotype (GGC-CGTC) showed any association with



Figure 3. Knockdown of ABCC10 in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) and accumulation of tenofovir (TFV) 48 h after knockdown.  $A-D$ , Expression of ABCC10 messenger RNA in CD4<sup>+</sup> cells (A) and MDMs (B) and of ABCC10 protein in CD4<sup>+</sup> cells (C) and MDMs (D), after small interfering RNA (siRNA)–mediated knockdown. GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase. E, F, Accumulation of TFV is increased after knockdown in CD4<sup>+</sup> cells (E) and MDMs (F). Data are expressed as means  $\pm$  standard deviations (n = 6). \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.01

TFV plasma concentration. However, a trend toward gene dose effect was noted with rs2125739 genotypes (median TFV plasma concentration for wild type, 102 ng/mL; heterozygotes, 112 ng/mL; mutant homozygotes, 146 ng/mL), even though it was statistically nonsignificant ( $P = .6$ ) (Table 1 and Figure 1; online only).

# Independent Predictors of KTD in TFV-Treated HIV-Positive **Patients**

Multivariate analysis using a model including factors such as age, sex, duration of TFV therapy, body mass index, viral load, and carriage of GGC–CGTC haplotype identified age as the only significant predictor of KTD ( $P = .04$ ) (Table 4). However, it

should be noted that the carriage of ABCC10-ABCC2 extended haplotype and duration of TFV therapy only marginally missed the statistical threshold of significance ( $P = .06$ ). TFV plasma levels were excluded from this model because our sample cohort did not have a complete record for all patients.

## **DISCUSSION**

TFV was first approved by the FDA in 2001 and is currently a widely used antiretroviral agent [28]. However, KTD has been reported with long-term TFV use [4–7], with reported cases growing in number from 2 in 2002 [29, 30] to  $>15$  in the last 2

#### Table 1. Allele Frequencies of rs9349256 and rs2125739 and Their Haplotype (GGC) in Control and Kidney Tubular Dysfunction (KTD) Groups



NOTE. Linkage disequilibrium patterns within the ABCC10 GGC haplotype are represented here. Dark gray shades indicate strong linkage disequilibrium; light gray shades, weak linkage disequilibrium. ABCC10 GGC haplotype comprises rs9349256G, rs2487663G, and rs2125739C alleles. Independently associated alleles are highlighted in square boxes.

a Odds ratio (OR) and P values represent comparison of allele/haplotype frequencies between control and KTD groups. CI, confidence interval.

years, including several large scale studies [4, 6]. In a retrospective cross-sectional analysis of 1647 patients (964 on TFV-containing regimens, 683 on TFV-sparing regimens), TFV exposure was associated with a greater risk of developing proximal tubular dysfunction [4]. Importantly, adverse renal effects of TFV were also shown to persist.

Inhibition of renal efflux transporters may result in increased intracellular TFV, which in turn may cause renal toxicity. However, apart from a well-established role for ABCC4 [12, 13] and a tentative role for ABCC2 [14], no other transporters have been identified for TFV renal efflux. The recent discovery and characterization of ABCC10 [18], its functional similarity with ABCC4 [31], and its high expression in kidney [21] provided a rationale to explore its specificity for TFV and possible role in KTD.

## Table 2. Comparison of ABCC10-ABCC2 Extended Haplotype (GGC-CGTC) Frequency Between Control and Kidney Tubular Dysfunction (KTD) Groups



NOTE. <sup>a</sup> Linkage disequilibrium patterns within the ABCC10-ABCC2 haplotype are represented here. Dark gray boxes indicate strong linkage disequilibrium; light gray and white boxes, weak linkage disequilibrium. The extended haplotype GGC-CGTC is defined by the ABCC10 haplotype, GGC, and ABCC2 haplotype, CGTC. Independently associated alleles in ABCC10 and ABCC2 are highlighted in square boxes.

<sup>b</sup> CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

150 · JID 2011:204 (1 July) · Pushpakom et al

The ABCC10-expressing embryonic kidney cell lines, C17 and C18, were used to establish that TFV is a substrate for ABCC10; the same in vitro models have elsewhere been used to characterize the transport of other substrates [18–20]. Furthermore, cepharanthine resulted in an increased intracellular accumulation of TFV, illustrating the importance of ABCC10 for TFV in renally derived cells. To assess the importance of ABCC10 at more physiologically relevant expression levels, siRNA experiments were conducted in  $CD4^+$  cells and MDMs.  $CD4^+$  cells and MDMs represent primary cell types that are readily available in large numbers and express transporters at physiologically relevant densities. They also allow assessment of the role of transporters in an HIV replication–competent cell system. Intracellular accumulation of radiolabeled TFV was significantly increased in siRNA-treated immune cells compared with untreated controls. Hence, the experimental evidence obtained in this study confirms a role for ABCC10 in the efflux transport of TFV.

A case-control association study was then undertaken to investigate common variants in ABCC10 in HIV-positive patients on TFV-containing regimens. This is the first genetic association analysis reported for ABCC10. Two SNPs and their haplotype were significantly associated with KTD: rs9349256 located in intron 4 and rs2125739, a nonsynonymous SNP, in exon 12, resulting in an amino acid change (p.Ile920Thr). A recent study showed carriers of the ABCC4 3463G variant (associated elsewhere with KTD [15]) to have 35% higher intracellular TFV [32]. The functional effects of polymorphisms identified in ABCC10 in this study are not known; a bioinformatic approach using FastSNP software ([http://fastsnp.ibms.sinica.edu.](http://fastsnp.ibms.sinica.edu.tw/) [tw/](http://fastsnp.ibms.sinica.edu.tw/)) found rs2125739 to be located in a putative splice site. Splice site polymorphisms have been shown to affect premRNA splicing and may cause the splicing apparatus to use nearby cryptic splice sites or skip exons, leading to an altered protein [33]. Based on HapMap data [\(www.hapmap.org](www.hapmap.org)), the

#### Table 3. Association Between Markers of Kidney Tubular Dysfunction (KTD) and Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) Markers in ABCC10 and ABCC2 and Their Extended Haplotype



NOTE. <sup>a</sup> CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

<sup>b</sup> Taken from our previous study on ABCC2 and KTD [16], which identified association between 3 markers and various parameters of tubular dysfunction: rs717620 with urine phosphorus wasting and b2-microglobulinuria, rs2273697 with aminoaciduria, and rs3740066 with b2-microglobulinuria.

<sup>c</sup> Extended haplotype GGC-CGTC is defined by ABCC10 haplotype, GGC, and ABCC2 haplotype, CGTC.

minor allele frequency for rs2125739 in various populations are as follows: Northern and Western European ancestry (26.7%); Sub-Saharan African (34.2%); Han Chinese (3.4%), and Japanese (8.0%). No functional effect was predicted for rs9349256, suggesting that the association may be due to linkage

Table 4. Univariate and Multivariate Analysis for Factors Associated With Kidney Tubular Dysfunction in Human Immunodeficiency Virus–Positive Patients Treated With Tenofovir (TFV)

| Covariate                   | $R^2$          |                   | Univariate P Multivariate P |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| rs700008                    | $NA^a$         | .3                | .                           |
| rs41271273                  | <b>NA</b>      | .6                | .                           |
| rs45535935                  | <b>NA</b>      | $\cdot$           |                             |
| rs45480693                  | <b>NA</b>      | 6                 |                             |
| rs9349256                   | <b>NA</b>      | .02 <sup>b</sup>  | .                           |
| rs2277122                   | <b>NA</b>      | $\mathcal{A}$     |                             |
| rs2185631                   | <b>NA</b>      | $\mathcal{S}$     | .                           |
| rs2487663                   | <b>NA</b>      | $\mathcal{A}$     | .                           |
| rs41271275                  | <b>NA</b>      | $\cdots$          |                             |
| rs41281802                  | <b>NA</b>      | 6                 | .                           |
| rs2125739                   | <b>NA</b>      | .05 <sup>b</sup>  | .                           |
| rs2125740                   | <b>NA</b>      | $.5\,$            |                             |
| rs41281804                  | <b>NA</b>      | .                 | .                           |
| rs6901992                   | <b>NA</b>      | $\cdots$          | .                           |
| GGC-CGTC haplotype carriage | .02            | .01 <sup>b</sup>  | .06 <sup>c</sup>            |
| Age                         | $\cdot$ 1      | .06               | .04 <sup>b</sup>            |
| Sex                         | .03            | .4                | $\cdot$                     |
| Duration of therapy         | .02            | .2                | .06                         |
| Body mass index             | $\cdot$        | .8                | .7                          |
| TFV plasma levels           | .3             | .006 <sup>b</sup> | Not included <sup>d</sup>   |
| Viral load                  | $\overline{2}$ | .3                | .З                          |

NOTE. <sup>a</sup> NA, not applicable.

 $<sup>b</sup>$  Significant differences ( $P < .05$ )</sup>

<sup>c</sup> GGC-CGTC carriage was included in the multivariate model instead of individual ABCC10 single-nucleotide polymorphisms.

<sup>d</sup> TFV plasma levels were not included in the multivariate model because they were available only for a subset of patients.

disequilibrium with another functional marker. A marginally significant increase in the frequency of the haplotype defined by the 2 associated alleles was also observed in KTD compared with controls, further strengthening a role for ABCC10 in TFVassociated KTD.

TFV-associated KTD is multifactorial [10] with risk factors including polymorphisms along with nongenetic factors, such as age [3, 16, 17] and body weight [3, 16]. The previous study using the same cohort found an association between KTD and ABCC2 rs717620 and a haplotype containing it [16]. Therefore, the joint contribution of ABCC10 and ABCC2 haplotypes was investigated. The combination haplotype, GGC-CGTC, was significantly more prevalent in patients with KTD than in controls. The ABCC10-ABCC2 combined haplotype was more strongly associated with KTD (OR, 3.0) than the individual haplotypes (OR, 2.1 and 1.96 for ABCC10 and ABCC2, respectively). Interestingly, in a multivariate analysis, the carriage of ABCC10- ABCC2 combined haplotype showed a trend toward independent significant association ( $P = .06$ ) with KTD.

Median TFV plasma levels were significantly higher in a subset of patients with KTD than in controls, but they did not show any association with ABCC10 SNPs or ABCC10-ABCC2 combined haplotype. Smaller sample size could be one reason for the lack of association observed here; the relationship therefore needs to be explored in larger cohort studies. However, it is important to note that it is unclear whether the increase in TFV plasma levels observed in KTD is the cause or the consequence of the alterations in the tubular cells. Moreover, the intracellular kidney concentrations for TFV could be more important than the plasma TFV levels in the pathogenesis of KTD; it remains to be explored whether ABCC10 polymorphisms are associated with intracellular TFV levels.

ABCC10 rs9349256 was associated with urine phosphorus wasting and b2-microglobulinuria. The ABCC10-ABCC2 combination haplotype was also more prevalent in patients with abnormal urine phosphorus excretion. This is interesting, because reports have suggested that fractional excretion of urine phosphate [5] and urinary  $\beta$ 2-microglobulin [34] are more sensitive markers of tubular dysfunction than conventional markers. Conventional markers, such as serum creatinine and urine albumin, are primarily indicators of glomerular disease [8, 9, 34]. Urinary  $\beta$ 2-microglobulin is freely filtered at the glomerulus and is avidly taken up and catabolized by the proximal renal tubules. Therefore, high levels of this protein indicate various pathologic conditions involving the proximal renal tubule. Urine phosphorus wasting is among the 3 criteria defining Fanconi syndrome. TFV is the drug most associated with this syndrome [35], which leads to bone demineralization and osteomalacia [36].

These results confirm that ABCC10 is involved in the efflux transport of TFV. Renal elimination is the dominant mode of TFV clearance, and these findings add to our understanding of the molecular pharmacology of TFV. ABCC10 mRNA has been found to be highly expressed in various tissues including kidney, brain and colon, suggesting an important role for this protein in the transport of drugs and other endogenous molecules. However, it is unclear whether ABCC10 is expressed on the luminal or basolateral side of the tubular cell. We have also identified a role for ABCC10 genetic variants in the pathogenesis of KTD using a limited sample size; replication of these results in an independent disease cohort is now required to validate these findings. Nevertheless, the findings of this study improves our understanding of genetic susceptibility factors involved in KTD pathogenesis and therefore may help in the development of avoidance strategies (stratification of medicines and better drug design) and intervention strategies (cotherapies to prevent manifestations of KTD).

## Funding

This work was supported by the UK Medical Research Council (grant G0800247); the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR, Department of Health) and the Northwest Development Agency (infrastructural and project support); the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre in Microbial Diseases (S. P. P. and N. J. L.); and the Wolfson Foundation.

#### References

- 1. Gallant JE, DeJesus E, Arribas JR, et al. Tenofovir DF, emtricitabine, and efavirenz vs. zidovudine, lamivudine, and efavirenz for HIV. N Engl J Med 2006; 354:251–60.
- 2. Izzedine H, Hulot JS, Vittecoq D, et al. Long-term renal safety of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in antiretroviral-naive HIV-1-infected patients. Data from a double-blind randomized active-controlled multicentre study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2005; 20:743–6.
- 3. Nelson MR, Katlama C, Montaner JS, et al. The safety of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the treatment of HIV infection in adults: the first 4 years. AIDS 2007; 21:1273–81.
- 4. Horberg M, Tang B, Towner W, et al. Impact of tenofovir on renal function in HIV-infected, antiretroviral-naive patients. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2010; 53:62–9.
- 5. Izzedine H, Harris M, Perazella MA. The nephrotoxic effects of HAART. Nat Rev Nephrol 2009; 5:563–73.
- 6. Labarga P, Barreiro P, Martin-Carbonero L, et al. Kidney tubular abnormalities in the absence of impaired glomerular function in HIV patients treated with tenofovir. AIDS 2009; 23:689–96.
- 7. Peyriere H, Reynes J, Rouanet I, et al. Renal tubular dysfunction associated with tenofovir therapy: report of 7 cases. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2004; 35:269–73.
- 8. Hall AM, Edwards SG, Lapsley M, et al. Subclinical tubular injury in HIV-infected individuals on antiretroviral therapy: a cross-sectional analysis. Am J Kidney Dis 2009; 54:1034–42.
- 9. Woodward CL, Hall AM, Williams IG, et al. Tenofovir-associated renal and bone toxicity. HIV Med 2009; 10:482–7.
- 10. Rodriguez-Novoa S, Labarga P, Soriano V. Pharmacogenetics of tenofovir treatment. Pharmacogenomics 2009; 10:1675–85.
- 11. Cihlar T, Ho ES, Lin DC, Mulato AS. Human renal organic anion transporter 1 (hOAT1) and its role in the nephrotoxicity of antiviral nucleotide analogs. Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids 2001; 20:641–8.
- 12. Ray AS, Cihlar T, Robinson KL, et al. Mechanism of active renal tubular efflux of tenofovir. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006; 50:3297–304.
- 13. Imaoka T, Kusuhara H, Adachi M, Schuetz JD, Takeuchi K, Sugiyama Y. Functional involvement of multidrug resistance-associated protein 4 (MRP4/ABCC4) in the renal elimination of the antiviral drugs adefovir and tenofovir. Mol Pharmacol 2007; 71:619–27.
- 14. Mallants R, Van Oosterwyck K, Van Vaeck L, Mols R, De Clercq E, Augustijns P. Multidrug resistance-associated protein 2 (MRP2) affects hepatobiliary elimination but not the intestinal disposition of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and its metabolites. Xenobiotica 2005; 35:1055–66.
- 15. Izzedine H, Hulot JS, Villard E, et al. Association between ABCC2 gene haplotypes and tenofovir-induced proximal tubulopathy. J Infect Dis 2006; 194:1481–91.
- 16. Rodriguez-Novoa S, Labarga P, Soriano V, et al. Predictors of kidney tubular dysfunction in HIV-infected patients treated with tenofovir: a pharmacogenetic study. Clin Infect Dis 2009; 48:e108–16.
- 17. Madeddu G, Bonfanti P, De Socio GV, et al. Tenofovir renal safety in HIV-infected patients: results from the SCOLTA Project. Biomed Pharmacother 2008; 62:6–11.
- 18. Chen ZS, Hopper-Borge E, Belinsky MG, Shchaveleva I, Kotova E, Kruh GD. Characterization of the transport properties of human multidrug resistance protein 7 (MRP7, ABCC10). Mol Pharmacol 2003; 63:351–8.
- 19. Hopper-Borge E, Chen ZS, Shchaveleva I, Belinsky MG, Kruh GD. Analysis of the drug resistance profile of multidrug resistance protein 7 (ABCC10): resistance to docetaxel. Cancer Res 2004; 64:4927–30.
- 20. Hopper-Borge E, Xu X, Shen T, Shi Z, Chen ZS, Kruh GD. Human multidrug resistance protein 7 (ABCC10) is a resistance factor for nucleoside analogues and epothilone B.. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 178–84.
- 21. Bleasby K, Castle JC, Roberts CJ, et al. Expression profiles of 50 xenobiotic transporter genes in humans and pre-clinical species: a resource for investigations into drug disposition. Xenobiotica 2006; 36:963–88.
- 22. Kearney BP, Flaherty JF, Shah J. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate: clinical pharmacology and pharmacokinetics. Clin Pharmacokinet 2004; 43:595–612.
- 23. Liptrott NJ, Penny M, Bray PG, et al. The impact of cytokines on the expression of drug transporters, cytochrome P450 enzymes and chemokine receptors in human PBMC. Br J Pharmacol 2009; 156:497–508.
- 24. Liptrott NJ, Khoo SH, Back DJ, Owen A. Detection of ABCC2, CYP2B6 and CYP3A4 in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells using flow cytometry. J Immunol Methods 2008; 339:270–4.
- 25. Rodriguez-Novoa S, Labarga P, D'Avolio A, et al. Impairment in kidney tubular function in patients receiving tenofovir is associated with higher tenofovir plasma concentrations. AIDS 2010; 24:1064–6.
- 26. D'Avolio A, Sciandra M, Siccardi M, et al. A new assay based on solid-phase extraction procedure with LC-MS to measure plasmatic

concentrations of tenofovir and emtricitabine in HIV infected patients. J Chromatogr Sci 2008; 46:524–8.

- 27. Daly MJ, Rioux JD, Schaffner SF, Hudson TJ, Lander ES. Highresolution haplotype structure in the human genome. Nat Genet 2001; 29:229–32.
- 28. Jimenez-Nacher I, Garcia B, Barreiro P, et al. Trends in the prescription of antiretroviral drugs and impact on plasma HIV-RNA measurements. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008; 62:816–22.
- 29. Coca S, Perazella MA. Rapid communication: acute renal failure associated with tenofovir: evidence of drug-induced nephrotoxicity. Am J Med Sci 2002; 324:342–4.
- 30. Verhelst D, Monge M, Meynard JL, et al. Fanconi syndrome and renal failure induced by tenofovir: a first case report. Am J Kidney Dis 2002; 40:1331–3.
- 31. Kruh GD, Guo Y, Hopper-Borge E, Belinsky MG, Chen ZS. ABCC10, ABCC11, and ABCC12. Pflugers Arch 2007; 453:675–84.
- 32. Kiser JJ, Aquilante CL, Anderson PL, King TM, Carten ML, Fletcher CV. Clinical and genetic determinants of intracellular tenofovir diphosphate concentrations in HIV-infected patients. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2008; 47:298–303.
- 33. ElSharawy A, Hundrieser B, Brosch M, et al. Systematic evaluation of the effect of common SNPs on pre-mRNA splicing. Hum Mutat 2009; 30:625–32.
- 34. Gatanaga H, Tachikawa N, Kikuchi Y, et al. Urinary beta2 microglobulin as a possible sensitive marker for renal injury caused by tenofovir disoproxil fumarate. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2006; 22:744–8.
- 35. Izzedine H, Isnard-Bagnis C, Hulot JS, et al. Renal safety of tenofovir in HIV treatment-experienced patients. AIDS 2004; 18:1074–6.
- 36. Earle KE, Seneviratne T, Shaker J, Shoback D. Fanconi's syndrome in HIV+ adults: report of three cases and literature review. J Bone Miner Res 2004; 19:714–21.