Standard and escalating treatment of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy

Min-Suk Yoon, Andrew Chan and Ralf Gold

Abstract: Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) is an acquired, immunemediated polyradiculoneuritis that is progressive or relapsing over a period of at least 8 weeks. Although the exact pathogenesis is unclear, it is thought to be mediated by both cellular and humoral immune reactions directed against the peripheral nerve myelin or axon. CIDP also involves spinal nerve roots. Early medical treatment of CIDP is important to prevent axonal loss. Only three treatment regimens for CIDP have demonstrated benefit in randomized, controlled studies: corticosteroids, plasma exchange, and intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIg). Approximately 25% of patients respond inadequately to corticosteroids, plasma exchange or IVIg. Large placebo-controlled trials with alternative immunosuppressive compounds, e.g. mycophenolate mofetil, cyclosporine, cyclophosphamide, or monoclonal antibodies, are lacking.

Keywords: chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy, immunosuppression, monoclonal antibodies

Introduction

Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP), a chronic inflammatory disease of the peripheral nervous system (PNS), is clinically characterized by hyporeflexia or areflexia and progressive or relapsing motor or sensory dysfunction developing over weeks [Ad Hoc Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology AIDS Task Force, 1991]. The prevalence of CIDP is estimated at between 2 and 7 per 100,000 [Merkies *et al.* 2009] and peaks between 40 and 60 years with a slight predominance amongst males.

Compared with acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuritis, the most common presentation of Guillain–Barré syndrome (GBS) with an acute onset reaching the nadir of symptomatology by approximately 4 weeks and a monophasic pattern, CIDP shows a slower progression of symptoms followed by a progressive or relapsing course. However, approximately 3% of CIDP patients may present with a peracute onset, so that the diagnosis of CIDP is often made in retrospect [Ruts *et al.* 2010; McCombe *et al.* 1987]. Onset of CIDP is not triggered by infectious agents.

The diagnosis of CIDP is primarily made on the basis of clinical presentation and neurophysiological findings. The presence of proximal weakness in the context of a neuropathy suggests the impairment of nerve roots and is commonly observed in CIDP patients [Dyck et al. 1975]. Early evaluation with nerve conduction velocity studies classically shows evidence of demyelination with slowed nerve conduction, temporal dispersion, prolonged distal latencies, and prolonged F-wave latencies speaking for affliction of spinal roots. Neurophysiologic studies performed late during the disease course or in severe cases may show loss of compound muscle action potentials (CMAPs) and sensory nerve action potentials (SNAPs). However, these neurophysiologic findings are not mandatory for the diagnosis. Similarly, as cerebrospinal fluid analysis is often performed in patients suspected of having CIDP, cytoalbuminologic dissociation is common but not obligatory for the diagnosis.

Ther Adv Neurol Disord

(2011) 4(3) 193-200 DOI: 10.1177/

1756285611405564 © The Author(s), 2011. Reprints and permissions: http://www.sagepub.co.uk/ journalsPermissions.nav

Correspondence to: Min-Suk Yoon, MD Department of Neurology, Ruhr-University Bochum, St. Josef-Hospital Bochum, Gudrunstrasse 56, 44791 Bochum, Germany min-suk.yoon@ uni-due.de

Andrew Chan, PhD Ralf Gold, Prof. Department of Neurology, St. Josef-Hospital, Ruhr-University Bochum, Gudrunstrasse 56, 44791 Bochum, Germany For the diagnosis of CIDP the Inflammatory Neuropathy Cause and Treatment (INCAT), the AAN and Saperstein criteria come into operation [Sander and Latov, 2003]. Of these, the INCAT criteria are less invasive and restricted than the AAN or Saperstein criteria. The INCAT criteria require the presence of progressive or relapsing motor or sensory dysfunction of more than one limb resulting from neuropathy developing over at least 2 months, and hyporeflexia or areflexia. If in electrophysiological studies demyelination is present in only two nerves, however, a nerve biopsy with histologic evidence of demyelinated and remyelinated nerve fibers must also be present.

In the last two decades, clinical trials have revealed the therapeutic efficacy of prednisolone, plasma exchange, and, in particular, intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIg) [Hahn, 1998; Hahn *et al.* 1996; Dyck *et al.* 1994, 1982]. Along with corticosteroids, IVIg remain the mainstay of treatment with efficacy in 60–80% of CIDP patients [Kuitwaard and van Doorn, 2009; Mehndiratta and Hughes, 2002]. Patients who are refractory to individual trials with these conventional therapies are typically considered for an alternative immunomodulatory or immunosuppressive treatment. Treatment of CIDP should be initiated early in the course of the disease to avoid permanent axonal injury.

Corticosteroids

A placebo-controlled study showed a benefit in CIDP patients [Dyck *et al.* 1982]. Despite the lack of randomized, double-blind (Table 1), placebo-controlled studies, treatment of CIDP with steroids is commonly accepted as long-term experience exists [Mehndiratta and Hughes, 2002]. The mean time to improvement was 1.9 months (range: several weeks to 5 months), the time to maximal benefit was 6.6 ± 5.4 months [Barohn *et al.* 1989]. The typical starting dose of prednisone is 1–1.5 mg/kg/day for 2–4 weeks [Dyck *et al.* 1982; Dalakas and Engel, 1981; Prineas and McLeod, 1976]. Intravenous steroid pulse therapy is also used in more severe cases [Lopate *et al.* 2005; Molenaar *et al.* 1997].

At lower dosage below 1 mg/kg body weight, glucocorticoids inhibit inflammation by activating the cytosolic glucocorticoid receptor. This complex is transported to the nucleus and regulates gene expression. There are also interactions of the glucocorticoid receptor that inhibit the proinflammatory transcription factor, nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB). These interactions are important in anti-inflammatory effects of gluco-corticoids [Garside *et al.* 2004]. Glucocorticoids also suppress inflammation by decreasing the release of proinflammatory cytokines and vasoactive factors.

Intravenous immunoglobulins

Several randomized, controlled studies demonstrated the short-term benefit of IVIg in patients with CIDP [Hughes et al. 2008, 2001; Hahn et al. 1996; Dvck et al. 1994]. The usual dose is 2 g/kg as a starting regimen, which can be divided over 2-5 days. IVIg contains >95% IgG and <2.5%IgA and has pleiotropic effects on the human immune system. IVIg modulate antigen recognition, autoantibodies, chemokines and adhesion molecules and their receptors, inhibit complement activity, limit apoptosis by anti-Fas antibodies and modulates phagocytosis by binding on the Fc-receptor on macrophages [Gold et al. 2007; Dalakas, 2004]. In a large randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, responseconditional, crossover designed study, 117 participants who met the specific neurophysiological inflammatory neuropathy cause and treatment criteria were enrolled. Treatment responders after 24 weeks were re-randomized to an extension phase. During the first 24 weeks patients treated with IVIg showed a significant benefit compared with placebo group. In the extension period participants treated with IVIg had less deterioration compared with the placebo group [Hughes et al. 2008]. CIDP patients show a significant improvement in their quality of life [Merkies et al. 2009]. This 'ICE' study was the first controlled long-term study of IVIg in CIDP.

An alternative approach due to the high costs of IVIg is the subcutaneous application of immunoglobulins (SCIg) via a small portable pump [Leahy, 1986; Berger *et al.* 1982, 1980]. This regimen is well established and results in wellbalanced IgG plasma levels while lowering peak concentrations compared with IVIg [Waniewski *et al.* 1994]. With respect to pharmacoeconomic aspects, the use of SCIg can reduce the therapy costs significantly by up to 50%.

Plasma exchange

In randomized, placebo-controlled studies plasmapheresis demonstrated its benefit in patients with CIDP [Hahn *et al.* 1996; Dyck *et al.* 1986]. Compared with IVIg, glucocorticosteroids or chemotherapy plasma exchange has a higher incidence of relapse [Hahn *et al.* 1996]. Patients with a dual treatment (plasmapheresis and cyclophosphamide) also improved [Blume *et al.* 1995].

Humoral factors are removed by separating the plasma by filtration and returning the blood cells in a plasma substitute, e.g. albumin. In addition, plasma exchange may act by removing complement products and cytokines. A course of 4-6 sessions over 10 days can reduce IgG by approximately 70-90% [Brecher, 2002; Weinstein, 2000]. Plasma exchange can be used as a maintenance treatment solely or concomitantly with other immunomodulatory or immunosuppressive medications. As plasma exchange is invasive and fraught with risk, the authors use plasma exchange in the daily routine with 4-5 sessions at 50 mg/kg body weight exchange volume to deescalate a severe deterioration and not for long-term maintenance treatment.

Alternative options

About 25% of CIDP patients fail to respond or respond incompletely to glucocorticosteroids, IVIg or plasma exchange. In these cases the treatment is switched to immunosuppressive medication or monoclonal antibodies. Available data in the literature are insufficient to give a general recommendation with respect to different immunotherapies (e.g. immunosuppressants such as azathioprine, or immunomodulatory agents such as monoclonal antibodies directed against defined components of the immune system).

Reported cases include patients refractory to the conventional treatment which therefore are using multiple agents in sequence. This makes it difficult to evaluate the superiority of a specific treatment. The choice of an alternative option is highly individualized and dependent on comorbidity, age, potential risk, availability and pricing of the chosen treatment.

Azathioprine

The effect of azathioprine on CIDP is controversial. A dose of 2 mg/kg over 9 months in combination with glucocorticoids did not show a benefit [Dyck *et al.* 1985]. In this work the study sample was too small to detect all but a large treatment effect, and typically azathioprine needs 3–6 months to achieve full efficacy. In other small case series, glucocorticosteroid unresponsive CIDP patients [Pentland *et al.* 1982; Dalakas and Engel, 1981] or concurrent glucocorticosteroid therapy and higher dose of azathioprine [Pentland *et al.* 1982] led to an improvement. Azathioprine is generally well tolerated. The authors prefer a dose of 2.5-3.0 mg/kg, If patients do not tolerate the standard dose of 2.5-3.0 mg/kg, a lower starting dose can be used twice daily. It is of note that azathioprine is contraindicated in patients simultaneously treated with allopurinol.

Mycophenolate mofetil

Mycophenolate mofetil is a reversible inhibitor of inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase. It inhibits lymphocyte proliferation and formation of adhesion molecules and migration [Gold and Schneider-Gold, 2008; Gorson *et al.* 2004; Umapathi and Hughes, 2002; Chaudhry *et al.* 2001; Mowzoon *et al.* 2001]. The usual dose is 2000 mg divided into two daily dosages, and the recommended trough level in plasma is 1–2 mg/l. Some treatment refractory patients may respond to mycophenolate mofetil. In small cases series MPA was beneficial in conventional treatment refractory CIDP patients or helped reducing the glucocorticosteroid dose [Gorson *et al.* 2004].

Cyclosporine

Cyclosporine A was used in patients unresponsive to conventional therapy. Available data are retrospective or based on small study samples [Matsuda et al. 2004; Barnett et al. 1998; Mahattanakul et al. 1996]. In one retrospective study, cyclosporine A exhibits a beneficial effect in patients with progressive and relapsing CIDP [Barnett et al. 1998]. In a small study sample of eight treated patients only three of them were felt to be successfully treated [Mahattanakul et al. 1996]. In seven patients with refractory CIDP treated with cyclosporine A grip strength was found to be increased, and modified Ranking and INCAT disability scores were decreased significantly [Matsuda et al. 2004]. It is of note that cyclosporine A has frequent drug interactions. inducing P450 Medication 3A System (CYP3A), e.g. carbamazepine reduce cyclosporine A level and agents inhibiting CYP3A, e.g. contraceptive pills can elevate cyclosporine A to a toxic level. Patients should be screened for renal function prior to cyclosporine A treatment. The authors use 100–150 mg twice daily. The typical trough concentration is 70–120 µg/l.

High-dose cyclophosphamide

High-dose cyclophosphamide (200 mg/kg) leads usually to neutropenia for approximately 7-14 days post administration. Hence, cyclophosphamide allows a rapid recovery of the white cell lineage. The enzyme deficiency in T- and B-lymphocytes determines the cytotoxicity consecutively leading to a highly immunosuppressive therapy. CIDP patients who had been refractory to conventional treatment achieve a remission and improvement in quality of life [Gladstone et al. 2007, 2005; Brannagan et al. 2002]. Fifteen patients were reviewed retrospectively who underwent a cyclophosphamide pulse therapy for up to 6 months [Good et al. 1998]. They found, that 11 patients had a complete remission and 12 patients were able to return to their routine work. Our preference is an initial pulse of three cycles with 350 mg/m² body surface followed by single infusions at 600 mg/m^2 body surface in 6-8 week intervals. A switch to azathioprine or mycophenolate mofetil is possible after a remission is achieved. The intravenous pulse treatment is better tolerated compared with long-term oral treatment and it takes longer to reach the critical cumulative dose of 50 g bearing an increased risk of developing malignant disease [Pedersen-Bjergaard et al. 1985].

Methotrexate

Sixty patients were enrolled in a multicenter trial either receiving placebo or methotrexate [RMC Trial Group, 2009]. The study dose was equal to those used in trials showing efficacy in autoimmune rheumatologic diseases (7.5 mg weekly for 4 weeks, then 10 mg weekly for 4 weeks, and then 15 mg weekly for 32 weeks). All of them were cotreated with glucocorticoids or IVIg. The primary endpoint was 20% reduction in mean weekly dose of either glucocorticoids or IVIg by the end of the trial. There was no significant difference in primary outcome between the methotrexate and the placebo group.

Methotrexate is given once weekly orally, subcutaneously, or intramuscularly. Renal or hepatic disorder and pulmonary fibrosis are clear contraindications. The typical weekly dose of methotrexate is 10–20 mg. Neurological side effects can be reduced by low-dose supplementation of oral folate (e.g. 5 mg daily).

Rituximab

Rituximab targeting CD20 on B-lymphocyte is a chimeric monoclonal antibody. It depletes

mature B-lymphocytes for approximately 10 months [Dalakas et al. 2009; Silverman and Weisman, 2003]. Cells that do not express CD20 antigen are not affected. The usual dose in oncology is 375 mg/m^2 given in four weekly intravenous infusions; in autoimmune disorders typically 1000 mg are given twice within 14 days [Hauser et al. 2008]. Rituximab has been beneficial for patients with anti-MAG [Pestronk et al. 2003; Renaud et al. 2003; Levine and Pestronk, 1999] and MMN [Pestronk et al. 2003; Levine and Pestronk, 1999]. Benefit is noted starting after 4 weeks and lasting up to 12 months. In a more recent open-label study in 13 refractory CIDP patients, nine patients responded to rituximab at a median time of 2.0 months after the end of rituximab cycle lasting for up to 12 month [Benedetti et al. 2011]. Rituximab is usually well tolerated in patients with neurological disorders. Side effects are more common in patients with lymphoma and include mucocutaneous reactions or, more commonly, fever, chills, hypotension, and dyspnea [Grillo-Lopez et al. 2002].

General approach and pragmatic treatment

The optimal treatment of CIDP depends on the early diagnosis before significant axonal degeneration or even axon loss occurs that is associated with an incomplete treatment response. To avoid a cost explosion in health economics it is important to achieve long-term remission in a costeffective manner.

The first-line treatment for CIDP includes glucocorticoids, IVIg or plasma exchange.

A pragmatic approach is a steroid pulse treatment, which is better tolerated [van Schaik *et al.* 2010; Muley *et al.* 2008] and cost-effective. Yet the costs of long-term steroid-associated side effects also have to be considered for a balanced treatment decision.

The authors start in severely afflicted patients with intravenous glucocorticosteroids for 3-5 days in a dose of 500-1000 mg methylprednisolone, and then switch to an oral steroid agent at a dose of 1 mg/kg. To avoid steroid-related adverse-effects an immunosuppressant can be used as steroid-sparing agent whilst reducing the oral steroid dose by 10 mg per week until a maintenance dosage of 10 mg is achieved. Wide experience exists with azathioprine [Koller *et al.* 2005; Gorson and Ropper, 2003] even though large randomized, controlled trials are lacking.

Table 1. Summary of	studies.				
Drugs/Intervention	Study/Series		Number of participants enrolled	Number of participants improved/primary endpoint fulfilled	Notes
Steroids	Dyck et al. [1982]	Prednisone vs. no treatment	40	12	28 patients completed trial, n = 1/ prednicone treated
Plasma exchange	Dyck <i>et al.</i> [1986] Hahn <i>et al.</i> [1996]	Prospective double blinded Double blind, sham	1 30 30	9 12	n = 15 (PE), $n = 14$ (sham) 15 participants completed trial
IVIg	Hughes <i>et al.</i> [2008]	controttea, crossover Randomized, nlareho-controllad	117	32	n=59 IVIg, $n=58$ placebo
Azathioprine	Dalakas and Engel [1981] McCombe <i>et al</i> [1987]	Case series Case series	4	5 7	Steroid nonresponder
Cyclophosphamide	Good <i>et al.</i> [1998]	Case series	, 15	- 1	
- -	Gladstone <i>et al.</i> [2005]	Case series	വ	4	Severe, refractory patients Long time follow up [range: 1 6–4 8 vears]
Ciclosporine A	Matsuda <i>et al</i> . [2004]		7	7	
-	Barnett et al. [1998]	Case series	19	15	Retrospective, relapsing and
					progressive course, patients refractory to steroid, IVIg,
					azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, plasma exchance
Mycophenolate	Gorson <i>et al.</i> [2004]	Case series	12	3	Retrospective, mean dose:
Motetil	Umapathi and Hughes [2002]	Case series	4	0	2.1g/day No reduction of steroids or
					other immunosuppressants
Rituximab	Benedetti et al. [2011]	Case series	13	6	Retrospective
Methotrexate	RMC Trial Group [2009]	Randomized,	60	28	Primary endpoint: 20% reduction
		double-blind, controlled trial			of steroids or IVIg; <i>n</i> =27 (MTX), <i>n</i> =32 (Placebo)
MTX: methotrexate; PE:	plasma exchange; IVIg: Intravenous i	immunoglobulin.			

Mycophenolate mofetil, cyclosporine and methotrexate can be used if adverse effects due to azathioprine become evident.

If contraindications against steroids or immunosuppressants exist, the authors prefer IVIg as first-line treatment. In view of the high costs of IVIg and the need for intravenous administration that requires physician's consultation, considering the possibility of SCIg application is worthwhile [Lee *et al.* 2008].

Incomplete response and treatment nonresponders

About 60% of the CIDP patients respond to the first-line treatment with steroids, IVIg or plasma exchange [Mehndiratta and Hughes, 2002]. In intractable cases high-dose cyclophosphamide has been shown to be effective [Gladstone *et al.* 2007, 2005; Brannagan *et al.* 2002]. We prefer an initial pulse of three cycles at 350 mg/m² body surface followed by 600 mg/m² body surface at an interval of every 6–8 weeks.

The authors use plasma exchange (50 ml/kg) if rapid deterioration of symptoms occurs. If conventional treatment fails, five courses of plasma exchange are realized before establishing an immunosuppressive regimen.

Another promising compound that is well tolerated is rituximab. Even though randomized, controlled trials are lacking there is growing evidence that rituximab is effective in the treatment of CIDP refractory to other approved therapies [Benedetti *et al.* 2011, 2008; Munch *et al.* 2007].

We are aware that existing data are scarce and based on small case series. In daily routine patients complain of lack of remission shortly after the beginning of CIDP treatment. And in some cases a more aggressive immunosuppression or treatment change are discussed although the time course until a remission could be achieved is too short. We would like to draw the attention to this latter case and recommend to be patient shortly after the beginning of treatment. Also physicians should be cautious in handling off-label regulations for the use of the abovedescribed therapies.

Funding

This present work received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. **Conflict of interest statement** None declared.

References

Ad Hoc Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology AIDS Task Force. (1991) Research criteria for diagnosis of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP). *Neurology* 41: 617–618.

Barnett, M.H., Pollard, J.D., Davies, L. and McLeod, J.G. (1998) Cyclosporin A in resistant chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Muscle Nerve* 21: 454–460.

Barohn, R.J., Kissel, J.T., Warmolts, J.R. and Mendell, J.R. (1989) Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. Clinical characteristics, course, and recommendations for diagnostic criteria. *Arch Neurol* 46: 878–884.

Benedetti, L., Briani, C., Franciotta, D., Fazio, R., Paolasso, I., Comi, C. *et al.* (2011) Rituximab in patients with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy: a report of 13 cases and review of the literature. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 82(3): 306–308.

Benedetti, L., Franciotta, D., Beronio, A., Cadenotti, L., Gobbi, M., Mancardi, G.L. *et al.* (2008) Rituximab efficacy in CIDP associated with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. *Muscle Nerve* 38: 1076–1077.

Berger, M., Cupps, T.R. and Fauci, A.S. (1980) Immunoglobulin replacement therapy by slow subcutaneous infusion. *Ann Intern Med* 93: 55–56.

Berger, M., Cupps, T.R. and Fauci, A.S. (1982) High-dose immunoglobulin replacement therapy by slow subcutaneous infusion during pregnancy. *JAMA* 247: 2824–2825.

Blume, G., Pestronk, A. and Goodnough, L.T. (1995) Anti-MAG antibody-associated polyneuropathies: improvement following immunotherapy with monthly plasma exchange and IV cyclophosphamide. *Neurology* 45: 1577–1580.

Brannagan III, T.H., Pradhan, A., Heiman-Patterson, T., Winkelman, A.C., Styler, M.J., Topolsky, D.L. *et al.* (2002) High-dose cyclophosphamide without stem-cell rescue for refractory CIDP. *Neurology* 58: 1856–1858.

Brecher, M.E. (2002) Plasma exchange: why we do what we do. \mathcal{J} *Clin Apher* 17: 207–211.

Chaudhry, V., Cornblath, D.R., Griffin, J.W., O'Brien, R. and Drachman, D.B. (2001) Mycophenolate mofetil: a safe and promising immunosuppressant in neuromuscular diseases. *Neurology* 56: 94–96.

Dalakas, M.C. (2004) The use of intravenous immunoglobulin in the treatment of autoimmune neuromuscular diseases: evidence-based indications and safety profile. *Pharmacol Ther* 102: 177–193.

Dalakas, M.C. and Engel, W.K. (1981) Chronic relapsing (dysimmune) polyneuropathy: pathogenesis and treatment. *Ann Neurol* 9(Suppl.): 134–145.

Dalakas, M.C., Rakocevic, G., Salajegheh, M., Dambrosia, J.M., Hahn, A.F., Raju, R. *et al.* (2009) Placebo-controlled trial of rituximab in IgM antimyelin-associated glycoprotein antibody demyelinating neuropathy. *Ann Neurol* 65: 286–293.

Dyck, P.J., Daube, J., O'Brien, P., Pineda, A., Low, P.A., Windebank, A.J. *et al.* (1986) Plasma exchange in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculo-neuropathy. *N Engl J Med* 314: 461–465.

Dyck, P.J., Lais, A.C., Ohta, M., Bastron, J.A., Okazaki, H. and Groover, R.V. (1975) Chronic inflammatory polyradiculoneuropathy. *Mayo Clin Proc* 50: 621–637.

Dyck, P.J., Litchy, W.J., Kratz, K.M., Suarez, G.A., Low, P.A., Pineda, A.A. *et al.* (1994) A plasma exchange versus immune globulin infusion trial in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Ann Neurol* 36: 838–845.

Dyck, P.J., O'Brien, P., Swanson, C., Low, P. and Daube, J. (1985) Combined azathioprine and prednisone in chronic inflammatory-demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Neurology* 35: 1173–1176.

Dyck, P.J., O'Brien, P.C., Oviatt, K.F., Dinapoli, R.P., Daube, J.R., Bartleson, J.D. *et al.* (1982) Prednisone improves chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy more than no treatment. *Ann Neurol* 11: 136–141.

Garside, H., Stevens, A., Farrow, S., Normand, C., Houle, B., Berry, A. *et al.* (2004) Glucocorticoid ligands specify different interactions with NF-kappaB by allosteric effects on the glucocorticoid receptor DNA binding domain. *J Biol Chem* 279: 50050–50059.

Gladstone, D.E., Golightly, M.G. and Brannagan III, T.H. (2007) High dose cyclophosphamide preferentially targets naive T (CD45/CD4/RA+) cells in CIDP and MS patients. *J Neuroimmunol* 190: 121–126.

Gladstone, D.E., Prestrud, A.A. and Brannagan III, T.H. (2005) High-dose cyclophosphamide results in long-term disease remission with restoration of a normal quality of life in patients with severe refractory chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *J Peripher Nerv Syst* 10: 11–16.

Gold, R. and Schneider-Gold, C. (2008) Current and future standards in treatment of myasthenia gravis. *Neurotherapeutics* 5: 535–541.

Gold, R., Stangel, M. and Dalakas, M.C. (2007) Drug Insight: the use of intravenous immunoglobulin in neurology—therapeutic considerations and practical issues. *Nat Clin Pract Neurol* 3: 36–44.

Good, J.L., Chehrenama, M., Mayer, R.F. and Koski, C.L. (1998) Pulse cyclophosphamide therapy in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Neurology* 51: 1735–1738.

Gorson, K.C., Amato, A.A. and Ropper, A.H. (2004) Efficacy of mycophenolate mofetil in patients with chronic immune demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Neurology* 63: 715–717.

Gorson, K.C. and Ropper, A.H. (2003) Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyradiculoneuropathy (CIDP): a review of clinical syndromes and treatment approaches in clinical practice. \mathcal{J} *Clin Neuromuscul Dis* 4: 174–189.

Grillo-Lopez, A.J., Hedrick, E., Rashford, M. and Benyunes, M. (2002) Rituximab: ongoing and future clinical development. *Semin Oncol* 29(1 Suppl. 2): 105–112.

Hahn, A.F. (1998) Treatment of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy with intravenous immunoglobulin. *Neurology* 51(6 Suppl. 5): S16–S21.

Hahn, A.F., Bolton, C.F., Pillay, N., Chalk, C., Benstead, T., Bril, V. *et al.* (1996) Plasma-exchange therapy in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. A double-blind, sham-controlled, crossover study. *Brain* 119: 1055–1066.

Hauser, S.L., Waubant, E., Arnold, D.L., Vollmer, T., Antel, J., Fox, R.J. *et al.* (2008) B-cell depletion with rituximab in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 358: 676–688.

Hughes, R., Bensa, S., Willison, H., Van den Bergh, P., Comi, G., Illa, I. *et al.* (2001) Randomized controlled trial of intravenous immunoglobulin versus oral prednisolone in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Ann Neurol* 50: 195–201.

Hughes, R.A., Donofrio, P., Bril, V., Dalakas, M.C., Deng, C., Hanna, K. *et al.* (2008) Intravenous immune globulin (10% caprylate-chromatography purified) for the treatment of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (ICE study): a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol* 7: 136–144.

Koller, H., Kieseier, B.C., Jander, S. and Hartung, H.P. (2005) Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *N Engl J Med* 352: 1343–1356.

Kuitwaard, K. and van Doorn, P.A. (2009) Newer therapeutic options for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Drugs* 69: 987–1001.

Leahy, M.F. (1986) Subcutaneous immunoglobulin home treatment in hypogammaglobulinaemia. *Lancet* 2: 48.

Lee, D.H., Linker, R.A., Paulus, W., Schneider-Gold, C., Chan, A. and Gold, R. (2008) Subcutaneous immunoglobulin infusion: a new therapeutic option in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Muscle Nerve* 37: 406–409.

Levine, T.D. and Pestronk, A. (1999) IgM antibodyrelated polyneuropathies: B-cell depletion chemotherapy using Rituximab. *Neurology* 52: 1701–1704.

Lopate, G., Pestronk, A. and Al-Lozi, M. (2005) Treatment of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy with high-dose intermittent intravenous methylprednisolone. Arch Neurol 62: 249-254.

Mahattanakul, W., Crawford, T.O., Griffin, J.W., Goldstein, J.M. and Cornblath, D.R. (1996) Treatment of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy with cyclosporin-A. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 60: 185–187.

Matsuda, M., Hoshi, K., Gono, T., Morita, H. and Ikeda, S. (2004) Cyclosporin A in treatment of refractory patients with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *J Neurol Sci* 224: 29–35.

McCombe, P.A., Pollard, J.D. and McLeod, J.G. (1987) Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. A clinical and electrophysiological study of 92 cases. *Brain* 110: 1617–1630.

Mehndiratta, M.M. and Hughes, R.A. (2002) Corticosteroids for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 1: CD002062.

Merkies, I.S., Bril, V., Dalakas, M.C., Deng, C., Donofrio, P., Hanna, K. *et al.* (2009) Health-related quality-of-life improvements in CIDP with immune globulin IV 10%: the ICE Study. *Neurology* 72: 1337–1344.

Molenaar, D.S., van Doorn, P.A. and Vermeulen, M. (1997) Pulsed high dose dexamethasone treatment in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: a pilot study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 62: 388–390.

Mowzoon, N., Sussman, A. and Bradley, W.G. (2001) Mycophenolate (CellCept) treatment of myasthenia gravis, chronic inflammatory polyneuro-pathy and inclusion body myositis. *J Neurol Sci* 185: 119–122.

Muley, S.A., Kelkar, P. and Parry, G.J. (2008) Treatment of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy with pulsed oral steroids. *Arch Neurol* 65: 1460–1464.

Munch, C., Anagnostou, P., Meyer, R. and Haas, J. (2007) Rituximab in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy associated with diabetes mellitus. \mathcal{J} *Neurol Sci* 256: 100–102.

Pedersen-Bjergaard, J., Ersboll, J., Sorensen, H.M., Keiding, N., Larsen, S.O., Philip, P. *et al.* (1985) Risk of acute nonlymphocytic leukemia and preleukemia in patients treated with cyclophosphamide for non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. Comparison with results obtained in patients treated for Hodgkin's disease and ovarian carcinoma with other alkylating agents. Ann Intern Med 103: 195–200.

Pentland, B., Adams, G.G. and Mawdsley, C. (1982) Chronic idiopathic polyneuropathy treated with azathioprine. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 45: 866–869.

Pestronk, A., Florence, J., Miller, T., Choksi, R., Al-Lozi, M.T. and Levine, T.D. (2003) Treatment of IgM antibody associated polyneuropathies using rituximab. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 74: 485–489.

Prineas, J.W. and McLeod, J.G. (1976) Chronic relapsing polyneuritis. *J Neurol Sci* 27: 427–458.

Renaud, S., Gregor, M., Fuhr, P., Lorenz, D., Deuschl, G., Gratwohl, A. *et al.* (2003) Rituximab in the treatment of polyneuropathy associated with anti-MAG antibodies. *Muscle Nerve* 27: 611–615.

R.M.C. Trial Group. (2009) Randomised controlled trial of methotrexate for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (RMC Trial): a pilot, multicentre study. *Lancet Neurol* 8: 158–164.

Ruts, L., Drenthen, J., Jacobs, B.C. and van Doorn, P.A. (2010) Distinguishing acute-onset CIDP from fluctuating Guillain–Barre syndrome: a prospective study. *Neurology* 74: 1680–1686.

Sander, H.W. and Latov, N. (2003) Research criteria for defining patients with CIDP. *Neurology* 60(8 Suppl. 3): S8–S15.

Silverman, G.J. and Weisman, S. (2003) Rituximab therapy and autoimmune disorders: prospects for anti-B cell therapy. *Arthritis Rheum* 48: 1484–1492.

Umapathi, T. and Hughes, R. (2002) Mycophenolate in treatment-resistant inflammatory neuropathies. *Eur J Neurol* 9: 683–685.

van Schaik, I.N., Eftimov, F., van Doorn, P.A., Brusse, E., van den Berg, L.H., van der Pol, W.L. *et al.* (2010) Pulsed high-dose dexamethasone versus standard prednisolone treatment for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (PREDICT study): a double-blind, randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol* 9: 245–253.

Waniewski, J., Gardulf, A. and Hammarstrom, L. (1994) Bioavailability of gamma-globulin after subcutaneous infusions in patients with common variable immunodeficiency. *J Clin Immunol* 14: 90–97.

Weinstein, R. (2000) The rapeutic apheresis in neurological disorders. \mathcal{F} Clin Apher 15: 74–128.

Visit SAGE journals online

SAGEJOURNALS

Online

http://tan.sagepub.com