



Published in final edited form as:

J Am Diet Assoc. 2011 April ; 111(4): 556–560. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2011.01.011.

Relationships between energy balance knowledge and the home environment

Megan E Slater, MPH[Pre-doctoral Fellow in Epidemiology],

Department of Pediatrics, University of Minnesota, 420 Delaware St SE, MMC 422, Minneapolis MN 55455, Phone: 612-626-2707, Fax: 612-626-4842, slate074@umn.edu

John R Sirard, PhD[Assistant Professor],

Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, University of Minnesota, 1300 S 2nd St, WBOB Suite 300, Minneapolis MN 55454. Present affiliation: Research Assistant Professor, Kinesiology Program, Curry School of Education, University of Virginia, Ruffner Hall 226, Charlottesville VA 22904, Phone: 434-243-5189, jrs2wq@virginia.edu

Melissa N Laska, PhD, RD[Assistant Professor],

Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, University of Minnesota, 1300 S 2nd St, WBOB Suite 300, Minneapolis MN 55454, Phone: 612-624-8832, Fax: 612-624-0315, mnlaska@umn.edu

Mark A Pereira, PhD[Associate Professor], and

Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, University of Minnesota, 1300 S 2nd St, WBOB Suite 300, Minneapolis MN 55454, Phone: 612-624-4173, Fax: 612-624-0315, map@umn.edu

Leslie A Lytle, PhD, RD[Professor]

Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, University of Minnesota, 1300 S 2nd St, WBOB Suite 300, Minneapolis MN 55454, Phone: 612-624-3518, Fax: 612-624-0315, lalytle@umn.edu

Abstract

Certain aspects of the home environment as well as individuals' knowledge of energy balance are believed to be important correlates of various dietary and physical activity behaviors, but no known studies have examined potential relationships between these correlates. This study evaluated cross-sectional associations between characteristics of the home environment and energy balance knowledge among 349 youth/parent pairs recruited from the Minneapolis-St. Paul metropolitan area from September 2006 to June 2007. Linear regression models adjusted for student grade and highest level of parental education were used to compare data from home food, physical activity, and media inventories (parent-reported) with energy balance knowledge scores from youth and parent questionnaires. Paired energy balance knowledge (average of youth and parent knowledge scores) was associated with all home food availability variables. Paired knowledge was also significantly associated with a media equipment availability and accessibility summary score ($\beta = -1.40, p=0.005$) as well as an activity:media ratio score ($\beta = 0.72, p=0.003$). Youth and/or parent knowledge alone was not significantly associated with most characteristics of the home environment, supporting the importance of developing intervention strategies that target the family as a whole.

© 2011 The American Dietetic Association. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Correspondence to: Megan E Slater.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

home environment; energy balance knowledge; adolescents; parents

Introduction

The high prevalence of obesity is a major public health concern (1). While the causes of this nationwide epidemic are not clear, obesity is generally attributed to a variety of genetic, behavioral, social, and environmental factors leading to chronic energy imbalance. Recently, there has been interest in the home environment and how it can influence dietary, physical activity (PA), and sedentary behaviors. For example, consumption of fruits and vegetables, as well as soft drinks and less healthful snacks, by children and adolescents has been correlated with home availability of these foods (2-9). Similarly, the presence of PA equipment in the home has been associated with behavior in the form of higher levels of PA in adults (10-12) and female college students (13), though results for children have been mixed (14-19). Home media environments (e.g., number of televisions in the home) also have been positively correlated with television viewing among children (20,21).

Despite these associations, it remains unclear which psychological, personal, social, and demographic factors have the greatest impact on the home environment. Individuals' energy balance (EB) knowledge, is one such factor with potential to influence the nature of the home environment, though little research has explored this issue. Significant positive associations have been found between greater nutrition knowledge and healthier eating and/or food purchasing behaviors among adolescents (22), college students (23), and adults (24-28), but the evidence is not consistent across all studies (3,27,29). PA knowledge has not been consistently linked to favorable PA behaviors (30,31). This lack of consistency may be explained by the notion that knowledge is just one of many elements that influence behavior. For example, behavioral skills, role models, reinforcements, and incentives are also believed to have an impact on children's behaviors (32).

According to the conceptual model (based on a social ecological framework) from the Transdisciplinary Research in Energetics and Cancer (TREC): The Identifying Determinants of Eating and Activity (IDEA) study, knowledge and various other factors are believed to influence the home environment (33). All of these variables then are believed to influence behavior. Although increased EB knowledge alone may not result in behavior change, it may be an important aspect of the behavior change process. Nelson et al. (34) noted that relatively low EB knowledge scores among parents and youth were not significant predictors of weight-related outcomes. However, the authors suggested educational strategies may be effective when combined with other strategies targeting familial, social, and environmental factors.

To date, no known studies have examined possible relationships between EB knowledge and the home food, PA, and media environments. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the associations between EB knowledge and the home environment among youth, parents, and overall family units (including both youth and parents). It was hypothesized that greater EB knowledge would be positively associated with the availability and/or accessibility of healthful food and PA equipment in the home and negatively associated with the availability and/or accessibility of less healthful food and media equipment when controlling for education level.

Methods

This study was a cross-sectional analysis of baseline data collected for the TREC IDEA study, a three-year longitudinal etiologic study of the social and environmental influences on unhealthy weight gain in adolescence. From September 2006 to June 2007, 349 youth (ages 10-16 years) were recruited from within a seven-county metropolitan area of the Minneapolis/St. Paul region of Minnesota and were required to participate with one adult (parent/guardian or other significant caregiver). Recruitment occurred through a preexisting cohort (35), a permit application listing from the Minnesota Department of Motor Vehicles, and a local convenience sample. At baseline, both the youth and the parents completed similar self-report questionnaires evaluating various EB behaviors and attitudes as well as their EB knowledge. Parents provided written consent, and youth provided written assent prior to completing questionnaires. Additional details regarding subject recruitment and data collection methods for the TREC IDEA study have been described elsewhere (33). Study procedures were approved by the University of Minnesota Institutional Review Board.

EB knowledge

A 15-item scale was included in the youth and parent questionnaires to assess EB knowledge. Example questions included the following: “If someone sits all day, they do not need to eat any calories” (true or false); “How many calories does the average teenage boy need to consume every day?” (multiple choice). The questions were pretested among youth similar in age to the study sample and from the same geographic area. The EB knowledge scale was tested for internal consistency (Cronbach α was .56 among youth and .67 among parents), and has been described in detail elsewhere (34). To represent overall household knowledge, youth/parent scores were averaged to create a paired knowledge score.

Home Food Inventory (HFI)

The Home Food Inventory (HFI) was validated for face, criterion, and construct validity and is described in detail elsewhere (36). The final HFI instrument included 208 food items in 13 major categories. Six variables assessed whether or not the item(s) were present anywhere in the home and included fruit, vegetables (not including French fries or tater tots), healthful snacks (whole grain crackers, graham crackers, pretzels, and reduced-fat versions of the following: crackers, potato/tortilla/bagel chips, cheese curls/puffs, granola/sports bars), less healthful snacks (corn chips, popcorn, peanuts, cashews, other nuts, and regular (not reduced-fat) versions of the following: crackers, potato/tortilla/bagel chips, cheese curls/puffs, granola/sports bars), healthful beverages (skim/1%/2% milk, 100% fruit juice, water, soy/rice milk), and less healthful beverages (regular soda, prepared iced teas or lemonade, fruit drinks, sports drinks). Higher scores represent greater availability. Of note, each item was assessed by its typical fat and sugar content (which are expected to directly impact the calorie content of the product) when determining its category as “healthful” or “less healthful.” Given the focus on energy balance and obesity in this work, it is important to recognize that the designations of “healthful” and “less healthful” within the HFI generally reflect this expected calorie content of the foods included, and do not necessarily reflect other dimensions of nutritional content (e.g., micronutrient composition, extent of food processing, etc.). Parents received the HFI and were instructed to complete it at home and return by mail.

PA and Media Inventory (PAMI)

The self-report PA and Media Inventory (PAMI) was designed to comprehensively reflect the home availability and accessibility of PA and screen media equipment. The PAMI was validated by Sirard et al. (37), and included 50 PA equipment items and five media

equipment items (television, VCR/DVD, digital video recorder, video game system, and computer). Parents were instructed to look for items in all areas of their home, including storage areas, yards, and garages.

PAMI household density scores were calculated separately for PA and media equipment by dividing the total number of items by the total number of rooms/locations in the home. Higher density scores indicate greater availability of equipment. Accessibility was determined by multiplying each item by an accessibility code, with 1= “put away and difficult to get to” and 4= “in plain view and easy to get to”. Two summary scores were created to account for availability and accessibility of the PA equipment (PA Availability and Accessibility Summary Score (PAASS)) and media equipment (Media Availability and Accessibility Summary Score (MAASS)). Higher summary scores reflect a greater overall presence in the home (both availability and accessibility). A third summary score, referred to as the Activity:Media Ratio Score was calculated as the ratio of the PAASS to the MAASS. A higher ratio indicated a home was more conducive for being physically active and less sedentary.

Statistical Methods

Analyses were performed using the Statistical Analysis Software (version 9.1.3, 2006, SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC). Differences between youth and parent EB knowledge scores were identified using a paired t-test. Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated to examine bivariate associations between youth and parent knowledge scores and between the knowledge scores and the HFI and PAMI variables.

Variables yielding statistically significant bivariate associations with paired knowledge were entered into unadjusted and adjusted linear regression models. Potential confounders were selected *a priori* and included student grade, parent education level (i.e., highest adult education in the home), youth gender, and parent gender. Confounders were retained in adjusted models if they changed the regression coefficient by $\geq 10\%$. According to these criteria, student grade and parent education level were included in all adjusted models.

Results and Discussion

Table 1 displays demographic characteristics of the youth and parent samples. The study population was almost exclusively White and well-educated.

Descriptive statistics for youth and parent EB knowledge scores have been presented elsewhere (34). Means of the youth and parent scales were 7.5 (range, 1-14) and 10.7 (range, 3-15), respectively. Overall, parents scored significantly ($p < 0.0001$) higher than youth, and knowledge scale scores were weakly correlated between youth and parents ($r = 0.17$, $p = 0.001$). The mean of the paired knowledge scores was 9.1 (range, 3-14).

Table 2 presents results from eight separate linear regression models. Only paired knowledge was modeled after initial analyses revealed this score was generally more strongly correlated with the HFI and PAMI variables than either the youth or parent knowledge scores alone. After adjusting for education level, paired EB knowledge was negatively associated with the MAASS ($p = 0.005$), while knowledge was positively associated with fruit ($p = 0.0004$), vegetable ($p = 0.032$), healthful snack ($p = 0.005$), and healthful beverage availability ($p = 0.003$), and the Activity:Media Ratio Score ($p = 0.003$). Knowledge was not associated with media density ($p = 0.122$) and the PAASS ($p = 0.114$) in the adjusted models.

In support of the original hypothesis, paired EB knowledge was significantly associated with six of eight home environment variables. Specifically, greater EB knowledge was associated with greater availability of fruit, vegetables, healthful snacks, and healthful beverages. These correlations between EB knowledge and healthy home environments are supported by prior associations between nutrition knowledge and healthy food purchasing behaviors among adults (24) and are consistent with relationships proposed by the TREC IDEA conceptual model (33). Also according to this model, higher consumption of fruit, vegetables, healthful snacks and beverages, and reduced consumption of less healthful foods would be expected in more healthful home environments. Previous research among youth indicates that associations between home availability and consumption are particularly apparent for fruits and vegetables (2-4). Calcium intake has also been linked to milk availability among adolescents (38). However, no known studies have observed associations between availability of healthful foods and consumption of other categories of healthful or less healthful foods.

This study failed to find a statistically significant association between EB knowledge and availability or accessibility of PA equipment in the home. Investigating other potential correlates of home PA equipment may be worthwhile, since some previous studies have linked home PA equipment availability to benefits in the form of higher levels of PA (15,16), lower levels of sedentary behavior (15), and lower body mass index (girls only) (14) among youth. Studies of accessibility are currently lacking.

Media accessibility (MAASS) appeared to have a stronger association with EB knowledge than did media density. This finding reinforces the need for further investigation into equipment accessibility in the home, particularly in examining the extent to which these factors influence behavior. Assessing whether televisions and other media equipment are located in bedrooms may be one of the best surrogates of accessibility currently available. There is evidence that the presence of media equipment in bedrooms of youth is associated with more sedentary behavior (15), less PA (39,40), poor eating habits (39), and a higher body mass index (15,40-42).

In general, youth or parent knowledge alone had weaker associations with the home environment than paired knowledge. This may be a consequence of the stronger buying power and overall synergistic effect of the family as a whole on the home environment. In light of these results, future behavioral intervention programs may wish to target the entire family rather than only one family member.

This study had a number of strengths. For example, the instruments used were previously pilot-tested and shown to be reliable and valid, and high response rates translated into a low amount of missing data. Most importantly, few studies have investigated home environmental factors related to nutrition and PA. This unique analysis can help us understand how EB knowledge and aspects of the home environment may impact each other before ultimately influencing behavior.

Despite these strengths, the ability to infer causality is limited due to the cross-sectional nature of the data. It is hypothesized that knowledge influences specific behaviors that influence home environments, and changes in knowledge may lead to changes in home environments. While these concepts are fairly intuitive, these conclusions cannot be made without longitudinal data. Because of the racially and socioeconomically homogeneous nature of the study population, it is difficult to generalize the findings of this study. However, if small differences in knowledge were associated with various outcomes in this group of families, one would expect that larger disparities in knowledge among more heterogeneous populations would generally lead to stronger associations.

Conclusions

The results of this study suggest EB knowledge, especially among households as a whole, may influence the home food and media environments, and to a lesser extent, the home PA environment. These findings support the importance of developing interventions that target family units, rather than only one member of the family. Further research is needed to examine these and other factors that promote healthy home environments, particularly using longitudinal data from diverse populations.

References

1. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Curtin LR. Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999-2008. *JAMA*. 2010; 303(3):235-241. [PubMed: 20071471]
2. van der Horst K, Oenema A, Ferreira I, Wendel-Vos W, Giskes K, van Lenthe F, Brug J. A systematic review of environmental correlates of obesity-related dietary behaviors in youth. *Health Educ Res*. 2007; 22:203-226. [PubMed: 16861362]
3. Blanchette L, Brug J. Determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among 6-12-year-old children and effective interventions to increase consumption. *J Hum Nutr Diet*. 2005; 18:431-443. [PubMed: 16351702]
4. Pearson N, Biddle SJH, Gorely T. Family correlates of fruit and vegetable consumption in children and adolescents: a systematic review. *Public Health Nutr*. 2008; 12:267-283. [PubMed: 18559129]
5. Denney-Wilson E, Crawford D, Dobbins T, Hardy L, Okely AD. Influences on consumption of soft drinks and fast foods in adolescents. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*. 2009; 18:447-452. [PubMed: 19786394]
6. Haerens L, Craeynest M, Deforche B, Maes L, Cardon G, Bourdeaudhuij ID. The contribution of psychosocial and home environmental factors in explaining eating behaviours in adolescents. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2008; 62:51-59. [PubMed: 17299461]
7. Grimm GC, Harnack L, Story M. Factors associated with soft drink consumption in school-aged children. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2004; 104:1244-1249. [PubMed: 15281041]
8. Campbell KJ, Crawford DA, Salmon J, Carver A, Garnett SP, Baur LA. Associations between the home food environment and obesity-promoting eating behaviors in adolescence. *Obesity*. 2007; 15:719-730. [PubMed: 17372323]
9. Martens MK, van Assema P, Brug J. Why do adolescents eat what they eat? Personal and social environmental predictors of fruit, snack and breakfast consumption among 12-14-year-old Dutch students. *Public Health Nutr*. 2005; 8:1258-1265. [PubMed: 16372921]
10. De Bourdeaudhuij I, Teixeira PJ, Cardon G, Deforche B. Environmental and psychosocial correlates of physical activity in Portuguese and Belgian adults. *Public Health Nutr*. 2005; 8:886-895. [PubMed: 16277805]
11. Humpel N, Owen N, Leslie E. Environmental factors associated with adults' participation in physical activity: a review. *Am J Prev Med*. 2002; 22:188-199. [PubMed: 11897464]
12. Atkinson JL, Sallis JF, Saelens BE, Cain KL, Black JB. The association of neighborhood design and recreational environments with physical activity. *Am J Health Promot*. 2005; 19:304-309. [PubMed: 15768925]
13. Reed JA, Phillips DA. Relationships between physical activity and the proximity of exercise facilities and home exercise equipment used by undergraduate university students. *J Am Coll Health*. 2005; 53:285-290. [PubMed: 15900992]
14. Crawford D, Cleland V, Timperio A, Salmon J, Andrianopoulos N, Roberts R, Giles-Corti B, Baur L, Ball K. The longitudinal influence of home and neighbourhood environments on children's body mass index and physical activity over 5 years: the CLAN study. *Int J Obes*. 2010.10.1038/ijo.2010.57
15. Rosenberg DE, Sallis JF, Kerr J, Maher J, Norman GJ, Durant N, Harris SK, Saelens BE. Brief scales to assess physical activity and sedentary equipment in the home. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2010; 7:10.10.1186/1479-5868-7-10 [PubMed: 20181057]

16. Haerens L, Craeynest M, Deforche B, Maes L, Cardon G, Bourdeaudhuij ID. The contribution of home, neighbourhood and school environmental factors in explaining physical activity among adolescents. *J Environ Public Health* 2009. 2009;10:1155/2009/320372
17. Ferreira I, van der Horst K, Wendel-Vos W, Kremers S, van Lenthe FJ, Brug J. Environmental correlates of physical activity in youth - a review and update. *Obes Rev.* 2007; 8:129–154. [PubMed: 17300279]
18. Trost SG, Sirard JR, Dowda M, Pfeiffer KA, Pate RR. Physical activity in overweight and nonoverweight preschool children. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 2003; 27:834–839. [PubMed: 12821970]
19. Dunton GF, Jamner MS, Cooper DM. Assessing the perceived environment among minimally active adolescent girls: validity and relations to physical activity outcomes. *Am J Health Promot.* 2003; 18:70–73. [PubMed: 13677964]
20. Roemmich JN, Epstein LH, Raja S, Yin L. The neighborhood and home environments: disparate relationships with physical activity and sedentary behaviors in youth. *Ann Behav Med.* 2007; 33:29–38. [PubMed: 17291168]
21. Saelens BE, Sallis JF, Nader PR, Broyles SL, Berry CC, Taras HL. Home environmental influences on children's television watching from early to middle childhood. *J Dev Behav Pediatr.* 2002; 23:127–132. [PubMed: 12055494]
22. Pirouznia M. The association between nutrition knowledge and eating behavior in male and female adolescents in the US. *Int J Food Sci Nutr.* 2001; 52:127–132. [PubMed: 11303460]
23. Kolodinsky J, Harvey-Berino JR, Berlin L, Johnson RK, Reynolds TW. Knowledge of current dietary guidelines and food choice by college students: better eaters have higher knowledge of dietary guidance. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2007; 107:1409–1413. [PubMed: 17659910]
24. Turrell G, Kavanagh AM. Socio-economic pathways to diet: modelling the association between socio-economic position and food purchasing behaviour. *Public Health Nutr.* 2006; 9:375–383. [PubMed: 16684390]
25. Neill EC, Wise A, McLeish A. Relationship between knowledge of reasons underlying nutritional messages and reported compliance. *Int J Food Sci Nutr.* 2000; 51:73–77. [PubMed: 10746107]
26. Wardle J, Parmenter K, Waller J. Nutrition knowledge and food intake. *Appetite.* 2000; 34:269–275. [PubMed: 10888290]
27. Rasanen M, Niinikoski H, Keskinen S, Helenius H, Talvia S, Ronnema T, Viikari J, Simell O. Parental nutrition knowledge and nutrient intake in an atherosclerosis prevention project: the impact of child-targeted nutrition counselling. *Appetite.* 2003; 41:69–77. [PubMed: 12880623]
28. Tepper BJ, Choi Y, Nayga RM. Understanding food choice in adult men: influence of nutrition knowledge, food beliefs and dietary restraint. *Food Quality and Preference.* 1997; 8:307–317.
29. Lytle LA, Varnell S, Murray DM, Story M, Perry C, Birnbaum AS, Kubik MY. Predicting adolescents' intake of fruits and vegetables. *J Nutr Educ Behav.* 2003; 35:170–175. [PubMed: 12859881]
30. DiLorenzo TM, Stucky-Ropp RC, Vander Wal JS, Gotham HJ. Determinants of exercise among children. II. A longitudinal analysis. *Prev Med.* 1998; 27:470–477. [PubMed: 9612838]
31. Morrow JR Jr, Krzewinski-Malone JA, Jackson AW, Bungum TJ, FitzGerald SJ. American adults' knowledge of exercise recommendations. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* 2004; 75:231–237. [PubMed: 15487287]
32. Lytle LA, Eldridge AL, Kotz K, Piper J, Williams S, Kalina B. Children's interpretation of nutrition messages. *J Nutr Educ.* 1997; 29:128–136.
33. Lytle LA. Examining the etiology of childhood obesity: the IDEA study. *Am J Community Psychol.* 2009; 44:338–349. [PubMed: 19838791]
34. Nelson MC, Lytle LA, Pasch KE. Improving literacy about energy-related issues: the need for a better understanding of the concepts behind energy intake and expenditure among adolescents and their parents. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2009; 109:281–287. [PubMed: 19167955]
35. Widome R, Forster JL, Hannan PJ, Perry CL. Longitudinal patterns of youth access to cigarettes and smoking progression: Minnesota Adolescent Community Cohort (MACC) study (2000-2003). *Prev Med.* 2007; 45:442–446. [PubMed: 17719080]

36. Fulkerson JA, Nelson MC, Lytle L, Moe S, Heitzler C, Pasch KE. The validation of a home food inventory. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2008; 5(55)10.1186/1479-5868-5-55
37. Sirard JR, Nelson MC, Periera MA, Lytle LA. Validity and reliability of a home inventory for physical activity and media equipment. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2008; 5(24)10.1186/1479-5868-5-24
38. Larson NI, Neumark-Sztainer D, Harnack L, Wall M, Story M, Eisenberg ME. Calcium and dairy intake: longitudinal trends during the transition to young adulthood and correlates of calcium intake. *J Nutr Educ Behav.* 2009; 41:254–260. [PubMed: 19508930]
39. Barr-Anderson DJ, van den Berg P, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M. Characteristics associated with older adolescents who have a television in their bedrooms. *Pediatrics.* 2008; 121:718–724. [PubMed: 18381536]
40. Delmas C, Platat C, Schweitzer B, Wagner A, Oujaa M, Simon C. Association between television in bedroom and adiposity throughout adolescence. *Obesity.* 2007; 15:2495–2503. [PubMed: 17925476]
41. van Zutphen M, Bell AC, Kremer PJ, Swinburn BA. Association between the family environment and television viewing in Australian children. *J Paediatr Child Health.* 2007; 43:458–463. [PubMed: 17535176]
42. Adachi-Mejia AM, Longacre MR, Gibson JJ, Beach ML, Titus-Ernstoff LT, Dalton MA. Children with a TV in their bedroom at higher risk for being overweight. *Int J Obes.* 2007; 31:644–651.

Table 1

Demographic characteristics of the Identifying Determinants of Eating and Activity (IDEA) Study sample*

Variable	Youth n=349**	Parents n=349**
Females, n (%)	178 (51.0)	264 (75.6)
Race/ethnicity, n (%)		
White	326 (93.4)	345 (98.8)
Other	23 (6.6)	4 (1.2)
Age, mean (standard deviation)	15.4 (1.87)	46.7 (5.60)
BMI (kg/m ²), mean (standard deviation)	21.9 (3.74) ¹	26.6 (5.60)
Overweight or Obese, n (%)	69 (19.8) ²	189 (54.2) ³
Education, n (%) [†]		
Less than a college degree		123 (35.2)
College degree or greater		220 (63.0)
Grade level, n (%) ^{††}		
6th-8th grade	105 (30.1)	
9th-11th grade	242 (69.3)	

* 349 youth/parent pairs recruited in 2006-2007 from Minneapolis/St. Paul, Minnesota

** Includes the 8 individuals with missing education/grade level data

[†] 6 parents had missing data; n=343^{††} 2 youth had missing data; n=347¹ Youth's BMI-for-age weight status categories based on the 2000 Centers for Disease Control BMI-for-age growth charts² ≥ 85th percentile³ BMI ≥ 25.0

Table 2

Results from linear regression models of associations between paired energy balance (EB) knowledge scores and selected Home Food Inventory (HFI) and Physical Activity and Media Inventory (PAMI) variables from the Identifying Determinants of Eating and Activity (IDEA) Study sample*

Model #	Dependent Variable	n	Paired EB Knowledge			
			β^{**}	p	β^{**} p	
			Unadjusted	Adjusted	***	
1	Fruit	340	0.44	<0.0001	0.41	0.0004
2	Vegetables	341	0.20	0.018	0.21	0.032
3	Healthful snacks	333	0.12	0.005	0.14	0.005
4	Healthful beverage	332	0.06	0.003	0.07	0.003
5	Media density ^J	340	-0.01	0.047	-0.01	0.122
6	PAASS	339	9.37	0.024	7.14	0.114
7	MAASS	339	-1.30	0.005	-1.40	0.005
8	AMRS	339	0.76	0.0005	0.72	0.003

* 349 youth/parent pairs recruited in 2006-2007 from Minneapolis/St. Paul, Minnesota

** β = Non-standardized regression coefficient

*** All models controlled for student grade and parent education level

^J Log-transformed to preserve normality

PAASS: Physical activity Availability and Accessibility Summary Score

MAASS: Media Availability and Accessibility Summary Score

AMRS: Physical activity and Media Ratio Score