



Published in final edited form as:

*J Am Board Fam Med.* 2011 ; 24(3): 249–257. doi:10.3122/jabfm.2011.03.100201.

## Maternal Depressive Symptomatology: 16 Month Followup of Infant and Maternal Health-Related Quality of Life

Janel M. Darcy, Joseph G. Grzywacz, Ph.D., Rebecca L. Stephens, Iris Leng, Ph.D., C. Randall Clinch, D.O., M.S., and Thomas A. Arcury, Ph.D.

Wake Forest University School of Medicine

### Abstract

**PURPOSE**—Document risk factors for depressive symptoms during the postpartum period among working mothers, and determine longitudinal effects of depressive symptoms on maternal health-related quality of life and infant health and development.

**METHODS**—Mother-infant dyads from a community-based cohort study of working mothers were recruited when infants were four months old and interviewed every four months until infants were 16 months. Depressive symptoms and health-related quality of life were assessed using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale and the Short Form-12 Health Survey, respectively. Infant development and health-related quality of life were measured with the Ages and Stages Questionnaire and the Infant-Toddler Quality of Life Questionnaire, respectively.

**RESULTS**—Depressive symptoms were elevated among mothers who were younger, less educated, African American, unmarried, and impoverished. Mothers with significant depressive symptoms had significantly poorer physical and mental health-related quality of life, reported greater pain for their infant, and had more health-related concerns about their child. Maternal depressive symptoms at four months predicted infant poorer health-related quality of life at 8, 12 and 16 months..

**CONCLUSIONS**—Several characteristics including age, education level, race, marital status, and poverty can help primary care physicians identify working mothers at risk for depressive symptoms. Identification of these symptoms is important; they are correlated with poorer maternal health-related quality of life and they predict poorer children's health-related quality of life.

### INTRODUCTION

Postpartum depression is a common clinical and public health problem (1). Prevalence estimates from different sources suggest that 15 – 20% of women experience one or more episodes of postpartum depression during the 12-month period following childbirth (2–4). Delineating the timing of onset and course of depressive symptoms during the postpartum period is elusive, in part because formal perinatal healthcare ends at 6 weeks postpartum. Nevertheless, evidence suggests the prevalence of major and minor postpartum depression ranges between 10% and 15% across the first seven months of the postpartum period, and decreases to a range from 5% to 10% for the remainder of the postpartum period (2). High prevalence across the postpartum period suggests that primary care physicians will likely encounter depression while providing care to infants or their mothers (5;6).

---

**Corresponding author:** Joseph G. Grzywacz, Ph.D., Department of Family and Community Medicine, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Medical Center Boulevard, Winston-Salem, NC 27157-1084, (336) 716-2237 (t); (336) 716-3206 (f); grzywacz@wfubmc.edu.

**Prior presentations.** None.

Depressive symptoms during the postpartum period, regardless of whether they are due to postpartum depression or more general depressed affect, have substantial implications for women's health (5;7) and for infant health and development (6;8;9). Elevated depressive symptoms during the postpartum period have been associated with fewer preventive visits but greater use of acute health services for infants, including hospitalizations and emergency department visits (8;10). Similarly, elevated depressive symptoms during the postpartum period are associated with fewer developmentally enriching parenting behaviors, such as reading to the child or singing songs (10). In terms of maternal health, depression has been associated with excess weight retention following childbirth (11), compromised functional status (7;9), and somatic complaints leading to greater use of health services (12). Webb and colleagues have also linked depressive symptoms with a variety of maternal physical health problems such as fatigue, headache, nausea, backache, vaginal pain or dyspareunia, and urinary or bowel problems (7). Family physicians and other primary health care providers need to be vigilant about depression during the postpartum period given its widespread prevalence, the association with a greater consumption of health care (either for their children or themselves) among depressed mothers, and the health-related implications for mothers and their children.

Although there has been a substantial amount of research focused on depression during the postpartum period and its consequences, a major gap in the literature is the relative paucity of studies beyond the six week postpartum visit. A recent comprehensive review noted that only 6 of 28 studies were designed to document depression between 4 and 12 months postpartum (2). Further, longitudinal studies are needed to delineate the potential consequences of depressive symptoms for maternal health later in the postpartum period. Existing longitudinal research has focused primarily on low-income mothers (7;8;13), an important group of women. However, research focusing on employed working mothers across income ranges is also needed because a large segment of mothers of infants work full-time (14), yet the postpartum experiences of these women and their implications for subsequent well-being for women and their children remain virtually unknown. (3). Recognizing that a basic precept of Family Medicine is that effective treatment of an individual requires broader consideration of the family, research that examines both maternal and child outcomes is needed. Finally, additional epidemiologic research is needed to enhance understanding of the basic distribution of depression during the postpartum period in the population (3). Such information would be invaluable to primary care physicians to help identify individuals at elevated risk for postpartum depression and its sequelae.

### **The Present Study**

This study focuses on depressive symptoms at four months postpartum among women who returned to full-time employment. Employed mothers of infants confront substantial strains combining work and family, strains that increase the risk of elevated depressive symptoms and psychiatric disorder (15;16). The primary aims of this study are to describe the demographics associated with screening positive for depression during the postpartum period so that family physicians may better identify mothers at risk for postpartum depression, and to determine the longitudinal effects of depressive symptoms at four months postpartum on maternal and infant health-related quality of life. This study also considers the effects of depressive symptoms during the postpartum period on both the mother and child. The primary hypothesis is that depression during the postpartum period undermines subsequent health-related quality of life for both mothers and their infants.

## METHODS

### Overview

This study is part of the “Weaving Work and Family: Implications for Mother and Child” project. The project recruited a representative community-based sample (N=217) of mothers of infants who returned to full-time employment (at least 30 hours per week) by the time their infants were four months old. Mothers were interviewed when infants were 4, 8, 12, and 16 months of age. Full details of the sampling, recruitment, and data collection protocol are available elsewhere (17;18); consequently, only key points are summarized here.

No publicly available lists are available that capture all births in the study area; therefore, the first task was to create a sample frame. The sample frame consisted of all mothers who gave birth in the primary mother-infant unit in Forsyth County during a five-month period in 2007, and who reported working during pregnancy. To be eligible for the study women needed to be 18 or older, and currently working at least 30 hours per week or planning to return to work 30 or more hours per week by four months postpartum (when their infant was four months old). Women whose child had a special health care need were excluded from the study. Of the 518 mothers selected for recruitment through a stratified random sampling procedure to achieve a sample composition comparable to the county, 104 were unreachable due to disconnected phones or non-response, 10 refused to participate in eligibility screening, and 116 were ineligible, primarily because they had not returned to full-time employment by four months post-partum, the primary inclusion criterion for the study. Among the 288 eligible mothers remaining, 217 (75.3%) agreed to participate and completed a baseline interview. Of the 217 enrolled participants, 199 were re-interviewed at the 8 month interview (91.7%), 196 (90.3%) at 12 months, and 191 (88.0%) at 16 months. A federally authorized Institutional Review Board (FWA #00001435) approved all sampling, recruitment and data collection procedures.

### Measures

Depressive symptoms during the postpartum period were assessed at each interview using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) (19). The CES-D is a validated instrument widely used in psychiatric epidemiology (20–24). Women who scored 16 or higher on the CES-D at the 4 month interview were defined as having significant depressive symptoms. The CES-D has been implemented in a number of other studies of postpartum depressive symptoms (7;8;13).

The dependent variables in this study were maternal and infant health-related quality of life. Maternal health-related quality of life was assessed at each interview (i.e., when infants were 4, 8, 12 and 16 months) using the Short Form-12 Health Survey (SF-12) (25). Published scoring algorithms were used to construct two composite variables reflecting mental and physical health-related quality of life (MCS and PCS, respectively) at each observation. Norms-based scoring based upon a population mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10 was used. Higher scores on both MCS and PCS reflect better health-related quality of life.

Infant health-related quality of life was assessed with three instruments. Two dimensions of infant health-related quality of life were assessed using two subscales adapted from the Infant-Toddler Quality of Life instrument (26). Pain was assessed using two items which asked mothers to rate the frequency and intensity of pain or discomfort experienced by the infant in the previous four weeks. Infant impairment was assessed with five items asking mothers the extent to which their ability to attend to their own personal needs were limited by their infant’s health-related problems (e.g., feeding, sleeping, behavior) during the previous four weeks. Three variables reflecting developmental progression were assessed

using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ): cognitive delay, motor delay, and any delay. The ASQ is a validated parent-administered tool that screens for delay across five domains of child development (27;28). Cognitive delay was defined as having a score meeting potential caseness for developmental delay in either the “language,” “problem-solving,” or “personal-social” sub-domains of development on two separate observations during the 8, 12, or 16-month assessments. Similarly, the motor delay variable was defined as having a score meeting potential caseness in either the “gross motor” or “fine motor” sub-domains of development on two separate observations during the 8, 12, or 16-month observations. The third variable was a dichotomous indicator of any delay, defined as infants whose score met potential caseness for any delay.

Infants’ recent symptoms were measured using a set of common symptoms from the Warwick inventory (29). Four variables were constructed: respiratory symptoms, acute otitis media, gastrointestinal symptoms, and any recurrent illness. The first three system-specific indicators were defined as having at least one symptom within the illness group on two separate observations during the 8, 12 and 16 month assessments. The fourth variable was a dichotomous indicator of any recurrent illness, defined as having at least one symptom within any illness group on two separate observations during the 8, 12 and 16-month observations.

### Statistical Analyses

Women with and without significant depressive symptoms were compared by basic characteristics (maternal age, race, education, marital status, and poverty status) using chi-square tests. Maternal and infant health-related outcomes of maternal health-related quality of life (physical and mental component summaries), infant developmental delay, recurrent infant illness and infant health-related quality of life (pain-discomfort and health-related concerns) were compared between mothers with and without significant depressive symptoms, using student t-tests for continuous variables and  $\chi^2$  tests for categorical variables.

Two approaches were taken to assess the effects of depression during the postpartum period on infant and maternal health-related quality of life after adjusting for maternal age, race, education, marital status, and poverty. First, multiple regression models were used to assess the effects of depression on infant and maternal health-related quality of life (averaged across 8, 12, and 16 months). Then, mixed models were applied to model the longitudinal effects of depression, accounting for time, where the covariance of repeated measurements within the same subject was modeled using a compound symmetry structure. When modeling infant health-related quality of life, we also adjusted for infant birth weight and gender. In the mixed model, baseline measurements were also included as a covariate.

## RESULTS

The average age of the mothers was 30.3 years, but for analysis purposes, they were split into age groups of 18–24 (n=36, 16.6% of entire sample), 25–34 (n=127, 58.5%), and 35+ (n=54, 24.9%) (Table 1). There were 65 African American mothers (30% of the sample) and 152 European American mothers (70%). The sample included 115 mothers who had less than a college-level education (53.0%) and 102 mothers (47.0%) who had a college-level education or higher. The majority of mothers were married (n=167, 77.0%) and above poverty level (n=196, 90.3%).

Nearly one-third (32.7%) of the sample showed significant depressive symptoms at four months postpartum (Table 1). Significant depressive symptoms were more common among younger women (i.e., 18 – 24 years) and for African American women compared to older

women and European American women, respectively. Additionally, significant depressive symptoms were more common among those with less than a college education, the unmarried, and among women living in poverty.

Bivariate comparisons indicated that symptoms of depression during the postpartum period were associated with poorer health-related quality of life for both mothers and infants. Women with significant depressive symptoms had significantly ( $p=0.02$ ) poorer physical health-related quality of life scores ( $M=52.2$ ,  $SD=6.88$ ) than did mothers without significant depressive symptoms ( $M=54.2$ ,  $SD=4.08$ ). Women with significant depressive symptoms also had significantly ( $p<0.0001$ ) poorer mental health-related quality of life scores ( $M=46.3$ ,  $SD=7.46$ ) than did screen-negative mothers ( $M=52.6$ ,  $SD=4.65$ ). In terms of infant health-related quality of life, significant depressive symptoms were not associated with infant developmental delay. However, a higher percentage of women with significant depressive symptoms ( $n=31$ , 47.7%) than those without significant depressive symptoms ( $n=36$ , 26.1%) reported gastrointestinal problems in their infants ( $p=.0023$ ). Furthermore, women with significant depressive symptoms self-reported greater impairment because of their child's health ( $M=1.34$ ,  $SD=0.35$ ) than those without significant depressive symptoms ( $M=1.19$ ,  $SD=0.24$ ) ( $p=0.0041$ ).

Results from multivariate models predicting two indicators of infant health-related quality of life largely replicated results from bivariate analyses (Table 2). Women with significant depressive symptoms reported their children as having more pain or discomfort, and reported greater impairment because of their child's (poor) health compared to women without significant depressive symptoms. Mental health-related quality of life in the later portion of the postpartum period was lower among women classified as having significant depressive symptoms. The bivariate association between elevated depressive symptoms and physical health-related quality of life was non-significant.

Longitudinal analyses controlling for time and earlier reports of infant health-related quality of life showed comparable results; infant pain and mothers' health-related concerns at 8, 12 and 16 months were elevated among those with significant depressive symptoms (Table 3). After controlling for mental health-related quality of life earlier in the postpartum period, there was no difference in subsequent mental health-related quality of life by significant depressive symptoms status later in the postpartum period. Once baseline values of physical health-related quality of life were incorporated into the multivariate longitudinal model, trend-level evidence ( $p < .07$ ) suggests that women with significant depressive symptoms may have lower physical health-related quality of life at 8, 12 and 16 months postpartum.

## DISCUSSION

Depression and other psychiatric disorders during the postpartum period have significant clinical implications for both women and their infants (1). Although existing primary care and epidemiological research offers needed information for enhanced referral and care, research assessing depressive symptoms throughout the postpartum period and evaluating subsequent health implications for both women and their children is needed (2;3). This study was designed to assist Family Physicians and other primary care providers in identifying women at risk for postpartum depression, and to evaluate the potential role that postpartum depression may play in subsequent clinical encounters with employed mothers or their child.

Nearly one third of this sample of employed mothers (32.7%) reported significant depressive symptoms at four months postpartum. This estimate is higher than the 10 – 15% point prevalence estimates reported in a previous review of the postpartum depression literature (2) and the 15% prevalence rate reported from a nationally-representative sample (4). The

heightened rate of significant depressive symptoms in this study could reflect three possibilities. First, the elevated rate could reflect the added strain of combining full-time work and family and the subsequent elevated risk for depression experienced by working mothers (30;31). Second, recognizing that mood and anxiety symptoms frequently co-occur (32;33), it is possible that the CES-D was picking up on symptoms of both disorders. Consistent with this possibility, Vesga-Lopez and colleagues (4) reported that 27.5% of postpartum women reported any mood or anxiety disorder. Third, it is possible the CES-D produced too many false positives, perhaps due to elevated somatic symptoms (34). Although future research will need to explore each explanation, the bottom line remains the same: a substantial proportion of working mothers of infants experience elevated mental health symptoms several months beyond the typical observation period for postpartum depression.

Being classified as having significant depressive symptoms was not equally distributed in our sample. Mothers who were younger, African American, had less than a college degree, were unmarried and/or living in poverty were more likely to show significant depressive symptomatology. These results, which are consistent with previous research not focused on working mothers of infants (4;13;35), suggest that some women are more vulnerable to postpartum depression, perhaps because of insufficient preparation for motherhood, or because of insufficient social or financial supports to help shoulder the task of working full-time while also caring for an infant(36). It is important that health care providers remain cognizant of these factors so as to more accurately identify women at risk for postpartum depression. Early identification and referral are important to minimize morbidity (5). Further, monitoring potential depression among women who return to work provides a strong opportunity for patient-centered care because evidence suggests that mothers would like their prenatal and postpartum health care providers to discuss issues surrounding return to work after childbirth (17).

The primary contribution of this study is the observed associations between depressive symptoms at 4-months postpartum and subsequent infant and maternal health-related quality of life. Bivariate results showed a higher incidence of gastrointestinal symptoms in children of mothers with significant depressive symptoms than in children of mothers without significant symptoms. These results are similar to effects described by Moses-Kolko and Roth (37) and they are congruent with research by others (38) indicating that maternal postpartum depression predicted higher incidence of infant diarrhea. Our multivariate longitudinal analyses also indicated robust associations between significant maternal depressive symptoms and two domains of infant health-related quality of life, infant pain or discomfort and the extent to which infant health concerned the mother. These results are consistent with previous research indicating that postpartum depression was associated with poorer infant health and development (6;8;9). Given the nature of these self-report data, it is not clear if infants of mothers with significant depressive symptoms were, in fact, experiencing more pain and discomfort, or whether these mothers somaticized their infants' behavior. Regardless, primary health care providers need to be attentive to maternal depression because it will likely result in greater infant health visits; either because the infant is genuinely sick or because the mother believes the child is sick. Indeed, previous evidence suggests that postpartum maternal depression is associated with greater use of health services for infants (8;10).

Analyses revealed little evidence that elevated depressive symptoms during the postpartum period had lasting implications for working mothers' physical and mental health-related quality of life. Null effects were surprising in light of results from previous research suggesting that postpartum depression predicted excess weight retention (11), poorer functional status (7;9), and greater somatic complaints (12). Nevertheless, it is possible that

the effects of elevated depressive symptoms on health-related quality of life is attenuated for women who self-select back into the fulltime labor force (39), or that the sheer demands of working fulltime while mothering an infant allows little opportunity for compromised role performance or other domains of health-related quality of life assessed by SF-12. Although replication research and subsequent explorations of possible explanations are needed, these results suggest that significant depressive symptoms may be less debilitating among working mothers than among women more generally.

A primary strength of this study is the longitudinal data, which allowed us to analyze the effects of maternal depressive symptoms during the postpartum period over an extended period of time, providing a unique contribution to the postpartum depression literature. The vast majority of previous longitudinal research on postpartum depression only covers the first few months postpartum, with most only considering the first six weeks of postnatal care. This project, on the other hand, extended 16 months after the infants were born, providing a glimpse at the impact of maternal depression even further into the infant's life. Furthermore, we analyzed both mother and child health outcomes in relation to maternal depressive symptoms in the postpartum period. There is a lack of previous research that looks at effects on both the mother and child.

Nevertheless, the limitations of this study need acknowledgement. An important limitation is reliance on single sources of data. Although assessment of infant health and well-being ultimately rests on proxy report, heavy reliance on parental report raises potential response biases. Future research using stronger, more clinically endorsed assessments would contribute to this body of research. A second limitation is that our sample only included European and African Americans. There were no other races or ethnicities included in the sample frame, so we do not have information to determine whether other groups of mothers would be more or less likely to screen positive for postpartum depression. Results tell us that African American mothers may be more susceptible to postpartum depression, but we cannot be sure whether or not this trend is similar for other minorities. A third limitation is that there were 104 mothers in the sample frame who could not be contacted thus were not able to participate which may have produced some selection biases.

Limitations notwithstanding, the cross-sectional results of this study suggest that postpartum depression is associated with poorer infant and maternal health-related quality of life. The data clearly suggest that that a large proportion of working mothers experience elevated symptoms of depression, and our longitudinal results show that that significant depressive symptoms during the postpartum period predicts subsequent poorer infant health-related quality of life. Even though further research is needed to determine if the observed relationship is causal, it is likely that lower levels of infant health-related quality of life will elicit additional health care seeking for the child. Consistent with the a basic precept of Family Medicine that effective treatment of an individual requires broader consideration of the family, it is important that health care providers screen and consider the role of postpartum depression when diagnosing and creating a treatment plan for the child.

## Acknowledgments

**Support:** This research was supported by a grant from the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute for Child Health and Development (HD48601)

## Reference List

1. Wisner KL, Chambers C, Sit DKY. Postpartum depression - A major public health problem. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2006; 296:2616–2618. [PubMed: 17148727]

2. Gaynes BN, Gavin N, Meltzer-Brody S, et al. Perinatal Depression: Prevalence, Screening, Accuracy, and Screening Outcomes. *Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality*. 2005; 119:1–8.
3. O'Hara MW. Postpartum Depression: What We Know. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 2009; 65:1258–1269. [PubMed: 19827112]
4. Vesga-Lopez O, Blanco C, Keyes K, et al. Psychiatric disorders in pregnant and postpartum women in the United States. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2008; 65:805–815. [PubMed: 18606953]
5. Berg AO. Screening for depression: Recommendations and rationale. *American Family Physician*. 2002; 66:647–650. [PubMed: 12201560]
6. Gjerdingen DK, Yawn BP. Postpartum depression screening: Importance, methods, barriers, and recommendations for practice. *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*. 2007; 20:280–288. [PubMed: 17478661]
7. Webb DA, Bloch JR, Coyne JC, et al. Postpartum physical symptoms in new mothers: Their relationship to functional limitations and emotional well-being. *Birth-Issues in Perinatal Care*. 2008; 35:179–187.
8. Chung EK, McCollum KF, Elo IT, et al. Maternal depressive symptoms and infant health practices among low-income women. *Pediatrics*. 2004; 113:E523–E529. [PubMed: 15173532]
9. Posmontier B. Functional status outcomes in mothers with and without postpartum depression. *Journal of Midwifery & Womens Health*. 2008; 53:310–318.
10. Minkovitz CS, Strobino D, Scharfstein D, et al. Maternal depressive symptoms and children's receipt of health care in the first 3 years of life. *Pediatrics*. 2005; 115:306–314. [PubMed: 15687437]
11. Herring SJ, Oken E, Haines J, et al. Misperceived pre-pregnancy body weight status predicts excessive gestational weight gain: findings from a US cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2008; 8:54. [PubMed: 19102729]
12. Gold LH. Postpartum disorders in primary care: diagnosis and treatment. *Primary Care*. 2002; 29:27–41. [PubMed: 11856657]
13. Mora PA, Bennett IM, Elo IT, et al. Distinct Trajectories of Perinatal Depressive Symptomatology: Evidence From Growth Mixture Modeling. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2009; 169:24–32. [PubMed: 19001135]
14. US Bureau of Labor Statistics. News release: Employment characteristics of families in 2008. 2008.
15. Frone MR. Work-family conflict and employee psychiatric disorders: The national comorbidity survey. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 2000; 85:888–895. [PubMed: 11155895]
16. Killian T, Turner J, Cain R. Depressive symptoms of caregiving women in midlife: The role of physical health. *Journal of Women & Aging*. 2005; 17:115–127. [PubMed: 15914423]
17. Clinch CR, Grzywacz JG, Tucker J, et al. Characteristics of Mother-Provider Interactions Surrounding Postpartum Return to Work. *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*. 2009; 22:498–506. [PubMed: 19734395]
18. Grzywacz JG, Tucker J, Clinch CR, et al. Individual and job-related variation in infant feeding practices among working mothers. *American Journal of Health Behavior*. 2010; 34:186–196. [PubMed: 19814598]
19. Radloff LS. The CES-D Scale: A Self-Report Depression Scale for Research in the General Population. *Applied Psychological Measurement*. 1977; 1:385–401.
20. Hales DP, Dishman RK, Motl RW, et al. Factorial validity and invariance of the center for epidemiologic studies depression (CES-D) scale in a sample of black and white adolescent girls. *Ethnicity & Disease*. 2006; 16:1–8. [PubMed: 16599341]
21. Knight RG, Williams S, Mcgee R, et al. Psychometric properties of the Centre for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) in a sample of women in middle life. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 1997; 35:373–380. [PubMed: 9134792]
22. Orme JG, Reis J, Herz EJ. Factorial and Discriminant Validity of the Center for Epidemiologic-Studies Depression (Ces-D) Scale. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 1986; 42:28–33. [PubMed: 3950011]



23. Pickard AS, Dalal MR, Bushnell DM. A comparison of depressive symptoms in stroke and primary care: Applying rasch models to evaluate the Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression Scale. *Value in Health*. 2006; 9:59–64. [PubMed: 16441526]
24. Stahl D, Sum CF, Lum SS, et al. Screening for depressive symptoms - Validation of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) in a multiethnic group of patients with diabetes in Singapore. *Diabetes Care*. 2008; 31:1118–1119. [PubMed: 18337303]
25. Ware JE, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12- item short-form health survey - Construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Medical Care*. 1996; 34:220–233. [PubMed: 8628042]
26. Landgraf, JM. Final Report to Schering-Plough Laboratories and Health Technology Associates. New England Medical Center, Boston: 1994. The infant/toddler quality of life questionnaire: conceptual framework, logic, content and preliminary psychometric results.
27. Bricker, D.; Squires, J.; Mounts, L. Ages and stages questionnaire: A parent-completed, child-monitoring system. Baltimore, MD: Brookes; 1995.
28. Squires J, Bricker D, Potter L. Revision of a parent-completed developmental screening tool: Ages and stages questionnaires. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*. 1997; 22:313–328. [PubMed: 9212550]
29. Spencer NJ, Coe C. The development and validation of a measure of parent-reported child health and morbidity: The Warwick Child Health and Morbidity Profile. *Child Care Health and Development*. 1996; 22:367–379.
30. Frone MR, Yardley JK. Workplace family-supportive programmes: Predictors of employed parents'importance ratings. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*. 1996; 69:351–366.
31. Grzywacz JG, Bass BL. Work, Family, and Mental Health: Testing Different Models of Work-Family Fit. *Journal of Marriage and Family*. 2003; 65:248–261.
32. Costa, PT.; McCrae, RR. Revised NEO Personality Inventory and NEO Five-Factor Inventory Professional Manual. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources; 1992.
33. Watson D, Clark LA, Weber K, et al. Testing A Tripartite Model. 2. Exploring the Symptom Structure of Anxiety and Depression in Student, Adult, and Patient Samples. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 1995; 104:15–25. [PubMed: 7897037]
34. Sharp LK, Lipsky MS. Screening for depression across the lifespan: A review of measures for use in primary care settings. *American Family Physician*. 2002; 66:1001–1008. [PubMed: 12358212]
35. Howell EA, Mora PA, Horowitz CR, et al. Racial and ethnic differences in factors associated with early postpartum depressive symptoms. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2005; 105:1442–1450. [PubMed: 15932842]
36. Tucker J, Grzywacz JG, Leng I, et al. Return to Work, Economic Hardship, and Women's Postpartum Health. *Women & Health*. 2010; 50:618–638.
37. Moses-Kolko EL, Roth EK. Antepartum and Postpartum Depression: Healthy Mom, Healthy Baby. *Journal of the American Medical Women's Association*. 2004; 59:181–191.
38. Rahman A, Iqbal Z, Bunn J, et al. Impact of maternal depression on infant nutritional status and illness - A cohort study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2004; 61:946–952. [PubMed: 15351773]
39. Repetti RL, Matthews KA, Waldron I. Employment and Womens Health - Effects of Paid Employment on Womens Mental and Physical Health. *American Psychologist*. 1989; 44:1394–1401.

**Table 1**

Baseline demographic characteristics by significant depressive symptoms

	<b>Total Mothers</b>	<b>Mothers with Significant Depressive Symptoms*</b>	<b>Mothers without Significant Depressive Symptoms*</b>	<b>P-value</b>
Total	217	71 (32.7)	146 (67.3)	
Maternal Age				0.0492
18-24	36 (16.6)	18 (50.0)	18 (50.0)	
25-34	127 (58.5)	36 (28.3)	91 (71.7)	
35+	54 (24.9)	17 (31.5)	37 (68.5)	
Maternal Race				0.0007
African American	65 (30.0)	32 (49.2)	33 (50.8)	
European American	152 (70.0)	39 (25.7)	113 (74.3)	
Maternal Education				0.0326
Less than college level	115 (53.0)	45 (39.1)	70 (60.9)	
College level or greater	102 (47.0)	26 (25.5)	76 (74.5)	
Marital Status				0.0030
Married	167 (77.0)	46 (27.5)	121 (72.5)	
Unmarried	50 (23.0)	25 (50.0)	25 (50.0)	
Poverty Status				0.0027
At or below poverty	21 (9.7)	13 (61.9)	8 (38.1)	
Above poverty	196 (90.3)	58 (29.6)	138 (70.4)	

Data provided as n (%)

\* Percentages refer to rows (values in rows add up to 100%)

**Table 2**

Cross-sectional associations of significant depressive symptoms at 4-months postpartum with infant and maternal health-related quality of life <sup>†</sup>

	Infant Health-Related Quality of Life		Maternal Health-Related Quality of Life	
	Pain or Discomfort (n=186)	Mothers' Health-Related Concerns (n=185)	MCS (n=203)	PCS (n=203)
Depressive Symptoms	0.21 (0.085) <sup>‡</sup>	0.16 (0.046) <sup>//</sup>	-6.28 (0.959) <sup>//</sup>	-1.26 (0.825)
Covariates				
Age				
18-24	-0.16 (0.154)	-0.15 (0.083)	-1.76 (1.703)	2.05 (1.465)
25-34	0.07 (0.088)	-0.03 (0.048)	0.46 (1.006)	1.66 (0.866)
Maternal race				
African American	-0.29 (0.105) <sup>§</sup>	-0.11 (0.057)	0.21 (1.162)	-0.29 (1.000)
Maternal education				
College or greater level	-0.10 (0.083)	-0.07 (0.045)	0.67 (0.956)	0.66 (0.823)
Marital status				
Married	0.19 (0.124)	0.02 (0.067)	-0.86 (1.455)	4.05 (1.252) <sup>§</sup>
Poverty status				
At/below poverty	0.24 (0.182)	0.01 (0.098)	-0.35 (2.035)	1.40 (1.751)

All data provided as  $\beta$  (SE)

<sup>†</sup>Reference groups in the models are women: age 35+, European American, less than college education, unmarried, and above poverty. Models for children also control for child gender and birth weight.

<sup>‡</sup>P  $\leq$  .05

<sup>§</sup>P  $\leq$  .01

<sup>//</sup>P  $\leq$  .001

**Table 3**

Longitudinal effects of significant depressive symptoms at 4 months postpartum on infant and maternal health-related quality of life at 8, 12 and 16 months postpartum (Adjusted) <sup>†</sup>

	Infant Health-Related Quality of Life		Maternal Health-Related Quality of Life	
	Pain/Discomfort (n=203)	Health-Related Concerns (n=203)	Mental component summary from SF-12 (n=202)	Physical component summary from SF-12 (n=202)
Depressive Symptoms	0.24 (0.080) <sup>§</sup>	0.14(0.042) <sup>§</sup>	-1.20 (0.045)	-1.19(0.699)
Covariates				
Age				
18–24	-0.09 (0.141)	-0.10 (0.075)	-1.53 (1.415)	1.11 (1.233)
25–34	0.08 (0.085)	-0.01 (0.045)	0.22 (0.856)	0.69 (0.748)
Maternal race				
African American	-0.26 (0.101) <sup>‡</sup>	-0.12(0.053) <sup>‡</sup>	-0.51 (0.975)	0.29 (0.850)
Maternal education				
College or greater level	0.00 (0.080)	-0.06 (0.042)	0.88 (0.802)	0.06 (0.702)
Marital status				
Married	0.13 (0.114)	0.02 (0.060)	-0.85 (1.162)	3.22 (1.007) <sup>§</sup>
Poverty status				
At/below poverty	0.14 (0.164)	-0.02 (0.086)	-1.00 (1.672)	2.80 (1.441)
Baseline	0.22 (0.056) <sup>//</sup>	0.25 (0.050) <sup>//</sup>	0.51 (0.057) <sup>//</sup>	0.33 (0.053) <sup>//</sup>

All data provided as  $\beta$  (SE)

<sup>†</sup> Reference groups in the models are women: age 35+, European American, less than college education, unmarried, and above poverty. All models control for the effects of time, and earlier assessments of health-related quality of life. Models for children also control for child gender and birth weight.

<sup>‡</sup> P  $\leq$  .05

<sup>§</sup> P  $\leq$  .01

<sup>//</sup> P  $\leq$  .001