Cloning and characterization of the mvrC gene of Escherichia coli K-12 which confers resistance against methyl viologen toxicity

Mitsuoki Morimyo, Etsuko Hongo, Hiroko Hama-Inaba and Isamu Machida Division of Genetics, National Institute of Radiological Sciences, 9-1 Anagawa-4-Chome, Inage-ku, Chiba 263, Japan

Received February 10, 1992; Revised and Accepted May 18, 1992

GenBank accession no. M62732

ABSTRACT

A new gene mvrC conferring resistance to methyl viologen, a powerful superoxide radical propagator, was cloned on 13.5 kilo base (kb) EcoRl DNA fragment. It gave resistance against methyl viologen to even a wild-type strain with gene dosage dependence. From the physical maps obtained by restriction enzyme digestions, it was predicted to locate at 580 kbp (12.3 min) on the physical map of E.coli. This was confirmed by the Southem hybridization of lambda phages covering this region with mvrC probe. The DNA sequence of mvrC gene was determined and its deduced protein encoding a 12 kd hydrophobic protein was confirmed by maxicell labeling of MvrC protein.

INTRODUCTION

Active oxygen species including superoxide anion radical, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl radical, are continually produced during respiration. They are highly reactive and inactivate biological molecules such as DNA, proteins and lipids. They are, therefore, toxic to respiring cells. Escherichia coli has multiple defense mechanisms against active oxygen toxicity such as elimination of active oxygen species $(1-6)$ and repair of damaged DNA by activated oxygens $(7 - 14)$. And lately new repair mechanisms were proved to be induced after treatment with hydrogen peroxide and superoxide radical propagators such as methyl viologen (MV) and plumbagin $(15-20)$. Virtually many proteins were induced following hydrogen peroxide and MV treatment $(18,19,21-24)$ and some of their expressions were identified to be controlled by αxyR and αxRS regulator genes $(18,19,21,22,25-27)$.

To elucidate the defense mechanisms against active oxygen toxicity, E.coli mutants sensitive to MV were isolated (28). Among them, new genes, *mvrA* and *mvrB*, were localized at 7 min and 27 min, respectively, on the linkage map of E. coli. They were highly sensitive to MV only in the presence of oxygen and the MV sensitivity was rescued by an addition of antioxidant uric acid, suggesting that the causal species of MV is superoxide radical. They were revealed to be involved in the same pathway of defense mechanisms against MV toxicity, because ^a double mutant strain, *mvrA mvrB*, was as sensitive to MV as a *mvrA* strain. The *mvrA* gene, which could completely complement the sensitivity to MV of MC47 (*mvrA*) strain, was cloned and analyzed before (28) . In this communication, a new gene $mvcC$ was cloned and mapped at ¹² min. It rescued the killing by MV of a mvrA mutant with gene dosage effect but not that by plumbagin. It was, therefore, designated mvrC gene. The DNA sequence was determined and its deduced amino acid sequence revealed that the MvrC protein was a hydrophobic protein. According to the program devised by Kyte and Doolittle (29), the MvrC protein can be classified into a group of membrane proteins. These results suggest that the $mvrC$ gene, which codes for ^a membrane protein, exhibits resistance to MV by reducing permeability of MV. Another explanation is also discussed that the overexpression of the MvrC protein induces or activates the MvrA protein, which reduces the toxic species produced by MV.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and bacteriophages

Isolation and characterization of methyl viologen (MV)-sensitive strain MC47 was described before (28). It is shortly described as follows. Strain AB1 157 was mutagenized with ethylmethane sulfonate and MV-sensitive mutants were isolated. Among them, a new mutant MMIX1-2 (mvrA2) was obtained and it was transduced into E.coli K12 strain AB2277 (thi-J, ilvD145105 metE46, his-4, trp-3, proA2, mtl-1, malA1, ara-9, galK2, lacY1 or lacZ4, strA8 or strA9, ton-1, tsx-3, supE44), which was obtained from B.J.Bachmann (CGSC2277, Coli Genetic Stock Center, Yale Univ.). The new gene mvrA was identified to map at ⁷ min on the E. coli linkage map. MV was toxic only in the presence of oxygen and its toxicity was suppressed by the radical scavenger uric acid. Strain MC47 was only sensitive to MV and had ^a normal capacity for the MV-damaged DNA. From these results, strain MC47 was assumed to be impaired in the elimination of MV-specific toxic species.

Strain MG1063 was used for the analysis of mvrC gene size by integration of transposon Tn1000 into mvrC gene (30). Strain K280 carrying uvrA48 and recA1 mutations was constructed by mating Hfr recAl with AB2417 (uvrA48), which was supplied from P.Howard-Flanders. It was used for the identification of MvrC protein labeled by maxicell method (31). Bacteriophages M13mp18 and M13mp19 were used for DNA sequencing (32). Lambda bacteriophages 2C4 $(\lambda158)$ and 8F11 $(\lambda159)$ carrying $12-13$ min region of E.coli linkage map (33) were supplied from Y.Kohara (National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Japan).

Plasmids

Plasmid vectors used were pMF3, pBR322, pKK223-3 and pMC1871. The latter two were purchased from Pharmacia-Japan (Tokyo, Japan). Plasmid pMF3 is a stringent type and a low copy number vector derived from F factor (34). Plasmid pFMV2 is a derivative of pMF3 which carries 3.3 kbp $mvrC$ containing DNA fragment derived from pMV2-1. Plasmid pKMV13 carrying only the ORF2 in Fig.6 was constructed with inserting 553 bp DNA fragment $(450-1002)$ bp locus of the mvrC containing DNA fragment in Fig.6) into the SmaI site of pKK223-3 vector. It deleted the GTGAGT sequence of the original -35 region of the *mvrC* gene. But instead, at 17 bp upstream of the -10 region, it got the TTGGCT sequence as a new consensus sequence for the -35 region. Plasmid pMVC12 bearing only the ORF2 in Fig.6 was constructed with inserting 578 bp fragment $(1-578$ bp locus of the *mvrC* containing DNA in Fig.6) into the SmaI site of pMC1871.

Chemicals and enzymes

Methyl viologen (1,1'-dimethyl-4,4'-bipyridinium dichloride, or paraquat) was obtained from Tokyo Kasei Kogyo (Tokyo, Japan). Cloning enzymes were purchased from Takara Shuzo (Kyoto, Japan) and Wako Chemicals (Tokyo, Japan) and were used according to the directions of the manufacturers. Radioisotopes of $[32P]$ dNTP mixture, $[32P]$ dCTP and $[35S]$ methionine were obtained from ICN Radiochemicals (Irvine, Calif.).

Media

L broth contained lOg Bacto tryptone, 5g yeast extract and 5g NaCl per 1. Agar media were solidified with 15g agar per 1. MV medium contained methyl viologen (MV) at the indicated concentration in L broth. For the labeling of protein, M9 medium was used (34).

Cloning of $mvrC$ gene and its mapping

An E.coli gene bank was prepared by digestion of plasmid pBR322 and of E. coli W3¹ ¹⁰ DNA with the restriction enzyme EcoRI, followed by ligation. The MC47 transformants were selected by screening 0.2 mM MV- and 50μ g tetracyclineresistant strains. Thus, plasmid pMV4 was obtained. It carried 13.5 kbp EcoRI DNA fragment which was localized from ⁵⁷⁴ to 588 kbp region on the E.coli physical map (33). Its derivatives, from pMV4-l to pMV4-6, were constructed by partial digestion of pMV4 with PstI, followed by self-ligation. The physical map of plasmid pMV4 conferring MV-resistance to strain MC47 (mvrA) was obtained by digestion with restriction enzymes BamHI, HindIII, BglI, KpnI, PstI, and PvuII. The localization of mvrC gene on the E. coli linkage map was predicted by comparing its physical map with the physical map of E. coli (33) and was determined by the Southern hybridization of lambda phage DNA covering this region with mvrC gene as ^a probe.

Insertion of Tnl000 into mvrC plasmid

This was done essentially the same methods as those of Guyer (30). A strain MG1063, which was transformed with plasmid pMV2-1 carrying mvrC gene, was mated with recipient strain MC47 recAl. Those recipient strains which became resistant to

both tetracycline and streptomycin were isolated. The transposon insertion points were determined from the restriction maps of $Tn/000$ available (30) and of the *mvrC* gene that was examined.

Analysis of plasmid-encoded proteins

Maxicells of strain K280(recA48 uvrAl) carrying plasmids pBR322, pMV2-1, and pMV2-l::Tnl000 were prepared after UV irradiation and treatment with D-cycloserine (31). Plasmidencoded proteins were labeled with 1μ Ci/ml of $[35S]$ methionine by culturing maxicells in M9 medium supplemented with 30μ g/ml of threonine, proline, and leucine. Then, they were analyzed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis containing sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS-PAGE).

RESULTS

Cloning of mvrC gene and its property

During the cloning of mvrA gene to complement the MVsensitivity of a *mvrA* strain, two kinds of plasmids, pMV1-1 and pMV2-1, were obtained (28). Plasmid pMVl-l was identified to carry the mvrA gene (28). The plasmid pMV2-1 carrying mvrC gene could also rescue the killing of mvrA strain by MV toxicity and the suppression by pMV2-1 seemed to be more extent than that by plasmid $pMV1-1$ carrying *mvrA* gene. The suppression of MC47 strain by mvrA gene was gene dosage dependent; it was completely suppressed by a stringent type vector but partial by a relaxed type vector (28). Then, the gene dosage effect on the suppression of the sensitivity to MV was examined (Fig. 1). Plasmids pMF3 and its derivative pFMV2 carrying mvrC gene were a stringent type vector derived from F factor and there are $2-3$ copies per cell. On the other hand, plasmid pMV2-1 is a

Fig.1. The gene dosage effect of the $mvrC$ gene on the suppression of the sensitivity to MV of strain MC47. Cells cultured to ^a stationary phase were spread on ampicillin-containing agar medium with or without MV at the indicated concentrations. After 2 or 3 days incubation, colonies were counted. MC47(pMF3), \circ ; MC47(pFMV2), \circ ; MC47(pMV2-1), \bullet .

derivative of pBR322 which is a relax type vector and there are ²⁰ or more copies per cell. The suppression to MV was proportional to the copy number of the plasmids which carried the 3.3 kbp PstI DNA fragment of plasmid pMV2-1, indicating

that the complementation by single $mvrC$ gene is partial and indirect. The suppression of the sensitivity to redox-cycing drugs of strain MC48 was examined (Fig.2). The suppression to MV was also proportional to the copy number of the plasmids even

Fig.2. The mvrC gene effect on the resistance to MV (A) and to plumbagin (B) of a wild-type strain MC48. Methods were almost the same as described in the legend to Fig.1. MC48(pBR322), \bigcirc ; MC48(pFMV2), \oplus ; MC48(pMV2-1), \bullet .

Fig.3. Southern hybridization. (a) Plasmid DNA digested with restriction enzyme PstI were electrophoresed through agarose gel and photographed. (b) DNA blotted on a membrane filter was hybridized with mvrC probe obtained from pMV2-1 and autoradiography was done. R and S indicate resistance and sensitivity to MV, respectively.

when a wild-type strain was used, while the suppression to plumbagin which was also an active oxygen propagator, was the same even though the copy number changed from one to at least twenty. These results indicate that the 3.3 kbp PstI DNA fragment carries the gene which specifically suppresses the sensitivity to MV of E.coli. The relevant gene was neither linked to *mvrA* at 7 min nor mvrB at 27 min by P1 transduction experiment (unpublished). That was, therefore, tentatively named $mvrC$ gene.

Mapping of mvrC gene

Plasmid pMV2-1 carried 3.3 kbp PstI DNA fragment, which had few sites for restriction enzymes used to construct the physical map of E.coli (33). E.coli had about 60 PstI DNA fragments of the same 3.3 kbp size. This fragment is, therefore, too small to be localized on the physical map of E.coli. To know its locus on the E.coli linkage map, the longer DNA fragment containing

Fig.4. Deletion mapping of the mvrC gene. Plasmid pMV2-1 was partially digested with restriction enzyme Dral and self-ligated. Open box represents the vector DNA of pBR322 and the closed one the cloned E.coli DNA. Arrows indicate the cutting sites by restriction enzyme DraI.

Fig.5. The localization of the mvrC gene on the physical map of plasmid pMV2-1 by transposon Tn1000 analysis. The integration sites of transposon Tn1000 determined were indicated as arrows. The transposons Tn/000 with $\delta \gamma$ direction were placed above the physical map and those with reverse direction γ δ were shown below it. Transposons Tn1000 of 5,700 bp are drawn not to scale. Restriction enzyme sites: B, BamHI; D, DraI; E, EcoRI;

the mvrC gene was cloned. That was the plasmid pMV4, which is a derivative of plasmid pBR322 carrying 13.5 kbp EcoRI DNA fragment. It could complement the sensitivity to MV of ^a mvrA strain as well as plasmid pMV2-1. It also included the 3.3 kbp PstI DNA fragment of the same size as plasmid pMV2-1. These results suggest that both plasmids have the same PstI DNA fragment which can complement the MV sensitivity of ^a mvrA strain. The physical map of plasmid pMV4, which was determined by digestion with various restriction enzymes used in the construction of $E.$ coli physical map (33), was completely the same as around 580 kbp locus on the E. coli physical map except the restriction sites by PvuII. These results suggest that the mvrC gene is localized around 12.3 min on the E. coli linkage map. To confirm them, the Southern hybridization experiment was done (Fig.3). The mvrC probe obtained from plasmid pMV2-1 could hybridize with 3.3 kbp PstI DNA fragment of plasmid pMV4 and its derivatives pMV4-4, pMV4-5 and pMV4-6, which were obtained by partial digestion with PstI followed by self-ligation. Moreover, it also could hybridize with 3.3 kbp PstI DNA fragments of λ 158 and λ 159, which were λ phages carrying $570 - 590$ kbp region of E. coli physical map (33). This region was 12.3 min on the E.coli linkage map and was completely different from the sites of *mvrA* (7 min) and *mvrB* (27 min) genes (28). From these results the $mvrC$ gene was identified to be a new gene which could specifically suppress the sensitivity to MV.

Fig.6: The nucleotide sequence of the mvrC gene containing DNA fragment. The DNA sequence of the 1 kb HindIII-DraI DNA fragment was determined by Sanger and Maxam-Gilbert methods. Open reading frames ¹ and 2 are underlined in order with the deduced amino acid sequences. The consensus sequences of -10 and -35 regions are also underlined. Inverted repeats are indicated by a pair of arrows. The sequence $1-204$ bp is completely the same as $1,054-1,258$ bp of IS3 (39) and the sequence $205-391$ bp is 90% homologous with that of lambda phage sequence 40,369 - 40,555 (40). The GenBank accession number is M62732.

The physical structure of the *mvrC* gene

The *mvrC* gene was more finely localized on the 3.3 kbp *PstI* DNA fragment by deletion mapping and transposon Tn1000 integration analysis. The 3.3 kbp PstI DNA fragment had three

Fig.7. Survival curves of strain MC47 carrying ORFI or ORF2 plasmid after plated on LB agar medium containing MV. MC47(pKK223-3), 0; MC47(pKMV13) carrying ORF2, \mathbb{D} ; MC47(pMV2-1) carrying ORF1 and ORF2, \bullet ; MC47(pMC1871), \triangle ; MC47(pMVC12) carrying ORF1, \blacktriangle .

cutting sites for restriction enzyme Dral. Various deletion plasmids were obtained after partial digestion with DraI and selfligation of plasmid pMV2-1. The relationship between the loss of MV resistance and the loss of DraI DNA fragment was examined (Fig.4). The loss of B fragment resulted in the loss of MV resistance and the presence of only B fragment was enough to exhibit the MV resistance, indicating that the $mvrC$ gene is present in B fragment of DraI digests of plasmid pMV2-1. Then, the *mvrC* locus was more finely mapped by transposon Tn1000 integration analysis (Fig.5). The insertion points of transposon TnJOOO were determined by analyzing the restriction fragments of plasmids pMV21::Tn1000 digested with EcoRI and BamHI, as described by Guyer (30). It was also confirmed that the $mvrC$ locus was in B fragment of DraI digests of pMV2-1. The maximum range of the mvrC gene inactivated by their insertions was 300 bp, and the minimum range of the *mvrC* gene not inactivated was 600 bp. It is, therefore, concluded that the size of the mvrC gene is between 300 and 600 bp which is localized in the B fragment of DraI digests.

DNA sequencing of mvrC gene

As the $mvrC$ gene was localized within 1 kbp HindIII-DraI DNA fragment (Fig.5), the DNA sequence of this fragment was determined by Sanger (32) and Maxam-Gilbert (36) methods. The DNA sequences obtained were completely the same and were shown in Fig.6. From the DNA sequence of 1,002 bp, there could be 13 open reading frames (ORF) on one strand and 8 ORFs on the complementary strand. Among them, either ORF1 of 186 bp plus ORF2 of 333 bp or single ORF2 was implicated to be $mvrC$ gene, because they satisfied the $mvrC$ gene size of 300 -600 bp predicted from transposon TnJ000 analysis. Then plasmids carrying ORFI and ORF2 were constructed and the suppression of sensitivity to MV was examined (Fig.7). Plasmid pKMV13 carrying single ORF2 rescued the killing of a mvrA strain by MV as well as plasmid pMV2-1, whereas plasmid pMVC12 carrying single ORF1 could not do so, indicating that ORF2 is

Fig.8. SDS-PAGE of plasmid-encoded proteins. Plasmid-encoded proteins were labeled with [35S]methionine after maxicell preparation and were analyzed by SDS-PA-GE. (A) 1, pBR322, MV^s; 2, pMV2-1, MV^r. (B) Plasmids pMV2-1::Tn/000. 1, pMV2101, MV^r; 2, pMV2103, MV^s; 3, pMV2106, MV^s; 4, pMV2107, MV^s; 5, pMV2109, MV^r; 6, pMV2110, MV^s; 7, pMV2111, MV^s; 8, pMV2121, MV^r. MV^r and MV^s indicate the resistance and sensitivity to MV, respectively.

the mvrC gene. The ORF2 is 333 bp and encodes a 12,011 dalton protein with the promoter consensus sequences of GTGAGT, TA-CAAT and ^a ribosome-binding site of AGGA. Moreover, downstream this coding region there is ^a T-rich loop with ^a GC stem, which is a consensus sequence for a rho-independent transcription tenninator. These results indicate that the ORF2 has a typical gene structure to be translated into a protein.

MvrC protein

From the DNA sequence of *mvrC* gene, the size of deduced MvrC protein is predicted to be ¹² kilo dalton (kd). The MvrC protein was identified by fluorography after it was labeled with [35S]methionine in maxicells and electrophoresed through SDS-PAGE (Fig.8). Plasmid pBR322 produced Amp and Tet proteins, while plasmid pMV2-1 newly produced 1.2 kd protein with losing Amp protein (Fig.8A). That was because the $mvrC$ gene was cloned at PstI site in amp gene. Plasmids such as pMV2101, pMV2109, and pMV2121, carrying intact mvrC gene produced 1.2 kd protein, while plasmids pMV2103, pMV2106, $pMV2107$, $pMV2110$, and $pMV2111$, bearing defective mvrC gene inserted by transposon Tnl000 lost this band (Fig.8B), indicating that the size of MvrC protein is 12 kd. This is in good agreement with the molecular weight of the MvrC protein deduced from DNA sequence.

To know the property of MvrC protein to suppress the sensitivity to MV, the hydrophobicity of MvrC protein was calculated according to the program devised by Kyte and Doolitfle (29). The mean hydropathy of the MvrC protein was 1.04, indicating that the MvrC protein is hydrophobic and can be classified into a group of membrane proteins. These results suggest that the *mvrC* gene codes for a membrane protein of 12 kd which specifically prevents the incorporation of MV into cells, with suppressing the sensitivity of a *mvrA* strain to MV.

DISCUSSION

The *mvrC* gene was identified to be a new gene which could suppress the sensitivity of a *mvrA* strain to MV toxicity. It was cloned and mapped at 12.3 min on the E.coli physical map (33). It was different from the locus of the mvrA gene mapped at 7 min (28). These results indicate that the sensitivity of a mvrA strain to MV toxicity is functionally relieved by the $mvrC$ gene. The hydrophobicity of the MvrC protein calculated from its amino acid composition indicates that the mvrC gene codes for a membrane protein (29), suggesting that the sensitivity of a *mvrA* strain to MV toxicity is rescued by preventing the incorporation of MV into cells. This was supported from the evidence that the mvrC gene specifically suppressed the sensitivity of a mvrA strain to MV toxicity but not to plumbagin toxicity. Moreover, the degree of suppression was proportional to the gene dosage. Namely, even ^a wild-type strain became more resistant to MV when the *mvrC* gene was cloned on a high copy number plasmid. These results indicate that the mvrC gene encodes a membrane protein which is impermeable to MV and gives resistance against MV even to ^a wild-type strain.

Methyl viologen is a derivative of viologen, a positively charged biphenyl, which is thought to require energy to be incorporated into cells. Kao and Hassan (37) reported the isolation of MV-tolerant E.coli strain AI-11 which was reduced in the active transport of MV into cells, suggesting that it is impaired in a membrane protein involved in the permeability of MV. It could be a mutant with reverse phenotype of mvrC gene. It is, therefore, likely that their MV-tolerant strain AI-11 is a constitutive mutant or overproduction mutant of mvrC gene. This possibility seems likely but it must wait to conclude it. The isolation of $mvrC$ mutant strain and analysis of MV uptake will provide insight into this hypothesis.

Another explanation on the function of the MvrC protein is that the overexpression of the MvrC protein induces or activates the MvrA protein which encodes a soluble protein, probably an enzyme (28), and reduces the toxic species produced by MV. It is ^a very attractive hypothesis but the function of the MvrA protein must be solved first. As for the function of the MvrA protein, we personally received a letter from Peter Reichard at Karolinska Institute, Sweden, that a component of ribonucleotide reductase, which is induced under anaerobic conditions, was purified and had a very similar amino acid sequence to the sequence of the MvrA protein. When reducing ribonucleotide, it uses an organic free radical (38). The MvrA protein might play a role to enhance reduction of toxic species produced by MV. The overproduction of the MvrC protein could induce or activate the MvrA protein which rescue E. coli from killing by toxic species produced by MV.

The DNA sequence of 1,002 bp including the 333 bp of $mvrC$ gene was determined. The homology search revealed that there was no homologous gene with the *mvrC* gene. On the contrary, there were IS3 and lambda homologous sequences in the flanking region of the *mvrC* gene. The DNA sequence of $1-204$ bp locus in Fig.6 was completely the same as the $1,054-1,258$ bp locus of the IS3 DNA sequence (39), indicating that the insertion sequence IS3 is integrated around 580 kbp on the physical map of E.coli. The $204-391$ bp region of the *mvrC* containing sequence in Fig.6 was about 90% homologous with the 40,369-40,555 bp locus of lambda DNA sequence (40), suggesting the abortive excision of lambda phage integrated at this region before.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Drs. B.J.Bachmann, P.Howard-Flanders and Y.Kohara for providing bacterial strains and plasmids. This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture and the Science and Technology Agency of Japan.

REFERENCES

- 1. Touati, D. (1983) J. Bacteriol. 155; 1078-1087.
- 2. Sakamoto, H. and Touati, D. (1984) J. Bacteriol. 159; 418-420.
- 3. Nettleton, C. J., Bull, C., Baldwin, T. 0. and Fee, J. A. (1984) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 81; 4970-4973.
- 4. Loewen, P. C. (1984) J. Bacteriol. 157; 622-626.
- 5. Loewen, P. C. and Triggs, B. L. (1984) J. Bacteriol. 160; 668-675.
- 6. Loewen, P. C., Triggs, B. L., George, C. S. and Hrabarchuk, B. E. (1985) J. Bacteriol. 162; 661-667.
- 7. Morimyo, M. (1982) J. Bacteriol. 152; 208-214.
- 8. Ananthaswamy, H. N. and Eisenstark, A. (1977) J. Bacteriol. 130; 187- 191.
- 9. Carlsson, J. and Carpenter, V. S. (1980) J. Bacteriol. 142; 319-321.
- 10. Demple, B., Halbrook, J. and Linn, S. (1983) J. Bacteriol. 153; 1079- 1082.
- 11. Katcher, H. L. and Wallace, S. S. (1966) Biochem. 22; 4071-4081.
- 12. Cunningham, R. P., Saporito, S. M., Spitzer, S. G. and Weiss, B. (1986) J. Bacteriol. 168; 1120-1127.
- 13. Hagensee, M. E., Bryan, S. K. and Moses, R. E. (1987) J. Bacteriol. 169; 4608-4613.
- 14. Imlay, J. A. and Linn, S. (1986) J. Bacteriol. 166; 519-527.
- 15. Demple, B. and Halbrook, J. (1983) Nature 304; 466-468.
- 16. Farr, S. B., Natvig, D. O., and Kogoma, T. (1985) J. Bacteriol. 164; 1309-1316.
- 17. Chan, E. and Weiss, B. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 84; 3189-3193.
- 18. Greenberg, J. T. and Demple, B. (1989) J. Bacteriol. 171; 3933-3939.
- 19. Walkup, L. K. B. and Kogoma, T. (1989) J. Bacteriol. 171; 1476-1484.
- 20. Tsaneva, I. R. and Weiss, B. (1990) J. Bacteriol. 172; 4197-4205.
- 21. Christman, M. F., Morgan, R. W., Jacobson, F. S. and Ames, B. N. (1985) Cell 41; 753-762.
- 22. Morgan, R. W., Christman, M. F., Jacobson, F. S., Storz, G. and Ames, B. N. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 83; 8059-8063.
- 23. VanBogelen, R. A. and Neidhardt, F. C. (1990) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 87; 5589-5593.
- 24. Kogoma, T., Farr, S. B., Joyce, K. M. and Natvig, D. O. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 85; 4799-4803.
- 25. Tartaglia, L. A., Storz, G. and Ames, B. N. (1989) J.. Mol. Biol. 210; 709-719.
- 26. VanBogelen, R. A., Kelley, P. M. and Neidhardt, F. C. (1987) J. Bacteriol. 169; $26 - 32$.
- 27. Storz, G., Jacobson, F. S., Tartaglia, L. A., Morgan, R. W., Silveira, L. A. and Ames, B. N. (1989) J. Bacteriol. 171; 2049-2055.
- 28. Morimyo, M. (1988) J. Bacteriol. 170; 2136-2142.
- 29. Kyte, J. and Doolittle, R. F. (1982) J. Mol. Biol. 157; 105-132.
- 30. Guyer, M. S. (1983) Methods Enzymol., Academic Press, Orlando, 101; $362 - 369$.
- 31. Sancar, A., Hack, A. M. and Rupp, W. D. (1979) J. Bacteriol. 137; 692-693.
- 32. Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. and Coulson, A. R. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 74; 5463-5467.
- 33. Kohara, Y., Akiyama, K. and Isono, K. (1987) Cell 50; 495-508.
- 34. Manis, J. J., and Kline, B. C. (1977) Molec. Gen. Genet. 152; 175- 182.
- 35. Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E. F. and Sambrook, J. (1982) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 36. Maxam, A. M. and Gilbert, W. (1980) Methods Enzymol., Academic Press, Orlando, 65; 499-560.
- 37. Kao, S. M. and Hassan, H. M. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260; 10478- 10481.
- 38. Eliasson, R., Fontecave, M., Jornvall, H., Krook, M., Pontis, E., and Reichard, P. (1990) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 87; 3314-3318.
- 39. Timmermann, K. P. and Tu, C. P. D. (1985) Nucleic Acids Res. 13; 2127-2139.
- 40. Sanger, F., Coulson, A. R., Hong, G. F., Hill, D. H., and Petersen, G. B. (1982) J. Mol. Biol. 162; 729-773.