

RNAPredator: fast accessibility-based prediction of sRNA targets

Florian Eggenhofer^{1,*}, Hakim Tafer², Peter F. Stadler^{1,2,3,4,5,6} and Ivo L. Hofacker^{1,5}

¹Institute of Theoretical Chemistry, University of Vienna, Währingerstrasse 17, A-1090 Vienna, Austria,

²Bioinformatics Group, Department of Computer Science, Interdisciplinary Center for Bioinformatics, University of Leipzig, Härtelstrasse 16-18, D-04107 Leipzig, ³Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Inselstrasse 22, ⁴RNomics Group, Fraunhofer Institut for Cell Therapy and Immunology, Perlickstrasse 1, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany, ⁵Center for non-coding RNA in Technology and Health, University of Copenhagen, Grønnegårdsvej 3, DK-1870 Frederiksberg, Denmark and ⁶The Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Road, Santa Fe, US-NM, USA

Received February 28, 2011; Revised May 15, 2011; Accepted May 21, 2011

ABSTRACT

Bacterial genomes encode a plethora of small RNAs (sRNAs), which are heterogeneous in size, structure and function. Most sRNAs act as post-transcriptional regulators by means of specific base pairing interactions with the 5'-untranslated region of mRNA transcripts, thereby modifying the stability of the target transcript and/or its ability to be translated. Here, we present RNAPredator, a web server for the prediction of sRNA targets. The user can choose from a set of over 2155 genomes and plasmids from 1183 bacterial species. RNAPredator then uses a dynamic programming approach, RNAPlex, to compute putative targets. Compared to web servers with a similar task, RNAPredator takes the accessibility of the target during the target search into account, improving the specificity of the predictions. Furthermore, enrichment in Gene Ontology terms, cellular pathways as well as changes in accessibilities along the target sequence can be done in fully automated post-processing steps. The predictive performance of the underlying dynamic programming approach RNAPlex is similar to that of more complex methods, but needs at least three orders of magnitude less time to complete. RNAPredator is available at <http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/RNAPredator>.

INTRODUCTION

Bacterial small RNAs (sRNAs) are very heterogeneous in size, structure and function (1). Despite notable

exceptions, most sRNAs act as post-transcriptional regulators by interacting with the 5'-untranslated region of mRNA transcripts (2). Similar to miRNAs in eukaryotes, sRNAs may target more than one mRNA and, conversely, a mRNA may be targeted by more than one sRNA. In contrast to miRNAs, however, sRNAs may cause both down- and upregulation of its target (3–5). This effect depends on the exact location of the interaction region and its effect on the structure of the target mRNA.

Many approaches have been developed to find sRNA targets. BLAST was successfully used to identify targets for micC (6) and istR-1 (7). TargetRNA (8,9) implements a Smith–Waterman (10) recursion scoring the base pairing potential of two RNAs. A slightly more complex model is used by Mandin *et al.* (11), where base pair stacks are scored according to the standard RNA folding energy model (12,13) and bulge penalties are optimized so that known interactions rank high.

More general approaches to describe RNA–RNA interactions based on the RNA folding energy model and consider the target site accessibility, like IntaRNA (14), RNAup (15,16) or biRNA (17) greatly improved sRNA–target predictions at the cost of an increased computation time.

In this contribution, we present RNAPredator, a web server dedicated to the genome-wide prediction of sRNA targets in bacterial genomes. The main machinery used by RNAPredator is RNAPlex (18,28), a new approach for RNA–RNA interaction search, which has a prediction accuracy similar to that of algorithms that explicitly consider intramolecular structures, but running at least three orders of magnitude faster than RNAup or IntaRNA. In addition to the improved run time, RNAPredator offers the user a graphical overview of the accessibility around the target ribosomal binding

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +43 1 4277 52731; Fax: +43 1 4277 52793; Email: egg@tbi.univie.ac.at
Correspondence may also be addressed to Hakim Tafer. Tel: +49 341 97 16682; Fax: +49 341 97 16679; Email: htafer@bioinf.uni-leipzig.de
Correspondence may also be addressed to Ivo L. Hofacker. Tel: +43 1 4277 52738; Fax: +43 1 4277 52793; Email: ivo@tbi.univie.ac.at

and plasmids. Alternatively, the species of interest can be chosen from a taxonomic tree.

Once the desired genome has been selected, a sRNA sequence should be entered in the sRNA sequence field. The target search is launched after the predict button has been pressed. Targets are searched for each annotated gene, including 5'- and 3'-UTR. The 5'-UTR and 3'-UTR regions are defined as the 200 nt regions directly up and downstream of the coding sequence. subsectionOutput after submission of the sRNA RNAPredator returns the target predictions. The results should be similar to the accessibility-based RNAPlex and better than RNAPlex without accessibility information. Still different parameters used to compute accessibility profiles from RNAPfold leads to different accessibilities and consequently to different RNAPlex results. In case of the sRNA micA in *Escherichia coli* (NC_000913), RNAPredator needs ~5 min to finish the computation, scanning the full coding sequence and 200 nt upstream of the start codon. TargetRNA needed 40 s for the whole genome, processing each coding sequence from 20 nt upstream of the translation start and 30 nt downstream with a seed length set to 1 and G:U pairs allowed.

The IntaRNA web server is much slower, as it takes 3 h to finish the computation, under the supplementary constraint that for each gene only subsequences of up to 500 nt can be searched.

The web server outputs a table of the 100 most stable duplexes found by RNAPlex (see Figure 1). Each line of the table contains the energy of interaction, i.e. the raw hybridization energy corrected for the opening energies on both the target and the sRNA sequences, the corresponding Z-score, which is useful for comparing interactions involving different sRNAs, the duplex structure in dot-bracket format, the start and end of the duplex on the target and query sequences, gene annotation, the NCBI accession number, genomic coordinates, as well as the type of replicon where the gene is found (chromosome/plasmid). Results can be sorted by all duplex characteristics, on the exception of the hybrid structure.

Even though most of the sRNAs act in vicinity of the 5'-end of the target RNA, there are growing evidences that sRNA may exert their effects by binding also in the coding sequence region (22,23). In order to concentrate on the region of interest, the user can filter the duplexes by setting a position filtering (for up to 500 interactions) on the target sites coordinates. Further filtering is achieved by limiting the number of returned duplex to 25, 50 and 75. If desired, the user can increase the number of displayed interactions to 500 or to the complete results returned by RNAPlex.

The left-most column allows the user to select genes of interest for further post-processing (Figure 2b), in particular the analysis of the accessibility around the target site for the bound (green line in Figure 2c and d) and unbound target (red line). These accessibility profiles are computed with RNAup. This adds important information since many sRNAs regulate their targets by changing the accessibility of the ribosomal binding site (5,24). Therefore, the difference in the accessibility before and after binding (black line), the position of the start codon (cyan vertical line)

as well as the boundaries of the target site (blue vertical line) are displayed, see Figure 2c and d. In the case of the RprA-rpoS and DsrA-rpoS duplexes (bottom left and right of Figure 2), for instance, the interactions take place 100 nt upstream of the start codon, but increase the accessibility of the region around the start codon (Figure 2c and d). Both interactions lead to a reduction of up to 4 kcal/mol of the opening energy around the start codon, leading to a strong upregulation of rpoS (5,24).

To better apprehend the function of the sRNA of interest, RNAPredator provides an enrichment analysis of GO terms in the set of selected targets. For each GO categories (Biological Process, Molecular Function, Cellular Component), the 20 highest enriched terms are returned in tabular format. Besides the GO-ID, annotated term, total number of genes linked to this GO-ID, total number of predicted targets linked to this GO-ID, number of expected linked targets as well as the P-value are returned. The results can be classified by any of the above characteristics.

Finally, the post-processing page shows in greater details the relevant characteristics of the duplex (ascii string) and allows to download the sequences of the target and sRNA by following the mRNA and sRNA sequence link, respectively.

Implementation details

RNAPredator was implemented in Perl 5. It uses the javascript library jQuery jquery.com to allow sorting of the results table. Computation of the accessibility profiles in the post-processing steps is performed with the help of the RNAup program. RNAPredator relies on different databases. The bacterial genomes were downloaded from NCBI ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/Bacteria, while taxonomy data were retrieved from NCBI ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/taxonomy. All available bacterial GO term flatfiles, which are necessary for the GO term enrichment analysis were downloaded from ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/GO/goa/proteomes. The computation of the GO term enrichment is based upon these files and an R-script based on the TopGO (25) library.

The most time consuming step in the interaction prediction is the computation of accessibilities along the bacterial genome. In order to speed up the calculation, we have precomputed the accessibility profiles for all genomes using RNAPfold (26,27).

BENCHMARK

RNAPredator was benchmarked against TargetRNA for a set of 30 interactions retrieved from the literature. For each experimentally confirmed interaction, the number of better scoring interactions was computed for both prediction tools. The ranking procedure only considered interactions predicted to be located between position -150 and 100 and -30 and 20 relative to the start codon, respectively [see Table 1. 73% of the interactions (22) ranked higher in RNAPredator than in TargetRNA]. TargetRNA was used with an hybridization length of 1, with allowed G:U pairs and with a

Table 1. Summary of TargetRNA and RNApredator ranking of 30 experimentally confirmed interactions

Genome	Species	sRNA	mRNA	Gene	TargetRNA	RNApredator
NC_000964	B.s.	FsrA	sdhC	BSU28450	NF(NF)	153(83)
NC_011601	E.c.O	OmrA	ompR	b3405	NF(NF)	436(49)
NC_011601	E.c.O	OmrA	ompT	b0565	NF(NF)	712(93)
NC_011601	E.c.O	OmrB	ompR	b3405	NF(31)	312(39)
NC_011601	E.c.O	OmrB	ompT	b0565	NF(NF)	210(13)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	CyaR	ompX	b0814	NF(NF)	495(86)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	CyaR	yqaE	b2666	NF(NF)	541(97)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	DsrA	hns	b1237	52(6)	8(4)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	FnrS	metE	b3829	5(8)	120(37)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	FnrS	sodB	b1656	24(21)	615(192)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	GcvB	cycA	b4208	37(5)	41(10)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	IstR	tisB	b4405	2(NF)	NF(NF)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	MicA	phoP	b1130	80(23)	57(10)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	MicC	ompC	b2215	2(5)	2(2)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	MicF	ompF	b0929	43(5)	2(2)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	OmrA	gntP	b4321	NF(NF)	79(17)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	OmrB	csgD	b1040	50(NF)	2(NF)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	RseX	ompC	b2215	98(NF)	504(238)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	RyhB	iscS	b2530	NF(NF)	123(30)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	RyhB	sodB	b1656	24(21)	184(52)
NC_000913	E.c.K.	SgrS	ptsG	b1101	NF(NF)	5(1)
NC_003210	L.m.	LhrA	lmo085	lmo0850	NF(NF)	31(NF)
NC_002505	V.c.	MicX	vca0620	vca0620	NF(34)	48(7)
NC_002505	V.c.	Qrr1	luxO	vca1021	NF(NF)	196(44)
NC_002505	V.c.	Qrr1	vca0939	vca0939	NF(NF)	5(NF)
NC_002505	V.c.	Qrr2	luxO	vca0620	NF(NF)	12(NF)
NC_002505	V.c.	Qrr2	vca0939	vca0939	NF(NF)	3(NF)
NC_002505	V.c.	Qrr3	vca0939	vca0939	NF(NF)	4(NF)
NC_002505	V.c.	Qrr4	vca0939	vca0939	NF(NF)	4(NF)
NC_002505	V.c.	VrrA	tcpA	vca0838	35(NF)	246(71)

The first column contains the NCBI accession ID of the species, the species name is indicated in the second column. The third and fourth columns contain the sRNA and mRNA gene tag, the fifth column shows the locus tag and the sixth and seventh columns contain the rank of the interaction for TargetRNA and RNApredator. In the last two columns, the number in parenthesis corresponds to the rank when the target search is constrained to a region located 30nt upstream and 20nt downstream of the start codon, while the other numbers correspond to the rank for the region spanning 150nt upstream and 100nt downstream of the start codon. NF stands for not found (TargetRNA does not return targets with a rank >100, and RNApredator hits also contain suboptimal interactions) B.s. is *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. subtilis str. 168, E.c.O is *Escherichia coli* O127:H6 str. E2348/69, E.c.K. is *Escherichia coli* str. K-12 substr. MG1655, L.m. is *Listeria monocytogenes* EGD-e and V.c. is *Vibrio cholerae* O1 biovar El Tor str. N16961.

accuracy of more complex methods like RNAup, IntaRNA or biRNA, while saving at least three orders of magnitude of CPU time. This allows to search for sRNA targets in bacterial species in a few minutes, compared to hours or days for IntaRNA or RNAup, respectively.

Unique features of the RNApredator web server are the post-processing steps. The computation of accessibility changes of the target upon sRNA binding may help in deciding whether the target will be up- or downregulated. The GO term enrichment allows to further filter the targets in order to select genes that belong to the group of highly enriched terms.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

FUNDING

Funding for open access charge: Austrian GEN-AU projects 'bioinformatics integration network III' and 'regulatory ncRNAs' (in part).

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Vogel, J. and Sharma, C.M. (2005) How to find small non-coding RNAs in bacteria. *Biol. Chem.*, **386**, 1219–1238.
- Livny, J., Brenic, A., Lory, S. and Waldor, M.K. (2006) Identification of 17 pseudomonas aeruginosa sRNAs and prediction of sRNA-encoding genes in 10 diverse pathogens using the bioinformatic tool sRNAPredict2. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **34**, 3484–3493.
- Lease, R.A. and Belfort, M. (2000) A trans-acting RNA as a control switch in *Escherichia coli*: DsrA modulates function by forming alternative structures. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **97**, 9919–9924.
- Lease, R.A., Cusick, M.E. and Belfort, M. (1998) Riboregulation in *Escherichia coli*: DsrA RNA acts by RNA:RNA interactions at multiple loci. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **95**, 12456–12461.
- Majdalani, N., Chen, S., Murrow, J., St. John, K. and Gottesman, S. (2001) Regulation of RpoS by a novel small RNA: the characterization of RprA. *Mol. Microbiol.*, **39**, 1382–1394.
- Chen, S., Zhang, A., Blyn, L.B. and Storz, G. (2004) MicC, a second small RNA regulator of Omp protein expression in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.*, **186**, 6689–6697.
- Vogel, J., Argaman, L., Wagner, E.G. and Altuvia, S. (2004) The small RNA istr inhibits synthesis of an sos-induced toxic peptide. *Curr. Biol.*, **14**, 2271–2276.
- Tjaden, B., Goodwin, S.S., Opdyke, J.A., Guillier, M., Fu, D.X., Gottesman, S. and Storz, G. (2006) Target prediction for small, noncoding RNAs in bacteria. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **34**, 2791–2802.

9. Tjaden, B. (2008) TargetRNA: a tool for predicting targets of small RNA action in bacteria. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **36**, 109–113.
10. Smith, T.F. and Waterman, M.S. (1981) Identification of common molecular subsequences. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **147**, 195–197.
11. Mandin, P., Repoila, F., Vergassola, M., Geissmann, T. and Cossart, P. (2007) Identification of new noncoding RNAs in *Listeria monocytogenes* and prediction of mRNA targets. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **35**, 962–974.
12. Zuker, M. and Stiegler, P. (1981) Optimal computer folding of large RNA sequences using thermodynamics and auxiliary information. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **9**, 133–148.
13. Mathews, D.H., Burkard, M.E., Freier, S.M., Wyatt, J.R. and Turner, D.H. (1999) Predicting oligonucleotide affinity to nucleic acid targets. *RNA*, **5**, 1458–1469.
14. Busch, A., Richter, A.S. and Backofen, R. (2008) IntaRNA: efficient prediction of bacterial sRNA targets incorporating target site accessibility and seed regions. *Bioinformatics*, **24**, 2849–2856.
15. Mückstein, U., Tafer, H., Hackermüller, J., Bernhart, S.H., Stadler, P.F. and Hofacker, I.L. (2006) Thermodynamics of RNA-RNA binding. *Bioinformatics*, **22**, 1177–1182.
16. Mückstein, U., Tafer, H., Bernhart, S.H., Hernandez-Rosales, M., Vogel, J., Stadler, P.F. and Hofacker, I.L. Translational control by RNA-RNA interaction: Improved computation of RNA-RNA binding thermodynamics. In Elloumi, M., Köng, J., Linial, M., Murphy, R.F., Schneider, K. and Toma, C. (eds), *Bioinformatics Research and Development*, Vol. 13 of *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, pp. 114–127. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2008.
17. Chitsaz, H., Salari, R., Sahinalp, S.C. and Backofen, R. (2009) A partition function algorithm for interacting nucleic acid strands. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 365–373.
18. Tafer, H. and Hofacker, I.L. (2008) RNAplex: a fast tool for RNA-RNA interaction search. *Bioinformatics*, **24**, 2657–2663.
19. Thomas, M., Lieberman, J. and Lal, A. (2010) Desperately seeking microRNA targets. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, **17**, 1169–1174.
20. Smith, C., Heyne, S., Richter, A.S., Will, S. and Backofen, R. (2010) Freiburg RNA tools: a web server integrating intaRNA, expaRNA and locaRNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **38**, 373–377.
21. Gruber, A.R., Lorenz, R., Bernhart, S.H., Neuböck, R. and Hofacker, I.L. (2008) The Vienna RNA website. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **36**, 70–74.
22. Papenfort, K., Said, N., Welsink, T., Lucchini, S., Hinton, J.C. and Vogel, J. (2009) Specific and pleiotropic patterns of mRNA regulation by ArcZ, a conserved, Hfq-dependent small RNA. *Mol. Microbiol.*, **74**, 139–158.
23. Pfeiffer, V., Papenfort, K., Lucchini, S., Hinton, J.C. and Vogel, J. (2009) Coding sequence targeting by MicC RNA reveals bacterial mRNA silencing downstream of translational initiation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, **16**, 840–846.
24. Sledjeski, D.D. and Gottesman, S. (1996) Osmotic shock induction of capsule synthesis in *Escherichia coli* K-12. *J. Bacteriol.*, **178**, 1204–1206.
25. Alexa, A., Rahnenführer, J. and Lengauer, T. (2006) Improved scoring of functional groups from gene expression data by decorrelating GO graph structure. *Bioinformatics*, **22**, 1600–1607.
26. Bompfünwerer, A.F., Backofen, R., Bernhart, S.H., Hertel, J., Hofacker, I.L., Stadler, P.F. and Will, S. (2008) Variations on RNA folding and alignment: Lessons from Benasque. *J. Math. Biol.*, **56**, 129–144.
27. Bernhart, S.H., Mückstein, U. and Hofacker, I.L. (2011) RNA Accessibility in cubic time. *Algorithms. Mol. Biol.*, **6**, 3.
28. Tafer, H., Amman, F., Eggenhofer, F., Stadler, P.F. and Hofacker, I.L. (2011) Fast Accessibility-Based Prediction of RNA-RNA Interactions. *Bioinformatics* (18 May, date last accessed).