## In Silico Evidence for the Horizontal Transfer of gsiB, a $\sigma^{B}$ -Regulated Gene in Gram-Positive Bacteria, to Lactic Acid Bacteria<sup> $\nabla$ </sup>

Ioanna-Areti Asteri,<sup>1,2</sup> Effrossyni Boutou,<sup>2</sup> Rania Anastasiou,<sup>1</sup> Bruno Pot,<sup>3</sup> Constantinos E. Vorgias,<sup>2</sup> Effie Tsakalidou,<sup>1</sup> and Konstantinos Papadimitriou<sup>1,2\*</sup>

Laboratory of Dairy Research, Department of Food Science and Technology, Agricultural University of Athens, Iera Odos 75, 118 55 Athens, Greece<sup>1</sup>; Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Biology, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimioupolis-Zographou, 157 84 Athens, Greece<sup>2</sup>; and Applied Maths N.V., B-9830 Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium<sup>3</sup>

Received 1 November 2010/Accepted 9 March 2011

gsiB, coding for glucose starvation-inducible protein B, is a characteristic member of the  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  stress regulon of *Bacillus subtilis* and several other Gram-positive bacteria. Here we provide *in silico* evidence for the horizontal transfer of gsiB in lactic acid bacteria that are devoid of the  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  factor.

In Bacillus subtilis and many other Gram-positive species, the alternative sigma factor  $\sigma^{B}$  is responsible for redirecting RNA polymerase under stress conditions to transcribe a set of genes known as the general stress regulon (41). In contrast, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are devoid of a  $\sigma^{\rm B}$  ortholog and they have evolved other types of regulatory networks (36, 40, 44). Included among the genes of the  $\sigma^{B}$  regulon is the gene coding for the glucose starvation-inducible protein B (gsiB) (27). It is well established that gsiB is activated under different stress conditions, including starvation and exposure of cells to heat, acid, ethanol, and high osmolality, etc. (9, 25, 27). GsiB is of particular interest, since it belongs to the late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) family of proteins. LEA proteins were originally characterized in plants, where they were found to play an important role in the desiccation tolerance of maturing seeds and in vegetative organs under water deficit conditions (5, 42). In fact, B. subtilis GsiB was the first prokaryotic group 1 LEA protein to be described (35).

During our investigation of the plasmid content of Pediococcus pentosaceus ACA-DC 3431, isolated from traditional Formaela cheese, we sequenced and characterized plasmid pPS1. The protocols and the bioinformatic tools used have been described previously (4). Based on its features, pPS1 is a new member of the pC194/pUB110 family of rolling-circle replicating plasmids (data not shown) and it carries two open reading frames (ORFs). orf1 encodes a replication initiation protein (Rep) which exhibits 93% similarity (E value, 1.0e-159; 100% query coverage) to the respective protein encoded by the pLTK2 plasmid isolated from Lactobacillus plantarum (23). BLASTP searches for the orf2 product (128 amino acids) revealed an interesting similarity pattern. The most significant matches before the first nonbacterial protein could be classified into two categories. The first three hits were LAB proteins, i.e., a general stress protein (Gsp, corresponding to GenBank accession no. BAC99042 [direct submission]) encoded by plasmid pLS141-1 from Lactobacillus sakei LK141

\* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Laboratory of Dairy Research, Department of Food Science and Technology, Agricultural University of Athens, Iera Odos 75, 118 55 Athens, Greece. Phone: 30 210 529 4661. Fax: 30 210 529 4672. E-mail: kpapadimitriou@aua.gr. (94% similarity; E value, 8.0e-44; 94% query coverage) and two identical GsiB proteins (corresponding to RefSeq accession no. ZP\_06197568 and ZP\_07367445 [direct submissions]) encoded on chromosomal contigs in the unfinished genomes of *Pediococcus acidilactici* strains 7\_4 and DSM 20284 (93% similarity; E value, 8.0e-43; 98% query coverage). Remaining hits were also GsiB proteins, mainly from several *Bacillales* species (in all cases, similarity was  $\geq 84\%$ , the E value was  $\leq 5.0e-17$ , and query coverage was  $\geq 80\%$ ).

The multiple-sequence alignment of pPS1 GsiB with the related proteins mentioned above was generated by MUSCLE (17) and revealed a significant degree of conservation among these proteins (Fig. 1A). It should be noted that in the case of more than one BLAST match from the same species, the best hit was selected for the multiple-sequence alignment in order to exclude putative paralogs. Detailed inspection of the LAB GsiBs identified five tandem repeats of 20 amino acids in each sequence, an organization very similar to that previously reported for the GsiB of B. subtilis (Fig. 1A) (35). In fact, all GsiBs exhibited 20-mer tandem repeats in various numbers. The consensus sequence created by the WebLogo tool (14) for all repeats present in the multiple-sequence alignment revealed several highly conserved positions (Fig. 1B). ProDom (29) under default settings recognized several protein family domains corresponding to two LEA 5 (PF00477) and up to five KGG (PF10685) pfam motifs in each of the LAB GsiB sequences. The LEA 5 motif is characteristic of the group 1 LEA proteins (5, 21, 39), while the KGG motif is found in bacterial stress-induced proteins (33), as well as in eukaryotic LEA proteins (42). Furthermore, ProDom analysis of the sequence comprising the most conserved amino acid positions in the logo of the GsiB tandem repeats (i.e., GX1KGGEATSX2 NHDKEFYQEI, where X<sub>1</sub> is R, E, K, Q, or H and X<sub>2</sub> is K, E, R, N, D, Q, or S) demonstrated that each tandem repeat is essentially part of the LEA 5 motif and includes the KGG motif (data not shown). In addition, the four LAB GsiB molecules each exhibited a significant hydrophilic index between -1 and -3 over its entire length, as revealed by the Kyte-Doolittle hydropathy analysis (performed at http://gcat .davidson.edu/DGPB/kd/kyte-doolittle.htm) (16), and a high glycine content ranging from 15.2 to 15.8%. Our findings

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> Published ahead of print on 18 March 2011.

/	
_	

<b>A.</b>	10	20	30	40	50	0	60	70		80
B amyloliquefaciens DSM7		· · · · · · · · · · · ·		EEAGKKGGOA		FYOEIGO	KGGEATSKN		QE I GEKO	GEATSKNHD
B amyloliquefaciens FZB42		MA		EEAGKKGGE	ATSNNHDKE	FYQEIG	KGGEATSKNH			GEATSKNHD
B clausii KSM-K16		MAQNNNRNN	<b>IGNNGKMSY</b>	EAGRKGGE	TTSRNHDKE	FYOEIGE	KGGEATSRNH			GEATSRNHD
B coagulans 36D1		MA		EAGRKGGE	ATADSHDKE	FYOEIGH	KGGETTSEK	GKEF		GEATADSHD
B coahuilensis m4-4		MAQNN	QNNGKMSY	EEAGKKGGOA	ATSNNHDKE	FYQEIGO	KGGEATADNH			GEATADNHD
B licheniformis ATCC 14580		MANN	NDDNKMSR	EEAGRKGGE	TSONHOKE	FYOEIG	KGGEATSONH		QEIGEKG	GEATSRNHD
B subtilis natto BEST195		N		EEAGRKGGE	TTSKNHDKE	FYQEIG	KGGEATSKNH			GEATSKNHD
B subtilis spizizenii ATCC 6633		N		EEAGRKGGE	TTSKNHDKE	FYQEIG	KGGEATSKNH			GEATSKNHD
B subtilis subtilis 168		N		EEAGRKGGE	TISKNHOKE	FYQEIGO	KGGEATSKNH	DKEF	QE IGEKG	GEATSKNHD
Bacillus sp 2 A 57 CT2		MAKNN	NNNDKMSR	ERGRMGGE	ATSRNHDKE	FYQEIGO	KGGEATSON			GEATAENHD
Bacillus sp B14905			MTV	EEAGRKGGE	ATSKNHORD	FYEEIGF	REGEATSKN	GHEEN		GKATADNHG
Bacillus sp BT1B CT2		MAN	NDDNKMSR	EEAGRKGGE	TSRNHDKE	FYQEIGO	KGGEATSONH	DKEF	QEIGEKG	GEATSRNHD
Bacillus sp NRRL B-14911		MEDMANN	NNNDKMSR	ERGRKGGE	ATSNNHDKE	FYQEIG	KGGEATSENH	DKEF		GEATAESHD
Bacillus sp SG-1		MANNQNNN	NNNGKMSR	EEAGRMGGKA	ATSRNHDKE	FYQEIGE	KGGEATSOSH		QEIGEKG	GETTSRNHD
L_sakei_LK141_(pLS141-1)		M1	KDNEKMSRE	EEAGKRGGE/	ASAKKHDKD	FYEDIG	KGGEATSKS	HDKDF	EETGEKG	GEATSESHD
Lysinibacillus_fusiformis_ZC1		MANKQNNRNF	RNNNENMSVE	EEAGRKGGE	ATSRNHDRD	FYEEIGF	KGGEATSKN	GHEFY	QE I GRKG	GEATAENHG
P_acidilactici_7_4		M/	KEEEKMTRE	EEAGKKGGEA	ATAKSHOKD	FYQDIG	KGGEATADS	IDKDF	QDIGEKG	GEATSETHD
P_acidilactici_DSM_20284		MA	AKEEEKMTRE	EEAGKKGGEA	ATAKSHDKD	FYODIG	KGGEATADS		ODIGEKO	GEATSETHD
P_pentosaceus_ACA-DC_3431_(pPS1)		MAK	KDNEKMSRE	EEAGRKGGEA	ATSNNHGDE	FYKENGE	KGGEATSES	IDKDEN	EKIGEKG	GEATSESHD
Paenibacillus polymyxa_E681		MTRN	NONOGKMSRE	EEAGRMGGE/	ATSKKHNKE	FYQEIG	KGGEATANSH	HDKE F	QE I GKKG	GDATAESHD
Paenibacillus_polymyxa_SC2		MANN	NONGKMSR	EEAGRLGGE	ATAKNHOKE	FYQEIGE	KGGEATSKS	IGKDF	QEIGEKG	GEATSKNHG
Paenibacillus_sp_786_D14		MA	ARSQSKMTRE	EEAGRLGGL	ATAKNHGKA	FYKQIGO	KGGEATSKT	INREF	AE I GOKG	GEATSOKHD
Paenibacillus_sp_Y412MC10		MAN	NNNGKMSR	EEAGRLGGE	ATANNHOKE	FYQEIGF	KGGEATSRS	DKEF	QE I GKKG	GDSTSNSHD
Paenibacillus_vortex_V453		MAKN	NNNGKMSR	EEAGRLGGE	ATANNHNKE	FYQEIGF	REGGEATSRA	IDRDF 1	QE I GRKG	GESTSNSHN
Uncultured_methanogenic_archaeon_RC	-/MQRFISPTLVLIDS	YGDIMVKKEE	EKKGGMSVF	REAGHKGGE	<b>TAETHGRE</b>	FYQEIGE	KGGERTAET	GKEF	QE I GHKG	GEKVAEEKG
	00 100	110	10		R1	140	450 R2		00	470R3
	90 100	110	12	.0 _ 1	30	140	150	1	60	1/04
B_amyloliquefaciens_DSM7	KE FYQE I GEKGGEA	TSKNHDKEFY	KE I GOKGGI	NRRSS	· <u></u> - <u>-</u>	<u></u>				
B_amyloliquefaciens_FZB42	KE FYQE I GEKGGEA	TSNNHDKEFY	ADE I GOKOGE	EATSKNHDK	DFYKE I GQK	GGNRRSS				
B_clausii_KSM-K16	KE FYQE I GEKGGEA	TSNNHDKEFY	QE I GKKGG	QTTS QNHDKE	EFYQEIGQK	GGQRNSS	NS			
B_coagulans_36D1	KDFYQE I GEKGGNA	RAKNNGED		· · · · · · · · · · · ·	· <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>	<u></u>				
B_coahuilensis_m4-4	KE FYQE I G <mark>QKGGE</mark> A	TANNHOKDFY	ADE I GOKGGO	DATSNNHDKE	EFYKEIGQK	GGNNSGI	'NNSSN			
B_licheniformis_ATCC_14580	KE FYQE I GEKGGEA	TSRNHDKEF	I QE I GEKGGE	EATSKNHDKE	EFYQEIGKK	<b>GG</b> EATSF	NHDKEFYQEN	IGEKG	RQRRSG-	
B_subtilis_natto_BEST195	KE FYQE I GEKGGEA	TSKNHDKEF	QE I GRKGGE	EATSKNHDKE	EFYQEIGSK	GGNARNN	ID			
B_subtilis_spizizenii_ATCC_6633	KEFYQEIGEKGGEA	TSENHDKEFY	QE I GRKGGE	ATSKNHDKE	FYQEIGSK	GGNARNN	ID			
B_subtilis_subtilis_168	KEFYQE IGEKGGEA	TSENHDKEFY	A CE IGRKGGE	EATSKNHDKE	EFYQEIGSK	GGNARNN	1D			
Bacillus_sp_2_A_57_CT2	SEFYQEIGQKGGEA	TAENHOKEFY	I QE I GRKGGE	EATSENHORD	DFYEEIGRK	GGNSND-				
Bacillus_sp_B14905	EEFYEEIGRKGGEA	RSKORSTNT	ISNONRRSNI	NR						
Bacillus_sp_BT1B_CT2	KEFYQE I GEKGGEA	TSRNHDKEFY	I GEKGGE	ATSKNHDKE	FYQEIGKK	GGEATSP	NHDKEFYQEN	IGEKG	RQRRSG-	
Bacillus_sp_NRRL_B-14911	KE FYQE I GKKGGEA	TSENHDKEFY	I G Q K G G E	ATSENHOK	DFYREIGEK	GGNSRNN	INND			
Bacillus_sp_SG-1	KEFYQEIGEKGGEA	TSRNHDKEFY	QEIGEKGGE	ATSRNHDKE	FYODIGER	GGNRSGN	INNS			
L_sakei_LK141_(pLS141-1)	KDFYEE IGEKGGEA	TSDSHDKGFY	TOKNOKKOGE	ATSDTHDK	DFYQE IGKK	GGKANSO	DDD			
Lysinibacillus_fusiformis_ZC1	EEFYEEIGRKGGEA	TSEKHDDDFY	IEE I GRKGGE	ATSEKHDD	DEMKK					
P_acidilactici_7_4	KDF YQDIIGEKGGEA	TSEAHDEEFY	QKNGKKGGE	ATSKSHGKL	DF YQE IGKK	GGRANSL	DD			
P_acidilactici_DSM_20284	KDF YQDIIGEKGGEA	TSEAHDEEFY	I QKNGKKGGE	ATSKSHGKL	DF YQE IGKK	GGRANSL	DD			
P_pentosaceus_ACA-DC_3431_(pPS1)	KDFYEKNGEKGGEA	TSESHOKDEN	IEKN <u>G</u> KKGGE	EATSESHDK	DFYERIGKK	GGKANSE	GDNN			
Paenibacillus_polymyxa_E681	KNFYRDIGRKGGRN									
Paenibacilius_pot/myxa_SC2	KEFYQETGRKGGEA		RGNS	SDOSDGKN	WSREEAGRK	GGEARAF	QRKNN			
Paenibacilius_sp_766_D14	KEFYREIGRKOGTA	TODOLLODEE	KPGIE	ATODOUCO	EVOELOOO	0.05 0.00				
Paenibacillus_sp_r412MC10	AEF TOE TOOKOGEA	TODTHOKEFY		ATSUSHGRE	FYGEIGOO	OGEARNO	SUSINGDGKMS	REEAC	RKOGEAR	ARURU RG
Paenibacillus_Vonex_V403		TSUINGKDEN		STSSSHGRE		OGESKNO	INHIE - DAKMS	REFAC	RKGGEAR	CARNRORLRR
Uncultured_methanogenic_archaeon_RC	-IPETTSKI GHKGGQK	D 4	KUL IEKOEL		<b>→</b>					
		K4		R5						
										-



FIG. 1. Analysis of the sequences of LAB and non-LAB GsiBs. (A) Multiple-sequence alignment of pPS1 GsiB and related proteins. Gray shading in the alignment reflects the degree of amino acid conservation. Boxes indicate the 20-amino-acid tandem repeats identified manually in the LAB and *B. subtilis* GsiBs. (B) Consensus sequence created by the WebLogo tool (14) for all 20-mer repeats present in the multiple-sequence alignment. Regions R1 to R5 in the multiple-sequence alignment were used for this analysis.

clearly suggest that LAB GsiBs belong to the hydrophilin-like superfamily that today contains diverse proteins (including all LEA groups) whose putative assigned function is to protect cells under conditions of dehydration (18). The *in silico* prediction of the existence of the *gsiB* gene in the pPS1 plasmid was further verified by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) using primers 5'-ATGGCTAAGAAAGATAACGA-3' and 5'-GAATTGGCTTTTCCGCCT-3' (data not shown) as described previously (4). Predictions concerning the secondary structures of the LAB GsiBs were inconclusive. Different pre-



0.1

FIG. 2. HGT route for the acquisition of GsiB by LAB. (A) Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of pPS1 GsiB and related proteins. (B) Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree of the 16S rRNA gene sequences of the species carrying GsiBs presented in panel A. Dotted arrows indicate HGT routes predicted by T-REX (26). Phylogenetic analysis was performed at the Phylogeny.fr pipeline (16), as described in the text. The lengths of the curated multiple-sequence alignments used for the construction of phylogenetic trees presented in panels A and B were 73 and 1,449 positions, respectively. Branch support values above 50% are indicated. Brackets highlight the positions of important clades in the phylogenetic trees that are further discussed in the text.

dictors (e.g., PSIPRED [22] and Jpred 3 [12]) returned contradicting results, supporting both unstructured and highly structured organizations for these proteins (data not shown), which coincide with the current debate on the actual structure of hydrophilins (5, 10, 18, 19, 42).

To gain more insight into the origin of GsiBs in LAB, their

evolutionary relationship to other GsiBs was investigated. The multiple-sequence alignment shown in Fig. 1A was further curated with Gblocks using default parameters (11), and the phylogenetic tree of GsiBs was calculated by PhyML (20) with the WAG substitution model and the  $\chi^2$ -based parametric approximate likelihood-ratio test (aLRT) for branch support



FIG. 3. Relatedness of the pLS141-1 replicon with the pLS55/pMA67/pSU1/pBHS24 replicon. The phylogenetic tree of pLS141-1 Rep and related proteins was constructed using maximum likelihood at the Phylogeny.fr pipeline (16), as described in the text. The length of the curated multiple-sequence alignment used for the construction of the phylogenetic tree was 214 positions. The bracket and the solid arrow highlight the positions of the *Bacillales/Lactobacillus* clade and the pLS141-1 protein, respectively, which are further discussed in the text.

(3). The analysis was performed with the Phylogeny.fr pipeline (16). LAB GsiBs formed a separate clade within the phylogenetic tree that was fully surrounded by Bacillales-derived sequences (Fig. 2A). No other sequences belonging to LAB could be placed within this phylogenetic tree, since even a PSI-BLAST search for GsiB did not return any additional LAB homolog, even distantly related. While the phylogenetic distance between the LAB and the Bacillales species carrying GsiBs is obvious, the close phylogenetic relatedness of the GsiBs suggested some type of horizontal gene transfer (HGT). To assess HGT, we employed T-REX, a program that identifies topological violations in a gene/protein tree in relation to the respective species tree and calculates the possibility of HGT events during the evolution of the considered organisms (7, 26). For this reason, we constructed the 16S rRNA gene phylogenetic tree of all strains presented in Fig. 2A (Fig. 2B). Full or partial 16S rRNA gene sequences were retrieved from the Ribosomal Database Project website (13) or GenBank (6). A partial 16S rRNA gene sequence of P. pentosaceus ACA-DC 3431 was determined and deposited in the EMBL database. In the case of L. sakei, we used the 16S rRNA gene sequence of the L. sakei type strain (DSM 20017), since the 16S rRNA gene sequence of L. sakei LK141 is not available. Multiple-sequence alignment of the 16S rRNA gene sequences was performed using ClustalW (38), and the alignment was curated with Gblocks under settings for a less stringent selection (11). The phylogenetic tree was constructed with the neighbor-joining method (34) and the Kimura 2-parameter substitution model using the Phylogeny.fr pipeline (16). Branch support was estimated by bootstrapping (1,000 replicates). T-REX analysis using the detection mode of several HGTs by iteration and the optimization criterion of bipartition dissimilarity (8) predicted HGT routes that could resolve the differences between the GsiB phylogenetic tree and the relevant 16S rRNA gene sequence species tree. Among these routes, we identified one that could mediate the transfer of gsiB from the Paenibacillus

clade to LAB and the dispersion of this gene from the pLS141-1 *L. sakei* plasmid to the chromosomes of the two strains of *P. acidilactici* (represented by arrows in Fig. 2B). A number of scenarios for the *gsiB* HGT among *Bacillales* were also predicted, including the transfer of this gene from *Bacillus* to *Paenibacillus* species (data not shown).

The HGT between Paenibacillus and LAB was also supported by further analysis of pLS141-1. The most significant matches in the BLASTP analysis for the pLS141-1 Rep protein (in all cases, similarity was  $\geq 88\%$ , the E value was  $\leq 4.0e-81$ , and query coverage was  $\geq 94\%$ ) were used to construct the phylogenetic tree shown in Fig. 3 with the same methodology used for the pPS1 GsiB tree. Among the evolutionary partners of the pLS141-1 Rep, the majority of which were of LAB origin, the Rep proteins encoded by the L. sakei plasmid pLS55 (1), the Paenibacillus larvae plasmid pMA67 (30), the Sporosarcina ureae plasmid pSU1 (corresponding to RefSeq accession no. YP 003560375 [direct submission]), and the Bacillus sp. strain #24 plasmid pBHS24 (32) could be identified (in all cases, similarity was  $\geq$ 89%, the E value was  $\leq$ 8.0e-99, and query coverage was 99%) (Fig. 3). The aforementioned plasmids, i.e., pLS55, pMA67, pSU1, and pBHS24, are practically identical (with fewer than 10 nucleotides differing over their 5-kb lengths), and they carry the tetracycline resistance gene tetL. Importantly, the partaking of the pLS55/pMA67 replicon by both P. larvae and L. sakei has been suggested to account for the HGT of the *tetL* gene in these species (30). Since the replication backbone of pLS141-1 is similar to the pLS55/ pMA67/pSU1/pBHS24 replicon (data not shown), the plasmid is a perfect candidate as a Bacillales/Lactobacillus vehicle. Such an intraspecies vehicle, able to overcome the species barrier, is a prerequisite when HGT is mediated by plasmids in bacteria (37). pLS141-1 could have acted as an acceptor of the ancestral gsiB in Paenibacillus species. Transmission of pLS141-1 to LAB may account for their acquisition of gsiB, which could have further moved by recombination events to the chromosome

	-35	-10		RBS 🗩
pPS1:	AACCGTTTACTTAAAAAA	AATTACGTGATAATATAGCGTT	AGTAGAAGAAATTGTTCTTAAGCAGATA	CAAATACTCAATATTAG <u>AGGAGG</u> ATTTTTATG
pLS141-1:	AATTTCTCAAAACTAAGO	CATAATGT <mark>TTGTGG</mark> TCTAGTAA	GAAAGC <mark>GCTTAATAT</mark> AAAGACCGTAGA/	GAAATCAAATTTT <u>AGGAGG</u> AAAGAGAATATG
P. acidilactici 7_4:	TTTTCTGAGCATCTGCAA	GCGTTAAATTTGTAATCGCTTT	AAAAAGCATTTAACATAACACTCGTAGA	AAAAAACTAACTCA <u>AGGAGG</u> AATGAAACATG
P. acidilactici DSM20284:	TTTTCTGAGCATCTGCAA	GCGTTAAATTTGTAATCGCTTT	AAAAAGCATTTAACATAACACTCGTAGA	AAAAAACTAACTCA <u>AGGAGG</u> AATGAAATATG
B. subtilis 168:	TTTTTTTAGTGGAAACAT		AAAAGAATTGTGAGCGGGAATACAACAA	CCAACACCAATTAA <u>AGGAGG</u> AATTCAAAAATG

## $\sigma^{B}$ promoter

FIG. 4. Promoter regions of the LAB and *B. subtilis* strain 168 gsiB genes. In LAB, no  $\sigma^{B}$  promoters were detected that would satisfy the requirements of the consensus  $\sigma^{B}$  sequences GTTTAA (-35 region), and GGG(A/T)A(A/T) (-10 region) determined previously (31). The  $\sigma^{B}$  promoter was detected only for the gsiB gene of *B. subtilis*. In all other cases, the non- $\sigma^{B}$  promoters and ribosome binding site (RBS) sequences were predicted as described before (4). The right arrow indicates the start codons of the genes.

(e.g., in the case of the *gsiB* genes of *P. acidilactici* strains 7\_4 and DSM 20284) or to plasmids (e.g., in the case of *gsiB* of pPS1). In fact, *Paenibacillus* and LAB species coexist in several ecological niches, including food matrices like milk or dairy products (15), and thus HGT among these bacteria is feasible. Furthermore, it has been suggested previously that *gsiB* was transferred to *B. subtilis* by HGT from plants (24). In our opinion, the acquisition of *gsiB* by *B. subtilis* through HGT is also supported by the fact that the *gsiB* gene is absent in the species of the *Bacillus cereus* group (2). Consequently, LAB GsiBs seem to be the endpoint of a domino of HGT events that started from plants.

Finally, inspection of the LAB *gsiB* sequences revealed that no  $\sigma^{B}$  promoter (31) could be identified (Fig. 4). This finding shows that irrespective of the underlying evolutionary process of *gsiB* acquisition by LAB, the  $\sigma^{B}$  promoter was rejected, since it would have been useless for regulating the expression of the gene in these bacteria that are devoid of a  $\sigma^{B}$  ortholog.

It should be emphasized that no phenotype is as yet associated with the gsiB B. subtilis mutant (28) and heterologous expression of plant LEA proteins in Escherichia coli results in only a moderate improvement of its ability to grow under salt or low-temperature stress conditions (43). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report concerning the identification of a putative GsiB in LAB, providing *in silico* evidence for the existence of group 1 LEA hydrophilins in these bacteria. We are now investigating the functional role of GsiB in LAB stress physiology.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** The annotated nucleotide sequence of pPS1 (2,721 bp) was deposited in the EMBL database under accession no. FN869858. The partial 16S rRNA gene sequence of *P. pentosaceus* ACA-DC 3431 was deposited in the EMBL database under accession no. FR714835.

Ioanna-Areti Asteri was financially supported by the State Scholarships Foundation of Greece (IKY-Idryma Kratikon Ypotrofion).

## REFERENCES

- Ammor, M. S., et al. 2008. Two different tetracycline resistance mechanisms, plasmid-carried *tet*(L) and chromosomally located transposon-associated *tet*(M), coexist in *Lactobacillus sakei* Rits 9. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74: 1394–1401.
- Anderson, I., et al. 2005. Comparative genome analysis of *Bacillus cereus* group genomes with *Bacillus subtilis*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 250:175–184.
- Anisimova, M., and O. Gascuel. 2006. Approximate likelihood-ratio test for branches: a fast, accurate, and powerful alternative. Syst. Biol. 55:539–552.
- 4. Asteri, I. A., et al. 2010. Characterization of pLAC1, a cryptic plasmid

isolated from *Lactobacillus acidipiscis* and comparative analysis with its related plasmids. Int. J. Food Microbiol. **141**:222–228.

- Battaglia, M., Y. Olvera-Carrillo, A. Garciarrubio, F. Campos, and A. A. Covarrubias. 2008. The enigmatic LEA proteins and other hydrophilins. Plant Physiol. 148:6–24.
- Benson, D. A., I. Karsch-Mizrachi, D. J. Lipman, J. Ostell, and D. L. Wheeler. 2008. GenBank. Nucleic Acids Res. 36:D25–D30.
- Boc, A., and V. Makarenkov. 2003. New efficient algorithm for detection of horizontal gene transfer events, p. 190–201. *In* G. Benson and R. Page (ed.), Algorithms in bioinformatics, vol. 2812. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- Boc, A., H. Philippe, and V. Makarenkov. 2010. Inferring and validating horizontal gene transfer events using bipartition dissimilarity. Syst. Biol. 59:195–211.
- Brigulla, M., et al. 2003. Chill induction of the SigB-dependent general stress response in *Bacillus subtilis* and its contribution to low-temperature adaptation. J. Bacteriol. 185:4305–4314.
- Browne, J. A., et al. 2004. Dehydration-specific induction of hydrophilic protein genes in the anhydrobiotic nematode *Aphelenchus avenae*. Eukaryot. Cell 3:966–975.
- Castresana, J. 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Mol. Biol. Evol. 17:540–552.
- Cole, C., J. D. Barber, and G. J. Barton. 2008. The Jpred 3 secondary structure prediction server. Nucleic Acids Res. 36:W197–W201.
- Cole, J. R., et al. 2009. The Ribosomal Database Project: improved alignments and new tools for rRNA analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 37:D141–D145.
- Crooks, G. E., G. Hon, J. M. Chandonia, and S. E. Brenner. 2004. WebLogo: a sequence logo generator. Genome Res. 14:1188–1190.
- De Jonghe, V., et al. 2010. Toxinogenic and spoilage potential of aerobic spore-formers isolated from raw milk. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 136:318–325.
- Dereeper, A., et al. 2008. Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. Nucleic Acids Res. 36:W465–W469.
- Edgar, R. C. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32:1792–1797.
- Garay-Arroyo, A., J. M. Colmenero-Flores, A. Garciarrubio, and A. A. Covarrubias. 2000. Highly hydrophilic proteins in prokaryotes and eukaryotes are common during conditions of water deficit. J. Biol. Chem. 275:5668– 5674.
- Goyal, K., et al. 2003. Transition from natively unfolded to folded state induced by desiccation in an anhydrobiotic nematode protein. J. Biol. Chem. 278:12977–12984.
- Guindon, S., and O. Gascuel. 2003. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Syst. Biol. 52:696–704.
- Hundertmark, M., and D. K. Hincha. 2008. LEA (late embryogenesis abundant) proteins and their encoding genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. BMC Genomics 9:118.
- Jones, D. T. 1999. Protein secondary structure prediction based on positionspecific scoring matrices. J. Mol. Biol. 292:195–202.
- Kaneko, Y., et al. 2000. Development of a host-vector system for *Lactoba-cillus plantarum* L137 isolated from a traditional fermented food produced in the Philippines. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 89:62–67.
- Koonin, E. V., K. S. Makarova, and L. Aravind. 2001. Horizontal gene transfer in prokaryotes: quantification and classification. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 55:709–742.
- Kovacs, T., A. Hargitai, K. L. Kovacs, and I. Mecs. 1998. pH-dependent activation of the alternative transcriptional factor sigmaB in *Bacillus subtilis*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 165:323–328.
- Makarenkov, V. 2001. T-REX: reconstructing and visualizing phylogenetic trees and reticulation networks. Bioinformatics 17:664–668.
- Maul, B., U. Volker, S. Riethdorf, S. Engelmann, and M. Hecker. 1995. Sigma B-dependent regulation of gsiB in response to multiple stimuli in Bacillus subtilis. Mol. Gen. Genet. 248:114–120.
- Mueller, J. P., G. Bukusoglu, and A. L. Sonenshein. 1992. Transcriptional regulation of *Bacillus subtilis* glucose starvation-inducible genes: control of

gsiA by the ComP-ComA signal transduction system. J. Bacteriol. **174**:4361–4373.

- Mulder, N., and R. Apweiler. 2007. InterPro and InterProScan: tools for protein sequence classification and comparison. Methods Mol. Biol. 396: 59–70.
- Murray, K. D., K. A. Aronstein, and J. H. de Leon. 2007. Analysis of pMA67, a predicted rolling-circle replicating, mobilizable, tetracycline-resistance plasmid from the honey bee pathogen, *Paenibacillus larvae*. Plasmid 58:89– 100.
- Petersohn, A., et al. 1999. Identification of σ<sup>B</sup>-dependent genes in *Bacillus subtilis* using a promoter consensus-directed search and oligonucleotide hybridization. J. Bacteriol. 181:5718–5724.
- Phelan, R. W., et al. 2011. Tetracycline resistance-encoding plasmid from Bacillus sp. strain #24, isolated from the marine sponge Haliclona simulans. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77:327–329.
- Robbe-Saule, V., M. D. Lopes, A. Kolb, and F. Norel. 2007. Physiological effects of Crl in *Salmonella* are modulated by σ<sup>S</sup> level and promoter specificity. J. Bacteriol. 189:2976–2987.
- Saitou, N., and M. Nei. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 4:406–425.
  Stacy, R. A., and R. B. Aalen. 1998. Identification of sequence homology
- 35. Stacy, R. A., and R. B. Aalen. 1998. Identification of sequence homology between the internal hydrophilic repeated motifs of group 1 late-embryogenesis-abundant proteins in plants and hydrophilic repeats of the general stress protein GsiB of *Bacillus subtilis*. Planta 206:476–478.

- Sugimoto, S., Abdullah-Al-Mahin, and K. Sonomoto. 2008. Molecular chaperones in lactic acid bacteria: physiological consequences and biochemical properties. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 106:324–336.
- 37. Thomas, C. M., and K. M. Nielsen. 2005. Mechanisms of, and barriers to, horizontal gene transfer between bacteria. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 3:711–721.
- Thompson, J. D., D. G. Higgins, and T. J. Gibson. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 22:4673–4680.
- Tunnacliffe, A., and M. J. Wise. 2007. The continuing conundrum of the LEA proteins. Naturwissenschaften 94:791–812.
- van de Guchte, M., et al. 2002. Stress responses in lactic acid bacteria. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 82:187–216.
- van Schaik, W., and T. Abee. 2005. The role of sigmaB in the stress response of Gram-positive bacteria—targets for food preservation and safety. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 16:218–224.
- Wise, M. J., and A. Tunnacliffe. 2004. POPP the question: what do LEA proteins do? Trends Plant Sci. 9:13–17.
- 43. Ying, L. A. N., C. A. I. Dan, and Z. Yi-Zhi. 2005. Expression in *Escherichia coli* of three different soybean late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) genes to investigate enhanced stress tolerance. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 47:613–621.
- 44. Yother, J., P. Trieu-Cuot, T. R. Klaenhammer, and W. M. de Vos. 2002. Genetics of streptococci, lactococci, and enterococci: review of the sixth international conference. J. Bacteriol. 184:6085–6092.