

Correspondence

ABL Kinase Domain Pseudoexon Insertion Is Not Uncommon in BCR-ABL Transcripts

To the Editor-in-Chief:

We read with interest an article in the March issue of *The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics*, in which Laudadio and colleagues¹ reported detection of a 35-base insertion in the ABL kinase domain of BCR-ABL transcripts from three chronic myelogenous leukemia patients. We wish to direct your readers to an Association for Molecular Pathology meeting abstract published in *JMD* 4 years ago in which we described our discovery of the same mutation in the course of validating our ABL kinase domain DNA sequencing assay.²

After discovering and characterizing this mutation in June of 2004, one of us (N.B.Q.) communicated our observations to Susan Branford (the Institute of Medical and Veterinary Science, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia), who had helped us months earlier with ABL sequencing primer design for our assay. Susan Branford indicated that their group had also seen ABL gene insertion mutations in some chronic myelogenous leukemia patients, but that they had not characterized those mutations (personal communication).

Although we were only able to indicate our main findings in the abstract (ie, discovery of a novel frame-shift insertion mutation in the ABL kinase domain of BCR-ABL transcripts, mapping the source of the insert to intron 8 of the ABL gene, proposal of aberrant splicing as its genesis, discovery of the same insertion in BCR-ABL transcripts from other chronic myelogenous leukemia patients, and lack of apparent correlation between insertion mutation transcript abundance and clinical symptoms), the companion poster (available on request), described in considerable detail our characterization of this novel mutation.

In addition to the shared observations that were made both in our abstract² and in the recent article by Laudadio and colleagues,¹ our poster made two key additional experimental observations that hinted strongly at the possible ubiquity of this alternate splicing event. We reported in the poster that RNA extracted from eight of eight chronic myelogenous leukemia patients undergoing Gleevec therapy (but not K562 or HL60 RNA) contained at least some detectable transcripts carrying the 35-base insertion (ranging in relative abundance from <5% up to ~80% of all BCR-ABL transcripts) and the same 35-base insert-carrying transcripts were also detected in low abundance among the normal (nonfusion) ABL gene transcript

pool, as assessed by ABL gene amplification without prior enrichment amplification for BCR-ABL transcripts. We proposed in the poster that, since splice variants are known for many genes, one could expect other BCR-ABL splicing anomalies would be found as more sequencing analysis is performed (as reported recently by Volpe et al³). We observed also that, based on the literature,⁴ the 35-base insert qualified as a legitimate pseudoexon but that the complex factors regulating selection among possible alternate splice points were not well understood.

The recent article by Laudadio and colleagues¹ provides valuable independent confirmation in three additional chronic myelogenous leukemia patients of the presence of the 35-base pseudoexon in the ABL kinase domain that we reported in 2004. It is our present contention that this aberrant splicing event is quite commonly detected in the BCR-ABL transcript pool (and perhaps also in the corresponding normal ABL gene transcript pool) in chronic myelogenous leukemia patients and that its abundance is dynamic in individual patients and probably variable across many chronic myelogenous leukemia patients.

It would be very interesting to know whether or not the abundance of these alternately spliced transcripts will be correlated in the future with therapy response or prognosis. To this end, we have designed a TaqMan probe and two pairs of real-time polymerase chain reaction primers with which to quantify the relative abundance of these alternate transcripts in chronic myelogenous leukemia patients and healthy donors.

Neil B. Quigley
Donald C. Henley
Roger A. Hubbard

Molecular Pathology Laboratory Network, Inc.
Marryville, Tennessee

References

1. Laudadio J, Deininger MW, Mauro MJ, Druker BJ, Press RD: An intron-derived insertion/truncation mutation in the BCR-ABL kinase domain in chronic myeloid leukemia patients undergoing kinase inhibitor therapy. *J Mol Diagn* 2008, 10:177–180
2. Quigley N, Henley D, Hubbard R: Characterization of a novel frame shift mutation in BCR-ABL transcripts. *J Mol Diagn* 2004, 6:415–416
3. Volpe G, Cignetti A, Panuzzo C, Kuka M, Vitaggio K, Brancaccio M,

Perrone G, Rinaldi M, Prato G, Fava M, Geuna M, Pautasso M, Casnici C, Signori E, Tonon G, Tarone G, Marelli O, Fazio V, Saglio G: Alternative BCR-ABL splice variants in Philadelphia chromosome-positive leukemias result in novel tumor-specific fusion proteins that may represent potential targets for immunotherapy approaches. *Cancer Res* 2007, 67:5300–5307

4. Cartegni L, Chew SL, Krainer AR: Listening to silence and understanding nonsense: exonic mutations that affect splicing. *Nat Rev Genet* 2002, 3:285–298

Authors' Reply:

In response to the informative correspondence of Neil Quigley and colleagues regarding our description of an insertion mutation in the BCR-ABL kinase domain of patients with imatinib-treated chronic myelogenous leukemia,¹ we readily agree that this mutation is dynamic and not uncommon. But what exactly is the prevalence of BCR-ABL deletion/insertion/splice variants as compared to their better-studied point mutation counterparts? Although the prevalence of the specific 35-bp intron 8 insertion variant that we reported has, to our knowledge, never been documented in the peer-reviewed literature, our group recently found a 15% prevalence of other deletion/insertion/splice variants among an unselected cohort of 95 imatinib-treated chronic myelogenous leukemia patients.² To similarly address this prevalence issue (of the 35-bp intron 8 insertion), Quigley and colleagues³ reported in their abstract that “close inspection of sequence electropherograms from other BCR-ABL-positive patients revealed that a very small proportion (<5%) of their BCR-ABL mRNAs also carried the same insertion.” Given the well-known analytical sensitivity limit of direct DNA sequencing for detecting low-level variants (~20%), we wonder how these much lower-abundance (<5%)

variants could have been distinguished from background signals. We will therefore eagerly await the publication of results from Quigley and colleagues allele-specific Taq-Man assay, which will, of course, be a much better assay for both detecting and quantitating low-level variants. Perhaps more important, however, from a practical perspective, will be a direct functional characterization of the kinase, drug resistance, and transforming activities of the intron 8 insertion variant—which we predict will be absent because of the loss of the entire *ABL* last exon region. We thank Quigley and colleagues for their comments and for continuing this important discussion on BCR-ABL kinase domain mutations in chronic myelogenous leukemia patients being treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

Jennifer Laudadio

*Wake Forest University
Winston-Salem, North Carolina*

Richard D. Press

*Oregon Health and Science University
Portland, Oregon*

References

1. Laudadio J, Deininger MW, Mauro MJ, Druker BJ, Press RD: An intron-derived insertion/truncation mutation in the BCR-ABL kinase domain in chronic myeloid leukemia patients undergoing kinase inhibitor therapy. *J Mol Diagn* 2008, 10:177–180
2. Sherbenou DW, Hantschel O, Turaga L, Kaupe I, Willis S, Bumm T, Press RD, Superti-Furga G, Druker BJ, Deininger MW: Characterization of BCR-ABL deletion mutants from patients with chronic myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia* 2008, 22:1184–1190
3. Quigley N, Henley D, Hubbard R: Characterization of a novel frame shift mutation in BCR-ABL transcripts. *J Mol Diagn* 2004, 6: 415–416