Senescent cells develop a PARP-1 and nuclear factor-kB-associated secretome (PNAS)

Mickaël Ohanna,^{1,2} Sandy Giuliano,^{1,2} Caroline Bonet,^{1,2} Véronique Imbert,^{2,3} Véronique Hofman,^{2,4,5} Joséphine Zangari,^{1,2} Karine Bille,^{1,2} Caroline Robert,⁶ Brigitte Bressac-de Paillerets,⁷ Paul Hofman,^{2,4,5} Stéphane Rocchi,^{1,2,8} Jean-François Peyron,^{2,3,9,10} Jean-Philippe Lacour,⁸ Robert Ballotti,^{1,2,8} and Corine Bertolotto^{1,2,8,11}

¹INSERM, U895, Equipe 1, Biologie et Pathologies des Mélanocytes de la Pigmentation Cutanée au Mélanome, Equipe labellisée Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer, Nice F-06204, France; ²Université of Nice Sophia-Antipolis, UFR Médecine, Nice F-06107, France; ³INSERM, U895, Equipe 4, Inflammation, Cancer, Cancer Stem Cells, Nice F-06204, France; ⁴INSERM, ERI21/EA 4319, Nice F-06107, France; ⁵Human Biobank, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nice, Nice F-06204, France; ⁶Département de Médecine, Institut de Cancérologie Gustave Roussy, Villejuif Cedex 94805, France; ⁷Département de Biopathologie, Institut de Cancérologie Gustave Roussy, Villejuif Cedex 94805, France; ⁸Service de Dermatologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nice, Nice F-06204, France; ⁹Service d'Hématologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nice, Nice F-06204, France; ¹⁰Sevice de Pédiatrie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nice, Nice F-06204, France; ¹⁰Sevice de

Melanoma cells can enter the process of senescence, but whether they express a secretory phenotype, as reported for other cells, is undetermined. This is of paramount importance, because this secretome can alter the tumor microenvironment and the response to chemotherapeutic drugs. More generally, the molecular events involved in formation of the senescent-associated secretome have yet to be determined. We reveal here that melanoma cells experiencing senescence in response to diverse stimuli, including anti-melanoma drugs, produce an inflammatory secretory profile, where the chemokine ligand-2 (CCL2) acts as a critical effector. Thus, we reveal how senescence induction might be involved in therapeutic failure in melanoma. We further provide a molecular relationship between senescence induction and secretome formation by revealing that the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 (PARP-1)/nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) signaling cascade, activated during senescence, drives the formation of a secretome endowed with protumoral and prometastatic properties. Our findings also point to the existence of the PARP-1 or NF-κB prevents the proinvasive properties of the secretome. Collectively, identification of the PARP-1/NF-κB axis in secretome formation opens new avenues for therapeutic intervention against cancers.

[Keywords: NF-κB; PARP-1; secretome; senescence; CCL2; invasion]

Supplemental material is available for this article.

Received February 3, 2011; revised version accepted May 12, 2011.

Melanoma cells are well known for their resistance to apoptotic stimuli, likely a remnant of the melanocyte status from which they derive. Indeed, melanocytes have evolved potent anti-apoptotic mechanisms required to resist the mortal effect of ultraviolet radiation constantly reaching the skin, allowing them to achieve their physiologic protective function by synthesizing melanin (Abdel-Malek et al. 2010). Apoptotic resistance represents an important cause that limits the efficacy of the antimelanoma therapies developed so far (Soengas and Lowe 2003; Eberle et al. 2007). In addition to apoptosis, cellular

¹¹Corresponding author.

E-mail bertolot@unice.fr.

Article published online ahead of print. Article and publication date are online at http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.625811.

senescence is another important cellular fail-safe mechanism. Ordinarily, senescence is a process arising in normal cells in response to telomere erosion or oncogenic stress. acting through checkpoint activation and cell cycle arrest as a barrier to tumorigenesis (Serrano et al. 1997; Steinert et al. 2000). Activation of checkpoint proteins prevents the replication of genomically instable cells, considered the precursors of transformed cells (Hartwell and Kastan 1994: Elledge 1996). Hence, transformed cells have bypassed the process of senescence. Surprisingly, several lines of evidence indicated recently that cellular senescence remains latently functional and can be reactivated in cancer cells, including melanoma cells (Vance et al. 2005; Zhuang et al. 2008; Giuliano et al. 2011), lending strong support to the use of senescence induction as a therapeutic strategy against melanoma.

The microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (MITF), in which the M-transcript is specifically expressed in the melanocyte lineage, is critical to melanoma proliferation and survival. MITF stimulates the expression of several genes involved in cell cycle control, invasion, angiogenesis, and anti-apoptotic processes (Cheli et al. 2010). Additionally, MITF is amplified in ~20% of melanoma cases (Garraway et al. 2005) or lies downstream from several oncogenic signaling pathways such as ETV-1, BRAF^{V600E}, or the EWS-ATF1 fusion (Davis et al. 2006; Wellbrock et al. 2008; Jane-Valbuena et al. 2010). Cumulatively, these observations have engendered the notion that MITF functions as a melanocyte-specific oncogene, providing an important survival and proliferative advantage to melanoma cells. Consistently, we showed recently that MITF acts as an anti-senescence factor. MITF silencing through activation of the DNA damage response (DDR) pathway triggers senescence-like phenotypes in melanoma cells (Giuliano et al. 2010; Strub et al. 2011).

Senescent cells are growth-arrested, but remain metabolically active and can develop a secretory profile composed mainly of growth factors, cytokines, and proteinases, a typical signature termed the senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) or the senescence messaging secretome (SMS) (Coppe et al. 2008; Kuilman and Peeper 2009). Some of these factors display cell-autonomous activity and work to reinforce the senescence program (Acosta et al. 2008; Kuilman et al. 2008). Other secreted molecules exhibit cellnonautonomous functions associated with inflammation and malignancy and act as protumoral factors (Krtolica et al. 2001; Bavik et al. 2006; Liu and Hornsby 2007). These observations indicate that cellular senescence not only functions as a potent tumor-suppressive process, but may also exhibit deleterious effects. It is an important point to resolve, asinducing senescence in tumor cells is considered a potential therapeutic strategy and some chemotherapeutic drugs function in part through senescence induction (te Poele et al. 2002; Mhaidat et al. 2007). To date, it is not known whether senescent melanoma cells express a secretory phenotype that can alter the behavior of nearby cells. More generally, the molecular events involved in its formation have yet to be determined. Here, we bring evidence that senescent melanoma cells produce a secretome that displays proinvasive and protumorigenic properties. Characterization of this secretome highlights an increased production of proinflammatory factors, among which the chemokine ligand-2 (CCL2) appears as a critical component. Our findings in melanoma and nonmelanoma cells point to a poly(ADPribose) polymerase-1 (PARP-1) and nuclear factor-кВ (NF-κB)-associated secretome that we termed PNAS. In melanoma cells, triggering inhibition of PARP-1 or NFкВ prevents CCL2 production and the proinvasive abilities of the PNAS. Altogether, we provide valuable insight into novel potential targets-namely, PARP-1 or NF-κB-to control the deleterious side effects of cellular senescence.

Results

Senescent melanoma cells develop a secretome with proinvasive properties

As shown previously, MITF suppression by specific siRNA led to melanoma cell senescence characterized by increased expression in both p27^{KIP1} and p53 (Fig. 1A) and by senescence-associated β -galactosidase (SA- β -Gal) reactivity at pH6 (Fig. 1B). To determine whether senescent melanoma cells were able to produce an active secretome, naive 501mel melanoma cells were incubated with the conditioned medium (CM) from 501mel melanoma cells transfected with control or MITF siRNA. When exposed to the CM from senescent melanoma cells, 501mel melanoma cells exhibited a decreased expression of E-cadherin, a marker of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and of MITF (Fig. 1C). Experiments using Matrigel-coated Boyden chambers revealed that the secretome of senescent cells favored invasion of naive 501mel melanoma cells (Fig. 1D). Altogether, MITF depletion promotes senescence of melanoma cells and triggers the production of a secretory phenotype with proinvasive properties.

We next wished to investigate whether different stimuli-such as oxidative stress, a common inducer of premature senescence, or temozolomide, a chemotherapeutic drug used in melanoma therapy-might also induce senescence and a secretory profile. This was motivated by the observation that H₂O₂ or temozolomide decreased the expression of MITF (Supplemental Fig. 1; Mhaidat et al. 2007; Liu et al. 2009). Consistently, we showed that melanoma cells exposed to H₂O₂ or temozolomide underwent premature senescence, characterized by flattened and enlarged morphology and by SA-B-Gal reactivity at pH6 (Fig. 1E). Noteworthy, we extended these findings to fotemustine, another drug for treating metastatic melanomas. In this context, oxidative stress and chemotherapeutic drugs elicited formation of a secretome that enhanced the invasiveness of melanoma cells (Fig. 1F).

CCL2 is a major actor of the prosurvival and proinvasive function of the secretome

Data sets from DNA microarray experiments in which the gene profile between control or MITF-silenced melanoma cells were investigated revealed an up-regulation of several secreted factors (Table 1; Supplemental Table S1). Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) experiments were also performed on a panel of cytokines reported previously in the secretome of human fibroblasts and epithelial cells (Coppe et al. 2008). In addition to the inhibition of known MITF target genes that validates these experiments, we identified the repertoire of the secretory profile in senescent melanoma cells, demonstrating the presence of growth factors (CTGF and VEGF), proteases (PAI-1 and MMP2), interleukins (IL6), and chemokines (CCL2 and CCL8) (Fig. 2A). Among these, CCL2 appeared as one of the most up-regulated factors. ELISA tests confirmed the increased secretion of CCL2 in the CM of senescent melanoma cells relative to control cells (Fig. 2B).

Senescent cells express a PNAS



Figure 1. Melanoma cells develop a senescence-associated secretome. (A,B) Lysates from 501mel human melanoma cells transfected for 96 h with control (siC) or MITF siRNA (siMi) were analyzed by Western blotting with MITF, the prosenescent markers p53 and p27, and ERK2 antibodies (A), or were stained for SA- β -Gal activity and visualized under phase-contrast microscopy (B). Bar, 10 μ M. Numbers on the panels indicate percent of means and standard deviations (SD) of SA- β -Gal-positive cells. (C) Immunostaining with anti-E-cadherin (E-cad) and anti-MITF monoclonal antibodies of naive 501 mel melanoma cells exposed 24 h to the CM of control (CMsiC) or senescent (CM-siMi) 501mel cells. (D) 501mel cells were seeded on the filter of the upper compartment, and supernatants from control (CM-siC) or senescent (CM-siMi) 501mel cells were added to the lower compartment of Boyden chambers. Cells that had migrated to the underside of the upper compartment were stained 24 h later with crystal violet, and the number of nuclei was counted using NIH ImageJ analysis software. Values represent mean + SD of three independent experiments, significantly different from the control; (***) P < 0.001. Representative images are shown. (E) SA- β -Gal reactivity of 501 mel cells exposed to fotemustine (FMT, 40 μ M), temozolomide (TMZ, 900 nM), or H_2O_2 (100 μ M) for 96 h. The percentage of positive cells is presented as mean + SD. Bars, 10 μ m. (F) The chemotactic effect of the CM from 501mel cells exposed to fotemustine, temozolomide, or H₂O₂ as mentioned above was tested on naive 501 mel melanoma cells in Boyden chambers. The number of nuclei was counted using NIH ImageJ analysis software. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the medium was changed by DMEM 1% serum for an additional 48 h. Drug-free CM was next used in chemotaxis experiments with naive 501mel cells. Cells that had migrated were stained 24 h later with crystal violet and counted. Values represent mean + SD of two independent experiments, and are significantly different from the control; (***) P < 0.001. Representative images are shown.

Table 1. cDNA microarray analysis of gene expression
 changes in MITF-depleted cells compared with control human melanoma cells

Gene	GenBank				Gene	GenBank			
symbol	(ID)	Fold ^a	\pm SD	P-value	symbol	(ID)	Fold ^a	\pm SD	P-value
NTT ANTAD	0.215	2 200	0.004(0225	0.04712 × 10-6	πο	2570	0.04	0 10020261	2.00212×10^{-2}
MLANA"	2315	-3.396	0.00469335	$8.04/13 \times 10^{-6}$	IL-8	35/8	-0.04	0.12030261	2.09212×10^{-2}
DC1 ²	1638	-2.926	0.05056096	1.09436×10^{-9}	ILIU MAADI	3586	-0.039	0.282/6301	$3.8/999 \times 10^{-2}$
GPR143°	4935	-2.5/6	0.01523909	$2.041/8 \times 10^{-7}$	MMP1	4312	-0.039	0.03421012	$3.228/9 \times 10^{-2}$
CDK2 ⁵	12566	-2.48	0.169/8/66	$4./3015 \times 10^{-6}$	CXCL9	4283	-0.031	0.13624919	3.95013×10^{-2}
MITF	17342	-2.451	0.23248394	5.49602×10^{-6}	MIA	8190	-0.028	0.13349621	2.37235×10^{-2}
EDNRB	1310	-2.006	0.0601292	1.58818×10^{-6}	MMP13	4322	-0.027	0.03293029	2.68117×10^{-2}
TYR ^b	7299	-1.723	0.31832707	4.09240×10^{-6}	MMP25	64386	-0.024	0.07126598	1.16738×10^{-1}
TYMS	7298	-1.422	0.08074477	$9.72427 imes 10^{-7}$	CXCL5	6374	-0.023	0.05766034	1.92241×10^{-1}
TLR4	7099	-0.933	0.03433735	$1.23108 imes 10^{-3}$	ICAM4	3386	-0.021	0.0602564	5.32837×10^{-2}
GAS1	2619	-0.811	0.12216614	1.39045×10^{-4}	ICAM5	7087	-0.021	0.01765933	6.90036×10^{-2}
CSF1	1435	-0.371	0.04441918	$2.13012 imes 10^{-5}$	CTSG	1511	-0.019	0.04826551	3.04112×10^{-2}
MMP2	17390	-0.363	0.05642465	$9.02718 imes 10^{-3}$	GADD45G	10912	-0.018	0.01332963	7.77610×10^{-3}
MMP19	4327	-0.343	0.09860566	8.92820×10^{-4}	CCL19	6363	-0.017	0.02546197	5.19356×10^{-2}
II.2.3.A	51561	-0.269	0 12139847	2.02080×10^{-4}	CTSG	1511	-0.017	0.03127073	140399×10^{-2}
PLCD3	113026	_0.259	0.05592975	1.52767×10^{-2}	CSF2	1437	-0.017	0.19010607	1.15334×10^{-1}
II 1B	3553	-0.200	0.033/0169	3.48169×10^{-2}	CDH2	1000	_0.013	0.1/005396	1.17865×10^{-1}
	6264	-0.244	0.00340109	2.14077×10^{-2}	DTCDS	5720	-0.013	0.14003390	1.17803×10^{-2} 5 72205 × 10 ⁻²
CCL20	700020	-0.243	0.009/40//	$3.140/7 \times 10^{-2}$	CXCL10	(207	-0.013	0.05829708	3.72293×10
UCKZ	729230	-0.236	0.198//822	3.01513×10^{-2}	CXCL12	0387	-0.007	0.0534576	4.01921×10
IL12B	3563	-0.213	0.0/021685	1.88224×10^{-2}	CXCL2	2920	-0.00/	0.01285506	$3.6860/ \times 10^{-2}$
MMP24	10893	-0.213	0.12310318	8.05570×10^{-2}	CCL16	6360	-0.004	0.0/65925/	1.31388×10^{-1}
PLA2G2A	5320	-0.213	0.04219609	8.68977×10^{-3}	LTB	4050	-0.003	0.06626507	8.08394×10^{-2}
MMP3	4314	-0.195	0.09721145	8.19207×10^{-2}	CCL8	6355	0.001	0.009756	8.70336×10^{-2}
IFNG	3458	-0.188	0.11872011	$6.98492 imes 10^{-2}$	IL18	3606	0.001	0.08638869	9.49701×10^{-3}
CCL23	6368	-0.185	0.08916862	$6.63650 imes 10^{-2}$	RELB	5971	0.009	0.072504	3.94405×10^{-2}
TK1	21877	-0.184	0.05639935	$1.08145 imes 10^{-3}$	CCL22	6367	0.01	0.05544205	4.56941×10^{-2}
MMP25	64386	-0.175	0.03900126	$8.54730 imes 10^{-3}$	CTSO	1519	0.011	0.08053704	1.00937×10^{-1}
CXCL2	20310	-0.149	0.03691421	$4.66324 imes 10^{-3}$	CTSH	1512	0.012	0.03064311	6.75132×10^{-4}
IL25	64806	-0.142	0.04799052	3.00527×10^{-2}	CCL24	6369	0.013	0.10769452	8.66071×10^{-2}
CCL13	6357	-0.141	0.04416873	8.25103×10^{-2}	VCAM1	7412	0.051	0.07163438	8.55584×10^{-2}
MMP27	64066	-0.136	0.03272653	5.93853×10^{-2}	IGFBP5	3488	0.052	0.10329463	8.90928×10^{-2}
CXCL13	10563	_0.135	0.09607781	4.14763×10^{-2}	CTSK	1513	0.053	0.01863409	5.06492×10^{-4}
	4049	0.134	0.00088346	5.49827×10^{-2}	IC-FRP4	3/87	0.050	0.03129747	1.93127×10^{-2}
	6346	0 1 2 0	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	2.88115×10^{-2}	ПОГ DI 4 П 0	3578	0.063	0.10903/87	1.00127×10^{-2}
NOSIA	4942	0.129	0.0201/330	2.80113×10^{-2}		5220	0.000	0.10203407	1.40012×10^{-1}
INU52A	4040	-0.126	0.00096244	4.09411×10 1 70126 × 10 ⁻³	FLAUK ENI	0225	0.003	0.03902043	1.37903×10^{-3}
INC	33/1	-0.125	0.10351928	1.78130×10^{-1}	FINI H 01	2335	0.074	0.07103438	9.83550×10^{-2}
CSF3	1440	-0.121	0.03524244	$1.39//5 \times 10^{-2}$	IL21	59067	0.074	0.05101306	6.08349×10^{-1}
CISW	1521	-0.118	0.01530317	1.31139×10^{-2}	MMP9	4318	0.079	0.0514416/	$1.0/89/ \times 10^{-1}$
1L20	50604	-0.114	0.04449025	3.45001×10^{-2}	MMP10	4319	0.092	0.04333998	1.40480×10^{-1}
CTSD	1509	-0.108	0.11152328	2.56195×10^{-2}	TGFB3	25717	0.098	0.05451782	2.38719×10^{-2}
MMP28	79148	-0.106	0.10373071	9.15194×10^{-2}	IGFBP1	3484	0.102	0.06091146	2.41393×10^{-2}
PTGS1	5742	-0.106	0.08950215	1.60982×10^{-1}	CXCL1	2919	0.106	0.05529325	1.10330×10^{-1}
COL4A6	1288	-0.103	0.04429363	$5.36657 imes 10^{-2}$	CCL26	10344	0.109	0.07018633	1.84028×10^{-2}
MMP12	17381	-0.103	0.0940134	$1.31296 imes 10^{-1}$	MMP11	4320	0.109	0.0335571	1.10855×10^{-2}
NRG1	3084	-0.102	0.00229363	$2.53273 imes 10^{-2}$	MMP7	4316	0.109	0.11580969	2.74066×10^{-2}
IL26	55801	-0.091	0.12030261	$8.45227 imes 10^{-2}$	TNFRSF9	3604	0.118	0.08760524	5.28789×10^{-2}
CCL21	6366	-0.089	0.0462948	$1.37405 imes 10^{-1}$	IL29	282618	0.121	0.01351721	3.46559×10^{-2}
CDKN1A	1026	-0.087	0.00241119	3.63617×10^{-2}	IFNA21	3452	0.123	0.1656126	1.65385×10^{-1}
CXCL16	58191	-0.079	0.04920185	9.57840×10^{-2}	CCL28	56477	0.135	0.01215404	1.30217×10^{-2}
CXCL6	6373	-0.079	0.05563248	744885×10^{-2}	CCL7	6354	0 154	0.05752267	1.15962×10^{-1}
TNE	7124	0.076	0.00000240	7.44003×10^{-2}	ICAM3	3385	0.154	0.03728226	1.10702×10^{-3}
CCLIE	6250	-0.070	0.10399910	2.04133×10^{-1}	CVCI 11	6272	0.154	0.03228220	4.22403×10^{-2}
UCL15	50(1)	-0.0/4	0.1640475	2.07000×10^{-2}	CAULII	5070	0.150	0.0261262/	1.00304×10 $1.2(520 \times 10^{-3})$
IL22 OTCE	50616	-0.069	0.0444343/	$4./0844 \times 10$ 7.44920 × 10 ⁻²	SERPINEZ	3270	0.157	0.10408100	1.30330×10^{-2}
	8/22	-0.064	0.1/8/3298	7.44832×10^{-2}	FLI3LG	2323	0.159	0.04443621	$6.004/9 \times 10$
CCLI/	6361	-0.06	0.02860028	1.06012×10^{-2}	BIC	685	0.16	0.00355/82	$0./0506 \times 10^{-2}$
CCL25	63/0	-0.06	0.03206517	$8.95/40 \times 10^{-2}$	CSF3R	1441	0.1/5	0.0/321103	$9.66/46 \times 10^{-2}$
CXCL3	2921	-0.056	0.05/73861	$1.0853/ \times 10^{-1}$	CISC	10/5	0.182	0.02526494	3.18481×10^{-3}
STC1	6781	-0.055	0.08652515	3.29925×10^{-2}	JUNB7	3726	0.187	0.01970141	3.72137×10^{-2}
ICAM2	3384	-0.05	0.034037	6.85285×10^{-2}	VIM	7431	0.187	0.03228226	4.24392×10^{-5}
BMP4	652	-0.047	0.16797378	9.09294×10^{-2}					
									(a a matimum of)

(continued)

Table 1. Continued

Gene	GenBank			
symbol	(ID)	Fold ^a	\pm SD	P-value
	2210	0.104	0 12605077	9.49267×10^{-2}
HSPA0	3310	0.194	0.13625277	8.48267×10^{-2}
LOF I ECEI0	4040	0.193	0.00034333	2.23920×10^{-3}
COLON	1208	0.207	0.01280341	2.90329×10^{-2}
LED 2	1290	0.211	0.04011097	8.41449×10^{-3}
IEKO ECEI	00/0	0.210	0.11402237	0.39030×10^{-1}
IDCE	2068	0.222	0.13/85551	2.30240×10^{-1}
MCT1	3008	0.233	0.23390923	1.13897×10^{-2}
TNIEDCEI1D	4483	0.233	0.08089901	0.30034×10^{-1}
NEVRO	4982	0.238	0.01981389	1.03923×10^{-4}
INFKDZ CED DINIE 1	4791 5054	0.246	0.13918398	4.92323×10 7 47856 $\times 10^{-2}$
ACT	192	0.233	0.07002093	7.47830×10^{-1}
MIE	4282	0.203	0.02108065	2.39202×10^{-1}
	4262	0.278	0.03198083	2.43980×10^{-1}
NCEP	4909	0.290	0.08402773	2.10209×10^{-1}
INGER ECED4	4000	0.307	0.0206672	$1.41/51 \times 10$ 2 40011 × 10 ⁻²
ACCE1	55100	0.309	0.02807424	3.49911×10^{-3}
SMAD7	4002	0.312	0.00700083	4.01010×10 5.86286 $\times 10^{-3}$
JMAD/	2560	0.331	0.07162428	1.01224×10^{-1}
ICERD6	2480	0.307	0.07103438	1.01234×10^{-1} 1.75274×10^{-1}
	0407	0.383	0.00733018	1.73374×10^{-3}
IL2/ VECEC	240//0	0.392	0.0212541	5.60052×10^{-2}
	7424	0.413	0.01907472	7.23807×10^{-2}
CCL4	6251	0.410	0.10388079	3.31034×10^{-1}
SDC4	6385	0.417	0.03471908	2.18934×10^{-2}
PDCFD	80310	0.427	0.02420493	1.91047×10^{-3}
COLIAI	1280	0.433	0.05351271	4.34738×10^{-2}
RMD6	654	0.47	0.00003673	1.06776×10^{-1}
TNFRSFIA	7132	0.488	0.00993073	3.09261×10^{-2}
SODI	6647	0.527	0.042//040	2.60945×10^{-1}
FAM1/B	122509	0.522	0.05758841	1.59962×10^{-2}
CTS7	122509	0.532	0.05748764	1.59902×10^{-1} 1.15803 × 10 ⁻¹
	26146	0.54/	0.00740704	3.16481×10^{-1}
BIRC2	320	0.563	0.02167024	6.14313×10^{-2}
HDCF?	84717	0.621	0.00114578	8.22141×10^{-2}
LAMB2	3913	0.622	0.01686728	8.89489×10^{-2}
TIMP1	7076	0.637	0.02710985	1.04885×10^{-1}
IGFBP3	3486	0.645	0.1682942	5.81321×10^{-1}
IL16	3603	0.647	0.12020172	3.63354×10^{-1}
11.24	11009	0.695	0.11939343	5.60773×10^{-1}
PDGFB	5155	0.695	0.11205684	2.06073×10^{-1}
CTSB	1508	0.699	0.14102819	4.98993×10^{-1}
GADD45A	1647	0.712	0.10124251	3.77788×10^{-2}
SEMA4B	10509	0.84	0.18886948	3.23612×10^{-1}
ITGB1	16412	0.847	0.06665488	2.66585×10^{-1}
PDE2A	5138	1.133	0.02905928	1.51422×10^{-1}
BDNF	627	1.146	0.05858526	3.98007×10^{-1}
CTGF	1490	1.244	0.14782365	1.77277×10^{-4}
IGFBP7	3490	1.282	0.15335056	1.37238×10^{-1}
PTGS2	5743	1.285	0.07504039	2.63937×10^{-1}
АМН	268	1.336	0.06635736	1.24572×10^{-1}
PLAT	5327	1.43	0.26339494	2.59806×10^{-1}
TNFRSF10B	8795	1.457	0.03303672	1.39879×10^{-2}
NOO1	1728	1.475	0.06055538	6.16143×10^{-3}
CSK	1445	1.488	0.0175709	3.98390×10^{-2}
ITGB5	3693	1.52	0.09783993	3.09931×10^{-4}
SEMA3B	7869	1.53	0.03051046	4.88247×10^{-4}
TNFRSF12A	51330	1.631	0.12770329	4.47505×10^{-3}
GADD45B	4616	1.717	0.05688114	5.43204×10^{-4}
IGFBP2	3485	1.841	0.09972546	3.62513×10^{-2}
101012	0.400	1.071	5.07772070	5.02010 / 10

Gene symbol	GenBank (ID)	Fold ^a	±SD	<i>P</i> -value
TGFBI	7045	1.912	0.00266754	2.90498×10^{-2}
COL9A3	1299	1.913	0.22407717	2.16665×10^{-3}
PAM	5066	2.003	0.03775165	2.15620×10^{-4}
PLA2G4A	5321	2.006	0.05901066	6.02372×10^{-5}
INFRSF21	27242	2.019	0.12051874	5.90708×10^{-4}
GFBP5	3488	2.021	0.0169905	6.03683×10^{-3}
CXCL14	9547	2.832	0.01878546	3.51887×10^{-4}
CEBPD	1052	3.294	0.11188847	1.44954×10^{-5}
CCL2	6347	3.326	0.0137319	4.03017×10^{-6}
CYR61	3491	3.644	0.13448109	1.37916×10^{-7}

^aThe ratio results (siMi/siC) are expressed as the mean \pm SD of log2 fold values. We pooled the raw data from two siC and two different MITF siRNA (siMi1 and siMi2).

^bPreviously reported MITF target genes (Cheli et al. 2010).

The effect of MITF silencing on increased CCL2 mRNA and secretion was counteracted by restoring MITF expression, thereby ruling out a nonspecific effect of siRNA (Supplemental Fig. S2). The Boyden chamber experiments showed that CCL2 stimulated the invasive capability of naive 501mel melanoma cells, while an anti-CCL2-neutralizing antibody almost completely abolished this function (Fig. 2C). CCL2 secretion was also strongly increased in the secretome of melanoma cells exposed to oxidative stress or chemotherapeutic drugs (Fig. 2D). Interestingly, the CM of senescent melanoma cells or CCL2 also promoted DNA lesions, as illustrated by an increase in 53BP1 foci (Supplemental Fig. S3a). These DNA lesions were milder than those evoked by H_2O_2 exposure. Furthermore, a blockade of staurosporine proapoptotic effects by the secretome of senescent melanoma cells or CCL2 was also observed, as shown by the inhibition of PARP-1 cleavage (Supplemental Fig. S3b) and the decrease in the nonviable DAPI-positive cells (Supplemental Fig. S3c). In all, these results demonstrate that melanoma cells undergoing senescence develop a secretome with protumoral and proinvasive properties in which the chemokine CCL2 is a critical factor.

The secretome from senescent melanoma cells or CCL2 confers in vivo metastatic properties

To determine the effect of the secretome in vivo, the model of 501mel human melanoma cells was chosen because 501mel cells do not grow as xenografts into athymic nude mice. We found that tumors formed when 501mel cells were exposed in vitro to the CM from senescent cells (seven out of eight xenografts) or to recombinant CCL2 (Fig. 3A,B). In contrast, no tumors grew when 501mel cells were exposed to the CM from control proliferative cells (zero out of eight xenografts).

To evaluate whether the increase in the in vitro invasive ability translated into augmented metastatic potential, we tested the capacity of 501mel cells exposed to the CM recombinant CCL2 to form lung metastases in vivo. Histological examination (hematoxylin and eosin [H&E] staining) showed that, although no tumor was



Figure 2. CCL2 is a major determinant of the secretome. (*A*) RNAs were harvested from control (siC) or MITF (siMi) siRNA for 96 h and were assayed by qRT–PCR for transcripts indicated on the figure. Transcript levels are represented relative to those found in control-transfected cells as mean + SD. The results in the graph are expressed as log2 values. (*B*) ELISA test of CCL2 levels in the CM of control (CM-siC) or senescent (CM-siMi) 501mel melanoma cells. Data, represented as mean + SD, are significantly different; (***) P < 0.001. (*C*) The chemotactic effect of recombinant CCL2 (100ng/mL) with or without anti-human CCL2-neutralizing antibody (100 µg/mL) was tested on naive 501mel melanoma cells in Boyden chambers, and the number of nuclei was counted using NIH ImageJ analysis software. The average values from three experiments +SD are shown. Significantly different at P < 0.001 (***). Representative images are shown. (*D*) 501mel cells were left untreated (CM-C) or were exposed to fotemustine (FMT, 40 mM), temozolomide (TMZ, 900 nM) or H₂O₂ (100 µM) for 96 h. CCL2 secretion levels were analyzed by ELISA. Values are expressed as mean + SD. (*E*) The chemotactic effect of control (CM-siC) or senescent (CM-siMi) cells, supplemented or not with anti-human CCL2-neutralizing antibody (100 µg/mL), was assessed on naive 501mel cells. The number of nuclei was counted using NIH ImageJ analysis software. Values are expressed as mean + SD. (*E*) The chemotactic effect of control (CM-siC) or senescent (CM-siMi) cells, supplemented or not with anti-human CCL2-neutralizing antibody (100 µg/mL), was assessed on naive 501mel cells. The number of nuclei was counted using NIH ImageJ analysis software. Values are expressed as mean + SD. (*E*) The chemotactic effect of control (CM-siC) or senescent (CM-siMi) cells, supplemented or not with anti-human CCL2-neutralizing antibody (100 µg/mL), was assessed on naive 501mel cells. The number of nuclei was counted using NIH ImageJ analysis software.

detectable in the lungs of the mice injected with the 501mel cells exposed to the control CM, melanoma lung metastases were found when 501mel cells were previ-

ously exposed to the CM of senescent melanoma cells or to recombinant CCL2 (Fig. 3C). S100 immunolabeling confirmed the presence of melanoma lung metastases. It

Senescent cells express a PNAS



Figure 3. The secretome from senescent cells or recombinant CCL2 confers tumor properties. (*A*) 501mel melanoma cells (4×10^6) were exposed in vitro to the CM from control or senescent melanoma cells or to recombinant CCL2, and next were subcutaneously engrafted in athymic nude mice. Tumors were measured at the time indicated on the figure. Kinetics of tumor growth are shown as mean (\pm SEM) tumor volume (square millimeters). Two-tailed Student's *t*-test: (*) *P* = 0.05; (**) *P* = 0.01; (***) *P* = 0.001. (*B*) Weight of the excised tumors. Representative tumors at 45 d are shown. (*C*) 501mel melanoma cells (4×10^6) were injected in the dorsal tail vein of athymic nude mice. Forty-five days later, mice were sacrificed and the lungs were excised. Fixed lungs were serially sectioned and stained with H&E or DAPI and immunostained for S100. Representative H&E- and S100-labeled sections of each condition are shown. (*D*) Quantitative evaluation of metastatic lesions by a number of 501mel lung colonies expressed by field (five fields counted) +SD.

is noteworthy that S100 immunoreactivity was absent in normal tissue, but can be observed in basal cells of the bronchial epithelium, typically in the inflamed areas near invading tumor cells, as reported previously (Matsubara et al. 2005). The quantitative analyses of lung sections revealed the significant increase in the number of 501mel lung metastases in the two later conditions relative to cells exposed to the CM of proliferative melanoma cells (Fig. 3D). Collectively, the secretome from senescent melanoma cells and CCL2 also confer tumor and metastatic properties in vivo.

The NF- κ B signaling pathway controls formation of the senescence-associated secretome

It is noteworthy that the secretome comprises several proinflammatory factors, prompting us to envision an involvement of the NF-KB signaling pathway in its formation. NF-KB is present as a latent, inactive cytoplasmic form, while activated NF-kB is translocated into the nucleus, where it binds to specific kB enhancer elements in the promoter of its target genes. First, we investigated by immunofluorescence experiments the cellular localization of the p65/RELA subunit of NF-KB. In control conditions, p65/RELA localized mainly to the cytoplasm, while, in response to $TNF\alpha$, a known stimulator of NF-KB activity, p65/RELA relocalized to the nucleus (Supplemental Fig. 4a). Interestingly, a massive nuclear accumulation of p65/RELA, stronger than that induced by TNF α exposure, was also observed in melanoma cells experiencing senescence upon MITF depletion (Fig. 4A). Immunohistochemistry on human melanoma samples revealed a heterogenous staining for both p65/RELA and MITF (Fig. 4B). However, cytoplasmic p65/RELA was



Figure 4. Stimulation of NF- κ B activity in senescent cells. (*A*) Immunofluorescent staining with p65/RELA (red) and MITF (green) of 501mel cells transfected with siC or siMi for 96 h. (*B*) Immunohistochemical analysis of three human melanoma metastases (#1, #2, and #3) using antibodies to p65/RELA and MITF. *Insets* show high magnification. (*C*, *top* panel) EMSA experiment of siC or siMi transfected 501mel cells for 96 h. Asterisk indicates the protein:DNA complexes remain trapped at the *bottom* of the gel. (*Bottom* panel) Western blot analysis of the same cell extracts revealed no change in ERK2 levels. (*D*) NF- κ B luciferase promoter activity of 501mel cells transfected with MITF siRNA or exposed to fotemustine (FMT, 40 mM), temozolomide (TMZ, 900 nM), or H₂O₂ (100 μ M) in the presence or absence of sulfasalazine (500 μ M). Results are expressed as percent (%) + SD of the luciferase activity from the control condition. Significantly different from control at *P* < 0.001 (***).

observed in cells with strong nuclear MITF staining, while nuclear p65/RELA was associated with low/absent nuclear MITF staining. In agreement with the immunofluorescences, electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) revealed a stronger NF- κ B binding in MITFdepleted 501mel cells compared with control or TNF α - treated cells (Fig. 4C). A cell-based luciferase reporter assay confirmed that MITF depletion increased the transcriptional activity of NF- κ B (Fig. 4D). Furthermore, oxidative stress and the chemotherapeutic drugs also stimulated, in a similar range, the transcriptional activity of NF- κ B that was impaired in the presence of sulfasalazine, a NF- κ B inhibitor. Hence, secretome formation by senescent cells is associated with stimulation of the transcriptional activity of NF- κ B.

NF- κB controls CCL2 secretion and the invasive properties of the secretome

Having shown that senescent melanoma cells produce a secretome and display an increased NF-KB activity, we next thought to determine the role of NF-KB in its formation. qRT-PCR (Fig. 5A) and ELISA (Fig. 5B) showed a stimulation of both CCL2 mRNA levels and CCL2 secretion, respectively, in MITF silenced cells relative to control cells that were impaired by genetic (IKK2 siRNA) or pharmacological (sulfasalazine) inhibition of NF-κB. Furthermore, blocking NF-KB prevented the proinvasive effect of the secretome (Fig. 5C). NF-KB transcriptional activity was indeed up-regulated in TNFα-exposed cells and much more in MITF silenced cells compared with control 501mel melanoma cells (Fig. 5D). Inhibition of the NF-kB signaling pathway through pharmacologic (sulfasalazine) or genetic (IKK2 siRNA and dominantnegative forms of IKK1 and IKK2) approaches prevented activation of the NF-κB reporter gene by siMITF or TNFα (Fig. 5D; Supplemental Fig. 4b). Additionally, both IKK2expressing vector and MITF silencing stimulated activity of the CCL2 promoter that was abrogated by sulfasalazine exposure or IKK2 coknockdown (Fig. 5E). Kinetics experiments revealed an activation of NF-KB activity between 24 and 36 h after MITF siRNA transfection, while CCL2 secretion significantly increased between 48 and 72 h (Supplemental Fig. 5a,b). Put together, these observations demonstrate that melanoma cells undergoing senescence exhibited an enhanced NF-KB activity that controls production of a CCL2-containing secretome and its proinvasive effect.

Secretome formation relies on DNA damage signaling-induced NF-*k*B activation

The genotoxic sensor PARP-1 has been involved in the activation of NF-кВ (Stilmann et al. 2009). Interestingly, we demonstrated previously that MITF silencing triggers DNA damage (Supplemental Fig. 6; Giuliano et al. 2010). Kinetic studies by Western blot revealed no modification of PARP-1 expression upon MITF silencing (Fig. 6A). However, increased poly-(ADPrybosyl)ation was observed in MITF silenced melanoma cells and H202-treated cells used as positive control (Fig. 6B; Kolthur-Seetharam et al. 2006). MITF silencing-mediated poly-(ADPrybosyl)ation was not affected by sulfasalazine or siIKK2, indicating that PARP-1 acts upstream of NF-κB (Supplemental Fig. 6a). To screen the involvement of PARP-1 in NF-KB activity and secretome formation, we used the PARP inhibitor 3-AB. In presence of 3-AB or sulfasalazine, MITF silencing was no longer able to relocate NF- κ B to the nucleus (Fig. 6C) or stimulate the NF- κ B transcriptional activity (Fig. 5D). Blockade of NF-KB activity by 3-AB was comparable with that elicited by sulfasalazine, in agreement with these factors functioning in the same pathway (Supplemental Fig. 6b). Western blot analysis of the corresponding cell extracts revealed that MITF silencing increased IKK2 and p53, which affirmed engagement of the DNA damage/NF- κ B axis. IKK2 up-regulation mediated by MITF silencing was abrogated by sulfasalazine (Supplemental Fig. 6c). In contrast, p53 up-regulation was modestly affected by sulfasalazine but was almost completely abrogated by 3-AB.

Similar to MITF depletion, focal staining of 53BP1 was also observed when melanoma cells were exposed to oxidative stress and chemotherapeutic drugs (Supplemental Fig. 7), indicating the presence of DNA damage. Consistent with our hypothesis, the oxidative stress and chemotherapeutic drugs also stimulated the transcriptional activity of NF-KB that was prevented by addition of 3-AB (Fig. 6D). Additionally, blocking PARP-1 or NF-кВ signaling pathways prevented, to the same extent, the secretion of CCL2 (Fig. 6E) and invasion, as illustrated by results from Boyden chamber experiments (Fig. 6F). Noteworthy, to avoid the presence of the inhibitors in the CM, which could by themselves affect the invasive properties of naive melanoma cells, the medium was changed before being used in chemotaxis experiments. Together, in melanoma cells, the secretome is governed by a PARP-1 and NF-KB signaling cascade.

PNAS in nonmelanoma cells

We next wondered whether a similar PNAS could be observed in nonmelanoma cells that have entered a program of senescence. To this aim, we used the model of the MCF7 human breast cancer cells that underwent senescence upon H₂O₂, as illustrated by morphological changes, SA-B-Gal reactivity (Fig. 7A), and increased expression in the senescence markers p53 and p21 (Fig. 7B). Senescence-like phenotypes of MCF7 in response to H_2O_2 were associated with DNA damage induction, as indicated by vH2AX labeling and stimulation of NF-KB activity shown by the nuclear localization of p65/RELA (Fig. 7C). In agreement with the production of a proinflammatory secretome, the supernatant of senescent MCF7 enhanced the invasion of naive MCF7 cells (Fig. 7D). Although CCL2 was not expected to be the major component of MCF7's secretome, CCL2 measurement was used as a readout of its formation. In this context, senescence entry of MCF7 cells gave rise to CCL2 secretion, which was impaired when MCF7 cells were incubated with 3-AB or sulfasalazine (Fig. 7E). Together, our results reveal that PNAS during cellular senescence is a common mechanism that relies on activation of the DNA damage/NF-KB signaling cascade in both melanoma and nonmelanoma cell lines.

Discussion

Senescence induction is considered a potential strategy of tumor cell elimination. This is strengthened by the observation that, in humans, senescence induction in human breast cancer and lung carcinoma following chemotherapy is correlated to a favorable outcome



Figure 5. NF-κB drives CCL2 secretion and proinvasive properties of the secretome. (*A*) mRNA levels of MITF, IKK2, and CCL2 in 501mel cells transfected with siC or siMi with or without siIKK2. Bars represent the mean + SD of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. (*B*) ELISA test of CCL2 secretion levels in the culture medium of 501mel cells treated or transfected with siC or siMi with or without siIKK2 or exposed to sulfasalazine. Values are expressed as mean + SD and are significantly different from control; (***) *P* < 0.001. (*Inset*) Western blot analysis of IKK2 shows effective IKK2 knockdown by the IKK2 siRNA. (*C*) The chemotactic effect of the CM of melanoma cell treated as above. The number of nuclei was counted using NIH ImageJ analysis software. Values are expressed as mean + SD. Significantly different from control at *P* < 0.001 (***). Representative images are shown. (*D*) 501mel cells were transfected with siC or siMi and NF-κB luciferase vector. When indicated, cells were co-knocked down for IKK2 (siIKK2) or exposed to the NF-κB inhibitor sulfasalazine (sulfa, 500 μM) or TNFα (10 ng/mL) for the last 6 h. Ninety-six hours later, the luciferase activity was assessed and normalized to the β-galactosidase activity. Results are expressed as percent (%) + SD of the luciferase activity from the control condition. Significantly different from control at *P* < 0.001 (***) and *P* < 0.01 (***). (*E*) 501mel cells were transfected with empty vector or IKK2-expressing vector or with MITF and/or IKK2 siRNA and the CCL2 promoter luciferase. When indicated, sulfasalazine was added to the siMITF-transfected cells. Luciferase activity was assessed as above. Results are expressed as percent (%) + SD of the luciferase activity from the control condition. Significantly different from control at *P* < 0.001 (***).



Figure 6. The PARP-1/NF-κB axis plays a key role in the deleterious effect of the secretome. (*A*) Western blot analysis showing a kinetic experiment of siC or siMi-transfected 501mel cell lysates probed with PARP-1, MITF, and ERK2 antibodies. (*B*) Immunofluorescence with PAR antibody of 501mel cells transfected for 96 h with siC or siMi or with siC and exposed to H₂O₂ (100 μ M). (*C*) Immunofluorescent staining with p65/RELA (red) and MITF (green) of 501mel cells transfected with siC or siMi for 96 h and exposed when indicated to NF-κB (sulfasalazine) or PARP-1 (3-AB) inhibitors. (*D*) NF-κB luciferase promoter activity of 501mel cells transfected with MITF siRNA or exposed to fotemustine (FMT, 40 mM), temozolomide (TMZ, 900 nM), or H₂O₂ (100 μ M) in the presence or absence of 3-AB (20 mM). Results are expressed as percent (%) + SD of the luciferase activity from the control condition. (*E*) CCL2 secretion level was analyzed by ELISA in the CM of 501mel cells transfected with siC or siMi and exposed to the PARP-1 inhibitor 3-AB (20 mM) or the NF-κB inhibitor sulfasalazine (Sulfa, 500 μ M) for 96 h. Values are expressed as mean + SD, significantly different from control at *P* < 0.001 (***). (*F*) 501mel cells were transfected with siC or siMi and exposed when indicated to sulfasalazine (500 μ M) or 3-AB (20 mM). Forty-eight hours after transfection and inhibitor exposure, the medium was changed by DMEM 1% serum for an additional 48 h. Drug-free CM was next used in chemotaxis experiments with naive 501mel cells. Cells that had migrated were stained 24 h later with crystal violet and counted. Values represent mean + SD of two independent experiments, significantly different from control at *P* < 0.001 (***). Representative images are shown.



Figure 7. The PARP-1/NF-κB axis is also engaged in the secretome of nonmelanoma cancer cells. (*A*) MCF7 cells exposed for 96 h to H_2O_2 (100 μM) were stained for SA-β-Gal activity and visualized under phase-contrast microscopy. Numbers on the panels indicate percent of means and standard deviations of SA-b-Gal-positive cells. (*B*) Western blot analysis with p53, p21, and ERK2 of MCF7 exposed to H_2O_2 . (*C*) Immunofluorescent staining with p65/RELA (red) and γH2AX (green) of MCF7 cells exposed to H_2O_2 for 96 h. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. (*D*) CM of control or senescent MCF7 (H_2O_2 treated) was added to the lower compartment of Boyden chambers to assess their chemotactic activity. Cells that had migrated to the underside of the upper compartment were stained 24 h later with crystal violet, and the number of nuclei was counted using NIH ImageJ analysis software. Values represent mean + SD of three independent experiments, significantly different from control; (***) *P* < 0.001. Representative images are shown. (*E*) ELISA test of CCL2 level in the CM of control MCF7 or MCF7 incubated with H_2O_2 (100 μM) and, when indicated, with sulfasalazine (500 μM) or 3-AB (20 mM). Data are represented as mean + SD, significantly different from control; (***_) *P* < 0.001.

(Schmitt et al. 2002; te Poele et al. 2002). However, it has been reported recently that senescent cells develop a secretome containing several proinflammatory factors, which can alter the behavior of nearby cells and represent a barrier for efficient therapy (Coppe et al. 2010). Therefore, understanding the process of senescence in tumor cells should make possible the development of therapeutic approaches with improved therapeutic efficiency.

We provide here the evidence that melanoma cells undergoing a senescence-like program develop a PNAS. Its characterization reveals the presence of several factors previously identified in the senescence-associated secretory profile of other cell types (Coppe et al. 2008; Kuilman et al. 2008; Wajapeyee et al. 2008), with the difference that the CCL2 is a major component of the secretome expressed by senescent melanoma cells. These observations support the idea that the secretome may differ in a cell-dependent context. Importantly, we show that the secretome of senescent melanoma cells and CCL2 enhance the survival and invasiveness of nonsenescent melanoma cells and favor melanoma development in vivo. In support of these results are also the observations that the secretome of senescent melanoma cells promotes a decrease in the levels of E-cadherin and of MITF, two changes that have been associated with melanoma tumor formation and increased invasiveness (Carreira et al. 2006; Rodriguez et al. 2008; Cheli et al. 2011). Additionally, the secretome from senescent melanoma cells or recombinant CCL2 induces DNA lesions in naive melanoma cells, although these lesions are not associated with senescence entry (data not shown). This observation is in accord with previous data indicating that DNA lesions correlated with invasiveness (Pinlaor et al. 2005). CCL2 can also trigger systemic levels of DNA damage, impacting on distant tissue homeostasis and participating in the elevated risk of developing other cancers in addition to melanoma, as was proposed previously (Redon et al. 2010). In this context, we found that a neutralizing anti-CCL2 antibodies prevent the proinvasive abilities of this secretome, assuming that CCL2 plays a key role its deleterious effect.

The Merlino group (Zaidi et al. 2011) pointed out recently that CCL2 secretion by melanocytes upon ultraviolet radiation exposure promoted macrophage recruitment to injured skin, and, through INF-y production, they mediated melanocyte survival and immune evasion. Furthermore, CCL2 appears as the most up-regulated secreted factor in MITF silenced cells (Strub et al. 2011) and belongs to pathways identified as candidate drivers of melanoma pathogenesis (Akavia et al. 2010). Hence, CCL2 secretion could be recurrently set up by cells of the melanocyte lineage to control transformation and tumor progression. In accordance with these results, CCL2 silencing was shown previously to reduce melanoma tumor growth, while its overexpression was shown to favor tumorigenesis (Stathopoulos et al. 2008). All of these observations strengthen the idea that CCL2 targeting may enhance anti-melanoma therapies (Zhu et al. 2010).

Notably, the secretome comprises several proinflammatory factors, prompting us to envision involvement of the NF- κ B signaling pathway. NF- κ B is a key mediator of the proinflammatory response, linking inflammation to cancer development (Lawrence 2009). Additionally, sensors of DNA damage such as the PI3K-related kinase ataxia telangiectasia-mutated (ATM) and PARP-1 have previously identified roles in cellular senescence (Wesierska-Gadek et al. 2005; d'Adda di Fagagna 2008) and were shown to elicit activation of NF- κ B (Li and Karin 1998; Li et al. 2001; Stilmann et al. 2009). However, the biological role of DNA damage-induced NF- κ B activation remains poorly understood, and a potential molecular link, if any, between DNA damage, NF- κ B, and a senescence-associated secretome has never been demonstrated.

We demonstrate that cellular senescence is associated with an activation of NF- κ B, and that NF- κ B, by controlling CCL2 transcription and secretion, mediates the proinvasive capacity of the senescence-associated secretome. This idea is in complete agreement with previous works showing that the IKK/NF- κ B complex played a key role in melanomagenesis (Yang et al. 2010), and that NF- κ B blockade inhibited the endogenous production of angiogenic and proinflammatory factors and prevents melanoma tumor growth in mice (Yang et al. 2006, 2007).

PARP-1, which detects DNA lesions and drives DNA repair (Dantzer et al. 2006), was also described as a medi-

ator of NF-KB activation upon genotoxic stress (Stilmann et al. 2009; Veuger et al. 2009). Inhibition of NF-KB activity and CCL2 secretion by the PARP-1 inhibitor 3-AB points to a PNAS in senescent melanoma cells. The PARP-1 signalosome also contains the DNA damage sensor ATM (Stilmann et al. 2009), which was involved in NF-KB activation following DNA damage (Piret et al. 1999; Huang et al. 2000; Wu et al. 2006). In line with these data and our previous results (Giuliano et al. 2010), we also demonstrate the involvement of ATM in NF-KB activation in melanoma cells undergoing senescence upon MITF depletion or H₂O₂ exposure (Supplemental Fig. 8). Our results are in agreement with a direct activation of NF-KB by the DDR, although we cannot rule out that a secondary paracrine feed-forward loop takes place and later triggers a DNA damage-independent NF-KB activation.

Nonmelanoma cells, such as breast cancer cells, also develop a PNAS with a proinvasive effect on naive cells.

Together, we provide the first evidence that expression of a secretory profile during cellular senescence is a common mechanism that relies on activation of the DNA damage/PARP-1/NF- κ B signaling cascade (Fig. 8).

We found previously that the DNA damage elicited by MITF silencing promoted p53 stabilization and activation (Giuliano et al. 2010). An interplay between PARP-1 and p53 has been reported (Wieler et al. 2003), and may depend on the type of damage induced to DNA (Valenzuela et al. 2002). We reveal here that PARP-1 inhibition prevents induction of p53 mediated by MITF silencing. On the



Figure 8. Graphical abstract of the molecular determinants of PNAS' formation and deleterious effects. Chemotherapeutic drugs or oxidative stress induce DNA damage, engagement of the PARP-1/ATM/NF-kB axis, and senescence entry. The senescence phenotypes are associated with the development of a secretome (PNAS) containing, among others, the chemokine CCL2 that enhances invasiveness of melanoma cells that might have escaped the process of senescence. Blocking PARP-1, ATM, or NF-kB prevents the deleterious proinvasive properties of the secretome.

other hand, NF- κ B inhibition only slightly alters p53 levels, indicating that NF- κ B and p53 likely act in separate pathways, although a cross-talk between NF- κ B and the tumor suppressor p53 has been reported in a different cellular setting (Schneider et al. 2010). The nature of this interplay, if any, remains to be determined in senescent melanoma cells.

p53 functions to impose a transient cell cycle arrest, giving time to the cellular machinery to repair DNA, or triggers a permanent growth arrest such as senescence or cell death when lesions are too severe to be repaired. Besides, NF-KB has prosurvival function, and its increased activity might bring important survival signals to weakened cells (genotoxically endamaged cells) until DNA is repaired. It has been postulated that the senescenceassociated secretome develops only in response to genotoxic stress of sufficient magnitude to cause senescence (Coppe et al. 2008). This situation matches our results, where MITF silencing, oxidative stress, or chemotherapeutic drugs trigger DNA damage, senescence entry, and secretome formation. In this context, it is conceivable that PNAS allows NF-KB to exert extracellular prosurvival functions to signal the critical situation of injured senescent cells to incompletely damaged neighboring cells to order their proliferation or invasion in different places, thereby ensuring survival of the progeny. Abrogating this signature might prevent the deleterious effects of the PNAS and may thus enhance the therapeutic index.

Temozolomide, fotemustine, or dacarbazine, used against advanced melanoma, have yielded objective response rates of only 10%-15%, and most of these are incomplete, with frequent disease relapse, suggesting that their cytostatic effects are compromised and should be improved. PNAS formation could, in part, explain the limited efficacy of these chemotherapeutic treatments. In support of this idea, a study from the group of Bar-Eli (Lev et al. 2003) indicated that dacarbazine unexpectedly induced IL8 and VEGF secretion, causing resistance to this drug. Addition of IL8-neutralizing antibody lowered sensitivity of metastatic melanoma cells to dacarbazine. However, in this latter study, the presence of other proinflammatory molecules was not determined. Consistently, we also found an increased production of IL8 and VEGF, but our results indicate that CCL2 is up-regulated to a much higher level than that of IL8 and VEGF, strengthening the notion that the CCL2 blockade will be even more effective in blocking melanoma progression.

Thus, the combination of temozolomide or fotemustine with PARP-1 inhibitors (several of which are currently being tested in clinical trials) (Helleday et al. 2008) or with NF- κ B inhibitors (such as sulfasalazine, currently used in clinics to treat inflammatory bowel diseases) may confer potent anti-melanoma effects by preventing the deleterious effect of prosenescence drugs. PARP-1 inhibition should improve the therapeutic index by hypersensitizing melanoma cells to stimuli that cause DNA damage, such as those caused by MITF suppression, thereby favoring accumulation of excessive DNA lesions incompatible with cell survival and preventing, through abrogation of NF- κ B activity, PNAS formation. This is consistent with a recent study showing that PARP-1 inhibition in melanoma and cervical carcinoma lines enhanced in vitro sensitivity to temozolomide (Tentori et al. 2010). These results also provide strong support for the development of NF- κ B or CCL2 blockade strategies in combination with the current anti-melanoma chemotherapies.

Collectively, our findings therefore have important implications for clinics. The identification of the PARP-1/ NF- κ B signaling cascade in the expression of a deleterious secretome in senescent cells opens new avenues for the treatment of cancers.

Materials and methods

Cell cultures and reagents

501mel human melanoma cells and MCF7 human breast cancer cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 7% FBS at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. Lipofectamine RNAiMAX and opti-MEM media were purchased from Invitrogen. TNF α and recombinant human CCL2 were from PeproTech, Inc., sulfasalazine and 3-AB were from Sigma Chemical Co., mouse anti-CCL2 was from R&D Systems, and KU55933 was from Calbiochem. The senescence β -galactosidase staining kit from Cell Signaling Technology was used to histochemically detect β -galactosidase activity at pH6 as reported previously (Giuliano et al. 2010). The percentage of means and standard deviations were derived from counting 100 cells in duplicate plates after 96 h.

Transient transfection of siRNA

Briefly, a single pulse of 50 nM siRNA was administrated to the cells at 50% confluency by transfection with 5 μ L of Lipofectamine RNAiMAX in opti-MEM medium (Invitrogen). Control (siC) and MITF (siMi) siRNAs were described previously (Larribere et al. 2005). When indicated, IKK2 was co-knocked down (IKK2-Stealth-RNAi, Invitrogen).

Invasion assay

Cell invasion was assessed using a modified Boyden chamber assay with 8-mm pore filter inserts for 24-well plates (BD Bioscience). 501mel cells were seeded on the upper chamber of a Matrigel-coated *trans*-well and chemoattractants (CM or recombinant molecules) were placed in the lower chamber. Twenty-four hours later, cells adherent to the underside of the filters were fixed with 4% PFA and stained with 0.4% crystal violet, and five random fields at $20 \times$ magnification were counted. Results represent the average of triplicate samples from three independent experiments.

Western blot assays

Western blots were carried out as described previously (Hilmi et al. 2008). Briefly, cell lysates ($30 \mu g$) were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred onto a PVDF membrane, and then exposed to the appropriate antibodies: anti-MITF (Abcam, Ab80651), anti-ERK2 (Santa Cruz biotechnology, sc-1647 clone D-2), anti-p53 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-126 clone DO-1), anti-PARP1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-1561), and anti-IKK2 (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., 2370). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse antibodies were from Dakopatts. Proteins were visualized with the ECL system (Amersham). The

Western blots shown are representative of at least three independent experiments.

mRNA preparation, real-time/qPCR

mRNA isolation was performed with Trizol (Invitrogen) according to standard procedures. qRT–PCR was carried out with SYBR Green I (Eurogentec) and Multiscribe Reverse Transcriptase (Applied Biosystems) and was monitored by an ABI Prism 7900 Sequence Detection system (Applied Biosystems). Detection of the SB34 gene was used to normalize the results. Primer sequences for each cDNA were designed using either Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems) or qPrimer depot (http:// primerdepot.nci.nih.gov) and are available on request.

Luciferase reporter assays

501mel melanoma cells were transiently transfected as described previously using the Lipofectamine reagent (Invitrogen) (Bertolotto et al. 1998). Briefly, cells were transiently transfected with 0.3 μ g of NF-κB reporter and 0.05 μ g of pCMVßGal to control the variability in transfection efficiency. The transfection medium was changed 6 h later, and, when indicated, cells were transfected as described above with 50 nM siC or siMi and/or siIKK2, or were exposed to drugs. Similar experiments were conducted with the CCL2 promoter construct, kindly provided by Dr. S.K. Lin (National Taiwan University, Taiwan). Briefly, CCL2 promoter construct was cotransfected with empty vector or encoding IKK2 vector (0.1 μ g) or with siMi and/or siIKK2. Cells were assayed for luciferase and β-galactosidase activities 48 h later. Transfections were repeated at least three times.

Immunofluorescence studies

Melanoma cells seeded on glass coverslips in 12-well dishes were transfected with siC or siMi. When indicated, cells were exposed to TNF α (10 ng/mL). Ninety-six hours later, cells were fixed and permeabilized as described previously (Khaled et al. 2003) before being exposed to anti-MITF (Abcam, Ab80651), anti-p65/RelA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-372), anti-53BP1 (Bethyl Laboratories, A300-273A), anti- γ H2AX (Millipore, 05-636), anti-PAR (BD Pharmingen, 51-8114KC), and E-cadherin (BD Transduction Laboratories, 610181) antibodies. Cells were washed three times with PBS, and then incubated for 1 h with 1:1000 dilution antimouse or anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488- or Alexa Fluor 594-labeled secondary antibody (Invitrogen) and mounted using Gel/Mount (Biomeda Corp.). Immunofluorescences were examined and photographed with a Zeiss Axiophot microscope equipped with epifluorescence illumination.

ELISA

CCL2 levels in the supernatant of the different melanoma cell lines, in that of MCF7 cells, or in that of 501mel cells transfected with siC or siMi for 96 h in the presence or absence of drugs was quantified by ELISA (R&D Systems). Results from two independent experiments were normalized to cell number and expressed as nanogram per milliliter per 10^6 cells.

EMSA

EMSA for NF- κ B was performed as described previously (Imbert et al. 1996). Briefly, extracts prepared from 501mel cells transfected with siC or siMi or treated with TNF α were incubated with ³²P-end-labeled synthetic double-stranded oligonucleotides

containing the κ B-binding site of the immunoglobulin promoter (5'-GATCCAAGGGACTTTCCATG-3') for 20 min at 37°C, and the DNA-protein complex formed was separated from free oligonucleotides on 5% nondenaturating polyacrylamide gels in 0.5× Tris-Borate EDTA.

Tumor models

Animal experiments were carried out in accordance with French law and were approved by a local institutional ethical committee. Animals were maintained in a temperature-controlled facility (22°C) on a 12-h light/dark cycle and were given free access to food (standard laboratory chow diet from UAR).

Mice were divided randomly into three groups of six mice for the subcutaneous and tail vein xenografts. 501mel cells were exposed in vitro to the CM of proliferative or senescent melanoma cells or recombinant CCL2 (100 ng/mL each, 24 h) for 48 h, washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline, and then inoculated subcutaneously/intravenously (4 × 10⁶ cells per mouse) into 6-wk-old female immune-deficient athymic nude FOXN1^{*nu*} mice (Harlan Laboratory). The growth tumor curves were determined by measuring the tumor volume using the equation $V = (L × W^2)/2$. Mice were killed by CO₂ inhalation, and tumors were taken. The lungs were excised, fixed, and serially sectioned. S100 immunostaining (1/100; Abcam) was performed. The number of 501mel lung colonies was estimated on a section stained with H&E.

Patient's samples

Metastatic melanoma specimens were obtained from the Biobank of the Nice CHU hospital, where they were stored after a diagnosis of melanoma. All patients provided informed written consent. Melanoma samples were analyzed by immunohistochemistry on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections of paired tumor biopsies. The p65/RelA polyclonal antibody (1:750; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or the MITF monoclonal antibody (1:1000; Abcam) was incubated with the 4-µm tissue section after heat-induced epitope retrieval with citrate buffer (pH 6.0). The samples were incubated with primary antibody for 2 h, followed by incubation with a biotinylated secondary antibody for 30 min. Detection was performed using DAB for 15 min, and the slides were counterstained with hematoxylin/ bluing reagent (Ventana kit, Roche).

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as averages \pm SD and were analyzed by student *t*-test using Microsoft Excel. A *P*-value of 0.05 ([*] *P* < 0.05) or less ([**] *P* < 0.01 and [***] *P* < 0.001) was interpreted as indicating statistical significance when comparing experimental and control groups.

Acknowledgments

We thank Zouhour Neffati for the Gene Ontology analysis. This work was supported by INSERM, the Institut National du Cancer (INCA) grant R08009AP, the "Fondation de France," and the "Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer" grant 4985. M.O. is a recipient from INCA.

References

Abdel-Malek ZA, Kadekaro AL, Swope VB. 2010. Stepping up melanocytes to the challenge of UV exposure. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res* 23: 171–186.

- Acosta JC, O'Loghlen A, Banito A, Guijarro MV, Augert A, Raguz S, Fumagalli M, Da Costa M, Brown C, Popov N, et al. 2008. Chemokine signaling via the CXCR2 receptor reinforces senescence. *Cell* **133**: 1006–1018.
- Akavia UD, Litvin O, Kim J, Sanchez-Garcia F, Kotliar D, Causton HC, Pochanard P, Mozes E, Garraway LA, Pe'er D. 2010. An integrated approach to uncover drivers of cancer. *Cell* 143: 1005–1017.
- Bavik C, Coleman I, Dean JP, Knudsen B, Plymate S, Nelson PS. 2006. The gene expression program of prostate fibroblast senescence modulates neoplastic epithelial cell proliferation through paracrine mechanisms. *Cancer Res* 66: 794–802.
- Bertolotto C, Busca R, Abbe P, Bille K, Aberdam E, Ortonne JP, Ballotti R. 1998. Different *cis*-acting elements are involved in the regulation of TRP1 and TRP2 promoter activities by cyclic AMP: pivotal role of M boxes (GTCATGTGCT) and of microphthalmia. *Mol Cell Biol* **18**: 694–702.
- Carreira S, Goodall J, Denat L, Rodriguez M, Nuciforo P, Hoek KS, Testori A, Larue L, Goding CR. 2006. Mitf regulation of Dia1 controls melanoma proliferation and invasiveness. *Genes Dev* 20: 3426–3439.
- Cheli Y, Ohanna M, Ballotti R, Bertolotto C. 2010. Fifteen-year quest for microphthalmia-associated transcription factor target genes. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res* 23: 27–40.
- Cheli Y, Guiliano S, Botton T, Rocchi S, Hofman V, Hofman P, Bahadoran P, Bertolotto C, Ballotti R. 2011. Mitf is the key molecular switch between mouse or human melanoma initiating cells and their differentiated progeny. *Oncogene* doi: 10.1038/onc.2010.598.
- Coppe JP, Patil CK, Rodier F, Sun Y, Munoz DP, Goldstein J, Nelson PS, Desprez PY, Campisi J. 2008. Senescence-associated secretory phenotypes reveal cell-nonautonomous functions of oncogenic RAS and the p53 tumor suppressor. *PLoS Biol* 6: 2853–2868.
- Coppe JP, Desprez PY, Krtolica A, Campisi J. 2010. The senescence-associated secretory phenotype: the dark side of tumor suppression. *Annu Rev Pathol* **5:** 99–118.
- d'Adda di Fagagna F. 2008. Living on a break: cellular senescence as a DNA-damage response. *Nat Rev Cancer* **8:** 512–522.
- Dantzer F, Ame JC, Schreiber V, Nakamura J, Menissier-de Murcia J, de Murcia G. 2006. Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 activation during DNA damage and repair. *Methods Enzymol* 409: 493–510.
- Davis IJ, Kim JJ, Ozsolak F, Widlund HR, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Granter SR, Du J, Fletcher JA, Denny CT, Lessnick SL, et al. 2006. Oncogenic MITF dysregulation in clear cell sarcoma: defining the MiT family of human cancers. *Cancer Cell* **9**: 473–484.
- Eberle J, Kurbanov BM, Hossini AM, Trefzer U, Fecker LF. 2007. Overcoming apoptosis deficiency of melanoma-hope for new therapeutic approaches. *Drug Resist Updat* **10**: 218–234.
- Elledge SJ. 1996. Cell cycle checkpoints: preventing an identity crisis. *Science* **274**: 1664–1672.
- Garraway LA, Widlund HR, Rubin MA, Getz G, Berger AJ, Ramaswamy S, Beroukhim R, Milner DA, Granter SR, Du J, et al. 2005. Integrative genomic analyses identify MITF as a lineage survival oncogene amplified in malignant melanoma. *Nature* **436**: 117–122.
- Giuliano S, Cheli Y, Ohanna M, Bonet C, Beuret L, Bille K, Loubat A, Hofman V, Hofman P, Ponzio G, et al. 2010. Microphthalmia-associated transcription factor controls the DNA damage response and a lineage-specific senescence program in melanomas. *Cancer Res* **70**: 3813–3822.
- Giuliano S, Ohanna M, Ballotti R, Bertolotto C. 2011. Advances in melanoma senescence and potential clinical application. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res* **24**: 295–308.

- Hartwell LH, Kastan MB. 1994. Cell cycle control and cancer. *Science* 266: 1821–1828.
- Helleday T, Petermann E, Lundin C, Hodgson B, Sharma RA. 2008. DNA repair pathways as targets for cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* 8: 193–204.
- Hilmi C, Larribere L, Giuliano S, Bille K, Ortonne JP, Ballotti R, Bertolotto C. 2008. IGF1 promotes resistance to apoptosis in melanoma cells through an increased expression of BCL2, BCL-X(L), and survivin. J Invest Dermatol 128: 1499–1505.
- Huang TT, Wuerzberger-Davis SM, Seufzer BJ, Shumway SD, Kurama T, Boothman DA, Miyamoto S. 2000. NF-κB activation by camptothecin. A linkage between nuclear DNA damage and cytoplasmic signaling events. *J Biol Chem* **275**: 9501–9509.
- Imbert V, Rupec RA, Livolsi A, Pahl HL, Traenckner EB, Mueller-Dieckmann C, Farahifar D, Rossi B, Auberger P, Baeuerle PA, et al. 1996. Tyrosine phosphorylation of I κ B- α activates NF- κ B without proteolytic degradation of I κ B- α . *Cell* **86**: 787–798.
- Jane-Valbuena J, Widlund HR, Perner S, Johnson LA, Dibner AC, Lin WM, Baker AC, Nazarian RM, Vijayendran KG, Sellers WR, et al. 2010. An oncogenic role for ETV1 in melanoma. *Cancer Res* 70: 2075–2084.
- Khaled M, Larribere L, Bille K, Ortonne JP, Ballotti R, Bertolotto C. 2003. Microphthalmia associated transcription factor is a target of the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase pathway. J Invest Dermatol 121: 831–836.
- Kolthur-Seetharam U, Dantzer F, McBurney MW, de Murcia G, Sassone-Corsi P. 2006. Control of AIF-mediated cell death by the functional interplay of SIRT1 and PARP-1 in response to DNA damage. *Cell Cycle* **5:** 873–877.
- Krtolica A, Parrinello S, Lockett S, Desprez PY, Campisi J. 2001. Senescent fibroblasts promote epithelial cell growth and tumorigenesis: a link between cancer and aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 98: 12072–12077.
- Kuilman T, Peeper DS. 2009. Senescence-messaging secretome: SMS-ing cellular stress. Nat Rev Cancer 9: 81–94.
- Kuilman T, Michaloglou C, Vredeveld LC, Douma S, van Doorn R, Desmet CJ, Aarden LA, Mooi WJ, Peeper DS. 2008. Oncogene-induced senescence relayed by an interleukin-dependent inflammatory network. *Cell* **133**: 1019–1031.
- Larribere L, Hilmi C, Khaled M, Gaggioli C, Bille K, Auberger P, Ortonne JP, Ballotti R, Bertolotto C. 2005. The cleavage of microphthalmia-associated transcription factor, MITF, by caspases plays an essential role in melanocyte and melanoma cell apoptosis. *Genes Dev* 19: 1980–1985.
- Lawrence T. 2009. The nuclear factor NF-κB pathway in inflammation. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **1**: a001651. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a001651.
- Lev DC, Ruiz M, Mills L, McGary EC, Price JE, Bar-Eli M. 2003. Dacarbazine causes transcriptional up-regulation of interleukin 8 and vascular endothelial growth factor in melanoma cells: a possible escape mechanism from chemotherapy. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2: 753–763.
- Li N, Karin M. 1998. Ionizing radiation and short wavelength UV activate NF-κB through two distinct mechanisms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **95:** 13012–13017.
- Li N, Banin S, Ouyang H, Li GC, Courtois G, Shiloh Y, Karin M, Rotman G. 2001. ATM is required for IκB kinase (IKKk) activation in response to DNA double strand breaks. *J Biol Chem* **276:** 8898–8903.
- Liu D, Hornsby PJ. 2007. Senescent human fibroblasts increase the early growth of xenograft tumors via matrix metalloproteinase secretion. *Cancer Res* **67**: 3117–3126.
- Liu F, Fu Y, Meyskens FL Jr. 2009. MiTF regulates cellular response to reactive oxygen species through transcriptional regulation of APE-1/Ref-1. *J Invest Dermatol* **129**: 422–431.

- Matsubara D, Niki T, Ishikawa S, Goto A, Ohara E, Yokomizo T, Heizmann CW, Aburatani H, Moriyama S, Moriyama H, et al. 2005. Differential expression of S100A2 and S100A4 in lung adenocarcinomas: clinicopathological significance, relationship to p53 and identification of their target genes. *Cancer Sci* **96:** 844–857.
- Mhaidat NM, Zhang XD, Allen J, Avery-Kiejda KA, Scott RJ, Hersey P. 2007. Temozolomide induces senescence but not apoptosis in human melanoma cells. *Br J Cancer* **97:** 1225– 1233.
- Pinlaor S, Sripa B, Ma N, Hiraku Y, Yongvanit P, Wongkham S, Pairojkul C, Bhudhisawasdi V, Oikawa S, Murata M, et al. 2005. Nitrative and oxidative DNA damage in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma patients in relation to tumor invasion. *World J Gastroenterol* 11: 4644–4649.
- Piret B, Schoonbroodt S, Piette J. 1999. The ATM protein is required for sustained activation of NF-κB following DNA damage. Oncogene 18: 2261–2271.
- Redon CE, Dickey JS, Nakamura AJ, Kareva IG, Naf D, Nowsheen S, Kryston TB, Bonner WM, Georgakilas AG, Sedelnikova OA. 2010. Tumors induce complex DNA damage in distant proliferative tissues in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 107: 17992– 17997.
- Rodriguez M, Aladowicz E, Lanfrancone L, Goding CR. 2008. Tbx3 represses E-cadherin expression and enhances melanoma invasiveness. *Cancer Res* 68: 7872–7881.
- Schmitt CA, Fridman JS, Yang M, Lee S, Baranov E, Hoffman RM, Lowe SW. 2002. A senescence program controlled by p53 and p16INK4a contributes to the outcome of cancer therapy. *Cell* **109**: 335–346.
- Schneider G, Henrich A, Greiner G, Wolf V, Lovas A, Wieczorek M, Wagner T, Reichardt S, von Werder A, Schmid RM, et al. 2010. Cross talk between stimulated NF-κB and the tumor suppressor p53. Oncogene 29: 2795–2806.
- Serrano M, Lin AW, McCurrach ME, Beach D, Lowe SW. 1997. Oncogenic ras provokes premature cell senescence associated with accumulation of p53 and p16INK4a. *Cell* 88: 593–602.
- Soengas MS, Lowe SW. 2003. Apoptosis and melanoma chemoresistance. Oncogene 22: 3138–3151.
- Stathopoulos GT, Psallidas I, Moustaki A, Moschos C, Kollintza A, Karabela S, Porfyridis I, Vassiliou S, Karatza M, Zhou Z, et al. 2008. A central role for tumor-derived monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 in malignant pleural effusion. J Natl Cancer Inst 100: 1464–1476.
- Steinert S, Shay JW, Wright WE. 2000. Transient expression of human telomerase extends the life span of normal human fibroblasts. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 273: 1095–1098.
- Stilmann M, Hinz M, Arslan SC, Zimmer A, Schreiber V, Scheidereit C. 2009. A nuclear poly(ADP-ribose)-dependent signalosome confers DNA damage-induced IκB kinase activation. *Mol Cell* **36**: 365–378.
- Strub T, Giuliano S, Ye T, Bonet C, Keime C, Kobi D, Le Gras S, Cormont M, Ballotti R, Bertolotto C, et al. 2011. Essential role of microphthalmia transcription factor for DNA replication, mitosis and genomic stability in melanoma. Oncogene doi: 10.1038/onc.2010.612.
- Tentori L, Muzi A, Dorio AS, Scarsella M, Leonetti C, Shah GM, Xu W, Camaioni E, Gold B, Pellicciari R, et al. 2010. Pharmacological inhibition of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) activity in PARP-1 silenced tumour cells increases chemosensitivity to temozolomide and to a N3-adenine selective methylating agent. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets* **10**: 368–383.
- te Poele RH, Okorokov AL, Jardine L, Cummings J, Joel SP. 2002. DNA damage is able to induce senescence in tumor cells in vitro and in vivo. *Cancer Res* **62**: 1876–1883.

- Valenzuela MT, Guerrero R, Nunez MI, Ruiz De Almodovar JM, Sarker M, de Murcia G, Oliver FJ. 2002. PARP-1 modifies the effectiveness of p53-mediated DNA damage response. Oncogene 21: 1108–1116.
- Vance KW, Carreira S, Brosch G, Goding CR. 2005. Tbx2 is overexpressed and plays an important role in maintaining proliferation and suppression of senescence in melanomas. *Cancer Res* 65: 2260–2268.
- Veuger SJ, Hunter JE, Durkacz BW. 2009. Ionizing radiationinduced NF-κB activation requires PARP-1 function to confer radioresistance. Oncogene **28**: 832–842.
- Wajapeyee N, Serra RW, Zhu X, Mahalingam M, Green MR. 2008. Oncogenic BRAF induces senescence and apoptosis through pathways mediated by the secreted protein IGFBP7. *Cell* **132:** 363–374.
- Wellbrock C, Rana S, Paterson H, Pickersgill H, Brummelkamp T, Marais R. 2008. Oncogenic BRAF regulates melanoma proliferation through the lineage specific factor MITF. *PLoS ONE* 3: e2734. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0002734.
- Wesierska-Gadek J, Ranftler C, Schmid G. 2005. Physiological ageing: role of p53 and PARP-1 tumor suppressors in the regulation of terminal senescence. *J Physiol Pharmacol* 56: 77–88.
- Wieler S, Gagne JP, Vaziri H, Poirier GG, Benchimol S. 2003. Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 is a positive regulator of the p53-mediated G1 arrest response following ionizing radiation. J Biol Chem 278: 18914–18921.
- Wu ZH, Shi Y, Tibbetts RS, Miyamoto S. 2006. Molecular linkage between the kinase ATM and NF-κB signaling in response to genotoxic stimuli. *Science* **311**: 1141–1146.
- Yang J, Amiri KI, Burke JR, Schmid JA, Richmond A. 2006. BMS-345541 targets inhibitor of κB kinase and induces apoptosis in melanoma: involvement of nuclear factor κB and mitochondria pathways. *Clin Cancer Res* **12**: 950–960.
- Yang J, Pan WH, Clawson GA, Richmond A. 2007. Systemic targeting inhibitor of κB kinase inhibits melanoma tumor growth. *Cancer Res* **67**: 3127–3134.
- Yang J, Splittgerber R, Yull FE, Kantrow S, Ayers GD, Karin M, Richmond A. 2010. Conditional ablation of Ikkb inhibits melanoma tumor development in mice. *J Clin Invest* 120: 2563–2574.
- Zaidi MR, Davis S, Noonan FP, Graff-Cherry C, Hawley TS, Walker RL, Feigenbaum L, Fuchs E, Lyakh L, Young HA, et al. 2011. Interferon-γ links ultraviolet radiation to melanomagenesis in mice. *Nature* **469**: 548–553.
- Zhu X, Fujita M, Snyder LA, Okada H. 2010. Systemic delivery of neutralizing antibody targeting CCL2 for glioma therapy. *J Neurooncol* doi: 10.1007/s11060-00-10-0473-5.
- Zhuang D, Mannava S, Grachtchouk V, Tang WH, Patil S, Wawrzyniak JA, Berman AE, Giordano TJ, Prochownik EV, Soengas MS, et al. 2008. C-MYC overexpression is required for continuous suppression of oncogene-induced senescence in melanoma cells. Oncogene 27: 6623–6634.