Dawn C. Bisi and David J. Lampe*

Duquesne University, Department of Biological Sciences, 600 Forbes Ave., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15282

Received 6 March 2011/Accepted 9 May 2011

The insect-vectored disease malaria is a major world health problem. New control strategies are needed to supplement the current use of insecticides and medications. A genetic approach can be used to inhibit development of malaria parasites (*Plasmodium* **spp.) in the mosquito host. We hypothesized that** *Pantoea agglomerans***, a bacterial symbiont of** *Anopheles* **mosquitoes, could be engineered to express and secrete anti-***Plasmodium* **effector proteins, a strategy termed paratransgenesis. To this end, plasmids that include the** *pelB* **or** *hlyA* **secretion signals from the genes of related species (pectate lyase from** *Erwinia carotovora* **and hemolysin A from** *Escherichia coli***, respectively) were created and tested for their efficacy in secreting known anti-***Plasmodium* **effector proteins (SM1, anti-Pbs21, and PLA2) in** *P. agglomerans* **and** *E. coli***.** *P. agglomerans* **successfully secreted HlyA fusions of anti-Pbs21 and PLA2, and these strains are under evaluation for anti-***Plasmodium* **activity in infected mosquitoes. Varied expression and/or secretion of the effector proteins was observed, suggesting that the individual characteristics of a particular effector may require empirical testing of several secretion signals. Importantly, those strains that secreted efficiently grew as well as wild-type strains under laboratory conditions and, thus, may be expected to be competitive with the native microbiota in the environment of the mosquito midgut.**

Malaria is one of the most important insect-vectored diseases, with an estimated 360 million diagnoses and 1 million deaths annually (19, 48). There has been a recent reduction in new cases of malaria due to the renewed success of mosquito vector control and drug treatment for infected individuals (6, 39, 49). The positive effects from insecticides and drugs are not a permanent solution, however, since mosquitoes and *Plasmodium* species have evolved resistances to them in the past and can be expected to do so in the future; thus, alternate strategies are needed to supplement these methods (12, 29).

One alternative to traditional methods of malarial control involves the use of transgenic mosquitoes expressing antimalarial effector proteins (31). Laboratory strains of such mosquitoes have been demonstrated to disrupt *Plasmodium* development in the gut of the insects, but this approach has some serious drawbacks, including fitness costs to the transgenic insects carrying the effector transgenes (22, 25, 34). Perhaps most importantly, introgressing effector protein genes to high frequency in natural mosquito populations is complicated and remains largely theoretical (21).

Alternatively, paratransgenesis, or the engineering of a bacterial symbiont to deliver effector proteins to combat diseases vectored by their eukaryotic host, can be employed. Developing a paratransgenesis strategy involves several steps, including the isolation of a suitable bacterial species, identification of effector proteins that can interfere with the disease agent, and the secretion of those effectors from the symbiont (7). Modi-

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Duquesne University, Department of Biological Sciences, 600 Forbes Ave., Pittsburgh, PA 15282. Phone: (412) 396-6322. Fax: (412) 396-5907. E-mail: lampe

fying a resident bacterial species of the mosquito gut to produce effector proteins antagonistic to *Plasmodium* could prove to be an efficient tool against the spread of *Plasmodium* to humans during a mosquito blood meal (41). There are several encouraging examples of paratransgenesis used to combat different pathogens in either an insect host or in mammalian cells (2, 4, 11, 40).

The candidate species for malaria paratransgenesis presented here is *Pantoea* (= *Enterobacter*) *agglomerans*, a Gramnegative gammaproteobacterium (14). This isolate originated from adult blood-fed female *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes and has been selected for longer survival periods in the gut environment (42). Other *P. agglomerans* strains have been isolated from plant matter as well as from different insect species (1, 10, 27, 28). The *P. agglomerans* strain E325 has been used in orchards to combat fire blight through a competitive displacement strategy (38). For these reasons, in addition to malaria, *P. agglomerans* may prove to be a paratransgenic tool in the fight against other diseases (38, 43).

Many antimalarial effector proteins are known to interfere with the development of the parasite in mosquito midguts. For this study, we tested three structurally diverse effectors for their ability to be secreted via the *pelB* or *hlyA* protein secretion pathways from *P. agglomerans*. The effector proteins included the dodecapeptide SM1 (salivary and midgut peptide 1), an anti-Pbs21 single-chain variable-fragment (scFv) antibody, and phospholipase A2 (PLA2). SM1 (8 kDa) is structurally similar to an epitope of the *Plasmodium* TRAP protein, a target used by the parasite to invade mosquito salivary glands and midgut epithelium (16, 17). Anti-Pbs21 scFv (21 kDa) binds to a sexual-stage surface protein of *Plasmodium berghei* and has been shown to block oocyst development from gametocytes and ookinetes in the mosquito midgut (54). PLA2 (23

[@]duq.edu.

^V Published ahead of print on 20 May 2011.

Strain or plasmid	Relevant characteristics ^a	Source or reference	
Strains			
E. coli Top10	F^- mcrA $\Delta(mrr\text{-}hsdRMS\text{-}mcrBC)$ ϕ 80lacZ Δ M15 Δ lacX74 recA1 araD139 $\Delta(\text{ara-leu})$ 7697 galU galK rpsL (Str ^r) endA1 nupG	Invitrogen	
E. coli Top10 F'	Top10 with $F/[lacIq$ Tn10 (Tc ^r)]		
Saccharomyces cerevisiae INVSc-1	Sc1; MATa his3D1 leu2 trp1-289 ura3-52 MATa his3D1 leu2 trp1-289 $ura3-52$	Invitrogen Invitrogen	
E. coli BW25113	lacI ^q rrnB Δ lacZ hsdR514 Δ araBAD Δ rhaBAD	9	
E. coli ET12567	dam-13::Tn9 dcm-6 hsdM hsdR recF143 zjj201::Tn10 galK2 galT22 ara- 14 lacY1 xyl-5 leuB6 thi-1 tonA31 rpsL136 hisG4 tsx-78 mtl-1 glnV44 F^-	30	
E. coli LL308	Δ (pro-lac) recA nalA supE thi/'F pro ⁺ lacI ^q lacZ Δ M15	55	
E. coli HB2151	Δ lac-pro ara Nal ^r thi F' (proAB lacI ^q lacZ Δ M15)	51	
P. agglomerans	Wild-type strain isolated from Johns Hopkins University mosquitoes	42	
P. agglomerans E325	Commercial strain isolated from plant matter; Rif ^r	38	
Plasmids			
pIJ790	Cam ^r ; λ red (gam bet exo) araC rep101(Ts)	18	
pIJ799	Apr ^r ; source of $aac(3)IV-oriT$ cassette flanked by <i>bla</i> homology sites	18	
pUZ8002	Kan ^r ; RK2 derivative, nontransmissible plasmid in <i>E. coli</i>	37	
pMQ64	Gen ^r ; yeast recombination vector containing colE1 ori	47	
pDB27	Cam ^r ; source of <i>malE</i> -anti-BSA scFv	D. C. Bisi, unpublished	
pHA-2-5-1	Source of SM1 effector gene (encodes two tandem copies)	17	
pDL200.3	Source of anti-Pbs21 effector gene	D. J. Lampe, unpublished	
pTOPO-PLA2-H67N	Source of PLA2 effector gene (H67N mutant)	35	
PelB			
$pIT2-scFv$	Amp ^r ; pIT2 with anti-BSA scFv incorporated between <i>pelB</i> and epitope tags	51	
pDB36	Apr ^r ; pIT2-scFv with <i>bla</i> gene replaced with $aac(3)IV$	This study	
pDB48	Gen ^r ; pelB-AscI-6His-myc-STOP cloned into MCS in pMQ64	This study	
pDB52	Gen ^r ; pDB48/SM1	This study	
pDB53	Gen ^r ; pDB48/anti-Pbs21	This study	
pDB54	Gen ^r ; pDB48/PLA2	This study	
HlyA			
pVDL9.3	Cam ^r ; production of HlyB and HlyD transporters	50	
pEHLYA2-SD	Amp ^r ; polylinker for cloning ORFs in frame with E-tagged <i>'hlyA</i> (23- kDa C-terminal domain of HlyA)	13	
pDB47	Apr ^r ; pEHLYA2-SD with <i>bla</i> gene replaced with $aac(3)IV$	This study	
pDB49	Apr ^r ; pDB47/anti-BSA scFv	This study	
pDB50	Apr ^r ; pDB47malE-anti-BSA scFv	This study	
pDB58	Apr ^r ; pDB47/SM1	This study	
pDB59	Apr ^r ; pDB47/anti-Pbs21	This study	
pDB60	Apr ^r ; $pDB47/PLA2$	This study	

TABLE 1. Strains and plasmids used in this study

a Amp^r, ampicillin resistant; Apr^r, apramycin resistant; Cam^r, chloramphenicol resistant; Gen^r, gentamicin resistant; Nal^r, nalidixic acid resistant; Rif^r, rifampin resistant; Str^r, streptomycin resistant; Tc^r, tetracycline resistant.

kDa) is believed to intercalate in the mosquito midgut lining, preventing *Plasmodium* from migrating to the salivary glands (35). In earlier studies, *Escherichia coli* expressed and/or surface displayed these effector proteins inside the mosquito gut, but *E. coli* is not able to survive for long periods of time in the gut environment (53), thus the need to move to a species like *P. agglomerans*, which normally inhabits mosquito midguts (42, 53).

For a paratransgenic *P. agglomerans* strain to be most effective against *Plasmodium*, the effector proteins should be secreted within the mosquito gut environment, where *Plasmodium* undergoes the critical sexual stage of its life cycle (15). We report here the successful test of two distinct bacterial secretion pathways to deliver three structurally distinct antimalarial effector proteins in the paratransgenesis candidate species *P. agglomerans*. Importantly, strains that secreted effectors efficiently grew as well as wild-type strains under laboratory conditions, suggesting that they may be competitive with natural microbiota in the midgut environment of the mosquito.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Media. Bacteria were grown in Luria-Bertani broth or agar (LB). *S. cerevisiae* cells were grown on yeast extract-peptone-dextrase (YPD) agar or minimal drop-out media excluding uracil (2% glucose) when selecting for yeast recombinants. Antibiotic concentrations were as follows: ampicillin (Amp), $150 \mu g/ml$; apramycin (Apr), 80 µg/ml; chloramphenicol (Chl), 30 µg/ml; gentamicin (Gen), 10 μg/ml; nalidixic acid (Nal), 30 μg/ml; rifampin (Rif), 30 μg/ml; streptomycin sulfate (Str), $100 \mu g/ml$; tetracycline (Tc), $15 \mu g/ml$.

Plasmid construction. Strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. With the exception of pDB36 and the *hlyA* plasmid group, plasmids were constructed using a yeast gap repair method (47). Inserts were amplified using primers that added 40 bp of homology to the vector cut site. Vector $(\sim 20 \text{ to } 200$ ng) and inserts (50 to 500 ng) were cotransformed into *S. cerevisiae* INVSc-1 (Invitrogen). Total DNA from transformed yeast cells that grew on uracil drop-

FIG. 1. PelB and HlyA secretion constructs used in this study. (A) pDB48 contains the *pelB* signal and 6His and myc epitope tags (6H myc). (B) pDB47 contains the 3' end of *E. coli* hemolysin A ('hlyA) and the E-tag epitope (E). pDB47 is coexpressed with pVDL9.3, which provides the membrane proteins HlyB and HlyD. Both plasmids carry the *colE1* origin of replication and *aac(3)IV* as a drug marker (apramycin resistance). RBS, ribosome binding site.

out medium was purified using the "yeast smash and grab DNA miniprep" protocol (44). Fifty nanograms of total yeast DNA was transformed into *E. coli*, and restriction enzyme digestion and DNA sequencing verified resultant clones.

pelB **plasmid construction.** Because *P. agglomerans* is naturally ampicillin resistant, the *bla* gene in pIT2-scFv was replaced with the *aac(3)IV* gene using "recombineering" (18) techniques, resulting in pDB36, which is apramycin resistant (9, 18). Briefly, *E. coli* BW25113 cells containing pIJ790 and pIT2-scFv were collected at log phase and electroporated with 500 ng of the *aac(3)IV-oriT* cassette from pIJ799. After amplification in LB, aliquots were plated on LB agar containing apramycin and incubated overnight at 30°C.

To eliminate pIT2-scFv (Amp^r) background, a subsequent conjugation transferred pDB36 into *E. coli* LL308 (37). BW25113(pDB36) plasmid DNA was used to transform the donor strain *E. coli* ET12567(pUZ8002). Overnight cultures of ET12567(pUZ8002) and LL308 were diluted (1:100) and incubated until they reached an optical density at 600 nm ($OD₆₀₀$) of 0.4 to 0.5 (1 to 2 h). Aliquots $(500 \mu l)$ of each strain were resuspended in fresh LB, combined, and incubated for 20 min at 37°C with aeration. The aeration speed was increased, and the incubation continued for an additional 1 h. Aliquots were plated on LB agar containing nalidixic acid and apramycin, and the resulting colonies were grown in LB broth containing apramycin or ampicillin to ensure loss of the pIT2-scFv plasmid.

To make the *pelB* secretion construct pDB48 (Fig. 1A), pMQ64 was digested with HindIII and the entire multiple-cloning site (MCS) was replaced with *pelB*-AscI-6His-myc-STOP by yeast gap repair (47). The two inserts, *pelB* (PelB, MKYLLPTAAAGLLLLAAQPA) and the epitope tags, were amplified from pIT2-scFv with 60-mer oligonucleotides containing 40 bp of homology to the pMQ64 site of insertion (20 bp used to amplify *pelB*: forward, 5'ATGAAATA CCTATTGCCTAC; reverse, 5'GGCCGGCTGGGCCGCGAGTAATAAC). The forward primer for the epitope tags contained the AscI sequence. pDB52 through pDB54 were made by cloning each effector gene (those for SM1, anti-Pbs21 scFv, and PLA2, respectively) into pDB48/AscI using yeast gap repair.

hlyA **plasmid construction.** Replacing the *bla* gene in pEHLYA2-SD with *aac(3)IV* resulted in the *hlyA* secretion construct pDB47 (Fig. 1B) (13). This was done using recombineering and a conjugation as described for pDB36.

To make pDB49, pDB50, and pDB58-60, the vector pDB47 was digested with NheI/XmaI and treated with calf intestinal phosphatase according to the manufacturer's instructions (New England BioLabs). The inserts (anti-bovine serum albumin [BSA] scFv, *malE*-anti-BSA scFv, SM1, anti-Pbs21 scFv, and PLA2) were amplified from the corresponding *pelB*-effector construct (or in the case of *malE*-anti-BSA scFv, from pDB27) to include the 6His and myc epitopes with 20-mer oligonucleotides that incorporated NheI and XmaI recognition sites on the 5' and 3' ends of the amplicons, respectively. Ligation reaction mixtures containing \sim 150 ng of vector, various amounts of NheI/XmaI-digested insert $(\sim 300$ to 800 ng), and T4 DNA ligase (New England BioLabs) were incubated overnight at 16°C, followed by electrotransformation into Top10 *E. coli* cells.

Secretion of recombinant proteins from *P. agglomerans* **and** *E. coli***.** Individual colonies were used to inoculate 5 ml of LB broth containing antibiotics and 1% glucose (glucose is needed only for *E. coli* HB2151, as *P. agglomerans* is Lac) and grown at 30°C overnight (12 to 16 h). The next day, a 5-ml culture containing antibiotics and glucose was inoculated with 50 μ l of the overnight culture and grown to an OD_{600} of 0.5. The bacteria were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 5 ml of LB containing 1 mM IPTG (isopropyl-ß-D-thiogalactopyranoside), and incubated at 30°C overnight (12 to 16 h). Constructs in *P. agglomerans* are expressed constitutively since LacI is absent.

Cells were collected from 100 μ l of an overnight culture of *E. coli* HB2151 or *P. agglomerans*. The resultant supernatant (75 \upmu l) was combined with 25 \upmu l of 3 \times Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad). The cell pellet was resuspended in 100 μ l of 3 \times Laemmli buffer. Samples were boiled for 10 min and analyzed by 10% (vol/vol) SDS-PAGE. Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane using standard Western blot procedures. PVDF membranes were washed in TBST (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20) and blocked with 1% (wt/vol) BSA for 3 h at room temperature. After incubating overnight with an anti-myc antibody $(1 \mu g/ml \text{ or } 1:10,000; \text{Invitrogen } 46-0603)$ at 4°C, the membranes were washed in TBST (four 15-min washes) and incubated in horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (0.01 μg/100 ml or 1:100,000; Pierce 1858413) for 1 h at room temperature. The washing steps were repeated, and the bound antibody-HRP conjugate was detected using chemiluminescence (Pierce) and autoradiographic film.

ELISAs using spent growth medium from induced *E. coli* **or** *P. agglomerans***.** The activity of the secreted anti-BSA scFv was tested using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). For each sample analyzed, duplicate MaxiSorp wells (Nunc) were coated with 100 μ l of BSA (2 mg/ml) along with two negativecontrol wells (100 μ l of 1× phosphate-buffered saline [PBS] and one empty well) and stored overnight at 4°C (ca. 12 h). Each well was washed three times with 200 μ l of 1 × PBS and blocked for 2 h at room temperature with 2% dry milk in 1 × PBS. The washes were repeated, and $100 \mu l$ of clarified spent growth medium from an induced overnight culture was added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. After eight washes of each well with 200 μ l of 1× PBS–0.1% Tween 20, the HRP-conjugated anti-myc antibody (1:2,000 diluted in blocking buffer; Roche 11-814-15-0001) was added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The eight washes were repeated, and the antibody was detected using 50μ l/well of the chromogenic substrate 1-Step Ultra TMB (3,3',5,5'-tetramethyl benzidine; Pierce). When a sufficient signal was reached, the reaction was stopped with 50 μ l of 2 M H₂SO₄ and the A_{450} with the background subtracted at 650 nm was determined with a Bio-Rad 3550 plate reader.

Measurement of growth rates of *P. agglomerans* **wild-type and secretion strains.** For *P. agglomerans* strains that expressed recombinant proteins, duplicate cultures for each strain were grown as described above. The optical density (600 nm) for each culture was determined spectrophotometrically at hourly intervals (with the exception of the readings at 2 h and 4 h). Growth curves were plotted using the average of the duplicate value without transformation of the data (8). The slope of each sequential pair of time points along each curve was calculated to determine the maximum growth rate. The maximum growth rate (corresponding to the maximum hourly slope along the entire growth curve) of each line was used to compare the different strains. Once the maximum growth rate was found, the standard error of that slope was also calculated (3). All statistical values were generated using the linear regression function of the SPSS statistical package (IBM, Inc.).

RESULTS

Secretion constructs. A schematic of the *pelB* anti-*Plasmodium* effector construct pDB48 is shown in Fig. 1A. Effector genes were recombined into the unique AscI site that sits between the PelB signal and epitope tags. Yeast recombination was used to ensure that each construct was identical, with the exception of the effector gene open reading frame (ORF). The IPTG-inducible *lac* promoter drives expression of the ORF. In the case of *P. agglomerans*, IPTG is not needed to induce expression because this species is Lac and does not produce the LacI protein. Therefore, in this species effector proteins are constitutively expressed. pDB48 replicates using the narrow-host-range *colE1* origin and confers resistance to apramycin [*aac(3)IV*].

The HlyA anti-*Plasmodium* effector construct pDB47 is shown in Fig. 1B. Effector genes, tagged with 6His and myc at the C terminus, were cloned by restriction digestion (NheI*/*XmaI) between the *lac* promoter and the 3' end of *hlyA* ('hlyA). An E-tag epitope was included for immunodetection purposes. As

FIG. 2. Secretion of proteins using the PelB leader. (A) Graphical representation of ELISA results for strains expressing anti-BSA scFv (DB36). (B) Western blotting for detection of anti-*Plasmodium* effector proteins in the cell pellet (P) or spent growth medium supernatant (S). HB2151, *E. coli*; *P. agg*, *P. agglomerans*; E325, *P. agglomerans* commercial strain; N.C., negative control.

with pDB48, pDB47 replicates using the *colE1* origin and was modified from its parent plasmid to confer resistance to apramycin. It must be coexpressed with pVDL9.3, which provides the membrane channel hemolysin proteins HlyB and HlyD.

Expression and secretion using the PelB leader. The PelB leader allowed for secretion of an active anti-BSA scFv from *P. agglomerans* and *E. coli* (HB2151) expressing pDB36 as shown by Western blot analysis and an ELISA (Fig. 2A and B). The *P. agglomerans* E325 strain expressing pDB36 also secreted an active anti-BSA scFv (Fig. 2A). The results were mixed for the three anti-*Plasmodium* effectors tested (Fig. 2B). The anti-Pbs21 scFv was expressed and secreted only by *E. coli*. SM1 was expressed by both species but was not secreted. Finally, PLA2 was neither expressed nor secreted by either species. These data are summarized in Table 2.

Expression and secretion using the C terminus of HlyA. The anti-BSA scFv-HlyA fusion protein was expressed in both *P. agglomerans* and *E. coli.* Both species secreted the protein at high levels; however, neither antibody was active in an ELISA compared to anti-BSA scFv antibodies secreted by *E. coli* expressing the *pelB* construct, pDB36 (Fig. 3A). A maltose binding protein–anti-BSA scFv fusion was made (pDB50) in order to promote stability and folding of the scFv, but this fusion protein was expressed only in *E. coli* and was not secreted from either species (Fig. 3B).

The HlyA-mediated secretion results for the anti-*Plasmo-*

TABLE 2. Summary of expression and secretion results using all signals and effector proteins in *E. coli* and *P. agglomerans*

Organism	Protein	Result ^a for:			
		PelB		HlyA	
		Exp.	Secr.	Exp.	Secr.
E. coli	Anti-BSA	Y	Y	Y	
P. agglomerans					
E. coli	SM ₁	Y	N	N	N
P. agglomerans		Y	N	N	N
E. coli	Anti-Pbs21	Y			Y
P. agglomerans		N	N		
E. coli	PLA ₂	N	N	v	Y
P. agglomerans		N	N		

^a Exp., expression of the protein as visualized by Western blotting; Secr., secretion of the protein as visualized by Western blotting; Y, yes; N, no.

dium effectors are shown in Fig. 3B. The anti-Pbs21 scFv and PLA2 were both expressed and secreted at high levels in *P. agglomerans* and *E. coli*; however, the SM1-HlyA fusion protein was not expressed or secreted by either species. Generally speaking, the HlyA system was very efficient at mediating se-

FIG. 3. Secretion of HlyA fusion proteins. (A) Graphical representation of ELISA results for strains expressing anti-BSA scFv-HlyA (DB49) or MalE-anti-BSA scFv-HlyA (DB50). *E. coli* expressing anti-BSA scFv is included as a positive control (HB DB36). (B) Western blotting for detection of anti-*Plasmodium* effector proteins in the cell pellet (P) or spent growth medium supernatant (S) collected from *E. coli* HB2151 or *P. agglomerans* (*P. agg*). N.C., negative control.

FIG. 4. Growth curves and calculated maximum growth rates of *P. agglomerans* strains expressing recombinant proteins. (A) Growth kinetics of strains that expressed and/or secreted recombinant proteins. (B) Maximum growth rates calculated from growth curves in panel A. Each strain showed a maximum growth rate between 4 and 5 h of growth in culture. Results for wild-type *P. agglomerans* (wt) are shown for comparison. Error bars indicate the standard error of the estimated maximum growth rate.

cretion when the proteins were expressed, except for the extremely large MBP-scFv fusion (ca. 175 kDa) (Table 2).

Growth rates of strains expressing recombinant proteins from *P. agglomerans***.** Since we propose to use *P. agglomerans* in a paratransgenic strategy, we attempted to assess how fit the strains were that were expressing and/or secreting recombinant protein. If the strains were impaired in terms of fitness (as measured by maximum growth rate in culture) then their utility as paratransgenic strains is in question. Bacteria in culture follow a complex growth pattern, typically consisting of lag, log, and stationary growth phases. We chose to focus on maximum growth rate as one measure of fitness that is relevant for paratransgenic strains, since there is rapid bacterial growth in the midgut of female mosquitoes following a blood meal (42). There was a strong correlation between maximum growth rate and the ability of a recombinant protein to be secreted from *P. agglomerans* (Fig. 4). In every case, when a protein was translated and secreted, the maximum growth rate of the strain was similar to that of the wild-type strain. The strain that had the slowest maximum growth rate (PelB-SM1 in *P. agglomerans*) did not secrete at all. This result suggests that accumulation of recombinant protein in the cytoplasm is toxic and that efficient secretion relieves this toxic effect, allowing the strain to grow with kinetics similar to that of wild-type *P. agglomerans*.

DISCUSSION

There is widespread recognition of the fact that successful control and eventual eradication of malaria will require the development of multiple complementary control strategies to supplement the time-tested methods of vector control and drug treatment of infected people (6, 39, 49). We report here the development of strains of *P. agglomerans* that secrete known antimalarial effector proteins and a separate test protein that may be suitable in a strategy to block parasite development in the mosquito gut.

The anti-BSA scFv was successfully secreted from *P. agglomerans* using the PelB leader. This antibody is used in our laboratory as an initial test for secretion because the activity of the secreted antibody can easily be assessed in an ELISA using clarified supernatant from an overnight culture expressing the plasmid. Proof of a secreted and active scFv is encouraging for downstream secretion tests with anti-*Plasmodium* scFvs. The scFv is an attractive antibody form because these proteins are relatively small $(\sim 25$ kDa) and stable and can be expressed from a single gene (33).

We hypothesized that the anti-*Plasmodium* effector proteins (SM1, anti-Pbs21 scFv, PLA2) would behave similarly to the anti-BSA scFv under the PelB signal. Previously, each of these effectors was expressed and/or surface displayed by *E. coli* while inside the mosquito gut (42, 53). Thus, we hypothesized that *P. agglomerans* and *E. coli* could express the SM1, anti-Pbs21 scFv, and PLA2 proteins without difficulty. However, the results showed that not all of these proteins were expressed and/or secreted by either species.

SM1 was expressed by both species but not detected in the spent growth medium. Only *E. coli* was able to secrete the anti-Pbs21 scFv. This was an unexpected result, because this protein is an scFv similar to the anti-BSA scFv, which was secreted by *P. agglomerans* without any difficulty. It is also curious that PLA2 was not expressed by either species, as previous studies showed expression of this protein in *E. coli* without incident (42). Unpublished data indicate that completely resynthesizing the PLA2 gene to codon optimize the sequence for expression in bacteria alleviates this failure of expression (M. Jacobs-Lorena and S. Wang, personal communication).

PelB directs secretion in a type II fashion, which involves passage through the periplasm before export via the Sec translocation machinery in the outer membrane (45). Proteins are folded into their final conformation, and the PelB leader is removed in the periplasm (5). Problems in the periplasm may have been encountered, such as periplasmic inclusion bodies, errors in folding or disulfide bond formation, or degradation (24, 46). Any of these problems could explain why SM1 was detected in the cell pellet and not in the supernatant and why the anti-Pbs21 scFv was not detected in *P. agglomerans*.

Within the oxidizing environment of the periplasm, disulfide bond formation is carried out by the Dsb (*d*i*s*ulfide *b*ond formation) family of proteins (36). Considerable success has been achieved with the coexpression of Dsb proteins and recombinant proteins (23, 26, 52). There are also periplasmic chaperone proteins that can facilitate proper folding of the secreted protein. For example, *s*eventeen-*k*ilodalton *p*rotein (Skp) has been coexpressed and shown to improve the production, yield, and activity of scFvs (20, 32). Mavrangelos et al. (32) also employed an alternate Shine-Dalgarno sequence upstream of the scFv gene that resulted in tighter ribosome binding and enhanced expression.

As with all of these modifiers, the optimal combination for each recombinant protein has to be determined empirically.

In comparison, the C-terminal HlyA signal results in type I secretion, where proteins are exported from the cell in one step across both cell membranes. Although the anti-BSA scFv test protein fused to the HlyA signal was secreted in high levels in both species, neither was active in an ELISA (Fig. 3). It may be that fusion to the 30-kDa HlyA signal abolished function of the scFv or caused misfolding of the scFv. It may also be that secretion via the periplasm (where essential disulfide bonds in the structure of an scFv can be formed) is a requirement for activity, although there is one report in the literature of secretion of a functional scFv fused to the HlyA C terminus (13).

Regardless, HlyA was the most successful signal in terms of delivery of anti-*Plasmodium* effector proteins to the extracellular space and in terms of the number of different proteins that it was capable of secreting. Most importantly, both the anti-Pbs21 scFv and PLA2 were expressed and secreted as HlyA fusions by *P. agglomerans* (Fig. 3B). The testing of these strains for their inhibition of *Plasmodium* development is under way and, in preliminary experiments, has been found to significantly reduce the number of parasites that are able to develop when mosquitoes take an infective blood meal, indicating that functionality is retained (unpublished results). As with the PelB signal, SM1-HlyA was not detected in the spent growth medium. As additional antimalarial effector proteins are developed, it may be that those that do not require disulfide bond formation could be secreted by the type I pathway (e.g., antimalarial peptides) while effectors with disulfide bonds would necessarily be secreted via the PelBmediated type II system.

Finally, we note that there was a strong correlation between maximum growth rate of the *P. agglomerans* secretion strains and the relative success in secreting the effector proteins. The fact that strains that successfully secrete effectors grow similarly to wild-type strains is important for the overall success of any paratransgenic antimalarial strategy, since the recombinant strains must compete with bacteria already present in the gut of the mosquito, where bacterial growth is extremely rapid after the intake of an *Plasmodium*-infected blood meal (42).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. McCormick for technical advice in performing the recombineering experiments needed to produce the pDB36 and pDB47 plasmids. We also thank P. Pusey for the gift of *P. agglomerans* strain E325 and M. Jacobs-Lorena for sharing the plasmids that encode SM1, PLA2, and the anti-Pbs21 scFv. We thank M. Jacobs-Lorena and S. Wang for allowing us to cite unpublished data. Finally, we thank two anonymous reviewers for their very helpful comments.

This work was supported by grants from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Universal Research Enhancement program and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Andrews, J. H., and R. F. Harris.** 2000. The ecology and biogeography of microorganisms on plant surfaces. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. **38:**145–180.
- 2. **Beard, C. B., et al.** 2001. Bacterial symbiosis and paratransgenic control of vector-borne Chagas disease. Int. J. Parasitol. **31:**621–627.
- 3. **Breidt, F., T. L. Romick, and H. P. Fleming.** 1994. A rapid method for the

determination of bacterial growth kinetics. J. Rapid Methods Autom. Microbiol. **3:**59–68.

- 4. **Chang, T. L., et al.** 2003. Inhibition of HIV infectivity by a natural human isolate of *Lactobacillus jensenii* engineered to express functional two-domain CD4. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **100:**11672–11677.
- 5. **Choi, J. H., and S. Y. Lee.** 2004. Secretory and extracellular production of recombinant proteins using *Escherichia coli*. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. **64:**625–635.
- 6. **Corbel, V., and M. C. Henry.** 2011. Prevention and control of malaria and sleeping sickness in Africa: where are we and where are we going? Parasit. Vectors **4:**37.
- 7. **Coutinho-Abreu, I. V., K. Y. Zhu, and M. Ramalho-Ortigao.** 2010. Transgenesis and paratransgenesis to control insect-borne diseases: current status and future challenges. Parasitol. Int. **59:**1–8.
- 8. **Dalgaard, P., and K. Koutsoumanis.** 2001. Comparison of maximum specific growth rates and lag times estimated from absorbance and viable count data by different mathematical models. J. Microbiol. Methods **43:**183–196.
- 9. **Datsenko, K. A., and B. L. Wanner.** 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **97:**6640–6645.
- 10. **Dillon, R., and K. Charnley.** 2002. Mutualism between the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria* and its gut microbiota. Res. Microbiol. **153:**503–509.
- 11. **Durvasula, R. V., et al.** 1997. Prevention of insect-borne disease: an approach using transgenic symbiotic bacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **94:**3274–3278.
- 12. **Feachem, R. G., et al.** 2010. Shrinking the malaria map: progress and prospects. Lancet **376:**1566–1578.
- 13. **Fernandez, L. A., I. Sola, L. Enjuanes, and V. de Lorenzo.** 2000. Specific secretion of active single-chain Fv antibodies into the supernatants of *Escherichia coli* cultures by use of the hemolysin system. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **66:**5024–5029.
- 14. **Gavini, F., et al.** 1989. Transfer of *Enterobacter agglomerans* (Beijerinck 1888) Ewing and Fife 1972 to *Pantoea* gen. nov. as *Pantoea agglomerans* comb. nov. and description of *Pantoea dispersa* sp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. **39:**337–345.
- 15. **Ghosh, A., M. J. Edwards, and M. Jacobs-Lorena.** 2000. The journey of the malaria parasite in the mosquito: hopes for the new century. Parasitol. Today **16:**196–201.
- 16. **Ghosh, A. K., et al.** 2009. Malaria parasite invasion of the mosquito salivary gland requires interaction between the *Plasmodium* TRAP and the anopheles saglin proteins. PLoS Pathog. **5:**e1000265.
- 17. **Ghosh, A. K., P. E. Ribolla, and M. Jacobs-Lorena.** 2001. Targeting *Plasmodium* ligands on mosquito salivary glands and midgut with a phage display peptide library. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **98:**13278–13281.
- 18. **Gust, B., et al.** 2004. Lambda red-mediated genetic manipulation of antibiotic-producing *Streptomyces*. Adv. Appl. Microbiol. **54:**107–128.
- 19. **Hay, S. I., C. A. Guerra, A. J. Tatem, P. M. Atkinson, and R. W. Snow.** 2005. Urbanization, malaria transmission and disease burden in Africa. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. **3:**81–90.
- 20. **Hayhurst, A., and W. J. Harris.** 1999. *Escherichia coli skp* chaperone coexpression improves solubility and phage display of single-chain antibody fragments. Protein Expr. Purif. **15:**336–343.
- 21. **Hoy, M. A.** 2000. Transgenic arthropods for pest management programs: risks and realities. Exp. Appl. Acarol. **24:**463–495.
- 22. **Ito, J., A. Ghosh, L. A. Moreira, E. A. Wimmer, and M. Jacobs-Lorena.** 2002. Transgenic anopheline mosquitoes impaired in transmission of a malaria parasite. Nature **417:**452–455.
- 23. **Joly, J. C., W. S. Leung, and J. R. Swartz.** 1998. Overexpression of *Escherichia coli* oxidoreductases increases recombinant insulin-like growth factor-I accumulation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **95:**2773–2777.
- 24. **Kolaj, O., S. Spada, S. Robin, and J. G. Wall.** 2009. Use of folding modulators to improve heterologous protein production in *Escherichia coli*. Microb. Cell Fact. **8:**9.
- 25. **Lambrechts, L., J. C. Koella, and C. Boete.** 2008. Can transgenic mosquitoes afford the fitness cost? Trends Parasitol. **24:**4–7.
- 26. **Lee, D. H., M. D. Kim, W. H. Lee, D. H. Kweon, and J. H. Seo.** 2004. Consortium of fold-catalyzing proteins increases soluble expression of cyclohexanone monooxygenase in recombinant *Escherichia coli*. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. **63:**549–552.
- 27. **Lindow, S. E., and M. T. Brandl.** 2003. Microbiology of the phyllosphere. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **69:**1875–1883.
- 28. **Loncaric, I., et al.** 2009. Typing of *Pantoea agglomerans* isolated from colonies of honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) and culturability of selected strains from honey. Apidologie **40:**40–54.
- 29. **Lopez, C., C. Saravia, A. Gomez, J. Hoebeke, and M. A. Patarroyo.** 2010. Mechanisms of genetically-based resistance to malaria. Gene **467:**1–12.
- 30. **MacNeil, D. J., et al.** 1992. Analysis of *Streptomyces avermitilis* genes required for avermectin biosynthesis utilizing a novel integration vector. Gene **111:** 61–68.
- 31. **Marshall, J. M., and C. E. Taylor.** 2009. Malaria control with transgenic mosquitoes. PLoS Med. **6:**e20.
- 32. **Mavrangelos, C., et al.** 2001. Increased yield and activity of soluble single-

chain antibody fragments by combining high-level expression and the Skp periplasmic chaperonin. Protein Expr. Purif. **23:**289–295.

- 33. **Maynard, J., and G. Georgiou.** 2000. Antibody engineering. Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. **2:**339–376.
- 34. **Menge, D. M., et al.** 2005. Fitness consequences of *Anopheles gambiae* population hybridization. Malar. J. **4:**44.
- 35. **Moreira, L. A., et al.** 2002. Bee venom phospholipase inhibits malaria parasite development in transgenic mosquitoes. J. Biol. Chem. **277:**40839– 40843.
- 36. **Nakamoto, H., and J. C. Bardwell.** 2004. Catalysis of disulfide bond formation and isomerization in the *Escherichia coli* periplasm. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1694:**111–119.
- 37. **Paget, M. S., L. Chamberlin, A. Atrih, S. J. Foster, and M. J. Buttner.** 1999. Evidence that the extracytoplasmic function sigma factor sigmaE is required for normal cell wall structure in *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2). J. Bacteriol. **181:**204–211.
- 38. **Pusey, P. L.** 2002. Biological control agents for fire blight of apple compared under conditions limiting natural dispersal. Plant Dis. **86:**639–644.
- 39. **Raghavendra, K., T. K. Barik, B. P. Reddy, P. Sharma, and A. P. Dash.** 2011. Malaria vector control: from past to future. Parasitol. Res. **108:**757–779.
- 40. **Rao, S., et al.** 2005. Toward a live microbial microbicide for HIV: commensal bacteria secreting an HIV fusion inhibitor peptide. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **102:**11993–11998.
- 41. **Riehle, M. A., and M. Jacobs-Lorena.** 2005. Using bacteria to express and display anti-parasite molecules in mosquitoes: current and future strategies. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. **35:**699–707.
- 42. **Riehle, M. A., C. K. Moreira, D. Lampe, C. Lauzon, and M. Jacobs-Lorena.** 2007. Using bacteria to express and display anti-*Plasmodium* molecules in the mosquito midgut. Int. J. Parasitol. **37:**595–603.
- 43. **Rio, R. V., Y. Hu, and S. Aksoy.** 2004. Strategies of the home-team: symbioses exploited for vector-borne disease control. Trends Microbiol. **12:**325–336.
- 44. **Rose, M. D., F. Winston, and P. Hieter.** 1990. Methods in yeast genetics: a

laboratory course manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

- 45. **Sandkvist, M.** 2001. Biology of type II secretion. Mol. Microbiol. **40:**271–283.
- 46. **Schlapschy, M., S. Grimm, and A. Skerra.** 2006. A system for concomitant overexpression of four periplasmic folding catalysts to improve secretory protein production in *Escherichia coli*. Protein Eng. Des. Sel. **19:**385–390.
- 47. **Shanks, R. M., N. C. Caiazza, S. M. Hinsa, C. M. Toutain, and G. A. O'Toole.** 2006. *Saccharomyces cervisiae*-based molecular tool kit for manipulation of genes from gram-negative bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **72:**5027–5036.
- 48. **Snow, R. W., C. A. Guerra, A. M. Noor, H. Y. Myint, and S. I. Hay.** 2005. The global distribution of clinical episodes of *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. Nature **434:**214–217.
- 49. **Takken, W., and B. G. Knols.** 2009. Malaria vector control: current and future strategies. Trends Parasitol. **25:**101–104.
- 50. **Tzschaschel, B. D., C. A. Guzman, K. N. Timmis, and V. de Lorenzo.** 1996. An *Escherichia coli* hemolysin transport system-based vector for the export of polypeptides: export of *Shiga*-like toxin IIeB subunit by *Salmonella typhimurium aroA*. Nat. Biotechnol. **14:**765–769.
- 51. **Winter, G., A. D. Griffiths, R. E. Hawkins, and H. R. Hoogenboom.** 1994. Making antibodies by phage display technology. Annu. Rev. Immunol. **12:** 433–455.
- 52. **Wulfing, C., and R. Rappuoli.** 1997. Efficient production of heat-labile enterotoxin mutant proteins by overexpression of *dsbA* in a *degP*-deficient *Escherichia coli* strain. Arch. Microbiol. **167:**280–283.
- 53. **Yoshida, S., D. Ioka, H. Matsuoka, H. Endo, and A. Ishii.** 2001. Bacteria expressing single-chain immunotoxin inhibit malaria parasite development in mosquitoes. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. **113:**89–96.
- 54. **Yoshida, S., et al.** 1999. A single-chain antibody fragment specific for the *Plasmodium berghei* ookinete protein Pbs21 confers transmission blockade in the mosquito midgut. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. **104:**195–204.
- 55. **Zengel, J. M., D. Mueckl, and L. Lindahl.** 1980. Protein L4 of the *E. coli* ribosome regulates an eleven gene r protein operon. Cell **21:**523–535.