*The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics, Vol. 13, No. 2, March 2011 Copyright © 2011 American Society for Investigative Pathology and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmoldx.2010.09.001*

Strategy for Robust Detection of Insertions, Deletions, and Point Mutations in *CEBPA*, a GC-Rich Content Gene, Using 454 Next-Generation Deep-Sequencing Technology

Vera Grossmann,\* Susanne Schnittger,\* Sonja Schindela,\* Hans-Ulrich Klein,† Christiane Eder,\* Martin Dugas,† Wolfgang Kern,\* Torsten Haferlach,\* Claudia Haferlach,\* and Alexander Kohlmann\*

*From the MLL Munich Leukemia Laboratory,*\* *Munich; and Department of Medical Informatics and Biomathematics,*† *University of Münster, Münster, Germany*

*CEBPA* **mutations are of prognostic relevance in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and are currently detected using a combination of denaturing high-performance liquid chromatography (DHPLC), gene scan/fragment length analysis, and direct Sanger sequencing. Nextgeneration deep pyrosequencing, principally, allows for the highly sensitive detection of molecular mutations. However, standard 454 chemistry laboratory procedures lack efficient amplification of guaninecytosine (GC)-rich amplicons during the emulsion PCR (emPCR) steps allowing direct massively parallel clonal amplification of PCR products. To solve this problem, we investigated six distinct emPCR conditions. The coding sequence of** *CEBPA* **was subdivided into four overlapping amplicons: GC content for amplicon 1, 74%; amplicon 2, 76%; amplicon 3, 77%; and amplicon 4, 69%. A new emPCR condition, improving the standard titanium assay, presents a robust solution to sequence amplicons with a GC content of up to 77%. Moreover, this assay was subsequently tested on a larger independent cohort of 23 AML patients. For each patient, a median of 737 reads was generated (coverage range, 397-fold to 1194 fold) and therefore allowed a robust detection of insertions, deletions, and point mutations. In conclusion, next-generation amplicon sequencing enables the highly sensitive detection of molecular mutations and is a feasible assay for routine assessment of GC-rich content amplicons.** *( J Mol Diagn 2011, 13:129 –136; DOI: 10.1016/j.jmoldx.2010.09.001)*

*CEBPA* (CCAAT/enhancer binding protein  $\alpha$ ) encodes a protein member of the basic region leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor family that is essential for myeloid differentiation.[1,2](#page-6-0) *CEBPA* mutations occur predominantly in AML with a normal karyotype and have been reported to occur in a range of 13% to 19% of such patients.<sup>[1,3,4](#page-6-0)</sup> Importantly, *CEBPA* mutations have been associated with a relatively favorable outcome and have therefore gained interest as a biomarker with prognostic utility.[5](#page-6-0) *CEBPA*mutant AMLs have recently been included into the World Health Organization 2008 classification as a provisional entity.<sup>6</sup> It is therefore warranted to provide a robust, objective, and sensitive detection method for the two prototypical classes of mutations: N-terminal mutations are located between the major translational start codon and a second ATG in the same open reading frame. These mutations most frequently introduce a premature stop of translation of the p42 *CEBPA* protein while preserving translation of a p30 isoform that has been reported to inhibit the function of full-length protein. Second, mutations in the C-terminal basic leucine zipper (bZIP) region, in contrast, are usually in-frame and may impair DNA binding and/or homodimerization and heterodimerization. The remaining mutations observed are found between the N-terminus and the  $bZIP$  region.<sup>7,8</sup> Most *CEBPA* mutated AMLs carry two mutations, which most frequently involves a combination of an N-terminal and a bZIP gene mutation, whereas single heterozygous mutations are less frequently seen.<sup>8,9</sup> Today, the screening of *CEBPA* mutations in patients with AML is often performed applying a combination of fragment length analysis, DH-PLC, and subsequent direct Sanger sequencing.<sup>10,11</sup>

The 454 deep-pyrosequencing method includes emulsion PCR (emPCR) steps that allow a direct, massively parallel clonal amplification of PCR products. In principle, amplicon sequencing allows for a highly sensitive detection of molecular mutations. *CEBPA* mutations have not

Accepted for publication September 16, 2010.

Disclosures: Su.S., W.K., T.H., and C.H. are partial owners of the MLL Munich Leukemia Laboratory GmbH. V.G., So.S., C.E., and A.K. are employed by MLL Munich Leukemia Laboratory GmbH. The other authors declare no conflict of interest.

Address reprint requests to Dr. Alexander Kohlmann, Ph.D., MLL Munich Leukemia Laboratory, Max-Lebsche-Platz 31, 81377 Munich, Germany. E-mail: [alexander.kohlmann@mll.com.](mailto:alexander.kohlmann@mll.com)

Primer set	Forward	Temp °C	Reverse	Tm °C	Length (including sequence- specific primer)	Region (AA)	G		GC content
<i>CFBPA</i> Set A1	5'-GCCATGCCGGGAGAACT-3'	62	5'-CCCGGGTAGTCAAAGTCG-3'	59	357 bp	$1 - 103$	116	147	74%
CFRPA Set A2	5'-CCTTCAACGACGAGTTCCTG-3'	61	5'-CGGCTGGTAAGGGAAGAGG-3'	62	335 bp	79-176	130	126	76%
<i>CFBPA</i> Set A3	5'-GAGGAGGATGAAGCCAAGC-3'	60	5'-CTCGTTGCTGTTCTTGTCCA-3'	60	357 bp	173-277	113	163	77%
CFBPA Set A4	5'-TGGCAGCGCGCTCAAG-3'	65	5'-CCAGGGCGGTCCCACA-3'	65	357 bp	255-359	136	110	69%

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Table 1.** Primers Used for Amplification of *CEBPA* Fragments and Their GC Content

 $CEBPA$ , CCAAT/enhancer binding protein  $\alpha$ ; G, guanine; C, cytosine.

yet been investigated using next-generation sequencing technology. Moreover, standard Titanium chemistry laboratory procedures lack efficient amplification of highly guanine-cytosine (GC)-rich amplicons.

We investigated six distinct emulsion-based clonal amplification conditions and present a robust solution for subsequent sequencing of PCR amplicons with a GC content of up to 77%. In this assay, the coding sequence of *CEBPA* was subdivided into four distinct amplicons. Subsequently, the performance of this optimized emPCR condition was tested on a larger cohort of 23 AML patients and allowed for the sensitive detection of insertions, deletions, and point mutations.

### *Materials and Methods*

### *Patient Samples*

This work included 25 AML cases sent to the MLL Munich Leukemia Laboratory for diagnostic assessment between June 2005 and October 2009. All samples in this study were obtained from untreated AML patients and were preselected according to their known *CEBPA* mutation status. Bone marrow or peripheral blood mononuclear cells were enriched for molecular analyses using a Ficoll density gradient. The study design adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional review board before its initiation.

### *Molecular Diagnostics*

The *CEBPA* gene was first amplified in four PCR fragments and subsequently analyzed by DHPLC. All fragments that revealed an aberrant dissociation pattern by DHPLC analysis were subsequently analyzed by Sanger sequencing (according to standard laboratory protocols).

### *Next-Generation Sequencing*

NGS was performed using 454 Titanium amplicon chem-istry (Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany).<sup>[12](#page-7-0)</sup> For each of the *CEBPA* patients, four overlapping amplicons were processed. Table 1 lists the corresponding primer sequences and depicts the GC content for the four amplicons. The GC-RICH PCR System (Roche Applied Science) was used to amplify *CEBPA* fragments from genomic patient DNA according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The PCR conditions were as follows: 95°C for 3 minutes; 45 cycles of 95°C for 60 seconds, 60°C for 60 seconds, and 72°C for 60 seconds; 72°C for 10 minutes; and 12°C hold. PCR products were subsequently purified using AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Krefeld, Germany) and quantified using the Quant-iT PicoGreen kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). For each patient, 100 ng each of the four *CEBPA* amplicons were pooled in an equimolar ratio to generate one single patientspecific *CEBPA* library. According to the manufacturer's recommendations, the multiplexed amplicon pools were subsequently diluted to  $4 \times 10^6$  molecules/ $\mu$ L. This working dilution was used as starting concentration for the GS FLX Titanium small volume (SV) emPCR Kit (Lib-A) (Roche Applied Science), processing forward (A) and reverse (B) reactions in separate amplification mixes. The emPCR thermocycler conditions were as follows: 94°C for 4 minutes; 50 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 58°C for 4.5 minutes, 68°C for 30 seconds; and 10°C hold (Table 2). Following the emPCR amplification, clonally amplified beads were enriched for 454 next-generation sequencing following the manufacturer's recommendations, processing forward (A) and reverse (B) beads separately until combining them at the step of loading the respective PicoTiterPlate (PTP) lanes. Two molecular barcodes were used, ie, standard 454 Life Sciences 10-base sequences MID1 (ACGAGTGCGT)

Table 2. Standard emPCR Recommendations (454) in 100  $\mu$ L Reaction Volume and Optimized emPCR Protocol in 50  $\mu$ L Reaction Volume

	Standard emPCR recommendations		Optimized emPCR protocol		
Component	$16 \times (\mu L)$	$\times$ ( $\mu$ L)	$16 \times (\mu L)$	$\chi$ ( $\mu$ L)	
Molecular biology grade water	1200	75			
emPCR additive	1500	93.8	2700	168.8	
$5 \times$ amplification mix	780	48.8	780	48.8	
Amplification primer A or B	230	14.4	230	14.4	
emPCR enzyme mix	200	12.5	200	12.5	
PPiase enzyme	5	0.3	5	0.3	

emPCR, emulsion PCR.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>and MID2 (ACGCTCGACA), incorporated in the PCR primer sequences to allow multiplexing of distinct patient libraries per sequencing lane on the 454 PTP.

### *Data Generation and Analysis*

The 454 sequencing data were generated during several runs on a Genome Sequencer FLX instrument using the GS FLX Titanium Sequencing Kit XLR70 (Roche Applied Science). The PTP was divided into eight distinct lanes. After image generation using the GS Sequencer software version 2.3 and processing of the data using the GS Image and Signal Processing software version 2.3, the obtained reads for each amplicon were aligned against the reference sequences of *CEBPA* (Ensemble ID: ENSG00000245848) using the GS Amplicon Variant Analyzer software version 2.3 (Roche Applied Science). Mapping results and detected variants were exported to R/Bioconductor<sup>13</sup> for further analysis and visualization by diagnostic plots shown in this article. Wilcoxon's matched pairs rank test was used to study the influence of the molecular barcodes MID1 and MID2 on the total number

of reads generated per sample across all four PCR amplicons. Two samples with MID1 and MID2, respectively, located on the same PTP and lane were considered as paired. Because of the experimental design and loading of the PTP lanes, five of 23 test samples had to be removed from the statistical analysis due to a missing appropriate corresponding MID pair in the respective run.

### *Results*

## *Amplification of CEBPA Fragments Using the Standard emPCR Condition*

For 454 deep-sequencing analyses, the *CEBPA* gene was covered by four overlapping PCR fragments and processed using the small-volume emulsion-based clonal amplification Lib-A assay for PCR amplicons. In this first analysis, two patients (T13 and T15) were investigated using GS FLX Titanium Sequencing Chemistry standard emPCR amplification condition as recommended by the manufacturer. The live amplification mix



**Figure 1.** Next-generation sequencing of two AML cases. For both patients T13 and T15 mutations observed by next-generation amplicon sequencing are highlighted. **A:** Location of the mutations of sample T13 (**open triangles**) and T15 (**filled triangles**), corresponding to the functional protein domains. The CEBPA protein structure is given according to coding amino acids (**lower part**). **Upper panel** visualizes the overlapping amplicon design covering the complete coding sequence of CEBPA. **B:** Example of the Q83SfsX76 mutation in patient T15. As given on the **right y axis** for this amplicon, a 263-fold coverage was generated (**blue line**). The singlebase deletion leading to the frameshift was detected in 139 (53%) of reads. **C:** Example of the A295V variant in patient T15. A substitution (884C-T) was observed in 412 (47%) of reads (**left y axis**). The overall coverage of 870 reads is highlighted by the **blue line.**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Sequencing coverage of the four *CEBPA* amplicons using standard GS FLX Titanium Sequencing emPCR conditions. For both patients T13 and T15, the number of obtained sequencing reads using the procedure as recommended by the manufacturer is given on the y axis (referred to as Condition 0). For each amplicon A1 to A4, the bar is colored according to the distribution of forward reads (dark area) and reverse reads (light area).

was prepared for forward and reverse reactions, as given in [Table 2,](#page-1-0) using the recommended total reaction volume of 100  $\mu$ L per emPCR reaction in a 96-well plate format.

As demonstrated in [Figure 1,](#page-2-0) for two patients, T13 and T15, the next-generation massively parallel pyrosequencing assay revealed mutations in the N- and C-terminal regions for both patients. All mutations were known from a previous DHPLC analysis at routine diagnostic work-up including subsequent Sanger sequencing. In detail, as given in [Figure 1A](#page-2-0), in patient T13 amplicon 1 was represented by 516 reads (228 forward and 288 reverse). The respective mutations were observed with the following percentages: H18Q (51%) and G53AfsX107 (47%). Amplicon 4 was represented by 580 reads (368 forward and 212 reverse) and contained the dupT310\_R325 in 24%. In patient T15, amplicon 1 was represented by 263 reads (74 forward and 189 reverse) and contained the Q83SfsX76 mutation in 53% of obtained reads [\(Figure](#page-2-0) [1B](#page-2-0)). Amplicon 4 was represented by 870 reads (480 forward and 390 reverse) and contained the A295V mutation in 47% of sequencing reads [\(Figure 1C](#page-2-0)).

On investigation of the corresponding next-generation sequencing reads, the highest coverage was observed for amplicon 4 with a GC content of 69% (Figure 2). Although a lower coverage was observed for amplicon 1, amplicons 2 and 3 failed completely to amplify when the recommended standard GS FLX Titanium SV emPCR condition was applied. Therefore, amplicons with a GC content of  $>74\%$  did not amplify during the emPCR (Figure 2).

## *Amplification of CEBPA Fragments Using Four Different emPCR Conditions*

In a second sequencing run, four different emPCR conditions were analyzed to improve the amplification of GC-rich template amplicons during the emPCR: Condition A, GS FLX Titanium Sequencing standard emPCR amplification conditions but 50- $\mu$ L reaction volume instead of 100  $\mu$ L; Condition B, GS FLX Titanium Sequencing emPCR master mix containing only Additive reagent instead of H<sub>2</sub>O and 50-µL reaction volume; Condition C, GS FLX Titanium Se-



**Figure 3.** Sequencing coverage of the four *CEBPA* amplicons using various emPCR conditions. For both patients T13 and T15, four distinct GS FLX Titanium Sequencing emPCR conditions were tested (**A–D**). The number of obtained sequencing reads is given on the y axis. For each amplicon A1 to A4, the bar is colored according to the distribution of forward reads (dark area) and reverse reads (light area).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Figure 4.** Sequencing coverage of the four *CEBPA* amplicons using the optimized emPCR conditions B. For patients T13 and T15, two distinct variations of condition B (B.1 and B.2) were tested. The number of obtained sequencing reads is given on the y axis. For each amplicon A1 to A4, the bar is colored according to the distribution of forward reads (dark area) and reverse reads (light area).

quencing emPCR master mix containing only Additive reagent instead of  $H_2O$  in a 100- $\mu$ L reaction volume; and Condition D, GS FLX Titanium Sequencing emPCR master mix in a 100- $\mu$ L reaction volume containing only 50% of H<sub>2</sub>O compared with standard conditions but 50% increased volume of Additive reagent.

As seen in [Figure 3,](#page-3-0) condition A still failed to yield reads for amplicons 2 and 3. In contrast, condition B homogeneously amplified fragments 1, 2, and 4. Moreover, condition B also amplified the fragment 3 with the highest GC content of 77%. A substantially lower coverage for amplicon 3 was observed for conditions C and D. The latter two conditions C and D revealed a substantially more heterogeneous distribution of reads than condition B and were not selected for the next round of optimization. Overall, for each of the two cases (patients T13 and T15), a similar trend was observed across all distinct emPCR conditions and fragments. In conclusion, of this series of four experiments, condition B was chosen for further investigation.

# *Amplification of CEBPA Fragments Using Condition B and Diverse Amounts of PCR Products and Forward (A) and Reverse (B) Beads*

Next, to further improve on the coverage of each amplicon, a third sequencing run was performed. This series of

		Age			Median	
Sample	Sex	(years)	Diagnosis	Cytogenetics	coverage	<b>NGS</b> results
T <sub>13</sub>	F	25	AML M2	46, XX [20]	683	H18Q (58%), G53AfsX107 (58%), T310_R325dup (15%)
T <sub>15</sub>	F	48	AML	46, XX [20]	644	Q83SfsX77 (48%), A295V (51%)
T <sub>266</sub>	F	65	AML	46, XX [15]	860	No mutation found
T <sub>267</sub>	M	68	AML M1	46, XY [20]	1194	dupE309_E316 (30%)
T <sub>268</sub>	M	25	AML M1	46, XY [25]	618	P22LfsX118 (64%), dupL315 (50%)
T <sub>270</sub>	F	26	AML M1	46, XX [20]	865	A84PfsX112 (39%), V308GinsR (35%)
T <sub>271</sub>	M	36	AML M1	46, XY [20]	1153	F106LfsX54 (27%), dupK302 (41%)
T273	F	51	AML M1	46, XX [20]	778	H24AfsX136 (22%), R297P (49%)
T274	F	53	AML	46, XX [20]	702	No mutation found
T <sub>275</sub>	F	71	AML M1	46, XX [20]	851	Q87X (37%)
T276	F	79	AML	46, XX [20]	658	R264GfsX55 (42%), L338HfsX22 (37%)
T <sub>277</sub>	M	43	AML M6	46, XY [35]	607	G116RfsX54 (42%), dupK302_K313 (24%)
T278	F	87	AML M2	46, XX [20]	403	Q41SfsX117 (46%), F82SfsX78 (37%)
T <sub>279</sub>	F	60	AML M1	46, XX [17]	440	D80GfsX28 (37%)
T <sub>280</sub>	F	85	AML M2	46, XX [20]	440	M15V (10%), C133WfsX17 (10%)
T <sub>281</sub>	F	71	t-AML M1	46, XX [20]	397	G110RfsX212 (39%)
T <sub>282</sub>	M	83	s-AML	46, XY [20]	737	G54EfsX106 (70%)
T283	M	75	AML	$48, XY, +8, +18$ [19]	729	A152T (48%)
T <sub>284</sub>	F	63	AML M2	46, XX [20]	1047	Y181LfsX140 (27%)
T285	M	76	<b>MDS</b>	$47, XY, +15$ [19]	808	P23QfsX81 (34%)
T <sub>286</sub>	M	72	s-AML	46, XY [20]	879	Y285C (83%)
T287	F	78	AML M1	46, XX [20]	763	H24AfsX84 (33%), dupQ312 (44%)
T288	M	63	s-AML	46, XY [21]	633	No mutation found
T <sub>289</sub>	F	87	AML M2	$47, XX, +13$ [14]	1101	P187_P189del (57%)
T290	M	73	s-AML	Complex aberrant karyotype including abnormalities on chromosomes 11 and 13 [9]	656	I68V (15%), P128RfsX32 (18%)

**Table 3.** Characteristics and Sequencing Results of *CEBPA* Mutations (Amplification Protocol B.1)

F, female; M, male.

Bold type incidates mutations that were not detectable with conventional routine assays because of technical limitations of the WAVE assay. Note: Subsequent Sanger sequencing confirmed the R297P (49%) and H24AfsX84 (33%) mutations.

134 Grossmann et al *JMD March 2011, Vol. 13, No. 2*



**Figure 5.** Independent testing cohort of 23 AML patients using the optimized emPCR condition B.1. The number of obtained sequencing reads is given on the y axis. **A:** Box-and-whisker plots depict the overall sequencing coverage of the four *CEBPA* amplicons A1 to A4. **B:** Box-and-whisker plots depict the overall sequencing coverage of the four *CEBPA* amplicons represented as forward and reverse reads.

experiments was based on amplicon pools that included twice the amount of input PCR product for amplicon 2 and a fourfold input amount of PCR product for amplicon 3. As seen in [Figure 4,](#page-4-0) condition B.1 homogeneously amplified fragments 1, 2, and 4. Fragment 3 also produced a significant number of sequencing reads that was improved over the previous condition B. A final iteration tested a variation of forward (A) and reverse (B) beads (ie, a 1.5-fold input of A beads was used). As observed for the condition B.2, a slightly higher percentage of forward reads was obtained for fragment 3; however, for other amplicons, the number of forward and reverse reads was less homogeneously distributed [\(Figure 4\)](#page-4-0). Therefore, condition B.1 and not B.2 was selected as the final optimized condition for the emPCR amplification reaction and was selected for being tested on a larger cohort of AML patients.

## *Characterization of Patients Using Optimized emPCR Amplification Condition*

The optimized emPCR amplification protocol B.1 was tested on an independent cohort of 23 AML patients. All patients were fully characterized according to the World Health Organization 2008 classification. As depicted in [Table 3, a](#page-4-0) median coverage of 737-fold was obtained per patient across all amplicons for these 23 cases. In all cases, condition B.1 proved to robustly yield sufficient reads for all four *CEBPA* fragments. This is depicted in Figure 5 for all 23 AML patients, both for the overall amplicon coverage (Figure 5A) and for the respective representation of forward and reverse reads (Figure 5B). Overall, the cases processed with molecular barcode MID1 produced slightly more sequencing reads than MID2. Table 4 lists the corresponding median values and range for each amplicon according to the MID used (*P* 0.01). Therefore, distinct performances according to the 10-base molecular barcode were observed and would

benefit from further investigation. In this independent cohort of 23 patients, after excluding silent mutations and polymorphisms, we observed 35 mutations [\(Table 3\)](#page-4-0). Of those mutations that were previously known from the time point of routine assessment ( $n = 31$ ), NGS analysis was able to detect and confirm all of them. However, it is important to note that in four of 23 cases (17%), NGS ultra-deep sequencing detected mutations not observed by the previous routine assessment. In detail, these mutations ranged from 10% (M15V) to 49% (R297P). The latter mutation was not detected in the WAVE assay because of technical limitations and thus was not further processed by Sanger sequencing.

### *Discussion*

*CEBPA* (CCAAT/enhancer binding protein  $\alpha$ ) encodes a protein member of the basic region leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor family that is essential for myeloid differentiation.<sup>[1,2](#page-6-0)</sup> According to current standard laboratory procedures, *CEBPA* mutations are currently detected using a combination of DHPLC, gene scan/fragment length analysis, and direct Sanger sequencing. Fragment length analysis cannot detect base substitutions, and therefore it would not be technically possible to detect eight of 35 mutations (23%) as observed in our cohort. Moreover, DHPLC analyses can miss rare mutations (eg, if these are located at the very end of the respective

**Table 4.** Performance of the Distinct Molecular Barcodes MID1 and MID2

Amplicon	Median MID1 (range)	Median MID2 (range)
CEBPA A1 CEBPA A2 CEBPA A3 CEBPA A4	830 (621-998) 865 (723-1136) 596 (463-1170) 1047 (802-1492)	658 (295-1492) 655 (259-1509) 499 (390-1150) 708 (539-1052)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>amplicon). In addition, DHPLC can miss changes in ATor GC-rich regions, particularly if it is a base duplication (eg, A>AA, T>TT, G>GG or C>CC). Finally, conventional Sanger sequencing allows an immediate and de-tailed analysis of PCR-amplified DNA fragments,<sup>[10](#page-7-0)</sup> but has a currently accepted lower cut-off value of 20% diagnostic sensitivity.

As presented in this study, the NGS analysis would have outperformed the current sequencing-based workflow. Because of the high GC content of two *CEBPA* fragments, the emPCR, as part of the 454 assay, was not sufficiently amplifying these two PCR products. Therefore, we have optimized the conditions for *CEBPA* analysis on a 454 next-generation sequencing platform. In total, 23 patients with known *CEBPA* mutation status were analyzed in a second step for independent validation of this modified emPCR assay. Most *CEBPA*-mutant AMLs carry two mutations, which frequently involves a combination of an N-terminal and a bZIP gene mutation.<sup>8</sup> The data for this selected cohort showed that in 12 samples the patients harbored more than one mutation. In three cases, these mutations were detected in the same respective amplicons, and in nine cases the mutations occurred in separate amplicons.

Moreover, in those three cases with double mutations within the same respective amplicons, 454 deep-sequencing allowed for the prediction of the presence or absence of distinct subclones harboring different mutations. In patient T13, we detected two distinct mutations in the same amplicon occurring in the same sequencing reads, and for patients T276 and T278 we were able to detect separate subclones because the mutated codons were not found concomitantly within the same sequencing read but were separated across distinct individual reads.

It has been shown that biallelic disruption of the N and C terminus of *CEBPA* is required for the favorable clinical outcome.<sup>9</sup> As such, it is expected that with a future increase in read length of next-generation sequencing assays it will be possible to clearly correlate the reads of all double mutations to a monoallelic or biallelic status of the mutation, thereby underlining the clinical utility of such a diagnostic assay.

In conclusion, our results indicate that 454 next-generation amplicon sequencing is a sensitive assay suitable for routine assessment of the important parameter of *CEBPA* mutations in AML. We were able to show that this procedure allowed for the detection of all *CEBPA* mutations as known from current routine assays. Moreover, some *CEBPA* mutations that were not identifiable using the current standard workflow were detected and therefore highlight the utility of this method for a total comprehensive screening of *CEBPA* mutations. Of note, we observed in our cohort two distinct mutations with a mutational burden of  $<$ 15%, underlining the sensitivity of amplicon-based deep-sequencing. Yet, further research will be necessary to fully understand the clinical relevance of these small subclones, as amplicon deep-sequencing not only provides a technical means to detect these subclones at diagnosis but also allows for the quantitative monitoring of these aberrations during a

course of treatment. Furthermore, NGS also allowed new insights into the distinction of separate subclones with mutations derived from the same PCR amplicon. Because double mutations of *CEBPA* have been proposed to represent a distinct molecular subtype of AML with a normal karyotype, this method may add to future riskadapted therapeutic strategies and improve the outcome of AML.<sup>8</sup> Although this study was designed to address the methodology of NGS and certain amplification considerations using a single gene, it is further anticipated that NGS will evolve as a suitable platform to cover the needs of providing data on multiple molecular mutations in a high-level throughput and accuracy, not only in hematological malignancies, and as such will rapidly advance into the field of standard molecular diagnostics. Recently, such a study was presented in which 43 amplicons covering seven candidate genes (*RUNX1*, *TET2*, *CBL*, *JAK2*, *MPL*, *NRAS*, and *KRAS*) were investigated in a cohort of 81 chronic myelomonocytic leukemia patients, generating novel molecular insights into this poorly characterized category of myeloid malignancy.<sup>14</sup> As for AML, amplicon deep-sequencing not only can be applied to cover mutational hotspot regions of established markers (*NPM1*, *FLT3*) but also enables the broader in-depth investigation of novel candidates, such as *TET2*, [15](#page-7-0) *EZH2*, [16](#page-7-0) and *ASXL1*, [17](#page-7-0) or the characterization of even larger genes, such as *NF1*. [18](#page-7-0)

## *References*

- 1. Marcucci G, Maharry K, Radmacher MD, Mrozek K, Vukosavljevic T, Paschka P, Whitman SP, Langer C, Baldus CD, Liu CG, Ruppert AS, Powell BL, Carroll AJ, Caligiuri MA, Kolitz JE, Larson RA, Bloomfield CD: Prognostic significance of, and gene and microRNA expression signatures associated with. CEBPA mutations in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia with high-risk molecular features: a Cancer and Leukemia Group B Study. J Clin Oncol 2008, 26:5078 –5087
- 2. Nerlov C: C/EBPalpha mutations in acute myeloid leukaemias. Nat Rev Cancer 2004, 4:394 – 400
- 3. Frohling S, Schlenk RF, Stolze I, Bihlmayr J, Benner A, Kreitmeier S, Tobis K, Dohner H, Dohner K: CEBPA mutations in younger adults with acute myeloid leukemia and normal cytogenetics: prognostic relevance and analysis of cooperating mutations. J Clin Oncol 2004, 22:624 – 633
- 4. Pabst T, Mueller BU, Zhang P, Radomska HS, Narravula S, Schnittger S, Behre G, Hiddemann W, Tenen DG: Dominant-negative mutations of CEBPA, encoding CCAAT/enhancer binding protein-alpha (C/ EBPalpha), in acute myeloid leukemia. Nat Genet 2001, 27:263–270
- 5. Schlenk RF, Dohner K, Krauter J, Frohling S, Corbacioglu A, Bullinger L, Habdank M, Spath D, Morgan M, Benner A, Schlegelberger B, Heil G, Ganser A, Dohner H: Mutations and treatment outcome in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2008, 358: 1909 –1918
- 6. WHO Classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues. Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Harris NL, Jaffe ES, Pileri SA, Stein H, Thiele J, and Vardiman, J. W. 4th. 2008. Lyon, International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)
- 7. Pabst T, Mueller BU: Transcriptional dysregulation during myeloid transformation in AML. Oncogene 2007, 26:6829 – 6837
- 8. Wouters BJ, Lowenberg B, Erpelinck-Verschueren CA, van Putten WL, Valk PJ, Delwel R: Double CEBPA mutations, but not single CEBPA mutations, define a subgroup of acute myeloid leukemia with a distinctive gene expression profile that is uniquely associated with a favorable outcome. Blood 2009, 113:3088 –3091
- 9. Dufour A, Schneider F, Metzeler KH, Hoster E, Schneider S, Zellmeier E, Benthaus T, Sauerland MC, Berdel WE, Buchner T, Wormann B, Braess J, Hiddemann W, Bohlander SK, Spiekermann K: Acute my-

<span id="page-7-0"></span>eloid leukemia with biallelic CEBPA gene mutations and normal karyotype represents a distinct genetic entity associated with a favorable clinical outcome. J Clin Oncol 2010, 28:570 –577

- 10. Ahn JY, Seo K, Weinberg O, Boyd SD, Arber DA: A comparison of two methods for screening CEBPA mutations in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. J Mol Diagn 2009, 11:319 –323
- 11. Green CL, Koo KK, Hills RK, Burnett AK, Linch DC, Gale RE: Prognostic significance of CEBPA mutations in a large cohort of younger adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia: impact of double CEBPA mutations and the interaction with FLT3 and NPM1 mutations. J Clin Oncol 2010, 28:2739 –2747
- 12. Margulies M, Egholm M, Altman WE, Attiya S, Bader JS, Bemben LA, Berka J, Braverman MS, Chen YJ, Chen Z, Dewell SB, Du L, Fierro JM, Gomes XV, Godwin BC, He W, Helgesen S, Ho CH, Irzyk GP, Jando SC, Alenquer ML, Jarvie TP, Jirage KB, Kim JB, Knight JR, Lanza JR, Leamon JH, Lefkowitz SM, Lei M, Li J, Lohman KL, Lu H, Makhijani VB, McDade KE, McKenna MP, Myers EW, Nickerson E, Nobile JR, Plant R, Puc BP, Ronan MT, Roth GT, Sarkis GJ, Simons JF, Simpson JW, Srinivasan M, Tartaro KR, Tomasz A, Vogt KA, Volkmer GA, Wang SH, Wang Y, Weiner MP, Yu P, Begley RF, Rothberg JM: Genome sequencing in microfabricated high-density picolitre reactors. Nature 2005, 437:376 –380
- 13. Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, Bolstad B, Dettling M, Dudoit S, Ellis B, Gautier L, Ge Y, Gentry J, Hornik K, Hothorn T, Huber W, Iacus S, Irizarry R, Leisch F, Li C, Maechler M, Rossini AJ, Sawitzki G, Smith C, Smyth G, Tierney L, Yang JY, Zhang J: Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. Genome Biol 2004, 5:R80
- 14. Kohlmann A, Grossmann V, Klein HU, Schindela S, Weiss T, Kazak B, Dicker F, Schnittger S, Dugas M, Kern W, Haferlach C, Haferlach T: Next-generation sequencing technology reveals a characteristic pattern of molecular mutations in 72.8% of chronic myelomonocytic leukemia by detecting frequent alterations in TET2. CBL, RAS, and RUNX1. J Clin Oncol 2010, 28:3858 –3865
- 15. Delhommeau F, Dupont S, Della V, V, James C, Trannoy S, Masse A, Kosmider O, Le Couedic JP, Robert F, Alberdi A, Lecluse Y, Plo I, Dreyfus FJ, Marzac C, Casadevall N, Lacombe C, Romana SP, Dessen P, Soulier J, Viguie F, Fontenay M, Vainchenker W, Bernard OA: Mutation in TET2 in myeloid cancers. N Engl J Med 2009, 360:2289- 2301
- 16. Ernst T, Chase AJ, Score J, Hidalgo-Curtis CE, Bryant C, Jones AV, Waghorn K, Zoi K, Ross FM, Reiter A, Hochhaus A, Drexler HG, Duncombe A, Cervantes F, Oscier D, Boultwood J, Grand FH, Cross NC: Inactivating mutations of the histone methyltransferase gene EZH2 in myeloid disorders. Nat Genet 2010, 42:722–726
- 17. Gelsi-Boyer V, Trouplin V, Adelaide J, Bonansea J, Cervera N, Carbuccia N, Lagarde A, Prebet T, Nezri M, Sainty D, Olschwang S, Xerri L, Chaffanet M, Mozziconacci MJ, Vey N, Birnbaum D: Mutations of polycomb–associated gene ASXL1 in myelodysplastic syndromes and chronic myelomonocytic leukaemia. Br J Haematol 2009, 145: 788 – 800
- 18. Parkin B, Ouillette P, Wang Y, Liu Y, Wright W, Roulston D, Purkayastha A, Dressel A, Karp J, Bockenstedt P, Al-Zoubi A, Talpaz M, Kujawski L, Liu Y, Shedden K, Shakhan S, Li C, Erba H, Malek SN: NF1 inactivation in adult acute myelogenous leukemia. Clin Cancer Res 2010, 16:4135– 4147