

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Antioxidant activity relationship of phenolic compounds in *Hypericum perforatum* L.

Dejan Z Orčić¹, Neda M Mimica-Dukić^{1*}, Marina M Francišković¹, Slobodan S Petrović² and Emilija Đ Jovin¹

Abstract

Background: The St John's Wort (*Hypericum perforatum*; Clusiaceae) has been used in traditional and modern medicine for a long time due to its high content of biologically active phenolics. The purpose of this work was to develop a method for their fractionation and identification, and to determine the most active antioxidant compounds in plant extract.

Results: An LC-MS method which enables fast qualitative and semiquantitative analysis was developed. The composition determined is in agreement with the previous results, where 6 flavonoids, 4 naphthodianthrones and 4 phloroglucinols have been identified. Significant antioxidant activity was determined for most of the fractions by DPPH assay (the lowest IC₅₀ of 0.52 µg/ml), NO scavenging (6.11 µg/ml), superoxide scavenging (1.86 µg/ml), lipid peroxidation (0.0079 µg/ml) and FRAP (the highest reduction capacity of 104 mg Fe equivalents/g) assays.

Conclusion: LC-MS technique has been successfully applied for a quick separation and identification of the major components of *H. perforatum* fractions. Majority of the fractions analyzed have expressed a very high antioxidative activity when compared to synthetic antioxidants. The antioxidant activity could be attributed to flavonoids and phenolic acids, while phloroglucinols and naphthodianthrones showed no significant activity. It is demonstrated that it is possible to obtain, by fractionation, *H. perforatum* preparations with significantly increased phloroglucinols-to-naphthodianthrones ratio (up to 95:5).

Background

Hypericum perforatum L. (St. John's wort) is a representative of the Clusiaceae family with confirmed therapeutic effects on burns, bruises, swelling, anxiety, mild to moderate depression [1], antidepressant, antiviral, wound healing, analgesic, hepatoprotective, antioxidant and antimicrobial activity [2-5]. Hyperforin is believed to be the major constituent responsible for the antidepressant activity, although discrepancies in the dose-response relationship imply that other constituents are also involved [6]. Naphthodianthrones, especially hypericin-like compounds, are shown to possess a strong antiviral effect [7]. Flavonoids are of a special interest due to their antioxidative properties i.e. excellent radical scavenging ability [6].

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) have been implicated in pathogenesis of many diseases, including cancer, mutagenesis, Alzheimer's, AIDS, etc. [8]. Many synthetic antioxidants are currently in use; nevertheless, there is a growing evidence of consumer preference for natural antioxidants because of their potentially lower toxicity. *H. perforatum* extracts are already proven to inhibit lipid peroxidation *in vivo* [5].

Previous results [9] demonstrated that *H. perforatum* fractions, obtained by successive liquid-liquid extractions with solvents of different polarities, have different epileptic activities (ranging from inhibitory to stimulatory), and that this approach can point out to compounds responsible for pharmacological activity. In this work eight fractions of *H. perforatum*, obtained by an externally developed method of fractionation devised to separate main constituents of the plant, were examined. The chemical composition of all extracts has been analyzed by rapid-resolution liquid chromatography, as opposed to the previously used classical high-performance liquid chromatography [10-18]. Antioxidant activity has been

* Correspondence: neda.mimica-dukic@dh.uns.ac.rs

¹Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Environmental Protection, University of Novi Sad Faculty of Sciences, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 3, Novi Sad, Serbia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

assessed by scavenging DPPH radical, NO radical, superoxide anion radical, FRAP test, and lipid peroxidation using a linseed oil as a substrate. Where possible, synthetic antioxidants BHT (3,5-di-*tert*-butyl-4-hydroxytoluene) and BHA (2-*tert*-butyl-4-hydroxyanisole) were used as standards. An attempt was made to correlate chemical composition of the extracts with its antioxidant activity and determine which group of biomolecules is the most potent.

Results

LC-MS analysis

LC-MS technique has been successfully applied for a quick separation and identification of the major components of *H. perforatum* fractions. Detected compounds are representatives of three groups: flavonoids, naphthodianthrones and phloroglucinols.

Peaks identification was done by comparing the mass spectra and retention times with already published data [17]. Four naphthodianthrones (protopseudohypericin, pseudohypericin, protohypericin and hypericin) and four phloroglucinols (hyperfirin, adhyperfirin, hyperforin, adhyperforin) were identified together with several flavonoids (hyperoside, rutin, quercitrin and quercetin) and a small amount of caffeoylquinic acid (Table 1). The grouping of compounds by classes is observed, with flavonoids and phenolic acids eluting between 0 and 2.5 min, naphthodianthrones in 2.5-4.7 min, and phloroglucinols in 4.7-6.5 min interval (Figure 1). The relative abundance of each group is determined by the normalization method, as an area percent of all peaks with similar spectra eluting within a given retention time range

Table 1 Retention times and [M-H]⁻ ions of identified peaks

Peak	<i>t_R</i> [min]	[M-H] ⁻ , <i>m/z</i>	Compound
1	0.13	353	caffeoylquinic acid
		463	quercetin-3- <i>O</i> -β-D-galactopyranoside (hyperoside)
		609	quercetin-3- <i>O</i> -rutinoside (rutin)
2	0.16	447	quercetin-3- <i>O</i> -α-L-rhamnopyranoside (quercitrin)
3	0.34	301	quercetin
4	0.96	537	l3, l18-biapigenin
5	1.47	537	amentoflavone (l3', l18-biapigenin)
6	2.75	521	protopseudohypericin
7	2.87	519	pseudohypericin
8	3.69	505	protohypericin
9	3.83	503	hypericin
10	4.80	467	hyperfirin
11	4.95	481	adhyperfirin
12	5.53	535	hyperforin
13	5.68	549	adhyperforin




Figure 1 LC-MS-MS chromatograms (base peak chromatograms, BPC) of *H. perforatum* extract fractions: 1. quercetin-3-*O*-β-D-galactopyranoside (hyperoside) and quercetin-3-*O*-rutinoside (rutin), 2. quercetin-3-*O*-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (quercitrin), 3. quercetin, 4. biapigenin, 5. amentoflavone, 6. protopseudohypericin, 7. pseudohypericin, 8. protohypericin, 9. hypericin, 10. hyperfirin, 11. adhyperfirin, 12. hyperforin, 13. adhyperforin

(Table 2). It is important to note that area percents, although useful for the comparison of the fractions' purity, neither directly correspond to the percentage composition in weight or amount, nor account for the total content of the compounds. Since there were no reference standards available, total peak areas (divided by the injected mass of extract) were used as a measure of the absolute content of the separate compound classes.

Antioxidant activity

All fractions were subjected to the antioxidant activity assays, with results given in Table 3. Activities varied widely, from very high to moderate. All samples demonstrated ability to scavenge DPPH radicals, where most of them had IC₅₀ value lower than the synthetic antioxidants BHT and BHA, except the fraction I/4. Superoxide anion scavenging was also observed, with IC₅₀ values in range 1.86-32.4 μg/mL (the most active being I/2 and the least active I/5). Under the same experimental conditions, synthetic antioxidants BHT and BHA did not reach 50% inhibition due to their low solubility in the reaction medium (water). Inhibition of lipid peroxidation by extract fractions was also demonstrated, with IC₅₀ higher (i.e. lower activity) than the synthetic antioxidants. Most of the fractions have shown scavenger activity in neutralizing NO radical. For fractions I/2 and

Table 2 Relative abundances^a of compound classes in analyzed fractions

	Peaks 0-2.5 min					Peaks 2.5-4.7 min ^c	Peaks 4.7-6.5 min ^d
	Total ^b	Phenolic acids	Flavonoid glycosides	Flavonoid aglycones	Biflavonoids		
I-1	16.2	0.430	2.72	4.41	6.14	31.8	68.7
I-2	37.2	1.30	6.49	20.3	3.02	49.1	nd
I-3	29.9	nd ^e	0.414	8.49	12.7	94.1	610
I-4	0.584	nd	nd	nd	nd	137	968
I-5	420	nd	nd	11.7	263	1000	nd
II-2p	17.9	0.866	5.77	7.67	0.496	36.9	nd
II-2s	17.3	0.743	5.83	6.70	1.40	41.5	nd
II-3	31.4	0.257	4.50	7.75	14.2	119	28.4

^a given as peak areas divided by injected mass, normalized to 1000 for convenience

^b including phenolic acids, flavonoids, biflavonoids and unidentified peaks

^c mostly naphthodianthrones

^d phloroglucinols

^e not detected

1/4 it was not possible to determine IC₅₀ values because neutralization of 50% NO radicals was not accomplished even with the highest concentrations of 22 µg/mL and 120 µg/mL, respectively. Under the experimental conditions, synthetic antioxidants BHT and BHA did not reach 50% inhibition due to their low solubility in water.

Discussion

It has already been demonstrated [10,17,19] that *Hypericum perforatum* extracts contain several classes of plant phenolics with a documented biological activity, including antidepressant phloroglucinols (hyperforin and its derivative adhyperforin), antiviral, antibacterial and photosensitizing naphthodianthrones (hypericin and pseudohypericin, as well as their precursors - protohypericin and protopseudohypericin), antioxidant flavonoids (mostly quercetin and kaempferol glycosides and aglycones, as well as biflavonoids), and phenolic acids (mostly isomeric caffeoylquinic acids). For the purpose of pharmaceutical products

production, the preparation of the enriched extracts may be of interest. In this paper, it has been demonstrated that it is possible to obtain extracts with high levels of phloroglucinols (I/4) and naphthodianthrones (I/5) by using a relatively simple procedure.

The antioxidant activity of *H. perforatum* extracts is well known [4,20] and is to be expected due to a high content of phenolic compounds. Although several publications were focused on this topic [21,22], there is still a lack of conclusive evidence to determine which phenolics class is the most responsible for the antioxidant activity of *Hypericum* plants. Since the procedure described in this paper enabled the isolation of extract fractions enriched in different groups of phenolics, it was possible to establish the correlation between the extract composition and activity, and to distinguish structural features most important for scavenging and antioxidant properties. In addition, synergistic action of certain compounds is also to be expected.

Table 3 Results of antioxidant activity assays

Fractions	DPPH		SO	LP	NO	FRAP
	IC ₅₀ [µg/mL]	[µg/umol] ^a	IC ₅₀ [µg/mL]	IC ₅₀ [µg/mL]	IC ₅₀ [µg/mL]	[mg Fe/g] ^b
I/1	1.55	69.2	11.1	2.25	32.8	80.0
I/2	1.09	48.7	1.86	7.92	> > 22	104.0
I/3	6.66	297	11.7	17.8	53.7	10.0
I/4	32.8	1464	20.6	2.33	> 120	7.00
I/5	11.0	491	32.4	8.09	53.7	12.0
II/2s	0.520	23.2	7.10	7.00	83.9	25.0
II/2p	1.16	51.8	5.70	12.2	30.2	44.0
II/3	2.61	117	8.80	8.31	6.11	17.0
BHT	8.28	369	n/a ^c	0.859	n/a	25.3
BHA	12.4	553	n/a	0.138	n/a	n/a

^a mass of extract (in µg) needed for 50% neutralization of 1 µmol of DPPH

^b mass of Fe³⁺ that can be reduced by 1 g of *H. perforatum* fraction.

^c 50% inhibition not reached

Since it is now recognized that there is no single test to evaluate antioxidant activities of the compounds with wide spectra of structures, modes of action, and physical and chemical properties [23], several different assays were employed as a part of our investigation.

DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) is a stable radical and is often used in assessment of the antioxidant activity. The free radical DPPH possesses a characteristic absorption at 517 nm (purple in color), which decreases significantly when exposed to radical-scavengers (due to hydrogen atoms transfer from antioxidant to DPPH). A lower absorbance at 517 nm indicates a higher radical-scavenging activity of extract [24]. In this assay, the ability of the investigated *H. perforatum* fractions to act as donors of hydrogen atoms or electrons in transformation of DPPH radical into its reduced form DPPH-H was investigated.

The activity observed is in a very good correlation with the composition, where the most active fractions are those rich in flavonoid glycosides (followed by minute amounts of phenolic acids) and poor in biflavonoids (amentoflavone, biapigenin): I/2, II/2p and II/2s. As opposed to this, fractions with high biflavonoids level and low flavonoid glycosides content (I/3, I/5) exhibited significantly lower activity (i.e. higher IC_{50} values), and fraction I-4, practically devoid of flavonoids and phenolic acids, was the least active. It is notable that only flavonoid glycosides (with quercetin-3-*O*-rutinoside, galactoside and rhamnoside as the most abundant) seem to contribute significantly to radical-scavenging activity (given as $1/IC_{50}$), with Pearson's correlation coefficient $r = 0.778$ (0.922 if the outlier - II/2p - is excluded), together with phenolic acids ($r = 0.769$, or 0.929 without II/2p). These observations are only partially in agreement with the previous results [21]. While it would be expected for flavonoid aglycones to be more active than their 3-*O*-glycosides [23], no correlation was found between aglycones content and antioxidant activity as determined by DPPH test, and the activity of fractions I/3 and I/5 (rich in flavonoid and biflavonoid aglycones, poor in glycosides and phenolic acids) is low.

At the same time, no correlation was found between activity and content of naphthodianthrones and phloroglucinols, which is in accordance with the results of Silva *et al.* [23]. The low activity of biflavonoids present in *Hypericum perforatum* extracts was already documented [22] and is to be expected. These compounds, being dimers of apigenin, possess only 4'-hydroxyl on B-ring, while it is known that for maximum activity a catechol structure (3',4'-dihydroxylated benzene moiety), as present in quercetin, is required [21-23,25].

FRAP test is based on nonspecific reduction of Fe^{3+} -TPTZ into the blue Fe^{2+} -TPTZ by the extract, which leads to an increased absorbance at 593 nm. Results are

presented as mg of Fe^{2+} /1 g of dried fraction and represent the mass of Fe^{3+} that can be reduced by 1 g of *H. perforatum* fraction. Several important facts should be kept in mind when interpreting results of the test. First, it measures ability of a sample to participate in one-electron redox reactions, meaning that antioxidants with different mode of action (e.g. carotenoids, behaving as radical scavengers) will not be ignored. Second, only water-soluble antioxidants will react since the assay is performed in aqueous solution. Third, the reduction capacity determined in the absence of biomolecules as substrates does not necessarily reflect the antioxidant activity i.e. protective capabilities. Still, it can be a relevant factor in detoxification of reactive species such as HOCl and ONOO⁻ [26].

FRAP test has shown that *H. perforatum* fractions have the significant reduction potential, with fractions I/1, I/2 and II/2p being more active than the synthetic antioxidant BHT. Taking into account the results of HPLC analysis, reduction capacity may be attributed mostly to the content of phenolic acids and flavonoid glycosides (although Pearson's correlation coefficients are quite low, 0.768 and 0.584, respectively). The contribution of phloroglucinols to the total reduction capacity is negligible - two fractions rich in these compounds, I/4 and I/3, exhibited the lowest capacity of all the samples examined. Their inactivity can be explained by the lack of easily oxidizable functional groups (such as phenolic moiety). The low activity is also observed for naphthodianthrones and biflavonoids, fractions with especially high content of these compounds (I/3, II/3, I/4, I/5) having the lowest reduction capacity.

In superoxide anion test the ability of *H. perforatum* fractions to neutralize superoxide anion radical (O_2^-) was evaluated. While superoxide is intentionally produced by some cells (phagocytes) in order to combat infection, its presence is usually deleterious to organism, leading (if not degraded by superoxide dismutase) to degenerative processes and death. In the employed assay, O_2^- anion was generated *in situ*, by electron-transfer from NADH to O_2 present in solution (a process analogous to NADPH oxidase- and NADH dehydrogenase-catalyzed generation *in vivo*) [20].

All investigated extract fractions exhibited dose-dependent O_2^- scavenging activity. The weakest activity was again observed in samples rich in biflavonoids, naphthodianthrones and phloroglucinols but with low levels of other phenolics - I/4 and I/5. A high degree of correlation was found between the activity (expressed as $1/IC_{50}$) and the content of phenolic acids ($r = 0.857$), flavonoid aglycones ($r = 0.791$, without the outlier I/5: $r = 0.926$), and sum of phenolic acids, flavonoid aglycones and glycosides ($r = 0.883$, or 0.921 without fraction I/5). These observations are in agreement with the empirical

rule that a catechol moiety (present both in quercetin and in caffeoylquinic acid) is required for a maximum radical-scavenging activity [21-23,25].

One of the main detrimental effects of reactive radical species (especially OH·) is lipid peroxidation (LP) i.e. oxidative degradation of lipids, leading to biological membranes damage and, possibly, to cell death or formation of mutagenic/carcinogenic products. The best known LP product is malondialdehyde (MDA) and it has been used most widely as a biomarker in various studies associated with lipid peroxidation. Determination of MDA may be problematic because of its high reactivity and water solubility, and it is therefore necessary to generate stable derivatives. One of the most commonly used is thiobarbituric acid adduct, which can be determined using spectrophotometry. In our research, linseed oil was used as a substrate for LP due to its high content of polyunsaturated fatty acids. LP of polyunsaturated fatty acids was triggered by Fe²⁺ and ascorbate (which, through Fenton reaction, generate OH· radicals) [27].

All samples were able to inhibit lipid peroxidation in a dose-dependent manner, although not as efficient as synthetic antioxidants BHT and BHA. It is notable that fraction I/4, by far the poorest in flavonoids and phenolic acids and one of the least active in DPPH, FRAP, superoxide scavenging and NO scavenging assays, exhibited very strong LP-inhibiting activity, matched only by I/1. On the other hand, fraction II/2p, among the most active in mentioned assays, was second-to-weakest in this assay. It was not possible to correlate the test results with chemical composition of fractions. These results are in disagreement with those of Silva *et al.* [21], which implied flavonoids as main anti-LP constituents of *Hypericum perforatum* extracts.

It should be noted that the effects of plant phenolics on Fe²⁺/ascorbate-induced lipid peroxidation are complex. It is known that flavonoids, especially those with catechol-like substitution on B ring and either 4-oxo-3-hydroxy or 4-oxo-5-hydroxy, are efficient in inhibiting LP, both through radical scavenging and through chelation of iron ions. On the other hand, in the presence of transition metal ions, flavonoids also exhibit pro-oxidant effect [27], continuously reducing these ions and thus enabling them to generate OH· via Fenton reaction. Biflavonoids, while being very poor radical scavengers, are proven to inhibit LP with similar potency as flavonoid glycosides, probably through iron chelation [22], while naphthodianthrones and phloroglucinols are not active [21].

Finally, nitric oxide scavenging ability was also assayed for all fractions. While NO is normally produced in organism as a messenger and as a part of immune response, its reaction with O₂⁻ produces highly reactive

peroxynitrite that can damage various biomolecules. Thus, the ability of extracts to neutralize NO and ONOO⁻ can have beneficial effects.

While all investigated extract fractions demonstrated dose-dependent scavenging of NO, for most of them IC₅₀ values were high (or, in the case of I/2 and I/4, 50% neutralization was not accomplished within the examined concentration range). As with lipid peroxidation assay, it was not possible to attribute the NO scavenging activity to any particular class of phenolics.

Conclusion

In conclusion, by using fractionation combined with assays and LC-MS analysis, it was possible to identify compound classes responsible for *H. perforatum* antioxidant activity. It was demonstrated that it can mostly be attributed to flavonoid glycosides and phenolic acids (chlorogenic acid), while phloroglucinols (lacking polyphenolic structure), biflavonoids (lacking catechol moiety) and naphthodianthrones showed no significant activity.

Experimental

Chemicals

Following reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chem, Steinheim, Germany: BHT, BHA, NADH, phenazine methosulfate (PMS). Sulfanilamide, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), and 2-thiobarbituric acid (TBA) were obtained from Fluka Chemie GmbH (Buchs, Switzerland). Trichloroacetic acid was purchased from Lach-Ner (Neratovice, Czech Republic), nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) from Alfa Aesar (Karlsruhe, Germany), and sodium nitroprusside (SNP) from Reanal (Budapest, Hungary). *N*-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine dihydrochloride (NEDA), ascorbic acid, 2,4,6-tripyridil-*s*-triazine (TPTZ), and formic acid was acquired from Merck, Darmstadt, Germany. Tween-80 and gradient-grade acetonitrile were obtained from J. T. Baker (Deventer, Netherlands). Linseed oil is prepared by solvent extraction of linseed, and fatty acids composition is determined by GC-MS to be: linolenic 69.7%, linoleic 13.5%, stearic 9.4% and palmitic 7.4%. FRAP reagent was made by mixing 50 ml of acetate buffer (0.3 mol/L, pH = 3.6), 5 mL of FeCl₃·6H₂O (20 mmol/L) and 5 mL of TPTZ solution (10 mol/L in 40 mmol/L HCl). TBA reagent was prepared by dissolving 3 g of TBA, 120 g of trichloroacetic acid and 10.4 mL of perchloric acid in water and filling up to 1 L. All chemicals were reagent grade, unless otherwise stated.

Plant material

Hypericum perforatum L. (Clusiaceae) samples were collected mid July 2007 from the mountain Čemernik, south of Serbia, at blooming stage. The voucher

specimen (No. 11340) is deposited at the Herbarium of the Institute of Botany and Botanical Garden "Jevremovac", Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade (BEOU). Top flowering parts were used for extract preparation (80% flowers and 20% rest of the plant). Plant material was dried and powdered, and dried again at 105°C by steam heating.

Extraction

The extraction and fractionation were performed using third party-developed procedure (patent pending). Dried and powdered plant material was depigmented using chloroform extraction for 96 h and, after vacuum-drying, extracted for 72 h with eight-fold amount of methanol. Both extractions were carried out at temperatures near the boiling points of the respective solvents. The process yielded 6-7% of raw extract on dry weight basis. After removal of carotenoids by petroleum ether extraction and glycosides by ethyl acetate/water partitioning, the residue was fractionated using Sephadex LH-20 column to give five fractions (based on colour and UV/VIS absorption spectra), labelled I/1 to I/5 for the first batch of plant material, and II/1 to II/5 for the second batch (of which, only sub-fractions II/2s and II/2p, and fraction II/3 were analyzed).

For the purpose of LC analysis and antioxidant assays, all fractions were dissolved in methanol (except fraction I/4, for which *iso*-propanol was used, due to low solubility in methanol).

LC-MS analysis

Chemical composition of fractions was determined by rapid resolution liquid chromatography with mass selective detection, using Agilent Technologies 1200 Series liquid chromatograph coupled with Agilent Technologies 6410B Series triple-quad (QQQ) mass spectrometer. Components were separated using reversed-phase Zorbax SB-C18 30 mm × 2.1 mm × 3.5 μm column (Agilent Technologies), held at 50°C. The mobile phase was delivered in gradient mode (0 min 25% B, 6 min 100% B, 8 min 100% B, solvent A being 0.1% aqueous formic acid with 10 mmol/L CH₃COONH₄, and solvent B being acetonitrile), with flow rate of 1 mL/min. Injection volume was 1 μL, except for sample I/5, for which 0.1 μL was used to improve peak shape. Eluted components were ionized by electrospray ion source (ESI), using N₂ for nebulization (pressure of 35 psi) and drying (flow of 9 L/min, temperature of 350°C). Capillary voltage was 4000 V and fragmentor voltage 80 V. To increase the sensitivity, lower the noise, and simplify the spectra, negative ionization was used. Generated [M-H]⁻ ions were analyzed using MS2Scan mode, in *m/z* range 200-800 Da.

DPPH assay

Selected concentrations (ranging 0.001-12 mg/mL) of *H. perforatum* fractions (10 μL) were mixed with 190 μL of methanol and 100 μL of methanolic solution containing DPPH radicals (67.2 μmol/L). Absorption at 515 nm was measured by the microplate reader (Multiskan Spectrum, Thermo Corporation) after 60 min of incubation at room temperature. The radical-scavenging capacity (RSC) was calculated using the equation:

$$RSC = 100 - \frac{(A_{average} - A_{corr})}{A_{control}} \cdot 100$$

where $A_{average}$ is absorbance of probe, at a given sample concentration level (average of three probes); A_{corr} is the correction or the absorbance of the extract alone (without reagents), and $A_{control}$ is the absorbance of the reagent (DPPH radical) without the extract. The extract concentration in reaction mixture, resulting in 50% inhibition of DPPH radicals (IC₅₀), was calculated from the RSC vs. extract concentration curve.

FRAP (ferric reducing ability of plasma)

Selected concentrations (ranging 0.001-12 mg/mL) of *H. perforatum* fractions (10 μL) were treated with 300 μL of FRAP reagent in triplicate. Correction (absorbance of the untreated extract) and control (absorbance of the FRAP reagent) were also measured. After 6 min of incubation, the absorbance was measured at 593 nm by the microplate reader (Multiskan Spectrum, Thermo Corporation). The calibration curve was constructed using the aqueous solution of Fe²⁺ in series of concentration ranging 50-1000 μmol/L. The results were given as mg of Fe²⁺ per gram of dry extract.

Superoxide anion test

Selected concentrations (ranging 0.001-12 mg/mL) of *H. perforatum* fractions (10 μL) were mixed with 40 μL of 144 μmol/L NBT, 20 μL of 677 μmol/L NADH, 20 μL of 60 μmol/L PMS and 220 μL of pH 8.3 buffer in triplicate. Correction (absorbance of untreated extract) and control (absorbance of reagents without extract) were also measured. After 5 min of incubation absorbance was measured at 560 nm by the microplate reader (Multiskan Spectrum, Thermo Corporation). The values of RSC and IC₅₀ were calculated in the same manner as in DPPH test.

Inhibition of NO radical

Selected concentrations (ranging 0.001-12 mg/mL) of *H. perforatum* fractions (10 μL) were mixed with 75 μL of SNP and 75 μL of phosphate buffer (0.067 mol/L, pH = 7.4) in triplicate. Correction (absorbance of untreated extract) and control (absorbance of reagents without

extract) were also measured. Samples were incubated under a fluorescent lamp for 1 h. After the incubation, in each well 150 μ L of Griess reagent was added and the absorbance was measured at 546 nm by microplate reader (Multiskan Spectrum, Thermo Corporation). The values of RSC and IC₅₀ were calculated in the same manner as in DPPH test.

Lipid peroxidation

As a substrate for lipid peroxidation, linseed oil (prepared in-laboratory by hexane extraction of linseed) was emulsified in phosphate buffer (0.035% v/v solution) with addition of Tween-80 (0.25% v/v solution) as an emulsifier. Selected concentrations (ranging 0.0001-1.2 mg/mL) of *H. perforatum* fractions (10 μ L), each in triplicate, were mixed with 20 μ L of 1.875 mmol/L FeSO₄ and 20 μ L of ascorbate (15.4 μ g/mL). The control and corrections were also made as previously defined. All probes were incubated at 37°C for 1 h, after which 200 μ L of 0.1 mol/L EDTA was added to bind the Fe²⁺, thus stopping the reaction. TBA reagent (2 mL) was added, and the mixture was heated in boiling water bath for 15 min to form a stable, colored derivative with MDA [28].

After that, probes were centrifuged for 15 min at 3700 rpm and the absorbance was measured at 532 nm. The values of RSC and IC₅₀ were calculated in the same manner as in DPPH test.

Abbreviations

BHT: 3,5-di-*tert*-butyl-4-hydroxytoluene; BHA: 2-*tert*-butyl-4-hydroxyanisole; DPPH: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; ESI: electrospray ionization; NBT: nitroblue tetrazolium; NEDA: *N*-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine dihydrochloride; PMS: phenazine methosulfate; SNP: sodium nitroprusside; TBA: 2-thiobarbituric acid; TPTZ: 2,4,6-tripyridil-s-triazine.

Acknowledgements

This research is funded by Serbian Ministry of Science and Technological Development research grant Nr. 172058. and Provincial Secretariat for Sciences and Technological Development (grants 2011-2014).

Author details

¹Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Environmental Protection, University of Novi Sad Faculty of Sciences, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 3, Novi Sad, Serbia. ²BIOSS, Bulevar Oslobođenja 401i, Belgrade, Serbia.

Authors' contributions

SSP performed sample preparations and fractionation of plant material. DZO developed LC/MS method, performed qualitative phenolics analysis, and contributed to the results interpretation. MMF and EDJ performed antioxidant assays. NMMD coordinated the study, participated in its design and contributed to drafting manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 13 April 2011 Accepted: 25 June 2011
Published: 25 June 2011

References

1. Luo L, Sun Q, Mao YY, Lu YH, Tan RX: Inhibitory effects of flavonoids from *Hypericum perforatum* on nitric oxide synthase. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2004, **93**:221-225.
2. Jakovljević V, Popović M, Mimica-Dukić N, Sabo A, Gvozdenović Lj: Pharmacodynamic study of *Hypericum perforatum* L. *Phytomedicine* 2000, **7**:449-453.
3. Popović M, Jakovljević V, Mimica-Dukić N, Kaurinović B, Čebović T: Effects of different extracts of *Hypericum perforatum* L. on the CCl₄-induced hepatotoxicity in rats. *Oxid Commun* 2002, **25**:273-278.
4. Radulović N, Stankov-Jovanović V, Stojanović G, Šmelcerović A, Spitteller M, Asakawa Y: Screening of in vitro antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of nine *Hypericum* species from the Balkans. *Food Chem* 2007, **103**:15-21.
5. Spitteller M, Ozen T, Šmelcerović A, Zuehlke S, Mimica-Dukić N: Phenolic constituents and the in vitro antioxidant activity of the flowers of *Hypericum venustum*. *Fitoterapia* 2008, **79**:191-193.
6. Breyera A, Elstner M, Gillessen T, Weiser D, Elstner E: Glutamate-induced cell death in neuronal HT22 cells is attenuated by extracts from St. John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum* L.). *Phytomedicine* 2007, **14**:250-255.
7. Meruelo D, Lavie G, Lavie D: Therapeutic agents with dramatic antiretroviral activity and little toxicity at effective doses: aromatic polycyclic diones hypericin and pseudohypericin. *PNAS* 1988, **85**:5230-5234.
8. Giotti E, Fiamingos Y, Skalkos D, Stalikas C: Improved method for the in vitro assessment of antioxidant activity of plant extracts by headspace solid-phase microextraction and gas chromatography-electron capture detection. *J Chromatogr A* 2007, **1152**:150-155.
9. Ivetić V, Popović M, Mimica-Dukić N, Barak O, Pilića V: St John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum* L.) and kindling epilepsy in rabbit. *Phytomedicine* 2002, **9**:496-499.
10. Brolis M, Gabetta B, Fuzzati N, Pace R, Panzeri F, Peterlongo F: Identification by high-performance liquid chromatography-diode array detection-mass spectrometry and quantification by high-performance liquid chromatography-UV absorbance detection of active constituents of *Hypericum perforatum*. *J Chromatogr A* 1998, **825**:9-16.
11. Mauri P, Pietta P: High performance liquid chromatography/electrospray mass spectrometry of *Hypericum perforatum* extracts. *Rapid Commun Mass Sp* 2000, **14**:95-99.
12. Piperopoulos G, Lotz R, Wixforth A, Schmierer Th, Zeller KP: Determination of naphthodianthrones in plant extracts from *Hypericum perforatum* L. by liquid chromatography-electrospray mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B* 1997, **695**:309-316.
13. Poutaraud A, Lobstein A, Girardin P, Weniger B: Improved Procedure for the Quality Control of *Hypericum perforatum* L. *Phytochem Anal* 2001, **12**:355-362.
14. Ganzera M, Zhao J, Khan IA: *Hypericum perforatum* - chemical profiling and quantitative results of St. John's wort products by an improved high-performance liquid chromatography method. *J Pharm Sci-US* 2002, **91**:623-630.
15. Tolonen A, Hohtola A, Jalonen J: Fast High-performance Liquid Chromatographic Analysis of Naphthodianthrones and Phloroglucinols from *Hypericum perforatum* extracts. *Phytochem Anal* 2003, **14**:306-309.
16. Liu F, Pan Ch, Drumm P, Ang CYW: Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry studies of St. John's wort methanol extraction: active constituents and their transformation. *J Pharmaceut Biomed* 2005, **37**:303-315.
17. Tatsis EC, Boeren S, Exarchou V, Vervoort ANTJ, Gerathanassis IP: Identification of the major constituents of *Hypericum perforatum* by LC/SPE/NMR and/or LC/MS. *Phytochemistry* 2007, **68**:383-393.
18. Bonkanka CX, Smelcerovic A, Zuehlke S, Rabana RM, Spitteller M, Sánchez-Mateo CC: LC-MS analysis and anti-oedematogenic activity of *Hypericum grandifolium* Choisy (Hypericaceae). *Planta Med* 2008, **74**:719-725.
19. Huck CW, Abel G, Popp M, Bonn GK: Comparative analysis of naphthodianthrone and phloroglucine derivatives in St. John's Wort extracts by near infrared spectroscopy, high-performance liquid chromatography and capillary electrophoresis. *Anal Chim Acta* 2006, **580**:223-230.
20. Sagratini G, Ricciutelli M, Vittori S, Öztürk N, Öztürk Y, Maggi F: Phytochemical and antioxidant analysis of eight *Hypericum* taxa from Central Italy. *Fitoterapia* 2008, **79**:210-213.

21. Silva BA, Ferreres F, Malva JO, Dias ACP: **Phytochemical and antioxidant characterization of *Hypericum perforatum* alcoholic extracts.** *Food Chem* 2005, **90**:157-167.
22. Silva BA, Malva JO, Dias ACP: **St. John's Wort (*Hypericum perforatum*) extracts and isolated phenolic compounds are effective antioxidants in several *in vitro* models of oxidative stress.** *Food Chem* 2008, **110**:611-619.
23. Apak R, Güçlü K, Demirata B, Özyürek M, Çelik SE, Bektaşoğlu B, Berker KI, Özyurt D: **Comparative Evaluation of Various Total Antioxidant Capacity Assays Applied to Phenolic Compounds with the CUPRAC Assay.** *Molecules* 2007, **12**:1496-1547.
24. Sánchez-Moreno C: **Methods used to evaluate the free radical scavenging activity in foods and biological systems.** *Food Sci Technol Int* 2002, **8**:121-137.
25. Rice-Evans CA, Miller NJ, Paganga G: **Structure-antioxidant activity relationships of flavonoids and phenolic acids.** *Free Radic Biol Med* 1996, **20**:933-956.
26. Macdonald-Wicks LK, Wood LG, Garg ML: **Methodology for the determination of biological antioxidant capacity *in vitro*: a review.** *J Sci Food Agric* 2006, **86**:2046-2056.
27. Laguerre M, Lecomte J, Villeneuve P: **Evaluation of the ability of antioxidants to counteract lipid oxidation: Existing methods, new trends and challenges.** *Prog Lipid Res* 2007, **46**:244-282.
28. Božin B, Mimica-Dukić N, Samojlik I, Anačkov G, Igić R: **Phenolics as antioxidants in garlic (*Allium sativum* L., Alliaceae).** *Food Chem* 2008, **111**:925-929.

doi:10.1186/1752-153X-5-34

Cite this article as: Orčić *et al.*: Antioxidant activity relationship of phenolic compounds in *Hypericum perforatum* L.. *Chemistry Central Journal* 2011 5:34.

Publish with **ChemistryCentral** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"Open access provides opportunities to our colleagues in other parts of the globe, by allowing anyone to view the content free of charge."

W. Jeffery Hurst, The Hershey Company.

- available free of charge to the entire scientific community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
<http://www.chemistrycentral.com/manuscript/>


ChemistryCentral