

## NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 July 15

#### Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2011 July 15; 13(14): 3686–3689. doi:10.1021/ol201332u.

### Asymmetric Total Syntheses of (–)-Variabilin and (–)-Glycinol

#### Michael A. Calter\* and Na Li\*

Department of Chemistry, Wesleyan University, Middletown, Connecticut, 06459

#### Abstract



Total syntheses of (–)-variabilin and (–)-glycinol have been accomplished, using the catalytic, asymmetric "interrupted" Feist-Bénary reaction (IFB) as the key transformation to introduce both stereogenic centers. A monoquinidine pyrimidinyl ether catalyst affords the IFB products in over 90% ee in both cases. Other key steps include an intramolecular Buchwald-Hartwig coupling and a nickel-catalyzed aryl tosylate reduction.

Variabilin (1a) and glycinol (1b) are members of the phytoalexins family produced by certain plants in response to fungal infection.<sup>1,2</sup> Naturally occurring variabilin occurs as the (+)- and (-)-enantiomers, both of which show antifungal activity towards *Monilinia fructicola* ( $ED_{50} < 2 \times 10^{-5}$  M).<sup>3</sup> (-)-Glycinol was first isolated in 1978 by Lyne and Mulheirn from coppertreated soybean cotyledons.<sup>4</sup> It is believed that glycinol is the key precursor in the biosynthesis of glyceollins.<sup>5</sup> Burow *et al.* have recently shown that glycinol exhibits a strong in vitro estrogenic effect by binding to both estrogen receptor ER $\alpha$  (IC<sub>50</sub> = 13.75 nM) and ER $\beta$  (IC<sub>50</sub> = 9.05 nM).<sup>6</sup>

To date, only one asymmetric total synthesis of each target has been accomplished, both of which set the stereogenic centers by asymmetric dihydroxylation in the presence of stoichiometric amounts of  $OsO_4$  and quinine or quinidine derivatives.<sup>7</sup> Here, we report total syntheses of both **1a** and **1b**, using the catalytic, asymmetric "interrupted" Feist-Benary (IFB) reaction developed by Calter *et al.* to install the chirality into the target molecules (Scheme 1).<sup>8</sup> Completion of the synthesis would then involve aromatization, intramolecular Buchwald-Hartwig reaction to form the benzopyranoid ring, tosylate reduction and finally deprotection in the case of glycinol. The IFB reactions would require dione nucleophiles **2a** or **2b** and the  $\beta$ -bromo- $\alpha$ -ketoester electrophiles **3a** or **3b**, which were all either known compounds or close analogues.

mcalter@wesleyan.edu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Present address: APAC Pharmatech Co., Ltd, Building 5, 2358 ChangAn RoadWujiang, Jiangsu, PR China 215222. Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and characterization data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

The synthesis of dithiane **2a** began with the addition of lithium propiolate with propylene oxide in the presence of  $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$  to afford alkynyl alcohol **4** (Scheme 2). Oxidation of **4** with the Swern reagent or the Dess-Martin periodinane provided multiple products. A successful oxidant was silica gel-supported Jones reagent (SJR), which gave a mixture of alkyne **5** and allene **6** in quantitative yield after filtration. Compound **5** was the major initial product of the reaction, but converted into **6** at -25 °C in under 12 hours. Addition of 1,3-propanedithiol to the mixture of **5** and **6** in the presence of base produced keto ester **7**, which was subjected to Dieckmann condensation to give **2a** in 47% overall yield.

Pyruvate **3a** was synthesized according to a well documented procedure beginning with the lithium trimethylethylenediamine-directed *ortho*-bromination of *p*-anisaldehyde to produce bromoaldehyde **8** (Scheme 3).<sup>9</sup> Submission of **8** to a Darzens reaction led to epoxide **9**, which was converted into  $\beta$ -bromo- $\alpha$ -ketoester **3a** by treatment with MgBr<sub>2</sub>. <sup>10</sup>

With **2a** and **3a** in hand, the IFB reaction proceeded smoothly with mono-quinidine pyrimidinyl ether **10** as a catalyst and provided **11** as an inseparable 8.5:1 *E:Z* mixture of diastereomers (Scheme 4). Exposure of **11** to iodobenzene bis(trifluoromethylacetate) (PIFA) produced the corresponding, unstable dimethoxy acetal, <sup>11</sup> which was subjected to LiHMDS to give unstable phenol **13**. Both **12** and **13** were prone to elimination to form the corresponding furans. Tosylation of **13** afforded tosylate **14**, still as a mixture of diastereomers. However, we were able to use analytical HPLC to determine the enantio- and diastereopurity of **14**.

We were initially surprised with the (*E*)-selectivity of the IFB reaction of 2a and 3a, as previous examples had provided (*Z*)-selectivity, but further analysis of factors governing the diastereoselectivity of the IFB reaction provided a rationale (Figure 2). In general, the IFB reaction of substituted bromoketoesters proceeds via a dynamic, kinetic resolution, with tetrabutylammonium iodide (TBAI) serving to convert the bromide into the corresponding iodide and then constantly racemize this compound. The previous examples using unsubstituted aryl substituents yielded the (*Z*)-*R*,*R*-product, with both the catalyst and the substrate favoring attack, via a transition state favored by the Felkin-Nguyen model with a strongly electron-withdrawing substituent, on the *Re*-face of the (*S*)-enantiomer of the haloketeoester.

However, the *ortho*-substituent in the current substrate sterically disfavors this mode of attack, so the substrate-catalyst combination now favors *Re*-face attack on the *R*-enantiomer, leading to the (E)-*R*,*S*-stereoisomer. The *ortho*-bromine cannot rotate away from this interaction, as to do so would place the bromine in an electronically unfavorable interaction with the carbonyl oxygen or the iodine.

Continuing with the synthesis, the reduction of **14** first provided the aldehyde, which was then immediately resubmitted to a second reduction to afford 1,2-diol **15** (Scheme 5). Exposure of **15** to modified Buchwald-Hartwig coupling conditions gave dihydrobenzopyran **18**, which we were able to isolate in high diastereo-purity.<sup>12</sup> Initial attempts to reduce **18** using the conditions of Lipshutz and Kogan afforded **1a**, but with irreproducible conversions.<sup>13</sup> After experimenting with different hydride and nickel sources, we found that use of the THF-soluble LiBH<sub>4</sub> and the formation of nickel(0) from *in situ* reduction of NiCl<sub>2</sub> reliably afforded variabilin **1a**. The analytical data for the product matched those reported previously for (–)-variabilin.<sup>7a</sup> In total, this synthesis required 11 steps with 5.7% overall yield. The bis-demethylation of variabilin **1a** into glycinol **1b** afforded the furan under Lewis acidic conditions, and gave low and irreproducible conversion under nucleophilic conditions. Therefore, we developed an alternative synthesis of glycinol that employed more easily removable protecting groups from the beginning. This synthesis of glycinol began with the construction of 1,3-dioxane **2b**. Treatment of acetonedicarboxylic acid **19** with TMSO(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>OTMS in the presence of a catalytic amount of TMSOTf yielded **20**.<sup>14</sup> Exposure of **20** to MeMgBr in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at -78 °C for 12 h afforded keto ester **21** in moderate conversion, but we were able to recover the unreacted starting material. Dieckmann condensation of **21** promoted by LDA provided **2b** in a similar fashion to the cyclization of **7** to **2a**.

We prepared  $\beta$ -bromo- $\alpha$ -ketoester **3b** through known bromoaldehyde **22** (Scheme 7). <sup>15</sup> Addition of N,N'-dimethylethylenediamine to 4-hydroxylbenzaldehyde in refluxing toluene afforded the aminal. *ortho*-Metallation, bromination and hydrolysis provided aldehyde **22**, which was benzylated to give **23**. Submission of **23** to Darzens reaction and subsequent ring-opening afforded **3b**.

The IFB reaction of 2b and 3b proceeded smoothly with 10 as the catalyst and provided 25 in a 10:1 *E*:*Z* ratio (Scheme 8). In this series chromatography allowed us to remove most of the (*Z*)-diastereomer. Aromatization of 25 with *t*BuOK followed by tosylation afforded tosylate 27. We were able to use analytical HPLC to determine the enantio- and diasteropurity of this compound.

Two-stage reduction of **27** with LiBH<sub>4</sub> gave diol **28**, which was subjected to our modified Buchwald-Hartwig conditions to give dihydropyran **29** (Scheme 9). Reduction of the aryl tosylate afforded alcohol **30**. We accomplished removal of the propylene glycol group from **30** by oxidation with DMP followed by elimination with NEt<sub>3</sub>. Finally, deprotection of the aryl benzyl group by transfer hydrogenation provided (–)-glycinol **1b** in 90% yield. The analytical data for this product matched those reported previously for (–)-glycinol.<sup>4,7b</sup> This synthesis required 13 steps with 3.0% overall yield.

In conclusion, we have accomplished the catalytic, asymmetric total syntheses of (-)-variabilin and (-)- glycinol by employing the "interrupted" Feist-Benary reaction as the key step to introduce both stereogenic centers simultaneously. Both syntheses proceed in high enantio- and diastereoselectivity. The methodology developed should be applicable to the syntheses of other natural products with dihydrobenzofuran moieties.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank the NIH for financial support of this project. We acknowledge Dr. Ryan Phillips (IRIX Pharmaceuticals) for preliminary experiments.

#### References

- (a) Bilton JN, Debnam JR, Smith IM. Phytochemistry. 1976; 15:1411–1412.(b) Kurosawa K, Ollist WD, Sutherland IO, Gottlieb OR. Phytochemistry. 1978; 17:1417–1418.(c) Magalhães AF, Tozzi AMGA, Magalhães EV, Sannomiya M, Soriano MDPC, Perez MAF. An Acad Bras Cienc. 2007; 79:351–367. [PubMed: 17768528]
- 2. Banks SW, Dewick PM. Phytochemistry. 1983; 22:2729-2733.
- 3. Perrin DR, Cruickshank IAM. Phytochemistry. 1969; 8:971-978.
- 4. Lyne RL, Mulheirn LJ. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978; 34:3127-3128.
- (a) Keen NT, Zaki AI, Sims JJ. Phytochemistry. 1972; 11:1031–1039.(b) Zähringer U, Ebel J, Mulheirn LJ, Lyne RL, Grisebach H. FEBS Lett. 1979; 101:90–92. [PubMed: 571814] (c) Hagmann M-L, Heller W, Grisebach H. Eur J Biochem. 1984; 142:127–131. [PubMed: 6540173] (d) Ebel J.

Ann Rev Phytopathol. 1986; 24:235–264.(e) Matthews DE, Plattner RD, VanEtten HD. Phytochemistry. 1989; 28:113–115.

- Boue SM, Tilghman SL, Elliott S, Zimmerman MC, Williams KY, Payton-Stewart F, Miraflor AP, Howell MH, Shih BY, Carter-Wientjes CH, Segar C, Beckman BS, Wiese TE, Cleveland TE, McLachlan JA, Burow ME. Endocrinology. 2009; 150:2446–2453. [PubMed: 19116342]
- 7. (a) van Aardt TG, van Rensburg H, Ferreira D. Tetrahedron. 2001; 57:7113–7126.(b) Luniwal A, Khupse RS, Reese M, Fang L, Erhartdt PW. J Nat Prod. 2009; 72:2072–2075. [PubMed: 19943626]
- Calter MA, Phillips RM, Flaschenriem C. J Am Chem Soc. 2005; 127:14566–14567. [PubMed: 16231897]
- (a) Merz KH, Muller T, Vanderheiden S, Eisenbrand G, Marko D, Brase S. Synlett. 2006;
  20:3461–3463.(b) Lear Y, Durst T. Can J Chem. 1997; 75:817–824.(c) Comins DL, Brown JD. J Org Chem. 1984; 49:1078–1083.
- 9. (a) Tsuboi S, Furutani H, Takeda A, Kawazoci K, Sato S. Bull Chem Soc Jpn. 1987:2475–2480.(b) Coutrot P, Legris C. Synthesis. 1975; 2:118–120.
- 11. Stork G, Zhao K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989; 30:287–290.
- 12. (a) Shelby Q, Kataoka N, Mann G, Hartwig J. J Am Chem Soc. 2000; 122:10718–10719.(b) Torraca KE, Kuwabe SI, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 2000; 122:12907–12908.
- (a) Lipshutz BH, Frieman BA, Butler T, Kogan V. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2006; 45:800–803.(b) Kogan V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006; 47:7515–7518.
- 14. Arnott G, Heaney H, Hunter R, Page PCB. Eur J Org Chem. 2004:5126-5234.
- (a) Bevan MF, Euerby RM, Qureshi JS. J Chem Res (M). 1989:901–920.(b) Couture A, Deniau E, Lebrum S, Hoarau C, Grandclaudon P. Nat Prod Lett. 1999; 13(1):33–40.



# (-)-variabilin, **1a**, R = Me (-)-glycinol, **1b**, R = H

**Figure 1.** Structures of Variabilin (**1a**) and Glycinol (**1b**)











Scheme 2. Synthesis of Dithiane 2a



Scheme 3. Synthesis of Pyruvate **3a** 



Scheme 4. Synthesis of Tosylate 14



Scheme 5. Synthesis of Variabilin 1a



Scheme 6. Synthesis of Dioxane 2b





Scheme 7. Synthesis of Bromoketone **3b** 



Scheme 8. Synthesis of Tosylate 27



Synthesis of Glycinol **1b**