The Genome of *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae*, the Causative Agent of Swine Erysipelas, Reveals New Insights into the Evolution of *Firmicutes* and the Organism's Intracellular Adaptations[⊽][†]

Yohsuke Ogawa,¹ Tadasuke Ooka,² Fang Shi,¹ Yoshitoshi Ogura,³ Keisuke Nakayama,² Tetsuya Hayashi,^{2,3} and Yoshihiro Shimoji^{1,4}*

National Institute of Animal Health, 3-1-5 Kannondai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0856, Japan¹; Division of Microbiology, Department of Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, University of Miyazaki, 5200 Kiyotake, Miyazaki 899-1692, Japan²; Division of

Bioenvironmental Science, Frontier Science Research Center, University of Miyazaki, 5200 Kiyotake,

Miyazaki 889-1692, Japan³; and Research Institute for Biological Sciences, Tokyo University of Science,

2641 Yamazaki, Noda, Chiba 278-8510, Japan⁴

Received 14 December 2010/Accepted 25 March 2011

Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae is a Gram-positive bacterium that represents a new class, Erysipelotrichia, in the phylum Firmicutes. The organism is a facultative intracellular pathogen that causes swine erysipelas, as well as a variety of diseases in many animals. Here, we report the first complete genome sequence analysis of a member of the class Erysipelotrichia. The E. rhusiopathiae genome (1,787,941 bp) is one of the smallest genomes in the phylum Firmicutes. Phylogenetic analyses based on the 16S rRNA gene and 31 universal protein families suggest that E. rhusiopathiae is phylogenetically close to Mollicutes, which comprises Mycoplasma species. Genome analyses show that the overall features of the E. rhusiopathiae genome are similar to those of other Gram-positive bacteria; it possesses a complete set of peptidoglycan biosynthesis genes, two-component regulatory systems, and various cell wall-associated virulence factors, including a capsule and adhesins. However, it lacks many orthologous genes for the biosynthesis of wall teichoic acids (WTA) and lipoteichoic acids (LTA) and the *dltABCD* operon, which is responsible for *D*-alanine incorporation into WTA and LTA, suggesting that the organism has an atypical cell wall. In addition, like Mollicutes, its genome shows a complete loss of fatty acid biosynthesis pathways and lacks the genes for the biosynthesis of many amino acids, cofactors, and vitamins, indicating reductive genome evolution. The genome encodes nine antioxidant factors and nine phospholipases, which facilitate intracellular survival in phagocytes. Thus, the E. rhusiopathiae genome represents evolutionary traits of both Firmicutes and Mollicutes and provides new insights into its evolutionary adaptations for intracellular survival.

The phylum Firmicutes consists of Gram-positive bacteria with low genomic G+C contents. It includes many important pathogens, including Bacillus, Staphylococcus, and Streptococcus species, and bacteria beneficial to humans, including Lactobacillus and Lactococcus species. Firmicutes was previously described as consisting of three classes, Bacilli, Clostridia, and Mollicutes (14). However, Mollicutes, which are represented by the genus Mycoplasma, have recently been removed from the phylum Firmicutes and placed in a newly created phylum, Tenericutes, because these species lack rigid cell walls and there is no alternative marker except 16S rRNA sequences to support retention of Mollicutes in Firmicutes (23). On the other hand, the family Erysipelotrichaceae, which consists of Gram-positive walled bacteria that were previously classified as *Mollicutes*, was retained in Firmicutes as a member of a newly generated class, Erysipelotrichia, which comprises a single order and a single family: the order Erysipelotrichales and the family Erysipelotrichaceae. The family Erysipelotrichaceae is composed of eight genera: Erysipelothrix, Allobaculum (a newly described

genus), Bulleidia, Catenibacterium, Coprobacillus, Holdemania, Solobacterium, and Turicibacter. The last six genera were transferred from other families to Erysipelotrichaceae (23).

Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae, a Gram-positive, non-sporeforming, rod-shaped bacterium, is the standard species of the genus *Erysipelothrix* and comprises the genus, along with *Erysipelothrix tonsillarum* and *Erysipelothrix inopinata* (53). *E. rhusiopathiae* is ubiquitous in nature and has been isolated from many species of wild and domestic mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, and fish (58). The organism can grow either aerobically or anaerobically. As has been observed for *Mesoplasma* species in *Mollicutes* (41), it does not grow at all or grows very slowly if the medium is not supplemented with 5 to 10% serum or 0.1% Tween 80 (polyoxyethylene sorbitan), even in a nutrient-rich medium, such as brain heart infusion (BHI) medium (11).

E. rhusiopathiae is generally regarded as an opportunistic animal pathogen that causes a variety of diseases in many species of birds and mammals, including humans. It is best known as a facultative intracellular pathogen that causes swine erysipelas, which may occur as acute septicemia or chronic endocarditis and polyarthritis (58). The organism has a capsule (52). Interestingly, it can survive inside polymorphonuclear leukocytes and macrophages if it is phagocytosed (51). The primary survival strategy in phagocytes appears to be escape

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: 3-1-5 Kannondai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0856, Japan. Phone and fax: 81-29-838-7790. E-mail: shimoji@affrc.go.jp.

[†] Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://jb .asm.org.

^v Published ahead of print on 8 April 2011.

TABLE 1. General features of the genome of *E. rhusiopathiae* strain Fujisawa

Feature	Value
Genome size (bp)1	,787,941
Overall G+C content (%)	36.6
G+C content of CDS (%)	36.7
No. of CDSs	1704
No. of pseudogenes	7
No. of rRNA operons (16S-23S-5S)	7
No. of tRNA genes	55
No. of prophage	1
No. of plasmids	0

from reactive oxidative metabolites generated by phagocytic cells; however, the precise mechanisms for intracellular survival of the organism are unknown (45, 51).

Here, we report the results of genome sequencing of *E. rhusiopathiae* strain Fujisawa, the first complete genome sequence of a bacterium belonging to the class *Erysipelotrichia*. Fujisawa is a highly virulent strain originally isolated from a diseased pig and has been extensively used in studies of *E. rhusiopathiae* pathogenesis (32, 47, 49–52, 55). This genome analysis provides new insights into how the organism has evolved and adapted as an intracellular pathogen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA sequencing and annotation, and data analyses. The E. rhusiopathiae strain Fujisawa was grown at 37°C in BHI (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Baltimore, MD) supplemented with 0.1% Tween 80 (pH 8.0), and the genomic DNA was prepared as described previously (50). The genome sequence was determined at Dragon Genomics Center Co. Ltd. (Mie, Japan) by a combination of three sequence technologies: the Genome Sequencer 20 (GS20) (Roche), SOLiD (sequencing by oligonucleotide ligation and detection) (Applied Biosystems), and Genome Analyzer II (GAII) (Illumina) systems. The genomic DNA was first sequenced with GS20 to generate a draft sequence. Then, a total of 140 million SOLiD reads (a 25-bp sequence for each read) produced from the 1.5-kb and 5.5-kb paired-end libraries were mapped to the GS20 sequence to correct sequence errors. At this stage, the depth of coverage from high-quality reads was approximately 23.5-fold. The sequences obtained by GS20 and SOLiD were further compared with data obtained by GAII, and unmatched sequences and gap sequences in the contigs were corrected or closed by PCR amplification followed by Sanger sequencing.

Finally, three gaps, all of which were derived from rRNA (*rm*) operons, remained to be closed. Because the organization of these *rm* operons was too complex to be closed by a simple procedure, we constructed a fosmid library. Using the fosmid clones containing each *rm* locus, we determined the copy number of *rm* operons and the gene composition (16S-23S-5S rRNA) of each locus by Southern hybridization analysis, PCR, and Sanger sequencing with primer walking. This series of analyses revealed that one locus contains a single *rm* operon (16S-23S-5S rRNA) and that the other two loci contain two and four operons, respectively, in a tandem organization. Therefore, we were unable to determine the complete nucleotide sequences of these six *rm* operons. However, by careful inspection of the Sanger sequencing data from these two *rm* loci, we found no peak patterns suggestive of the presence of sequences in each of the two loci are identical to those of the single *rm* operon.

Potential protein-coding sequences (CDSs) of greater than 150 bp were identified using the gene prediction software MGA (MetaGeneAnnotator) (36). Short CDSs of less than 150 bp in intergenic regions were identified by the IMCGE (*in silico* Molecular Cloning Genomics Edition) software (37) with a BLASTP search (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) as a guide. tRNA and rRNA genes were identified by tRNAscan-SE 1.23 (22) and RNAmmer 1.2 (20), respectively. Functional annotation of each CDS was made according to the results from the BLASTP search against the NCBI RefSeq database (release 34) (40). For the metabolic pathway analysis, the KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) database (18; http://www.genome.jp/kegg/) was used. **Phylogenetic analysis.** A phylogenetic tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences was constructed as described previously (56). A genomic phylogenetic tree was constructed from alignments of the concatenated protein sequences of 31 universal genes, which are all involved in translation and have been shown to be conserved in all of the analyzed bacterial species (6). Briefly, multiple-sequence alignments of each COG (cluster of orthologous groups) were created using MUSCLE (8). The sequence alignments were concatenated and poorly aligned, and divergent regions were removed using Gblocks (4). Based on the resulting final sequence alignments, a phylogenic tree was constructed by the maximum-likelihood method using the MEGA 5 program (http://www.megasoftware.net/).

Genome comparison. Genome sequences of other *Erysipelotrichia* strains, including *E. rhusiopathiae* strain ATCC 19414, all of which are incomplete or unfinished, were obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI database) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/MICROBES /microbial_taxtree.html) in February 2011. *E. rhusiopathiae* strain-specific CDSs were identified by bidirectional best-hit analysis between the genomes of strains Fujisawa and ATCC 19414 with a threshold of >90% amino acid identity and >60% aligned length coverage of a query sequence. Conservation of the CDSs of Fujisawa in other *Erysipelotrichia* strains (*Solobacterium moorei, Coprobacillus* sp., *Holdemania filiformis, Catenibacterium mitsuokai, Bulleidia extructa, Erysipelotrichacae* bacterium, *Clostridium ramosum, Clostridium spiroforme, Eubacterium biforme*, and *Eubacterium dolichum*) for which draft genome sequences were available was examined by BLASTP analysis with an E value threshold of 10^{-10} .

Nucleotide sequence accession number. The genome sequence reported in this paper has been deposited in the DDBJ/GenBank/EMBL databases (accession no. AP012027).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

General genomic features. The general features of the genome of *E. rhusiopathiae* strain Fujisawa are summarized in Table 1. The origin of replication (nucleotide position 1) was



FIG. 1. Circular representation of the genome of *E. rhusiopathiae* strain Fujisawa. Beginning with the outer region, the circle shows (i) nucleotide positions in base pairs, (ii and iii) predicted CDSs transcribed on the forward (clockwise) (ii) and reverse (counterclockwise) (iii) DNA strands, (iv) positions of Fujisawa strain-specific genes not present in the genome of strain ATCC 19414, (v) rRNA operon(s), (vi) tRNA genes, (vii) percent G+C content (red and blue, respectively, represent regions with higher and lower G+C contents compared to the average value for the entire genome), and (viii) the G+C skew curve. The color of each CDS was assigned according to the COG functional grouping (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG/).

TABLE 2. Insertion sequences identified in *E. rhusiopathiae* strain Fujisawa

Name	No.	IS family	No. of CDSs	Size (bp)	Length of DR (bp)
ISErh1	ERH IS01	3	2	1,280	3
ISErh1	ERH IS02	3	2	1,280	3
ISErh1	ERH IS06	3	2	1,280	3
ISErh1	ERH_IS14	3	2	1,280	4
ISErh1	ERH IS22	3	2	1,280	3
ISErh2	ERH_IS03	30	1	1,031	_ <i>a</i>
ISErh2	ERH IS08	30	1	1,031	27
ISErh2	ERH_IS09	30	1	1,031	29
ISErh2	ERH_IS15	30	1	1,031	32
ISErh2	ERH_IS17	30	1	1,031	23
ISErh2	ERH_IS18	30	1	1,031	24
ISErh2	ERH_IS23	30	1	1,031	30
ISErh2	ERH_IS24	30	1	1,031	31
ISErh3	ERH_IS04	$?^b$	3	1,351	6
ISErh3	ERH_IS07 ^c	?	3	1,176	6
ISErh4	ERH_IS05	30	1	1,032	30
ISErh4	ERH_IS10	30	1	1,032	26
ISErh4	ERH_IS11	30	1	1,032	37
ISErh4	ERH_IS16	30	1	1,032	26
ISErh4	ERH_IS19	30	1	1,032	41
ISErh5	ERH_IS12	3	2	1,247	—
ISErh5	ERH_IS13	3	2	1,247	—
ISErh5	ERH_IS20 ^c	3	2	1,206	_
ISErh6	ERH_IS21	3	2	1,240	—

^{*a*} –, not identified.

^b ?, unclassified; both copies appear to contain internal deletions.

^c Truncated IS.

assigned to a region showing a clear G+C skew transition and containing the *dnaA* gene accompanied by several DnaA boxes. The genome contains 1,704 CDSs. Of these, biological functions were assigned to 1,332 CDSs, 327 CDSs showed sequence similarities to proteins of unknown function, and the remaining 45 had no significant database match. The genome contains only seven recognizable pseudogenes (four caused by frameshift and three caused by point mutations).

Like many other members of *Firmicutes* with lower genome G+C contents, the majority (75.6%) of genes are located on the leading strand. The genome contains seven *rm* operons with the typical order of 16S, 23S, and 5S rRNA genes and 55 tRNA genes, including cognates for all amino acids (Fig. 1). The seven *rm* operons are located at three loci and show a unique genetic organization: in the two loci (nucleotide positions 78525 to 89100 and 1117642 to 1139038), two and four operons, respectively, which probably have identical sequences (see Materials and Methods), are located in tandem.

We identified a 36.5-kb prophage (named PP_Erh_Fujisawa) including 49 genes (ERH_0581 through ERH_0629) but no CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats). We found 22 intact insertion sequence (IS) elements and two truncated IS elements, none of which were inserted into CDSs of known function. These IS elements were classified into six types (named IS*Erh1* to IS*Erh6*); all types were newly identified, with IS*Erh2* being the predominant type (eight intact copies) (Table 2). Most of the IS elements belong to the IS30 and IS3 families, but one type (IS*Erh3*) is unclassified. Notably, the two IS30 family members, IS*Erh2* and



FIG. 2. Phylogenetic position of *E. rhusiopathiae*. Phylogenetic trees based on 16S rRNA gene sequences (A) and on concatenated protein sequence alignments derived from 31 universal protein families (B) are shown. Species are colored according to the current taxonomy: the phylum *Firmicutes*, blue; *Mollicutes*, green; others, black. *E. rhusiopathiae* is indicated by boldface. The scale bar represents the expected number of changes per sequence position. A bootstrap test with 1,000 replicates was used to estimate the confidence of the branching patterns of the trees. Branches corresponding to partitions reproduced in less than 50% of bootstrap replicates are collapsed.

TABLE 3. Numbers of orthologous genes related to DNA repair^a

	Ganama	GC	Dhulum									No.								
Species (strain)	size (Mb)	content (%)	or class	Total	adaA	alkA	alkB	dinP	exoA (xth)	fpg	ligA	mfd	mpg	mutH	mutL	mutS	mutT	mutY	nfo	nth
Alkaliphilus oremlandii (OhILAs)	3.12	36.3	Firmicutes	43	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	1	1	1	1
Clostridium acetobutylicum (ATCC 824)	3.94	30.9	Firmicutes	40	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	1
Clostridium difficile (630)	4.29	29.1	Firmicutes	38	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	1
Clostridium novyi (NT)	2.55	28.9	Firmicutes	35	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	1	0	1	1
(ATCC 27405)	3.84	39.0	Firmicutes	36	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1
Eubacterium eligens (ATCC 27/50)	2.14	37.7	Firmicutes	39	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	1	0	1	1
Streptococcus mutans (UA159)	2.05	30.8 30.7	Firmicutes	37	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
Streptococcus progenes (SF370)	1.85	38.5	Firmicutes	36	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	1
Anoxybacillus flavithermus (WK1)	2.85	41.8	Firmicutes	38	Ő	1	Ő	0	0	1	1	1	Õ	õ	1	2	1	1	1	1
Bacillus subtilis (168)	4.22	43.5	Firmicutes	49	1	2	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	1	1	1	1
Geobacillus kaustophilus (HTA426)	3.54	52.1	Firmicutes	38	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	1
Listeria monocytogenes (EGD-e)	2.94	38.0	Firmicutes	49	2	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	1	1	1	1
Staphylococcus aureus (N315)	2.81	32.8	Firmicutes	41	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	1
Enterococcus faecalis (V583)	3.36	37.5	Firmicutes	47	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	1
Lactobacillus aciaophilus (NCFM)	1.99	34.7	Firmicutes	3/	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	1
Lactobacillus casei (ATCC 334)	2.29	40.2	Firmicutes	41	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	1
Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp.	1.86	49.7	Firmicutes	35	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	1
bulgaricus (ATCC 11842)	2.10	51.5	T ¹	26	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	1	4	0		2	4	0	0	0
Lactobacillus fermentum (IFO 3956)	2.10	51.5 25.2	Firmicutes	30	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	1
Lactobacillus hebeticus (DPC 4571)	2.08	33.3 37.1	Firmicutes	36	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	1
Lactobacillus johnsonii (NCC 533)	1 00	34.6	Firmicutes	30	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	1
Lactobacillus plantarum (WCFS1)	3.35	44.5	Firmicutes	42	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	1
Lactobacillus reuteri (JCM 1112)	2.04	38.9	Firmicutes	38	Ő	Ő	õ	0	2	1	1	1	1	Õ	1	2	1	0	0	1
Lactobacillus rhamnosus (GG)	3.01	46.7	Firmicutes	45	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	1
Lactobacillus sakei (23K)	1.88	41.3	Firmicutes	40	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	1
Lactobacillus salivarius (UCC118)	1.83	32.9	Firmicutes	35	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1
Leuconostoc mesenteroides (ATCC 8293)	2.04	37.7	Firmicutes	40	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	2	1	0	0
Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris (MG1363)	2.53	35.8	Firmicutes	40	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	4	1	0	1
Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis (IL1403)	2.37	35.3	Firmicutes	41	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	3	1	0	1
Oenococcus oeni (PSU-1)	1.78	37.9	Firmicutes	33	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0
Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae (Fujisawa)	1.79	36.6	Firmicutes	34	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	1
Acholeplasma laidlawii (PG-8A)	1.50	31.9	Mollicutes	33	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	1
"Candidatus Phytoplasma mali" (AT) "Candidatus Phytoplasma	$0.60 \\ 0.88$	21.4 27.4	Mollicutes Mollicutes	16 11	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0 0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2 1	0
Aster vellows witches' broom	0.71	26.0	Molliautan	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
phytoplasma (AYWB)	0.71	20.9	Monicules	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Onion yellows phytoplasma (OY-M)	0.85	27.8	Mollicutes	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Mesoplasma florum (L1; ATCC 33453)	0.79	27.0	Mollicutes	17	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Mycoplasma agalactiae (PG2)	0.88	29.7	Mollicutes	16	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Mycoplasma arthritidis (158L3-1) Mycoplasma conjunctivae	0.82 0.85	30.7 28.5	Mollicutes Mollicutes	16 16	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\end{array}$	1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1 1	1 1	0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\end{array}$	0 0	0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\end{array}$	0 0	1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\end{array}$
(HRC/581T)																				
Mycoplasma gallisepticum (R)	1.00	31.5	Mollicutes	15	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Mycoplasma genitalium (G37)	0.58	31.7	Mollicutes	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae (232)	0.89	28.6	Mollicutes	15	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Mycoplasma mobile (165K)	0.78	25.0	Mollicules	15	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
(ATCC 27343)	1.01	23.0	Monicules	15	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Mycoplasma mycoides (PG1)	1.21	24.0	Mollicutes	16	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Mycoplasma penetrans (HF-2)	1.36	25.7	Mollicutes	17	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Mycoplasma pneumoniae (M129)	0.82	40.0	Mollicutes	14	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Mycoplasma synoviae (53)	0.90	20.0 28.5	Mollicutes	18	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Ureaplasma parvum (ATCC 700070)	0.00	25.5	Mollicutes	15	n	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Ureaplasma urealyticum (ATCC 33699)	0.87	25.8	Mollicutes	14	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Esherichia coli (K-12 MG1655)	4.64	50.8	Proteobacteria	44	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Fusobacterium nucleatum (ATCC 25586)	2.17	27.2	Fusobacteria	30	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1

^a Orthologous genes were identified using the MBGD (Microbial Genome Database for Comparative Analysis) (http://mbgd.genome.ad.jp/).

ISErh4, are associated with atypically long (23- to 41-bp) direct repeats (DRs). Within the IS30 family, such long DRs have so far been detected only in IS1630 (19 to 26 bp) of Mycoplasma fermentans (3) and ISMbov6 (22 to 36 bp) of Mycoplasma bovis (24). The predicted transposases of both ISErh2 and ISErh4 show the highest homology (32.4% and

32.0% amino acid sequence identity, respectively) to that of IS1630 of *M. fermentans*.

Phylogenetic position of *E. rhusiopathiae.* We constructed a genomic phylogenetic tree using the 31 universal genes (6) that are conserved among all analyzed bacterial species and compared it with a tree that was constructed using 16S

TABLE 3—Continued

ng pert her her ne ne <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>																												
1 2 0 1	ogt	pcrA	phr	polA	priA	radA	radC	recA	recD	recF	recG	recJ	recN	recO	recQ	recR	recU	rexA	rexB	ruvA	ruvB	ruvC	tag1	итиС	ung	uvrA	uvrB	uvrC
2 2 0 1	2 1	2 2	0 0	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 1	1 1	1 3	1 1	1 1	1 1	$1 \\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	2 2	1 1	1 1	1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$1 \\ 1$	$1 \\ 1$	1 1
0 1	2 0 1	2 2 2	0 0 0	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 2	1 1 1	1 1 2	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 2 2	1 1 1	1 1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1 1 1	0 0 0	2 2 2	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$	0 0 0	0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1
0 1 0 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	3 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\1\\1\\2\\2\\1\\2\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\end{array} $	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ $	1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ $	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ $	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ $	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ $	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\$
1 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} $	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ $	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ $	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 2 1 2 2 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \end{array} $	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1 1 0 1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
1 1 0 1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
0 1 0 1 1 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	1 1 1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} $	2 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	1 1 1 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} $
0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1 1	1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1 1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$	1 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 1	0 0 0	1 1 1	2 1 1	0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1 1 1	1 1 1	0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	0 0 0	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 2 1	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	$egin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$	0 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} $	1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} $	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1 2 2 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$egin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} $	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} $	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} $
	2 1	2 1	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1 1	1 1	1 2	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1 1	1 1	1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1

rRNA sequences. In this analysis, we included all *Erysipelotrichia* strains, draft sequences of which were available, to better understand the phylogenetic position, not only of *E. rhusiopathiae*, but also of the class *Erysipelotrichia*. In both trees (Fig. 2A and B), *E. rhusiopathiae* and other *Erysipelotrichia* strains, with the exception of *Turicibacter sanguinis*, clustered together, forming a cluster distinct from other *Firmicutes* species and placed at the position closest to *Mollicutes*. These results indicate a very close phylogenetic relationship between *Erysipelotrichia*, including *E. rhusiopathiae*, and *Mollicutes*. Furthermore, our results may raise the possibility that *Erysipelotrichia* should be separated from *Firmicutes* and classified as a distinct phylum, as *Mollicutes* were moved to a separate phylum, *Tenericutes* (23). In con-



FIG. 3. Overview of the basic metabolic pathways of *E. rhusiopathiae*. Pathways or steps for which no enzymes were identified are indicated in red, as are the compounds for which *de novo* synthetic pathways were not identified. The question marks indicate that particular uncertainties exist. ABC, ATP-binding cassette superfamily; Sec, secretion pathway; PTS, phosphotransferase system; GalNAc, *N*-acetylgalactosamine; GlcNAc, *N*-acetylglucosamine; MscL, large conductance mechanosensitive ion channel family; MscS, small conductance mechanosensitive ion channel family; PP pathway, pentose phosphate pathway; PRPP, phosphoribosyl-pyrophosphate; AICAR, 5'-phosphoribosyl-4-carboxamide-5-aminoimidazole; PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate; ACP, acyl carrier protein; TCA, tricarboxylic acid; THF, tetrahydrofolate; DHF, dihydrofolate.

trast, *T. sanguinis* was placed in both trees at a very distant position from other *Erysipelotrichia* strains and clustered together with other *Firmicutes* species, suggesting that the species should be separated from *Erysipelotrichia*.

Reductive genome evolution and metabolic capabilities. Genome reduction has also taken place in many bacteria living in nutrient-rich environments, including lactic acid bacteria (25) and the members of *Mollicutes* (28). Among the sequenced members of *Firmicutes*, including lactic acid bacteria, the genome of *E. rhusiopathiae* is one of the smallest, indicating that reductive genome evolution occurred in the organism (Table 3).

The loss of DNA repair systems, a genomic feature that is often observed in bacteria showing genome reduction (30, 31), was evaluated and compared with DNA repair system loss in other bacteria in *Firmicutes* and *Mollicutes*. The *E. rhusiopathiae* genome contains 34 genes related to DNA repair functions (Table 3), which is a greater number of DNA repair related genes than is present in *Mollicutes*, which varies from 11 to 33, and is similar to the number of genes in other *Firmicutes* with reduced genome sizes (Table 3), although these numbers do not always correlate with genome size. It may be noteworthy that, among the DNA repair-related genes that are highly conserved in *Firmicutes* but are absent in *Mollicutes*, four (*radA*, *recD*, *rexA*, and *rexB* homologs) are missing from *E. rhusiopathiae*.

E. rhusiopathiae encodes a full set of enzymes for the glycolysis and pentose phosphate pathways; some enzymes (glucokinase, 6-phosphofructokinase, and phosphoglycerate mutase) are duplicated. However, as expected, the organism lacks numerous genes for many other metabolic pathways (Fig. 3 and Table 4). *E. rhusiopathiae* lacks all of the genes for the tricarboxylic acid cycle, with the exception of fumarate hydratase (Table 4). Importantly, the organism also lacks all of the genes for the biosynthesis of unsaturated and saturated fatty acids. This genomic feature—the complete lack of genes for fatty acid biosynthesis—is observed in the genomes of all *Mollicutes* species (with the exception of *Acholeplasma laidlawii*), but not in other bacteria in the phylum *Firmicutes*, although several gene losses in fatty acid biosynthetic pathways have been observed in some lactobacilli (25).

It appears that the mechanism by which Tween 80 enhances the growth of *E. rhusiopathiae* does not involve oleic acid, which is the major ingredient of Tween 80, because we were

TABLE 4.	Enzymes in	the biosynthe	etic pathways	of E. 1	husiopathiae
----------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------	--------------

Category or compound (gene)	Locus tag(s)
Energy metabolism	
Glycolysis	
Glucokinase (glk)	ERH_0239, ERH_1009
Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase (pgi)	ERH_0099
6-Phosphofructokinase (<i>pfkA</i>)	ERH_1011, ERH_1051
Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase (fba)	ERH_1632
Triose-phosphate isomerase (tpiA)	ERH_1335
Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (gapA)	ERH_1534
Phosphoglycerate kinase (pgk)	ERH_1336
Phosphoglycerate mutase (gpmA)	ERH_0241, ERH_0435, ERH_0457, ERH_1685
Enolase (eno)	ERH_1334
Pyruvate kinase (<i>pyk</i>)	ERH_1010
Pentose phosphate pathway	
Glucose-o-phosphate 1-denydrogenase (<i>zwJ</i>)	EKH_0400
6 Phosphogluconolacionase (<i>pgl</i>)	EDIL 0456
Transkatolosa (<i>tkt</i>)	EDU 1570
Pibose 5 phosphate isomerase $(rniB)$	ERH 1600
Ribulose phosphate 3 enimerase (<i>rpib</i>)	ERH 1027
TCA cycle	EKI1_1027
Fumarate hydratase (fumC)	ERH 0730
fundado nyaratuse (unite)	LIKI_0750
Amino acid biosynthesis	
Proline	
Glutamate 5-kinase (proB)	ERH_0054
Glutamate-5-semialdehyde dehydrogenase (proA)	ERH_0055
Pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase (<i>proC</i>)	ERH_0057
Glutamine	
Glutamine synthetase (glnA)	ERH_0836
Alanine	EDII 1072
Alanine denydrogenase (<i>ala</i>)	EKH_10/3
Asparagine	EDII 1252
Aspartate-ammonia ligase (<i>asnA</i>)	EKH_1555
Serine O acetultransferase ($cusE$)	FPH 0/21
Cysteine synthese $A(cysK)$	ERH_0469
Serine	LINI 0409
I-Serine dehydratase (sdaA)	ERH 1322
<i>I-Serine dehydratase (sdaB)</i>	ERH 1323
Glycine	
Glycine hydroxymethyltransferase (glvA)	ERH 1608
Arginine (incomplete pathway)	-
Arginine deiminase (arcA)	ERH_0795
Carbamate kinase (arcC)	ERH_0797
Ornithine carbamoyltransferase (argF)	ERH_0796
Cofesters without a prosthetic groups and corriers	
Pantothonato (incomplete nathway)	
2 Debydropantoate 2 reductase (nanE/anh 4)	FDH 1317
2-Denydropantoate 2-reductase (punte/upoA)	EKII_1517
Type III nantothenate kinase $(coaX)$	FRH 0124 FRH 0188
Phosphopantothenate-cysteine ligase (coaB)	ERH 0126
Phosphopantothenovlcysteine decarboxylase (<i>coaC</i>)	ERH 0125
Pantetheine-phosphate adenvlvltransferase (<i>coaD</i>)	
Dephospho-CoA kinase (<i>coaE</i>)	
Folate (incomplete pathway)	= 1
Folylpolyglutamate synthase (folC)	ERH 1520
Dihydrofolate reductase (folÅ)	ERH_0263, ERH 0996
Thiamine (incomplete pathway)	
Cysteine desulfurase	ERH_0498, ERH_0508
Nucleoside-triphosphatase	ERH_0545
Thiamine biosynthesis protein (thiI)	ERH_0509

unable to demonstrate growth enhancement of the organism after adding various quantities of oleic acid. Its growth was completely inhibited by concentrations of oleic acid greater than 0.001% (data not shown). This finding suggests that

Tween 80 may merely aid in membrane transport or another nutrient utilization process.

E. rhusiopathiae also lacks many genes for amino acid biosynthesis; the organism can synthesize only seven amino acids

 TABLE 5. Transporter proteins of E. rhusiopathiae

Family (% of proteins)	No. of transport systems (no. of genes involved)	Locus tag(s)
Channels (13)		
Chloride channel	1(1)	ERH 1566
Large conductance mechanosensitive	1(1)	ERH 0678
ion channel family		-
Small conductance mechanosensitive ion channel family	1 (1)	ERH_0358
Secondary transporters (13.7)		
Major facilitator superfamily	5 (5)	ERH 0034, ERH 0137, ERH 0232, ERH 0502, ERH 0783
Amino acid transporter family	5 (5)	ERH_0305, ERH_0316, ERH_0766, ERH_0842, ERH_1618
Arginine/ornithine antiporter	2 (2)	ERH_0798, ERH_1416
Ethanolamine transporter family	1 (1)	ERH_0866
Cation diffusion facilitator family	1 (1)	ERH_0032
Resistance-nodulation-cell division superfamily	1 (1)	ERH_0839
Small multidrug resistance family	3 (3)	ERH_0155, ERH_1251, ERH_1256
Dicarboxylate/amino acid: cation (Na or H ⁺) symporter family	1 (1)	ERH_0776
Citrate: cation symporter family V_{\pm}^{\pm}	1(1)	EKH_0/54
K transporter (1rk) family	$\frac{1}{2}$ (2)	[EKH_05/5, EKH_05/4] EDIL 0079 EDIL 0275 EDIL 1222
Chromata ion transporter family	3(3) 2(4)	EKH_0078, EKH_0273, EKH_1333 [EDU_0158_EDU_0150][EDU_1505_EDU_1506]
Phosphate: Na ⁺ symporter family	2 (4) 2 (3)	ERH_0136, ERH_0139, ERH_1135, ERH_1350, ERH_1350]
Primary active transporters (68.4) ATP-binding cassette superfamily		
Carbohydrate uptake transporter	7 (14)	ERH_0199, [ERH_0226, ERH_0227, ERH_0228], [ERH_0413, ERH_0414], ERH_0417, [ERH_1083, ERH_1084, ERH_1085], [ERH_1244, ERH_1245, ERH_1246], ERH_1523
Amino acid uptake transporter	4 (11)	[ERH_0895, ERH_0896], [ERH_1350, ERH_1351, ERH_1352], [ERH_1517. ERH_1518, ERH_1519], [ERH_1666, ERH_1667, ERH_1668]
Ion uptake transporter	7 (16)	[ERH_0024, ERH_0025], [ERH_0044, ERH_0045, ERH_0046, ERH_0047], [ERH_0202, ERH_0203], [ERH_0945, ERH_0946], [ERH_0977, ERH_0978, ERH_0979, ERH_0980], ERH_1017, ERH_1356
Polyamine uptake transporter	2 (8)	[ERH_0876, ERH_0877, ERH_0878, ERH_0879], [ERH_1495, ERH_1496, ERH_1497, ERH_1498]
Peptide/nickel uptake transporter	1 (5)	[ERH_0442, ERH_0443, ERH_0444, ERH_0445, ERH_0446]
Cobalt/nickel uptake transporter	1 (3)	[ERH_1134, ERH_1135, ERH_1136]
Thiamine uptake transporter	1(1)	ERH_1061
Ion chelate uptake transporter	2 (9)	[ERH_0496, ERH_0497, ERH_0498, ERH_0499, ERH_0500], [ERH_1367, ERH_1368, ERH_1369, ERH_1370]
Lipoprotein uptake transporter	$\frac{1}{1}$	EKH_08/3
Quaternary amine uptake transporter	1 (4)	[ERH_1627, ERH_1628, ERH_1629, ERH_1630]
Nitrate/sulfonate/bicarbonate uptake transporter	1 (3)	[ERH_0294, ERH_0295, ERH_0296]
Drug exporter	18 (27)	[ERH_0095, ERH_0096], [ERH_0113, ERH_0114], [ERH_0322, ERH_0323], [ERH_0426, ERH_0427], [ERH_0449, ERH_0450], [ERH_0689, ERH_0690], ERH_0710, ERH_0714, [ERH_0792, ERH_0793], ERH_0939, ERH_1112, ERH_1372, [ERH_1376, ERH_1377], ERH_1381, ERH_1387, [ERH_1411, ERH_1412], ERH_1508, ERH_1683
Peptide exporter	14 (19)	ERH_0026, [ERH_0465, ERH_0466], ERH_0704, ERH_0733, ERH_0874, ERH_0986, ERH_1182, ERH_1184, [ERH_1186, ERH_1187], ERH_1263, [ERH_1360, ERH_1361], ERH_1383, [ERH_1457, ERH_1458], [ERH_1491, ERH_1492]
F-type and V-type ATPase superfamily	2 (16)	[ERH 0365, ERH 0366, ERH 0367, ERH 0368, ERH 0369, ERH 0370, ERH 0371, ERH 0372], [ERH 1042, ERH 1043, ERH 1044, ERH 1045, ERH 1046, ERH 1047, ERH 1048, ERH 1049]
P-type ATPase superfamily	7 (7)	ERH_10074, ERH_1075, ERH_1200, ERH_1283, ERH_1307, ERH_1338, ERH_1401
Uncharacterized ABC-type transporters	8 (16)	[ERH_0409, ERH_0410, ERH_0411, ERH_0412], ERH_0819, ERH_0846, [ERH_1002, ERH_1003, ERH_1004], ERH_1052, ERH_1125, ERH_1186, [ERH_1477, ERH_1478, ERH_1479, ERH_1480]

Continued on following page

Family (% of proteins)	No. of transport systems (no. of genes involved)	Locus tag(s)
Group translocations (16.2) Phosphotransferase system (PTS)	23 (38)	ERH_0005, ERH_0020, [ERH_0133, ERH_0134, ERH_0135], ERH_0219, ERH_0222, [ERH_0255, ERH_0256], [ERH_0282, ERH_0283, ERH_0284], ERH_0286, [ERH_0348, ERH_0349, ERH_0350, ERH_0351], ERH_0680, [ERH_0722, ERH_0723, ERH_0724], ERH_0814, ERH_0849, ERH_0851, ERH_1041, ERH_1120, ERH_1122, ERH_1145, ERH_1208, [ERH_1219, ERH_1220, ERH_1221], ERH_1327, [ERH_1393, ERH_1394, ERH_1395, ERH_1396], ERH_1399
Unclassified (0.4) Metal ion transporter (MIT) family	1 (1)	ERH_1190
Total	132 (234)	

 TABLE 5—Continued

(alanine, asparagine, glutamine, serine, cysteine, glycine, and proline) through *de novo* pathways or using intermediate molecules as derivatives. Moreover, all of the genes for the biosynthesis of biotin, riboflavin, ubiquinone, and menaquinone and some of the genes involved in the biosynthesis of panto-thenate, thiamine, and folate are missing from the *E. rhusiopathiae* genome (Table 4).

In agreement with its poor biosynthetic capacities, *E. rhu-siopathiae* devotes as much as 13.7% (minimally) of its genes to transport functions (Table 5), a level similar to that observed for lactic acid bacteria (13 to 18%) (21). In particular, *E. rhusiopathiae* contains a remarkably high percentage of primary active transporters (68.4%). This property is also shared with *Mycoplasma* species (39).

Virulence-associated genes. (i) Two-component signal transduction systems. Most bacteria employ two-component signal transduction systems to regulate the expression of many genes in response to various changes in environmental conditions (54). In the *E. rhusiopathiae* genome, we identified a total of 15 genes encoding response regulators, 14 of which were adjacent to genes encoding cognate histidine kinases (Table 6).

The numbers of two-component signal transduction systems in the genomes of *Streptococcus pyogenes* (12), *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (57), *Listeria monocytogenes* (15), *Enterococcus faecalis* (16), and *Bacillus subtilis* (10) are 13, 14, 16, 17, and 35, respectively. Compared to these bacteria, lactic acid bacteria contain fewer two-component systems (between five and nine) (9), and *Mycoplasma* species lack this system entirely, with the exception of *Mycoplasma penetrans* (42). A loss of regulatory systems is also an evolutionary pattern observed in many bacteria with reduced genome sizes (31). Considering the presence of many two-component systems in the *E. rhusiopathiae* genome compared to lactic acid bacteria and the important roles of these systems in stress responses, such as oxidative or acid stress responses (9), the two-component systems of *E. rhusiopathiae* may be very closely associated with its virulence.

(ii) Cell wall synthesis. *E. rhusiopathiae* possesses a full set of the peptidoglycan (PG) biosynthesis genes, which are relatively dispersed throughout the genome (Fig. 3). However, it is not clear whether all the genes involved in the complete biosynthetic pathways of wall teichoic acids (WTA) and lipoteichoic acids (LTA) are present. Furthermore, although the

Kinase	Response regulator	Organization ^a	Predicted function	Reference
ERH 0119	ERH 0118	RH	Similar to secretion stress response	17
ERH 0212	ERH 0211	RH	Unknown	
ERH 0230	ERH 0231	HR	Unknown	
ERH 0270	ERH 0269	RH	Unknown	
ERH 0310	ERH_0309	RH	Unknown	
ERH 0313	ERH_0312	RH	Unknown	
ERH 0805	ERH 0806	HR	Similar to AgrA family	35
ERH 0872	ERH 0871	RH	Unknown	
ERH 0920	ERH 0921	RH	Unknown	
ERH 0981	ERH 0982	RH	Similar to phosphatase assimilation	26, 27
ERH 1188	ERH 1189	RH	Unknown	<i>,</i>
ERH 1193	ERH 1194	RH	Similar to LytTR family	35
-	ERH 1319	$Orphan^b$	5	
ERH 1430	ERH 1429	RH	Unknown	
ERH_1493	ERH_1494	RH	Unknown	

TABLE 6. Two-component systems in E. rhusiopathiae

^a Organization of each kinase-regulator pair on the *E. rhusiopathiae* chromosome (RH, 5' response regulator-3' histidine kinase; HR, 5' histidine kinase-3' response regulator).

^b Orphan, a response regulator gene that is not directly associated with a histidine kinase gene.

Locus tag	Size (aa) ^a	GenBank accession no.	Gene product	Bacterial species	Hit length/length of best-hit homolog (% aa sequence identity)
ERH_0855	442	EEG30921	Sugar transferase	Clostridium methylpentosum	182/469 (38)
ERH_0856	590	ADE82409	O-antigen polymerase	Prevotella ruminicola	90/344 (26)
ERH_0857	401	EFI08982	Glycosyltransferase	Bacteroides sp. 3119	174/407 (42)
ERH_0858	337	EEI59831	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase (CapD)	Enterococcus faecium	238/335 (71)
ERH 0859	369	EEV61046	NAD-dependent epimerase/dehydratase	Enterococcus faecium	224/370 (60)
ERH 0860	374	EFF20431	UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 2-epimerase	Enterococcus faecium	299/374 (79)
ERH_0861	390	EEK97598	Glycosyltransferase	Bacillus cereus	172/360 (47)

TABLE 7. BLAST results for *E. rhusiopathiae* capsular polysaccharide biosynthetic homologs

^a aa, amino acids.

dlt operon encoding the enzymes that catalyze the incorporation of D-alanine residues into WTA or LTA is always composed of *dltABCD* genes in Gram-positive bacteria (34), the gene order is not conserved, and the *dltD* gene is missing in *E. rhusiopathiae* (Fig. 3), suggesting that the organism contains atypical WTA and/or LTA. The *dltABCD* genes have been detected in all *Firmicutes* bacteria with small genomes sequenced so far (19, 34), with the exception of *Oenococcus oeni*, which is a lactic acid bacterium with the smallest genome of all *Firmicutes* (Table 3). In the future, it will be worthwhile to intensively analyze the structures and chemical compositions of WTA and LTA of *E. rhusiopathiae*.

(iii) Capsular polysaccharide synthesis. Immunological and microscopic approaches were used to demonstrate that *E. rhusiopathiae* produces a capsule that plays an important role in virulence (49, 51, 52); however, its chemical and biological properties are uncharacterized. We previously showed that in the representative acapsular mutant 33H6, which was generated by transposon mutagenesis with Tn916 (52), the transposon was inserted into a gene (now corresponding to ERH_0855) (48). The *E. rhusiopathiae* genome analysis revealed that this gene is located in a cluster of genes encoding seven proteins (ERH_0855 to ERH_0861) that appear to be involved in capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis (Table 7). Reverse transcription-PCR analysis of the intergenic regions of the seven genes indicates that these genes are transcribed as a polycistronic mRNA forming an operon (data not shown).

(iv) Protein secretion systems and surface-associated or extracellular enzymes/proteins. Gram-positive bacteria produce a variety of extracellular or cell surface-associated proteins, many of which play important roles in virulence (13). In Grampositive bacteria, these proteins could be translocated across the membrane via three pathways: the Sec (general secretory)dependent pathway, the signal recognition particle (SRP)-dependent pathway, and the twin-arginine translocation (Tat) pathway (38).

E. rhusiopathiae encodes homologs for signal peptidase I, signal peptidase II (lipoprotein signal peptidase), SRP, and a full set of Sec proteins. In contrast, as seen in other members of *Firmicutes* with small genomes (*Streptococcus* species and lactic acid bacteria) and in *Mollicutes* (7), *E. rhusiopathiae* does not contain the Tat secretion system or any recognizable Tat substrates.

During the secretion process in Gram-positive bacteria, many secreted proteins are covalently attached to peptidoglycan through their carboxyl termini by transpeptidases, called sortases, to be exposed as surface proteins (33). *E. rhusiopathiae* contains a single sortase (ERH_0013) and 21 potential sortase substrates that contain a sortase recognition sequence (LPXTG) followed by a membrane-spanning hydrophobic domain and a positively charged tail (Table 8). They include various hydrolyzing enzymes, such as proteases and peptidases, and proteins that can potentially mediate host-bacterium interactions. Three of these proteins possess KXW repeat modules, as well, which are conserved in several adhesins of Grampositive bacteria belonging to *Firmicutes* and have been shown to play important roles in biofilm formation (50).

Of particular interest are three hyaluronidases (ERH_0150, ERH_0765, and ERH_1210) and one neuraminidase (ERH_0299) that contain LPXTG motifs. Cell surface associations of hyaluronidases and neuraminidase via the LPXTG motif have been shown only in *S. pneumoniae* (13). It is most likely that these four enzymes of *E. rhusiopathiae* are also surface associated. Notably, the organism encodes an additional neuraminidase (ERH_0761) that lacks the LPXTG motif and thus is probably extracellularly secreted.

E. rhusiopathiae possesses another type of surface protein with glycine-tryptophan (GW) dipeptide repeat modules, which attach surface proteins to the cell wall through noncovalent interactions (59). In addition to the major surface protective antigen SpaA.1 protein (ERH_0094), *E. rhusiopathiae* strain Fujisawa contains two surface proteins (ERH_0407 and ERH_0768) with the GW dipeptide repeat modules. Thus, like many other Gram-positive pathogens (13), *E. rhusiopathiae* elaborates a number of cell surface components and extracellular products to interact with its target cells and initiate infection.

(v) Putative virulence factors required for intracellular survival. *E. rhusiopathiae* is vulnerable to oxidative stress, and the escape from reactive oxygen species (ROS) is a major strategy for the intracellular survival of the organism (51). Genome analysis revealed that *E. rhusiopathiae* possesses nine CDSs encoding enzymes that potentially confer ROS resistance. They include a superoxide dismutase, two thioredoxins, two thioredoxin reductases, a thiol peroxidase, and a glutaredoxin (Table 8). Although *E. rhusiopathiae* lacks catalase, the organism may have evolved these redundant defense mechanisms against oxidative stress within host cells. Furthermore, the two alkyl-hydroperoxide reductases may be important in protecting the organism against damage caused by nitric oxide (5).

In addition to these anti-ROS proteins, *E. rhusiopathiae* contains additional enzymes that potentially help the organism

TABLE 6. POSSIBLE VITUETICE factors of E. musiopumue	TABLE 8.	Possible	virulence	factors	of E .	rhusiopathiae
--	----------	----------	-----------	---------	----------	---------------

Locus tag	Gene	Predicted function and/or description	Motif/modules ^a
Surface proteins			
ERH_0075		Collagen-binding protein	LPXTG
ERH_0094	spaA.1	Unknown, protective antigen	GW
ERH_0150	hylA	Hyaluronidase	LPXTG
ERH_0161		Peptidase M14	LPXIG
ERH_0201		Pectin lyase fold-containing protein	LPXIG
ERH_0221		Glycoside hydrolase, family 16	LPXIG
ERH_0260		Proteinase	LPXIG
ERH_0278	77.1	Unknown	LPXIG
ERH_0299	nanH.1	Neuraminidase	LPXIG
ERH_0407	сбрА	Unknown, choline-binding protein	GW LDVTC
ERH_0501	4	Glycosyl nydrolase, family 85	LPAIG
ERH_0008	rspA	Biofilm formation, protective antigen	LPAIG/KAW
ERH_0009	rspВ	BIOTIM FORMATION	LPAIG/KAW
ERH_0/28	110	Internalin-like	LPAIG
ERH_0/05	nyiB sha B	Hyaluronidase	LPAIG
ERH_0708	сорв	Unknown, choline-binding protein	GW LDVTC
ERH_0///		Dipeptidase	LPAIG
ERH_1139 ED11_1210	usnA IndC	5 -INUCLEOHIDASE	LPAIG
ERH_1210 ED11_1259	nyiC	Hyaluronidase	LPAIG
ERH_1236 EDIL_1426		Unknown Callagan hinding matain	LPAIG
EKH_1450 EDIL 1454		Linha sum	LPAIG
EKH_1454 EDIL 1472		Unknown Internelin like	LPAIG
ERN_14/2 ERH 1687	rsnC	Biofilm formation	LFAIG IPYTG/KYW
ERII_1007	ispe	Biomin formation	LI ATO/KAW
Antioxidant proteins			
ERH_0162	tpx	Thiol peroxidase	
ERH_0175	ahpC	Alkyl-hydroperoxide reductase	
ERH_0356	nrdH	Glutaredoxin	
ERH_0375	trxA.1	Thioredoxin	
ERH_1065	sodA	Superoxide dismutase	
ERH_1311	trxB.1	Thioredoxin-disulfide reductase	
ERH_1345	ahpD	Alkylhydroperoxide reductase	
ERH_1500	trxA.2	Thioredoxin	
ERH_1541	trxB.2	Thioredoxin-disulfide reductase	
Phospholipase			
ERH_0072		Patatin-like phospholipase	
ERH_0083		Phospholipase/Carboxylesterase family	
ERH_0148	pldB	Lysophospholipase	
ERH_0333	cls	Cardiolipin synthetase	
ERH_0334		Patatin-like phospholipase	
ERH_0347		Phospholipase/carboxylesterase	
ERH_0388		Phospholipase D	
ERH_1214		Lysophospholipase	
ERH_1433		Lysophospholipase	
Hemolysins			
ERH 0467		Hemolysin-related protein	
ERH_0649		Hemolysin III	
Other extracellular proteins/enzymes			
ERH 0761	nanH 2	Neuraminidase	
ERH 1034	10001011.2	Fibronectin-binding protein	
ERH 1356		Adhesin	
ERH 1467		Biofilm formation	
		Stotian totianon	

^a LPXTG, sortase motif (33); GW, choline-binding module (59); KXW, module identified in extracellular matrix-binding proteins of Gram-positive bacteria (50).

survive within phagocytic cells (Table 8). Phospholipases are considered virulence factors in many intracellular pathogens (43). The *E. rhusiopathiae* genome contains at least nine CDSs encoding proteins with sequence homology to phospholipase family proteins, including phospholipase D and patatin-like phospholipases. Patatin, a storage protein found in potatoes,

also has a phospholipase activity (29). *E. rhusiopathiae* possesses an extremely high number of phospholipolytic enzymes compared to other intracellular bacteria (1). The abundance of these enzymes of *E. rhusiopathiae* may be a reflection of the lack of fatty acid biosynthesis pathways. Efficient acquisition of fatty acids from the host membrane may be achieved by their

combined actions. These phospholipases may allow the organism to escape from phagosomes into the cytoplasm by disrupting the phagosomal membrane (43). In fact, electron microscopic observation revealed that the organism multiplies predominantly within the cytoplasm of macrophages at the inoculation sites of mice (46), suggesting that the cytoplasm serves as a privileged *in vivo* niche for *E. rhusiopathiae*, which allows the organism to circumvent host immune responses. Antioxidant enzymes and phospholipases could also modulate immunological cell-signaling pathways (2, 44). Thus, the organism may regulate host cell functions by these enzymes for successful intracellular survival.

Genome comparison. By bidirectional best-hit analysis with the draft sequence of *E. rhusiopathiae* strain ATCC 19414, we found that 1,594 (93.5%) CDSs of Fujisawa, including the genes for capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis (ERH_0855 to ERH_0861), are conserved in ATCC 19414. The orthologs exhibited a high level of sequence similarity (99.3% amino acid sequence identity on average). The result of dot plot analysis also revealed a high level of genomic synteny of the two genomes (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). These data indicate that the genomic backbone is highly conserved between the two strains.

In ATCC 19414, 71 out of the 1,645 CDSs were strain specific (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). In Fujisawa, 110 CDSs were strain specific (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). These Fujisawa-specific CDSs include the 46 genes on PP Erh Fujisawa (ERH 0581 through ERH 0626) and 23 genes that were also clustered in the genome (ERH_1273 through ERH_1295) (Fig. 1; see Table S2 in the supplemental material). Because this gene cluster contains genes for an integrase and a replication protein, as well as several IS elements, it is most likely that it represents an integrative element, although direct-repeat sequences were not found at the boundaries. This integrative element, designated IE Erh Fujisawa, includes the genes for a restriction-modification system and a heavy-metal transport system. Moreover, Fujisawa appears to have a strain-specific pathway for polysaccharide biosynthesis (ERH_1439 through ERH_1444). The G+C contents of most of the strain-specific CDSs in the genomes of Fujisawa and ATCC 19414 differ significantly from those of other parts of the genome (see Tables S1 and S2 in the supplemental material), suggesting that they have been acquired by each of the strains through horizontal gene transfer.

We further analyzed conservation of the Fujisawa CDSs in other Erysipelotrichia strains. We excluded the T. sanguinis strain from this analysis because it is very likely that the species is not a true member of Erysipelotrichia, as mentioned above in the discussion of the phylogenetic position of E. rhusiopathiae. This analysis revealed that between 52 and 61% of the CDSs of Fujisawa are conserved in each of these strains (see Table S3 in the supplemental material) and that a total of 625 CDSs (37%) are shared by all Erysipelotrichia strains examined. Although this analysis is not complete because the genome sequence information on all these Erysipelotrichia strains consists of draft sequences with various levels of sequence quality, these 625 CDSs can be regarded as roughly representing the core gene set of the bacterial group. It might be worth mentioning that it appears that these Erysipelotrichia strains also lack the *dltABCD* operon.

Conclusions. This study describes the reductive genome revolution of E. rhusiopathiae and its unique strategy for intracellular parasitism. The phylogenetic study using the genome sequence information revealed that Erysipelotrichia strains, including E. rhusiopathiae, form a cluster distinct from other Firmicutes and are phylogenetically closest to Mollicutes. The genomic features of E. rhusiopathiae also represent evolutionary traits of both Firmicutes and Mollicutes. Like Mollicutes, during genome reduction, E. rhusiopathiae lost the genes necessary for fatty acid biosynthesis pathways and evolved redundant phospholipolytic enzymes, which may be utilized for fatty acid acquisition in vivo. Furthermore, the organism possesses many antioxidant factors, suggesting that E. rhusiopathiae specifically adapted to the intracellular environments of phagocytic cells and showing that its evolutionary adaptation is unique in the phylum Firmicutes. Comparative analysis with a draft genome sequence of another E. rhusiopathiae strain (ATCC 19414) revealed that these genomic features are highly conserved in the strain.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Vincent A. Fischetti for critically reading the manuscript and to Ken Kurokawa for helpful suggestions on the phylogenetic data analysis.

This work was supported by a Research and Development Projects for Application in Promoting New Policy of Agriculture Forestry and Fisheries grant from the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan (to Y.S.).

REFERENCES

- Banerji, S., P. Aurass, and A. Flieger. 2008. The manifold phospholipases A of *Legionella pneumophila*—identification, export, regulation, and their link to bacterial virulence. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 298:169–181.
- Bogdan, C., M. Rollinghoff, and A. Diefenbach. 2000. Reactive oxygen and reactive nitrogen intermediates in innate and specific immunity. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 12:64–76.
- Calcutt, M. J., J. L. Lavrrar, and K. S. Wise. 1999. IS1630 of Mycoplasma fermentans, a novel IS30-type insertion element that targets and duplicates inverted repeats of variable length and sequence during insertion. J. Bacteriol. 181:7597–7607.
- Castresana, J. 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Mol. Biol. Evol. 17:540–552.
- Chen, L., Q. W. Xie, and C. Nathan. 1998. Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase subunit C (AhpC) protects bacterial and human cells against reactive nitrogen intermediates. Mol. Cell 1:795–805.
- Ciccarelli, F. D., et al. 2006. Toward automatic reconstruction of a highly resolved tree of life. Science 311:1283–1287.
- Dilks, K., R. W. Rose, E. Hartmann, and M. Pohlschroder. 2003. Prokaryotic utilization of the twin-arginine translocation pathway: a genomic survey. J. Bacteriol. 185:1478–1483.
- Edgar, R. C. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32:1792–1797.
- El-Sharoud, W. M. 2005. Two-component signal transduction systems as key players in stress responses of lactic acid bacteria. Sci. Prog. 88:203–228.
- Fabret, C., V. A. Feher, and J. A. Hoch. 1999. Two-component signal transduction in *Bacillus subtilis*: how one organism sees its world. J. Bacteriol. 181:1975–1983.
- Feist, H., K. D. Flossmann, and W. Erler. 1976. Investigations on the nutritional requirements of erysipelas bacteria. Arch. Exp. Veterinarmed. 30:49–57.
- Ferretti, J. J., et al. 2001. Complete genome sequence of an M1 strain of Streptococcus pyogenes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 98:4658–4663.
- Fischetti, V. A. 2006. Surface proteins on gram-positive bacteria, p. 12–25. *In* V. A. Fischetti, R. P. Novick, J. J. Ferretti, D. A. Portnoy, and J. I. Rood (ed.), Gram-positive pathogens, 2nd ed. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- 14. Garrity, G. M., et al. 6 March 2007, posting date. Part 8, The Bacteria: phylum Fimicutes: class Mollicutes, p. 317–332. In G. M. Garrity, et al. (ed.), The taxonomic outline of Bacteria and Archaea, release 7.7. http://www .taxonomicoutline.org/.
- Glaser, P., et al. 2001. Comparative genomics of Listeria species. Science 294:849–852.
- Hancock, L., and M. Perego. 2002. Two-component signal transduction in Enterococcus faecalis. J. Bacteriol. 184:5819–5825.

- Hyyryläinen, H. L., et al. 2001. A novel two-component regulatory system in Bacillus subtilis for the survival of severe secretion stress. Mol. Microbiol. 41:1159–1172.
- Kanehisa, M. 1997. A database for post-genome analysis. Trends Genet. 13:375–376.
- Kovács, M., et al. 2006. A functional dlt operon, encoding proteins required for incorporation of D-alanine in teichoic acids in gram-positive bacteria, confers resistance to cationic antimicrobial peptides in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. J. Bacteriol. 188:5797–5805.
- Lagesen, K., et al. 2007. RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 35:3100–3108.
- Lorca, G. L., et al. 2007. Transport capabilities of eleven gram-positive bacteria: comparative genomic analyses. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1768:1342– 1366.
- Lowe, T. M., and S. R. Eddy. 1997. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res. 25:955–964.
- Ludwig, W., K. H. Schleifer, and W. B. Whitman. 2009. Revised road map to the phylum Firmicutes, p. 1–13. *In* P. D. Vos, G. M. Garrity, D. Jones, N. R. Krieg, and W. Ludwig (ed.), Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology, 2nd ed., vol. 3. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY.
 Lysnyansky, I., et al. 2009. Molecular characterization of newly identified
- Lysnyansky, I., et al. 2009. Molecular characterization of newly identified IS3, IS4 and IS30 insertion sequence-like elements in *Mycoplasma bovis* and their possible roles in genome plasticity. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 294:172– 182.
- Makarova, K., et al. 2006. Comparative genomics of the lactic acid bacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103:15611–15616.
- Makino, K., H. Shinagawa, M. Amemura, and A. Nakata. 1986. Nucleotide sequence of the *phoB* gene, the positive regulatory gene for the phosphate regulon of *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Mol. Biol. 190:37–44.
- Makino, K., H. Shinagawa, M. Amemura, and A. Nakata. 1986. Nucleotide sequence of the *phoR* gene, a regulatory gene for the phosphate regulon of *Escherichia coli*. J. Mol. Biol. 192:549–556.
- Merhej, V., M. Royer-Carenzi, P. Pontarotti, and D. Raoult. 2009. Massive comparative genomic analysis reveals convergent evolution of specialized bacteria. Biol. Direct. 4:13.
- Mignery, G. A., C. S. Pikaard, and W. D. Park. 1988. Molecular characterization of the patatin multigene family of potato. Gene 62:27–44.
- Moran, N. A. 2002. Microbial minimalism: genome reduction in bacterial pathogens. Cell 108:583–586.
- Moran, N. A., and J. J. Wernegreen. 2000. Lifestyle evolution in symbiotic bacteria: insights from genomics. Trends Ecol. Evol. 15:321–326.
- Nakato, H., K. Shinomiya, and H. Mikawa. 1986. Effect of C3 depletion on the genesis of thrombocytopenia induced in rats by *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae*. Scand. J. Haematol. 37:18–24.
- Navarre, W. W., and O. Schneewind. 1999. Surface proteins of gram-positive bacteria and mechanisms of their targeting to the cell wall envelope. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 63:174–229.
- Neuhaus, F. C., and J. Baddiley. 2003. A continuum of anionic charge: structures and functions of D-alanyl-teichoic acids in gram-positive bacteria. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 67:686–723.
- Nikolskaya, A. N., and M. Y. Galperin. 2002. A novel type of conserved DNA-binding domain in the transcriptional regulators of the AlgR/AgrA/ LytR family. Nucleic Acids Res. 30:2453–2459.
- Noguchi, H., T. Taniguchi, and T. Itoh. 2008. MetaGeneAnnotator: detecting species-specific patterns of ribosomal binding site for precise gene prediction in anonymous prokaryotic and phage genomes. DNA Res. 15:387– 396.
- Ohyama, A., et al. 2006. Bioinformatics tool for genomic era; a step towards the in silico experiments—focused on molecular cloning. J. Comp. Aid. Chem. 7:102–115.
- Pallen, M. J., R. R. Chaudhuri, and I. R. Henderson. 2003. Genomic analysis of secretion systems. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 6:519–527.

- Paulsen, I. T., L. Nguyen, M. K. Sliwinski, R. Rabus, and M. H. Saier, Jr. 2000. Microbial genome analyses: comparative transport capabilities in eighteen prokaryotes. J. Mol. Biol. 301:75–100.
- Pruitt, K. D., T. Tatusova, and D. R. Maglott. 2007. NCBI reference sequences (RefSeq): a curated non-redundant sequence database of genomes, transcripts and proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 35:D61–D65.
- Rose, D. L., J. G. Tully, J. M. Bove, and R. F. Whitcomb. 1993. A test for measuring growth responses of mollicutes to serum and polyoxyethylene sorbitan. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 43:527–532.
- Sasaki, Y., et al. 2002. The complete genomic sequence of *Mycoplasma* penetrans, an intracellular bacterial pathogen in humans. Nucleic Acids Res. 30:5293–5300.
- Schmiel, D. H., and V. L. Miller. 1999. Bacterial phospholipases and pathogenesis. Microbes Infect. 1:1103–1112.
- 44. Schwarzer, N., et al. 1998. Two distinct phospholipases C of *Listeria mono-cytogenes* induce ceramide generation, nuclear factor-kappa B activation, and E-selectin expression in human endothelial cells. J. Immunol. 161:3010–3018.
- Shimoji, Y. 2000. Pathogenicity of *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae*: virulence factors and protective immunity. Microbes Infect. 2:965–972.
- Shimoji, Y. 2004. Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae, p. 111–116. In G. L. Gyles, J. F. Prescott, J. G. Songer, and C. O. Thoen (ed.), Pathogenesis of bacterial infections in animals, 3rd ed. Blackwell Publishing, Ames, IA.
- Shimoji, Y., H. Asato, T. Sekizaki, Y. Mori, and Y. Yokomizo. 2002. Hyaluronidase is not essential for the lethality of *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae* infection in mice. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 64:173–176.
- Shimoji, Y., Y. Mori, K. Hyakutake, T. Sekizaki, and Y. Yokomizo. 1998. Use of an enrichment broth cultivation-PCR combination assay for rapid diagnosis of swine erysipelas. J. Clin. Microbiol. 36:86–89.
- Shimoji, Y., Y. Mori, T. Sekizaki, T. Shibahara, and Y. Yokomizo. 1998. Construction and vaccine potential of acapsular mutants of *Ensipelothrix rhusiopathiae*: use of excision of Tn916 to inactivate a target gene. Infect. Immun. 66:3250–3254.
- Shimoji, Y., et al. 2003. Adhesive surface proteins of *Erysipelothrix rhusio-pathiae* bind to polystyrene, fibronectin, and type I and IV collagens. J. Bacteriol. 185:2739–2748.
- Shimoji, Y., Y. Yokomizo, and Y. Mori. 1996. Intracellular survival and replication of *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae* within murine macrophages: failure of induction of the oxidative burst of macrophages. Infect. Immun. 64:1789–1793.
- Shimoji, Y., Y. Yokomizo, T. Sekizaki, Y. Mori, and M. Kubo. 1994. Presence of a capsule in *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae* and its relationship to virulence for mice. Infect. Immun. 62:2806–2810.
- 53. Stackebrandt, E. 2009. Genus I. *Erysipelothrix*, p. 1299–1306. *In P. D. Vos*, G. M. Garrity, D. Jones, N. R. Krieg, and W. Ludwig (ed.), Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology, 2nd ed., vol. 3. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY.
- Stock, J. B., A. J. Ninfa, and A. M. Stock. 1989. Protein phosphorylation and regulation of adaptive responses in bacteria. Microbiol. Rev. 53:450–490.
- 55. Takahashi, T., N. Hirayama, T. Sawada, Y. Tamura, and M. Muramatsu. 1987. Correlation between adherence of *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae* strains of serovar 1a to tissue culture cells originated from porcine kidney and their pathogenicity in mice and swine. Vet. Microbiol. 13:57–64.
- Tamura, K., J. Dudley, M. Nei, and S. Kumar. 2007. MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. 24:1596–1599.
- Throup, J. P., et al. 2000. A genomic analysis of two-component signal transduction in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Mol. Microbiol. 35:566–576.
- Wood, R. L. 1999. Erysipelas, p. 419–430. *In* B. E. Straw, S. D'Allaire, W. L. Mengelng, and D. J. Taylor (ed.), Diseases of swine, 8th ed. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA.
- Yother, J., and J. M. White. 1994. Novel surface attachment mechanism of the Streptococcus pneumoniae protein PspA. J. Bacteriol. 176:2976–2985.