LEAF TIP NECROSIS1 Plays a Pivotal Role in the Regulation of Multiple Phosphate Starvation Responses in Rice^{1[W][OA]}

Bin Hu, Chenguang Zhu, Feng Li, Jiuyou Tang, Yiqin Wang, Aihong Lin, Linchuan Liu, Ronghui Che, and Chengcai Chu*

State Key Laboratory of Plant Genomics and National Center for Plant Gene Research (Beijing), Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China (B.H., C.Z., F.L., J.T., Y.W., A.L., L.L., R.C., C.C.); and Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China (B.H., A.L., L.L., R.C.)

Although phosphate (Pi) starvation signaling is well studied in Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*), it is still largely unknown in rice (*Oryza sativa*). In this work, a rice *leaf tip necrosis1* (*ltn1*) mutant was identified and characterized. Map-based cloning identified *LTN1* as *LOC_Os05g48390*, the putative ortholog of Arabidopsis *PHO2*, which plays important roles in Pi starvation signaling. Analysis of transgenic plants harboring a *LTN1* promoter:: β -glucuronidase construct revealed that *LTN1* was preferentially expressed in vascular tissues. The *ltn1* mutant exhibited increased Pi uptake and translocation, which led to Pi overaccumulation in shoots. In association with enhanced Pi uptake and transport, some Pi transporters were up-regulated in the *ltn1* mutant in the presence of sufficient Pi. Furthermore, the elongation of primary and adventitious roots was enhanced in the *ltn1* mutant under Pi starvation, suggesting that *LTN1* is involved in Pi-dependent root architecture alteration. Under Pi-sufficient conditions, typical Pi starvation responses such as stimulation of phosphatase and RNase activities, lipid composition alteration, nitrogen assimilation repression, and increased metal uptake were also activated in *ltn1*. Moreover, analysis of OsmiR399-overexpressing plants showed that *LTN1* was down-regulated by OsmiR399. Our results strongly indicate that *LTN1* is a crucial Pi starvation signaling component downstream of miR399 involved in the regulation of multiple Pi starvation responses in rice.

Plants require large amounts of phosphate (Pi) to maintain growth and development (Raghothama, 1999). However, Pi is often a limiting factor for plants because of its low availability in the soil, which is mainly due to its low abundance and easy chelation with cations or organic compounds to form insoluble complexes (Misson et al., 2005). To cope with Pi starvation, plants have evolved multiple strategies to increase Pi availability (Raghothama, 1999). For example, changes in root architecture enable plants to increase surface contact with the soil and to acquire Pi more efficiently, and up-regulation of Pi transporter genes enhances Pi uptake and transport efficiency (Liu et al., 1998; Karthikeyan et al., 2002; Xiao et al., 2006). It is also thought that acid phosphatases are involved in Pi acquisition or recycling during Pi starvation (Duff et al., 1994; del Pozo et al., 1999), and acid phosphatase and RNase induction is a significant adaptive response to increase Pi availability (Duff et al., 1994; Trull and Deikman, 1998). In addition, Pi-starved plants can regulate multiple metabolic processes to reprioritize the utilization of internal Pi and maximize the acquisition of external Pi to adapt to low-Pi environments (Vance et al., 2003; Wasaki et al., 2003). Alteration in lipid metabolism, resulting in phospholipid degradation and galactolipid and sulfolipid synthesis, could increase intracellular Pi availability under Pi depletion (Gniazdowska et al., 1999; Misson et al., 2005). Gene chip analysis also revealed that genes related to phospholipid degradation and galactolipid and sulfolipid synthesis were up-regulated in rice (Oryza sativa) roots upon Pi deprivation (Wasaki et al., 2003). Moreover, genes responsible for nitrogen assimilation (i.e. nitrite reductase, Gln synthase, and Glu synthase) were repressed (Wu et al., 2003), and nitrate uptake was reduced by Pi starvation (Gniazdowska and Rychter, 2000), indicating that nitrogen assimilation is repressed under Pi depletion. In contrast, the uptake of several metallic elements, such as iron (Fe), aluminum, and calcium, was increased in response to Pi starvation (Misson et al., 2005). Recently, Pi starvation in rice was shown to increase Fe contents and influence the expression of Fe-responsive genes (Zheng et al., 2009),

www.plantphysiol.org/cgi/doi/10.1104/pp.110.170209

Plant Physiology®, July 2011, Vol. 156, pp. 1101–1115, www.plantphysiol.org © 2011 American Society of Plant Biologists

¹ This work was supported by the Chinese Academy of Sciences (grant no. KSCX2–EW–N–01), the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (grant no. 2009CB118506), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 30825029 and 30921061).

^{*} Corresponding author; e-mail ccchu@genetics.ac.cn.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantphysiol.org) is: Chengcai Chu (ccchu@genetics.ac.cn).

^[W] The online version of this article contains Web-only data.

^[OA] Open Access articles can be viewed online without a subscription.

suggesting that enhanced capacity to absorb and scavenge Pi-complexing metals was an adaptive response to Pi starvation that could increase the availability of free Pi (Misson et al., 2005).

The Pi starvation signaling and regulatory system has been well studied in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana; Bari et al., 2006; Schachtman and Shin, 2007), demonstrating that PHR1, SIZ1, PHOSPHATE-RESPONSIVE2 (PHO2), and miR399 are major players. PHR1, a MYB transcription factor, is a key regulator of Pi starvation signaling. PHR1 overexpression in Arabidopsis led to excessive Pi accumulation in shoots and activation of Pi starvation-induced gene expression (Rubio et al., 2001; Nilsson et al., 2007). SIZ1 is a small plant ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) E3 ligase that controls PHR1 sumovlation (Miura et al., 2005). miR399, the target gene of PHR1, is specifically induced by Pi starvation (Fujii et al., 2005) and can negatively regulate PHO2 expression through mRNA degradation (Chiou et al., 2006). Both miR399 overexpression and mutations in PHO2 resulted in overaccumulation of Pi in Arabidopsis shoots (Fujii et al., 2005; Chiou et al., 2006). Expression of several Pi starvation-induced genes such as Pht1;8, Pht1;9, AtIPS1, and AT4 was increased in pho2 mutants even under Pi-sufficient conditions, suggesting that PHO2 is involved in the regulation of Pi starvation responses (Bari et al., 2006).

Rice productivity is seriously affected by Pi availability, but the Pi starvation signaling pathway is still largely unknown in rice. Zhou et al. (2008) proposed that *OsPHR2*, the homolog of *AtPHR1*, is a significant regulator involved in Pi starvation signaling in rice. Wang et al. (2009) and Liu et al. (2010) further showed that *OsSPX1* is involved in Pi homeostasis and suppresses the function of *OsPHR2* in the regulation of *OsPT2* expression. *OsPHO2*, the putative homolog of *AtPHO2*, has been shown to be involved in the Pi starvation signaling pathway mediated by *OsSPX1*-*OsPHR2* (Bari et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010). However, systematic work focusing on OsPHO2 protein function in the regulation of Pi starvation responses is still lacking. In this work, we identified a rice mutant that displayed leaf tip necrosis. Mapbased cloning revealed that LEAVE TIP NECROSIS1 (LTN1) encodes a protein containing a ubiquitinconjugating domain and is the AtPHO2 homolog (OsPHO2) in rice. The *ltn1* mutant showed excessive Pi accumulation in shoots, which was caused by improved Pi uptake in the roots and increased Pi translocation from roots to shoots. The increased Pi uptake and transport in *ltn1* was associated with increased expression of PHT genes. LTN1 was also involved in Pi-dependent root architecture alteration under Pideficient conditions. In addition to LTN1 participating in multiple Pi starvation responses, analysis of transgenic plants overexpressing OsmiR399 provided direct evidence that LTN1 was down-regulated by OsmiR399. All these results indicated that LTN1 is a crucial component of Pi starvation signaling and involved in regulating multiple Pi starvation responses in rice.

RESULTS

LTN1, Encoding a Protein Containing a Ubiquitin-Conjugating Domain, Is Responsible for Pi Overaccumulation in the *ltn1* Mutant

The *ltn1* mutant was identified from a large-scale screening of our rice mutant population (Ma et al., 2009), which displayed leaf tip necrosis predominantly in mature leaves (Fig. 1, A and B). Significant alterations were also observed in *ltn1* agronomic traits such as plant height, tiller number, and fertility (Fig. 1, C–F). *LTN1* was initially mapped to rice chromosome 5 between markers M1 and M3 and further narrowed down to a 54-kb region between markers M6 and M8 (Fig. 2A). Within this 54-kb interval, eight predicted open reading frames (ORFs) were found (Fig. 2B).

Figure 1. Phenotypes of field-grown wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants. A and B, Growth performance of whole plants (A) and leaf tip necrosis of *ltn1* mutant and wild-type (WT) plants (B) grown in the field for 3 months. Bars = 20 cm in A and 1 cm in B. C to F, Agronomic traits (plant height, tiller number, 1,000-seed weight, and fertility) of wild-type (black bars) and *ltn1* mutant (gray bars) plants. Error bars indicate sp (n = 15). Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants as determined by Student's *t* test analysis: * 0.01 $\leq P < 0.05$, ** P < 0.01.





Figure 2. Map-based cloning of the *LTN1* gene. A, Preliminary and fine mapping. The *LTN1* locus was preliminarily mapped to rice chromosome 5 (Chr 5) between markers M1 and M3. The gene was further delimited to a 54-kb genomic region between markers M6 and M8 within the bacterial artificial chromosome clone AC104709. The number of recombinants is marked corresponding to the molecular markers. B, The 54-kb interval has eight predicted ORFs, and the candidate gene is marked in red. C, *LTN1* gene structure. Gray shading, untranslated region; black shading, ORF region; triangle, *Tos17* transposon insertion. D, Pi concentration in 2-week-old seedlings of wild-type (WT; black bars) and *ltn1* mutant (gray bars) plants grown under Pi-sufficient conditions (16 mg L⁻¹). FW, Fresh weight. Error bars indicate sp (*n* = 5). Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants as determined by Student's *t* test: ** *P* < 0.01. E, Growth performance of wild-type, *ltn1* mutant, and *ltn1*-complemented (*ltn1*-c) plants grown in the field for 3 months. Bar = 20 cm. F, Leaf Pi concentration in 3-month-old field-grown wild-type (black bar), *ltn1* mutant (gray bar), and *ltn1*-complemented (dark gray bar) plants. Error bars indicate sp (*n* = 5).

Sequence analysis demonstrated that a Tos17 transposon had inserted in LOC_Os05g48390, and no transcript of LOC_Os05g48390 was detected in the *ltn1* mutant (Supplemental Fig. S1). Thus, LOC_ *Os05g48390* was a good candidate for the *LTN1* gene. LTN1 was 7,946 bp long, contained 11 exons (Fig. 2C), and its 2,631-bp ORF encoded a predicted protein of 876 amino acids. LTN1 shared 58% amino acid sequence identity with Arabidopsis PHO2, which contains a ubiquitin-conjugating domain at the C terminus (Supplemental Fig. S2). LOC_Os05g48390 was previously proposed to be OsPHO2, the putative AtPHO2 homolog in rice (Bari et al., 2006), which is consistent with our results. Analysis of Pi contents revealed that Pi accumulated excessively in shoots of the *ltn1* mutant (Fig. 2D), further demonstrating that LTN1 is the functional homolog of AtPHO2. To determine whether an excess of Pi was responsible for the leaf tip necrosis phenotype, wild-type plants were treated with different levels of Pi. When treated with extremely high amounts of Pi, wild-type plants also displayed excessive Pi accumulation and leaf tip necrosis (Supplemental Fig. S3). Furthermore, the de-

Plant Physiol. Vol. 156, 2011

gree of leaf necrosis in the *ltn1* mutant was significantly lower under low-Pi conditions (Supplemental Fig. S4). Thus, excessive Pi accumulation leading to toxicity in the shoots was the probable reason for leaf tip necrosis in the *ltn1* mutant. To further confirm that the *LTN1* mutation was responsible for the *ltn1* mutant phenotypes, genetic complementation was carried out by introducing a 9.4-kb LOC_Os05g48390 genomic fragment into the *ltn1* mutant. Leaf tip necrosis and growth defects of the complemented *ltn1* mutant were rescued (Fig. 2E), and leaf Pi contents decreased to wild-type levels (Fig. 2F), demonstrating that the insertion mutation in LTN1 was responsible for the Pi overaccumulation of the ltn1mutant. Taken together, these results indicated that LTN1 is the functional homolog of AtPHO2 in rice and is involved in the regulation of shoot Pi accumulation.

LTN1 Expression Profiling

To investigate the *LTN1* expression profile, total RNA was extracted from roots, culms, leaf blades, leaf sheaths, and inflorescences, and quantitative re-

verse transcription (qRT)-PCR analyses were performed. LTN1 was ubiquitously expressed in all tissues, with relatively higher levels in roots (Fig. 3A). To determine the spatial expression pattern of LTN1, a 4.7-kb fragment upstream of the LTN1 ATG was fused to the GUS reporter gene and transformed into wildtype rice. Histochemical analysis of LTN1_{PRO}:GUS transgenic plants revealed that GUS activity was preferentially detected in the vascular tissues of all tissues examined, such as roots, culms, leaves, and grain husks (Fig. 3, B-G). The highest GUS activity was detected in roots, which was consistent with the qRT-PCR analysis. Pi is mainly acquired by roots and transported through vascular tissues, coinciding with LTN1 expression, suggesting that the LTN1 protein is involved in Pi uptake and transport.

The *ltn1* Mutant Exhibits Increased Uptake and Translocation of Pi and Impaired Pi Remobilization

A Pi uptake experiment was performed to explore whether Pi overaccumulation in *ltn1* shoots was due to increased Pi uptake and translocation from roots to



Figure 3. *LTN1* expression profile. A, Relative *LTN1* expression levels in the roots, culms, leaf sheaths, leaf blades, and inflorescences. Error bars represent sD (n = 3). B to G, GUS staining of *LTN1*_{PRO}::GUS transgenic plant roots (B–D), culms (E), leaf blades (F), and grain husks (G), showing cross-sections in D, E, and F. Bars = 5 mm (B), 1 mm (C–F), and 2 mm (G).

shoots. *ltn1* roots exhibited higher [³²P]Pi uptake activity when cultured in hydroponic culture containing [³²P]orthophosphate (Fig. 4A). The [³²P]Pi shoot-root ratio was greater in *ltn1* mutant than in wild-type plants, indicating that Pi translocation from roots to shoots was also increased in the *ltn1* mutant (Fig. 4, B and C). Therefore, increased Pi uptake in roots and Pi transport from roots to shoots led to Pi overaccumulation in *ltn1* shoots. In wild-type plants, the Pi contents in older leaves decreased over time when Pi was removed from the culture medium (Fig. 4D). However, no reduction in Pi levels was observed during Pi deficiency in the *ltn1* mutant (Fig. 4D), suggesting that Pi remobilization out of *ltn1* leaves was impaired. Pi contents were further analyzed in roots of *ltn1* mutant and wild-type plants under Pi starvation conditions. In wild-type plants, Pi contents initially decreased and then recovered (Fig. 4E), suggesting that Pi can be retranslocated from shoots to roots to compensate for the loss of Pi under Pi starvation conditions. In contrast, Pi contents in *ltn1* roots kept decreasing at all the time points analyzed (Fig. 4E), indicating that Pi retranslocation from shoots to roots was also impaired in the *ltn1* mutant. In addition, the Pi distribution pattern in aerial plant parts was also altered in the mutant. In wild-type plants, Pi levels were highest in culms, followed by leaf sheaths, leaf blades, and inflorescences. In contrast, the highest Pi accumulation in the *ltn1* mutant occurred in leaf blades, then leaf sheaths, culms, and inflorescences (Supplemental Fig. S5). These observations revealed that the Pi distribution pattern was altered in *ltn1* aerial parts, suggesting that Pi transport into the leaf blades was enhanced during Pi distribution in the *ltn1* shoots.

To determine whether the improved Pi uptake and transport in *ltn1* were associated with Pi transporters, the expression of five members (*OsPT1*, *OsPT2*, *OsPT4*, *OsPT6*, and *OsPT8*) of rice high-affinity phosphate transporters (PHTs) was examined by qRT-PCR analysis. Under Pi-sufficient conditions, the transcript levels of four *PHT* members (*OsPT1*, *OsPT2*, *OsPT4*, and *OsPT8*) were increased significantly in *ltn1* roots (Fig. 5A), whereas in shoots, only *OsPT8* was upregulated and *OsPT6* was dramatically repressed (Fig. 5B). These results suggest that enhanced Pi uptake and transport in *ltn1* roots probably correlated with upregulation of *OsPT1*, *OsPT2*, *OsPT4*, and *OsPT8* and that repression of *OsPT6* resulted in impaired Pi distribution and mobilization in the shoot.

LTN1 Is Involved in Root Architecture Alteration under Pi Starvation Conditions

Pi starvation can alter root architecture, as indicated by the elongation of primary and adventitious roots in rice (Wissuwa, 2003; Yi et al., 2005). To investigate whether *LTN1* is involved in root architecture alteration in response to Pi starvation, plants were grown under Pi-sufficient (16 mg L^{-1}) or Pi-deficient (0 mg L^{-1}) hydroponic culture conditions. Under





Figure 4. Pi uptake and remobilization analysis in wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants. A, $[^{32}P]Pi$ uptake activity in roots of wild-type (WT; black diamonds) and *ltn1* mutant (gray squares) plants. FW, Fresh weight. Error bars indicate sD (n = 3). B, Shoot-to-root ratios of the $[^{32}P]Pi$ taken up by wild-type (black bars) and *ltn1* mutant (gray bars) plants from A. Error bars indicate sD (n = 3). C, Autoradiograph of $[^{32}P]Pi$ taken up by wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants. D and E, Pi concentration alteration in the fourth leaf (D) or roots (E) of wildtype (black diamonds) and *ltn1* mutant (gray squares) plants after Pi cutoff. Error bars indicate sD (n = 5).

Pi-sufficient conditions, no significant difference was observed in root architecture between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants (Fig. 6A). However, under Pi-deficient conditions, the elongation of primary and adventitious roots was significantly enhanced in *ltn1* mutant compared with wild-type plants (Fig. 6, B–D), indicating that the *ltn1* mutant is more sensitive to Pi starvation. Consistently, Pi contents in *ltn1* roots also

ltn1

WT

Α

decreased significantly compared with wild-type plants under Pi-deficient conditions (Fig. 6E). Thus, the hypersensitivity of the *ltn1* mutant to Pi starvation might result from very low Pi contents in the roots under Pi starvation. These results suggested that *LTN1* is involved in Pi-dependent root architecture alteration, possibly by affecting the Pi contents in roots under Pi starvation conditions.

Figure 5. qRT-PCR expression analysis of five members of the rice *PHT* gene family in roots (A) and shoots (B) of wild-type (WT; black bars) and *ltn1* mutant (gray bars) plants under Pi-sufficient conditions (16 mg L⁻¹). Error bars indicate sp (n = 3). Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants as determined by Student's *t* test analysis: * 0.01 $\leq P < 0.05$, ** P < 0.01.





Figure 6. Effect of Pi availability on root architecture in wild-type and Itn1 mutant plants. A and B, Root performance of 10-d-old seedlings of wild-type (WT) and *ltn1* mutant plants under Pi-sufficient (HP; 16 mg L^{-1} ; A) or Pi-deficient (NP; 0 mg L^{-1} ; B) conditions. Bars = 1 cm. C and D, The length of primary roots (C) and the three longest adventitious roots (D) of wild-type (black bars) and *ltn1* mutant (gray bars) plants under Pi-sufficient (16 mg L^{-1}) or Pi-deficient (0 mg L^{-1}) conditions. Error bars indicate sp (n = 10). Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants under the same growth conditions as determined by Student's t test analysis: ** P < 0.01. E, Pi contents in roots of wild-type (black bars) and Itn1 mutant (gray bars) plants under Pi-sufficient (16 mg L⁻¹) or Pi-deficient (0 mg L^{-1}) conditions. FW, Fresh weight. Error bars indicate sp (n = 3). The asterisk indicates the significance of the difference between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants under the same growth conditions as determined by Student's *t* test analysis: * $0.01 \le P < 0.05$.

LTN1 Is Involved in Regulating Acid Phosphatase and RNase Activities

The stimulation of acid phosphatases and ribonucleases is a typical Pi starvation response in plants. To examine whether *LTN1* was involved in this process, the intracellular acid phosphatase and RNase activities were compared between *ltn1* mutant and wild-type plants. The activity of acid phosphatases and ribonucleases in shoots showed no significant difference between *ltn1* mutant and wild-type plants (Supplemental Fig. S6). However, the activity of both types of enzymes in *ltn1* roots was much higher than in wildtype plants (Fig. 7, A and B). Consistently, genes coding for Purple Acid Phosphatase10 (PAP10), RNase PD2, and S1/P1 nuclease were also up-regulated in *ltn1* roots under Pi-sufficient conditions (Fig. 7C). These results demonstrated that *ltn1* mutant plants can stimulate acid phosphatases and ribonucleases through activating the expression of related genes in roots.

A Mutation in *LTN1* Activates Multiple Metabolic Responses to Pi Starvation

Lipid Composition Is Altered in the ltn1 Mutant

To investigate whether LTN1 regulates lipid metabolism, the lipid composition of *ltn1* mutant and wildtype plants was analyzed. Total lipids were extracted from roots and shoots and separated by thin-layer chromatography (TLC). Two major phospholipids, phosphatidylcholine (PC) and phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), were decreased, while a galactolipid, digalactosyl diacylglycerol (DGDG), was increased in ltn1 roots (Fig. 8A). The same result was observed in roots of wild-type plants under Pi-deficient conditions (Fig. 8A). These results were further confirmed by analyzing the relative percentage of each lipid on the TLC plates (Table I). However, in *ltn1* shoots, no alteration in lipid composition was seen compared with wildtype plants (Supplemental Fig. S7). Moreover, the genes coding for phospholipase C and diacylglycerol galactosyltransferase, which are responsible for phospholipid degradation and galactolipid synthesis, respectively, were up-regulated in *ltn1* roots under Pi-sufficient conditions (Fig. 8B). These results indicate that the mutation in LTN1 triggered Pi starvation-responsive lipid composition alteration via up-regulation of genes coding for phospholipid degradation and galactolipid synthesis in roots.

Nitrate Assimilation Is Repressed in the ltn1 Mutant

Pi deprivation increases nitrate accumulation in bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) roots and reduces nitrate levels in shoots, possibly by reducing both nitrate reductase activity in roots and nitrate transport from roots to shoots (Gniazdowska and Rychter, 2000). Similarly, rice also had increased nitrate levels in roots and decreased levels in shoots under Pi-deficient conditions (Fig. 9A). The nitrate contents were further analyzed in roots and shoots of the *ltn1* mutant under Pi-sufficient conditions. The result showed that nitrate contents were increased in roots and decreased dramatically in shoots of the *ltn1* mutant, with an overall decrease of total nitrate levels (Fig. 9B), which resembled the response of wild-type plants under Pi starvation conditions (Fig. 9A). The genes encoding a nitrate transporter and a nitrate reductase were repressed dramatically in *ltn1* roots under Pi-sufficient conditions (Fig. 9C), indicating that the repression in nitrate assimilation in the *ltn1* mutant probably resulted from reduced nitrate transport and nitrate reductase activity. These results demonstrated that a mutation in LTN1 might repress nitrate assimilation by affecting nitrate transport and nitrate reductase activity in roots.



Figure 7. Analysis of acid phosphatase and RNase activities in wildtype and *ltn1* mutant plants. A and B, Analysis of acid phosphatase (A) and RNase (B) activities in roots of wild-type (WT) and *ltn1* mutant plants under Pi-sufficient (+Pi; 16 mg L⁻¹) or Pi-deficient (-Pi; 0 mg L⁻¹) conditions. C, Relative qRT-PCR expression analysis of genes encoding rice acid phosphatase (OsPAP10) and two nucleases (RNase PD2 and S1/N1 nuclease) in roots of wild-type (black bars) and *ltn1* mutant (gray bars) plants under Pi-sufficient conditions (16 mg L⁻¹). Error bars indicate sp (n = 3). Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants as determined by Student's *t* test analysis: * 0.01 $\leq P < 0.05$, ** P < 0.01.

Total Fe Contents Are Increased in the ltn1 Mutant

Total Fe contents were increased significantly in roots of the *ltn1* mutant compared with wild-type plants under Pi-sufficient conditions, but not in *ltn1* shoots (Fig. 10, A and B). In the *ltn1* mutant, Feresponsive genes such as *OsNAS1*, *OsNAS2*, *OsIRT1*, and *OsYSL15* were also activated dramatically in roots under Pi-sufficient conditions (Fig. 10C), which correlated with increased Fe accumulation. These observations demonstrated that a mutation in *LTN1* up-regulates

Fe assimilation in rice, which activates Fe-responsive genes.

LTN1 Is Down-Regulated by OsmiR399

It was previously shown that four members (a, d, f, and j) of OsmiR399 were induced by Pi starvation (Bari et al., 2006). Five OsmiR399 binding sites were identified in the 5' untranslated region of LTN1 (OsPHO2), suggesting that LTN1 may be down-regulated by OsmiR399. To confirm this, DNA fragments of OsmiR399f and OsmiR399j precursors under the control of the rice ACTIN1 promoter were constructed and transformed into wild-type rice. The transgenic plants constitutively expressing OsmiR399 displayed leaf tip necrosis and had other ltn1 mutant phenotypes (Fig. 11A). Pi levels increased in almost all the transgenic plants. Four transgenic lines (fox-1, fox-8, jox-6, and jox-8) were selected for further analysis. While leaf Pi concentration increased significantly (Fig. 11B), LTN1 transcript levels decreased dramatically in both roots and leaves under Pi-sufficient conditions (Fig. 11C). This indicated that overexpression of OsmiR399 (f and j) down-regulated LTN1 by degrading its transcript, which resulted in overaccumulation of Pi in rice leaves and a leaf tip necrosis phenotype. These results provided strong evidence that LTN1 is involved in Pi starvation signaling and functions downstream of OsmiR399 in rice.



Figure 8. Lipid composition analysis in wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants. A, TLC analysis of lipid composition in roots of wild-type (WT) and *ltn1* mutant plants under Pi-sufficient (+Pi; 16 mg L⁻¹) or Pi-deficient (-Pi; 0 mg L⁻¹) conditions. B, qRT-PCR expression analysis of genes encoding phospholipase C (PLC) and diacylglycerol galactosyltransferase (DGGT) in roots of wild-type (black bars) and *ltn1* mutant (gray bars) plants under Pi-sufficient conditions (16 mg L⁻¹). Error bars indicate sp (n = 3). Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants as determined by Student's *t* test analysis: * 0.01 $\leq P < 0.05$, ** P < 0.01.

 Table I. Quantitative analysis of the relative percentage of lipid bands on the TLC plates (Fig. 8A) using ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/index.html) software

The data are means \pm sD (n = 3). Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants under the same growth conditions as determined by Student's *t* test: * 0.01 $\leq P < 0.05$, ** P < 0.01.

Lipid	+Pi		-Pi	
	Wild Type	ltn1	Wild Type	ltn1
DGDG	8.17 ± 2.15	14.05 ± 1.38*	11.96 ± 2.32	65.81 ± 5.85**
PE	35.91 ± 3.28	$25.27 \pm 4.23^*$	24.87 ± 3.01	$13.93 \pm 3.96^*$
PC	41.92 ± 3.33	$24.21 \pm 4.54^*$	21.06 ± 0.75	$12.80 \pm 0.45^{**}$

DISCUSSION

A Mutation in *LTN1* Results in Overaccumulation of Pi in Shoots

In Arabidopsis, mutations in PHO2 led to increased Pi uptake and transport and Pi overaccumulation in shoots (Dong et al., 1998; Aung et al., 2006), implying that PHO2 plays a pivotal role in regulating Pi uptake and transport. Hence, the investigation of PHO2 function in rice will provide useful information to develop improved crops with enhanced efficiency of Pi use. In this work, we identified the rice mutant *ltn1*, which showed leaf tip necrosis and growth defects in most agronomic traits. Map-based cloning identified LTN1 as LOC_Os05g48390, which encodes a ubiquitinconjugating domain-containing protein with 58% amino acid sequence identity to Arabidopsis PHO2. Based on the conserved gene structure, Bari et al. (2006) previously proposed that the putative rice homolog of AtPHO2 was LOC_Os05g48390, which is consistent with our results. The *ltn1* mutant also overaccumulated Pi in the shoots, implying that LTN1 and AtPHO2 proteins have conserved functions in regulating Pi uptake and transport. Genetic complementation further confirmed that the mutation in *LTN1* was responsible for Pi overaccumulation in *ltn1* shoots. Thus, *LTN1* is the functional homolog of *AtPHO2* and is involved in the regulation of Pi accumulation in rice.

LTN1 Regulates Pi Uptake and Transport by Controlling Expression of *PHT* Genes

Pi is acquired by roots and then transported through vascular tissues to the shoots (Schachtman et al., 1998). Expression analysis of *LTN1* revealed that the gene was expressed more highly in roots than in other organs. Promoter::*GUS* analysis further showed that the *LTN1* promoter was predominantly active in the vascular tissues of all organs tested. This expression pattern suggests that *LTN1* may participate in Pi uptake and transport. Consistently, Pi uptake experiments with [³²P]Pi showed that the *ltn1* mutant had greater [³²P]Pi uptake activity in roots and could translocate more [³²P]Pi from roots to shoots. These



Figure 9. Nitrate contents and nitrate-related gene expression analysis in wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants. A, Nitrate concentration in roots (black bars) and shoots (gray bars) of wild-type plants under Pi-sufficient (HP; 16 mg L⁻¹) or Pi-deficient (NP; 0 mg L⁻¹) conditions. Error bars indicate sp (n = 3). DW, Dry weight. Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type plants grown under HP and NP conditions as determined by Student's *t* test analysis: ** P < 0.01. B, Nitrate concentration in roots (black bars) and shoots (gray bars) of wild-type (WT) and *ltn1* mutant plants under Pi-sufficient conditions (16 mg L⁻¹). Error bars indicate sp (n = 3). Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants as determined by Student's *t* test analysis: * $0.01 \le P < 0.05$, ** P < 0.01. C, qRT-PCR expression analysis of nitrate transporter (NT) and nitrate reductase (NR) genes in roots of wild-type (black bars) and *ltn1* mutant (gray bars) plants under Pi-sufficient conditions (16 mg L⁻¹). Error bars indicate sp (n = 3). Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant (gray bars) plants under Pi-sufficient conditions (16 mg L⁻¹). Error bars indicate sp (n = 3). Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant (gray bars) plants under Pi-sufficient conditions (16 mg L⁻¹). Error bars indicate sp (n = 3). Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants as determined by Student's *t* test analysis: * n = 3. Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant (gray bars) plants under Pi-sufficient conditions (16 mg L⁻¹). Error bars indicate sp (n = 3). Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants as determined by Student's *t* test analysis: ** P < 0.01.



Figure 10. Fe contents and Fe-responsive gene expression in wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants. A and B, Total Fe contents in roots (A) and shoots (B) of wild-type (WT; black bars) and *ltn1* mutant (gray bars) plants under Pi-sufficient conditions (16 mg L⁻¹). Error bars indicate sp (n = 3). DW, Dry weight. Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants as determined by Student's *t* test analysis: ** P < 0.01. C, Expression of Fe-responsive genes in roots of wild-type (black bars) and *ltn1* mutant (gray bars) plants under Pi-sufficient conditions (16 mg L⁻¹). Error bars indicate sp (n = 3). Asterisks indicate the significance of differences between wildtype and *ltn1* mutant plants as determined by Student's *t* test analysis: * $0.01 \le P < 0.05$, ** P < 0.01.

results indicate that both Pi uptake in roots and Pi translocation from roots to shoots were stimulated in the *ltn1* mutant, resulting in Pi overaccumulation in the mutant shoots. The mobile Pi molecule can be remobilized from old to young leaves and can be retranslocated from shoots to roots to compensate for the Pi decrease in roots under Pi deprivation (Mimura et al., 1996; Jeschke et al., 1997; Chiou et al., 2006). In wildtype plants, Pi contents in old leaves decreased over time during Pi deprivation, indicating that Pi can be mobilized out of the old tissues under Pi starvation. However, Pi mobilization was impaired in the ltn1 mutant, since a Pi decrease in old leaves was not observed during Pi starvation. In our experiment, Pi concentrations in old leaves of the *ltn1* mutant increased significantly at the last time point, which can be explained by a dramatic decrease in leaf fresh weight during senescence. Under Pi deficiency, Pi contents in the roots of wild-type plants initially decreased and then clearly recovered, which may be caused by Pi translocation from shoots to roots. But in the *ltn1* mutant, Pi contents in the roots kept decreasing over time during Pi starvation and no recovery was observed, suggesting that Pi translocation from shoots to roots was defective in the *ltn1* mutant. These results are consistent with the observed effects of the *pho2* mutant in Arabidopsis, indicating that the function of PHO2 proteins in regulating Pi uptake and transport is highly conserved between Arabidopsis and rice.

Pi is first acquired by roots and then transported to and distributed in shoots (Schachtman et al., 1998). Analysis of Pi contents in different organs revealed



Figure 11. Phenotypical analysis, Pi contents, and *LTN1* expression in wild-type and OsmiR399-overexpressing transgenic plants. A, Phenotypes of wild-type (WT), OsmiR399f-overexpressing (fox-1), and OsmiR399j-overexpressing (jox-6) plants grown in the greenhouse for 2 months under Pi-sufficient conditions. Bar = 20 cm. B, Leaf Pi concentration in wild-type, OsmiR399f-overexpressing transgenic (fox-1 and fox-8), and OsmiR399j-overexpressing transgenic (jox-6 and jox-8) plants grown in the greenhouse for 2 months under Pi-sufficient conditions. FW, Fresh weight. Error bars indicate sD (n = 3). C, Relative *LTN1* expression in roots (black bars) and leaves (gray bars) of wild-type, OsmiR399f-overexpressing, and OsmiR399j-overexpression 2-week-old seedlings under Pi-sufficient conditions (16 mg L⁻¹). Error bars indicate sD (n = 3).

that Pi distribution in shoots was also altered in the *ltn1* mutant. In wild-type plants at the flowering stage, the culms contained the highest amount of Pi, followed by leaf sheaths, leaf blades, and inflorescences, whereas in the *ltn1* mutant, all shoot organs showed increased Pi accumulation, with the most dramatic Pi overaccumulation in leaf blades. Pi transport into leaf blades seemed to be enhanced during Pi distribution in *ltn1* shoots, suggesting that *LTN1* plays a significant role in regulating Pi distribution in the shoots.

Pi transporters are directly responsible for Pi acquisition and transport in plants (Harrison et al., 2002; Misson et al., 2004; Seo et al., 2008), and plants generally up-regulate the expression of Pi transporters to enhance Pi uptake and transport efficiency under Pi starvation (Liu et al., 1998; Karthikeyan et al., 2002; Xiao et al., 2006). To determine if the increased Pi uptake and transport in the *ltn1* mutant was dependent on the Pi transporter system, the expression of five members of the *PHT* gene family was compared between *ltn1* mutant and wild-type plants. Under Pi-sufficient conditions, OsPT1, OsPT2, OsPT4, and OsPT8 were significantly induced in ltn1 roots compared with wild-type plants, whereas OsPT6 was dramatically repressed in *ltn1* shoots. The differential response of PHT genes between roots and shoots in *ltn1* was associated with Pi uptake and transport in the ltn1 mutant. Increased expression of OsPT1, OsPT2, OsPT4, and OsPT8 in roots may be responsible for the greater Pi uptake activity in roots and Pi transport from roots to shoots in the *ltn1* mutant. The dramatic repression of OsPT6 in shoots may lead to defective Pi distribution and mobilization in *ltn1* shoots. Taken together, these results strongly demonstrate that LTN1 is involved in the regulation of Pi acquisition and transport by controlling the expression of *PHT* genes.

LTN1 Is Involved in Root Architecture Alteration under Pi Starvation

Root architecture alteration is one of the most important adaptive responses of plants to Pi starvation. In Arabidopsis, low Pi availability can increase lateral root density and length and reduce primary root growth mediated by reduced cell elongation (Williamson et al., 2001; López-Bucio et al., 2002). By characterization of the low phosphorus insensitive (lpi) mutants, Sánchez-Calderón et al. (2006) provided genetic support that phosphorus is an important signal to regulate root development and showed that LPI1 and LPI2 are required for Pi starvation-responsive root architecture alteration in Arabidopsis. Svistoonoff et al. (2007) also showed that LPR1 and LPR2, which encode multicopper oxidases, are involved in Pi starvation-triggered primary root inhibition. More recently, Pérez-Torres et al. (2008) demonstrated that lateral root development in response to Pi starvation is mediated by modulating auxin sensitivity via the auxin receptor TIR1, further revealing the molecular mechanism of lateral root development alteration in response to Pi starvation in Arabidopsis. Although Pi starvation-induced root architecture alteration has been well studied in Arabidopsis, it is far from well understood in rice. Different from Arabidopsis, both primary and adventitious root elongation is a typical root architecture alteration in response to Pi deprivation in rice (Wissuwa, 2003; Yi et al., 2005). To investigate whether LTN1 is involved in this process, we analyzed the effect of Pi availability on root architecture alteration in wildtype and *ltn1* mutant plants. Under Pi-sufficient conditions, no significant difference in root architecture was observed between wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants. However, the elongation of primary and adventitious roots was significantly enhanced in the ltn1 mutant under Pi starvation, which indicated that the *ltn1* mutant is hypersensitive to Pi starvation. Consistently, Pi contents in *ltn1* roots were much lower than in wild-type plants under Pi starvation conditions. The hypersensitivity of the *ltn1* mutant to Pi starvation may be due to a more severe Pi starvation signal resulting from a lower Pi concentration in ltn1 roots under Pi deprivation. Root architecture alteration in the *ltn1* mutant was only observed under Pi starvation conditions, which differs from the constitutive activation of other Pi starvation responses even in Pi-sufficient conditions in the *ltn1* mutant. Possibly, LTN1 regulates Pi-dependent root architecture alteration indirectly by affecting the Pi concentration in roots under Pi starvation conditions.

LTN1 Is Crucial in Regulating Multiple Pi Starvation Responses in Rice Roots

Plants have evolved multiple strategies to increase Pi availability under low-Pi stress. These adaptive strategies include root morphology alteration, Pi transporter induction, acid phosphatase and nuclease production, and complex metabolic adjustments (Raghothama, 1999; Wasaki et al., 2003; Misson et al., 2005). Loss of function of *LTN1* led to induction of *PHT* genes in *ltn1* roots even under Pi-sufficient conditions, suggesting that a mutation in *LTN1* probably mimics a Pi starvation signal and triggers the Pi starvation response. Thus, we further investigated whether *LTN1* was a specific regulator only involved in Pi uptake and transport or a general regulator involved in regulating multiple Pi starvation responses.

Production of acid phosphatases and nucleases enables plants to release Pi from organic compounds under low-Pi conditions (Raghothama, 1999; Abel et al., 2002). Thus, the induction of acid phosphatases and nucleases is a significant adaptive response for plants under Pi deprivation. In our experiment, we found that the activity of both acid phosphatases and ribonucleases was increased significantly in *ltn1* roots compared with wild-type plants under Pi-sufficient conditions. The induction of acid phosphatases and ribonucleases was also observed in Pi-deprived wildtype plants, but to a much lower extent than in *ltn1* plants. This phenomenon may suggest that the stim-

ulation of acid phosphatases and ribonucleases depends on the repression extent of LTN1. Genes encoding a rice acid phosphatase (OsPAP10) and two ribonucleases (RNase PD2 and S1/P1 nuclease) were also up-regulated, implying that LTN1 regulates the activity of acid phosphatases and ribonucleases through activating the expression of related genes in roots. This result correlates with the transcriptional response of OsPAP10 to Pi limitation (Zhou et al., 2008), suggesting that OsPAP10 is an important acid phosphatase in response to Pi starvation in rice. However, the functional RNase genes that are responsive to Pi starvation have not yet been reported in rice. Based on the significant increase in RNase activity and the up-regulation of two RNase genes in *ltn1* roots, it is reasonable to propose that RNase PD2 and S1/P1 nuclease may be the functional ribonucleases involved in the Pi starvation response in rice.

Phosphorus is one of the most important mineral nutrients and participates in multiple metabolic processes. Thus, Pi starvation stress will affect multiple metabolic processes, including lipid metabolism, nitrogen assimilation, metallic element acquisition, and glycolysis, in plants (Wasaki et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2003; Misson et al., 2005). Altering metabolism enhances Pi recycling and represses Pi consumption, which enables plants to more efficiently adapt to low-Pi stress. When plants experience Pi starvation stress, Pi can be recovered from phospholipids, which will be substituted with galactolipids or sulfolipids. Analysis of lipid composition showed that two major phospholipids, PC and PE, were decreased while DGDG was increased in *ltn1* roots compared with wild-type plants under Pi-sufficient conditions. This alteration is consistent with a modification of lipid composition induced by Pi starvation, indicating that LTN1 affects lipid composition in response to Pi starvation. In our experiment, the most dramatic alteration of lipid composition, indicated by PC and PE decrease and DGDG increase, occurred in *ltn1* roots under Pi-deficient conditions. This phenomenon may be caused by the more severe Pi starvation signal resulting from the lower Pi concentration in *ltn1* roots under Pi deprivation. In ltn1 roots, genes responsible for phospholipid degradation and galactolipid synthesis were up-regulated in Pi-sufficient conditions, implying that LTN1 alters lipid composition by regulating the expression of lipid metabolism genes.

Repression of nitrogen assimilation by Pi limitation allows plants to save more energy for Pi acquisition. In bean, Pi deprivation leads to increased root nitrate accumulation and suppresses shoot nitrate accumulation, possibly by repressing nitrate reductase activity and nitrate transport from roots to shoots (Gniazdowska and Rychter, 2000). A similar alteration in nitrate contents was observed in wild-type rice plants under Pi starvation conditions, indicating that rice also represses nitrate assimilation during Pi starvation. Our data further revealed that the nitrate contents in *ltn1* roots and shoots were, respectively, higher and much lower than in wild-type plants under Pisufficient conditions. These changes in ltn1 nitrate contents resemble the Pi starvation-triggered alteration of nitrate contents in wild-type plants, demonstrating that LTN1 participates in the regulation of nitrogen assimilation during Pi starvation. Nitrate is usually acquired by roots and then transported into the shoots or reduced into nitrite for further use. Genes encoding nitrate transporter and nitrate reductase were dramatically repressed in *ltn1* roots under Pisufficient conditions, implying that the altered nitrate contents in the *ltn1* mutant probably resulted from repression of both nitrate transport from roots to shoots and nitrate reductase activity. The nitrate transporter identified here probably plays a significant role in transporting nitrate from roots to shoots. Thus, nitrate transporter repression may lead to defective nitrate transport from roots to shoots and result in increased root nitrate contents and decreased shoot nitrate contents in the *ltn1* mutant. In addition, the dramatic nitrate reductase repression in roots affects the reduction of nitrate to nitrite and thereby also increases nitrate accumulation in *ltn1* roots.

Increase in metallic element acquisition is another adaptive response to Pi starvation in plants (Wasaki et al., 2003; Misson et al., 2005). An enhanced capacity to absorb and scavenge Pi-complexing metals is considered to be an adaptive response to Pi starvation that could increase free Pi availability (Misson et al., 2005). Pi deprivation leads to increased Fe contents and activation of Fe-responsive genes in rice (Zheng et al., 2009). Total Fe contents were also increased in both roots and shoots of *ltn1* mutants under Pisufficient conditions compared with wild-type plants. In association with the increased Fe contents in the *ltn1* mutant, several genes involved in Fe assimilation, such as OsNAS1, OsNAS2, OsIRT1, and OsYSL15, were activated in *ltn1* roots under Pi-sufficient conditions. These observations reveal that LTN1 loss of function mimics the Pi starvation signal and activates the expression of Fe-responsive genes to increase Fe acquisition. Although Fe contents were increased in both *ltn1* roots and shoots, Fe accumulation and up-regulation of Fe assimilation-related genes were much more significant in roots than in shoots, suggesting that LTN1regulated Fe acquisition occurs mainly in roots.

The acceleration of carbon supply for organic acid synthesis through glycolysis was also proposed to be enhanced in response to Pi starvation. It was demonstrated that the activities of glycolysis enzymes, such as phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC), phosphoenolpyruvate phosphatase, and pyruvate kinase (PK), are stimulated by Pi starvation in plants (Nanamori et al., 2004). To determine whether *LTN1* is involved in glycolysis, the expression of several glycolysis-related genes and PEPC and PK activities were analyzed. Although the expression of glycolysis-related genes and the activities of PEPC and PK seemed to be upregulated in *ltn1* roots, the changes were not significant (Supplemental Fig. S8; Supplemental Table S1). Possibly, the alteration of glycolysis during Pi starvation is not significant in rice, or glycolysis is indirectly regulated by *LTN1*.

By characterization of the *ltn1* mutant, we observed that most of the Pi starvation responses were activated even under Pi-sufficient conditions. Interestingly, the Pi starvation responses were mainly detected in *ltn1* roots, indicating that LTN1 has a distinct function in regulating Pi starvation responses between roots and shoots. LTN1 seems to be a negative regulator of Pi starvation responses only in roots, which correlates with the relatively high LTN1 expression levels in roots. Pi toxicity in shoots results in serious damage to *ltn1* growth and development, which probably interfered with the experimental results obtained from shoots. Thus, in this work, we mainly focused on the function of LTN1 in roots. Further work is needed to understand the function of LTN1 in shoots. Detailed analysis of LTN1-overexpressing plants will be helpful to elucidate the function of this gene in shoots.

Although in previous studies many genes were proposed to regulate multiple Pi starvation responses, the support for their regulatory functions was mainly inferred from expression analysis. We provide direct physiological evidence for Pi starvation responses in *ltn1* roots, clearly indicating that *LTN1* is involved in the regulation of multiple Pi starvation responses in roots. Additionally, the expression of related genes also correlates with the Pi starvation responses in *ltn1* roots, indicating that LTN1 can influence the expression of related genes at the transcriptional level to regulate Pi starvation responses. These results not only provide further insight into possible LTN1 function but also lead to the identification of downstream genes responsible for Pi starvation responses in rice. Further work using transgenic plants is needed to elucidate the function of those genes identified in this work.

LTN1 Works Downstream of OsmiR399

In Arabidopsis, Pi starvation-induced miR399 can degrade PHO2 mRNA, indicating that PHO2 is involved in Pi starvation signaling downstream of miR399 (Fujii et al., 2005; Bari et al., 2006; Chiou et al., 2006). Based on the conserved gene structure of OsPHO2 (LTN1) with AtPHO2 and the induction of OsmiR399 (OsmiR399a, OsmiR399d, OsmiR399f, and OsmiR399j) under Pi starvation in rice, the miR399 regulatory mechanism on PHO2 was proposed to be conserved between Arabidopsis and rice (Bari et al., 2006). To verify LTN1 repression by OsmiR399, OsmiR399f- and OsmiR399j-overexpressing transgenic plants were generated. LTN1 repression, overaccumulation of Pi, and Pi toxicity were observed in both OsmiR399f-overexpressing (fox-1 and fox-8) and OsmiR399j-overexpressing (jox-6 and jox-8) plants, strongly suggesting that LTN1 was negatively regulated by OsmiR399 at the mRNA level. Previous work has shown that OsmiR399 is involved in Pi starvation signaling in rice and is under the control of OsPHR2

(Zhou et al., 2008). Thus, these results demonstrate that *LTN1* functions downstream of OsmiR399 to mediate Pi starvation signaling in rice.

LTN1 Functions in Pi Starvation Signaling Transduction

As described above, a mutation in LTN1 results in typical Pi starvation responses such as up-regulation of PHT genes, induction of phosphatases and ribonucleases, and metabolic alterations, suggesting that this mutant mimics Pi starvation signaling and triggers multiple Pi starvation responses. LTN1 downregulation by Pi starvation-induced OsmiR399 further verifies that LTN1 is involved in Pi starvation signaling in rice. Based on these observations, we conclude that LTN1 is a significant component of Pi starvation signaling in rice and participates in the regulation of a wide range of Pi starvation responses. Under Pisufficient conditions, LTN1 probably functions as a negative regulator to repress the expression of Pi starvation-responsive genes. When Pi starvation stress occurs, OsmiR399 is induced and LTN1 mRNA is degraded by OsmiR399. LTN1 repression leads to the activation of Pi starvation-responsive genes and turns on Pi starvation responses. Recently, OsPHO2 (LTN1) was shown to be involved in Pi starvation signaling mediated by OsSPX1-OsPHR2, as indicated by a significant up-regulation of OsSPX1 in ospho2 roots (Wang et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010). The same results were also obtained with our *ltn1* mutant (Supplemental Fig. S9). Although the relationship between *OsPHO2* (*LTN1*) and OsSPX1-OsPHR2-OsPT2 explains Pi overaccumulation in the shoots of OsPHR2 overexpressing and OsSPX1 RNA interference plants and provides new insight into the Pi starvation signaling in rice, the detailed mechanisms of Pi overaccumulation in the ospho2 (ltn1) mutant and OsPHO2 (LTN1) protein function in the regulation of Pi starvation responses remain to be elucidated. The fact that enhanced Pi uptake and transport in the *ltn1* mutant correlated with up-regulation of OsPT1, OsPT2, OsPT4, and OsPT8 provides physiological and molecular evidence for the mechanism of Pi accumulation in the *ltn1* mutant. More importantly, besides Pi uptake and transport, LTN1 also participates in the regulation of a series of important Pi starvation responses, such as stimulation of phosphatase and RNase activities, lipid composition alteration, nitrogen assimilation repression, and increased metal uptake. Therefore, we can conclude that LTN1 is a pivotal regulator involved in multiple Pi starvation responses in rice.

However, there is still a big gap in explaining the correlation between the proposed *LTN1* gene function and the observed phenotypes of the *ltn1* mutant. *LTN1* encodes a ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2) that plays a key role in the ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation pathway, suggesting that the LTN1 protein probably functions as a negative regulator that can repress its target gene expression by protein degradation. Therefore, in *ltn1* plants, the degradation of LTN1 target proteins would be blocked because of the *LTN1*

mutation, resulting in LTN1 target protein activation. Possibly, LTN1 target proteins function as positive regulators that can directly up-regulate the expression of *PHT* genes and Pi starvation-responsive genes, which leads to excessive accumulation of Pi in shoots, leaf tip necrosis, and constitutive activation of multiple Pi starvation responses in the *ltn1* mutant. Thus, identification of LTN1 target proteins is necessary to explain how LTN1 works to regulate the downstream genes and the phenotype caused by the *LTN1* mutation. Since the regulation of Pi starvation responses by LTN1 occurs mainly by controlling the expression of related genes, we propose that the targets of LTN1 are most likely transcription factors that can activate the expression of *PHT* genes and Pi starvation-responsive genes. Moreover, the typical ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation pathway usually includes ubiquitin-activating enzymes (E1), ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes (E2), and ubiquitin ligases (E3). Whether LTN1 cooperates with E3 or works as an E2-E3 dual-function enzyme to mediate the degradation of the target protein remains to be determined. Further work, such as yeast twohybrid screening of LTN1 interaction proteins or ltn1 suppressor/high-Pi mutant screening, will be helpful to clarify these questions and contribute to the understanding of the Pi starvation signaling mediated by LTN1 in rice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

The rice (*Oryza sativa*) *ltn1* mutant and its parent cv Nipponbare were grown in the field or in a greenhouse with a 12-h day (30°C) and a 12-h night (24°C). For hydroponic culture, half-strength Hoagland medium was used. The Pi deprivation culture was half-strength modified Hoagland medium with the substitution of (NH₄)H₂PO₄ with NH₄NO₃. For Pi treatment, wild-type plants were first grown under normal half-strength Hoagland medium for 10 d after germination, then transferred to half-strength Hoagland medium supplemented with very high Pi concentrations (160 mg L⁻¹ or 320 mg L⁻¹). The hydroponic culture was adjusted to pH 6.0 with 1 m NaOH before use, and the medium was changed every 3 d. The hydroponic cultured seedlings of *ltn1* and wild-type Nipponbare were grown in an incubator with a 12-h-day (30°C)/12-h-night (28°C) photoperiod, approximately 200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ photon density, and approximately 70% humidity.

Map-Based Cloning of LTN1

The *ltn1* mutants were crossed with Minghui 63, an *indica* variety. Plants showing leaf tip necrosis in the F2 progeny were selected for the genetic linkage analysis. Preliminary mapping was performed with the molecular markers distributed throughout the rice genome (McCouch et al., 2002). The fine-mapping sequence-tagged site primers were designed according to the DNA sequences of *indica* and *japonica* (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Primers used in the fine mapping are listed in Supplemental Table S2.

Complementation of the *ltn1* Mutant

For complementation of the *ltn1* mutant, a 9.4-kb DNA fragment containing the entire *LTN1* gene was obtained by splicing together three DNA fragments from PCR amplifications. The primers used are listed in Supplemental Table S3. These three DNA fragments with overlapping sequences were individually inserted into the pMD18-T vector (Takara) to produce the 9.4-kb fragment. *XhoI*- and *KpnI*-recognizing sequences were added to the ends. The 9.4-kb fragment was digested with *XhoI* and *KpnI* and inserted into

the binary vector pCAMBIA2300 to generate the resulting binary vector. The resulting binary and empty pCAMBIA2300 vectors were introduced into the *ltn1* mutants using the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation method (Liu et al., 2007).

RNA Extraction, cDNA Preparation, and qRT-PCR

Total RNAs were extracted using the RNAVzol reagent (Vigorous). About 2 μ g of the total RNAs treated with DNase I was used to synthesize the first-strand cDNA with oligo(dT)₁₈ as primer. The product of first-strand cDNA was used as the template for the PCR. For qRT-PCR, SYBR Green I was added to the reaction system and run on a Chromo4 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The data were analyzed with Opticon monitor software (Bio-Rad). Three replicates were performed for each gene. Rice *ACTIN1* was used as the internal control in all analyses. The primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in Supplemental Table S4.

GUS Staining

Different parts from mature transgenic plants and seedlings were collected and used for histochemical detection of *GUS* expression. The collected samples were incubated in a solution containing 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 5 mM K₃Fe(CN)₆, 5 mM K₄Fe(CN)₆, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 1 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -glucuronic acid at 37°C (Jefferson, 1989). Images were taken directly or with a stereomicroscope (SZX16; Olympus).

Pi Concentration Determination and Pi Remobilization Assay

Pi measurement was carried out as described previously (Delhaize and Randall, 1995; Wang et al., 2009). For the Pi remobilization assay, seedlings were grown in half-strength Hoagland medium for 2 weeks and then transferred into the Pi deprivation conditions [half-strength Hoagland medium with the substitution of (NH₄)H₂PO₄ with NH₄NO₃]. The fourth leaves and the roots were collected and weighed every 4 d. The Pi contents of samples were measured and analyzed.

Pi Uptake Assay

Two-week-old seedlings grown in half-strength Hoagland medium were used for the Pi uptake assay. The uptake buffer was half-strength Hoagland solution with the addition of KH232PO4 (Fu Rui). The Pi uptake assay was performed according to Chiou et al. (2006). Four seedlings were pooled as a biological sample and incubated in the uptake buffer. After 1, 2, and 3 h, reactions were stopped by rinsing the seedlings 10 times with half-strength Hoagland solution to remove the KH2³²PO4. Root and shoot samples were weighed and lysed in 500 µL of 30% hydrogen peroxide and 200 µL of perchloric acid at 70°C for 1 h. Scintillation cocktail was added to 140 μ L of the mixture up to a final volume of 2 mL, and the radioactivity was measured by scintillation counting (Perkin-Elmer). Autoradiography was performed according to Ai et al. (2009). Rice seedlings were grown in 100 mL of halfstrength Hoagland medium containing 5 μCi of $K\bar{H}_2^{~32}PO_4$ for 24 h with a 16/ 8-h day/night cycle with temperature settings of 28°C/22°C. The seedlings were washed to get rid of the $KH_2^{32}PO_4$ on the surface and then dried with filter paper. Autoradiographs of the radioactive seedlings were developed using Kodak X-Omat film (http://www.kodak.com).

Assay of Intracellular Acid Phosphatase and RNase Activities

Analysis of intracellular acid phosphatase activity was done according to Trull and Deikman (1998). Briefly, protein was extracted with a native buffer (pH 7.8, 50 mm phosphate-buffered saline, 1 mm EDTA, 1% [w/v] polyvinyl-pyrrolidone, 1 mm phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and protease inhibitor cocktail) from roots of 2-week-old seedlings. Before electrophoresis, the protein concentration was measured by the method of Bradford (1976), using bovine serum albumin as a standard. The protein was separated by SDS-PAGE (9%) at 4°C. Gels were washed with cold distilled water to remove the SDS. Gels were then shaken in 50 mm sodium acetate (pH 5.5)/10 mm MgCl₂ twice

for 15 min. Finally, the gels were stained with Fast Black K salt and β -naphthyl acid phosphate. For analysis of RNase activity, protein extraction and measurement were carried out as described above, and enzyme assays were according to the protocol described by Yen and Green (1991).

TLC Lipid Composition Assay

Total lipids were extracted from roots and shoots as described previously by O'Brien and Benson (1964). Lipid extracts were assayed on silica gel TLC plates (Merck) with an acetone:toluene:water (91:30:7, v/v) solvent system. The lipids were visualized with iodine vapor and recognized by cochromatography with known standard lipid samples.

Assay of PEPC and PK Activities

PEPC and PK activities were analyzed using 2-week-old seedlings grown in half-strength Hoagland medium as described by Nanamori et al. (2004).

Nitrate Concentration Measurement

Nitrate concentration was measured using a colorimetric method (Cataldo et al., 1975). The roots and shoots of 2-week-old seedlings grown in half-strength Hoagland medium were collected and ground to a powder in liquid nitrogen. The samples were dried at 70°C for 48 h in an oven. Samples (100 mg) were suspended in 10 mL of deionized water and incubated at 45°C for 1 h. The suspension was centrifuged at 5,000g for 15 min. Supernatant (0.2 mL) and 0.8 mL of 5% (w/v) salicylic acid in concentrated H₂SO₄ were mixed together. After 20 min, 19 mL of 2 N NaOH was added slowly into the mixture to raise the pH above 12. Samples were cooled to room temperature, and the absorbance was determined at 410 nm. Nitrate concentration was calculated according to standards containing NO₃⁻¹.

Total Fe Content Measurement

Roots and shoots of 2-week-old seedlings grown in half-strength Hoagland medium were dried at 70°C for 48 h in an oven. Approximately 80-mg samples were digested with 13 mL of concentrated HNO_3 and 2 mL of 30% hydrogen peroxide at 140°C for 30 min. Digested solution volumes were made to 25 mL with deionized water. The total Fe contents were determined with an inductively coupled plasma spectrometer (Optima 2000 DV; PerkinElmer).

Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed using Excel software (Microsoft) for average values, sp., and Student's *t* test analysis.

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession numbers AU032431 (*LTN1*), AB052843.1 (*RNase PD2*), AK106059.1 (*S1/P1 nuclease*), AJ276277.2 (*PLC*), AK064148.1 (*DGGT*), AK068409 (*NT*), and AK102178 (*NR*).

Supplemental Data

- The following materials are available in the online version of this article.
- Supplemental Figure S1. LTN1 transcript detection in wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants using semiquantitative RT-PCR.
- Supplemental Figure S2. Protein alignment between Arabidopsis PHO2 and rice LTN1.
- Supplemental Figure S3. Leaf performance and Pi contents of wild-type plants grown under very high-Pi conditions.
- Supplemental Figure S4. Leaf performance and Pi contents of *ltn1* mutant plants grown under low-Pi conditions.
- Supplemental Figure S5. Pi distribution in different tissues of wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants.
- Supplemental Figure S6. Analysis of acid phosphatase and RNase activities in shoots of wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants.

- Supplemental Figure S7. TLC analysis of lipid composition in shoots of wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants.
- Supplemental Figure S8. Expression analysis of glycolysis-related genes in roots of wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants.
- Supplemental Figure S9. Expression analysis of OsSPX1 and OsPHR2 in wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants.
- Supplemental Table S1. Analysis of PEPC and PK activities in roots of wild-type and *ltn1* mutant plants.
- Supplemental Table S2. Primers used for map-based cloning.
- Supplemental Table S3. Primers used for the plasmid constructs.
- Supplemental Table S4. Primers used for qRT-PCR analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Prof. Huixia Shou for kindly providing the detailed method for Pi determination. We thank Prof. Hongqing Lin and Hongfei Liu for help in Fe content determination. We thank Dr. Michael Schläppi for editing the language of the manuscript.

Received December 7, 2010; accepted January 24, 2011; published February 11, 2011.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abel S, Ticconi CA, Delatorre CA (2002) Phosphate sensing in higher plants. Physiol Plant 115: 1–8
- Ai P, Sun S, Zhao J, Fan X, Xin W, Guo Q, Yu L, Shen Q, Wu P, Miller AJ, et al (2009) Two rice phosphate transporters, OsPht1;2 and OsPht1;6, have different functions and kinetic properties in uptake and translocation. Plant J 57: 798–809
- Aung K, Lin SI, Wu CC, Huang YT, Su CL, Chiou TJ (2006) pho2, a phosphate overaccumulator, is caused by a nonsense mutation in a microRNA399 target gene. Plant Physiol 141: 1000–1011
- Bari R, Datt Pant B, Stitt M, Scheible WR (2006) PHO2, microRNA399, and PHR1 define a phosphate-signaling pathway in plants. Plant Physiol 141: 988–999
- Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem 72: 248–254
- Cataldo D, Maroon M, Schrader L, Youngs V (1975) Rapid colorimetric determination of nitrate in plant tissue by nitration of salicylic acid. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 6: 71–80
- Chiou TJ, Aung K, Lin SI, Wu CC, Chiang SF, Su CL (2006) Regulation of phosphate homeostasis by microRNA in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 18: 412–421
- Delhaize E, Randall PJ (1995) Characterization of a phosphate-accumulator mutant of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Physiol 107: 207–213
- del Pozo JC, Allona I, Rubio V, Leyva A, de la Peña A, Aragoncillo C, Paz-Ares J (1999) A type 5 acid phosphatase gene from *Arabidopsis thaliana* is induced by phosphate starvation and by some other types of phosphate mobilising/oxidative stress conditions. Plant J 19: 579–589
- **Dong B, Rengel Z, Delhaize E** (1998) Uptake and translocation of phosphate by *pho2* mutant and wild-type seedlings of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Planta **205**: 251–256
- Duff S, Sarath G, Plaxton W (1994) The role of acid phosphatases in plant phosphorus metabolism. Physiol Plant 90: 791–800
- Fujii H, Chiou TJ, Lin SI, Aung K, Zhu JK (2005) A miRNA involved in phosphate-starvation response in Arabidopsis. Curr Biol 15: 2038–2043
- Gniazdowska A, Rychter A (2000) Nitrate uptake by bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) roots under phosphate deficiency. Plant Soil **226**: 79–85
- Gniazdowska A, Szal B, Rychter A (1999) The effect of phosphate deficiency on membrane phospholipid composition of bean (*Phaseolus* vulgaris L.) roots. Acta Physiol Plant 21: 263–269
- Harrison MJ, Dewbre GR, Liu J (2002) A phosphate transporter from *Medicago truncatula* involved in the acquisition of phosphate released by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Plant Cell **14**: 2413–2429
- Jefferson RA (1989) The GUS reporter gene system. Nature 342: 837-838

- Jeschke W, Kirkby E, Peuke A, Pate J, Hartung W (1997) Effects of P deficiency on assimilation and transport of nitrate and phosphate in intact plants of castor bean (*Ricinus communis* L.). J Exp Bot **48**: 75–91
- Karthikeyan AS, Varadarajan DK, Mukatira UT, D'Urzo MP, Damsz B, Raghothama KG (2002) Regulated expression of Arabidopsis phosphate transporters. Plant Physiol 130: 221–233
- Liu C, Muchhal US, Uthappa M, Kononowicz AK, Raghothama KG (1998) Tomato phosphate transporter genes are differentially regulated in plant tissues by phosphorus. Plant Physiol **116**: 91–99
- Liu F, Wang Z, Ren H, Shen C, Li Y, Ling HQ, Wu C, Lian X, Wu P (2010) OsSPX1 suppresses the function of OsPHR2 in the regulation of expression of OsPT2 and phosphate homeostasis in shoots of rice. Plant J 62: 508–517
- Liu X, Bai X, Wang X, Chu C (2007) OsWRKY71, a rice transcription factor, is involved in rice defense response. J Plant Physiol 164: 969–979
- López-Bucio J, Hernández-Abreu E, Sánchez-Calderón L, Nieto-Jacobo MF, Simpson J, Herrera-Estrella L (2002) Phosphate availability alters architecture and causes changes in hormone sensitivity in the Arabidopsis root system. Plant Physiol 129: 244–256
- Ma Y, Liu L, Zhu C, Sun C, Xu B, Fang J, Tang J, Luo A, Cao S, Li G, et al (2009) Molecular analysis of rice plants harboring a multi-functional T-DNA tagging system. J Genet Genomics **36**: 267–276
- McCouch SR, Teytelman L, Xu Y, Lobos KB, Clare K, Walton M, Fu B, Maghirang R, Li Z, Xing Y, et al (2002) Development and mapping of 2240 new SSR markers for rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). DNA Res (Suppl) 9: 199–207
- Mimura T, Sakano K, Shimmen T (1996) Studies on the distribution, retranslocation and homeostasis of inorganic phosphate in barley leaves. Plant Cell Environ 19: 311–320
- Misson J, Raghothama KG, Jain A, Jouhet J, Block MA, Bligny R, Ortet P, Creff A, Somerville S, Rolland N, et al (2005) A genome-wide transcriptional analysis using *Arabidopsis thaliana* Affymetrix gene chips determined plant responses to phosphate deprivation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 11934–11939
- Misson J, Thibaud MC, Bechtold N, Raghothama K, Nussaume L (2004) Transcriptional regulation and functional properties of Arabidopsis Pht1;4, a high affinity transporter contributing greatly to phosphate uptake in phosphate deprived plants. Plant Mol Biol 55: 727–741
- Miura K, Rus A, Sharkhuu A, Yokoi S, Karthikeyan AS, Raghothama KG, Baek D, Koo YD, Jin JB, Bressan RA, et al (2005) The Arabidopsis SUMO E3 ligase SIZ1 controls phosphate deficiency responses. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 7760–7765
- Nanamori M, Shinano T, Wasaki J, Yamamura T, Rao IM, Osaki M (2004) Low phosphorus tolerance mechanisms: phosphorus recycling and photosynthate partitioning in the tropical forage grass, *Brachiaria hybrid* cultivar Mulato compared with rice. Plant Cell Physiol **45**: 460–469
- Nilsson L, Müller R, Nielsen TH (2007) Increased expression of the MYBrelated transcription factor, PHR1, leads to enhanced phosphate uptake in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell Environ **30:** 1499–1512
- O'Brien JS, Benson AA (1964) Isolation and fatty acid composition of the plant sulfolipid and galactolipids. J Lipid Res 5: 432–434
- Pérez-Torres CA, López-Bucio J, Cruz-Ramírez A, Ibarra-Laclette E, Dharmasiri S, Estelle M, Herrera-Estrella L (2008) Phosphate availability alters lateral root development in *Arabidopsis* by modulating auxin sensitivity via a mechanism involving the TIR1 auxin receptor. Plant Cell 20: 3258–3272

- Raghothama KG (1999) Phosphate acquisition. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 50: 665–693
- Rubio V, Linhares F, Solano R, Martín AC, Iglesias J, Leyva A, Paz-Ares J (2001) A conserved MYB transcription factor involved in phosphate starvation signaling both in vascular plants and in unicellular algae. Genes Dev 15: 2122–2133
- Sánchez-Calderón L, López-Bucio J, Chacón-López A, Gutiérrez-Ortega A, Hernández-Abreu E, Herrera-Estrella L (2006) Characterization of low phosphorus insensitive mutants reveals a crosstalk between low phosphorus-induced determinate root development and the activation of genes involved in the adaptation of Arabidopsis to phosphorus deficiency. Plant Physiol 140: 879–889
- Schachtman DP, Reid RJ, Ayling SM (1998) Phosphorus uptake by plants: from soil to cell. Plant Physiol **116**: 447–453
- Schachtman DP, Shin R (2007) Nutrient sensing and signaling: NPKS. Annu Rev Plant Biol 58: 47–69
- Seo HM, Jung Y, Song S, Kim Y, Kwon T, Kim DH, Jeung SJ, Yi YB, Yi G, Nam MH, et al (2008) Increased expression of *OsPT1*, a high-affinity phosphate transporter, enhances phosphate acquisition in rice. Biotechnol Lett 30: 1833–1838
- Svistoonoff S, Creff A, Reymond M, Sigoillot-Claude C, Ricaud L, Blanchet A, Nussaume L, Desnos T (2007) Root tip contact with low-phosphate media reprograms plant root architecture. Nat Genet 39: 792–796
- Trull MC, Deikman J (1998) An Arabidopsis mutant missing one acid phosphatase isoform. Planta 206: 544–550
- Vance C, Uhde-Stone C, Allan D (2003) Phosphorus acquisition and use: critical adaptations by plants for securing a nonrenewable resource. New Phytol 157: 423–447
- Wang C, Ying S, Huang H, Li K, Wu P, Shou H (2009) Involvement of OsSPX1 in phosphate homeostasis in rice. Plant J 57: 895–904
- Wasaki J, Yonetani R, Kuroda S, Shinano T, Yazaki J, Fujii F, Shimbo K, Yamamoto K, Sakata K, Sasaki T (2003) Transcriptomic analysis of metabolic changes by phosphorus stress in rice plant roots. Plant Cell Environ 26: 1515–1523
- Williamson LC, Ribrioux SP, Fitter AH, Leyser HM (2001) Phosphate availability regulates root system architecture in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 126: 875–882
- Wissuwa M (2003) How do plants achieve tolerance to phosphorus deficiency? Small causes with big effects. Plant Physiol 133: 1947–1958
- Wu P, Ma L, Hou X, Wang M, Wu Y, Liu F, Deng XW (2003) Phosphate starvation triggers distinct alterations of genome expression in Arabidopsis roots and leaves. Plant Physiol 132: 1260–1271
- Xiao K, Liu J, Dewbre G, Harrison M, Wang ZY (2006) Isolation and characterization of root-specific phosphate transporter promoters from *Medicago truncatula*. Plant Biol (Stuttg) 8: 439–449
- Yen Y, Green PJ (1991) Identification and properties of the major ribonucleases of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Physiol **97:** 1487–1493
- Yi K, Wu Z, Zhou J, Du L, Guo L, Wu Y, Wu P (2005) OsPTF1, a novel transcription factor involved in tolerance to phosphate starvation in rice. Plant Physiol 138: 2087–2096
- Zheng L, Huang F, Narsai R, Wu J, Giraud E, He F, Cheng L, Wang F, Wu P, Whelan J, et al (2009) Physiological and transcriptome analysis of iron and phosphorus interaction in rice seedlings. Plant Physiol **151**: 262–274
- Zhou J, Jiao F, Wu Z, Li Y, Wang X, He X, Zhong W, Wu P (2008) *OsPHR2* is involved in phosphate-starvation signaling and excessive phosphate accumulation in shoots of plants. Plant Physiol **146**: 1673–1686