## JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

# Characteristics and Outcomes of Breast Cancer in Women With and Without a History of Radiation for Hodgkin's Lymphoma: A Multi-Institutional, Matched Cohort Study

Elena B. Elkin, Michelle L. Klem, Anne Marie Gonzales, Nicole M. Ishill, David Hodgson, Andrea K. Ng, Lawrence B. Marks, Joanne Weidhaas, Gary M. Freedman, Robert C. Miller, Louis S. Constine, Sten Myrehaug, and Joachim Yahalom

A B S T R A C T

#### Purpose

To compare characteristics and outcomes of breast cancer in women with and without a history of radiation therapy (RT) for Hodgkin's lymphoma (HL).

#### **Patients and Methods**

Women with breast cancer diagnosed from 1980 to 2006 after RT for HL were identified from eight North American hospitals and were matched three-to-one with patients with sporadic breast cancer by age, race, and year of breast cancer diagnosis. Information on patient, tumor and treatment characteristics, and clinical outcomes was abstracted from medical records.

#### Results

A total of 253 patients with breast cancer with a history of RT for HL were matched with 741 patients with sporadic breast cancer. Median time from HL to breast cancer diagnosis was 18 years. Median age at breast cancer diagnosis was 42 years. Breast cancer after RT for HL was more likely to be detected by screening, was more likely to be diagnosed at an earlier stage, and was more likely to be bilateral at diagnosis. HL survivors had an increased risk of metachronous contralateral breast cancer (adjusted hazard ratio [HR], 4.3; 95% Cl, 1.7 to 11.0) and death as a result of any cause (adjusted HR, 1.9; 95% Cl, 1.1 to 3.3). Breast cancer–specific mortality was also elevated, but this difference was not statistically significant (adjusted HR, 1.6; 95% Cl, 0.7 to 3.4).

#### Conclusion

In women with a history of RT for HL, breast cancer is diagnosed at an earlier stage, but these women are at greater risk for bilateral disease and are more likely to die as a result of causes other than breast cancer. Our findings support close follow-up for contralateral tumors in these patients and ongoing primary care to manage comorbid conditions.

J Clin Oncol 29:2466-2473. © 2011 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

### INTRODUCTION

Scientific and clinical advances have brought dramatic improvements in the treatment and outcomes of Hodgkin's lymphoma (HL), with 10-year relative survival now exceeding 80%.<sup>1</sup> However, the radiotherapy and chemotherapy regimens responsible for these improvements are themselves carcinogenic. Consequently, second malignancies are now the leading cause of death in long-term HL survivors.<sup>2</sup> Among female HL survivors, breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed solid tumor.<sup>3</sup> For women treated for HL before age 30 years, the risk of developing breast cancer is six times greater than in the general population, with an absolute excess risk of 20 to 40 occurrences per 10,000 annually.<sup>4,5</sup> Most of this excess risk is attributed to irradiation of the axillae and mediastinum in HL, with relative risks varying by age at radiation, radiation dose, extent of radiation field, and receipt of chemotherapy.<sup>3-10</sup>

Although the increased incidence of breast cancer in HL survivors is well documented, less is known about the characteristics, treatment, and outcomes of these cancers. Most available information is from relatively small, single-institution cohorts and lacks comparison to sporadic breast cancer controls.<sup>11,12</sup> Because early breast cancer screening is advocated in HL survivors, it could potentially result in earlier detection and, therefore, more favorable prognosis.<sup>13,14</sup> Yet, because of their often bilateral radiation exposure, women with a history of radiation for HL may be at increased risk

Elena B. Elkin, Anne Marie Gonzales, Nicole M. Ishill, and Joachim Yahalom. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY; Michelle L. Klem, Exempla St Joseph Hospital, Denver, CO: David Hodgson, Princess Margaret Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Andrea K. Ng, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA; Lawrence B. Marks, Duke Comprehensive Cancer Center, Durham, NC; Joanne Weidhaas, Yale University, New Haven, CT; Gary M. Freedman, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA; Robert C. Miller, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; Louis S. Constine, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY; and Sten Myrehaug, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD.

Submitted August 26, 2010; accepted March 25, 2011; published online ahead of print at www.jco.org on May 16, 2011.

Supported by the Lymphoma Foundation and the Sports Foundation Against Cancer (J.Y.); by mentored career development award No. 1 K07 CA118189-01A2 from the National Cancer Institute (E.B.E.); and by the Dr. Mortimer J. Lacher, MD, fellowship at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (M.L.K.).

Presented in part at the 45th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, May 29-June 2, 2009, Orlando, FL.

Authors' disclosures of potential conflicts of interest and author contributions are found at the end of this article.

Corresponding author: Joachim Yahalom, MD, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Ave, New York, NY 10065; e-mail: yahalomj@mskcc.org.

© 2011 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

0732-183X/11/2918-2466/\$20.00

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.32.4079

of synchronous or metachronous bilateral breast cancer,<sup>11,15</sup> and they may not be candidates for breast-conserving therapy.<sup>16</sup> Many HL survivors may also have received chemotherapy, at initial HL diagnosis or for HL recurrence, limiting their options for systemic adjuvant therapy after a breast cancer diagnosis. Thus, local control, prevention of metastases, and prevention of contralateral breast tumors are of particular concern for women who develop breast cancer after radiation for HL. The objectives of this study were to identify the unique patient and breast tumor characteristics in women with a history of radiation for HL and to compare clinical outcomes with those of women with sporadic breast cancer.

### **PATIENTS AND METHODS**

#### Study Cohort

Women with a history of radiation for HL who were diagnosed with breast cancer between 1980 and 2006 were identified from eight medical centers in North America. Patients were excluded from this cohort if their medical records made no mention of supradiaphragmatic radiation as a component of treatment for primary or recurrent HL.

Each patient in the HL survivor cohort was matched with three patients with breast cancer diagnosed at the same institution who had no history of HL. Patients with sporadic breast cancer, identified from an institutional registry or breast cancer database at each site, were matched to the HL survivors by race, year of breast cancer diagnosis, and age at breast cancer diagnosis. For HL survivors with fewer than three exact matches, the criteria were relaxed to allow matching within 2 years of breast cancer diagnosis and 2 years of age at breast cancer diagnosis.

#### Patient and Disease Characteristics

We collected information about breast cancer characteristics and treatment from each patient's medical record. Family history was categorized as breast cancer in a first-degree relative, no breast cancer in a first-degree relative, or unknown. Menopausal status at breast cancer diagnosis was defined as premenopausal, peri- or postmenopausal, or unknown. Breast cancer stage at diagnosis was classified according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer Staging Manual, sixth edition. Other tumor characteristics included laterality, histology, hormone receptor status, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status, and method of detection. We identified treatment modalities for breast cancer, including radiation therapy, adjuvant chemotherapy, and hormonal therapy, and type of surgery. For HL survivors, we also collected information regarding stage and treatment of HL, including radiation dose and field.

#### **Breast Cancer Outcomes**

From medical records, we identified local and regional failures, distant recurrences, contralateral breast tumors, and deaths. Cause of death was categorized as breast cancer, other, or unknown. Any tumor found in the contralateral breast more than 1 month after initial breast cancer diagnosis was classified as a metachronous contralateral breast cancer.

#### Statistical Analysis

Associations between patient characteristics and cohort membership (HL survivors v patients with sporadic breast cancer) were assessed by  $\chi^2$  statistics, accounting for the matched cohort design. We estimated time to local or regional failure, metastatic failure, metachronous contralateral breast cancer, breast cancer death, any breast cancer event, and death as a result of any cause by using Kaplan-Meier survival estimation. The unadjusted impact of a history of radiotherapy for HL on each end point was assessed by using a competing risk framework, with death as a result of non–breast cancer causes treated as a competing risk and with observations censored at last follow-up. Cumulative incidence functions estimated in the competing-risk analysis were compared using Gray's test.<sup>17</sup> We used multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression to estimate the impact of a history of radiation for HL on the risk of each outcome, controlling for patient, tumor, and treatment character-

istics. Women who had synchronous bilateral disease at diagnosis or prophylactic contralateral mastectomy were excluded from analysis of metachronous contralateral cancer. Patient race, age at breast cancer diagnosis, and year of breast cancer diagnosis were not included as covariates, because the cohorts were matched on these characteristics. Standard errors were adjusted for matching by using a proportional hazards model stratified by matched groups, a standard method for analysis of matched censored data.<sup>18</sup>

### RESULTS

We identified 253 women with a history of radiotherapy for HL diagnosed with breast cancer between 1980 and 2006. HL survivors were matched with 741 women who had breast cancer and no history of HL. Matching was complete for 94% of HL survivors; others were matched with fewer than three patients with sporadic breast cancer.

Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of HL in Survivor Cohort					
	Patients				
Characteristic	No. (N = 253)	%			
Year of HL diagnosis					
Before 1980	136	54			
1980-1989	97	38			
≥ 1990	20	8			
HL stage at diagnosis					
	38	15			
II	155	61			
	27	11			
	13	5			
UNKNOWN "P" oumptome at diagnosia	20	8			
No	158	62			
Vec	63	02			
Unknown	32	13			
Lymph node involvement	02	10			
Mediastinal hilar	164	65			
Supraclavicular/infraclavicular	134	53			
Cervical	126	50			
Axillary	38	15			
Abdominal	14	6			
Pelvic	3	1			
Other	34	13			
Chemotherapy					
No	156	62			
Yes	88	35			
Unknown	9	4			
Radiation dose, Gy					
Median	39				
Range	10-50				
Age at HL diagnosis, years	00				
Rango	23				
Age at BC diagnosis years	11-07				
Median	12				
Bange	24-84				
Interval from HL to BC, years	2101				
Median	18				
Range	1-42				
Abbreviations: HL, Hodgkin's lymphon	na; BC, breast cancer.				

	HL Survivors		Spor B	Sporadic BC	
Characteristic	No.	%	No.	%	Р
tage					
0	43	17	92	12	< .00
	112	44	222	30	
II	61	24	201	27	
	28	11	116	16	
	4	2	33	4	
	5	2	//	10	
	147	58	384	52	< 00
1-3	37	15	163	22	- 100
4-9	17	7	73	10	
$\geq 10$	7	3	50	7	
Unknown	45	18	71	10	
aterality at diagnosis					
Unilateral	234	92	717	97	< .0
Bilateral	14	6	15	2	
Unknown	5	2	9	1	
listology					
Ductal carcinoma in situ	43	17	92	12	NS
Invasive ductal carcinoma	179	71	558	75	
Invasive lobular carcinoma	14	5	39	5	
Other/unknown	17	7	52	7	
xtensive intraductal component					
No	125	49	348	47	NS
Yes	44	17	108	15	
Unknown	84	33	285	38	
Iultifocal disease			050		
No	115	45	358	48	NS
Yes	52	21	179	24	
Unknown	87	34	204	28	
	59	23	406	55	< 00
Unilateral mastectomy	120	47	212	29	~ .00
Bilateral mastectomy	60	24	29	4	
Other/no surgery/unknown	14	6	94	13	
urgical margins			01		
Negative: > 3 mm	174	69	473	64	30. >
Close: 1-3 mm	32	13	74	10	
Positive: < 1 mm	4	2	39	5	
Unknown	43	17	155	21	
lormone receptor status					
Negative	72	28	155	21	NS
Positive	112	44	374	50	
Unknown	69	27	212	29	
IER2 status					
Negative	71	28	206	28	NS
Positive	21	8	95	13	
	161	64	440	59	
	100	10	254	24	< 04
Upper outer quadrant	108	43	204 E4	34	< .00
	10	10	62	0	
	10	4	20	9	
	20	4	29	4	
Multiple quadrants	16	6	100	15	
Other/unknown	63	25	03	7	
G alonyariki lowit	. 05	20	55	/	

Table 2. BC Characteristics and Treatment in HL Survivors and Matched Patients With Sporadic BC (continued)							
	HL Survivors		Sporadic BC				
Characteristic	No.	%	No.	%	Р		
Method of detection							
Patient detected	103	41	404	55	< .01		
Clinical exam	23	9	42	6			
Screening mammogram	102	40	242	33			
Other or unknown	25	10	53	7			
Menopausal status							
Premenopausal	124	49	508	69	< .001		
Peri- or postmenopausal	91	36	188	25			
Unknown	38	15	45	6			
Family history of BC							
None	173	68	545	74	< .001		
First-degree relative	43	17	147	20			
Unknown	37	15	49	7			
Any chemotherapy*							
Yes	119	47	447	60	< .001		
No	113	45	260	35			
Unknown	21	8	34	5			
Any hormonal therapy*							
Yes	89	35	348	47	< .01		
No	125	49	309	42			
Unknown	39	15	84	11			

Abbreviations: BC, breast cancer; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HL, Hodgkin's lymphoma; NS, not statistically significant. \*Chemotherapy, hormonal therapy, or radiation therapy for treatment of breast cancer.

20

218

15

Any radiation therapy\*

Yes

No

Unknown

8

86

6

454

241

46

61

33

6

< .001

### HL Characteristics and Treatment in Survivor Cohort

Median age at HL diagnosis was 23 years (range, 11 to 67 years), and the median interval from HL to first breast cancer diagnosis was 18 years (range, 1 to 42 years; Table 1). Slightly more than half of the cohort was diagnosed with HL before 1980, 38% were diagnosed in 1980 to 1989, and 8% were diagnosed in 1990 or later. A majority of HL survivors were diagnosed with stage I or II HL, and 62% had no "B" symptoms at diagnosis. The median cumulative radiation dose for HL was 39 Gy (range, 10 to 50 Gy), and 90% of patients received more than 30 Gy. Approximately one third of the cohort received chemotherapy for HL.

### Breast Cancer Characteristics and Treatment: HL Survivors and Patients With Sporadic Breast Cancer

Both cohorts had a median age of 42 years at breast cancer diagnosis (range, 24 to 85 years), 94% were white and non-Hispanic, and 58% were diagnosed with breast cancer before 2000. Compared with patients who had sporadic breast cancer, HL survivors were more likely to have breast cancer detected by screening mammography  $(40\% \nu 33\%)$ , were more likely to be diagnosed at an earlier stage (ie, ductal carcinoma in situ or stage I; 61% v 42%), were less likely to have axillary lymph node involvement (25% v 39%), and were more likely to present with bilateral disease (6% v 2%; Table 2). HL survivors were also less likely to be premenopausal at diagnosis (49% v 69%), perhaps



Fig 1. Breast cancer (BC) outcomes in Hodgkin's lymphoma (HL) survivors and matched patients with sporadic breast cancer. Plots depict the proportion of each cohort (ie, patients with breast cancer [BC] who have a history of radiation for Hodgkin's lymphoma[HL] and matched patients with sporadic BC) surviving free of (A) any BC event, (B) death as a result of BC, (C) metachronous contralateral BC, and (D) death as a result of any cause. BC events include local/regional failure, metastatic failure, metachronous contralateral cancer, and BC death.

as a result of early menopause associated with HL treatment. HL survivors were less likely than women with sporadic breast cancer to have a lumpectomy (23%  $\nu$  55%), and they were less likely to receive radiation as part of their breast cancer treatment (8%  $\nu$  61%).

### **Breast Cancer Outcomes**

Median follow-up after breast cancer diagnosis was 4.6 years for HL survivors and was 5.2 years for the matched patients with sporadic breast cancer. Breast cancer event–free survival (Fig 1A) and breast cancer–specific survival (Fig 1B) were similar in the two groups. The cumulative incidence functions for these events were not significantly different between groups, although rates of the competing event—nonbreast cancer death—were significantly higher in HL survivors (Gray's test P < .05). In adjusted analysis, rates of local/regional and metastatic failure and breast cancer mortality were elevated, but these differences were not statistically significant (Table 3).

HL survivors were more likely than patients with sporadic breast cancer to develop a metachronous contralateral breast cancer (Fig 1C). The 5-year cumulative risk of metachronous contralateral cancer was 18% in the HL survivor cohort and was 6% in the sporadic breast cancer cohort. Controlling for patient and tumor characteristics and breast cancer treatment, the rate of metachronous contralateral tumors was more than four times greater in the HL survivors, compared with the matched patients with sporadic breast cancer (adjusted hazard ratio [HR], 4.3; 95% CI, 1.7 to 11.0; P < .01). In multivariable analysis, only type of surgery and family history were also significantly associated with metachronous contralateral breast cancer (Table 4).

Overall survival (Fig 1D) was poorer in HL survivors than in patients with sporadic breast cancer. Controlling for patient and disease characteristics and breast cancer treatment, HL survivors had almost twice the hazard of death as a result of any cause (adjusted HR, 1.9; 95% CI, 1.1 to 3.3; P < .05). Independent of HL history, the risk of death as a result of any cause was greater in women with stage II or more advanced disease, those with unknown lymph node status and type of primary surgery, those with positive surgical margins, and those who were peri- or postmenopausal at diagnosis (Table 4).

Table 3. BC	Outcomes in	HL Survivors	and Matched	Patients With
		Sporadic BC	2	

- 1-				
No. at Risk	No. With Event	Adjusted HR*	95% CI	Ρ
248	32	0.94	0.51 to 1.74	NS
708	86	Reference		
248	45	1.49	0.82 to 2.71	NS
708	137	Reference		
189	29	4.31	1.69 to 10.99	< .01
699	33	Reference		
253	36	1.61	0.76 to 3.42	NS
741	95	Reference		
253	61	1.90	1.09 to 3.32	< .05
741	137	Reference		
	No. at Risk 248 708 248 708 248 708 189 699 253 741	No. at Risk No. With Event   248 32   708 86   248 45   708 137   189 29   699 33   253 36   741 95   253 61   741 137	No. at Risk No. With Event Adjusted HR*   248 32 0.94   708 86 Reference   248 45 1.49   708 137 Reference   248 45 1.49   708 137 Reference   248 45 1.49   708 137 Reference   253 36 1.61   741 95 Reference   253 61 1.90   741 137 Reference	No. st. Risk No. With Event Adjusted HR* 95% Cl   248 32 0.94 0.51 to 1.74   708 86 Reference 0.51 to 1.74   248 45 1.49 0.82 to 2.71   708 137 Reference 0.51 to 10.99   699 33 Reference 1.69 to 10.99   699 36 1.61 0.76 to 3.42   741 95 Reference 1.09 to 3.32   253 61 1.90 1.09 to 3.32

NOTE. Local-regional failure included ipsilateral, new primary tumors. Analysis of local-regional failure and analysis of metastatic failure excluded women who presented with distant disease at or within 14 days of initial diagnoses. Analysis of metachronous contralateral disease excluded women who had synchronous bilateral disease at BC diagnosis or prophylactic contralateral mastectomy.

Abbreviations: BC, breast cancer; HL, Hodgkin's lymphoma; HR, hazard ratio; NS, not statistically significant.

\*HRs were adjusted for BC stage at diagnosis, axillary lymph node involvement, laterality at diagnosis, type of surgery, surgical margin status, menopausal status, family history of BC in a first-degree relative, whether BC was screen detected, receipt of radiation therapy for BC, receipt of chemotherapy for BC, and receipt of hormonal therapy for BC.

Women with screen-detected breast cancers had almost half the risk of death of women whose cancers were patient- or clinician-detected as a result of symptoms (adjusted HR, 0.5; 95% CI, 0.3 to 0.8; P < .05).

### DISCUSSION

In this cohort of 253 HL survivors who were treated with radiotherapy to the upper torso and later developed breast cancer, several breast cancer characteristics and outcomes differed significantly from those of a matched cohort of 741 patients with sporadic breast cancer. Notably, HL survivors had a greater risk of bilateral breast cancer, both synchronous and metachronous; their breast cancers were typically diagnosed at an earlier stage and were more likely to be screen detected. Although HR survivors had a greater risk of death as a result of any cause, rates of local/regional failure, metastatic failure, and death as a result of breast cancer did not differ significantly between the two groups.

Several findings are consistent with some small, single-institution studies of HL survivors that did not include comparison cohorts.<sup>11,12,16,19,20</sup> However, the authors of a recent systematic review of these and other studies of women treated with chest irradiation for childhood, adolescent, or young adult cancer concluded that the characteristics of breast cancer in women treated with chest irradiation and the outcomes after diagnosis are similar to those of women in the general population.<sup>21</sup> Our results do not support that conclusion.

By comparing HL survivors with patients who had sporadic breast cancer matched on race, age, and year of diagnosis, we were able to control for these characteristics, all of which are associated with HL diagnosis and with breast cancer characteristics and outcomes. For example, young age at breast cancer diagnosis has been well established in HL survivors with prior chest irradiation.<sup>7,11,12,16,19-21</sup> The median age at breast cancer diagnosis in our cohort—42 years—is almost 20 years younger than the median age of 61 years of patients with breast cancer in the general population.<sup>21</sup> Breast cancer in young patients is likely to display a more aggressive phenotype, to be hormone receptor negative, and to exhibit more vascular and lymphatic invasion and pathologic grade 3 features.<sup>22</sup> Age younger than 40 years may be an independent adverse prognostic factor for time to relapse, time to distant failure, and overall survival.<sup>23,24</sup> For similar reasons, we also controlled for race<sup>25</sup> and year of breast cancer diagnosis,<sup>26</sup> thereby minimizing possible bias introduced by these important confounders.

The results of our matched-cohort analysis support uncontrolled prior observations of a high incidence of both synchronous and metachronous bilateral breast cancer in patients who received radiotherapy for HL.<sup>11,12,16,20,27,28</sup> Those series showed a bilaterality rate of 12.8% (5.5% synchronous and 7.3% metachronous).<sup>21</sup> Among the HL survivors in our study, the rate of bilaterality at diagnosis was 6%; among those with a breast at risk, the actuarial rate of metachronous contralateral breast cancer was 18% at 5 years. In multivariable analysis, history of radiation for HL had a far greater impact on risk of metachronous contralateral breast cancer than did other patient and disease characteristics.

Although bilateral breast cancer has previously been associated with a greater risk for local recurrence and distant metastasis,<sup>29,30</sup> we did not see higher rates of these events in our HL survivor cohort compared with the matched patients with sporadic breast cancer. However, the increased risk of developing a metachronous contralateral cancer and the potential for poorer prognosis after a contralateral cancer both support the need for close surveillance of the contralateral breast. Our findings provide important information for discussions of the option of prophylactic contralateral mastectomy in patients who had significant radiation exposure of both breasts at a young age.

Several prognostic characteristics and tumor features were more favorable in the HL survivors than in their matched peers with sporadic breast cancer. Although a family history of breast cancer has been shown to increase the risk of developing breast cancer in patients who received radiotherapy for HL,<sup>27,31</sup> we found that breast cancer in a first-degree relative was less common in the HL survivors who developed breast cancer than in the patients with sporadic breast cancer. The HL survivors were also diagnosed at an earlier stage (stage 0 or 1) and were less likely to have lymph node involvement. This difference in stage distribution is likely associated with the greater frequency of screen-detected cancers in the HL survivor cohort. Since the association between radiotherapy for HL and the increased risk of breast cancer was clearly established,<sup>7,11,32</sup> awareness and guidelines for early detection, primarily with initiation of early routine mammograms, have been promoted for HL survivors. Most breast tumors that develop after HL are detectable by mammography,<sup>13,14</sup> and implementation of routine screening has increased the proportion of patients diagnosed at earlier stages.<sup>16</sup>

Despite the greater frequency of screen-detected tumors and earlier stage at diagnosis in our HL survivor cohort, these women did not have better breast cancer outcomes than their peers with sporadic breast cancer. Rates of local failure and metastatic failure were similar in the two groups, controlling for patient and disease characteristics

	Contralateral BC			Death As a Result of Any Cause		
Characteristic	Adjusted HR	95% CI	Р	Adjusted HR	95% CI	Р
HL history						
HL survivor	4.3	1.7 to 11.0	< .01	1.9	1.1 to 3.3	< .05
Sporadic BC	Reference			Reference		
Stage						
DCIS or stage I	Reference			Reference		
Stages IIA-IV	0.8	0.3 to 2.6	NS	4.0	2.1 to 7.6	< .00
Unknown	1.1	0.2 to 6.5	NS	1.7	0.5 to 6.5	NS
Regional lymph node involvement						
Negative	Reference			Reference		
Positive	1.2	0.4 to 3.8	NS	1.1	0.6 to 2.0	NS
Unknown	1.4	0.5 to 4.2	NS	2.7	1.2 to 5.9	< .05
Type of surgery						
Lumpectomy	Reference			Reference		
Unilateral mastectomy	0.2	0.1 to 0.7	< .01	1.2	0.7 to 2.1	NS
Bilateral mastectomy	_	_	_	1.2	0.4 to 3.1	NS
Other/none/unknown	2.2	0.4 to 11.7	NS	3.5	1.0 to 12.2	< .05
Surgical margins						
Negative	Reference			Reference		
Positive	1.6	0.5 to 5.0	NS	2.4	1.3 to 4.4	< .01
Unknown	0.9	0.3 to 3.0	NS	1.5	0.8 to 2.9	NS
Any chemotherapy*						
No	Reference			Reference		
Yes	1.0	0.4 to 2.6	NS	1.6	0.8 to 2.8	NS
Any hormonal therapy*						
No	Reference			Reference		
Yes	1.2	0.5 to 3.2	NS	0.8	0.5 to 1.3	NS
Any radiation*						
No	Reference			Reference		
Yes	0.7	0.3 to 1.9	NS	0.9	0.5 to 1.5	NS
Method of detection						
Screening mammogram	1.2	0.5 to 2.9	NS	0.5	0.3 to 0.8	< .05
Other	Reference			Reference		
Menopausal status						
Premenopausal	Reference			Reference		
Peri- or postmenopausal	0.7	0.2 to 2.7	NS	2.8	1.2 to 6.4	< .05
Unknown	5.2	0.8 to 35.8	NS	1.6	0.8 to 3.4	NS
Family history of breast cancer	-		-	-		
None	Reference			Reference		
First-degree relative	2.7	1.1 to 6.4	< .05	0.9	0.5 to 1.6	NS
Unknown	2.0	0.5 to 7.2	NS	1 1	0.5 to 2.2	NS

"Chemotherapy, hormonal therapy, or radiation therapy for treatment of breast cancer.

and treatment. The rate of death from breast cancer was somewhat elevated in the HL survivors, but this difference was not statistically significant. In addition to the disease characteristics available in our study, breast cancer outcomes may be associated with tumor genes and other markers that differ between radiation-induced and sporadic breast cancers, predisposing the former to more aggressive disease.<sup>33</sup>

In the HL survivors, breast cancer treatment options were undoubtedly constrained by prior exposure to chest irradiation and, in some, to systemic chemotherapy. In a small, retrospective study comparing women with breast cancer after either HL or non-Hodgkin's lymphoma with women with sporadic breast cancer matched for age, stage, and year of diagnosis, 5-year disease-free survival was only 54% in the lymphoma survivors compared with 91% in the comparison group.<sup>34</sup> The investigators speculated that this disparity in outcome was associated with differences in treatment and, specifically, with the underuse of anthracycline-based chemotherapy in HL survivors.

All-cause mortality and the rate of non–breast cancer death were significantly greater in HL survivors than in their matched peers with sporadic breast cancer. These results reinforce prior evidence that young cancer survivors—specifically women who received chest irradiation for HL—are at an elevated risk of death from other second tumors and from noncancer causes.<sup>2,35</sup> Survivors of HL face an increased risk of cardiac death, most commonly related to acceleration of coronary disease after mediastinal irradiation, particularly in the presence of other coronary risk factors.<sup>36</sup> Treatment of HL with anthracycline-containing chemotherapy may also contribute to the risk of heart disease.<sup>37</sup> A recent registry-based comparison of patients with breast cancer with and without a history of radiation for HL

kin's lymphoma: Lower risk after smaller radiation

cancer following radiotherapy and chemotherapy

among young women with Hodgkin disease. JAMA

10. van Leeuwen FE, Klokman WJ, Stovall M, et

al: Roles of radiation dose, chemotherapy, and hor-

monal factors in breast cancer following Hodgkin's

11. Yahalom J, Petrek JA, Biddinger PW, et al:

Breast cancer in patients irradiated for Hodgkin's

disease: A clinical and pathologic analysis of 45

events in 37 patients. J Clin Oncol 10:1674-1681,

cancer occurred after treatment for Hodgkin's dis-

ease: Analysis of 133 cases. Radiother Oncol 59:

12. Cutuli B, Borel C, Dhermain F, et al: Breast

13. Dershaw DD, Yahalom J, Petrek JA: Breast

14. Diller L, Medeiros Nancarrow C, Shaffer K, et

carcinoma in women previously treated for Hodgkin

disease: Mammographic evaluation. Radiology 184:

al: Breast cancer screening in women previously

treated for Hodgkin's disease: A prospective cohort

15. Basu SK, Schwartz C, Fisher SG, et al: Unilat-

eral and bilateral breast cancer in women surviving

pediatric Hodgkin's disease. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol

study. J Clin Oncol 20:2085-2091, 2002

disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 95:971-980, 2003

9. Travis LB, Hill DA, Dores GM, et al: Breast

volumes. J Clin Oncol 27:4239-4246, 2009

290:465-475. 2003

1992

247-255, 2001

421-423, 1992

Phys 72:34-40, 2008

found that women in the former group had a seven-fold greater risk of death as a result of other cancers and an elevated risk of death from heart disease.38

More than half of the HL survivors in our study were diagnosed with HL before 1980; therefore, they were likely exposed to the most radical attempts to cure HL with radiation alone by maximizing both the dose and the volume of radiation. It is encouraging that the modern approach to the cure of HL utilizes significantly lower doses of radiation, and most treatments to the upper body lymph nodes now avoid most or all of the breast.<sup>8,39</sup> Several studies demonstrate that avoiding treatment of the axillae significantly reduces the risk of breast cancer in HL survivors.<sup>6,8</sup> Contemporary, effective treatment regimens for HL have markedly reduced the amount of both chemotherapy and radiation administered to patients.40

Several limitations of our analysis warrant mention. The study cohorts were identified from selected tertiary academic medical centers that see a high volume of both HL and breast cancer patients. If women seen in community-based settings differ with regard to personal factors, disease characteristics, and the treatment they receive, the generalizability of our findings may be limited. Although we were able to identify deaths as a result of breast cancer, we had limited information about other causes of death. Thus, we could not clearly distinguish deaths that were a result of noncancer causes from deaths that were a result of secondary malignancies other than breast cancer, such as lung cancer and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, both of which are seen at an elevated rate in HL survivors.<sup>3</sup>

Our results are mostly relevant to patients treated for HL in the era when radiation therapy alone was the dominant form of curative therapy and was used with high radiation doses that almost always included both breasts and the heart. This practice has changed radically, and the reduced exposure of these organs is likely to change the

#### REFERENCES

1. Brenner H, Gondos A, Pulte D: Ongoing improvement in long-term survival of patients with Hodgkin disease at all ages and recent catch-up of older patients. Blood 111:2977-2983, 2008

2. Aleman BM, van den Belt-Dusebout AW, Klokman WJ, et al: Long-term cause-specific mortality of patients treated for Hodgkin's disease. J Clin Oncol 21:3431-3439, 2003

3. Dores GM, Metayer C, Curtis RE, et al: Second malignant neoplasms among long-term survivors of Hodgkin's disease: A population-based evaluation over 25 years. J Clin Oncol 20:3484-3494, 2002

4. Hodgson DC, Gilbert ES, Dores GM, et al: Long-term solid cancer risk among 5-year survivors of Hodgkin's lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 25:1489-1497, 2007

5. Horwich A, Swerdlow AJ: Second primary breast cancer after Hodgkin's disease. Br J Cancer 90:294-298. 2004

6. Hodgson DC, Koh ES, Tran TH, et al: Individualized estimates of second cancer risks after contemporary radiation therapy for Hodgkin lymphoma. Cancer 110:2576-2586, 2007

7. Hancock SL, Tucker MA, Hoppe RT: Breast cancer after treatment of Hodgkin's disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 85:25-31, 1993

8. De Bruin ML, Sparidans J, van't Veer MB, et al: Breast cancer risk in female survivors of Hodgrisk profile for long-term complications. Thus, it may be inappropriate to alarm patients who are scheduled for modern, reduced radiation with the experience from radical radiotherapy of 3 to almost 5 decades past. However, our findings are informative for the thousands of HL survivors who remain at risk of long-term complications from their original treatment, underscoring the importance of regular screening for breast cancer and for comorbid conditions. Healthy lifestyle, cessation of smoking, early detection, and treatment of high blood pressure and hyperlipidemia, along with regular breast imaging, should all be part of standard education and follow-up for HL survivors.

### **AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS** OF INTEREST

The authors indicated no potential conflicts of interest.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Conception and design: Elena B. Elkin, Michelle L. Klem, Joachim Yahalom Financial support: Joachim Yahalom Administrative support: Joachim Yahalom Provision of study materials or patients: David Hodgson, Andrea K. Ng, Lawrence B. Marks, Joanne Weidhaas, Gary M. Freedman, Robert C. Miller, Louis S. Constine, Sten Myrehaug, Joachim Yahalom Collection and assembly of data: Elena B. Elkin, Michelle L. Klem, Anne Marie Gonzales, Nicole M. Ishill, David Hodgson, Andrea K. Ng, Lawrence B. Marks, Joanne Weidhaas, Gary M. Freedman, Robert C. Miller, Louis S. Constine, Sten Myrehaug, Joachim Yahalom Data analysis and interpretation: Elena B. Elkin, Michelle L. Klem, Anne Marie Gonzales, Nicole M. Ishill, Joachim Yahalom Manuscript writing: All authors Final approval of manuscript: All authors

16. Wolden SL, Hancock SL, Carlson RW, et al: Management of breast cancer after Hodgkin's disease, J Clin Oncol 18:765-772, 2000

17. Gray RJ: A class of k-sample tests for comparing the cumulative incidence of a competing risk. Ann Stat 16:1141-1154, 1988

18. Kalbfleisch JD, Prentice RL: The Statistical Analysis of Failure Time Data (ed 2). Hoboken, NJ, Wiley, 2002

19. Wahner-Roedler DL, Nelson DF, Croghan IT, et al: Risk of breast cancer and breast cancer characteristics in women treated with supradiaphragmatic radiation for Hodgkin lymphoma: Mayo Clinic experience. Mayo Clin Proc 78:708-715, 2003

20. Gervais-Fagnou DD, Girouard C, Laperriere N, et al: Breast cancer in women following supradiaphragmatic irradiation for Hodgkin's disease. Oncology 57:224-231, 1999

21. Henderson TO, Amsterdam A, Bhatia S, et al: Systematic review: Surveillance for breast cancer in women treated with chest radiation for childhood, adolescent, or young adult cancer. Ann Intern Med 152:444-455, 2010

22. Anders CK, Johnson R, Litton J, et al: Breast cancer before age 40 years. Semin Oncol 36:237-249 2009

23. Nixon AJ, Neuberg D, Hayes DF, et al: Relationship of patient age to pathologic features of the tumor and prognosis for patients with stage I or II breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 12:888-894, 1994

24. Gnerlich JL, Deshpande AD, Jeffe DB, et al: Elevated breast cancer mortality in women younger

#### Breast Cancer After Radiation for Hodgkin's Lymphoma

than age 40 years compared with older women is attributed to poorer survival in early-stage disease. J Am Coll Surg 208:341-347, 2009

**25.** Deshpande AD, Jeffe DB, Gnerlich J, et al: Racial disparities in breast cancer survival: An analysis by age and stage. J Surg Res 153:105-113, 2009

**26.** DeSantis C, Jemal A, Ward E, et al: Temporal trends in breast cancer mortality by state and race. Cancer Causes Control 19:537-545, 2008

27. Kenney LB, Yasui Y, Inskip PD, et al: Breast cancer after childhood cancer: A report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Ann Intern Med 141:590-597, 2004

**28.** Taylor AJ, Winter DL, Stiller CA, et al: Risk of breast cancer in female survivors of childhood Hodgkin's disease in Britain: A population-based study. Int J Cancer 120:384-391, 2007

29. Heron DE, Komarnicky LT, Hyslop T, et al: Bilateral breast carcinoma: Risk factors and outcomes for patients with synchronous and metachronous disease. Cancer 88:2739-2750, 2000

**30.** Kheirelseid EA, Jumustafa H, Miller N, et al: Bilateral breast cancer: Analysis of incidence, outcome, survival and disease characteristics. Breast Cancer Res Treat 126:131-140, 2011

**31.** Hill DA, Gilbert E, Dores GM, et al: Breast cancer risk following radiotherapy for Hodgkin lymphoma: Modification by other risk factors. Blood 106:3358-3365, 2005

**32.** Boice JD Jr: Second cancer after Hodgkin's disease: The price of success? J Natl Cancer Inst 85:4-5, 1993

**33.** Broeks A, Braaf LM, Wessels LF, et al: Radiation-associated breast tumors display a distinct gene expression profile. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 76:540-547, 2010

**34.** Sanna G, Lorizzo K, Rotmensz N, et al: Breast cancer in Hodgkin's disease and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors. Ann Oncol 18:288-292, 2007

**35.** Ng AK, Bernardo MP, Weller E, et al: Long-term survival and competing causes of death in patients with early-stage Hodgkin's disease treated at age 50 or younger. J Clin Oncol 20:2101-2108, 2002

**36.** Aleman BM, van den Belt-Dusebout AW, De Bruin ML, et al: Late cardiotoxicity after treatment for Hodgkin lymphoma. Blood 109:1878-1886, 2007

**37.** Swerdlow AJ, Higgins CD, Smith P, et al: Myocardial infarction mortality risk after treatment for Hodgkin disease: A collaborative British cohort study. J Natl Cancer Inst 99:206-214, 2007

**38.** Milano MT, Li H, Gail MH, et al: Long-term survival among patients with Hodgkin's lymphoma who developed breast cancer: A population-based study. J Clin Oncol 28:5088-5096, 2010

**39.** Yahalom J: Breast cancer after Hodgkin disease: Hope for a safer cure. JAMA 290:529-531, 2003

**40.** Engert A, Plütschow A, Eich HT, et al: Reduced treatment intensity in patients with earlystage Hodgkin's lymphoma. N Engl J Med 363: 640-652, 2010

### Be the First to Hear When New Clinical Cancer Research is Published Online

By signing up for *JCO's* Early Release Notification, you will be alerted and have access to new articles posted online every Monday, weeks before they appear in print. All Early Release articles are searchable and citable, and are posted on JCO.org in advance of print publication. Simply go to jco.org/earlyrelease, sign in, select "Early Release Notification," and click the SUBMIT button. Stay informed—sign up today!

