	Identification and characterization of the <i>Streptomyces globisporus</i> 1912 regulatory gene <i>IndYR</i> that affects sporulation and antibiotic production
	Bohdan Ostash, ¹ † Yuriy Rebets, ^{1,2,3} † Maksym Myronovskyy, ¹ Olga Tsypik, ¹ Iryna Ostash, ¹ Oleksandr Kulachkovskyy, ¹ Yuriy Datsyuk, ⁴ Tatsunosuke Nakamura, ³ Suzanne Walker ² and Victor Fedorenko ¹
Correspondence Victor Fedorenko v_fedorenko@franko.lviv.ua	¹ Department of Genetics and Biotechnology, Ivan Franko National University of L'viv, Grushevskogo St 4, L'viv 79005, Ukraine
	² Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Harvard Medical School, 200 Longwood Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA
	³ Department of Microbiology, Niigata University of Pharmacy and Applied Life Sciences, Higashijima 265-1, 956-8603 Niigata, Japan
	⁴ Department of Physics of the Earth, Ivan Franko National University of L'viv, Grushevskogo St 4, L'viv 79005, Ukraine
	Here, we report the identification and functional characterization of the <i>Streptomyces globisporus</i> 1912 gene <i>IndYR</i> , which encodes a GntR-like regulator of the YtrA subfamily. Disruption of <i>IndYR</i> arrested sporulation and antibiotic production in <i>S. globisporus</i> . The results of <i>in vivo</i> and <i>in vitro</i> studies revealed that the ABC transporter genes <i>IndW–IndW2</i> are targets of LndYR repressive action. In <i>Streptomyces coelicolor</i> M145, <i>IndYR</i> overexpression caused a significant increase in
Received 30 August 2010 Revised 7 January 2011	the amount of extracellular actinorhodin. We suggest that <i>IndYR</i> controls the transcription of transport system genes in response to an as-vet-unidentified signal. Features that distinguish
Accepted 27 January 2011	<i>IndYR</i> -based regulation from other known regulators are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Streptomycetes are notable for their ability to produce secondary metabolites that are immensely diverse in terms of structure, biogenesis and the biological responses they elicit. The chromosomes of streptomycetes contain many gene clusters for secondary metabolite biosynthesis, although not all of them are expressed in wild-type strains under routine laboratory conditions (Nett *et al.*, 2009). Those that are expressed usually produce only small quantities of the product, creating the need for extensive strain improvement programs for industrial purposes. These observations indicate that streptomycetes have evolved a complicated regulatory web that orchestrates

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Ten supplementary figures and a supplementary table are available with the online version of this paper.

their secondary metabolism. Indeed, available data underscore a great abundance of regulatory genes in actinomycete genomes.

GntR superfamily regulators, which constitute one of the biggest groups of bacterial regulators, contain a typical helix-turn-helix DNA-binding domain at their N terminus (Hoskisson & Rigali, 2009). This superfamily is named after the prototypical member, GntR, a repressor of the Bacillus subtilis gluconate operon. The Streptomyces coelicolor genome encodes 57 GntR-like regulators (Bentley et al., 2002; Hillerich & Westpheling, 2006), but only a few of these genes have been studied experimentally, namely whiH, devA, dasR and aglR3R. Genes whiH and devA control the early steps of sporulation (Flärdh & Buttner, 2009; Hoskisson et al., 2006), while the other two influence carbohydrate transport and antibiotic biosynthesis (Rigali et al., 2006; Hillerich & Westpheling, 2006). Also, the GntR-like genes korSA (korA) are known to control conjugal transfer of actinomycete plasmids, such as pIJ101 and pSAM2 (Sezonov et al., 2000). Except for these data and bioinformatic predictions (Rigali et al., 2002, 2004), little is known about the role(s) of many other members of the

Abbreviations: Act, actinorhodin; EMSA, electrophoretic mobility shift assay; LaE, landomycin E; qPCR, quantitative PCR; Red, prodiginines; RT, reverse transcriptase [PCR].

The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession number for the fragments containing genes *IndY*, *IndYR*, *IndW2* and *IndW* is HM204451.

GntR family in streptomyces biology. Our interest in this family arose when we uncovered a new GntR-like gene, IndYR, in the vicinity of the landomycin E (LaE) biosynthesis gene (*lnd*) cluster. This cluster is an object of intense investigation in our laboratory, with an emphasis on the genetic regulation of LaE production. To date, two regulatory genes have been shown to control LaE production: one encoding streptomyces antibiotic regulatory protein-like regulator LndI and another that specifies putative proteinase Prx (Ostash et al., 2009). The IndYR gene is located between genes for a putative serinethreonine kinase (*lndY*) and a two-component ABC transporter system (IndW-IndW2; see Fig. 1). While the function of *lndY* remains unknown, *lndW* has been shown to confer increased LaE resistance under heterologous overexpression conditions (Ostash et al., 2008). Taking into account the physical linkage of *lndYR* to other *lnd* genes and the fact that GntR-like genes often control transport processes (Hoskisson & Rigali, 2009), we set out to investigate the possible functional relationships between IndYR, IndW-IndW2 and LaE production. Here, we report that LndYR influences sporulation and landomycin production in Streptomyces globisporus; significant changes in actinorhodin distribution are also seen in S. coelicolor overexpressing lndYR. As LndYR has numerous homologues in sequenced actinomycete genomes, future studies of this family of regulators may lead to valuable insights into morphogenesis and antibiotic production by members of the order Actinomycetales.

METHODS

Bacterial strains and culture conditions. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this work are listed in Table 1. *Escherichia coli* strains were grown at 37 °C in LB, $2 \times$ TY or TB for routine applications. Streptomyces strains were grown at 30 °C. Solid oatmeal medium (OM) (Luzhetskii *et al.*, 2001) or mannitol-soy medium (MS) (Kieser *et al.*, 2000) was used for sporulation of streptomycetes and plating of *E. coli–Streptomyces* matings. For isolation of genomic and plasmid DNA, streptomycetes was grown in TSB for 48–60 h. LaE production by *S. globisporus* strains was assayed in liquid media SG (Rebets *et al.*, 2003), TSB, R5A and on solid OM (Rebets *et al.*, 2003), Bennett (Shirling & Gottlieb, 1966), MM, MS, R2YE, and MMGT (Kieser *et al.*, 2000). Total RNA was isolated from *S. globisporus* cultures grown in TSB or R5A (Kieser *et al.*, 2000). Actinorhodin (Act) and prodiginines (Red) production by *S. coelicolor* was determined in

liquid medium YMPG (Ishizuka *et al.*, 1992) as previously described (Kieser *et al.*, 2000). Complex agar YMA (Ostash *et al.*, 2007), MS, Bennett, R2YE, MMGT and chemically defined minimal medium (Kieser *et al.*, 2000) and Evans medium (Evans *et al.*, 1970), modified as described by Sun *et al.* (2001), were also used to analyse Act and Red production. Moenomycin production by *Streptomyces ghanaensis* (ATCC14672) was studied in TSB as described by Makitrynskyy *et al.* (2010). Siomycin production by *Streptomyces sioyaensis* was determined in medium SG1 as described by Myronovskyy *et al.* (2009). In all cases, the amount of antibiotic was normalized to equal amounts of biomass (dry weight) and the reported values are the means of three independent experiments. Antibiotic resistance was analysed by using the disc diffusion method.

DNA manipulations. Genomic and plasmid DNA from Streptomyces and plasmid DNA from E. coli was isolated using standard protocols (Kieser et al., 2000; Sambrook & Russell, 2001). E. coli transformation and intergenetic E. coli-Streptomyces matings were performed as described by Kieser et al. (2000) and Luzhetskii et al. (2001). Molecular biology enzymes were purchased from standard commercial sources (MBI Fermentas, NEB, Amersham Biosciences, Invitrogen) and used according to the manufacturer's instructions. quantitative PCR (qPCR) experiments were done on a MyiQ singlecolour real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad). PCRs were performed using thermal cyclers Mastercycler (Eppendorf) and PTC-100 (MJ Research). All amplicons used in this work were verified by sequencing. DNA sequencing was performed by using the Sanger method using an ABI3700xl instrument. Sequence assembly was carried out using Lasergene software (DNASTAR). The GenBank accession number for fragments containing genes lndY, lndYR, lndW2 and *lndW* is HM204451.

Bioinformatic analysis of sequences. FramePlot 2.3.2 (Ishikawa & Hotta, 1999) was used to find open reading frames (ORFs) within a sequenced fragment of the *lnd* cluster. BLAST search tools (on the NCBI server) were used to identify homologues of *lndY*, *lndYR* and *lndW2* genes. The CDD search engine (BLAST) and HHPred, Pfam and TMHMM on the ExPaSy proteomics server were utilized for *in silico* analysis. Phylogenetic trees were constructed by using an online phylogenetic server (http://www.phylogeny.fr; Dereeper *et al.*, 2008). The LndYR tree was rooted using *Bacillus pumilus* ATCC 7061 YtrA protein as an outgroup. The topology of the resultant neighbourjoining tree was evaluated by an approximate-likelihood ratio test (Anisimova & Gascuel, 2006).

Plasmid construction

Sequences of the primers used throughout this work are given in Table 2.

For *IndYR* expression. A 798 bp fragment containing *IndYR* and its 400 bp upstream region was amplified from pSXH4 (Fedorenko *et al.*,



Fig. 1. Genetic organization of the *Ind* cluster in the vicinity of the *IndY*–*IndW* fragment (not drawn to scale). Subclones used throughout the work are shown below. Restriction sites: X, *Xhol*; B, *Bam*HI; Xc, *Xcm*I; S, *Stul*. Black rectangles below gene symbols indicate promoter regions used in this work. The black triangle points to the site of insertion of the spectinomycin resistance cassette (*aadA*) into the *IndYR* coding sequence.

Bacterial strain or plasmid	Description	Source or reference
E. coli DH5α	supE44 λlacU169(Δ80lacZλM15) hsdR17 recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 relA1	MBI Fermentas
<i>E. coli</i> ET12567 (pUB307)	dam-13::Tn9(Cm ^r) dcm-6 hsdM; harbours conjugative plasmid pUB307; Cm ^r , Km ^r	C. P. Smith, UMIST, UK
E. coli BL21(DE3)	F ⁻ ompT hsdS gal [dcm][lon]hsdS _B ($r_B^- m_B^-$; an E. coli B strain) with DE3, a λ prophage carrying T7 RNAP	Novagen
S. globisporus 1912	Wild-type LaE producer	Rebets et al. (2005)
S. globisporus YR	A <i>lndYR</i> -disrupted mutant (<i>lndY</i> :: <i>aadA</i>)	This work
S. coelicolor M145	Model strain, ACT and RED producer	M. Bibb, JIC
S. ghanaensis ATCC14672	Wild-type moenomycin producer	ATCC
S. sioyaensis NRRL-B5408	Wild-type siomycin producer	NRRL
pBluescriptIISK ⁻	General purpose cloning vector; Ap ^r	MBI Fermentas
pSTBlue-1	E. coli T-cloning vector for PCR products cloning; Apr	Novagen
pET24a	Expression vector to purify His-tagged proteins; Km ^r	Novagen
pKC1218E	<i>E. coli/streptomyces</i> shuttle vector with <i>ermEp</i> and SCP2* replicon, derivative of pKC1218; Am ^r	Rebets et al. (2005)
pKC1139	E. coli/streptomyces shuttle vector, pSG5 replicon; Am ^r	Kieser et al. (2000)
pHP45Ω	Plasmid carrying Ω interposon with spectinomycin resistance gene cassette <i>aadA</i> ; Ap ^r , Sm ^r /Sp ^r	Prentki & Krisch (1984)
pSXH4	pBluescriptIISK ⁻ carrying 6 kb XhoI fragment of lnd cluster (lndY-lndW segment)	Fedorenko et al. (2000)
pKCYRaadA	pKC1139 carrying the disrupted allele of <i>lndYR</i> gene (<i>lndYR</i> :: <i>aadA</i>)	This work
pKC1218EYR	pKC1218E carrying <i>lndYR</i> behind <i>ermEp</i>	This work
рКСҮ	pKC1139 harbouring <i>lndY</i> gene	This work
pSI2-9	pSET152 containing <i>lndI</i> gene with promoter	Rebets et al. (2005)
pET24aYR7	pET24a carrying <i>lndYR</i>	This work
pKC1218EW12	pKC1218E carrying <i>lndW lndW2</i> behind <i>ermEp</i>	This work

2000) with primers Y2upXbaI and Y2rpEcoRI. The resulting amplicon was digested with *XbaI* and *Eco*RI and cloned into the same sites of pKC1218E to give pKC1218EYR.

For *IndY* **expression.** The *lndY* gene was amplified as a 4.5 kb *XhoI–StuI* fragment from pSXH4, treated with Klenow fragment and cloned into *Eco*RV-digested pKC1139 to give pKCY.

For *IndW–IndW2* **expression.** The genes *lndW–lndW2* were amplified by using primers W12XbaF and W12EcIR, digested with *XbaI* and *Eco*RI and cloned into the respective sites of pKC1218E resulting in pKCEW12. In this construct, the cloned *lndW–lndW2* segment contains its own ribosome-binding site (15 bp upstream of *lndW*) and its expression is driven only by *ermEp*.

For *IndYR* **knockout.** A 3.5 kb *Bam*HI fragment of pSXH4 (containing *lndY*, *lndYR*, *lndW2* and *lndW* lacking its first 119 bp; Fig. 1) was cloned into *Bam*HI-digested pBluescriptIISK⁻ to give pBL35. The latter contains a unique *Stu*I site within the *lndYR* coding region, which was utilized to clone *Sma*I-digested spectinomycin resistance cassette *aadA* to yield pBL35StuIaadA. The mutant *lndYR*:: *aadA* allele was moved as a 5.5 kb *Bam*HI fragment from pBL35StuIaadA to pKC1139 to give the final construct pKCYRaadA.

For *IndYR* **expression** in *E. coli.* The *lndYR* gene was amplified with primers LdY2EF and LdY2ER, digested with *NdeI* and *XhoI* and cloned into the respective sites of pET24a to give pET24aYR7.

RNA isolation and reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR analysis.

Total RNA was isolated after 3 days of *S. globisporus* growth in TSB or R5A according to the Trizol manufacterer's instructions (Invitrogen). To avoid DNA contamination, samples were treated with RQ1 DNase (Promega). RNA concentration and purity were determined by measuring the ratio of OD_{260} : OD_{280} , and an equal amount of RNA

from each studied strain was used for the RT reactions. cDNA was obtained by using a cloned AMV first-strand synthesis kit (Invitrogen) and random hexanucleotide primers. PCR was performed using Taq DNA polymerase (NEB) and primer pairs specific to each individual *lnd* gene (Table 2). As a positive control, the *rrnA* primer pair specific to 16S rRNA of S. globisporus was used. Negative controls were done with rrnA primers to confirm the absence of contaminating DNA in the RNA preparations. The PCR parameters for quantitative analysis were as follows: initial denaturation for 5 min at 95 °C, then 35 extension cycles (30 s, 95 °C; 30 s, 53 °C; 30 s, 72 °C) and final denaturation with a gradient of 0.5 °C step every 10 s. C_T was calculated to be 26.0 using the maximum curvation method, and the relative ratios of gene expression were determined. PCR products were analysed by electrophoresis on a 1.5 % agarose gel, and band intensities were measured by using the ImageJ1.36b software (NIH). For qPCR, the QuantiTect SYBR green PCR kit (Qiagen) was used. Data analysis was performed by using the comparative $C_{\rm T}$ (2 ^{- $\Delta\Delta CT$}) method using the 16S rRNA signal as an internal reference (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001).

LndYR purification. To obtain C-terminally $6 \times$ His-tagged LndYR, an *E. coli* BL21(DE3) strain carrying plasmid pET24aYR7 was grown overnight at 37 °C. LB (400 ml) containing 50 µg kanamycin ml⁻¹ was inoculated with 1 ml of overnight culture and incubated at 37 °C until OD₆₀₀ 0.6. LndYR expression was then induced with 0.4 mM IPTG. After incubation for an additional 6 h at 25 °C, the culture was harvested by centrifugation at 4200 r.p.m. for 10 min at 4 °C and lysed by treatment with BugBuster protein extraction reagent supplemented with Benzonase and rLysozyme (Novagen). Native purification of the LndYR protein on His-Tag binding resin was performed according to Novagen's instructions. LndYR was eluted with 200 mM imidazole and dialysed overnight at 4 °C against the storage buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10 % glycerol). Protein was stored at -80 °C.

Table 2. Primers used in this study

Purpose/name	Sequence (5'–3')		
To clone <i>lndYR</i> for pKC1218E			
Y2upXbaI	AAATCTAGACCACCGTGTTCGTCTGGGACG		
Y2rpEcoRI	AAAGAATTCTCAGTCCGGCGCCTCGC		
To clone <i>lndW1W2</i>			
W12XbaF	ATTCTAGATGGAATCACGATCACGGATCA		
W12EcIR	ATGAATTCCGCTCGATTGCCGACACTT		
To clone <i>lndYR</i> for pET24a			
LdY2EF	TACATATGCGTAAAGTGTCGGCAATCG		
LdY2ER	TACTCGAGGTCCGGCGCCTCGCCCG		
RT-PCR analysis of <i>lnd</i> genes expression			
LdIF	ATACTTCGCACACCGTGTAG		
LdIR	AGGTCGAGGAGAACTAGGT		
LdEF	ACGCTGCGGTGATCGTTGT		
LdER	TGGCCTTGCGTACTGGTCT		
LdG4F	TCGAGTTCAACTCGAACCAG		
LdG4R	AGCATGCCGTCCATCAGG		
LdJF	CGTGGTCGCGATGTTACTC		
LdJR	CGGAAGCCGATGAGCTGG		
LdYF	CTTCCGTCGGGAGATCGA		
LdYR	CGATGCCGAAGTCGATGAC		
LdY2F	AAGGTGAAGTCCGTGGTCG		
LdY2R	GCGTTCCAGCTCGCGGTA		
LdWF	CTGTTCAAGCGGTTCACCG		
LdWR	AGGACATCACCGTGGT		
rrnAF	CACATGCAAGTCGAACGATG		
rrnAR	GCTGCTGGCACGTAGTTAG		
Promoter fragments for EMSA			
W12PR	TCGGTTCCGTGATCCGTGAT		
W12PF	AGCGTGCCGTCGAAGTCGA		
LdWF1	GTGATTCCATGAATCAATTAATG		
LdWF2	AATGGAATCATGGAGTCGTGA		
LdWF3	GTGAGGGAATGACTGTCAAG		
LdWF4	CAGTGCCCAGTTGCCGGA		
LdWR4	CTTGACAGTCATTCCCTCAC		
LdWF5	CTGTCAAGCCGGGGTGGT		
LdWF6	TGAATCAATTAATGGAATCATGG		
ldYRF	TTGCCGACACTTTACGTAGG		
ldYRR	GTCAACGACGTGATCGAGTA		
ldYPF	ATCCGCAGGAAGCGAGAGC		
ldYPR	TCGTGCACCATCACGG		
ldIPF	CGAACCACCGGAAATTGATC		
ldIPR	CTGCCGTCTCATTTACTACC		
Intact fragment			
intact-up	TGACAGTCATTCCCTCACGACTCCATGATTCCATTAATTGATTCATGGAATCACGATCA		
Mutant versions of intact fragment (only one strand is shown for each dunley)			
Asls2s3-up			
As1s2s3s4-up	TGACAGAAAAAACCTCACGACTCCAAAAAAACATTAATAAAAAAATGAAAAAAAA		
As4-up	TGACAGTCATTCCCTCACGACTCCATGATTCCATTAATTGATTCATGAAAAAAACGATCA		
Asl-up	TGACAGAAAAAAACCTCACGACTCCATGATTCCATTAATTGATTCATGGAATCACGATCA		
As2s3-up	TGACAGTCATTCCCTCACGACTCCAAAAAAAACATTAATAAAAAAAA		
20205 up			

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs). Four gene fragments upstream of *lndI* (*lndIp*; 242 bp), *lndY* (*lndYp*; 293 bp), *lndW* (*lndWp*; 294 bp) and the *lndYR*-*lndW2* intergenic region (*lndYRp*; 169 bp) were used in DNA binding assays. The DNA fragments were amplified from plasmid pSXH4 or pSI2-9 (Fig. 1)

using primers described in Table 2. One DNA binding assay contained 40 pM DNA fragment and 0–250 pM LndYR protein in a total volume of 30 µl binding buffer [50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 10 % glycerol, 0.5 µg poly(dA)-poly(dT)]. After incubation for 20 min at room temperature,

protein-bound and free DNA were resolved by electrophoresis at 4 $^{\circ}$ C on a 5–8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel in 0.5 × TBE buffer. The gel was stained with ethidium bromide and analysed with a UV-imaging system (Fluorochem 5330). Short fragments of *lndWp* were also tested to map LndYR binding sites (see Results and Supplementary Fig. S1, available with the online version of this paper), and competitor was not included in these experiments.

RESULTS

Sequencing and *in silico* analysis of novel regulatory genes in the *Ind* cluster of *S. globisporus* 1912

Sequencing of a 4 kb segment between *lndW* and *lndZ6* (Fig. 1) revealed three ORFs, referred to as *lndY*, *lndYR* and IndW2. Typically for actinomycetes, these genes are GCrich (68-73%) and extremely biased in GC usage in the third codon position (over 90%). LndY (690 aa) showed local similarity to several known serine/threonine protein kinases from S. coelicolor M145 [SCO3621, SCO4487 (PkaG) and SCO4507; more than 60% similarity over a 300 aa stretch] and Streptomyces granaticolor (68% similarity over a 272 aa segment) (Vomastek et al., 1998). The 5' end of *lndW2* overlaps by 4 nt with the stop codon of IndW. LndW2 (331 aa) is homologous to putative transmembrane subunits of ABC transporters (Méndez & Salas, 2001), and is likely to cooperate with the ATPase subunit LndW to produce a functional two-component ABC transporter (Ostash et al., 2008). According to BLAST and CDD search results, *lndYR* encodes a GntR-superfamily transcriptional regulator, which belongs to the YtrA subfamily of GntR-like regulators. Like other members of this subfamily (Rigali et al., 2002), LndYR is small (144 aa) and contains a greatly reduced C-terminal effector binding/ oligomerization domain. LndYR homologues are present in all sequenced genomes of members of the order Actinomycetales. Moreover, LndYR-like genes are part of a genomic segment showing good local synteny, e.g. they are located near putative ABC transporter genes, which are the usual targets of YtrA-like proteins (Hoskisson & Rigali, 2009). The YtrA subfamily remains the least studied GntR subgroup due to low sequence similarity and the scarcity of structural data for the operator sites. To our knowledge, no experimental work has been carried out to date on LndYR or any of its homologues. The dense location of lndW, IndW2 and IndYR suggests their transcriptional coupling.

We analysed phylogenetic relationships within a group of 18 LndYR homologues of streptomycete origin. The LndYR tree (see Supplementary Fig. S2, available with the online version of this paper) consists of two major clades, one of which contains LndYR and its orthologues (*Streptomyces* griseus SGR3238 and proteins found in partially sequenced genomes of *Streptomyces roseosporus*, *Streptomyces albus* J1074, *Streptomyces flavogriseus*, *Streptomyces* sp. C1, *Streptomyces*. sp. SPB8). The second, larger clade of LndYR paralogues includes *S. coelicolor* SCO0823 and 10 other proteins (Supplementary Fig. S2). Several genomes (*S.* griseus, S. roseosporus and S. albus) contain both paralogues and orthologues of LndYR, suggesting that gene duplications shaped the evolution of this group of regulators.

An *S. globisporus IndYR* mutant is deficient in antibiotic production and sporulation

IndY, IndYR and IndW-IndW2 were overexpressed individually under the control of ermEp in S. globisporus, but did not exert any significant effect on landomycin production (in liquid and solid media), resistance to antibiotics or sporulation compared with a control strain carrying the empty vector (data not shown). The *lndYR* disruption was done via insertional inactivation (Kieser et al., 2000), using the temperature-sensitive plasmid pKCYRaadA. The spectinomycin-resistant and apramycin-sensitive (Spr Ams, respectively) strain S. globisporus YR, in which *lndYR* is replaced with the *lndYR*:: aadA allele, was selected, and the gene replacement event was verified by PCR amplification using primers Y2upXbaI and Y2rpEcoRI. A 0.8 kb DNA fragment corresponding to IndYR was amplified from strain 1912, whereas a 2.8 kb DNA fragment was amplified from strain YR (Fig. 2c), indicating that the wild-type copy of *lndYR* had been inactivated by insertion of aadA.

The *lndYR*-deficient S. globisporus YR differed from the 1912 parental strain in both morphology and landomycin production (Fig. 2). YR did not accumulate LaE or its aglyca during submerged fermentation (Fig. 2b) or growth on agar plates. Poor aerial mycelia were formed after 10 days of growth on solid OM, and sporulation, confined to the edges of the lawn, was scant and sporadic (Fig. 2a). There were no differences in the antibiotic resistance profiles of 1912 and YR. Expression of an intact *lndYR* copy fused to *ermEp* (plasmid pKC1218EYR) in the YR strain restored its ability to sporulate and produce LaE (Fig. 2) to the levels of its parent strain, thus ruling out the possibility of polar effects in the YR mutant and unexpected rearrangements in the YR genome. We grew the YR strain on a variety of minimal and complex solid media, and none of them restored sporulation to the strain or stimulated LaE production (see Supplementary Fig. S3, available with the online version of this paper). Since the loss of *lndYR* function has a strong dual phenotype, affecting both morphology and antibiotic production, we conclude that LndYR is not simply a pathway-specific regulator.

Expression of *IndW* is strongly increased in the *IndYR*-deficient strain

We performed semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis of representative landomycin biosynthetic (*lnd*) genes from two main *lnd* operons: the oxygenase *lndE* operon (*lndFABCD*) and the glycosyltransferase *lndGT4* operon (*lndZ1Z3GT4Z4Z5*). We monitored the proton-dependent landomycin antiporter *lndJ*, the ABC transporter *lndW*, and regulators *lndI*, *lndY* and *lndYR* in both the parent and *lndYR* mutant to determine



Fig. 2. Phenotype of the *S. globisporus IndYR* mutant. (a) Lawns after 10 days growth of *IndYR* mutant (YR), wild-type (WT) and complemented YR (YR+) on solid OM. (b) Thin layer chromatography of ethyl acetate extracts from YR, YR+ and WT strains grown in liquid SG medium for 4 days. Arrows indicate spots corresponding to LaE and its disaccharide precursor, LaD. (c) Amplicons obtained with *IndYR*-specific primers from pKC1218EYR (lane 1), from WT genome (lanes 3–4, independent clones), pKC1139YRaadA (lane 6) and the YR genome (lanes 7–9, independent clones). Lane 5, DNA ladder (Bionexus); sizes given in kb.

the effect(s) of LndYR deficiency on the expression of those genes. The strains were grown in liquid TSB (supports low landomycin production) and R5A (supports high landomycin production). Despite the lack of landomycin production in the mutant, transcripts for all tested *lnd* genes were observed in this strain. However, there was a dramatic increase in *lndW* transcription in the YR strain (Supplementary Fig. S4, available with the online version of this paper). Hence, we focused on qPCR analysis of *lndW* and *IndI* gene expression to further assess this phenomenon. In TSB, the amount of *lndW* mRNA in the YR strain was 150 ± 9 times higher than in 1912; in R5A, the difference was approximately 430-fold. There was no significant difference in IndI expression when YR and 1912 were cultivated in TSB. On R5A, IndI showed increased expression but the difference compared with the parent strain was not nearly as dramatic (two- to threefold; data not shown). These results imply that LndYR acts as repressor of *lndW* and all contranscribed genes, most likely IndW2 and IndYR. Although LndYR also affects *IndI* transcription, the latter does not seem to be a primary LndYR target. The cessation of landomycin production by the YR strain is not likely to be a direct result of disrupting repressive LndYR interactions with promoters of structural or regulatory *lnd* genes, since their expression levels are either unchanged or increased. Rather, it could stem from deregulated expression of lndW-lndW2 and/or other, asyet-unknown LndYR-dependent genes. For example, LndYR may influence the expression of genes that control landomycin production at a post-transcriptional level (mRNA translation, post-translational Lnd protein modification through phosphorylation or proteolysis). The existence of such regulatory mechanisms is not inconceivable since we have previously shown the essentiality of the putative proteinase gene prx for LaE production (Dutko et al., 2006).

LndYR binds to the promoter regions of *IndW* and *IndI*

To confirm DNA binding activity and establish the specificity of LndYR, we purified the protein and performed *in*

vitro binding assays using several DNA fragments (Fig. 1) containing the upstream regions of lndI (lndIp), lndY (*lndYp*), *lndW* (*lndWp*) and the *lndYR*–*lndW2* intergenic region (IndYRp). No DNA shift was detected when using IndYp or IndYRp, whereas significant DNA retardation occurred when *lndWp* was used in the binding assay (Fig. 3); LndYR also interacted with *lndIp* (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. S5, available with the online version of this paper). The presence of several discrete shifted bands in the EMSA assays may stem from the availability of several binding sites (as detailed further in this work) as well as the ability of LndYR (like many other GntR proteins), to bind DNA as both a mono- and an oligomer. In regard to the latter, we noted that even on denaturing gels, a portion of purified LndYR appeared to move as a dimer and, probably, a trimer (Supplementary Fig. S6); this observation was confirmed via FPLC and Western blot (data not shown). Several experiments demonstrated the specificity of LndYR binding to *lndWp* and *lndIp*. For instance, LndYR did not bind all of the four tested promoters, and increased amounts of the protein caused a more complete conversion of free (unbound) lndWp into the LndYRbound form (Fig. 3). Randomly chosen DNA did not interact with LndYR or compete for binding (Supplementary Fig. S1).

We performed multiple sequence alignments of DNA regions that are putative targets for LndYR or its homologues. Such regions are within promoters located upstream of *lndW*, its orthologues from *S. griseus* (*sgr3236*) and *S. roseosporus* (ZP_04710279), and upstream of the *lndYR* paralogue *sco0823*. All sequences aligned well and revealed the presence of a moderately conserved 80 bp region upstream of the *lndW* start codon (Fig. 4a). This region did not seem to contain the consensus sequence that is proposed to be recognized by YtrA-like proteins $[N_{(x)}GTN_{(y)}TAN_{(z)}TAN_{(y)}ACN_{(x)}]$. Nevertheless, visual inspection of the alignment revealed several elements of symmetry. Particularly, an array of imperfect direct and inverted hexanucleotide repeats, embedded into an ATrich sequence, is present in promoters from orthologous



groups (Fig. 4a). Using small overlapping fragments of IndWp, we decided to map the LndYR cis-acting element within *lndWp*. Our strategy is outlined in Supplementary Fig. S7 (available with the online version of this paper). We found that a short *lndWp* segment (approx. 60 bp; located 25 bp upstream of the *lndW* start codon), shown in Fig. 4(b) and referred to as the 'intact fragment', contains all of the elements necessary to interact with LndYR and to form two discrete, electrophoretically retarded DNA species (Supplementary Fig. S7). Shorter fragments formed a single shifted DNA band implying that they lack one of the LndYR binding sites (Supplementary Fig. S7), while longer fragments yielded supershifted bands at higher LndYR concentrations (Supplementary Fig. S7c, d). It is possible that LndYR may have additional binding sites far upstream of *lndW*.

Having defined a relatively short LndYR DNA target, we set out to determine the nature and importance of the direct and inverted repeats underpinned by bioinformatic analysis. For this purpose, we carried out EMSAs with the intact fragment and its mutant variants where repeats were replaced with adenines. To this end, we tested several combinations of repeat deletions, as shown on Fig. 4(c). While the removal of a single repeat had little effect on its ability to be recognized by LndYR, deletions of two, three or all four sites demonstrated their importance for LndYR binding. A detailed investigation of the intact fragment can now be performed to obtain more precise information about LndYR *cis*-acting elements.

IndYR affects antibiotic production in heterologous strains

To test whether LndYR influences morphogenesis and secondary metabolism in other streptomycetes, we overexpressed it in the model strain *S. coelicolor* M145 and in two producers of industrially important antibiotics, *S. ghanaensis* ATCC14672, which produces moenomycins, and *S. sioyaensis* NRRL-B5408, which produces siomycins. *S. coelicolor* M145 carrying plasmid pKC1218EY (M145-YR) was grown in parallel with a control strain (carrying an empty vector) and the amount of Act and Red in the cells and spent medium was determined. On average, both strains yielded a similar amount of biomass, but M145-YR **Fig. 3.** EMSAs of DNA fragments containing different *Ind* promoters. (a) Binding of LndYR (250 pM) to *IndYp* (4 pM) *IndYRp* (40 pM) or *IndIp* (4 pM). Lanes: 1, *IndYp*; 2, *IndYp*+LndYR; 3, *IndYRp*; 4, *IndYRp*+LndYR; 5, *IndIp*; 6, *IndIp*+LndYR. (b) Retardation of *IndWp* DNA (6 pM) in the presence of increasing concentrations of LndYR. Lanes: 1, *IndWp*; 2, *IndWp*+cell lysate of BL21(DE3); 3–9, *IndWp*+LndYR 16, 40, 80, 110, 160, 200 and 250 pM, respectively.

accumulated 2.5 times more Act in the supernatant than the control strain (Fig. 5). M145-YR and its control strain differed negligibly in cellular amounts of Act and Red. Overexpression of *lndYR* influenced Act production in S. coelicolor during growth on certain solid media. For example, on MMGT plates, strain M145-YR accumulated more Act than did M145 (Supplementary Fig. S8, available with the online version of this paper), probably because M145-YR entered the Act production phase earlier (Supplementary Fig. S8). On replete medium R2YE, M145-YR produced more Act over time (Supplementary Fig. S9). We also grew M145-YR on chemically defined Evans medium and found that on complete, carbon- and phosphate-limited agar plates, M145-YR produced more Act than did M145, while nitrogen-limited medium interfered with Act biosynthesis both in M145-YR and in the control strain (Supplementary Fig. S10). Hence, *IndYR* overexpression appears to affect the export of Act, and its regulatory function is dependent on nutritional status. As a further illustration of the complexity of biological effects caused by *lndYR*, we discovered that its overexpression in S. sioyaensis reduced total siomycin production [wild-type, $2.2 \pm 0.5 \mu g$ (mg dry weight)⁻¹; $lndYR^+$ strain, $1.0 \pm 0.2 \ \mu g \ (mg \ dry \ weight)^{-1}$], while it slightly increased moenomycin titres in S. ghanaensis [wild-type, $0.9+0.3 \ \mu g \ (mg \ dry \ weight)^{-1}$; $lndYR^+$ strain, $1.5 \pm 0.2 \ \mu g \ (mg \ dry \ weight)^{-1}].$

DISCUSSION

We have identified and characterized a new gene, *lndYR*, encoding a GntR-like regulator (YtrA subfamily) from the LaE producer *S. globisporus* 1912. LndYR homologues are found in most actinomycete genomes, making LndYR an attractive research target. Our studies have revealed the pleiotropic nature of this gene since an *S. globisporus lndYR* mutant was deficient in both morphogenesis and antibiotic production, and these processes were also significantly changed in *S. coelicolor* overexpressing *lndYR*. Genes within the *lndW* operon (*W-W2-YR*) are targets of the LndYR repressor, but most likely not a single major one: the ability of LndYR to bind *lndIp* and to form *lndWp* supershifted bands indirectly supports this suggestion. Since increased transcriptional activity of *lndW–lndW2* does not seem to be the immediate cause of the drastic changes in sporulation



Fig. 4. The *IndW* upstream region contains several LndYR binding sites. (a) Multiple alignment of DNA segments located immediately upstream of genes *IndW*, *sgr3236*, the *IndW* homologue from *S. roseosporus* (rosWp) and *sco0823*. Asterisks show positions conserved in all four sequences. Imperfect direct repeats are shown in bold and underlined. (b) Sequence of the minimal intact fragment of *IndWp* (in double-stranded form) that binds LndYR, as defined by EMSAs of *IndWp* subclones (see Methods and Supplementary Fig. S7). Repeats are marked as s1, s2, s3 and s4 in the intact fragment. (c) Retardation of the intact fragment and its mutant versions carrying the replacement of one or several repeats with hexadenine sequence. For example, Δ s1s2s3s4 is an intact fragment mutant where all four sites (s1, s2, s3, s4) have been replaced with adenines. In all experiments, LndYR was added in molar excess (50 pM, final concentration) with regard to DNA (350 fM).

and landomycin production in the YR strain, other LndYRregulated genes must exist in the genomes of *S. globisporus* and other species that carry *lndYR* orthologues. Their discovery will be expedited by precise mapping of LndYR operator sequences and further *in silico* screening for genes containing similar motifs. Limited experimental data and comparative genomic analyses point to the fact that YtrA proteins are repressors involved in the regulation of transport processes (Hoskisson & Rigali, 2009), and our experiments with *S. globisporus* and *S. coelicolor* adhere to this hypothesis. We suggest that, besides influencing the expression of adjacent genes *lndW–lndW2* and maybe *lndYR*, LndYR also regulates the transcription of other, currently unidentified, transporter genes. Their inappropriate expression in the *lndYR* mutant may produce its phenotype.

In its influence on morphology and secondary metabolism, *lndYR* resembles gene *agl3R* (SCO7168), a GntR-type regulator that controls ABC transporter genes in *S*.



Fig. 5. Overexpression of *IndYR* in *S. coelicolor* M145. (a). Production of Act and Red by *S. coelicolor* strains after 5 days of incubation in YMPG. 1, 2, extracts of Act from spent medium of control and *IndYR*+ strains, respectively; 3, 4, cell extracts of Act from control and *IndYR*+ strains, respectively; 5, 6, extracts of Red from control and *IndYR*+ strains, respectively. (b) Patches of M145-YR (YR) and control (C) *S. coelicolor* strains grown for 5 days on MMGT.

coelicolor and responds to the presence of α -glucosides (e.g. trehalose and mellibiose). It is interesting to note that *lndW* orthologues in S. roseosporus and S. griseus genomes are situated near genes for trehalose metabolism. We tested several sugars, including trehalose, as potential LndYR ligands but they did not interact with LndYR (data not shown); more detailed screening for an LndYR ligand(s) is underway in our laboratories. Unlike Agl3R and most of the studied GntR-like regulators, LndYR does not bind the upstream region of its own gene, probably because *lndWp* is a single point of control of the entire lndW-lndW2-IndYR operon. At the same time, LndYR binds the IndI gene upstream region. The biological significance of this binding is unclear, as our RT-PCR analysis showed only slight changes in the transcription of *lndI* in the *lndYR* mutant compared with the parent strain. Nevertheless, the observed binding reinforces the idea that LndYR may regulate several genes scattered throughout the S. globisporus genome, one of which is directly connected to the expression of *lnd* genes.

Studies on IndW-IndW2 have provided valuable initial information about LndYR binding sequences. A consensus recognition sequence for the YtrA subfamily has been proposed $[N_{(x)}GTN_{(y)}TAN_{(z)}TAN_{(y)}ACN_{(x)};$ bases essential for binding/recognition are shown in bold] based on a small dataset (Rigali et al., 2002; Hoskisson & Rigali, 2009). Our EMSAs of *lndWp* fragments show that LndYR binding sites are located on a moderately long DNA stretch (approx. 60 bp) that contains several operators. According to sequence analysis, *lndWp* and several other upstream gene regions, also believed to be regulated by LndYR-like proteins, share a moderately conserved AT-rich motif. We suggest that, in *lndWp*, an array of direct $(T_1C/G_2A_3T_4T_5C_6)$ and inverted (T1G2A3T4T5C6, G1A2A3T4C5A6) hexanucleotide repeats (see Fig. 4) serve as an LndYR binding site(s). We note that *lndIp* did not align with *lndWp* or its homologues, and yet it is bound by LndYR. It is possible that

LndYR recognizes DNA elements showing no obvious similarity, which would not be unprecedented (McKenzie & Nodwell, 2007; Hoskisson & Rigali, 2009). Uncovering the variations in LndYR binding sites will be key to finding new members of the *lndYR* regulon that influence sporulation and secondary metabolism.

Overexpression of *lndYR* in *S. coelicolor* caused significant increases in Act production and extrusion, both in liquid medium YMPG and on relatively poor MMGT agar. Sporulation was faster on MMGT as well. In contrast, *lndYR* did not exert upregulatory effects when M145-YR was grown on rich medium R2YE. These results, as well as the expression of *lndYR* in moenomycin and siomycin producers, indirectly support the idea that *lndYR* controls gene expression in response to certain nutrients, as many other GntRs (most notably, *agl3R*) do. Hence, the use of different media may lead to different results, since additional regulatory pathways (often linked to both morphogenesis and antibiotic production) are turned on.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Dr Jennifer Campbell (Department of Microbiology and Immunobiology, Harvard Medical School) for manuscript refinement. The work was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine grant Bg-01F (to V.F.) and by National Institutes of Health grant 5R01GM076710 (to S.W.).

REFERENCES

Anisimova, M. & Gascuel, O. (2006). Approximate likelihood-ratio test for branches: a fast, accurate, and powerful alternative. *Syst Biol* 55, 539–552.

Bentley, S. D., Chater, K. F., Cerdeño-Tárraga, A. M., Challis, G. L, Thomson, N. R., James, K. D., Harris, D. E., Quail, M. A., Kieser, H. & other authors (2002). Complete genome sequence of the model actinomycete *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2). *Nature* **417**, 141–147. Dereeper, A., Guignon, V., Blanc, G., Audic, S., Buffet, S., Chevenet, F., Dufayard, J. F., Guindon, S., Lefort, V. & other authors (2008). Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. *Nucleic Acids Res* 36, W465–W469.

Dutko, L., Rebets, Y., Ostash, B., Luzhetskyy, A., Bechthold, A., Nakamura, T. & Fedorenko, V. (2006). A putative proteinase gene is involved in regulation of landomycin E biosynthesis in *Streptomyces globisporus* 1912. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **255**, 280–285.

Evans, C. G. T., Herbert, D. & Tempest, D. W. (1970). The continuous culture of microorganisms. Construction of a chemostat. In *Methods in Microbiology*, vol. 2, pp. 277–327. Edited by J. R. Norris & D. W. Ribbons. London, UK: Academic Press.

Fedorenko, V., Basiliya, L., Pankevych, K., Dubitska, L., Ostash, B., Luzhetskyy, A., Gromyko, O. & Krugel, H. (2000). Genetic control of antitumor antibiotics-polyketides by actinomycetes. *Bull Inst Agric Microbiol* 8, 27–31.

Flärdh, K. & Buttner, M. J. (2009). *Streptomyces* morphogenetics: dissecting differentiation in a filamentous bacterium. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 7, 36–49.

Hillerich, B. & Westpheling, J. (2006). A new GntR family transcriptional regulator in *Streptomyces coelicolor* is required for morphogenesis and antibiotic production and controls transcription of an ABC transporter in response to carbon source. *J Bacteriol* 188, 7477–7487.

Hoskisson, P. A. & Rigali, S. (2009). Chapter 1: variation in form and function the helix-turn-helix regulators of the GntR superfamily. *Adv Appl Microbiol* 69, 1–22.

Hoskisson, P. A., Rigali, S., Fowler, K., Findlay, K. C. & Buttner, M. J. (2006). DevA, a GntR-like transcriptional regulator required for development in *Streptomyces coelicolor*. J Bacteriol 188, 5014–5023.

Ishikawa, J. & Hotta, K. (1999). FramePlot: a new implementation of the frame analysis for predicting protein-coding regions in bacterial DNA with a high G+C content. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **174**, 251–253.

Ishizuka, H., Horinouchi, S., Kieser, H. M., Hopwood, D. A. & Beppu, T. (1992). A putative two-component regulatory system involved in secondary metabolism in *Streptomyces* spp. *J Bacteriol* 174, 7585–7594.

Kieser, T., Bibb, M. J., Buttner, M. J., Chater, K. F. & Hopwood, D. A. (2000). *Practical streptomyces genetics*. Norwich, UK: The John Innes Foundation.

Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2(^{-\Delta\Delta CT})$ method. *Methods* **25**, 402–408.

Luzhetskii, A. N., Ostash, B. E. & Fedorenko, V. A. (2001). [Intergeneric conjugation of *Escherichia coli–Streptomyces globisporus* 1912 using integrative plasmid pSET152 and its derivatives]. *Genetika* 37, 1340–1347 (in Russian).

Makitrynskyy, R., Rebets, Y., Ostash, B., Zaburannyi, N., Rabyk, M., Walker, S. & Fedorenko, V. (2010). Genetic factors that influence moenomycin production in streptomycetes. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* 37, 559–566.

McKenzie, N. L. & Nodwell, J. R. (2007). Phosphorylated AbsA2 negatively regulates antibiotic production in *Streptomyces coelicolor* through interactions with pathway-specific regulatory gene promoters. *J Bacteriol* 189, 5284–5292.

Méndez, C. & Salas, J. A. (2001). The role of ABC transporters in antibiotic-producing organisms: drug secretion and resistance mechanisms. *Res Microbiol* 152, 341–350.

Myronovskyy, M., Ostash, B., Ostash, I. & Fedorenko, V. (2009). A gene cloning system for the siomycin producer *Streptomyces sioyaensis* NRRL-B5408. *Folia Microbiol (Praha)* 54, 91–96.

Nett, M., Ikeda, H. & Moore, B. S. (2009). Genomic basis for natural product biosynthetic diversity in the actinomycetes. *Nat Prod Rep* 26, 1362–1384.

Ostash, B., Saghatelin, A. & Walker, S. (2007). A streamlined metabolic pathway for the biosynthesis of moenomycin A. *Chem Biol* **14**, 257–267.

Ostash, I., Ostash, B., Walker, S. & Fedorenko, V. (2007). Protondependent transporter gene *lndJ* confers resistance to landomycin E in *Streptomyces globisporus. Genetika* **43**, 1032–1037.

Ostash, I., Rebets, Y., Ostash, B., Kobylyanskyy, A., Myronovskyy, M., Nakamura, T., Walker, S. & Fedorenko, V. (2008). An ABC transporter encoding gene *lndW* confers resistance to landomycin E. *Arch Microbiol* 190, 105–109.

Ostash, B., Korynevska, A., Stoika, R. & Fedorenko, V. (2009). Chemistry and biology of landomycins, an expanding family of polyketide natural products. *Mini Rev Med Chem* 9, 1040–1051.

Prentki, P. & Krisch, H. M. (1984). In vitro insertional mutagenesis with a selectable DNA fragment. *Gene* **29**, 303–313.

Rebets, Y., Ostash, B., Luzhetskyy, A., Hoffmeister, D., Brana, A., Mendez, C., Salas, J. A., Bechthold, A. & Fedorenko, V. (2003). Production of landomycins in *Streptomyces globisporus* 1912 and *S. cyanogenus* S136 is regulated by genes encoding putative transcriptional activators. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 222, 149–153.

Rebets, Y., Ostash, B., Luzhetskyy, A., Kushnir, S., Fukuhara, M., Bechthold, A., Nashimoto, M., Nakamura, T. & Fedorenko, V. (2005). DNA-binding activity of LndI protein and temporal expression of the gene that upregulates landomycin E production in *Streptomyces globisporus* 1912. *Microbiology* **151**, 281–290.

Rigali, S., Derouaux, A., Giannotta, F. & Dusart, J. (2002). Subdivision of the helix-turn-helix GntR family of bacterial regulators in the FadR, HutC, MocR, and YtrA subfamilies. *J Biol Chem* **277**, 12507–12515.

Rigali, S., Schlicht, M., Hoskisson, P., Nothaft, H., Merzbacher, M., Joris, B. & Titgemeyer, F. (2004). Extending the classification of bacterial transcription factors beyond the helix-turn-helix motif as an alternative approach to discover new *cis/trans* relationships. *Nucleic Acids Res* **32**, 3418–3426.

Rigali, S., Nothaft, H., Noens, E. E., Schlicht, M., Colson, S., Müller, M., Joris, B., Koerten, H. K., Hopwood, D. A. & other authors (2006). The sugar phosphotransferase system of *Streptomyces coelicolor* is regulated by the GntR-family regulator DasR and links *N*-acetylglucosamine metabolism to the control of development. *Mol Microbiol* **61**, 1237–1251.

Sambrook, J. & Russell, D. W. (2001). *Molecular Cloning: a Laboratory Manual*, 3rd edn. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

Sezonov, G., Possoz, C., Friedmann, A., Pernodet, J. L. & Guérineau, M. (2000). KorSA from the *Streptomyces* integrative element pSAM2 is a central transcriptional repressor: target genes and binding sites. *J Bacteriol* 182, 1243–1250.

Shirling, E. B. & Gottlieb, D. (1966). Methods for characterization of *Streptomyces* species. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 16, 313–340.

Sun, J., Hesketh, A. & Bibb, M. (2001). Functional analysis of *relA* and *rshA*, two *relA/spoT* homologues of *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2). *J Bacteriol* 183, 3488–3498.

Vomastek, T., Nádvorník, R., Janecek, J., Techniková, Z., Weiser, J. & Branny, P. (1998). Characterisation of two putative protein Ser/Thr kinases from actinomycete *Streptomyces granaticolor* both endowed with different properties. *Eur J Biochem* 257, 55–61.

Edited by: P. R. Herron