



Published in final edited form as:

Antivir Ther. 2011 ; 16(2): 137–140. doi:10.3851/IMP1750.

Transmitted resistance to HIV integrase strand-transfer inhibitors: right on schedule

Christopher B. Hurt, MD[Clinical Assistant Professor]

Division of Infectious Diseases, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 130 Mason Farm Road, CB#7030, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7030, churt@med.unc.edu

Abstract

Transmitted drug resistance (TDR), the primary acquisition of an HIV variant already resistant to antiretrovirals, impacts approximately 15% of all new infections in the United States. Historically, from the time initial agents in the reverse transcriptase, protease, and entry inhibitor classes were introduced, it took three to five years before the first case reports of TDR appeared. With the description of the first two cases of transmitted integrase stand-transfer inhibitor resistance, it is only a matter of time before the prevalence of TDR affecting this newest antiretroviral class reaches a level warranting baseline resistance testing for all patients entering care.

Of the estimated 56,000 individuals with new HIV infections each year in the United States (U.S.),[1] approximately 8,000 will acquire viruses resistant to at least one antiretroviral (ARV) at baseline.[2] For these patients, their care providers, and public health, the presence of transmitted drug resistance (TDR) poses a number of challenges.

Resistant variants persist for long periods in both the blood[3, 4] and the genital tract[5], increasing the potential for forward transmission among the undiagnosed or untreated. Individuals with TDR seem to have steeper declines in CD4 counts in the first year after infection[6], which may impact immunologic recovery later. Once engaged in HIV care, pre-existing resistance restricts available first-line ARV options and may force providers to select alternative regimens with less favorable dosing intervals or side effect profiles. Adherence may suffer as a result, placing patients at increased risk for accumulating additional resistance mutations over time. Finally, although patients with resistant viruses are benefitting from new ARV classes introduced over the past several years, the current ARV drug development pipeline is relatively limited.

One of the new products from that pipeline is raltegravir, the prototype integrase strand-transfer inhibitor (InSTI) that earned Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval in 2007. Its safety profile, tolerability, and potency when paired with tenofovir/emtricitabine[7] prompted the inclusion of this combination as a preferred first-line regimen in the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) adult HIV treatment guidelines in 2009. [8] This decision is further supported by studies demonstrating an extremely low prevalence of mutations associated with raltegravir resistance in treatment-naïve patients.[9, 10] Unlike the recommendation to pursue baseline genotypic resistance testing of reverse transcriptase (RT) and protease, the DHHS guidelines specifically noted that pre-treatment integrase resistance testing was not necessary – at least not yet.[8]

With the first two documented cases of transmitted InSTI resistance reported in this issue of *Antiviral Therapy*,[11, 12] it is only a matter of time before that recommendation changes.

Conflicts of interest: CBH has received grant support from Bristol-Myers Squibb and Merck.

But how soon after the introduction of a new ARV class can one expect to see significant circulating resistance? And just how much time do we have before the prevalence of transmitted InSTI resistance reaches a threshold that makes pre-treatment testing necessary? Some historical perspective may help us answer these questions (Table 1).

The first published report of TDR came in 1993, when a young man who presented with acute HIV infection was started on single-agent zidovudine but failed to have any significant response following three months of treatment. After it was learned that one of his likely source partners was receiving zidovudine, retrospective analysis of pre-treatment samples demonstrated the presence of T215Y/F mutations in RT, conferring resistance to the drug. [13] Six years of widespread zidovudine monotherapy following its FDA approval in 1987 led to a high prevalence of resistance among potential transmitters, and up to 10% of seroconversions harbored T215 mutations in select cohorts between 1988 and 1994.[14] Within a decade of the introduction of the class, TDR involving the nucleoside RT inhibitors (NRTIs) was stably high and ranging between 9 and 42%[15, 16].

Only four years elapsed between initial clinical studies of nevirapine, the first non-nucleoside RT inhibitor (NNRTI), in 1993[17] and the first report of NNRTI-associated transmitted resistance in 1997. The HIV-uninfected male partner of a patient on zidovudine-nevirapine dual therapy developed symptoms of primary HIV infection and was found to have K70R, A98G, and Y181C mutations in RT, identical to those in the donor.[18] By 2000, the prevalence of NNRTI-associated mutations among treatment-naïve patients reached 13%.[19]

Protease inhibitor (PI) transmitted resistance trailed the introduction of the class by only three years; primary infection with HIV resistant to NRTIs and PIs was initially described in 1998.[20] The source patient in that case report had an extensive prior treatment history, including all available NRTIs and PIs (saquinavir, ritonavir, and indinavir). It took five years from saquinavir's introduction in 1995[21] to reach a PI TDR prevalence of 8-9%.[19, 22]

It is important to consider, however, that the population dynamics of resistance today are arguably very different from what they were at the time these studies demonstrated such rapid increases in the frequency of TDR. Before the advent of highly active ARV therapy (HAART), incompletely suppressive mono- or dual-therapy regimens left large portions of the HIV-infected population living chronically with detectable, drug-resistant viremia. Given the relationship between viral load and the likelihood of transmission[23], this large "reservoir" of circulating resistance supported multiple introductions of ARV resistance into the uninfected population over time. With the effective and durable virologic suppression afforded by contemporary HAART regimens, the majority of patients on therapy have low or undetectable viral loads – leading to a broadly reduced risk of forward transmission. Longitudinal data on the impact of lowered "community" viral load on HIV incidence support this hypothesis[24, 25], as do trends in observed TDR prevalence over time. Levels of primary resistance appear to have peaked between 2000 and 2002, with a slight decline and subsequent stabilization to the present day.[26, 27]

That the first cases of transmitted InSTI resistance come only three years after raltegravir's FDA approval is therefore somewhat disconcerting. Certainly this short time span could be artifact; broader availability and application of routine pre-treatment resistance testing and greater awareness of TDR could have led to heightened vigilance and more aggressive screening. But it is also possible that its appearance reflects our ongoing failures in secondary prevention efforts among people living with HIV – especially the treatment-experienced. Clear associations exist between poor adherence and transmission risk

behaviors,[28, 29] and multiple studies implicate small numbers of highly risky patients with resistant viruses as potentially being responsible for a disproportionately large amount of TDR.[30-32]

Thus, the current reports of transmitted InSTI resistance by Young and Boyd are important milestones as we advance toward a better understanding of HIV resistance and the optimal approach to patients entering care. We are now officially on the clock, waiting for the point at which the prevalence of integrase mutations among treatment-naïve patients rises to a level that warrants routine baseline resistance testing. In the meantime, these cases clearly support the addition of InSTI resistance testing for patients presenting to care with higher-than-expected baseline levels of RTI and PI mutations, and for those whose risk histories place them in contact with source patients having ARV treatment experience.

With the history of TDR as a guide, the next several years offer us the opportunity to both actively monitor for increasing InSTI resistance and to improve our understanding of the epidemiology of TDR. Despite the large and growing number of papers on TDR prevalence worldwide, precious few make any attempt to determine what factors are associated with the acquisition of resistant HIV. If we were able to uniformly collect sociodemographic and behavioral data on cases prospectively, we might parlay those findings into effective prevention messages designed to reduce the incidence (and, eventually, the prevalence) of transmitted resistance.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Joseph Eron for his comments on the manuscript. CBH is currently supported by a KL2 Multidisciplinary Clinical Research Career Development Award from the National Institutes of Health, National Center for Research Resources (5 KL2 RR 025746-03).

References

1. Hall HI, Song R, Rhodes P, et al. Estimation of HIV incidence in the United States. *JAMA*. Aug 6; 2008 300(5):520–529. [PubMed: 18677024]
2. Wheeler WH, Ziebell RA, Zabina H, et al. Prevalence of transmitted drug resistance associated mutations and HIV-1 subtypes in new HIV-1 diagnoses, U.S.-2006. *AIDS*. May 15; 2010 24(8): 1203–1212. [PubMed: 20395786]
3. Little SJ, Frost SD, Wong JK, et al. Persistence of transmitted drug resistance among subjects with primary human immunodeficiency virus infection. *J Virol*. Jun; 2008 82(11):5510–5518. [PubMed: 18353964]
4. Hué S, Gifford RJ, Dunn D, Fernhill E, Pillay D. Demonstration of sustained drug-resistant human immunodeficiency virus type 1 lineages circulating among treatment-naïve individuals. *J Virol*. Mar; 2009 83(6):2645–2654. [PubMed: 19158238]
5. Smith DM, Wong JK, Shao H, et al. Long-term persistence of transmitted HIV drug resistance in male genital tract secretions: implications for secondary transmission. *J Infect Dis*. Aug 1; 2007 196(3):356–360. [PubMed: 17597449]
6. Pillay D, Bhaskaran K, Jurriaans S, et al. The impact of transmitted drug resistance on the natural history of HIV infection and response to first-line therapy. *AIDS*. Jan 2; 2006 20(1):21–28. [PubMed: 16327315]
7. Lennox JL, DeJesus E, Berger DS, et al. Raltegravir versus efavirenz regimens in treatment-naïve HIV-1-infected patients: 96-week efficacy, durability, subgroup, safety, and metabolic analyses. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. Apr 15.2010
8. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1 infected adults and adolescents: US Department of Health and Human Services. December 1.2009

9. Rhee SY, Liu TF, Kiuchi M, et al. Natural variation of HIV-1 group M integrase: implications for a new class of antiretroviral inhibitors. *Retrovirology*. 2008; 5:74. [PubMed: 18687142]
10. Ceccherini-Silberstein F, Malet I, Fabeni L, et al. Specific HIV-1 integrase polymorphisms change their prevalence in untreated versus antiretroviral-treated HIV-1-infected patients, all naive to integrase inhibitors. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. Nov; 2010 65(11):2305–2318. [PubMed: 20817922]
11. Young B, Fransen S, Greenberg K, et al. Transmission of integrase strand-transfer inhibitor, multidrug resistant HIV-1: Case report and natural history of response to raltegravir-containing antiretroviral therapy. *Antivir Ther*. 2011; 16(2):253–256. [PubMed: 21447875]
12. Boyd S, Maldarelli F, Sereti I, et al. Transmitted raltegravir resistance in an HIV-1 CRF_AG-infected patient. *Antivir Ther*. 2011; 16(2):257–261. [PubMed: 21447876]
13. Erice A, Mayers DL, Strike DG, et al. Brief report: primary infection with zidovudine-resistant human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *N Engl J Med*. Apr 22; 1993 328(16):1163–1165. [PubMed: 8455683]
14. Mayers DL, Yerly S, Perrin L, et al. Prevalence of AZT-resistant (AZTR) HIV-1 in persons seroconverting in Switzerland, Australia, and the United States between 1988 and 1994. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 1995; 11(Suppl 1):S162.
15. Yerly S, Kaiser L, Race E, Bru JP, Clavel F, Perrin L. Transmission of antiretroviral-drug-resistant HIV-1 variants. *Lancet*. Aug 28; 1999 354(9180):729–733. [PubMed: 10475184]
16. Rubio A, Leal M, Pineda JA, et al. Increase in the frequency of mutation at codon 215 associated with zidovudine resistance in HIV-1-infected antiviral-naive patients from 1989 to 1996. *AIDS*. Jul 15; 1997 11(9):1184–1186. [PubMed: 9233468]
17. Cheeseman SH, Hattox SE, McLaughlin MM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of nevirapine: initial single-rising-dose study in humans. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. Feb; 1993 37(2):178–182. [PubMed: 8452345]
18. Imrie A, Beveridge A, Genn W, Vizzard J, Cooper DA. Transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 resistant to nevirapine and zidovudine. Sydney Primary HIV Infection Study Group. *J Infect Dis*. Jun; 1997 175(6):1502–1506. [PubMed: 9180194]
19. Grant RM, Hecht FM, Warmerdam M, et al. Time trends in primary HIV-1 drug resistance among recently infected persons. *JAMA*. Jul 10; 2002 288(2):181–188. [PubMed: 12095382]
20. Hecht FM, Grant RM, Petropoulos CJ, et al. Sexual transmission of an HIV-1 variant resistant to multiple reverse-transcriptase and protease inhibitors. *N Engl J Med*. Jul 30; 1998 339(5):307–311. [PubMed: 9682043]
21. Kitchen VS, Skinner C, Ariyoshi K, et al. Safety and activity of saquinavir in HIV infection. *Lancet*. Apr 15; 1995 345(8955):952–955. [PubMed: 7715294]
22. Little SJ, Holte S, Routy JP, et al. Antiretroviral-drug resistance among patients recently infected with HIV. *N Engl J Med*. Aug 8; 2002 347(6):385–394. [PubMed: 12167680]
23. Quinn TC, Wawer MJ, Sewankambo N, et al. Viral load and heterosexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Rakai Project Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. Mar 30; 2000 342(13):921–929. [PubMed: 10738050]
24. Das M, Chu PL, Santos GM, et al. Decreases in community viral load are accompanied by reductions in new HIV infections in San Francisco. *PLoS One*. 2010; 5(6):e11068. [PubMed: 20548786]
25. Wood E, Kerr T, Marshall BD, et al. Longitudinal community plasma HIV-1 RNA concentrations and incidence of HIV-1 among injecting drug users: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2009; 338:b1649. [PubMed: 19406887]
26. Bartmeyer B, Kuecherer C, Houareau C, et al. Prevalence of transmitted drug resistance and impact of transmitted resistance on treatment success in the German HIV-1 Seroconverter Cohort. *PLoS One*. 2010; 5(10):e12718. [PubMed: 20949104]
27. Vercauteren J, Wensing AM, van de Vijver DA, et al. Transmission of drug-resistant HIV-1 is stabilizing in Europe. *J Infect Dis*. Nov 15; 2009 200(10):1503–1508. [PubMed: 19835478]
28. Kalichman SC, Rompa D. HIV treatment adherence and unprotected sex practices in people receiving antiretroviral therapy. *Sex Transm Infect*. Feb; 2003 79(1):59–61. [PubMed: 12576617]

29. Flaks RC, Burman WJ, Gourley PJ, Rietmeijer CA, Cohn DL. HIV transmission risk behavior and its relation to antiretroviral treatment adherence. *Sex Transm Dis.* May; 2003 30(5):399–404. [PubMed: 12916130]
30. Kozal MJ, Amico KR, Chiarella J, et al. Antiretroviral resistance and high-risk transmission behavior among HIV-positive patients in clinical care. *AIDS.* Nov 5; 2004 18(16):2185–2189. [PubMed: 15577652]
31. Napravnik, S.; Zakharova, OM.; McKaig, RG., et al. High risk for transmission of HIV and antiretroviral drug-resistant HIV variants among HIV-infected patients in care (Abstract MoPp0203). 3rd International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment, and Prevention; Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. 2005.
32. Chin-Hong PV, Deeks SG, Liegler T, et al. High-risk sexual behavior in adults with genotypically proven antiretroviral-resistant HIV infection. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* Dec 1; 2005 40(4): 463–471. [PubMed: 16280703]

Date of first clinical trial publication, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval, and initial report of transmitted drug resistance (TDR) for selected antiretrovirals

Table 1

Year	Antiretroviral class and agent				Event
	NRTI	NNRTI	PI	EI	
1986	ZDV				Yarchoan, et al. publish first clinical trial [33]
1987	ZDV				FDA approval [34]
1993	ZDV				Erice, et al. report TDR [13]
1995		NVP			Cheeseman, et al. publish first clinical trial [17]
			SQV		Kitchen, et al. publish first clinical trial [21]
			SQV		FDA approval [34]
1996		NVP			FDA approval [34]
1997		NVP			Imrie, et al. report TDR [18]
1998			SQV		Hecht, et al. report TDR [20]
2002				ENF	Kilby, et al. publish first clinical trial [35]
2003				ENF	FDA approval [34]
2006				RAL	Markowitz, et al. publish first clinical trial [56]
2007				RAL	FDA approval [34]
				ENF	Peuchant, et al. report TDR [37]
2010				RAL	Young, et al. and Boyd, et al. report TDR [11, 12]

EI, entry inhibitor; ENF, enfuvirtide (T-20); FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; InSTI, integrase strand-transfer inhibitor; NNRTI, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NRTI, nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NVP, nevirapine; PI, protease inhibitor; RAL, raltegravir; SQV, saquinavir; ZDV, zidovudine